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Abstract
The ability of acidic 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hydrogen sulphate ionic liquid (IL) to hydrolyse and to convert wheat straw into pivot compounds without additional catalyst was scrutinised. The pre-treatment with this IL allowed to obtain a liquor rich in hemicellulosic sugars, furans and organic acids, and a solid fraction constituted mainly by cellulose and lignin. Pre-treatment conditions, such as temperature and residence time were set to produce xylose or furfural at fixed 1/10 (w/w) biomass/IL ratio and 1.24 % (w/w) water content in the pre-treatment process. Statistical modelling based on Doehlert experimental design was applied to establish optimal conditions to produce xylose and furfural. Temperature demonstrated to have greater effect on the production of xylose, rather than time of pre-treatment, and it is significantly incisive on furfural formation as well. To compare the reaction conditions, the severity factor for studied IL was proposed and applied in this work. Furthermore, water was verified to have a large influence on the equilibrium of the hemicellulose hydrolysis. The increase of the water content close to 10 % (w/w) in the system disfavour xylose dehydration and thus allows increasing significantly the production of hemicellulose-derived monosaccharides. At last, an important disclosure in the reaction of biomass with [bmim][HSO₄] is the formation of humins for high severity pre-treatments.
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Introduction

The increasing demands for energy, fuels and chemicals force to seek for alternative sources of these commodities. Accordingly, finding new technologies and development of novel processes to bring this growth in line with the social demand for sustainability are major challenges for current and future generations. A biorefinery might be one of the ways to achieve this goal. A biorefinery aims to use biomass which is a readily available and low-cost feedstock and is one among a few resources that can facilitate the large-scale and sustainable production of the substantial volumes of energy and materials.\(^1\) Normally, biomass referred to lignocellulosic feedstock is constituted by three major biopolymers: cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin which have specific and diverse properties. The strong intra- and intermolecular interaction established between these biomacromolecular components make lignocellulosic biomass a recalcitrant material, thus a pre-treatment process is required.

The major purpose of biomass pre-treatment is to process lignocellulosic feedstocks to make it more subjectable for further processing.\(^2,3\) The pre-treatment exposes biomass fractions to biological and/or chemical treatments aiming further valorisation towards particular products or pivot chemicals.\(^4\) However, to achieve this goal various challenges must be addressed. Furthermore, depending on the expected results, the most adequate pre-treatment method can be selected. In addition, the choice of pre-treatment should consider the overall compatibility of feedstocks, enzymes and organisms to be applied, overall economic assessment and environmental impact.\(^5\) Up to now, several methodologies have been used to develop low cost pre-treatments to generate cellulose- and hemicellulose-originated sugar-rich liquors.\(^5\) One of the approaches is the use of more sustainable solvents such as ionic liquids\(^6\)–\(^19\) and supercritical fluids.\(^20\)–\(^24\)

Ionic liquids (IL) are known as organic salts with melting points below 100 °C composed solely of cations and anions. The possible choices of cations and anions allow to produce numerous ILs with various physicochemical properties.\(^25\)–\(^26\) One of the dominant applications of ILs are separation and extraction processes.\(^27\)–\(^34\) Dissolution of lignocellulosic biomass with ILs has been referred as an innovative process where the physicochemical properties of the original biomass are altered in a way not observed before by other solvents.\(^35\)–\(^38\) Interactions between lignocellulosic biomass and ILs are intricate due to the presence of lignin and extractives, as well as because of the recalcitrance inherent to these materials.\(^10,39\) The efficiency of lignocellulosic biomass pre-treatment in ILs is associated to the hydrogen bond basicity which is generally governed by the IL anion behaviour. Generally, anions with strong hydrogen bond basicity can effectively weaken the hydrogen bond network of the biomass polymers.\(^17\) Thus, pre-treatment of biomass with ILs offers advantages over conventional methods allowing to alter physicochemical properties of the biomass macromolecular components, such as reduction of the cellulose crystallinity, extraction of specific macromolecules, such as lignin and hemicellulose and execution of different fractionation approaches after biomass dissolution in ILs.\(^10\) However, rather than to dissolve and to pre-treat biomass, some ILs were found to be able to directly catalyse biomass conversion, mainly by hydrolysing and processing of the polysaccharides without
presence of any other catalyst. Acidic ILs can behave as both solvents and catalysts because they combine the advantages of mineral acid and IL.\textsuperscript{40} Therefore many kinds of acidic ionic liquids have been gaining interest as integrated solvents and catalysts for the biomass pre-treatment.\textsuperscript{40-43} Acidic ILs functionalised with SO\textsubscript{3}H greatly increase the reaction rate of the cellulose hydrolysis and have a higher catalytic activity for the cleavage of glycosidic bonds.\textsuperscript{44} Nevertheless, no selectivity between cellulose and hemicellulose hydrolysis is observed and strong acidic character of these ILs leads to increase of biomass degradation. In case of [HSO\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{-}-based ILs, another example of acidic ILs able to catalyse biomass, a selective hemicellulose hydrolysis could be achieved.\textsuperscript{18,45} Furthermore, [HSO\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{-}-based ILs have been procured increasingly not only because of their acidic properties, but also due to their low cost when compared to other ILs.\textsuperscript{46} The 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hydrogen sulphate ([bmim][HSO\textsubscript{4}]) IL has been found as an alternative to more exploited ILs such as 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ([bmim][Cl]), and 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate [emim][CH\textsubscript{3}COO], among others.

Materials and Methods

Materials and chemicals

Wheat straw was kindly supplied by Estação Nacional de Melhoramento de Plantas (Elvas, Portugal) and was used as feedstock. The milling of raw material was done using a knife mill IKA® WERKE, MF 10 basic, Germany, to get <0.5 mm particles.

The moisture content in the biomass and processed solids was determined at the level of 8.3 % (w/w). To perform the water content analysis a nickel plate was placed in oven at 100 ºC for at least 5h to remove humidity. The known amount of pre-treated sample (0.1 g) was placed in each plate, heated up in oven for at least 18h and then the dried sample was weighted.

The chemical analysis of the raw material (dry weight basis) was taken from literature\textsuperscript{21} and was as follows: 38.8±0.1% glucan, 19.5±0.4% xylan, 2.9±0.01% arabinan, 2.7±0.03% acetyl groups, 17.6±0.1% Klason lignin, 9.7±0.03% protein and 4.5±0.1% ash.

For the pre-treatment experiments, the [bmim][HSO\textsubscript{4}] IL (99% purity) acquired from Iolitec GmbH, Heilbronn, Germany was used. The [bmim][HSO\textsubscript{4}] IL was used as received without further purification. The water content in the examined IL was measured by a volumetric Karl – Fischer titration and was 5385 ppm. For the pre-treatment experiments, 4M HCl aqueous solution was prepared from fuming 37 % (w/w) HCl bought from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and ultra-pure water (18.2 MΩ/cm) produced by Purelab Classic Elga. Nylon filters (Ø=47 mm, 0.45 µm porosity) from Merck Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA) were also used. The 4M HCl was later used to prepare the HCl aqueous solution with pH=2 by diluting the acid with water. Basylone M-350 oil purchased from
Bayer (Leverkusen, Germany) was used as the heating medium for pre-treatment experiments. Nylon syringe filters ($\Theta=13$mm, 0.22µm porosity), purchased from Red® analytical (Cambridgeshire, UK), were used to filter all samples before running on CE (Capillary Electrophoresis) and HPLC. For the solid analysis, H$_2$SO$_4$ 96% (w/w) by Panreac Química, (Barcelona, Spain), Nylon syringe filters ($\Theta=13$mm, 0.22µm porosity) and filtering crucibles with fritted disc, Gooch with porosity grade 4 from SciLabware (Stone, Staffordshire) were used.

**Pre-treatment method**

A 4 g of [bmim][HSO$_4$] was placed into a 15 mL vial and mixed with wheat straw in 1:10 (w/w) biomass/IL ratio. The mixture was submitted to continuous magnetic stirring for a defined period of time and temperature. After pre-treatment, 10 mL of ultra-pure water was added to the flask under continuous agitation. The mixture was next filtrated under vacuum and 90 mL of HCl aqueous solution (pH=2) was used to wash the recovered biomass. The use of acidic solution of HCl with pH=2 allows to maintain the lignin in the solid phase as the processed lignin is insoluble in acidic solution with pH=2. Furthermore, at the same time aqueous solution of HCl allows to wash out the hydrolysed sugars produced from hemicellulose fraction. In other words the HCl aqueous solution (pH=2.0) was used to achieve high selectivity for hemicellulose-derived products recovery in the liquid stream. The obtained liquor was collected and stored in freezer. The solid phase was dried in oven at 50 °C for 24 h. Subsequently, the recovered biomass was left for a minimum of 1 h at room temperature, and then the recovered mass was measured. The liquor obtained from each pre-treatment trial was subjected to CE and HPLC analyses while the recovered biomasses (solid phase) were submitted to chemical characterisation.

**Chemical characterisation of the recovered solids**

The solid phase resulting from the pre-treatment was washed with ultra-pure water and oven-dried at 50 °C for at least 48 h. Subsequently, the solids were left at room conditions for a minimum of 12 h. After that, the solids were subjected to quantitative acid hydrolysis to determine the sugar content (both cellulose and hemicellulose) according to the protocol of National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL).$^{47}$

**Analytical techniques**

The processes examined in this work resulted in the liquid phase containing mainly hemicellulose hydrolysis products and processed solids constituted by cellulose and lignin. After each pre-treatment both liquid and solid fractions were duly processed using CE and HPLC. The analysis was focused on the detection and quantification of compounds that are directly obtained from the hydrolysis and/or conversion of wheat straw. Therefore, CE was employed to analyse monosaccharides (xylose, arabinose and glucose) and furans (furfural and HMF), while HPLC allowed to identify the organic
acids found in the sample. The reason to use CE is the capacity of this technique to detect and separate analytes in the sample with higher tolerance for IL concentration than HPLC.48 Unfortunately, CE could not be used for the organic acid analysis, due to the direct interference of the IL with the separation of organic acids.

*Capillary electrophoresis (CE)*

The method applied for sugar and furan determination was based on methodology described in literature.49 Furthermore, \([\text{bmim}][\text{HSO}_4]\) was also added to solution with concentration of 200 mM to mimic concentration of IL in samples obtained from the pre-treatment. At this IL concentration the linearity of calibration curves of sugars, furfural and HMF was observed.48 A solution containing 130 mM NaOH (EKA, Funchal, Portugal) and 36 mM Na_2HPO_4·2H_2O (Sigma-Aldrich Laborchemikalien GmbH, Germany) was prepared as the electrolyte solution. The analyses were carried out using Agilent Technologies CE instrument (Waldbronn, Germany), equipped with a diode array detector. The detection was recorded at a wavelength of 270 nm and 200 nm, both with 10 nm bandwidth. Agilent 3D-CE ChemStation data software (Rev B.04.01) was used to perform qualitative and quantitative analysis. An uncoated fused-silica extended light path CE capillary with 50 µm i.d. and 56/64.5 cm total length was used. Between runs the capillary was pre-conditioned by rising sequentially acetic acid 1mM (3 min), sodium hydroxide 1M (3 min), water (3 min) and the electrolyte solution (5 min) at 17 ºC. The samples were filtered with nylon syringe filters (Ø=13mm, 0.22µm porosity) and injected with a pressure of 35 mbar for 10 s. The separation voltage was fixed at +18 kV for run of 27 min.

For the preparation of standard sugar samples, D(+)–sucrose, D(+)–xylose, D(+)–cellobiose, D(+)–glucose and D(+)–arabinose were used and were acquired from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Furfural and HMF obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA) were also used as standards. The standard solutions were prepared using ultra-pure water and contained HMF (0.5–0.03mM), furfural (3–0.05mM) and sugars: sucrose, cellobiose, cellulose, arabinose and xylose (4–0.2mM). The example of electropherogram is shown in Figure 1 in ESI (Electronic Supplementary Information).

*High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)*

The organic acids (acetic, formic and levulinic acids) from the collected liquor samples were analysed by an Agilent 1100 Series HPLC equipped with Aminex HPX-87H (Bio-Rad, EUA) column and a refractive index detector (RID). All samples were filtrated using a 0.22 µm syringe filter. The quantification was made by calibration curves using standard samples of acetic acid, formic acid and levulinic acid from Panreac (Barcelona, Spain) with known concentrations. Sulphuric acid (Panreac Química, Barcelona, Spain) with 5 mM concentration with 0.6 mL/min (sample volume 5 µL) flow rate for liquor analysis and 0.4 mL/min (sample volume 5 µL) for solid samples was used as mobile
phase. Column temperature was 50 °C and detector temperature of 45 °C was employed. The example of chromatogram is depicted in Figure 2 in ESI.

Statistical modelling
A methodology based on Doehlert experimental design was performed for two different optimisation responses, namely xylose and furfural production from wheat straw hemicellulose. The experimental distribution was considered for two independent variables: temperature ($X_1$) and residence time ($X_2$). Two different experimental distributions were made. The first for xylose production, where $70 ^\circ C < X_1 < 160 ^\circ C$ and $20.0 \text{ min} < X_2 < 120.0 \text{ min}$ were considered while the second for furfural production by using $115 ^\circ C < X_1 < 175 ^\circ C$ and $63.3 \text{ min} < X_2 < 163.3 \text{ min}$. The conditions of pre-treatment and respective coded factors, which were used for calculation purposes of two inspected optimisations, are presented in Table 1 of ESI.

The responses studied were xylan hydrolysis to xylose ($Y_1$) and hemicellulose sugars (sum of xylan and arabinan) conversion to furfural ($Y_2$). The model used to express the responses was a second order polynomial represented by the following equation: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_{12}X_1X_2 + \beta_{11}X_1^2 + \beta_{22}X_2^2$, where $X_1$ and $X_2$ represents the independent variables, $Y$ is the response obtained from experimentations and $\beta$s are parameters of the polynomial model. The $\beta$ parameters utilised to estimate the responses have precise meanings: $\beta_0$ represents the analysed response in the centre of the experimental domain; the magnitude of $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ indicates the importance of the respective factors (temperature and time, respectively) on the responses; the interaction parameter, $\beta_{12}$, indicates how the effect of one factor depends on the level of the other factor. The values of $\beta_{11}$ and $\beta_{22}$ determine how the response surface folds downward (negative values) or upward (positive values) quadratically, depending on the magnitude of the absolute value. The relationship between the dependent variables and the response variables was demonstrated by the response surfaces and contour plots obtained using SigmaPlot®, Systat Software Inc. The adequacy of the models to fit the sets of data was performed using the statistical $F$-test for the effectiveness of the factors, which detects whether the source of variance included in the residuals is due to the inadequacy of the models to reproduce experimental data. The adequacy of the model was predicted through the regression analysis ($R^2$) and the ANOVA analysis ($p<0.05$), using Microsoft Office Excel 2010 software.

Experimental errors
Standard deviation error ($u$) was determined for all the obtained results. All weighing was made considering a $u(m) = 0.1 \text{ mg}$. For all wheat straw pre-treatment, the applied temperature demonstrated error of $u(T) = 1 ^\circ C$. An arbitrary error of 10% of measured value was defined to all the CE measurements and HPLC analyses. All experiments were performed in duplicate.
Results

Wheat straw was subjected to processing with acidic [bmim][HSO$_4$] IL in temperature range from 70 to 175 °C and residence times from 20.0 to 163.3 min at fixed biomass/IL ratio (10 % (w/w)) and water content equals to 1.24 % (w/w). Pre-treatments with [bmim][HSO$_4$] were made focusing on the hydrolysis of hemicellulose fraction from wheat straw to produce xylose as the main product. Based on the literature report\textsuperscript{16} the experimental conditions were settled using Doehlert experimental design (Table 1 of ESI).

Production of xylose

The conditions of xylose production initially studied were: 85 °C/113.3 min, 100 °C/70.0 min, 115 °C/26.7 min, 115 °C/113.3 min and 130 °C/70.0 min. For the highest pre-treatment temperature (130 °C/70.0 min), among all examined conditions, the highest hydrolysis of xylan to xylose was found. Therefore, following the pattern of Doehlert experimental design, pre-treatments with higher temperatures were studied, namely at 145 °C/26.7 min and 145 °C/113.3 min. The results obtained for all performed experiments are given in Table 1. The data shows that xylose yield in liquor increases with temperature and residence time up to 130 °C/70.0 min up to 18.8 % (w/w) while for higher temperature (145 °C) the xylose yield decreases to less than ¼ of the maximal one. This decrease is counterbalanced by increase of furfural yield to 26 % (w/w). In case of arabinose, a constant decrease of arabinose yield was found with an increase of reaction time and temperature. Analysing the glucose yield it can be stated that concentration of glucose remains constant in the range of experimental error for all experiments. Similar conclusion can be drawn for glucose degradation product such as HMF, which concentration remains constant in the range of studied parameters. Similarly to furfural, the yield of acetyl groups’ hydrolysis to acetic acid increases, leading to 83.3 % (w/w) of the initial acetyl groups content. Two most common organic acids, such as formic and levulinic, were not found in the examined samples.

The results of solid produced during the wheat straw processing with [bmim][HSO$_4$] are summarised in Table 2. The solid yield varies from 89.4% to 58.8% for 85 °C/113.3 min and 145 °C/113.3 min respectively. Characterisation of obtained solids was necessary to determine the amount of each fractions which was not hydrolysed by [bmim][HSO$_4$]. The obtained data shows that xylan is still present in the recovered solids and its content decreases from 24.3 % (w/w) of produced solid to 5.6% (w/w) for the lowest and the most severe conditions, respectively. Similar behaviour was observed for arabinan and acetyl groups found in the processed solids. The decrease of xylan, arabinan and acetyl group contents in the produced solids is counterbalanced by significant increase of glucan and lignin content. For the highest temperature examined, the glucan content was above 50 % (w/w) and lignin reached a maximum of 32.6 % (w/w) of produced solid sample.
The partition of cellulose and hemicellulose fraction between liquid and solid phases is depicted in Figure 1. Analysis of this figure reveals that hemicellulose recovery for the lowest temperature is quantitative, while for more severe conditions the recovery of hemicellulose fraction decreases significantly reaching only a 49.4% (w/w) for the most severe conditions. Furthermore most of the hemicellulose can be found in liquor as hydrolysis and degradation products. In case of cellulose recovery, an analogous decrease is attained, however decline is much less pronounced than that of hemicellulose.

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 1. Total recovery of hemicellulose (black – in solid, gray – in liquor) and cellulose (dashed dark gray – in solid, dashed white – in liquor) in pre-treatment of wheat straw with [bmim][HSO₄] for xylose production.

**Furfural production**

The previously performed pre-treatments demonstrated that xylose is rapidly converted into furfural mostly at higher temperatures. Therefore, the extent of wheat straw pre-treatment and hydrolysis with the aim of hemicellulose conversion to furfural was also studied at more severe conditions. The conditions chosen by Doehlert experimental design (Table 1 of ESI) to study and to optimise the production of furfural with [bmim][HSO₄] were as follows: 130 °C/70.0 min, 130 °C/156.6 min, 145 °C/113.3 min, 160 °C/70.0 min, 160 °C/156.6 min and 175 °C/113.3 min. Furthermore, two additional set of conditions namely 175 °C/163.3 min and 175 °C/63.3 min were also taken into account for the optimisation of furfural production.

Table 1 presents the data obtained from the liquor analysis for new conditions regarding furfural production. The hemicellulose-originated monosaccharides present in the liquor clearly disappeared.
for more severe conditions. Xylose and arabinose were observed only for the less severe conditions (130 °C/156.6 min, 160 °C/70.0 min and 175 °C/63.3 min), and arabinose was only detected in the liquor obtained from the process at 130 °C/156.6 min, but even so in a negligible concentration. The xylose and arabinose disappearance was counterbalanced by the increase of furfural yield, in which a maximum yield of 36.2 % (w/w) for pre-treatment at 160 °C/156.6 min was reached. However, for higher temperature and longer pre-treatment time, its yield decreased significantly. It is worth mentioning that the increase of reaction time from 113.3 to 163.3 min at 175°C leads to a significant decrease in furfural content compensated by a significant rise of formic acid production. Another product obtained from hemicellulose is acetic acid. The acetyl group hydrolysis is quantitative in all reactions showing that conditions more severe than 160 °C/70 min are harsh enough to convert acetyl groups present in the hemicellulose into acetic acid. Glucose, HMF and levulinic acid shows similar trends to those observed for xylose and its degradation products. Although the yields are much lower than in case of hemicellulose-based products it can be found that the glucose and HMF yields are virtually constant irrespectively on the examined reaction conditions.

As presented in Table 2, the solid yield obtained from pre-treatments was in the range of 59.7 to 68.6 % (w/w). Arabinan and acetyl groups were not found in the solid residue. Also xylan was found only in samples produced at the less severe reaction conditions. The major fractions of produced solid are glucan and lignin. Along with the increase of reaction severity, the glucan content decreases by 1/3 to 38.6 % (w/w). At the same time, an increase of lignin content was doubled and at the most severe conditions lignin constituted more than an half of the produced solids.

Analysing the recovery of polysaccharide fractions it can be stated that in case of hemicellulose a continuous decrease of recovery yield with an increase of temperature is observed. Hence cellulose recovery yield is less susceptible for examined reaction conditions and thus, the recovery yield decreases much slower than that for hemicellulose as observed in Figure 2.
Figure 2. Total recovery of hemicellulose (black – in solid, gray – in liquor) and cellulose (dashed dark gray – in solid, dashed white – in liquor) in pre-treatment of wheat straw with [bmim][HSO₄] for furfural production conditions.

Table 1. Liquid phase composition obtained after wheat straw pre-treatment with [bmim][HSO₄] at examined temperatures and residence times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>t (min)</th>
<th>Yield (% w/w) of</th>
<th>xylose a</th>
<th>arabinose b</th>
<th>furfural c</th>
<th>glucose d</th>
<th>HMF e</th>
<th>acetic acid f</th>
<th>formic acid g</th>
<th>levulinic acid h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>163.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{a)} & \quad \frac{[\text{xylose}]}{[\text{xyan}]_{\text{untreated biomass}}} \times 100; \\
\text{b)} & \quad \frac{[\text{arabinose}]}{[\text{arabino}]_{\text{untreated biomass}}} \times 100; \\
\text{c)} & \quad \frac{[\text{glucose}]}{[\text{glucan}]_{\text{untreated biomass}}} \times 100; \\
\text{d)} & \quad \frac{[\text{furfural}]}{([\text{xyan}]+[\text{arabino}])_{\text{untreated biomass}}} \times 100; \\
\text{e)} & \quad \frac{[\text{HMF}]}{[\text{glucan}]_{\text{untreated biomass}}} \times 100; \\
\text{f)} & \quad \frac{[\text{acetyl groups}]}{[\text{acetic acid}]_{\text{untreated biomass}}} \times 100; \\
\text{g)} & \quad \frac{[\text{formic acid}]}{([\text{xyan}]+[\text{arabino}]+[\text{glucan}])_{\text{untreated biomass}}} \times 100; \\
\text{h)} & \quad \frac{[\text{furfural}]}{([\text{xyan}]+[\text{arabino}]+[\text{glucan}])_{\text{untreated biomass}}} \times 100.
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2. Results of solid phase analysis obtained from wheat straw pre-treatment with [bmim][HSO₄] at various temperatures and residence times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>t (min)</th>
<th>Solid phase composition (% w/w)</th>
<th>SY%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Xylan</td>
<td>Arabinan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>untreated biomass</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>163.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) The oven-dried solid phase composition; SY solid yield

Optimisation of xylose and furfural production

The pre-treatment reaction conditions for production of xylose and furfural were determined based on the Doehlert experimental designs according to data shown in Tables 1-4 in ESI. The 3D response surfaces based on the statistical modelling are depicted in Figure 3.
The optimum condition set obtained after the statistical modelling analysis for $Y_1$ was 125 °C/82.1 min with a statistical response estimated at the level of 17.1 % (w/w) of xylose. The optimum condition for the response $Y_2$ was 161 °C/104.5 min with 33.3% of conversion to furfural. The model was validated by performing the pre-treatment at the optimised conditions and results are presented in ESI (Tables 5 and 6). The experimental value for the xylose production obtained was 16.7 % (w/w) while in case of xylan to furfural conversion the experimental validations allowed to obtain 32.2 % of conversion to furfural.

**Discussion**

In the last few years various studies about the pre-treatment and fractionation of biomass using ILs have been reported. 6–19,40 Recently, the ILs containing [HSO₄] anion became an appealing option to be used in the biomass pre-treatment, once the acidic properties of this IL allows the catalytic conversion
of biomass. In fact, the acidity of this IL not only allows the hydrolysis of hemicellulose into monosaccharides, such as xylose and arabinose, but also converts those monosaccharides into further degradation products such as furfural. In this work, this approach was deeply scrutinised by the wheat straw pre-treatment with [bmim][HSO₄] focusing the selective catalysis of hemicellulosic fraction for the production of xylose and furfural.

**Combined severity factor (CSF) as the comparison parameter**

The analysis of the obtained results based on two independent parameters (temperature and time of the pre-treatment) does not allow for direct analysis of the influence of these parameters on the reaction results. Thus, for comparison purposes a severity factor \( \log(R_0) \) defined by Overend and Chornet was applied. A severity factor is described by the following equation

\[
R_0 = \int_0^t e^{\frac{T(t) - 100}{14.75}} dt,
\]

where \( t \) is time expressed in minutes, \( T \) relates to temperature in °C, 100 is the reference temperature (100 °C) and 14.75 is an empirical constant. Furthermore, considering a strongly acidic character of some pre-treatments the combined severity factor described by the following equation

\[
\text{CSF} = \log(R_0) - \text{pH}
\]

should be considered. A close inspection of the equation depicting the severity factor reveals that the reference temperature and empirical factors are related to temperature at which water starts to act as a catalyst. This approach is valid for classical pre-treatment processes (e.g. autohydrolysis or acid catalysis), however this is not the case for pre-treatments occurring in non-aqueous media, such as ILs. Thus, new parameters are needed to be established following the methodology presented by Chum et al. Hence, the severity factor, \( R_0 \), expressed by the aforementioned equation can be also presented in more general form such as

\[
R_0 = e^{\left(\frac{T_r - T_b}{\omega}\right)} \times \Delta t,
\]

where \( T_r \) and \( T_b \) are absolute reaction temperature and reference temperature when hydrolysis initiates, respectively expressed in °C, and \( \omega \) is an adimensional constant that translates the effect of the temperature in the conversion. Yields of hemicellulose hydrolysis with [bmim][HSO₄] attained in this work were used to estimate the values of \( T_b \) and \( \omega \). The value of \( T_b \) was attained by applying the Doehlert design for all the hemicellulose hydrolysis experiments examined. The point \((x,0,0)\) represents the value of \( T_b \) and as such, by resolving the equation obtained from experimental design in the form of

\[
Y = 64.0048 + 74.5027X_1 + 19.4424X_2 - 19.9022X_1X_2 - 36.3594X_1^2,
\]

where, \( Y \) is the percentage of hemicellulose hydrolysis; and \( X_1, X_2 \) are the temperature (°C) and pre-treatment time (min), respectively gave \( T_b = 88.28 \) °C. The value of \( \omega \) was attained by representation of the equation

\[
Y = mX + B, \quad Y = \ln(-\ln(1 - \alpha)), \quad \alpha \text{ is the hydrolysis of hemicellulose, } X \text{ is the combined severity factor calculated in the following manner: }
\]

\[
X = \text{CSF} = \log_{10}\left(\frac{R_{\text{heating}} - R_{\text{isothermal}}}{\text{pH}}\right),
\]

where \( R_{\text{heating}} \) is the severity factor for heating and \( R_{\text{isothermal}} \) is the severity factor for isothermal condition process, and pH is the pH of the [bmim][HSO₄] and is equal to 1.0. Hence the value of \( \omega \) was obtained by maximisation of \( R^2 \) and for \( R^2 = 0.99 \) \( \omega \) is equal to 6.47. Finally, the
CSF used in this work has the following formula $CSF = \log_{10}\left( e^{\frac{T_{-88.28}}{6.47}} \times \Delta t \right) - pH$. All CSF for examined conditions are depicted in Table 3.

Table 3. Combined severity factor for all conditions performed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>85</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>115</th>
<th>115</th>
<th>145</th>
<th>130</th>
<th>130</th>
<th>145</th>
<th>160</th>
<th>160</th>
<th>175</th>
<th>175</th>
<th>175</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t$ (min)</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>163.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>6.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Catalysis performance of [bmim][HSO$_4$]

Among all examined pre-treatment conditions, the hydrolysis of hemicellulose occurred, even at the lowest temperature examined. At CSF =0.80 (85 °C/ 113.3 min) the presence of arabinose in the liquid phase (20.3 % (w/w)) without xylose indicates that arabinan is the first and the most susceptible fraction for hydrolysis. Similar behaviour was found in literature for other types of pre-treatments. For instance, Carvalheiro et al. studied the kinetics of brewery’s spent grain autohydrolysis and verified that the highest concentrations of arabinose oligomers were obtained firstly and for shorter reaction times than xylose oligomers. This phenomenon finds also an explanation in the chemical structure of hemicellulose, which consists of arabinan branches in xylopyranosyl backbone that makes arabinan more susceptible to the hydrolysis than xylan polymer. Furthermore, it is important to notice that arabinose content in the liquid phase decreases with an increase of pre-treatment severity. On the contrary, yield of xylose increases and reaches maximum at CSF=3.52 (130 °C/70 min). Further increase of severity (CSF > 3.94) guides to complete disappearance of xylose observed in case of pre-treatments performed at CSF = 5.46 or in other words for temperatures equal or higher than 160 °C. As expected, the discussed decrease of monosaccharide yields is accompanied by the increase of furfural content in the liquid phase. The achieved results permit to conclude that temperature seems to be a key parameter in favouring the hydrolysis of hemicellulose, which for more severe conditions (CSF > 3.52) guides the conversion of monosaccharides into furfural. These results are coherent with literature data for the pre-treatment of Miscanthus biomass by the same IL.

As mentioned before arabinose is firstly formed, but next is rapidly converted into furfural. Xylose follows this pathway and undergoes a quick conversion to furfural too. Nonetheless, for CSF = 6.34 degradation of furfural was observed, suggesting that the used conditions were too much severe and furfural may suffer further degradation. Similarly to both hemicellulosic saccharides, the increase of acetic acid production was observed in the liquid phase meaning a continuous hydrolysis of acetyl groups attached to hemicellulose structure. In respect to cellulose hydrolysis and conversion with [bmim][HSO$_4$], the results show that glucose is barely produced and the same time occurs its
conversion into HMF. This is an unique characteristic of [bmim][HSO₄], which demonstrates to selectively hydrolyse hemicellulose and obtain its derivative products as depicted in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Glucose (■), arabinose and xylose (□), furfural (●) (left scale) and acetyl groups (○) (right scale) found in liquors after pre-treatment carried out with [bmim][HSO₄]. Solid/dashed/dashed-dot-dot and short-long-short dashed lines are designed by polynomial adjustment to the experimental points and serve as guide for the eye

The chemical characterisation of the pre-treated solids (Table 2) shows an enrichment of glucan and lignin contents along the increase of severity of the performed reactions caused by the extensive hydrolysis of hemicellulose. Actually, total hemicellulose hydrolysis was achieved for pre-treatments with CSF > 4.77 (temperature above 145 °C). The main fractions constituting the processed solids are cellulose and lignin. The maximum cellulose content (58.2 % (w/w)) in the solid was reached at CSF = 3.52 (130 °C/70.0 min), but for more severe conditions, a cellulose content decreases and the lowest (38.6 % (w/w)) was found after the reaction at the most severe conditions (CSF = 6.95). Surprisingly, this decrease was not reflected in the liquid phase, where glucose, HMF and levulinic acid contents are very low (4.9 % (w/w) on the glucan basis). Actually, analysing the mass balance of the process, close to 34.0 % (w/w) cellulose was lost for the most severe reaction conditions (Figure 2). In spite of high cellulose lost, the hemicellulose mass loss is even higher. Hemicellulose had the lowest recovery of 29.3 % at CSF = 6.95. Equally to cellulose, the total mass of hemicellulose quantified in the liquid phase does not correspond to the mass removed from the solid. The explanation of the cellulose and hemicellulose disappearance is the possibility to form humins (pseudo-lignin).\textsuperscript{59,60} The literature reports state that released sugars and produced furans may react in the liquid phase and form polymeric insoluble carbon-enriched compounds called chars or pseudo-lignin (humins). The method
for compositional analysis of lignocellulosic biomass, developed by the NREL and presented above, does not distinguish between Klason lignin, naturally present in the biomass, and pseudo-lignin resulting from sugar degradation.\textsuperscript{60} Therefore, the disappearance of saccharide fractions and lignin recovery higher than 180\% obtained in this work, shown in Figure 5, may justify cellulose and hemicellulose mass loss. The creation of humins normally occurs for temperature superior to 160 °C\textsuperscript{60} and following the literature reports at more severe pre-treatment temperatures (180 °C) carbohydrate-derived pseudo-lignin can achieve even 94.4\% (w/w).\textsuperscript{60} Besides, the phenomenon of humin formation can be confirmed looking at the solid yield presented in Table 2. For less severe reaction conditions, especially those performed at lower temperature, the solid yield decreases with an increase of reaction severity, which is normal behaviour as a great part of hemicellulosic fraction became hydrolysed.\textsuperscript{20,21,56} However, processes carried out at high temperatures show an increase of solid yield with the increase of reaction temperature which might be explained by the aforementioned formation of pseudo-lignin.

Figure 5. Total hemicellulose loss (bars) and recovered lignin (●) in the course of the pre-treatments executed. Solid line is designed by polynomial adjustment to the experimental data and does not have any physical meaning and serves as guide for the eye.

**Optimisation of xylose and furfural production**

Optimisation of hemicellulose hydrolysis to sugars, in particular to xylose, was one of the goals of this work. The collected data for xylose production was submitted to statistical modelling (data in ESI) and using the statistically significant regression coefficients (\(p<0.05\)) the following model equation was found: \(Y_1 = 13.82 + 19.77X_1 + 7.04X_2 - 18.29X_1X_2 - 32.00X_1^2\). According to the positive linear coefficient for \(X_1\) and \(X_2\), it can be concluded that the amount of xylose obtained increases with an
increase of temperature and reaction time. The absolute values of the coefficients $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ show that the temperature ($X_1$) has stronger influence (almost 3-fold) on xylose production than time ($X_2$). On the other hand, the negative value for $\beta_{11}$ implies that the quadratic interaction of $X_1$ effects negatively the production of xylose. The negative value of $\beta_{12}$ coefficient indicates that the interaction of $X_1$ and $X_2$ is not proportional and the increase of temperature and, subsequent, reaction time has a negative effect on xylose yield.

The optimum conditions to attain maximal xylose yield was identified to be at 125 °C/82.1 min. The xylose yield obtained was 16.7 % (w/w) and 7.6 % (w/w) conversion to furfural. The TRS (total reducing sugar) yield, for this condition was 12.5 % (w/w). Li et. al also explored the use of [HSO$_4$] based ILs on the pre-treatment of corn stalk.$^{53}$ They attained a maximum 23 % and 15 % TRS yield at 5 and 2 min using [bmim][HSO$_4$] and [C$_4$SO$_3$Hmim][HSO$_4$], correspondingly for 100 ºC. Nevertheless, longer reaction times produced even lower TRS yield.$^{53}$

The chemical analysis of solid fraction obtained for optimum conditions, demonstrated that xylan was still present in the recovered biomass (Table 2). In other words, at these conditions an incomplete hydrolysis of hemicellulose was attained. However, as it was found for other conditions, more severe conditions favour furfural production, thus it can be stated that [bmim][HSO$_4$] converts xylan to xylose and next a sudden conversion to furfural occurs. Therefore, the production of furfural with [bmim][HSO$_4$] was also studied considering higher biomass conversion provoked by this IL. The experimental data submitted to Doehlert model design presented low statistical significance after evaluating statistically significant regression coefficients ($p<0.05$). The following equation was obtained $Y_2 = 30.16 + 12.89X_1 - 13.70X_1^2$. This equation shows that only the variation of temperature has statistical significance (linear and quadratic) and as such, it can be concluded that variation of time is statistically insignificant for furfural production. Nevertheless, the negative value of the $\beta_{11}$ coefficient translates into a decrease of furfural for more severe processes. This can be observed at CSF = 6.95 (175 °C/163.3 min) were a pronounced decrease of furfural concentration was observed. The optimum condition for furfural formation was found to be at 161 °C/104.5 min. At this condition, the conversion of hemicellulose to furfural was 30.7 % (w/w) and xylose was not present in the pre-treatment liquor. Brandt et al. verified that at 120 °C, using 80 vol.% of the IL [bmim][HSO$_4$] and 20 vol.% water in the pre-treatment of Miscanthus for 22 h, the resulting liquor contained approximately 33 % of furfural.$^{45}$ They also reported that using [bmim][MeSO$_3$] at the same conditions 14.8 % furfural yield was accomplished. Thus, comparing of the obtained results to these presented in this work, it can be stated that similar conversion to furfural 30.7 % vs 33 % was achieved for shorter pre-treatment processes without excessive amounts of water within the system.
Effect of water content on the biomass pre-treatment

As it has been reported water has a great influence on the efficiency of the biomass pre-treatments by ILs.\textsuperscript{36,37,61-63} Generally, water acting as an anti-solvent affects negatively cellulose and lignocellulose dissolution in ILs.\textsuperscript{35,36} Furthermore, considering the discussed above selectivity aspect, it is important to understand the mechanism driving the xylose conversion to furfural.\textsuperscript{54-66} The mechanism proposed in literature assumes the formation of furfural by the dehydration of xylose molecule. Therefore, it can be assumed that equilibrium of this reaction should be sensitive on the water content in the reaction mixture. Considering that in the examined system only 1.24 % (w/w) (5385 ppm of water in IL and 8.3 % (w/w) humidity of biomass) is present, it can be understood why in the presence of hydrophilic ionic liquid such as [bmim][HSO$_4$], the hemicellulose undergoes hydrolysis to xylose and arabinose and later both rapidly, and kinetically favoured, are converted to furfural liberating water. Following this hypothesis and analysing the hemicellulose hydrolysis reaction chain shown in Figure 6, it can be expected that addition of water should have a positive “protecting” effect on inhibition of xylose and arabinose dehydration to furfural. Moreover, the additional amount of water could enhance the hydrolysis of hemicellulose to monosugars according to Figure 7.

![Schematic hemicellulose hydrolysis reaction chain](image)

Figure 6. Schematic hemicellulose hydrolysis reaction chain.

To validate the veracity of this hypothesis, additional experiments were performed. Two additional pre-treatments at the optimum conditions achieved for xylose production (125 °C/82.1 min) were performed using 4.84 % and 9.22 % (w/w) water content in the pre-treatment system. Liquid phase analysis demonstrated that by increasing the water content from 1.24 % to 4.84 % (w/w) the sum of xylose and arabinose concentrations increase by 70%. In the initial pre-treatment at 1.24 % (w/w) the sum of concentration of both monosaccharides was 21.4 % (w/w) and at 4.84 % (w/w) water content the value increased to 36.4 % (w/w) (Figure 8). For 9.22 % (w/w) water content in the pre-treatment system another increase of sum of xylose and arabinose concentrations (Figure 8) to 40.1 % (w/w) was observed. It is also important to underpin that the amount of water does not alter significantly the furfural presence in the liquor, thus furfural yield was observed to be constant. On the other hand the amount of degradation products shown on Figure 7 as hemicellulose loss is significantly reduced and is well correlated with the abovementioned increase of arabinose and xylose concentrations especially that there is no increase of xylan and arabinan disappearance in solid.
Figure 7. Effect of water content in hemicellulose hydrolysis (sum of arabinose and xylose (●), hemicellulose content (sum of arabinan and xylan) in solid (■), hemicellulose conversion to furfural (□), hemicellulose loss (degradation product) (○) at 120 °C/82.1 min; Solid lines are designed by polynomial adjustment to the experimental point

Conclusions and final remarks

Exploitation of lignocellulosic residue potential is an important issue in the context of green chemistry and biorefinery concept. In this work a method of wheat straw pre-treatment using the acidic [bmim][HSO₄] IL was employed. Two independent parameters were optimised using Doehlert statistical model design aiming the maximal xylose and furfural productions. For comparison of the set of experimental data, the severity factor for pre-treatment with [bmim][HSO₄] was proposed. Less severe reaction conditions favour xylose formation and maximum yield of xylose 16.7 % (w/w) was attained at 125 °C/82.1 min. Furfural is mostly formed at more severe conditions and 30.7% (w/w) was obtained at 161°C/104.5 min. Variation of water content was studied and an increase of water content to 4.84 % (w/w) led to the hemicellulose sugar yields of 36.4 % (w/w). Pre-treatment process using a 9.22 % (w/w) content resulted in hemicellulose-originated sugars yield of 40.1 % (w/w). At the same time, conversion to furfural was maintained. The performed experiments allowed for selective removal of hemicellulose from processed biomass confirming that the acidic IL is capable to selectively catalyse hemicellulose within a green and sustainable approach.

To accomplish the comprehensive engineering approach of the presented process, the products recovery as well as recycling and reuse of IL should be considered as shown in Figure 8.
Figure 8. The schematic representation of the potential processes of biomass valorisation with [bmim][HSO₄]. The bracket depicts the study being the focus of the work presented in this paper.

The efficiency of the process is also connected with further valorisation of biomass besides the production of xylose and furfural. After pre-treatment, the obtained solid can be further fractionated into cellulose and lignin. An effective separation process of the main fractions of lignocellulosic biomass was already presented elsewhere. Furthermore, the formation of humins is an interesting phenomenon and could be examined as the opportunity for the process with [bmim][HSO₄].
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Details of statistical modelling and CE and HPLC spectra are presented in ESI.
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The process represents a sustainable approach of biomass transformation into pivot chemicals, such as xylose and furfural, solely mediated by the acidic [bmim][HSO$_4$] ionic liquid. The catalysis demonstrates to be selective for hemicellulose fraction of biomass and the final product can be easily tuned for xylose or furfural just by controlling the severity of the pre-treatment conditions.