

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

# **GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**



# Unzipped carbon nanotubes: Analytical and binding applications of semisynthetic phlebotropic flavonoid, diosmin

Nagappa L. Teradal<sup>a</sup>, J. Seetharamappa<sup>a\*</sup> and A. K. Satpati<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Karnatak University, Dharwad-580 003, India

<sup>b</sup>Analytical Chemistry Division, Bhaba Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai-400 085, India.

\*E-mail: jseetharam@yahoo.com, drjseetharamappa@kud.ac.in

Telephone No.: +91-836-2215286, Fax: +91-836-2747884

#### ABSTRACT

Present study describes the utility of unzipped carbon nanotubes as electrochemical sensing platform for the determination of diosmin (DIO) in pharmaceutical formulations and for the investigation of its binding to drug transport protein, human serum albumin (HSA). Graphene oxide nanoribbons (GONRs) were prepared by unzipping of multiwalled carbon nanotubes and characterized by employing powder XRD, FTIR, absorption, Raman, AFM, SEM and electrochemical impedance spectroscopic methods. The suspension of GONRs was drop casted on a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) and then was subjected to electrochemical reduction(Er) in the potential range of 0.8 to -1.6 V to obtain ErGONRs/GCE. DIO showed a redox peak ( $E_{pa}$  = 0.587 V and  $E_{pc} = 0.548$  V) and an irreversible oxidation peak at 0.845 V on ErGONRs/GCE in phosphate buffer of pH 3. DIO exhibited enhanced electrochemical response (~39-fold increment in the peak current) at ErGONRs/GCE when compared to that at bare GCE. Linearity between the peak current and concentration of DIO was noticed in the range of 51.01 nM - 39.21 µM and 25 nM - 3.48 µM for differential pulse and square wave voltammetric methods, respectively. The practical utility of the proposed sensor was established by determining DIO in pharmaceutical formulations. Further, the sensor was used for understanding the binding mechanism of DIO-HSA system. The binding constant and binding ratio between HSA and DIO were calculated to be  $2.58 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$  and 1:1, respectively.

**Keywords**: Graphene oxide nanoribbons, semisynthetic flavone, pharmaceutical formulations, binding characteristics

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

#### Introduction

Graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) have been recognized as promising building blocks for nanoelectronic and spintronic devices in the carbon family [1-6]. The interest in this material has grown exponentially after free-standing graphene layers were unexpectedly found and then follow-up experiments confirmed their massless Dirac fermion charge carrier abilities [7-9]. It is desirable to produce high-quality GNRs with well-defined widths and edge structures to explore their applications [10]. Several methods have been reported for the preparation of GNRs. In particular, the synthesis of GNRs by unzipping of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) has received much attention because it is possible to produce GNRs with controllable widths, edge structures and layer numbers. The methods of unzipping of CNTs include Ar plasma etching [11], sonochemical unzipping [12,13] oxidation cutting [14,15], metal catalytic cutting [16], intercalation and exfoliation[17]. Apart from these methods, a simple and most successful solution-based high vield protocol was adopted in recent years for the synthesis of GNRs [18] This protocol involves two steps viz.,(i) the oxidative unzipping of CNTs by the application of a strong oxidizing agent ( $KMnO_4$ ) to obtain graphene oxide nanoribbons (GONRs) and (ii) the reduction of GONRs by chemical [19] thermal [20] or electrochemical [21] treatment. Of these reduction approaches, reagent-free electrochemical method is increasingly employed due to its simplicity and eco-friendly nature [22]. In view of this, it was planned to prepare a new sensing interface based on electrochemically reduced GONRs for analytical and binding applications.

Diosmin (DIO), chemically known as [3',5,7-trihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavone-7-rutinoside], is a typical semi-synthetic phlebotropic flavonoid. It is found in a variety of citrus fruits. It inhibits chemically induced cancers in rodents including N-melhyl-N-amylnitrosamine-induced esophageal cancer, 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide-induced oral cancer and azoxymethane-induced colon cancer [23-27]. DIO is considered to be a vascular-protecting agent used to treat chronic venous insufficiency, hemorrhoids, lymphedema, varicose veins, anti-inflammatory, free-radical scavenging and antimutagenic properties [28-32].

Spectrophotometric [33,34], chromatographic [35-40] and electrochemical [41-43] methods have been reported for the assay of DIO in pharmaceutical formulations and biological samples. However, the reported chromatographic and spectrophotometric methods require long analysis time, elaborate extraction and purification steps or on-line sample extraction and are

relatively costly while the reported electrochemical methods have inherent limitations such as the use of mercury based electrode/gold electrode or less sensitive for the determination of DIO. So, it was thought worthwhile to develop a new electrochemical sensing interface for sensitive determination of DIO.

Interactions between small molecules including bioactive compounds and transport proteins have been widely investigated during last few years [44-49]. Serum albumin is one of the most abundant carrier proteins and plays an important role in the transport and disposition of endogenous and exogenous ligands present in the blood [50]. Thus, it is significant to study the interaction of bioactive compounds including drugs with proteins. The molecular interactions between human serum albumin (HSA) and some flavonoids have been investigated successfully [51-58]. Thus, it is reported that the serum albumin plays a decisive role in the transport and disposition of flavonoids [59]. A number of biochemical investigations have revealed that proteins (including enzymes) are frequently the "targets" for therapeutically active flavonoids of both natural and synthetic origin [60]. Till now, the use of GNRs based electrochemical sensor or no electrochemical method, was developed for the characterization of binding of DIO-HSA system. Hence, it was thought worthwhile to explore the application of the proposed electrochemical sensor to understand the binding of DIO with HSA.

The present study mainly describes the eco-friendly synthesis and characterization of clean and high-quality 2D GNRs with a length up to 4 µm for electrosensing applications. GNRs with predefined properties were easily and rapidly obtained by oxidative unzipping of MWCNTs. Further, it was followed by electrochemical reduction. These electrochemically reduced (Er) GONRs (ErGONRs) were utilized for the fabrication of electrochemical sensor for analytical applications of DIO. Further, application of the proposed electrochemical sensor was extended for exploring the binding characteristics of DIO with HSA.

#### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Reagents and solutions

DIO and HSA were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA and used without further purification. A stock solution of 1 mM DIO was prepared by dissolving it in 2 mL NaOH (0.3 M) and then

diluting to 10 mL with Millipore water. It was stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C. A stock solution of HSA (250  $\mu$ M) was prepared in 0.1 M phosphate buffer of pH 7.4 containing 0.15 M NaCl. Working solutions of DIO were prepared by appropriately diluting the stock solution with the selected supporting electrolyte. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade and used as received. Millipore water was used for preparing all solutions.

#### 2.2. Material characterization

X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded on a X-ray diffraction system (Bruker AXS D8) employing the Cu K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> line (1.5406 Å). FTIR and Raman studies were carried out on a NXR-FT-RAMAN, NICOLET, USA and NICOLET 6700, NICOLET, USA respectively. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were taken on a Multi-Mode Nanosurf EasyScan atomic force microscope (Nanosurf, Switzerland). Commercially available AFM cantilever tips (Tap190Al-G) with a force constant of 48 N m<sup>-1</sup> and a resonance vibration frequency of ~160 kHz were used. Surface morphologies of GONRs and ErGONRs were performed on a JEOL Model JSM - 6390LV scanning electron microscope (SEM).

#### 2.2. Apparatus

Electrochemical measurements were made on a CHI-1103A Electrochemical Analyzer (CH Instruments, Inc. USA) consisting of a GCE (3 mm diameter) as the working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. The experimental conditions maintained for differential pulse voltammetric method were: pulse amplitude 50 mV; pulse width 30 ms and scan rate 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup> while for square wave voltammetric method were: step potential 4.95 mV, amplitude 25.05 mV and scan rate 74.25 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

# 2.3. Preparation of graphene oxide nanoribbons (GONRs) and electro-reduced GONRs

Pristine GONRs were synthesized by following the reported method [15]. Briefly, 150 mg MWCNTs were suspended in 30 mL of conc.  $H_2SO_4$  for 12 h. 750 mg KMnO<sub>4</sub> (4.75 mM) was

added to above mixture and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Then, the reaction mixture was heated to 55-70 °C for 1 h. Further, the reaction mixture was quenched by pouring it in to ice-water containing a small amount of hydrogen peroxide. Finally, the solution was filtered off through polytetrafluoroethylene membrane filter paper and the separated solid was washed repeatedly with water and ethanol. The obtained solid was dried under IR lamp and it was designated as pristine GONRs. GONRs suspension was prepared in Millipore water by ultrasonication for about 1 h. Further, the film of GONRs was electrochemically reduced at GCE in the potential range of -1.7 to 0.6 V to obtain ErGONRs [18].

# 2.4. Fabrication of GONRs/GCE and ErGONRs/GCE

GONRs/GCE was fabricated by the deposition of 6  $\mu$ L GONRs dispersion on the surface of GCE and dried under IR lamp. It was then electrochemically reduced in phosphate buffer of pH 6 in the potential range of -1.7 to 0.6 V employing cyclic voltammetry (10 cycles) to produce ErGONRs/GCE (Supplementary information, Fig. S1).

# 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Characterization of GONRs and ErGONRs

#### XRD studies

The XRD patterns of MWCNTs and GONRs are shown in **Fig. 1A**. Pristine MWCNTs exhibited characteristic diffraction peaks at 25.408  $^{\circ}$  and 42.189  $^{\circ}$  corresponding to (002) plane (d-spacing of 0.350 nm) and (101) plane (d-spacing of 0.214) respectively [61]. Upon the oxidation of MWCNTs, the peak appeared at 10.06  $^{\circ}$  corresponding to the (002) plane with an inter-planar distance of 0.878 nm. The larger d-spacing for GONRs compared to that of MWCNTs was attributed to the presence of intercalated oxygen containing functional groups and the formation of layered GONRs.

#### FT-IR spectra

FT-IR spectra of GONRs and ErGONRs are shown in **Fig. 1B**. GONRs exhibited characteristic bands at 1715 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1030 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to C=O and C–OH stretching vibrations of the -COOH group respectively. The band at 1623 cm<sup>-1</sup> was assigned to the bending vibration of absorbed water molecules and to contributions of sp<sup>2</sup> characteristics whereas the band at 1214 cm<sup>-1</sup> was attributed to the presence of epoxy groups. The bands noticed at 3413 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1400 cm<sup>-1</sup> were due to the –OH stretching and deformation vibrations respectively. Upon electrochemical reduction of GONRs, the bands due to oxygen functionalities were observed to be almost disappeared indicating the restoration of sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized carbon network. This facilitates the electron transfer kinetics when used as an electrode material.

#### Absorption studies

Absorption spectra of GONRs and ErGONRs are shown in **Fig. 1C.** GONRs exhibited an absorption band at ~235 nm corresponding to  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  transition of C=C of GONRs network. Upon electrochemical reduction, the absorption band was shifted to ~278 nm indicating that the  $\pi$  conjugations were extended or the small aromatic domains were restored.

#### Raman spectra

Raman spectra were recorded to evaluate the ordered and/or disordered structures of prepared GONRs and ErGONRs. The Raman shifts of GONRs and ErGONRs are shown in **Fig. 1D**. Prepared nanoribbons (GONRs and ErGONRs) exhibited D and G bands in the range of 1330-1592 cm<sup>-1</sup>, similar to that reported for graphene derivatives. The D band is related to the vibrational mode of the *k*-point phonon of  $A_{1g}$  symmetry, whereas the G band is due to the  $E_{2g}$  phonon of the sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized carbon atoms [62]. Further, the D/G ratio was found to be 0.957 and 1.158 for GONRs and ErGONRs respectively. The D/G ratio was increased upon electrochemical reduction of GONRs (ie. the process of removal of intercalated oxygencontaining functional groups). This might be due to increase in the number of small aromatic

domains responsible for the D band, but not necessarily their overall size, which is responsible for the G band or increased edge defects of narrow width of nanoribbons [63].

#### SEM

The morphology and microstructures of prepared samples were investigated by FESEM. The micrographs of MWCNTs, GONRs and ErGONRs are shown in **Fig. 2A-F**. Carbon nanotubes were found to be agglomerated in pristine MWCNTs (**Fig. 2A** and **B**) with sizes ranging from 25 – 30 nm. Smooth and ribbon-like surface morphology was observed upon oxidative unzipping of MWCNTs (**Fig. 2C** and **D**). The width of such nanoribbons was found to be about 210 nm. This suggested that the MWCNTs were unzipped during the oxidation by KMnO<sub>4</sub>. Upon electrochemical reduction of GONRs, the surface morphology of nanoribbons became rougher and most of the edge planes were directed towards the surface (**Fig. 2E** and **F**). During electrochemical reduction process, first the carbonyl groups were reduced to form hydroxyl groups. The hydroxyl groups were further reduced by expulsion of oxygen in the form water molecules. Thus, the roughening of the substrate was due to the evolution of water molecules from the substrate. The evolved reduction products created various vacancies or defective sites that form rough surface upon electrochemical reduction of GONRs. These results revealed that the electron transfer proceeded at a faster rate at ErGONRs/GCE than that at GONRs/GCE.

## AFM

The structural features (surface roughness, length and width) of GONRs and ErGONRs were explored by AFM measurements. AFM, depth profile and 3D-AFM micrographs of GONRs and ErGONRs are shown in **Fig. 3A-F**. Upon oxidative unzipping, the length and width of MWCNTs were observed to be  $\sim 2 \mu m$  and  $\sim 225 nm$  respectively (**Fig. 3A-C**). This confirmed that the intercalation of oxygen functionalities into the CNTs network was successful. Upon electrochemical reduction of GONRs, the length and width was noticed to be  $\sim 100 nm$  and  $\sim 150 nm$  respectively (**Fig. 3D-F**). Upon electrochemical reduction, the width of GNRs was decreased by  $\sim 75 nm$ , suggesting the reduction of oxygen functionalities at the edges. Surface

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

roughness of ErGONRs (5.80  $\text{pm}^2$ ) was found to be more than that observed for GONRs (3.81  $\text{pm}^2$ ).

#### 3.2. Electrochemical characterization of GONRs/GCE and ErGONRs/GCE

Cyclic voltammetric and electrochemical impedance spectroscopic techniques were used to characterize the stepwise fabrication process of the proposed electrochemical sensor. Cyclic voltammetric response of 1 mM potassium ferricyanide (redox probe) in 1 M KCl at bare GCE (curve a), GONRs/GCE (curve b) and ErGONRs/GCE (curve c) are shown in Fig. 4A. The probe exhibited higher redox peak current (~ 47 times) at ErGONRs/GCE than that at GONRs/GCE. The lower redox response at GONRs/GCE was due to the electrostatic repulsion between oxygen-containing functional groups on GONRs network and ferricyanide anions. This repulsion restrained the diffusion of probe ions to the electrode surface. Moreover, lesser redox potential difference ( $\Delta E_p = 55 \text{ mV}$ ) was noticed at ErGONRs/GCE than that observed at GONRs/GCE  $(\Delta E_p = 63 \text{ mV})$  and bare GCE ( $\Delta E_p = 68 \text{ mV}$ ), indicating that the interface ErGONRs has outstanding charge transfer and catalytic performance. As expected, the response (increased I<sub>p</sub> and decreased  $\Delta E_p$ ) at ErGONRs/GCE was better than that at GONRs/GCE and bare GCE confirming the presence of several number of small aromatic domains/edge/basal planes in ErGONRs. The surface area of ErGONRs/GCE, GONRs/GCE and bare GCE was also calculated using Randles-Sevcik equation [64],  $I_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 n^{3/2} A C_0 D_R^{1/2} v^{1/2}$  where  $I_p$  refers to the peak current, n is the number of electron transferred, A is the surface area of the electrode,  $D_R$  is the diffusion coefficient,  $C_0$  is the concentration of  $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$  and v is the scan rate. For 1 mM K<sub>3</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>] in 0.1 M KCl electrolyte, n = 1 and  $D_R = 7.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cms}^{-1}$ . From the slope of the plot of  $I_p vs. v^{1/2}$ , the electrochemically active surface area of ErGONRs/GCE, GONRs/GCE and bare GCE was calculated to be 0.62, 0.35 and 0.05 cm<sup>2</sup> respectively. Hence, the ErGONRs/GCE was used as an electrochemical sensor.

In the impedance spectrum, the semicircle diameter corresponds to the charge transfer resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ). This resistance controls the charge transfer kinetics of the redox probe (potassium ferricyanide) at the electrode interface. The Nyquist plots obtained at bare GCE (curve a), GONRs/GCE (curve b) and ErGONRs/GCE (curve c) in 1M KCl solution containing 1mM K<sub>3</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>] are shown in **Fig. 4B**. The charge transfer resistance, ( $R_{ct}$ ) of ErGONRs/GCE

 $(R_{ct} = 3.15 \text{ K}\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$  was found to be less than that observed for GONRs/GCE ( $R_{ct} = 10.88 \text{ K}\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ) and bare GCE ( $R_{ct} = 26.08 \text{ K}\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ), suggesting that the ErGONRs interface facilitated heterogeneous charge transfer rate. The corresponding equivalent circuits are shown in the inset of **Fig. 4B**. Thus, the results from electrochemical impedance measurements supported the results from voltammetric measurements. The slope of the diffusive part of the Nyquist plot was almost similar in GONRs/GCE and in ErGONRs/GCE. This indicated that the mass transfer of analyte to the substrate was not affected due to the reduction of GONRs. The removal of functional groups on electrochemical reduction of GONRs has improved the electrical conductivity which has resulted in the transport of charge or electrons through the modified electrode substrate.

#### 3.3. Electrochemistry of DIO at ErGONRs/GCE

The proposed electrochemical sensor was utilized for investigating the detailed electrochemical characterization of DIO by employing cyclic voltammetric technique. Cyclic voltammograms of 10  $\mu$ M DIO at ErGONRs/GCE and bare GCE in phosphate buffer of pH 3 are shown in **Fig. 4C**. DIO exhibited an oxidation peak (peak a<sub>1</sub>) at 0.845 V in the forward scan. Upon reversing the scan direction no reduction peak was observed corresponding to peak a<sub>1</sub>. A new reduction peak (peak c<sub>2</sub>) was noticed at 0.548 V and upon repeated cycling (in second cycle) a corresponding oxidation peak was observed (peak a<sub>2</sub>) at 0.587 V. Based on Testa-Reinmuth notation the proposed electrode reaction was believed to follow EC<sub>irrev</sub>E mechanism. Further, no reduction wave and corresponding oxidation wave was noticed upon reversing first cycle forward scan at 0.700 V (Supplementary information, Fig. S2). This confirmed that the DIO underwent irreversible electrooxidation in the first step and then chemically converted into electrochemically active product which was further involved in electrochemical redox reaction.

Redox wave potential of DIO was shifted to 62.2 mV/pH towards negative potential with increase in pH of the solution (from pH 3 to 6) indicating the involvement of equal number of protons and electrons in the electrode reaction. Irreversible oxidation peak was shifted to 32.5 mV/pH towards negative potential with increase in pH of the analyte solution (from pH 3 to 6) suggesting that one proton and two electrons have taken part in the electrode process.

Peak currents of all the peaks were observed to be linearly dependent on the scan rate in the range of 20-260 mV s<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4D) suggesting that the electrode process was adsorption

limited. The slope (~ 0.85) of the log  $i_p$ -log v plot further confirmed the adsorption controlled electrode process. Electrochemical kinetic parameters *viz*, number of electrons transferred (n), electron transfer coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) and rate of the reaction were evaluated by subjecting the scan rate results to Laviron equations shown below [65]:

$$E_{pa} = E^{\circ'} + RT/\alpha nF [ln (RTk_s)/(\alpha nF) - lnv]$$
(for irreversible system).....(1)  

$$E_{pa} = E^{\circ'} + [RT/(1-\alpha)nF]lnv$$
(for reversible system) .....(2)  

$$E_{pc} = E^{\circ'} - [RT/\alpha nF]lnv$$
.....(3)

 $\log k_s = \alpha \log (1-\alpha) + (1-\alpha) \log \alpha - \log(RT/nFv) - \alpha(1-\alpha) \{ (nF\Delta E_p)/(2.3RT) \}$ .....(4) where  $E^{\circ'}$  is the formal potential, n is the number of electrons transferred,  $\alpha$  is the electron transfer coefficient and  $k_s$  is the standard rate constant of the electrode reaction. For irreversible oxidation reaction, the values of  $k_s$  and  $\alpha n$  were deduced from the intercept and slope of the linear plot of  $E_{pa}$  vs. lnv, when the value of  $E^{\circ\prime}$  was known. The value of  $E^{\circ\prime}$  for DIO at ErGONRs/GCE was obtained from the intercept of Epa vs. v plot. Knowing the values of E°', the slope and intercept of  $E_{pa}$  vs. ln v plot (Fig. not shown), the values of  $\alpha n$  and  $k_s$  could be calculated and were found to be 1.09 and 1.54 s<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Since, for a totally irreversible electron transfer reaction,  $\alpha$  was assumed to be 0.5, the value of n was calculated to be 2. 19. This indicated the involvement of two electrons in the irreversible oxidation step. For redox reaction, the values of  $\alpha$  and n were evaluated to be 0.53, 4.00 respectively by employing equations (2) and (3). By knowing the values of  $\alpha$ , n,  $\Delta E_p$  (0.204/n V) with the scan rate of 250 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, standard rate constant ( $k_s$ ) was evaluated using equation (4) and found to be 1.86 s<sup>-1</sup>. From these results, we propose that two and four electrons were involved in the irreversible oxidation peak and redox peak, respectively.

# 3.4. Optimization of ErGONRs/GCE sensing performance

Sensing performance of ErGONRs/GCE was optimized by varying the amount of modifier (GONRs suspension), accumulation time and pH. DIO exhibited highest electrochemical response at ErGONRs/GCE in phosphate buffer of pH 3 with an accumulation time of 210 s (Supplementary information, Fig. S3) and 6  $\mu$ L GONRs suspension (Supplementary information, Fig. S4). Hence, these parameters were fixed for further studies.

#### 4. Analytical Applications of ErGONRs/GCE

## 4.1. Construction of analytical curve

With the aim of proposing sensitive electroanalytical methods for the assay of DIO, we have developed SWV and DPV methods. Among these two methods, SWV method is more sensitive compared to that of DPV as evident from their low detection limits. In order to construct the calibration curves, differential pulse (**Fig. 5A**) and square wave (**Fig. 5B**) voltammograms were recorded for increasing concentrations of DIO. Linearity was observed between the peak current and concentration of DIO in the range of 51.01 nM – 39.21  $\mu$ M and 25 nM – 3.48  $\mu$ M for differential pulse (Inset of **Fig. 5A**) and square wave (Inset of **Fig. 5B**) voltammetric methods respectively. The corresponding regression equations are shown below:

$I_p/\mu A = 3.06 \text{ [DIO]} + 2.55; r = 0.998$	(for DPV method)
$I_p/\mu A = 61.4 \text{ [DIO]} + 12.1; r = 0.992$	(for SWV method)

The values of limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were evaluated and the corresponding results are shown in **Table 1**. The values of RSD less than 2.81 % confirmed that the developed methods were sufficiently precise for the sensing of DIO. Further, the analytical characteristics (analytical range and limit of detection) of the proposed method were compared with those of reported methods (**Table 2**).

4.2. Assay of DIO in pharmaceutical formulations

The practical utility of the proposed sensor, ErGONRs/GCE was established by determining DIO in pharmaceutical formulations. The corresponding results of the analysis are tabulated in **Table 3** and found to be satisfactory. Further, recovery studies were performed to check the possible interferences from the common excipients and additives. For this, known amounts of pure DIO were added to the previously analyzed formulation of DIO and analyzed. High percentage of recovery indicated that the commonly encountered excipients and additives did not interfere in the assay by the proposed method.

# 5. Binding characteristics of DIO-HSA at ErGONRs/GCE

# 5.1. Interaction of DIO with HSA by CV and DPV

Cyclic and differential pulse voltammetric techniques were used to investigate the interaction between DIO and HSA at ErGONRs/GCE in phosphate buffer of physiological pH. Cyclic and differential pulse voltammograms of DIO at ErGONRs/GCE in the presence of increasing amounts of HSA are shown in Fig. 6A and B. Significant decrease in the oxidation peak (a<sub>1</sub>) current and positive shift in the peak potential of DIO was observed upon the addition of HSA. Further, no new peak was observed in the presence of HSA. The drop in oxidation peak current was noticed to be significant up to 4 min. After 4 min the peak current remained constant. Therefore, the interaction time of 4 min was maintained for each measurement. The drop in oxidation peak current was due to one of the two reasons viz., (i) the formation of an electrochemically inactive supramolecular DIO-HSA complex which decreased the concentration of free DIO or (ii) the addition of HSA might alter the electrochemical kinetics of DIO [66-68]. In order to confirm this, the electrochemical kinetic parameters of DIO in the presence and absence of HSA were evaluated. The values of  $k_s$  and  $\alpha n$  were calculated to be 1.53 s<sup>-1</sup> and 1.11 and, 1.51 s<sup>-1</sup> and 1.10 in the absence and presence of HSA respectively (**Table 4**). These results did not reveal any significant changes in electrochemical kinetic parameters in the presence and absence of HSA. Hence, we propose that the decreased peak current of DIO upon the addition of HSA was due to the formation of an electrochemically inactive complex, DIO-HSA.

#### 5.2. Investigation of binding parameters for DIO-HSA system

According to reports available in literature [69-71], it is assumed that DIO interacted with HSA to produce only a single complex, HSA-mDIO, as shown below:

 $HSA + mDIO \leftrightarrow HSA - mDIO$ 

where m is the binding ratio.

The equilibrium constant,  $\beta_S$  is deduced as follows:

 $\beta_S = [\text{HSA-mDIO}] / \{[\text{HSA}][\text{DIO}]^m\}$ 

because

 $C_{\text{HSA}} = [\text{HSA}] + [\text{HSA-mDIO}]$ 

.....(5)

According to the Ilkovič equation of an quasireversible electrode process,

$\Delta I_{\rm max} = k \ C_{\rm HSA}$	
$\Delta I = k [\text{HSA-mDIO}]$	(7)
$[\text{HSA}] + [\text{HSA-mDIO}] = C_{\text{HSA}}$	
$\Delta I_{\text{max}} - \Delta I = k (C_{\text{HSA}} - [\text{HSA-mDIO}])$	(9)
$\Delta I_{\rm max} - \Delta I = k  [{\rm HSA}]$	(10)
From equations (3), (6) and (1) we get	

$$(1 / \Delta I) = (1 / \Delta I_{\max}) + \{ [1 / (\beta_S \Delta I_{\max})] \ge (1 / [DIO]^m) \}$$
 .....(11)

or

where  $\Delta I$  is the difference in peak current of DIO in the presence and absence of HSA and  $\Delta I_{\text{max}}$  is the peak current of DIO at extremely higher concentration compared to that of HSA.  $C_{\text{HSA}}$ , [HSA] and [HSA-mDIO] correspond to the total, free and bound concentration of HSA in the solution, respectively.

The plot of log  $[\Delta I / (\Delta I_{max}-\Delta I)]$  versus log [DIO] (**Fig. 6C**) shows linearity and slope equal to m, if the interaction of HSA with DIO yields a single complex. The values of m and  $\beta_S$  were deduced from the slope and intercept and were found to be 1.01 and 2.58 x 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (**Table 4**). This indicated the formation of a stable 1:1 complex of HSA-DIO. The values of binding constant ( $\beta_S$ ) and stiochiometry of the HSA-DIO complex are in agreement with reported values (obtained by spectroscopic methods) [71,72].

# 5.3. Interaction of DIO with HSA-ErGONRs/GCE

The immobilization of HSA onto an electrode surface is in many ways the crucial aspect to develop HSA biosensors for monitoring the drug since it dictates the accessibility of HSA to drug in solution and hence can influence the affinity of drug binding [73,74]. In view of this, we have carried out the interaction studies at HSA-ErGONRs/GCE that was prepared by

immobilization technique. Cyclic voltammograms of 10  $\mu$ M DIO at ErGONRs and HSA-ErGONRs/GCE in the potential range of 0.2 – 1 V are shown in **Fig. 6D**.

Oxidation peak (peak  $a_1$ ) potential was shifted towards positive potential (~10 mV) at HSA-ErGONRs/GCE when compared to that at ErGONRs/GCE. The positive shift in the oxidation potential at HSA-ErGONRs/GCE suggested the interaction between DIO and HSA. Heli *et al.* [73] have attributed the positive and negative shifts observed at HSA/GCE electrode to hydrophobic and non-hydrophobic (electrostatic and/or covalent) interactions, respectively. The positive shift observed in the present study indicated the interaction between DIO and HSA to be hydrophobic forces.

# Conclusions

An electrochemical sensor was fabricated based on eco-friendly reduction of graphene oxide nanoribbons for sensing applications. Graphene oxide nanoribbons were prepared by oxidative unzipping of multiwalled carbon nanotubes. These oxidized nanoribbons were electrochemically reduced to regain small aromatic domains for electrosensing applications. Electrochemical sensor was constructed by drop casting of graphene oxide nanoribbon suspension on GCE followed by electrochemical reduction. Application of the proposed electrochemical sensor was also demonstrated by exploring the binding mechanism of DIO-HSA system.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to BRNS, BARC, Mumbai, for the financial support (No.2012/37C/8/BRNS/637 dated 28-05-2012). One of the authors (Nagappa L. Teradal) acknowledges DST, New Delhi for the award of INSPIRE Fellowship (IF110339).

## References

- [1] A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nat. Mater., 2007, 6, 183.
- [2] H. B. Heersche, P. Jarillo-Herrero, J. B. Oostinga, L. M. K. Vandersypen and A. F. Morpurgo, *Nature*, 2007, 446, 56.
- [3] V. M, Tsefrikas and L. T. Scott, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 4868.
- [4] M. D. Watson, A. Fechtenkotter and K. Mullen, Chem. Rev., 2001, 101, 1267.
- [5] O. Vostrowsky and A. Hirsch, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 5191.
- [6] T. J. J. Mueller and U. H. F. Bunz, *Functional Organic Materials*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007.
- [7] Y. Zhang, Y. W. Tan, H. L. Stromier and K. Kim, Nature, 2005, 438, 201.
- [8] C. Berger, Z. Song, X. Li, X. Wu, N. Brown, C. Nafud, D. Mayo, T. Li, A. N. Marchenkov,
  E. H. Conrad, P. N. First and W. A. de Heer, *Science* 2006, **312**, 1191.
- [9] T. Ohta, A. Bostwick, T. Seyller, K. Horn and E. Rotenberg, Science 2006, 313, 951.
- [10] X. Wang, X. Li, L. Zhang, Y. Yoon, P. K. Weber, H. Wang, J. Guo, and H. Dai, *Science*, 2009, **324**, 76.
- [11] L. Jiao, L. Zhang, X. Wang, G. Diankov, and H. Dai, *Nature*, 2009 458, 877.
- [12] L. Xie, H. Wang, C. Jin, X. Wang, L. Jiao, K. Suenaga and H. Dai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011
   133, 10394.
- [13] L. Jiao, X. Wang, G. Diankov, H. Wang and H. Dai, Nature Nanotechnol., 2010, 5, 321.
- [14] Z. Zhang, Z. Sun, J. Yao, D. V. Kosynkin and J. M. Tour, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 13460.
- [15] D. V. Kosynkin, A. L. Higginbotham, A. Sinitskii, J. R. Lomeda, A. Dimiev, B. K. Price and J. M. Tour, *Nature*, 2009, 458, 872.
- [16] A. L. Elias, A. R. Botello-Mendez, D. Meneses-Rodriguez, V. J. Gonzalez, D. Ramirez-Gonzalez, L. Ci, E. Munoz-Sandoval, P. M. Ajayan, H. Terrones and M. Terrones, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 366.
- [17] A. G. Cano-Marquez, F. J. Rodriguez-Macias, J. Campos-Delgado, C. G. Espinosa-Gonzalez, F. Tristan-Lopez, D. Ramirez-Gonzalez, D. A. Cullen, D. J. Smith, M. Terrones and Y. I. Vega-Cantu, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, 9, 1527.
- [18] C. E. Chng, M. Pumera and A. Bonanni, *Electrochemistry Communications*, 2014, 46, 137.
- [19] C. H. A. Wong and M. Pumera, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 856.

- [20] C. K. Chua, Z. Sofer and M. Pumera, Chem. Asian J., 2012, 7, 2367.
- [21] D. B. Shinde, J. Debgupta, A. Kushwaha, M. Aslam and V. K. Pillai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4168.
- [22] H. L. Guo, X. F. Wang, Q. Y. Qian, F. B. Wang and X. H. Xia, ACS Nano, 2009, 3, 2653.
- [23] A. M. Browning, U. K. Walle and T. Walle, J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 2005, 57, 1037.
- [24] C. M. Conesa, V. V. Ortega, M. J. Y. Gascón, M. A. Baños, M. C. Jordana, O. Benavente-García, and J. Castillo, J. Agric. Food. Chem., 2005, 53, 6791.
- [25] C. Martínez, V. Vicente, J. Yáñez, M. Alcaraz, M. T. Castells, M. Canteras, O G. Benavente-García, and J. Castillo, *Histol. Histopathol.*, 2005, 20, 1121.
- [26] S. Zhang, C. Qin and S. H. Safe, Environ. Health. Perspect., 2003, 111, 877.
- [27] Q. Zheng, Y. Hirose and N. Yoshimi, J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol., 2002, 128, 539.
- [28] K. A. Lyseng-Williamson and C. M. Perry, Drugs, 2003, 63, 71.
- [29] G. Jantet, Angiology, 2002, 53, 245.
- [30] A. A. Ramelet, Angiology, 2001, 52, S49.
- [31] J. J. Bergan, G. W. Schmid-Schonbein and S. Takase, Angiology, 2001, 52, 43.
- [32] D. MacKay, Altern. Med. Rev., 2001, 6, 126.
- [33] Z. Moldovan, A. A. Bunaciu, M. A. Al-Omar and H. Y. Aboul-Enein, *The open chemical* and biomedical methods J., 2010, **3**, 123.
- [34] Z. Moldovan and H. Y. Aboul-Enein, Instrumentation Science & Technology, 2011, 39,135.
- [35] I. Saeidi, M. R. Hadjmohammadi, M. Peyrovi, M. Iranshahi, B. Barfi, A. B. Babaei and A. M. Dust, *J. Pharmaceut. Biomed.*, 2011, 56, 419.
- [36] M. A. Campanero, M. Escolar, G. Perez, E. Garcia-Quetglas, B. Sadaba and J. R. Azanza, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed., 2010, 51, 875.
- [37] F. I. Kanaze, C. Gabrieli, E. Kokkalou, M. Georgarakis and I. Niopas, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed., 2003, 33, 243.
- [38] A. M. El-Shafae and M. M. El-Domiaty, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed., 2001, 26, 539.
- [39] E.Shawky, J. Planar. Chromatogr. Mod. TLC, 2012, 25, 138.
- [40] I. Adouani, M. Du and T. J. Hang, Chromatographia, 2013, 76, 499.
- [41] V. Adam, R. Mikelova, J. Hubalek, P. Hanustiak, M. Beklova, P. Hodek, A. Horna, L. Trnkova, M. Stiborova, L. Zeman and R. Kizek, *Sensors*, 2007, 7, 2402.
- [42] M. S. El-Shahawi, A. S. Bashammakh and T. El-Mogy, Anal. Sci., 2006, 22, 1351.

- [43] P. Hanuštiak, R. Mikelová, D. Potěšil, P. Hodek, M. Stiborová and R. Kizek, *Biomed. Papers*, 2005, 149, 44.
- [44] J. Wei, F. Jin, Q. Wu, Y. Jiang, D. Gao and H. Liu, Talanta, 2014, 126, 116.
- [45] V. Sinisi, C. Forzato, N. Cefarin, L. Navarini and F. Berti, Food Chem., 2015, 168, 332.
- [46] F. S. Mohseni-Shahri, M. R. Housaindokht, M. R. Bozorgmehr and A. A. Moosavi-Movahedi, J. Lumin., 2014, 154, 229.
- [47] D. Xiao, L. Zhang, Q. Wang, X. Lin, J. Sun and H. Li, J. Lumin., 2014, 146, 218.
- [48] L. Tang, H. Zuo and S. Li, J. Lumin., 2014, 153, 54.
- [49] Y. Y. Yue, X. G. Chen, J. Qin and X. J. Yao, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2009, 49, 756.
- [50] D. C. Carter and J. X. Ho, Adv. Protein Chem., 1994, 45, 153.
- [51] J. Seetharamappa, B. Sandhya, A. H. Hegde, N. L. Teradal and S. N. Prashanth, *Current Drug Metabolism*, 2013, 14, 456.
- [52] A. H. Hegde, B. Sandhya and J. Seetharamappa, *Mol. Biol. Rep.*, 2011, **38**, 4921.
- [53] S. Chaudhuri, B. Sengupta, J. Taylor, B. P. Pahari and P. K. Sengupta, Current Drug Metabolism, 2013, 14, 491.
- [54] X. Zhang, L. Li, Z. Xu, Z. Liang, J. Su, J. Huang and B. Li, *PLOS ONE*, 2013, 8, e59106.
- [55] G. Zhang, L. Wang and J. Pan, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012, 60, 2721.
- [56] C. Z. Lin, M. Hu, A. Z. Wu and C. C. Zhu, J. Pharmaceut. Anal., 2014. 4, 392.
- [57] J. Dai, T. Zou, L. Wang, Y. Zhang and Y. Liu, Luminescence, 2014, 29, 1154.
- [58] C. Z. Lin, M. Hu, A. Z. Wu, C. C. Zhu, J. Pharmaceut. Anal., 2014, 4, 392.
- [59] D. K. F. Meijer and P. Van der sluijs, *Pharm. Res.* 1989, 6, 105.
- [60] S. Sugio, A. Kashima, S. Mochizuki, M. Noda, K. Kobayashi, Protein Eng., 1999, 12, 439.
- [61] K. Ding and G.Yang, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2010, 23, 498.
- [62] H. Kim, D. H. Seo, S. W. Kim, J. Kim and K. Kang, Carbon, 2011, 49, 326.
- [63] A. L. Higginbotham, D. V. Kosynkin, A. Sinitskii, Z. Sun, and J. M. Tour, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 2059.
- [64] B. Rezaei, S. Damiri, Sensor Actuat. B-Chem., 2008, 134, 324.
- [65] (a) E. Laviron, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1979, 101, 19. (b) E. Laviron and L. J. Roullier, Electroanal. Chem., 1980, 115, 65.
- [66] W. Sun, K. Jiao, X. L. Wang and L. D. Lu, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2005, 578, 37.
- [67] Z. W. Zhu and N. Q. Li, *Microchem. J.*, 1998, **59**, 294.

- [68] H. M. Zhang, Z. W. Zhu and N. Q. Li, Fresenius J. Anal. Chem., 1999, 363, 408.
- [69] W. Suna, J. Han, K. Jiao and L. Lu, Bioelectrochemistry, 2006, 68, 60.
- [70] S. S. Kalanur, J. Seetharamappa and U. Katrahalli, Colloid. Surface. B, 2010, 75, 75.
- [71] L. Fun, X. Liu, Q. Zhou, J. Zhang, J. Dong and J. Wang, J. Lumin., 2014, 149, 208.
- [71] D. Barreca, G. Laganà, G. Bruno, S. Magazù, E. Bellocco, *Biochimie*, 2013, 95, 2042.
- [72] C. Dufour, O. Dangles, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 2005, 1721, 164.
- [73] H. Heli, N. Sattarahmady, A. Jabbari, A.A. Moosavi-Movahedi, G.H. Hakimelahi and F.Y. Tsai, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 2007, 610, 67.
- [74] V. Brabec, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2000, **45**, 2929.



Fig. 1 A) XRD patterns of MWCNTs (blue) and GONRs (red); B) FTIR, C) Absorption and D) Raman spectra of GONRs (red) and ErGONRs (blue).



Fig. 2 SEM micrographs of MWCNTs (A and B), GONRs (C and D) and ErGONRs (E and F).



Fig. 3 AFM micrograph, height profile diagram and 3D AFM micrograph of GONRs (A, B and C) and ErGONRs (D, E and F).



**Fig. 4** A) Cyclic voltammograms and B) Impedance spectra of 1mM  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$  at ErGONRs/GCE (green), GONRs/GCE (blue) and bare GCE (red) in 1M KCl. C) Cyclic voltammograms of 10  $\mu$ M DIO at ErGONRs/GCE (blue) and bare GCE (red) in phosphate buffer of pH 3 and (D) Cyclic voltammograms of 10  $\mu$ M DIO at different scan rates (a $\rightarrow$ i: 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 140, 180, 220 and 260 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) on ErGONRs/GCE in phosphate buffer of pH 3.



Fig. 5 Differential (A) and square wave (B) voltammograms for increasing concentrations (A,  $a \rightarrow j$ : 0.0510, 0.102, 0.510, 1.20, 2.54, 5.07, 10.1, 20.2, 29.7 and 39.21  $\mu$ M, respectively and **B**,  $a \rightarrow g$ : 0.0250, 0.0995, 0.249, 0.498, 1.49, 2.49 and 3.48  $\mu$ M, respectively) of DIO in phosphate buffer of pH 3. Insets show respective linear plots.



Fig. 6 A) Cyclic and B) differential pulse voltammograms of 10  $\mu$ M DIO in the presence of increasing concentrations of HSA (a $\rightarrow$ d: 0, 5, 10 and 15  $\mu$ M, respectively), at ErGONRs/GCE in phosphate buffer of pH 7. C) Linear plot of log [ $\Delta I / (\Delta I_{max} - \Delta I)$ ] versus log [DIO]. D) Cyclic voltammograms of 10  $\mu$ M DIO at ErGONRs/GCE (a) and HSA modified ErGONRs/GCE in phosphate buffer of pH 7.

	DPV <sup>a</sup>	SWV <sup>b</sup>
Linearity range, µM	0.051-39.21	0.025 - 3.48
LOD, µM	0.015	0.011
LOQ, µM	0.049	0.040
Inter-day assay RSD <sup>c</sup> (%)	2.81	2.34
Intra-day assay RSD <sup>c</sup> (%)	2.56	2.53

 Table 1 Characteristics of the calibration plots for DIO.

<sup>a</sup>Differential pulse voltammetric method.

<sup>b</sup>Sqaure wave voltammetric method.

<sup>c</sup> For 1 µM DIO.

Method	Linearity range (µg/mL)	LOD (µg/mL)	References		
Spectrophotometric					
Method-1	0.3-35	0.1	33		
Method-2	2-140	0.05	34		
Chromatographic					
HPLC	0.08-0.15		35		
HPTLC (per spot)	0.10-3.0	0.1	39		
Electrochemical					
AdSV(GCE)	0.50 - 165.5	0.20	42		
SWV(CPE)		1.62	43		
DPV (ErGONRs/GCE)	0.03-23.83	0.03	Proposed		
SWV (ErGONRs/GCE)	0.015-1.85	0.02	work		

Table 2. Comparison of analytical range and detection limits of the proposed method with those of reported methods

	VENUSMIN <sup>a</sup>
Labelled amount, mg	150.0
Amount found, mg	148.93
Recovery <sup>b</sup> , %	99.28
RSD <sup>c</sup> , %	0.42
Pure DIO added to tablet solution, mg	25.00
Amount found, mg	24.50
Recovery <sup>b</sup> , %	98.0
RSD <sup>c</sup> , %	2.11

Table 3. Results of analysis of DIO in pharmaceutical formulations

<sup>a</sup>Martin and Harris Laboratories, LTD., India.

<sup>b</sup>Average of six determinations.

<sup>c</sup>For 1  $\mu$ M DIO

	$E^{o'}(V)$	nα	$k_{s}(s^{-1})$	m	K, $10^{4}$ (mol <sup>-1</sup> )
Free DIO	0.698	1.11	1.53		
DIO-HSA	0.700	1.10	1.51	1.01	2.58

Table 4. Electrochemical parameters for DIO in the presence and absence of HSA