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Abstract
The reactivity of substituted half-sandwich complexes of Ti, Zr, and Hf towards 2,6-diisopropylaniline NH₂C₆H₃-2,6-iPr₂ (L¹H) and 2-[(dimethylamino)methyl]aniline NH₂C₆H₄-2-
(CH₂NMe₂) (LNNH) was investigated. Two series of mononuclear complexes (η⁵-C₅Me₅)LNNMCl₂ (M = Ti (3), Zr (4), Hf (5)) and (η⁵-C₅Me₅)LNNMCl₂ (M = Ti (7), Zr (8), Hf (9)) were prepared from corresponding (pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)metal trichlorides and lithium precursors LNNLi and LNNNNLi. Besides the desired products dimeric hafnium compound [(η⁵-C₅Me₅){µ–NC₆H₄-2-(CH₂NMe₂)}HfCl]₂ (10) was also isolated and structurally characterized. The formation of dimeric complexes of this type was also achieved by reacting a series of (η⁵-C₅Me₄R)LNNLNNMCl₂ (M = Zr, Hf; R = Me, H; LNN = C₆H₄-2-(CH₂NMe₂)-µ²C,N) complexes with lithium precursors LNNLi and LNNNNLi generating [(η⁵-C₅Me₄H){µ–NC₆H₃-2,6-iPr₂}MCl]₂ (M = Zr (11), Hf (13)), [(η⁵-C₅Me₅){µ–NC₆H₄-2,6-iPr₂}MCl]₂ (M = Zr (12), Hf (14)), [(η⁵-C₅Me₄H){µ–NC₆H₄-2-(CH₂NMe₂)-µ²N,N}ZrCl]₂ (15), and [(η⁵-C₅Me₅){µ–NC₆H₄-2-(CH₂NMe₂)}ZrCl]₂ (16), respectively. The formation of 10 by this reaction procedure was not detected; instead monomeric complexes (η⁵-C₅Me₄R)LNNLNNHC²Cl (R = H (17), Me (18)) were observed as major products. NMR and IR spectroscopy techniques and elemental analysis were used for characterization of the prepared complexes, whereas the structures in most cases were determined by X-ray crystallography.

Introduction

The significant interest of several research groups in the area of half-sandwich cyclopentadienyl–amino, –amido, and –imido substituted Group 4 compounds is driven mainly by their applications in catalytic transformations of unsaturated compounds. The development of new imido-supported non-metallocene olefin polymerization catalysts seems to be a popular area in the present time.

Earlier works in the field of early transition metal amide and imide complexes were reviewed by Nugent and Haymore and Gade and Mountford. In the latter article, structures of titanium complexes bearing ligands with adjacent donor functional groups and their reactivity towards unsaturated compounds are described. Mindiola summarized the work on novel metal-ligand multiply bonded archetypes including the M=NR one which could be described as a nitrene group (:NR) and which parallels the metal-carbon double bond functionality (carbene :CR₂). The preparation of these complexes is connected to the oxidatively induced α-hydrogen deprotonation of metal-bound amide in low valent titanium.
species. Such compounds are acting in various catalytic processes such as hydroamination, hydrohydratization, aziridination, multicomponent coupling reactions, guanylations, and carboamination. For example, titanium hydrazido and imido complexes containing a pyrrolyl-based ligand are active in hydroamination of alkynes.\textsuperscript{7}

Mono- and dinuclear hafnium imido complexes containing bulky Cp* (Cp* = $\eta^5$-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl-) and arylsilyl ligands were prepared by Tilley.\textsuperscript{8} Continuation of work on this topic (for titanium) with simpler and improved synthetic procedures and a plethora of structure descriptions of titanium imides were reported by Lorber.\textsuperscript{9} The homo- and heterobimetallic complexes of Ti, Zr, Hf, V, and Mo with amido and imido ligands in both bridging and terminal positions were isolated recently.\textsuperscript{10} In the Ti/Mo heterobimetallic systems the $\eta^6$-arene-coordinated Mo atom can act as a central atom in a Fischer-type carbene.\textsuperscript{10b} Structures of amide-chloride and amide-imide complexes of Group 4 metals containing rather bulky hexamethyldisilazide ligands with cyclic as well as acyclic frameworks were also determined.\textsuperscript{11}

Ligands bearing additional functional groups attached to the amide/imide moiety were also applied. For example, phosphine-substituted anilines were used by Bochmann for the preparation of imido bridged titanium complexes incorporating the central four membered Ti$_2$N$_2$ ring. The presence of adjacent phosphine donor groups was further utilized for the complexation of platinum metals. Moreover, the titanium complexes revealed promising activity in the polymerization of ethylene without a change of the central Ti$_2$N$_2$ framework upon activation by MAO (Methylaluminoxane).\textsuperscript{12} Both amido- and imidoethylpyridine and aniline N-bridged titanium complexes with the pendant and potentially chelating N-groups ([N(R)(CH$_2$CH$_2$py)], py = C$_5$H$_5$N, R = SiMe$_3$, Si$^t$BuMe$_2$, Ph) were described by Martins.\textsuperscript{13}

The chemistry of Group 4 amides or imides containing a $\eta^5$-cyclopentadienyl or related ring is rather rich in structural motifs. These motifs are represented by the amide Cp$^*$TiCl$_2$NH$^-$Bu$^{14}$, bis-amides [N(Me)C$_6$H$_3$-2,6-Pr$_2$]$_2$Cp$^*$ZrCl$^{15}$, [(N$_5^-$-1,3-Me$_2$C$_5$H$_2$)Ti(NMe$_2$)$_2$Cl]$^-$CH$_2$-[(N$_5^-$-C$_9$H$_9$)Ti(NMe$_2$)$_2$Cl],\textsuperscript{16} and CpTiCl(NMe$_2$)$_2$$^{17}$ (Cp = $\eta^5$-cyclopentadienyl-), tris-amides Cp$^*$Zr(NH$^-$Bu)$_3$ and Cp$^*$Hf(L$^-$N)$_3$,\textsuperscript{18} and chelating bis-amides.\textsuperscript{19} Dinuclear mono- and dicyclopentadienyl complexes with four-membered symmetrical rings formed of two metal atoms bridged usually by two imido nitrogen atoms are the dominant group of complexes in this field.\textsuperscript{8,18,20} Other compounds of similar constitution are the products of nitrogen
activation by a low-valent metalloocene moiety.\textsuperscript{21} Higher nuclearity or cyclicity compounds are also known.\textsuperscript{21i, 21j, 22}

Being interested in the preparation and potential use of bifunctional compounds of mainly Group 4 metals, we described in our previous work a simple method for the preparation of compounds containing a highly substituted cyclopentadienyl ring and the C,N-chelating ligand C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}-2-(CH\textsubscript{2}NMe\textsubscript{2})-\mu\textsuperscript{2}-C,N (L\textsubscript{23})\textsuperscript{23} or bifunctional β-diketiminate ligands with the potentially coordinating methoxy groups\textsuperscript{24}. When we tried to introduce another sterically demanding substituent like 2, 6-diisopropylaniline into the coordination sphere of these metal ions the formation of dimeric compounds with arylimide bridges accompanied by release of C,N-chelating ligand were observed. These unexpected results led us to perform a comparative study of such a phenomenon using a sterically demanding as well as N,N-chelating anilines.

**Results and discussion**

The complexes (η\textsuperscript{5}-C\textsubscript{5}Me\textsubscript{5})MCl\textsubscript{3} (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) react smoothly with one equivalent of L\textsuperscript{N}Li (1) to afford complexes (η\textsuperscript{5}-C\textsubscript{5}Me\textsubscript{5})L\textsuperscript{N}MCl\textsubscript{2} (M = Ti(3), Zr(4), Hf(5)) and LiCl (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1](image)

\textbf{Scheme 1. Preparation of compounds 3 - 5}

A difference in long-term stability of the prepared amide complexes was observed. Whereas the isolable yield of Zr and Hf complexes was more than 50%, the Ti complex could not be isolated sufficiently pure in larger amount due to its decomposition. NMR spectra of
the crude product were, however, in line with the proposed structure, notably displaying a
signal due to the N-H hydrogen at δ 9.51 ppm. Moreover, we were able to isolate several
crystals of 3 suitable for X-ray analysis directly from the cooled reaction mixture. The
molecular structure of 3 obtained by X-ray analysis showed that the expected product was
formed. Nevertheless, further processing of the crude product led to the formation of a
mixture of yet unidentified compounds which do not contain N-H bond (according to NMR
and IR spectroscopy). This could be due to the thermolytic α-hydrogen abstraction from the
metal-bound amide by a chlorine atom as described by Tilley for hafnium complexes.8

In contrast, zirconium and hafnium complexes 4 and 5 are stable and were isolated
and characterized by NMR and IR spectroscopy. 1H and 13C NMR spectra of amide complexes
4 and 5 supported the proposed structures. The signal of the amine hydrogen is downfield
shifted (6.37 ppm for 4 and 6.02 for 5) in comparison to the free 2,6-diisopropylaniline ligand
(δH NH (TOL-D8): 3.18 ppm). Infrared spectra of 4 and 5 complexes displayed absorption
bands corresponding to N-H stretching vibration at 3352 cm⁻¹ for 4 and 3355 cm⁻¹ for 5.
There are also present the aromatic C-H stretching vibration at 3062 cm⁻¹ and strong
absorption for C-H out of plane deformation bands at 750 cm⁻¹ typical for an ortho-
substituted benzene ligand. The asymmetric stretching vibration of methyl groups in Cp*
ligands were observed at 2962-2869 cm⁻¹, and their asymmetric deformation vibration in
range 1460-1430 cm⁻¹. The strong deformation vibration corresponding to methyl groups in
2,6-diisopropylaniline ligand were found at 1380 cm⁻¹.

In addition, minor product (η⁵-C₅Me₅)L³NN₃Zr (6) was obtained from crude reaction
mixture of zirconium containing species in a form of several colourless crystals. The
molecular structure of this compound was determined by X-ray crystallography and revealed
the presence of three L³NN ligands in this complex (see Figure 4). Similar structures are known
from literature for -NHR R = tBu; 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂ and 2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃ ligands.18

In order to increase the stability of titanium compound 3 reactions of (η⁵-C₅Me₅)MCl₃
(M = Ti, Zr, Hf) with L³NNLi (2) were carried out. This ligand contains in addition to the NH
group a lone electron pair on the tethered NMe₂ group. The results show a different
behaviour of the elements of Group 4, which reflects their different capability of adopting
higher coordination numbers. Thus, the titanium complex reacted with 2 under elimination
of LiCl and afforded the complex (η⁵-C₅Me₅)L⁴N TiCl₂ (7), where the dimethylamino group of
the ligand is not involved into the bonding to the titanium centre (Scheme 2).
Scheme 2. Synthesis of compound 7.

On the other hand zirconium and hafnium major products ([η5-C5Me5]LNNMCl2 (M = Zr(8), Hf(9)) are stabilized via the intramolecular coordination of NMe2 group of the ligand.

Half-sandwich zirconium trichloride reacts with one equivalent of 2 to form monomeric complex 8 in a high yield (Scheme 3).


Half-sandwich hafnium trichloride reacts with one equivalent of 2 in a similar way as the zirconium compound. As a minor product of this reaction several single-crystals of complex 10 were isolated from the crude mixture. The X-ray measurement determined the molecular structure of this product as the dimeric complex [(η5-C5Me5)[μ–NC6H4(CH2(NMe2))]HfCl2 (10), where two nitrogen atoms act as bridges between two hafnium atoms (Scheme 4).
Formation of this compound is a consequence of the $\alpha$-H abstraction from the $L^{NN}$ ligand by chloride atom with the assistance of a base, probably free $L^{NN}H$ molecule.


Solutions of complexes 8 and 9 in toluene-D$_8$ exhibited a fluxional behaviour in the studied range of temperatures (228-328 K) as observed by NMR spectroscopy (see Supporting Information). At lower temperature the complexes possessed an unsymmetrical structure with the dimethylamino group coordinated to the metal centre (similarly as was proved in the solid state) which is in accordance with the presence of two singlets for non-equivalent methyl groups (2.17, 2.72 ppm for 8 and 2.15, 2.66 ppm for 9) and a system of two doublets for diastereotopic methylene hydrogens (2.51, 5.00 ppm, $^2J_{HH} = 13.3$ Hz for 8 and 2.47, 4.93 ppm, $^2J_{HH} = 13.3$ Hz for 9) in $^1H$ NMR spectra. Upon heating, the signals became broader, coalesced and finally only an average signal could be observed as a consequence of fast interconversion between the pair of enantiomers (see Scheme 5).
Scheme 5. Dynamic behaviour of compounds 8 and 9 observed by NMR

The line-shape analysis with the WINDNMR program\textsuperscript{25} of spectra taken at different temperatures allowed us to determine the corresponding thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta H^\ddagger = 45.8 \pm 1.4 \text{ kJ}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta S^\ddagger = -24.3 \pm 5.0 \text{ J}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}K}^{-1}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta G^\ddagger_{298} = 53.1 \text{ kJ}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$ for 8; $\Delta H^\ddagger = 39.0 \pm 1.2 \text{ kJ}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta S^\ddagger = -37.4 \pm 4.5 \text{ J}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}K}^{-1}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta G^\ddagger_{298} = 50.2 \text{ kJ}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$ for 9) from the respective Eyring plots (see Supporting Information). The obtained $\Delta G^\ddagger$ values are lower in comparison to the corresponding L\textsubscript{CN} complexes ($\Delta G^\ddagger_{298} = 57.8 \text{ kJ}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$ for Me\textsubscript{5}ZrL\textsubscript{CN} and $\Delta G^\ddagger_{298} = 64.6 \text{ kJ}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$ for Me\textsubscript{5}HfL\textsubscript{CN}).\textsuperscript{23} We suggest that two phenomena contribute to the decrease of rotation barrier in L\textsubscript{NN} complexes. The higher electronic saturation of the metal with amide group (from L\textsubscript{NN} ligand) in comparison to aryl group (from L\textsubscript{CN} ligand) decrease the Lewis acidity of the metal and consequently weaken the coordination of the dimethylamine functionality as could be demonstrated with lower $\Delta H^\ddagger$ values in L\textsubscript{NN} when compared to L\textsubscript{CN} ($\Delta H^\ddagger = 77.4 \pm 1.9 \text{ kJ}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$ for Me\textsubscript{5}ZrL\textsubscript{CN} and $\Delta H^\ddagger = 66.9 \pm 2.6 \text{ kJ}\text{\roman{\textperiodcentered}mol}^{-1}$ for Me\textsubscript{5}HfL\textsubscript{CN}).\textsuperscript{23} Furthermore, the formation of six-membered metal-amido-amino ring in L\textsubscript{NN} complexes (only 5-membered ring is constituted in L\textsubscript{CN} complexes) pushes the bulky aryl group away from the metal centre, which also facilitates its rotation leading to faster conformational exchange.

Infrared spectra of 8 and 9 complexes displayed similar absorption bands as previously described for compounds 4 and 5. Furthermore, stretching C-N vibrations were found for 8 and 9 in the range 1281-1246 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

Reactivity of L\textsubscript{CN} complexes towards lithium amides
Based on a successful Tinkertoy story by Lang, who prepared multiheterometallic complexes, we followed a reverse approach performing thus reactions leading to the Group 4 complexes containing four different ligands, which could be interesting from the point of view of mutual comparison of coordination abilities of selected donors and last but not least suggested formation of a stereogenic centre. Recently we reported the synthesis of Group 4 metal complexes containing a pentamethyl- or tetramethyl-substituted cyclopentadienyl ring and C,N-chelating ligand L$^{\text{CN}}$. In order to prepare new compounds containing four different ligands in coordination sphere of Group 4 metals we have tested the reaction of zirconium and hafnium complexes of this type with lithium salts of 1 and 2. Titanium containing complexes were omitted due to lower stability of the corresponding amido compounds.

$(\eta^5$-C$_5$Me$_4$R)L$^{\text{CN}}$MCl$_2$ (M = Zr, Hf; R = Me, H) complexes react under mild conditions with 1 to eliminate LiCl together with L$^{\text{CN}}$ ligand and generate the dimeric products $\left[ \eta^5$-C$_5$Me$_4$H$\right]\{\mu$-NC$_6$H$_3$-2,6-$^3$Pr$_2\}MCl$_2$ (M = Zr (11), Hf (13)) and $\left[ \eta^5$-C$_5$Me$_5$H$\right]\{\mu$-NC$_6$H$_3$-2,6-$^3$Pr$_2\}MCl$_2$ (M = Zr (12), Hf (14)) (Scheme 6). The likely intermediate containing bonded L$^N$ ligand is arising after transmetalation reaction and elimination of LiCl. In the next step the presence of amino group with reactive hydrogen atom in the neighbourhood of L$^{\text{CN}}$ ligand leads to the $\alpha$-hydrogen abstraction and elimination of L$^{\text{CN}}$H molecule. Finally the dimeric structure is formed. The driving force for the dimer formation is in this case the increase of electron density at the electron deficient central metal atom. Solid state structures of complexes 11 - 14 were determined by X-ray crystallography and the molecular structures were confirmed by NMR spectroscopy also in solutions. Both dimers have similar molecular structure as complex 10 obtained earlier as a minor side product as depicted in Scheme 4. Hafnium complex 14 was previously prepared by Tilley et al. by thermolysis of hafnium silyl complex Cp$^*$Hf[Si(SiMe$_3$)$_3$]L$^N$Cl.
Scheme 6. Preparation of compounds 11-14.

$^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of solutions of complexes 11, 12, 13, and previously published 14 differed from the above mentioned amide complexes 4 and 5. Apart from the missing NH signal, the most significant feature is the presence of two sets of doublets (centred at: 1.22 and 1.47 ppm in 12; 1.31 and 1.39 ppm in 11, 1.29, 1.51 ppm in 14, 8 1.26 and 1.42 ppm in 13) for non-equivalent methyl groups of iso-propyl moiety. The spectral pattern is consistent with bridging imido moieties between two metal atoms, showing that the solid state structure (found by X-ray) is retained in the solution. Upfield shift of C$_5$Me$_5$ signal in $^1$H NMR spectra of imido complexes ($\delta_{\text{H C}_5\text{Me}_5}$: 1.76 ppm in 12; 1.83 ppm in 14) in comparison to amide complexes 4 ($\delta_{\text{H C}_5\text{Me}_5}$: 1.88 ppm) and 5 ($\delta_{\text{H C}_5\text{Me}_5}$: 1.92 ppm) reflects higher electron saturation of the metal centre with two bridging imido ligands in comparison to chloride ligand.

Infrared spectra of these dimers 11-13 display aromatic C-H stretching vibration 3052 cm$^{-1}$ and strong absorption for C-H out of plane deformation bands at 750 cm$^{-1}$ typical for ortho-substituted benzene ligand. The asymmetric stretching vibration of methyl groups in Cp* and Cp' ligands were observed at 2981-2869 cm$^{-1}$ and the strong absorption band belonging to stretching C-N vibration was found at 1169 cm$^{-1}$. 
The results from the study of reactivity of $L^{CN}$ complexes with compound 1 encouraged us to perform the same reactions with compound 2, too. We expected the formation of dimeric compounds with a bridging imide derived from the $L^{NN}$ ligand. Moreover, the formation of the by-product 10 in previously mentioned reaction proved that such complexes can be obtainable.

The expectations were fulfilled only partially, results show differences in the behaviour of zirconium and hafnium derivatives, with a significant role of substitution on the cyclopentadienyl ring having an influence on the structure of the products. Zirconium complexes containing permethylated and tetramethylated cyclopentadienyl ring ($\eta^5$-C$_5$Me$_4$R)L$^{CN}$ZrCl$_2$ (R = H, Me) were reacted with 2 under the same conditions as half-sandwich zirconium trichlorides (Scheme 7 and 8). The main products of the reactions were isolated as orange crystals suitable for X-ray analysis. Reaction mixtures as well as isolated crystals were studied by NMR and IR spectroscopy. In both cases, elimination of LiCl as a white precipitate and the liberated ligand (L$^{CN}$H) was observed by NMR spectroscopy. The products are dimeric zirconium $\mu$-imido complexes containing besides the bridging ligands two substituted cyclopentadienyl rings and two chlorine atoms [(R$^{5}$-C$_5$Me$_4$H){[µ–NC$_6$H$_4$-2-(CH$_2$NMe$_2$)-µ$_5$N,N]ZrCl}]$_2$ (15) and [(R$^{5}$-C$_5$Me$_5$){[µ–NC$_6$H$_4$-2-(CH$_2$NMe$_2$)]ZrCl}]$_2$ (16).

While the tetramethylcyclopentadienyl-containing counterpart 15 shows a structure where pendant (dimethylamino)methyl arms are coordinated to the metal centres, the same N-donor groups in its Cp*-containing dimer 16 are uncoordinated to zirconium atoms.
Scheme 7. Synthesis of compound 15.

NMR spectra of dimer 15 are consistent with the structure confirmed by X-ray diffraction (vide infra), which gives rise to one set of signals (syn-isomer) due to the C$_5$Me$_4$H and imide ligands. Coordinated pendant amine arms are likely undergoing dynamic conformational changes as evidenced by broad singlet signals for NMe$_2$ groups. On the contrary, compound 16 was likely obtained as a mixture of syn-/anti-isomers from which only the crystals of the anti-isomer were analysed by X-ray diffraction (vide infra). NMR spectroscopy of the bulk crystalline product, however, reveals two sets of signals corresponding to both isomers. Both of them have the same spectral pattern with doublet signals due to diastereotopic methylene hydrogens of the L$_{NN}$ ligand, however, in comparison to 15 the difference in chemical shifts between these two signals is smaller and, furthermore, signals of NMe$_2$ groups appear as sharp singlets. This all suggests that the (dimethylamino)methyl arms in both isomers of 16 remain uncoordinated in solution as well as in the solid state, which is probably caused by an increased steric bulkiness of the C$_5$Me$_5$ ligand.

Infrared spectra of complexes 15 and 16 displayed similar absorption bands as previously described for compounds 8 and 9 except the N-H stretching vibration which is missing.

Scheme 8. Synthesis of compound 16.
In line with generally lower reactivity of Hf complexes (η^5-C₅Me₄R)L^NNHfCl (R = H (17), Me (18)) were obtained from the reactions of complexes (η^5-C₅Me₄R)L^CNHfCl₂ (R = Me, H) with 2 (Scheme 9). Both compounds were formed by a substitution of one chloride ligand with the corresponding L^NN amide, while the L^CN ligand remained unaffected. NMR spectra of both 17 and 18 showed the presence of both ligands as distinguishable sets of signals. The L^NN amides gave rise to a characteristic NH signal in ¹H spectra at δ 8.71 ppm for 17 or 8.46 ppm for 18, respectively. The presence of the NH group was confirmed by infrared spectra that show N-H stretching vibration at 3276 cm⁻¹ for 17 and 3235 cm⁻¹ for 18, respectively. The structure and stability of these monomeric hafnium complexes shows that the reaction pathway leading to formation of zirconium and hafnium dimers goes through such species. We can assume that the intermediate in the formation of dimeric complexes should be the same; however, in the case of zirconium being more prone to α-hydrogen abstraction that in the hafnium one.

Scheme 9. Preparation of compounds 17 and 18.

Solid state study

Structures of 3 - 5 are the only crystallographically determined structures in the series reported in this paper, where the combination of one substituted Cp and one 2,6-(diisopropyl)phenylanilide (L^N) is present in a mononuclear complex (for molecular structure of 5 see Figure 1, for molecular structures of 3 and 4 see Supporting Information). Structures
of 3 - 5 can be compared to the only example of such kind of compounds, containing both amide and Cp ligands - Cp*TiCl₂NH⁻⁻⁻⁻Bu¹⁴, from the point of view of the structural arrangement, but the direct comparison of bond lengths and angles is possible only with 3, because of covalent radii differences between Ti and Zr/Hf atoms (~0.15 Å). The ring centroid to the titanium atom distance is slightly (~0.01 Å) longer in Cp*TiCl₂NH⁻⁻⁻⁻Bu¹⁴ than in 3, but a shortening of similar magnitude is found for the rest of the distances in the titanium atom vicinity. Mutual comparison of 3 - 5 reveals close structures with an elongation of metal-ligand distances for higher congeners of titanium only. On the other hand, a parallel between 5 and Cp*Hf(Lᴺ)₃¹⁸ can be drawn, the Hf–Cg(Cp*) and all Hf–N are slightly elongated by ca. 0.06 Å in Cp*Hf(Lᴺ)₃. The same trend can be observed in the case of angles Cg–Hf–N and C–N–Hf which are in Cp*Hf(Lᴺ)₃ more opened by ca. 5 and 20°, respectively, probably because of high steric repulsion of three anilide ligands.

![Figure 1. Molecular structure of 5 (ORTEP view, 30% probability level). Hydrogen atoms are omitted (except of H1) for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Hf1–N1 2.003(4), Hf1–Cl1 2.3699(17), Hf1–Cl2 2.3680(16), Hf1–Cg1 2.144(3); Cg1–Hf1–Cl1 113.2(4), Cg1–Hf1–Cl2 115.9(3), Cg1–Hf1–N1 111.5(3), C1–N1–Hf1 121.4(3).](image)

In the series where the combination of one substituted Cp and one potentially chelating ligand 2-(dimethylaminomethyl) anilide (Lᴺᴺ) are present, two rather different structures were determined by X-ray diffraction techniques. The first one containing
titanium 7 (Figure 2) is very close to the classical piano stool structure of Cp*TiCl₂NH⁻Bu⁻¹⁴a with only Ti–N bond slightly elongated due to the presence of N–H...N intramolecular connection. N₃ atom of the flexible, potentially chelating arm is out of the primary coordination sphere of the titanium atom as well as from the parent phenyl ring (55.5(4)°).

![Figure 2. Molecular structure of 7 (ORTEP view, 50% probability level). Hydrogen atoms (except of H1) and the second independent molecule are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°] (appropriate parameters for the second independent molecule are given in parenthesis): Ti1–N1 1.906(3) (1.912(2)), Ti1–N3 4.691(3) (4.637(3)), Ti1–N1 2.2775(10) (2.2783(10)), Ti1–Cl1 2.2648(10) (2.2601(10)), Ti–Cg 2.041(3) (2.028(3)), N1–H1⋅⋅⋅N3 2.978(4) (2.922(3)); Cg–Ti1–Cl1 118.1(3) (117.4(3)), Cg–Ti1–Cl2 116.7(4) (115.5(3)), N1–H1–N3 2.978(4) (2.922(3)); Cg–Ti1–Cl1 118.1(3) (117.4(3)), Cg–Ti1–Cl2 116.7(4) (115.5(3)), Cg–Ti1–N1 110.1(3) (110.7(3)), C1–N1–Ti1 130.7(2) (130.0(2)), C3–C2–C7–N3 55.5(4).

In the structure of the second representative 8 (Figure 3, structures of two geometrically similar solvato-polymorphs of 8 (8 and 8') were determined), this arm is closely interacting with the zirconium center forming nearly perfect trigonal bipyramid. One can estimate, this particular interaction is stronger than the suggested hydrogen bond between two nitrogen atoms found in 7. The axial positions are occupied by the coordinated nitrogen atom N2 and the centroid of the Cp* ring, while the Zr1–N2 distance of 2.513(3)Å is in the range of the medium strong interactions of these atoms taking into the account the
sum of covalent and van der Waals radii of both atoms. Similar distances were also found for interactions of the same type of atoms in complexes of Cp-substituted zirconium complexes bearing related C,N-chelating ligand where the coordination polyhedra of the zirconium atom is being tetragonal pyramid. The equatorial plane is a bit distorted towards the N2 atom, when a plane is defined by two chlorine and nitrogen N1 atoms, the Zr1 atom is 0.509Å above this plane which is nearly parallel to the plane of Cp* ring.

**Figure 3.** Molecular structure of 8 toluene (ORTEP view, 40% probability level). Hydrogen atoms (except of H1) and toluene solvent molecule are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [*]: Zr1–N1 2.093(3), Zr1–N2 2.513(3), Zr1–Cl1 2.4310(10), Zr1–Cl2 2.4511(10), Zr1–Cg1 2.245(4); Cg1–Zr1–Cl1 104.5(3), Cg1–Zr1–Cl2 103.1(2), Cg1–Zr1–N1 100.9(3), Cg1–Zr1–N2 175.2(3), C1–N1–Zr1 140.8(3), C3–C2–C7–41.8(3).

Structure of 6 (Figure 4), as a minor byproduct isolated from preparation of 4 is closely related to the reported structures of Cp*Zr(NH-t-Bu)_3 and Cp*Hf(L^N)_3, respectively. High steric repulsion of three L^N substituted ligands in comparison to ligands with t-Bu substituents in Cp*Zr(NH-t-Bu)_3 is obviously a reason for different arrangement of ligands in all these three compounds (a syn for t-Bu and an alternate orientation of amido ligands in L^N substituted complexes). In a comparison of relevant geometrical parameters of 6, to
appropriate ones of 4 and 8, slightly longer distances in the primary coordination sphere of zirconium atom were observed.

![Molecular structure](image)

**Figure 4.** Molecular structure of 6 (ORTEP view, 30% probability level). Hydrogen atoms are omitted (except of H1, H2 and H3) for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Zr1–N1 2.0740(16), Zr1–N2 2.0642(16), Zr1–N3 2.0760(15), Zr1–Cg1 2.255(4); Cg1–Zr1–N1 111.45(3), Cg1–Zr1–N2 115.18(3), Cg1–Zr1 115.30(3).

Seven structures of dimers (dinuclear complexes) were determined. All four combinations of Zr, Hf, Cp' and Cp* 2,6-(diisopropyl)phenyl-substituted imido ligand complexes 11 - 14 are from a structural point of view closely related, having central binuclear character, bridged by two imides, with nearly square planar M2N2 ring structures and M-N bonds being only slightly unequal. The shape of the metals’ coordination polyhedra is pseudotetrahedral. The parallel orientation of the same type of ligand rings, both Cp and phenyls, and metal-chlorine atom bonds with an anti-orientation with respect to the plane or the central M2N2 motif, is the general phenomenon observed in all these structures. In zirconium 11 (Figure 5) and 12 (Figure 6) as well as in hafnium 13 (Figure 7) and 14 (for molecular structure see Supporting Information Figure S3) compounds, the interatomic distances are slightly longer for Cp* substituted complex probably due to higher sterical
hindrance and electron density of this substituent. The metal-metal distances being around 3.05 Å in all complexes.

**Figure 5.** Molecular structure of 11 (ORTEP view, 50% probability level, Symmetry code: (a) −x, −y+1, −z+1). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles ['°]: Zr1–N1 2.1530(16), Zr1–N1a 2.0277(16), Zr1–Cl1 2.4153(5), Zr1–Cg1 2.219(3); Zr1–Zr1a 3.064(4); Cg1–Zr1–Cl1 108.18(3), Cg1–Zr1–N1 122.69(3), Cg1–Zr1–N1a 124.05(2), Cg–Zr1–Zr1a 138.59(3), N1–Zr1–N1a 85.78(6), N1–Zr1–Cl1 106.25(4), N1a–Zr1–Cl1 107.22(5), Zr1–N1–Zr1a 94.22(6), C10–N1–Zr1 111.79(11), C10–N1–Zr1a 153.06(13).

**Figure 6.** Molecular structure of 12 (ORTEP view, 30% probability level, Symmetry code: (a) −x+1/2, −y+1/2, −z+1). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic
distances [Å] and angles [°]: Zr1–N1 2.086(4), Zr1–N1a 2.106(4), Zr1–Cl1 2.4280(14), Zr1–Cg1 2.234(3); Zr1–Zr1a 3.092(4); Cg1–Zr1–Cl1 106.42(3), Cg1–Zr1–N1 122.69(2), Cg1–Zr1–N1a 123.79(3), Cg–Zr1–Zr1a 138.00(3), N1–Zr1–N1a 84.96(14), N1–Zr1–Cl1 109.17(11), N1a–Zr1–107.94(11), Zr1–N1–Zr1a 95.05(14), C11–N1–Zr1 135.5(3), C11–N1–Zr1a 129.5(3).

Figure 7. Molecular structure 13 (ORTEP view, 50% probability level, Symmetry code: (a) \(-x+1, -y, -z+1\)). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Hf1–N1 2.026(3), Hf1–N1a 2.115(3), Hf1–Cl1 2.3918(9), Hf1–Cg1 2.193(4), Hf1–Hf1a 3.0373(3), N1–Hf1–N1a 85.65(11), N1–Hf1–Cl1 107.14(8), N1a–Hf1–Cl1 105.14(8), Cl1–Hf1–Cg1 108.64(9), N1–Hf1–Cg1 125.23(8), N1a–Hf1–Cg1 122.00(9), N1–Hf1–Hf1a 43.97(8), N1a–Hf1–Hf1a 41.68(8), C16–Hf1–Hf1a 164.22(9).

The structure of the analogue of 11 - 13, compound 14, has been determined earlier by Tilley\(^8\), but the dataset quality did not allowed an anisotropic refinement of lighter atoms. We re-determined the structure in order to have the exact comparison in hands. Again, the hafnium complexes 13 and 14 have slightly shorter metal to other element distances than the zirconium compounds 11 and 12 because of different covalent radii of both atoms while the values of relevant interatomic angles for 11 - 14 are comparable.

Another three structures of complexes 10, 15 and 16 bearing the potentially \(N,N\)-chelating ligand were determined. Mutual discrepancy in Hf–N bond lengths within the Hf\(_2\)N\(_2\) core of 10 (Figure 8) is a bit larger than in previous cases of 11 - 14, probably because
of lower bulkiness of the imido ligand but the rest of the parameters is quite similar. In this respect, the \( \text{Cp}^* \) zirconium complex \( 16 \) (Figure 9) reveals close structures to \( 11 - 14 \) and \( 10 \) with the same arrangement and mutual orientation of the ligands. On the other hand, the zirconium-zirconium distance in \( 16 \) is elongated by \( \sim 0.06 \, \text{Å} \) in comparison to the rest of discussed dinuclear complexes. In this respect, the structure of complex \( 15 \) (Figure 10), bearing the chelating \( \text{L}^{NN} \) and \( \text{Cp}' \) ligands is also dinuclear but the orientation of \( \text{Cp} \) rings and chloro ligands is mutually syn. The aromatic rings are perpendicularly oriented with pendant amino groups being as strongly coordinated to the zirconium atoms as in the case of \( 4 \). The \( \text{Zr}–\text{Cl} \) and \( \text{Zr}–\text{Cg}1 \), and more significantly in the \( \text{Zr}1–\text{Zr}1a \) case, these distances are longer than in the rest of dinuclear cases, because of an increase of electron density on the zirconium atoms, and also by the change of the pseudotetrahedral metal neighborhood to the square pyramidal one.

![Figure 8. Molecular structure of 10 (ORTEP view, 50% probability level, Symmetry code: (a) \(-x, -y, -z+2\)). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Hf1–N1 2.012(3), Hf1–N1a 2.106(3), Hf1–Cl1 2.4090(8), Hf–N2 5.172(3), Hf1–Cg1 2.174(3); Cg1–Hf1–Cl1 111.74(3), Hf1–Hf1a 3.068(4), Cg1–Hf1–N1 117.55(3), Cg1–Hf1–N1a 123.55(3), Cg1–Hf1–N1a 123.55(3), Cg1–Hf1–Hf1a 133.06(3), N1–Hf1–N1a 83.71(11), N1–Hf1–Cl1 107.63(8), N1a–Hf1–Cl1 109.13(8), Hf1–N1–Hf1a 96.29(11), C1–N1–Hf1 147.4(2), C1–N1–Hf1a 115.6(2), C3–C2–C7–N2 11.75(3).](image-url)
Figure 9. Molecular structure of 16 (ORTEP view, 50% probability level, Symmetry code: (a) \(-x, -y+1, -z+1\)). Hydrogen atoms are omitted (except of H1) for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Zr1–N1 2.0024(19), Zr1–N1a 2.1427(19), Zr1–Cl1 2.4471(6), Zr1–Zr1a 3.1045(5), Cg1–Zr1 2.200(6), N1–Zr1–N1a 83.06(8), N1–Zr1–Cl1 105.72(5), N1–Zr1–Cl1 112.97(5), N1–Zr1–Zr1a 43.25(5), N1a–Zr1–Zr1a 39.81(5), Cl1–Zr1–Zr1a 116.370(18), Cg–Zr1–Zr1a 131.90(11), Cg–Zr1–Cl1 111.02(12).
Figure 10. Molecular structure of 15 (ORTEP view, 50% probability level). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Zr1–N3 2.090(7), Zr1–N1 2.111(7), Zr1–Cl1 2.512(2), Zr1–N2 2.569(7), Zr1–Zr2 3.2352(12), Zr2–N1 2.060(7), Zr2–N3 2.123(7), Zr2–N4 2.447(7), Cg1–Zr1 2.297(5), Cg2–Zr2 2.259(5), N3–Zr1–N1 78.2(3), N3–Zr1–Cl1 88.7(2), N1–Zr1–Cl1 143.69(19), N3–Zr1–N2 120.9(3), N1–Zr1–N2 79.4(3), Cl1–Zr1–N2 78.74(17), Cg1–Zr1–Cl1 103.22(12), Cg2–Zr2–Cl2 106.92(11), Cg1–Zr1–Zr2 131.28(9), Cg2–Zr2–Zr1 134.58(13), C3–C2–C7–N2 62.21(13), C12–C11–C16–N4 19.25(13).

The last complex under structural investigation 17 is the compound containing four different ligands - Cp', \(N,N'\)-chelating (\(L^{NN}\)), \(C,N\)-chelating (\(L^{CN}\)) and chloro ones, accommodated in the coordination polyhedra of the central hafnium atom. Only the \(L^{CN}\) ligand is doubly coordinated/covalently bound to the metal with the metal to carbon and nitrogen distances within the range of sum of van der Waals and covalent radii, respectively. The amido function from the potentially \(N,N'\)-chelating ligand, both chelators from the \(C,N\)-chelating ligand as well as the chloro ligand are located within the basal plane of the deformed square pyramid, while the fifth substituent is the Cp' ligand on the top of it. All the distances are slightly longer than in both studied mono- and dinuclear complexes but comparable to the sterically hindered complex Cp*Hf(\(L^{N}\))\(_3\).18
Figure 11. Molecular structure of 17 (ORTEP view, 50% probability level). Hydrogen atoms are omitted (except of H2) for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Hf1–N2 2.055(4), Hf1–C1 2.276(5), Hf1–Cl1 2.4440(13), Hf1–N1 2.463(4), Cg1–Hf1 2.194(4), N2–Hf1–C1 90.01(17), N2–Hf1–Cl1 91.14(11), C1–Hf1–Cl1 133.62(12), N2–Hf1–N1 141.65(15), C1–Hf1–N1 70.87(15), C1–Hf1–N1 80.15(10), Cg1–Hf1–Cl1 115.19(12), Cg1–Hf1–N2 106.15(11), C3–C2–C7–N1 30.31(9), N2–H2...N3 2.960(5).

Experimental

General Experimental

All operations were carried out under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. $^1$H (300.0 MHz), $^{13}$C($^1$H) (75.4 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 spectrometer at 298 K, if not otherwise stated. Some $^1$H (500.13 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 500 spectrometer, equipped with a z-gradient 5 mm Prodigy™ cryoprobe. Chemical shifts ($\delta$/ppm) are given relative to solvent signals $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$: $\delta_H$ 7.15 ppm, $\delta_C$ 128.00 ppm; toluene-$d_8$: $\delta_H$(CH$_2$D signal) 2.08 ppm, $\delta_C$(CD$_3$ signal) 20.43 ppm; CDCl$_3$: $\delta_H$ 7.26 ppm, $\delta_C$ 77.16 ppm; CD$_2$Cl$_2$: $\delta_H$ 5.32 ppm, $\delta_C$ 53.84 ppm, $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Br}$: $\delta_H$ 7.30 ppm, $\delta_C$ 122.54 ppm. EI-MS spectra were measured on a VG-7070E mass spectrometer at 70 eV. IR spectra of samples in KBr pellets were measured in an air-protecting cuvette on a Nicolet Avatar FT IR spectrometer in the range 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$. KBr pellets were prepared in a glovebox Labmaster 130 (mBraun) under purified nitrogen. Elemental analyses were carried out on a FLASH EA1112 CHN/O Automatic Elemental Analyzer (Thermo Scientific).

Chemicals

The solvents THF, hexane, and toluene were dried by distillation from sodium/benzophenone and stored over sodium mirror. ($\eta^5$-$\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5$)MCl$_3$ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used directly as obtained. ($\eta^5$-$\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5$$^\text{R}$)$^\text{L}$CNMCl$_2$ (M = Zr, Hf; R = Me, H; $^\text{L}$CN = $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}$-2-(CH$_2$NMe$_2$)-µ$^2$-$\text{C}$,N) were synthesized according to a published procedure.$^{23}$ Lithium salts of 2,6-Diisopropylaniline Li[NHC$_6$H$_5$-2,6-(CHMe$_2$)$_2$] ($^\text{L}$Li and 2-[[dimethylamino)methyl] aniline Li[NHC$_6$H$_5$-2-(CH$_2$NMe$_2$)] ($^\text{NN}$Li) were obtained by published methods.$^{29}$
X-Ray Crystallography

The X-ray data obtained from crystals for all compounds were acquired at 150K using an Oxford Cryostream low-temperature device on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer with MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073Å), a graphite monochromator, and in the Φ and χ scan mode. Data reductions were performed with DENZO-SMN. The absorption was corrected by integration methods. Structures were solved by direct methods (Sir92) and refined by full matrix least-square based on F² (SHELXL97). Hydrogen atoms were mostly localized on a difference Fourier map, but in order to ensure uniformity of the treatment of the crystal, all hydrogen atoms were recalculated into idealized positions (riding model) and assigned temperature factors Hiso(H) = 1.2 Ueq (pivot atom) or of 1.5Ueq for the methyl moiety with C–H = 0.96, 0.97, 0.98 and 0.93Å for methyl, methylene, methine and hydrogen atoms in aromatic rings, or multiply bounded C–H groups respectively. Some of the hydrogen atoms attached to N atoms were located on the Fourier difference map while the other ones were added with bond length of 0.89Å. Structures of 3, 4, 5, 8' are disordered and the disorder was treated by standard procedures of SHELXL program splitting some atoms into two parts.

There are solvent accessible voids within the crystals of 8. The PLATON/SQUEZZE procedures were applied in order to correct these voids and the presence of one molecule of toluene has been evaluated within the unit cell.

Crystallographic data for structural analysis have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EY, UK (fax: +44-1223-336033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk). CCDC deposition numbers CCDC 1044550-1044564. CCDC 1044550 (3), CCDC 1044551 (4), CCDC 1044552 (5), CCDC 1044553 (7), CCDC 1044554 (8'), CCDC 1044555 (8), CCDC 1044556 (10), CCDC 1044557 (11), CCDC 1044558 (12), CCDC 1044559 (13), CCDC 1044560 (14), CCDC 1044561 (15), CCDC 1044562 (16), CCDC 1044563 (17), CCDC 1044564 (6) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Synthesis of compounds

*General procedure for the preparation of Li[NHC₆H₃-2,6-iPr₂] (1) and Li[NHC₆H₄-2-(CH₃NMe₂)] (2)*
The solution of 25 mmol of 2,6-diisopropylaniline (L^N_H) (4.4 g) or 2-
[(dimethylamino)methyl]aniline (L^{NN}_H) (3.8 g) in 50 ml of toluene was cooled to -40 °C and
27.5 mmol of 'BuLi (17.2 ml of 1.6 M solution in hexanes) was added using a steel capillary.
The precipitated white suspension was stirred 1 h, all liquid components were removed and
the white solid was several times washed with hexane and dried in vacuum. Yield: 4.3 g for
1 (94%) or 3.6 g for 2 (92%). The identity and purity of compounds 1 and 2 were identified by
^1H NMR spectroscopy.

L^N_Li (1)
^1H NMR (500.13 MHz, 295 K, THF-d_8) δ: 1.19 (d, ^3J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 12H, Me), 2.70 (br s, 1H, NH),
3.19 (br s, 2H, CH), 6.05 (br s, 1H, ArH), 6.68 (br s, 2H, ArH).

L^{NN}_Li (2)
^1H NMR (500.13 MHz, 295 K, C_6D_6) δ: 1.70 (s, 6H, Me_2), 2.73 (s, 1H, NH), 2.97 (br s, 2H, CH_2),
6.48 (t, ^3J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.60 (d, ^3J_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.79 (d, ^3J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 1H, ArH),
7.13 (t, ^3J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 1H, ArH).

General procedure for the synthesis of complexes 3 - 5
The suspension of 3.0 mmol of 1 (0.55 g) in 15 ml of toluene was cooled to -40 °C and
solution of 3.0 mmol of (η^5-C_5Me_5)MCl_3 (M = Ti (0.87 g), Zr (1.00 g), Hf (1.26 g)) in 15 ml of
toluene was added using a steel capillary. The mixture was stirred and refluxed for 6 h. Upon
concentration of toluene solution to 5 ml, filtration from white poweder of LiCl and cooling
down to -18 °C, crystalline suspensions were obtained. The microcrystals were several times
washed by hexane to remove organic impurities like 2,6-diisopropylaniline and recrystallized
from toluene.

(η^5-C_5Me_5){NHC_6H_3-2,6-Pr_2}TiCl_2 (3)
Yellow crystals. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, toluene-d_8) δ: 1.26 (d, ^3J_{HH} = 6.6 Hz, 12 H, CHMe_2), 2.07 (s,
15 H, C_5Me_5), 3.68 (septet, ^3J_{HH} = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, CHMe_2), 6.90 – 7.10 (m, 3 H, C_6H_3), 9.51 (br s, 1
H, NH). ^13C{^1H} NMR (toluene-d_8) δ: 13.3 (C_5Me_5), 24.0 (CHMe_2), 28.7 (CHMe_2), 124.2, 126.4
(2× C_6H_3 CH), 133.8 (C_5Me_5), 137.1 (C – CHMe_2), 140.3 (C - NH).
$\left(\eta^5\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5\right)\left(\text{NHC}_6\text{H}_3\text{-2,6-Pr}_2\right)\text{ZrCl}_2$ (4)

Light orange crystals, yield 0.8 g (56%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, toluene-$d_8$) $\delta$: 1.20 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, 12 H, CHMe$_2$), 1.88 (s, 15 H, C$_5$Me$_5$), 3.23 (septet, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, 2H, CHMe$_2$), 6.37 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.01 – 7.10 (m, 3H, C$_6$H$_3$). $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (toluene-$d_8$) $\delta$: 11.7 (C$_5$Me$_5$), 23.4 (CHMe$_2$), 29.7 (CHMe$_2$), 123.0 (C$_6$H$_3$), 124.8 (C$_5$Me$_5$), 138.6 (C – CHMe$_2$), 147.5 (C - NH). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3352 (w), 3061 (w), 2961 (vs), 2920 (s), 2869 (s), 1622 (m), 1460 (s), 1430 (s), 1380 (m), 1360 (m), 1322 (m), 1263 (m), 1176 (m), 1113 (m), 1094 (w), 1057 (m), 1044 (w), 930 (w), 887 (w), 836 (w), 799 (m), 750 (s), 687 (m), 634 (m), 609 (m), 590 (m), 531 (m), 470 (w), 444 (w). M.p. = 120 – 125 °C. Anal. Calc. for C$_{22}$H$_{33}$NCl$_2$Zr: C, 55.79; H, 7.02; N, 2.96. Found: C, 55.71; H, 6.98; N, 2.93.

$\left(\eta^5\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5\right)\left(\text{NHC}_6\text{H}_3\text{-2,6-Pr}_2\right)\text{HfCl}_2$ (5)

Pale yellow crystals, yield 1.1 g (65%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, toluene-$d_8$) $\delta$: 1.20 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, 12 H, CHMe$_2$), 1.92 (s, 15 H, C$_5$Me$_5$), 3.30 (septet, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, 2H, CHMe$_2$), 6.02 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.96 – 7.08 (m, 3H, C$_6$H$_3$). $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (toluene-$d_8$) $\delta$: 11.4 (C$_5$Me$_5$), 23.5 (CHMe$_2$), 123.0 (C$_5$Me$_5$), 123.2 (m – CH, C$_6$H$_3$), 125.6 (p – CH, C$_6$H$_3$), 142.7 (C - NH), 143.4 (C – CHMe$_2$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3355 (w), 3062 (w), 2962 (vs), 2922 (s), 2870 (s), 1622 (m), 1437 (s), 1460 (s), 1381 (s), 1360 (m), 1263 (m), 1220 (m), 1181 (m), 1113 (m), 1094 (w), 1057 (w), 1044 (w), 1027 (w), 957 (w), 929 (w), 886 (w), 841 (m), 799 (s), 750 (vs), 689 (w), 649 (w), 622 (m), 533 (w), 495 (w), 444 (w). M.p. = 142 – 144 °C. Anal. Calc. for C$_{22}$H$_{33}$NCl$_2$Hf: C, 47.11; H, 5.93; N, 2.50. Found: C, 47.05; H, 5.89; N, 2.44.

$\left(\eta^5\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5\right)\left(\text{NHC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-2-(CH}_2\text{NMe}_2\right)_3\text{Zr}$ (6)

Only several crystals of compound 6 were isolated as a side product during preparation of 4. For crystal structure of 6 see Figure 4. Similar structures are known for -NHR R = tBu; 2,4,6-Me$_3$C$_6$H$_2$ and 2,6-Pr$_2$C$_6$H$_3$ ligands.\textsuperscript{18}

General procedure for the synthesis of complexes 7 - 9

The suspension of 3.0 mmol of 2 (0.47 g) in 15 ml of toluene was cooled to -40 ° C and solution of 3.0 mmol of ($\eta^5\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5$)MCl$_3$ (M=Ti (0.87 g), Zr (1.00 g), Hf (1.26 g)) in 15 ml of toluene was added using a steel capillary. The mixture was stirred overnight. Upon
concentration of toluene solution to 5 ml, filtration from white powder of LiCl and cooling
down crystalline suspensions were obtained. Crystals were several times washed by hexane
to remove organic impurities and recrystallized from toluene.

\((\eta^5\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\{\text{NHC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-2-(CH}_2\text{NMe}_2\}\text{TiCl}_2\) (7)

Red crystals, yield 0.67 g (55%). \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 2.28 (s, 15 H, \text{C}_5\text{Me}_5), 2.35 (s, 6 H, \text{NMe}_2), 3.56 (s, 2 H, \text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2), 6.76 – 6.82, 6.93 – 6.97, 7.24 – 7.30, 7.77 – 7.80 (4 x m, 4 x 1H, CH, \text{C}_6\text{H}_4), 12.45 (br s, 1H, NH). \(^{13}\)C\(^{1}\)H) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\): 13.2 (C\(_5\text{Me}_5\)), 44.8 (N\text{Me}_2), 63.7 (\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2), 119.0 (C – \text{CH}_2, \text{C}_6\text{H}_4), 122.1, 123.6, 129.1 (3x CH, \text{C}_6\text{H}_4), 129.4 (C\(_5\text{Me}_5\)), 129.6 (CH, \text{C}_6\text{H}_4), 154.4 (C – N, \text{C}_6\text{H}_4). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2958 (m), 2912 (m), 2857 (m), 2822 (m), 2776 (m), 1589 (m), 1573 (w), 1553 (w), 1485 (s), 1467 (s), 1380 (m), 1316 (s), 1249 (s), 1229 (m), 1177 (w), 1153 (w), 1099 (m), 1022 (m), 962 (m), 936 (w), 885 (w), 864 (w), 845 (w), 796 (m), 759 (s), 721 (w), 661 (w), 621 (w), 537 (w), 520 (w), 472 (w), 450 (m). M.p. = 152 °C. Anal.
Calc. for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{28}\)N\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)Ti: C, 56.60; H, 7.00; N, 6.95. Found: C, 56.54; H, 6.95; N, 6.91.

\((\eta^5\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\{\text{NHC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-2-(CH}_2\text{NMe}_2\}\text{-µ}_2\text{-N,N} \text{ZrCl}_2\) (8)

Yellow crystals, yield 0.87 g (65%). \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, 238 K, toluene-\(d_8\)) \(\delta\): 1.91 (s, 15 H, \text{C}_5\text{Me}_5), 2.17 (s, 3 H, \text{NMe}_2), 2.51 (d, 1 H, \(^2\)J\(_{HH}\) = 13.3Hz, \text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2), 2.72 (s, 3 H, \text{NMe}_2), 5.00 (d, 1 H, \(^2\)J\(_{HH}\) = 13.3Hz, \text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2, 6.15 – 6.23 (m, 1H, CH, \text{C}_6\text{H}_4), 6.51 – 6.61 (m, 2H, CH, \text{C}_6\text{H}_4), 6.82 – 7.01 (m, 1H, CH, \text{C}_6\text{H}_4), 7.34 (br s, 1H, NH). \(^{13}\)C\(^{1}\)H) NMR (238 K, toluene-\(d_8\)) \(\delta\): 12.2 (C\(_5\text{Me}_5\)), 46.5, 51.3 (2x \text{NMe}_2), 64.0 (\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2), 116.7, 119.4, 123.8, 129.4, 130.5 (CH and C – \text{CH}_2 and \text{C}_6\text{H}_4), 123.4 (C\(_5\text{Me}_5\)), 150.6 (\text{C}_6\text{H}_4, \text{C} – \text{N}). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3376 (m), 3023 (m), 2985 (m), 2908 (s), 2866 (m), 1936 (w), 1897 (w), 1859 (w), 1824 (w), 1786 (w), 1598 (s), 1585 (s), 1495 (s), 1476 (m), 1455 (s), 1428 (s), 1403 (m), 1379 (s), 1358 (m), 1313 (s), 1281 (s), 1246 (s), 1192 (m), 1175 (w), 1158 (w), 1145 (w), 1108 (m), 1067 (w), 1048 (m), 1020 (m), 993 (m), 965 (w), 931 (w), 892 (m), 854 (w), 838 (m), 798 (m), 755 (s), 721 (m), 696 (w), 640 (m), 614 (m), 544 (m), 488 (w), 452 (w), 410 (w). M.p. = 150 °C. Anal. Calc. for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{28}\)N\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)Zr: C, 51.10; H, 6.32; N, 6.27. Found: C, 51.01; H, 6.22; N, 6.21.

\((\eta^5\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\{\text{NHC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-2-(CH}_2\text{NMe}_2\}\text{-µ}_2\text{-N,N} \text{HfCl}_2\) (9)
Yellow crystals, yield 1.09 g (68%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, 228 K, toluene-d$_8$) $\delta$: 1.95 (s, 15 H, $C_5Me_5$), 2.15, 2.66 (2 x s, 3 H, NMe$_2$), 2.47, 4.93 (2 x d, 1 H, $^3J_{HH} = 13.3$ Hz, $CH_2$NMe$_2$), 6.26 – 6.38 (m, 1 H, CH, $C_6$H$_4$), 6.45 (br s, 1 H, NH), 6.58 – 6.72 (m, 2 H, CH, $C_6$H$_4$), 7.04 – 7.14 (m, 1 H, CH, $C_6$H$_4$). $^{13}$C{$_^1$H} NMR (228 K, toluene-d$_8$) $\delta$: 12.3 (C$^5$Me$_5$), 46.7, 51.4 (2 x NMe$_2$), 63.8 (C$H_2$NMe$_2$), 117.8, 119.1, 121.2, 123.5, 129.9 (CH and C – CH$_2$ and $C_6$H$_4$), signal $C_5$Me$_5$ is overlapped by solvent signal, 150.4 ($C_6$H$_4$, C-N). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3270 (w), 2858 (s), 2816 (m), 2773 (m), 1600 (s), 1486 (s), 1458 (s), 1427 (m), 1401 (w), 1378 (m), 1363 (m), 1358 (s), 1272 (s), 1253 (s), 1179 (w), 1148 (w), 1108 (m), 1044 (w), 1018 (m), 985 (w), 927 (w), 891 (m), 832 (w), 800 (m), 752 (s), 730 (m), 695 (m), 640 (w), 608 (w), 591 (w), 546 (w), 488 (w), 466 (w). M.p. = 150 °C. Anal. Calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{28}$N$_2$Cl$_2$Hf: C, 42.75; H, 5.29; N, 5.25. Found: C, 42.71; H, 5.22; N, 5.19.

[[($\eta^5$-C$_5$Me$_5$){$\mu$-NC$_6$H$_4$-2-(CH$_2$NMe$_2$)}]HfCl$_2$] (10)

Only several crystals of compound 10 were isolated as a side product during preparation of 9. No other analyses could have been performed due to low amount and decomposition of the sample during X-ray analysis. For crystal structure of 10 see Figure 8.

**General procedure for the synthesis of complexes 11 - 14**

The suspension of 3.0 mmol of 1 (0.55 g) in 15 ml of toluene was cooled to -40° C and a solution of 3.0 mmol of ($\eta^5$-C$_5$Me$_4$R)L$^CN$MCl$_2$ (R=H, M=Zr (1.25 g), R=Me, M=Zr (1.30 g), R=H, M=Hf (1.51 g), R=Me, M=Hf (1.56 g)) in 15 ml of toluene was added using a steel capillary. When warmed to room temperature colour change was observed from red colour to light brown. The mixture was stirred and refluxed for 4 h. Upon concentration of toluene solution to 5 ml, filtration from white powder of LiCl and cooling down crystalline suspensions were obtained. Solid material was isolated, several times washed by hexane, and dried under vacuum. Coloured crystals were obtained after recrystallization from toluene.

[[($\eta^5$-C$_5$Me$_4$H){$\mu$-NC$_6$H$_3$-2,6-$^2$Pr$_2$}]ZrCl$_2$] (11)

Light orange crystals, yield 1.95 g (77%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) $\delta$: 1.31, 1.39 (2 x d, $^3J_{HH} = 6.5$ Hz, 12 H, CHMe$_2$), 1.57, 2.13 (2 x s, 12H, C$_5$Me$_4$), 4.24 (septet, $^3J_{HH} = 6.7$ Hz, 4 H, CHMe$_2$), 5.72 (s, 2H, HCHMe$_4$), 7.02 – 7.13 (m, 6 H, $C_6$H$_3$). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) $\delta$: 10.9, 13.1 (2 x C$_5$Me$_4$),
25.8, 28.1 (2× CHMe₂), 28.5 (CHMe₂), 113.5 (CH, C₃Me₄H), 124.3 (CH, C₆H₃), 125.4, 125.4 (2× Cipso, C₃Me₄H), 144.1 (C – CHMe₂, C₆H₃), 145.5 (C – N, C₆H₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3052 (m), 2973 (s), 2938 (s), 2915 (s), 2869 (m), 2729 (w), 1914 (w), 1623 (w), 1585 (w), 1505 (w), 1495 (m), 1412 (s), 1359 (m), 1384 (m), 1317 (m), 1238 (m), 1144 (m), 1169 (vs), 1109 (s), 1097 (m), 1042 (w), 985 (w), 926 (w), 887 (s), 863 (s), 818 (s), 797 (m), 750 (vs), 707 (m), 631 (w), 613 (w), 588 (w), 556 (s), 483 (s), 452 (w), 406 (m). M.p. = 243 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₄₂H₆₀N₂Cl₂Zr₂: C, 59.61; H, 7.15; N, 3.31. Found: C, 59.53; H, 7.08; N, 3.29.

[η⁵-C₅Me₅]{µ–NC₆H₃-2,6-iPr₂}ZrCl₂ (12)

Light orange crystals, yield 1.86 g (71%), ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, toluene-d₈) δ: 1.22, 1.47 (2× d, ³JHH = 6.4 Hz, 12 H, CHMe₂), 1.76 (s, 30H, C₅Me₅), 4.25 (septet, ³JHH = 6.4 Hz, 4 H, CHMe₂), 6.94 (t, ³JHH = 7.5 Hz, 2H, C₆H₃), 7.06 (d, ³JHH = 7.5 Hz, 4H, C₆H₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (toluene-d₈) δ: 11.7 (C₅Me₅), 25.4, 28.5 (2× CHMe₂), 30.4 (CHMe₂), 124.0 (C₅Me₅), 124.7 (CH, C₆H₃), 141.6 (C – CHMe₂, C₆H₃), 148.2 (C – N, C₆H₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3052 (w), 2978 (s), 2953 (s), 2915 (m), 1588 (w), 1458 (m), 1413 (m), 1381 (m), 1360 (w), 1318 (w), 1294 (w), 1250 (w), 1228 (w), 1162 (s), 1143 (s), 1039 (w), 1025 (w), 926 (w), 885 (m), 854 (m), 797 (w), 751 (s), 705 (w), 624 (w), 611 (w), 599 (w), 556 (s), 482 (s), 414 (m). M.p. = 240 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₄₄H₆₄N₂Cl₂Zr₂: C, 60.44; H, 7.38; N, 3.20. Found: C, 60.38; H, 7.31; N, 3.16.

[η⁵-C₅Me₄H]{µ–NC₆H₃-2,6-iPr₂}HfCl₂ (13)

Yellow crystals, yield 2.50 g (82%), ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, toluene-d₈) δ: 1.26, 1.42 (2× d, ³JHH = 6.6 Hz, 12 H, CHMe₂), 2.04, 2.19 (2× s, 12H, C₅Me₄), 4.35 (septet, ³JHH = 6.6 Hz, 4 H, CHMe₂), 5.72 (s, 2H, HC₅Me₄), 6.86 – 6.92 (m, 2H, C₆H₃), 7.07 – 7.11 (m, 4H, C₆H₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (toluene-d₈) δ: 10.9, 13.1 (2× C₅Me₄), 26.1(CHMe₂), 28.0 (CHMe₂), 29.0 (CHMe₂), 112.5 (CH, C₅Me₄H), 122.6, 122.9 (2× Cipso, C₃Me₄H), 124.2, 128.2 (2× CH, C₆H₃), 143.0 (C – CHMe₂), 146.0 (C – N, C₆H₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3053 (w), 2981 (m), 2968 (m), 2949 (m), 2938 (m), 2915 (m), 2869 (w), 1460 (w), 1414 (m), 1384 (w), 1359 (w), 1318 (w), 1296 (w), 1237 (w), 1169 (s), 1144 (w), 1108 (m), 1097 (w), 1037 (w), 887 (m), 867 (w), 821 (m), 798 (w), 751 (s), 708 (w), 612 (w), 568 (s), 496 (m), 452 (w), 407 (w). M.p. = 263 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₄₂H₆₀N₂Cl₂Hf₂: C, 49.42; H, 5.92; N, 2.74. Found: C, 49.32; H, 5.84; N, 2.71.
[(η⁵-C₅Me₅){µ–NC₆H₄-2,6-²Pr₂}HfCl]₂ (14)

Synthesized according to procedures published elsewhere. Yellow crystals, yield 2.23 g (71%).

**General procedure for the synthesis of complexes 15 and 16**

The suspension of 0.5 mmol of 2 (0.078 g) in 15 ml of toluene was cooled to -70°C and solution of 0.5 mmol of (η⁵-C₅Me₅R)L²CNZrCl₂ (R=H (0.21 g); R=Me (0.22 g)) in 15 ml of toluene was added using a steel capillary. The mixture was stirred and refluxed for 4 h. Upon concentration of toluene solution to 5 ml and filtration from a white powder of LiCl, all volatiles were removed under vacuum. Solid residue was dissolved in toluene and crystallized at -18°C. Coloured crystals were washed by small volumes of hexane and isolated.

[(η⁵-C₅Me₅H){µ–NC₆H₄-2-(CH₂NMe₂)²N,N}ZrCl]₂ (15)

Orange crystals; yield 0.18 g (45 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₅Br) δ: 1.48, 1.72, 2.15, 2.28 (4× s, 3 H, C₅Me₄), 2.32 (br s, 6 H, NMe₂), 3.12, 3.95 (2× d, ²JHH = 13.5 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 5.80 (s, 1 H, C₅Me₄H), 6.51–7.37 (m, 4 H, C₆H₄). ¹³C{¹H} NMR(C₆D₅Br) δ: 11.8, 13.0, 13.7, 14.6 (4× C₅Me₄), 50.8 (br s, NMe₂), 67.1 (C₆H₂), 115.3 (C₅Me₄H CH), 119.3 (C₆H₄ CH), 121.8, 124.1, 124.8 (3× C₅Me₄Cipso), 125.6, 128.3 (2× C₆H₄ CH), 155.0 (C₆H₄ C-N) ppm; signals due to C₆H₄ C-CH₂, C₅Me₄ Cipso (both around 122.6 ppm) and C₆H₄ CH (around 129.7 ppm) obscured by the solvent signals. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2909(m), 1591(m), 1561(w), 1471(s), 1446(m), 1370(w) 1263(s), 1232(vs) 983(w), 894(m), 798(w), 755(m), 587(s), 539(w), 444(w). M.p. = 240 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₃₆H₅₀Cl₂Zr₂N₄: C, 54.58; H, 6.35; N, 7.07. Found: C, 54.49; H, 6.29; N, 7.01.

[(η⁵-C₅Me₅){µ–NC₆H₄-2-(CH₂NMe₂)}²N,ZrCl]₂ (16)

Orange crystals; yield 0.21 g (51 %).

Tentatively assigned as 2 isomers (2:1).

**major isomer**, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, toluene-d₈): δ 1.75 (s, 15 H, C₅Me₅), 2.40 (s, 6 H, NMe₂), 3.47, 3.58 (2× d, ²JHH = 15.3 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 6.83-7.62 (m, C₆H₄ overlapping with the other isomer) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (toluene-d₈): δ 11.2 (C₅Me₅), 47.3 (NMe₂), 63.0 (CH₂), 121.6, 122.7 (2× C₆H₄ CH), 123.3 (C₅Me₅), 127.3, 130.3 (2× C₆H₄ CH), 130.5 (C₆H₄ C-CH₂), 150.2 (C₆H₄ C-N)
isomer, $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, toluene-$d_8$): $\delta$ 1.78 (s, 15 H, C$_5$Me$_3$), 2.45 (s, 6 H, NMe$_2$), 3.66, 3.77 (2× d, $^J$ = 15.5 Hz, 1 H, CH$_2$), 6.83-7.62 (m, C$_6$H$_4$ overlapping with the other isomer) ppm. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (toluene-$d_8$): $\delta$ 11.6 (C$_5$Me$_3$), 47.5 (NMe$_2$), 63.2 (CH$_2$), 120.2, 123.4 (2× C$_6$H$_4$ CH), 125.8 (C$_5$Me$_3$), 126.3, 131.2 (2× C$_6$H$_4$ CH), 132.5 (C$_6$H$_4$ C-CH$_2$), 148.1 (C$_6$H$_4$ C-N) ppm. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3054(w), 2975(m), 2941(m), 2907(s), 2858(m), 2816(m) 2771(m), 1616(m), 1600(m), 1572(w), 1486(s), 1458(s), 1363(m), 1272(s), 1254(m), 1177(w), 1148(w), 578(s), 546(w), 507(w), 484(m). M.p. = 280 °C. Anal. Calc. for C$_{38}$H$_{54}$Zr$_2$Cl$_2$N$_4$: C, 55.64; H, 6.64; N, 6.83. Found: C, 55.58; H, 6.59; N, 6.78.

Preparation of (η$^5$-C$_5$Me$_4$H)(NHC$_6$H$_4$-2-(CH$_2$NMe$_2$))(C$_6$H$_4$-2-(CH$_2$NMe$_2$)-µ$^2$-C,N)HfCl (17)

The suspension of 0.5 mmol of 2 (0.078 g) in 15 ml of toluene was cooled to -70° C and solution of 0.5 mmol of (η$^5$-C$_5$Me$_4$H)L$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.25 g) in 15 ml of toluene was added using a steel capillary. The mixture was stirred and refluxed for 4 h. Upon concentration of the toluene solution to 5 ml, filtration from a white powder of LiCl, all volatiles were removed under vacuum. Solid residue was dissolved in toluene and crystalized at -18° C. Colourless crystals were washed by small volumes of hexane and isolated. Yield was 0.18 g (58%).

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, toluene-$d_8$): $\delta$ 1.22 (s, 3 H, C$_5$Me$_4$ prox.), 2.09 (s, 6 H, NMe$_2$ of L$_{NN}$), 2.17 (s, 3 H, C$_5$Me$_4$ dist.), 2.26 (s, 3 H, C$_5$Me$_4$ prox.), 2.27 (s, 3 H, C$_5$Me$_4$ dist.), 2.37, 2.39 (2× br s, 3 H, NMe$_2$ of L$^{CN}$), 3.01 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 13.7 Hz, 1 H, CH$_2$ of L$^{CN}$), 3.30, 3.45 (2× d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 12.3 Hz, 1 H, CH$_2$ of L$^{NN}$), 3.77 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 13.7 Hz, 1 H, CH$_2$ of L$^{CN}$), 5.03 (s, 1 H, C$_5$Me$_4$H), 6.54-6.61 (m, 1 H, C$_6$H$_4$ of L$^{NN}$), 6.84-7.16 (m, 6 H, C$_6$H$_4$ of L$^{CN}$ and L$^{NN}$), 7.67-7.72 (m, 1 H, C$_6$H$_4$ of L$^{CN}$), 8.71 (NH) ppm. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (toluene-$d_8$): $\delta$ 11.2, 11.7 (2× C$_5$Me$_4$ dist.), 13.0, 14.8 (2× C$_5$Me$_4$ prox.), 44.8 (NMe$_2$ of L$^{NN}$), 47.6, 49.2 (NMe$_2$ of L$^{CN}$), 64.7 (CH$_2$ of L$^{NN}$), 70.3 (CH$_2$ of L$^{CN}$), 111.8 (C$_5$Me$_4$H CH), 117.8, 119.2 (2× C$_6$H$_4$ CH of L$^{NN}$), 120.1, 121.5, 121.7 (3× C$_5$Me$_4$ C$_{ipso}$), 123.0 (C$_6$H$_4$ C-CH$_2$ of L$^{NN}$), 123.6 (C$_5$Me$_4$ C$_{ipso}$), 123.8, 127.1, 127.3 (3× C$_6$H$_4$ CH of L$^{CN}$), 128.0, 130.8 (2× C$_6$H$_4$ CH of L$^{NN}$), 143.2 (C$_6$H$_4$ CH of L$^{CN}$), 146.9 (C$_6$H$_4$ C-CH$_2$ of L$^{CN}$), 153.3 (C$_6$H$_4$ C-N of L$^{NN}$), 196.5 (C$_6$H$_4$ C-Hf of L$^{CN}$) ppm. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3276(w), 3043(w), 2914(m), 2855(m), 2816(m) 2773(m), 1616(m) 1600(m), 1572(w), 1486(s), 1458(s), 1363(m), 1272(s), 1254(m), 1177(w), 1148(w), 1099(m), 1043(m), 1018(s), 989(w), 883(m) 839(m), 790(m), 748(vs), 724(m), 645(m), 31
614(m), 507(w), 430(w), M.p. = 260 °C, Anal. Calc. for C_{27}H_{38}ClHfN_3: C, 52.43; H, 6.19; N, 6.79. Found: C, 52.38; H, 6.09; N, 6.72.

**Preparation of (η^5-C_5Me_5){NHC_6H_4-2-(CH_2NMe_2)}{C_6H_4-2-(CH_2NMe_2)}-μ^2-C,N]HfCl (18)**

The suspension of 0.5 mmol of 2 (0.078 g) in 15 ml of toluene was cooled to -70° C and solution of 0.5 mmol of (η^5-C_5Me_5)L-CN HfCl (0.26 g) in 15 ml of toluene was added using a steel capillary. The mixture was stirred and refluxed for 4 h. Upon concentration of the toluene solution to 5 ml, filtration from a white powder of LiCl, all volatiles were removed under vacuum. Solid residue was dissolved in toluene and crystalized at -18° C. Only several yellow crystals of 10 were obtained, isolated and washed by small volumes of hexane. Hexane was added into toluene solution and formation of white precipitate was observed. The white powder was isolated and dried under vacuum. Yield was 0.20 g (63 %).

^1H NMR (300 MHz, toluene-d_8): δ 1.91 (s, 15 H, C_5Me_5), 2.06 (s, 6 H, NMe_2 of L^NN), 2.36, 2.37 (2× br s, 3 H, NMe_2 of L^CN), 2.96 (d, ^2JHH = 14.3 Hz, 1 H, CH_2 of L^CN), 3.26, 3.45 (2× d, ^2JHH = 12.2 Hz, 1 H, CH_2 of L^NN), 3.86 (d, ^2JHH = 14.3 Hz, 1 H, CH_2 of L^CN), 6.49-6.57 (m, 1 H, C_6H_4 CH of L^NN), 6.78-7.25 (m, 6 H, C_6H_4 of L^CN and L^NN), 7.73-7.78 (m, 1 H, C_6H_4 of L^CN), 8.46 (NH) ppm.

^13C({^1H}) NMR (toluene-d_8): δ 12.0 (C_5Me_5), 45.0 (NMe_2 of L^NN), 47.9, 48.1 (NMe_2 of L^CN), 64.5 (CH_2 of L^NN), 70.1 (CH_2 of L^CN), 117.7, 119.9 (C_6H_4 CH of L^NN), 120.9 (C_5Me_5), 123.4 (C_6H_4 C=CH_2 of L^NN), 123.7, 126.6, 126.7, 129.2, 130.8 (C_6H_4 CH), 142.9 (C_6H_4 CH of L^CN), 146.0 (C_6H_4 C=CH_2 of L^CN), 153.2 (C_6H_4 C-N of L^NN), 196.7 (C_6H_4 C-Hf of L^CN) ppm. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3235(w), 2912(vs), 2692(w), 1636(w), 1497(m), 1457(s) 1381(m), 1244(s), 983(w), 930(w), 751(vs), 545(m), 457(s). Anal. Calc. for C_{29}H_{40}ClHfN_3: C, 53.16; H, 6.37; N, 6.64. Found: C, 53.08; H, 6.32; N, 6.55.

**Conclusions**

Two series of mononuclear complexes with terminal Group 4 metal-amide bond(s), and dinuclear complexes with bridging fashion of an imide have been prepared and structurally characterized. All the described reactions were carried out in an equimolar ratio to maintain integrity of the studied reactions. Two different kinds of nitrogen ligands and two Cp ligands with different electronic and steric effects were employed in order to demonstrate variability of the Group 4 metal coordination spheres. The solid-state structural study proved
to be an essential tool for understanding of all ongoing processes. In summary, the amido complexes are monomeric, imido ones with \( L^N \) ligand dinuclear. Similar arrangement of the substituents is adopted in cases of hafnium complexes with potentially \( N,N' \)-chelating amido ligand (\( L^{NN} \)) and also the combination of zirconium complexes with \( L^{NN} \) and bulkier \( \text{Cp}^* \) ligands. The only exception is the dinuclear zirconium complex with \( L^{NN} \) and less bulky \( \text{Cp}' \) ligand, where the rare mutual arrangement of the same type of substituents is \( \text{syn} \) with respect to the central \( M_2N_2 \) plane. The results confirm anticipated differences in the chemical behavior and properties of Group 4 metals showing an increasing stability of amido- and imido- complexes from titanium to hafnium.

Supporting Information
Tables of selected crystallographic parameters for 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8', 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17. Figures of molecular structure and selected interatomic distances and angles for 3, 4, 14. Eyring plots for 8 and 9. An expanded region of \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR spectra of 8 in the temperature range from -35 °C to 55 °C.
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