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# A Series of Multidimensional MOFs Incorporating a new N-heterocyclic Building Block: 5,5'-di(pyridin-4-yl)-3,3'-bi(1,2,4-triazole) 
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By using a new N-heterocyclic Building Block:

5,5'-di(pyridin-4-yl)-3,3'-bi(1,2,4-triazole) (4,4'- $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}\right)$, six novel coordination polymers with diversiform connectivity from one to three dimensional were constructed and characterized by X-ray crystallography successfully. Additionally, the magnetic properties of 1-4 have been briefly investigated.
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#### Abstract

By using a new N-heterocyclic Building Block: 5,5'-di(pyridin-4-yl)-3,3'-bi(1,2,4-triazole) (4,4'- $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}\right)$, six novel coordination polymers with diversiform connectivity from one to three dimensional were constructed successfully. By regulating the different auxiliary ligands, central metal ions, and some other synthetic conditions, 4,4'- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ revised various coordination modes. Consequently, 1 revise a 2D (3, 6)-topology, with $\left(4^{3}\right)_{3}\left(4^{6} \cdot 6^{6} .8^{3}\right)_{2}$ Schläfli symbol. 2 show a 3D 8- connected topology with ( $3^{6} .4^{18} .5^{3} .6$ ) Schläfli symbol. 3 and 4 which are isostructural, both have a 2D 4- connected topology, with $\left(4^{4} .6^{2}\right)$ Schläfli symbol. 5 have a complex 3D porous architecture with a 1D solvent-filled channel. $\mathbf{6}$ reveal a 1D helical chain extended along a quad screw axis. These results indicate that 4,4'- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ is an excellent multi- connection linker to construct MOFs with interesting structures and properties.


## Introduction

The design and synthesis of coordination polymers, especially metal- organic frameworks (MOFs) have attracted considerable interest in the realm of supra-
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molecular chemistry and crystal engineering, not only owing to their appealing structural and topological novelty but also because of their tremendous potential applications in gas storage and separation,[1] electrical conduction,[2] luminescence materials,[3] molecular magnets, [4] and heterogeneous catalysis.[5] Thus, a series of studies in this field have mainly focused on the design and preparation, as well as the structure-property relationships.[6] The structures of coordination polymers are determined by several factors, including the coordination geometry of the central metal ions, solvents,[7] ligand structure, metal-ligand ratio,[8] counterions,[9] $\mathrm{pH},[10]$ temperature,[11] and so on. Among the reported studies, much effort has been focused on the rational design and controlled synthesis of coordination polymers using multidentate ligands such as polycarboxylate and N - heterocyclic ligands. The N -heterocyclic ligands are good molecular building blocks and co- ligands for constructing MOFs with interesting structures and properties, which have been widely reported by Yaghi,[12] Snurr,[13] Chen,[14] Du,[15] Tong,[16] Zhang,[17] etc.

Our groups have systematically explored the coordination assemblies on the basis of some polycarboxylate ligands cooperate with some angular N -heterocyclic-like ligands: $\quad 1 \mathrm{H}-3,5-$ bis(4-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole (4,4'-bpt), 1H-3-(3-pyridyl)-5-(4-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole (3,4'-bpt),

1H-3,5-bis(3-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole (3,3'-bpt),

4- amino-3,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole (4, $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}$-abpt),

1,4-bis(5-(4-pyridyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)benzene (bptb), etc. [18] In most of the cases, the angular N-heterocyclic-like ligands act as 2- connected linkers with different distortion angles and directions. Relying on the versatile coordination modes of the polycarboxylate ligands, a variety of structures were obtained. Recently, various compounds with complex topology based on some ditriazole- pyridine ligand, have been reported by Hou,[19] Li,[20] Sha,[21] and Zhou,[22] etc. And these ligands revised various conformations, highlighting they are another excellent multiconnection linkers in addition to polycarboxylate ligands.[23] Thus, these considerations inspired us to explore new coordination frameworks with this kind of ligands.

In this paper, we introduce a new ditriazole- pyridine ligand: 5,5'-di(pyridin-4-yl)-3,3'-bi(1,2,4-triazole) (4,4'- $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}\right)$. Different with our previous N-heterocyclic-like ligands, chelate effect between the adjacent triazole rings was introduced. Thus, it could adopt various conformations, which may lead to unpredictable and interesting structures, by regulating the rotation angles of the four aromatic rings with respect to each other, the deprotonation effert ( $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dpbt}, 4,4^{\prime}-$ Hdpbt, 4,4'- $\mathrm{dpbt}^{2-}$ ) and the flexing angles. However, to the best of our knowledge, MOFs based on 5,5'-di(pyridin-4-yl)-3,3'-bi(1,2,4-triazole) (4,4'- $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}\right)$ have never been documented to date.

A series of coordination polymers based on 4,4'- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$, namely, $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}_{5}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}\right] \cdot 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1}),\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}(p-\mathrm{BDC})_{2}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}\right) \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (2), $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hdbpt}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{3}),\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hdbpt}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(4),\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}\right)\right] \cdot\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{5}),\left\{\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{6})$ were constructed successfully. By regulating the different auxiliary ligands, central metal ions, etc, $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ revised five different coordination modes (scheme 1) based on the alteration of the rotation angles, valence state and the flexing angles. As a result, 1-6 revised five different (3 and $\mathbf{4}$ are isostructural) architectures with diversiform connectivity from one to three dimensional.

## Scheme 1

## Experimental Section

## Materials and Physical Measurements

With the exception of the ligand of $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ which was prepared according to the literature procedure,[24] all reagents and solvents for synthesis and analysis were commercially available and used as received. IR spectra were taken on a Perkin-Elmer spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer in the $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region with KBr pellets. Elemental analyses for C, H and N were carried out on a Model 2400 II, Perkin-Elmer elemental analyzer. The magnetic susceptibility measurements of the polycrystalline
samples were measured over the temperature range of 2-300K with a Quantum Design MPMS-XL7 SQUID magnetometer using an applied magnetic field of 1000 Oe. A diamagnetic correction to the observed susceptibilities was applied using Pascal's constants. X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) intensities were measured on a Rigaku D/max-IIIA diffractometer ( $C u-K \alpha, \lambda=1.54056 \AA$ ). The single crystalline powder samples were prepared by crushing the crystals and scanned from 3 to $60^{\circ}$ with a step of $0.1^{\circ} /$ s. Calculated patterns of 1- $\mathbf{6}$ were generated with PowderCell.

## Syntheses of complexes 1-6

 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(238 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethanol ( 15 mL ) and triethylamine ( 0.5 mL ) was sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel ( 25 mL ), which was heated at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$. Glaucous needle-like crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ were obtained and picked out, washed with ethanol and dried in air. Yield: $47 \%$ (based on $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ). Elemental analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{Cl}_{8} \mathrm{Co}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{18}$ (\%) Calcd: C, 35.40; H, 3.42; N, 18.58. Found: C, 35.47; H, 3.49; N, 18.52. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3410 \mathrm{~s}, 1620 \mathrm{~s}, 1437 \mathrm{~s}, 1354 \mathrm{~m}, 1307 \mathrm{~s}, 1217 \mathrm{w}, 1160 \mathrm{w}, 1066 \mathrm{~m}, 1019 \mathrm{~s}, 839 \mathrm{~s}, 713 \mathrm{~s}$, 616w, 576s, 526, 436.
$\left.\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}_{\mathbf{3}}(\boldsymbol{p} \text {-BDC })_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 4 , 4} \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \mathbf{- d b p t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{0 . 5 C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O H}\right]\right\}_{\mathbf{n}}$ (2). A mixture containing $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $291 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $p-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BDC}(83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), water ( 10 mL ), methanol ( 5 mL ) and triethylamine ( 0.5 mL ) was sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel ( 25 mL ), which was heated at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$. Purple block crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ were obtained and picked out, washed with distilled water and dried in air. Yield: 30\% (based on $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30.5} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8.5}\right)$ : C, 45.26; H, 2.24; N, 13.85. Found: C, 45.39; H, 2.17; N, 13.92. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3446s, 1717m, 1599s, 1498w, $1426 \mathrm{~m}, 1365 \mathrm{~s}, 1300 \mathrm{~m}, 1271 \mathrm{~m}, 1217 \mathrm{w}, 1156 \mathrm{w}, 1102 \mathrm{w}, 1016 \mathrm{~m}, 882 \mathrm{w}, 839 \mathrm{~m}, 814 \mathrm{~s}$, $742 \mathrm{~s}, 717 \mathrm{~m}, 587 \mathrm{~m}, 515 \mathrm{w}, 450 \mathrm{w}$.
$\left\{\left[\mathbf{C o}\left(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}-\mathbf{H d b p t}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 H}_{2} \mathbf{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (3). A mixture containing 4, $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}(87 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(291 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, water ( 15 mL ), and triethylamine $(0.5$
mL ) was sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel ( 25 mL ), which was heated at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$. Orange block X-ray-quality crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ in a $32 \%$ yield (based on Co (II)). Anal. Calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{CoN}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 49.93$; H, 3.29; N, 33.27. Found: C, 49.81; H, 3.35; N, 33.18. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3428 \mathrm{~s}, 3028 \mathrm{~m}, 2668 \mathrm{~m}, 1620 \mathrm{~s}, 1574 \mathrm{~m}, 1559 \mathrm{w}, 1426 \mathrm{~s}, 1390 \mathrm{~m}, 1329 \mathrm{w}$, $1304 \mathrm{~s}, 1210 \mathrm{~m}, 1178 \mathrm{~s}, 1113 \mathrm{~m}, 1052 \mathrm{~m}, 1008 \mathrm{~s}, 990 \mathrm{~s}, 890 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 961 \mathrm{w}, 839 \mathrm{~s}, 753 \mathrm{w}, 724 \mathrm{~s}$, 699m, 656w, 533m, 515s, 461w, 418w.
$\left\{\left[\mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}-\mathbf{H d b p t}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (4). The same synthetic procedure as that for $\mathbf{3}$ was used except that $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was replaced by $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ giving green needle-like X-ray-quality crystals of $\mathbf{4}$ in a $39 \%$ yield (based on Ni (II)). Anal. Calcd for ( $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NiN}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ): C, 49.95; H, 3.29; N, 33.29. Found: C, 49.86; H, 3.31; N, 33.15. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3428 \mathrm{~s}, 3028 \mathrm{~m}, 2668 \mathrm{~m}, 1620 \mathrm{~s}, 1574 \mathrm{~m}, 1559 \mathrm{w}, 1307 \mathrm{~s}, 1214 \mathrm{~m}, 1174 \mathrm{~s}$, $1113 \mathrm{~m}, 1052 \mathrm{~m}, 1012 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 990 \mathrm{~s}, 980 \mathrm{~m}, 890 \mathrm{w}, 861 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 839 \mathrm{~s}, 756 \mathrm{~s}, 724 \mathrm{~s}, 699 \mathrm{~m}, 652 \mathrm{w}$, 533m, 515s, 461w, 422w.
$\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 4 , 4} \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \text {-dbpt)] } \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$ (5). The same synthetic procedure as that for $\mathbf{3}$ was used except that $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and water were replaced by $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and DMF, respectively, giving red needle-like X-ray-quality crystals of 5 in a $45 \%$ yield (based on $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, 38.80; $\mathrm{H}, 2.33$; N, 25.86. Found: C , 38.87; H, 2.29; N, 25.93. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3435s, 3107w, 3050w, 2456w, 1944w, 1660w, 1610s, 1516m, 1430s, 1383m, 1318s, 1214w, 1152w, 1098w, 1030w, 1005m, 987m, 839s, $746 \mathrm{w}, 710 \mathrm{~s}, 526 \mathrm{w}, 497 \mathrm{w}$.
$\left\{\left[\mathbf{M n}\left(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}-\mathbf{d b p t}\right)\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (6). The same synthetic procedure as that for $\mathbf{3}$ was used except that $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was replaced by $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ giving colourless needle-like X-ray-quality crystals of $\mathbf{6}$ in a $44 \%$ yield (based on $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{MnN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 40.49; H, 3.88; N, 26.98. Found: C, 40.57; H, 3.72; N, 27.07. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3415s, 3046w, 2948w, 1932w, 1612s, 1526w, 1499m, $1455 \mathrm{w}, ~ 1434 \mathrm{~s}, 1403 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 1385 \mathrm{w}, 1351 \mathrm{w}, 1317 \mathrm{~s}, 1292 \mathrm{~m}, 1234 \mathrm{w}, 1215 \mathrm{w}$, 1157w, 1120w, 1092w, 1065w, 1006s, 834m, 745w, 723m, 708s, 671w, 640w, 523w,489w.

## X-ray Crystallographic Determination

All reflection data were collected on an Agilent Supernova diffractometer (Mo, $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) at room temperature. A semiempirical absorption correction by using the SADABS program was applied, and the raw data frame integration was performed with SAINT[25]. The crystal structures were solved by the direct method using the program SHELXS-97[26] and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method on $F^{2}$ for all non-hydrogen atoms using SHELXL-97[27] with anisotropic thermal parameters. All hydrogen atoms were located in calculated positions and refined isotropically, except the hydrogen atoms of water molecules were fixed in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. The details of the crystal data were summarized in Table 1, and selected bond lengths and angles for compounds 1-6 are listed in Table S1. The crystallographic data of $\mathbf{1 - 6}$ in CIF format has been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC reference number: 1033515-1033520).

## XRPD Results

To confirm whether the crystal structures are truly representative of the bulk materials, X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) experiments have also been carried out for 1- 6. The XRPD experimental and computer- simulated patterns of the corresponding complexes are shown in ESI, Figure S2. Although the experimental patterns have a few unindexed diffraction lines and some are slightly broadened in comparison with those simulated from the single crystal models, it can still be considered favorably that the bulk synthesized materials and the as-grown crystals are homogeneous for 1-6.

## Results and discussion

## Description of the crystal structures

Table 1
 reveals that the asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{1}$ contains three crystallographically independent Co atoms, one 4,4'- $\mathrm{dbpt}^{2-}$ anionic, four $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$anionic, and one lattice protonated triethylamine ion. As illustrated in Fig. 1a, Co1 is coordinated by two N atoms (N6, N3A, symmetry codes: A: $x, y, z+1$ ) from two $4,4^{\prime}-$ dbpt ligands, and two Cl atoms (C11, C12), to yield distorted tetrahedron geometry. Co3 also adopts a distorted tetrahedron geometry coordinated by two N atoms (N1C, N8D, C: $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; D: $x, y, z-1$ ) from two $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}$ ligands, one $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{Cl} 3)$ atom and one terminal Cl atom (C14). While Co2 lying on a symmetry center adopts a distorted octahedron geometry coordinated by four N atoms ( $\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 5, \mathrm{~N} 4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~N} 5 \mathrm{~A}$ ) from two $4,4^{\prime}-$ dbpt ligands in the equatorial plane, and two $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}$ atoms $(\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Cl} 3 \mathrm{~A})$ in the axial position. The middle Co 2 ion is linked to terminal Co 1 and Co 3 ions with two triazoles from two $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}$ ligands $\left(\mathrm{Co} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Co} 2=3.788\right.$ (2) $\AA$ ) and one $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{Co} 2 \cdots$ $\mathrm{Co} 3=4.493$ (2) $\AA$ ) respectively to form a $\mathrm{Co}_{5}$ unit (Fig. 1b). The adjacent $\mathrm{Co}_{5}$ units share vertices (Co3) and connect each other, to form a 2D ladder structure (Fig. 1c). The lattice protonated triethylamine ions fill in the gaps of the neighbor 2D layers which arrange parallelly each other (Fig. 1d). A topological analysis reveals that the $\mathrm{Co}_{5}$ unit and the shared vertices Co 3 serve as a 6 - connected node and 3connected node respectively to link each other. According to Wells' topology definition,[28] an interesting topology with the short Schläfli symbol of $\left(4^{3}\right)_{3}\left(4^{6} \cdot 6^{6} \cdot 8^{3}\right)_{2}$ is formed (Fig. 1e).

Fig. 1
 crystallographically independent Co atoms, half a $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}^{2-}$, one $p$ - BDC anionic, and a quarter lattice methanol molecule. As illustrated in Fig. 2, $\mathbf{2}$ reveals a novel 3D coordination polymer with a linear trinuclear $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ unit. In the trinuclear unit, the
middle Co1 ions lying on a symmetry center exhibit a distorted octahedron geometry coordinated by four O atoms (O1, O3, O1A, O3A, A: $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$ ) from four $p$ - BDC ligands in the equatorial plane, and two N atoms (N3B, $\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}:-x,-y+1$, $-z+1$; $\mathrm{C}: x+1, y, z$ ) from two dbpt ligands in the axial position. The terminal Co 2 ions exhibit a distorted trigonal- dipyramidal geometry, which is provided by surround by two N atoms (N2C, N4D, D: $-x,-y,-z+1$ ) from two $4,4^{\prime}-$ dbpt ligands in the axial position, two O atoms ( $\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{~A}$ ) from two $p$ - BDC ligands and one N atom (N4D, D: $-x,-y,-z+1$ ) from $4,4^{\prime}-$ dbpt ligand in the equatorial plane. The middle Col ion is linked to terminal Co2 ions with two syn- syn carboxylate from two $p$ - BDC ligands and a triazole from $4,4^{\prime}-\operatorname{dbpt}\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\cdots} \mathrm{Co}=4.008(5) \AA\right]$ to form the $\mathrm{Co}_{3}$ unit. The adjacent $\mathrm{Co}_{3}$ units are connected by $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}^{2-}$ with mode 2 (Scheme 1 ) to form a 2D layer (Fig. 2b). The layer is further connected by $p$-BDC pillars to generate a 3D open framework with a 1D solvent-filled channel which diameter is about $9 \AA$ (Fig. 2d). Better insight into this framework can be achieved by topology analysis. The $\mathrm{Co}_{3}$ unit serves as an 8 - connected node, $p$ - BDC and $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}$ both serves as linker. In this way, this framework can be simplified to be an 8 -connected 3D architecture with the short Schläfli symbol of $\left(3^{6} .4^{18} .5^{3} .6\right)$ is formed (Fig. 2e).

## Fig. 2

$\left\{\left[\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{( M =} \mathbf{C o}$ for 3, Ni for $\left.\mathbf{4}\right)$. Compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are isostructural with an identical space group and similar cell dimensions. As shown in Fig. 3a, there is only one independent $M$ atom in the asymmetric unit. All the $M$ ions lying on a symmetry center exhibit a distorted octahedron geometry coordinated by four triazole N atoms (N4, N5, N4B, N5B, B: $x+1 / 2,-y-1 / 2, z-1 / 2$ ) from two 4,4'Hdbpt ${ }^{-}$ions in the equatorial plane, and two pyridine N atoms (N8A, N8C, $\mathrm{A}:-x+1$, $-y,-z+2 ; \mathrm{C}:-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+5 / 2$ ) from two $4,4^{\prime}-$ Hdbpt $^{-}$ions in the axial position. The adjacent M ions are connected by $4,4^{\prime}$ - Hdbpt with mode 3 (Scheme 1) with the separation of 10.56 (1) $\AA$ for $\mathbf{3}$ and 10.54 (1) $\AA$ for 4, to form a 2D layer (Fig. 2b). And the neighbor 2D layers arrange parallelly each other (Fig. 3c). The distance of the
nearest M atoms between the neighbor 2D layers is 9.25 (1) $\AA$ for $\mathbf{3}$, and 9.18 (1) $\AA$ for 4 . The M ion serves as a 4 - connected node, and $4,4^{\prime}$ - Hdbpt ${ }^{-}$serves as a linker. As a result, this framework can be simplified to be a 4 -connected 2D architecture with the short Schläfli symbol of $\left(4^{4} .6^{2}\right)$ is formed (Fig. 3d).

## Fig. 3

$\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \text {-dbpt) }\right]^{\bullet} \quad \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$ (5). The asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{5}$ contains three crystallographically independent Cu atoms, one $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}^{2-}$, and a lattice water molecule. As illustrated in Fig. 4a, Cu1 is coordinated by four N atoms (N1, N1A, N7B, N6C, Symmetry codes: A: $-x, y,-z+1 / 2$; B: $-x+1 / 2, y-1 / 2, z$; C: $x-1 / 2, y-1 / 2$, $-z+1 / 2$ ) from four $4,4^{\prime}-$ dbpt ligands, to yield distorted tetrahedron geometry. Cu 2 lying on a symmetry site is two- coordinated, which is provided by two triazole N donors (N4, N4D, D: $-x+1, y,-z+3 / 2$; (v) $x,-y+1, z+1 / 2$ ) from two 4,4'- dbpt ligands. The distance of Cu2-N5 is 2.913 (2) $\AA$, indicating a weak coordination interaction between them. Cu 3 adopt plane triangle configuration which is coordinated by three N atoms (N3, N6E, N8F, E: $x,-y+1, z+1 / 2$; F: $x-1 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$ ) from three 4,4'dbpt ligands. The $4,4^{\prime}-$ dbpt ligand adopt mode 4 (Scheme 1) connect $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ atoms to fotm a 3D reticular structure with a 1D solvent-filled channel which diameter is about $7 \AA$ (Fig. 4b, c).

## Fig. 4

$\left\{\left[\mathbf{M n}\left(4, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}-\mathbf{d b p t}\right)\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (6). Compound $\mathbf{6}$ crystallizes in the tetragonal space group $I 4_{1} /$ acd. As shown in Fig. 5a, there is only one independent Mn atom in the asymmetric unit. All the Mn atoms exhibit a distorted octahedron geometry coordinated by four triazole N atoms (N1, N1A, N3B, N3C, Symmetry codes: A: $-x+1 / 2, y,-z ; \mathrm{B}:-y+1 / 4,-x+1 / 4,-z+1 / 4 ; \mathrm{C}: y+1 / 4,-x+1 / 4, z-1 / 4)$ from two $4,4^{\prime}-$ dbpt ligands, and two water molecules (O1, O1A). The adjacent Mn atoms are connected by $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dbpt}^{2-}$ with mode 5 (Scheme 1) with the separation of 5.9493 (9) $\AA$ to form a quadruple helical chain extended along the $c$ axis (Fig. 5b). The neighbor
helical chains arrange parallelly with the opposite spiral direction and connected each other through H-bond around another quad screw axis to form a 3D open framework with a 1D water-filled channel (Fig. 5c, d). And the water molecules in the channel arranged with $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ symmetry (Fig. 5e). The distance of the nearest Mn atoms between the neighbor helical chains is 9.327 (1) $\AA$.

## Fig. 5

## Structural Diversity of 1-6

Six new MOFs from one to three dimensions, based on the $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ ligand were presented. Among them, 1, 3, $\mathbf{4}$ form 2D layer architectures, $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ form 3D network architectures, and 6 forms 1D chain architecture with diversiform connectivity. Clearly, the phenomenon of structural diversification in 1-6 may arise from the three sources as follow. First of all, the $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ ligand plays a dominating effect on constructing the polymer structures. Owing to the different rotation angles of the four aromatic rings with respect to each other, deprotonation effert ( $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dpbt}, 4,4^{\prime}$ Hdpbtt, 4,4'- $\mathrm{dpbt}^{2-}$ ) and the flexing angles, it could adopt various conformations, which may lead to unpredictable and interesting structures. In this paper, the 4,4'$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ ligand adopts five different conformations (Scheme 1) according to the geometric requirements of metal ions and/or the introduction of auxiliary ligand. Secondly, the introduction of auxiliary ligands may play significant roles in the formation of different topological structures. Compound 3 without auxiliary ligand, revealed a 2D 4- connected topology, with $\left(4^{4} .6^{2}\right)$ Schläfli symbol. For $1, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is involved in coordination. As a result, a more complex 2D ladder structure based on a $\mathrm{Co}_{5}$ unit with the short Schläfli symbol of $\left(4^{3}\right)_{3}\left(4^{6} \cdot 6^{6} \cdot 8^{3}\right)_{2}$ is formed. For 2, with the employment of $\mathrm{H}_{2} p$-BDC, an 8- connected 3D pillared layered architecture with the short Schläfli symbol of $\left(3^{6} .4^{18} .5^{3} .6\right)$ is formed. Thirdly, different metal ions may have different charges, electron configurations, and ionic radii, hence exhibiting different
coordination geometries. So the connectivity of polymeric frameworks is also strongly related to the metal centers. In $5, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ exhibit the coexistence of two, three and four coordinated patterns which is different from others. And hence, a complex 3D architecture is formed.

## Magnetic Properties

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out on polycrystalline samples of $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ in the temperature range $2.0-300.0 \mathrm{~K}$ at 1000 Oe . (Fig 6a and 7)

For 1, the data above 50 K follow the Curie-Weiss law with $C=10.81 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{Kmol}^{-1}$ and $\theta=-22.35 \mathrm{~K}$. The $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ value at 300 K is $10.12 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, which is larger than the spin-only value $9.38 \mathrm{emu} \cdot \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for five magnetically active $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ions $(S=3 / 2$, $g=2.0$ ), as expected for $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ systems with a significant contribution from the effects of spin-orbital coupling. As the temperature is lowered, the $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ values decrease continuously and reaches a local minimum of $5.98 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at about 12 K , indicative of a strong single-ion behavior admixture with a weak antiferromagnetic interaction [29]. And then increases slightly to reach a maximum at ca. 8.0 K , and then rapidly decreases to a minimum of $2.29 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at 2 K . The observed increase in $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ below this temperature is no longer coming from the single- ion behaviour but rather from a ferromagnetic or canted antiferromagnetic Co (II)- Co (II) exchange interaction, and the finally decreases in $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ below 10 K , indicating a magnetic phase transition. The dependence of $\chi_{M} \mathrm{~T} v s . T$ curves of $\mathbf{1}$ at different fields is pronounced at low-temperature, the larger increase of $\chi_{M} \mathrm{~T}$ values at a small field (Figure 6b). This is an important feature of spin- canting behavior.[30]

The zero-field ac susceptibility data of $\mathbf{1}$ shows obvious peaks and slight frequency-dependence (Fig. 6d, inset) below 8 K , which confirms the magnetic phase transition. And the shift of peak temperature ( $T_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) of $\chi_{M^{\prime \prime}}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ was measured by a parameter $\varnothing=\Delta T_{\mathrm{p}} /\left[T_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta(\log f)\right] \approx 0.06$, being in the range of spin glass systems.[31] And the relaxation time $\tau_{0}$ was obtained from the Arrhenius law; the best set of parameters is $\tau_{0}=2.53 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~s}$ and $\Delta E / k_{\mathrm{B}}=201.55 \mathrm{~K}$ (Fig 6 d ), where $\Delta E$ is the energy
barrier and $k_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzmann constant, suggesting a thermally activated mechanism.

## Fig. 6

For 2, the data above 2 K follow the Curie-Weiss law with $C=7.48 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\theta=-18.35 \mathrm{~K}$. The $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ value at 300 K is $7.05 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, which is larger than the spin-only value $5.63 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for three magnetically active Co (II) ions $(S=$ $3 / 2, g=2.0$ ), as expected for $\operatorname{Co}($ II $)$ systems with a significant contribution from the effects of spin-orbital coupling. As the temperature is lowered, the $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ values decrease continuously and reaches a local minimum of $1.01 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at about 2 K , indicative of a strong single-ion behavior admixture with a weak antiferromagnetic interaction.

For 3, the data above 2 K follow the Curie- Weiss law with $C=3.01 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\theta=-12.47 \mathrm{~K}$. The $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ value at 300 K is $2.89 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, which is much larger than the spin-only value $1.88 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for a magnetically active $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ion $(S=$ $3 / 2, g=2.0$ ), as expected for $\operatorname{Co}($ II $)$ systems with a significant contribution from the effects of spin-orbital coupling. As the temperature is lowered, the $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ values decrease continuously and reaches a local minimum of $0.61 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at about 2 K , indicative of a strong single-ion behavior. The distance of the nearest Co atoms in $\mathbf{3}$ is 9.25 (1) $\AA$, indicating that the weak interactions between them could be ignored. The in- and out of- phase ac susceptibilities have no dependence on frequency between 2 and 10 K for $\mathbf{3}$ indicating that there is no single-ion magnet (SIM) behavior in 3. (Fig S3)

For 4, the data above 2 K follow the Curie-Weiss law with $C=1.33 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\theta=-1.94 \mathrm{~K}$. The $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ value at 300 K is $1.32 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, which is slightly larger than the spin-only value $1.21 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for a magnetically active $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ion $(S=1$, $g=2.2$ ), and is relatively constant down to approximately 40 K . Below this temperature, it collapses, indicating significant ZFS in the $\mathrm{S}=1$ ground state. [32]

Similar to $\mathbf{3}$, the distance of the nearest Ni atoms in $\mathbf{4}$ is 9.18 (1) $\AA$, indicating that the weak interactions between them could be ignored. The in- and out of- phase ac susceptibilities have no dependence on frequency between 2 and 10 K for $\mathbf{4}$ indicating that there is no SIM behavior in 4. (Fig S3)

For 6, the data above 2 K follow the Curie-Weiss law with $C=4.73 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\theta=-4.70 \mathrm{~K}$. The $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ value at 300 K is $4.65 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, which is slightly larger than the spin-only value $4.38 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for a magnetically active Mn (II) ion $(S=$ $5 / 2, g=2.2$ ), and is relatively constant down to approximately 30 K . Below this temperature, the $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ values decrease continuously and reaches a local minimum of $1.27 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at about 2 K , indicative of a weak antiferromagnetic $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ exchange interaction. The in- and out of- phase ac susceptibilities have no dependence on frequency between 2 and 10 K . (Fig S3)

## Fig. 7

## Thermal Analyses

The thermogravimetric (TG) analysis was performed in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere on polycrystalline samples of complex $\mathbf{1 - 6}$, and the TG curves are shown in Fig. 8.

Fig. 8

For 1, the first weight loss of $15.08 \%$ between 30 and $112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the release of the lattice triethylamine molecules (calculated, 14.89\%). The residual framework decomposed beyond $360{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a series of complicated weight losses until $920{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For 2, the first weight loss of $1.82 \%$ between 30 and $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
corresponds to the release of the lattice methyl alcohol molecules (calculated, $1.98 \%$ ). The residual framework decomposed beyond $316{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a series of complicated weight losses until $885{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For 3, the two steps of the weight loss of $5.14 \%$ between 30 and $268{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ correspond to the release of the two lattice water molecules (calculated, $5.34 \%$ ). The residual framework decomposed beyond $450{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a series of complicated weight losses until $844^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathbf{4}$, similar to $\mathbf{3}$, the two steps of the weight loss of $5.46 \%$ between 30 and $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ correspond to the release of the two lattice water molecules (calculated, 5.35\%). The residual framework decomposed beyond $428^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a series of complicated weight losses until $880^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For 5, the first weight loss of $4.16 \%$ between 30 and $77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the release of the lattice water molecules (calculated, 4.13\%). The residual framework decomposed beyond $502{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a series of complicated weight losses and was still continuing when heating ended at $1000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For 6, the first weight loss of $82.21 \%$ between 80 and $184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the release of the lattice and the coordinated water molecules (calculated, $82.66 \%$ ). The residual framework decomposed beyond $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a series of complicated weight losses until $834^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented the synthesis and crystal structures of six new MOFs from one to three dimensions, based on the $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ ligand. The structural diversities indicate that the $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ ligand could adopt different conformations according to the geometric requirements of metal ions and/or the introduction of auxiliary ligand owing to the different rotation angles of the four aromatic rings with respect to each other, deprotonation effert ( $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dpbt}, 4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hdpbt}^{-}, 4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dpbt}^{2-}$ ) and the flexing angles. As a result, five diverse and interesting architectures was obtained: 1 have a 2D (3, 6)-topology, with $\left(4^{3}\right)_{3}\left(4^{6} \cdot 6^{6} .8^{3}\right)_{2}$ Schläfli symbol. 2 have a 3D 8connected topology with $\left(3^{6} .4^{18} .5^{3}\right.$.6) Schläfli symbol. 3 and 4 which are isostructural, both have a 2D 4- connected topology, with $\left(4^{4} .6^{2}\right)$ Schläfli symbol. 5 have a complex 3D porous architecture with a 1D solvent-filled channel. 6 reveal a 1D helical chain extended along a quad screw axis. Accordingly, our present findings will further
enrich the crystal engineering strategy and offer the possibility of controlling the formation of the desired network structures.
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Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{1 - 6}$.

| Complex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{Cl}_{8} \mathrm{Co}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{18}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30.5} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8.5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{CoN}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NiN}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{MnN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 1359.20 | 809.32 | 673.55 | 673.33 | 433.36 | 415.29 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Orthorhombic | Tetragonal |
| Space group | $P-1$ | $P-1$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | Pbcn | I4, $/$ acd |
| $a(\AA)$ | 11.5936 (6) | 9.2529 (12) | 9.2567 (10) | 9.1787 (10) | 14.6978 (14) | 19.1314 (3) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 11.9201 (7) | 10.6355 (11) | 15.269 (4) | 15.2181 (11) | 19.3933 (17) | 19.1314 (3) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 12.1223 (6) | 11.0493 (13) | 10.2578 (3) | 10.3355 (8) | 10.3560 (9) | 20.6667 (3) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 63.593 (5) | 63.622 (11) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 88.025 (4) | 86.489 (10) | 96.720 (5) | 96.657 (8) | 90 | 90 |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 67.331 (5) | 74.907 (10) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 1365.40 (12) | 938.68 (19) | 1439.9 (4) | 1433.9 (2) | 2951.9 (5) | 7564.2 (2) |
| Z | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 16 |
| Calculated density $\left(\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 1.651 | 1.432 | 1.554 | 1.559 | 1.941 | 1.459 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.040 | 1.171 | 1.040 | 1.029 | 1.033 | 1.079 |
| Independent reflections | 5578 | 3840 | 2938 | 2922 | 3018 | 1933 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0712 | 0.0249 | 0.0247 | 0.0841 | 0.0521 | 0.0313 |
| $R_{1}[1>2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0652 | 0.0596 | 0.0391 | 0.0530 | 0.0538 | 0.0397 |
| $w \mathrm{R}_{2}$ (all data) | 0.1433 | 0.1933 | 0.0963 | 0.1073 | 0.1390 | 0.1180 |




Mode 5

Scheme 1 The versatile coordination modes of 4,4'- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dbpt}$ used in this work.


Fig. 1 (a) The coordination environment of the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms (Symmetry codes: A: $x, y$, $z+1$; B: $x+1, y, z$; C: $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; D: $x, y, z-1$ ); (b) The Co5 unit; (c) View of the 2D ladder network; (d) The 3D extended structure; (e) the schematic description for the 2D architecture with $\left(4^{3}\right)_{3}\left(4^{6} \cdot 6^{6} .8^{3}\right)_{2}$ symbol.


Fig. 2 (a) The coordination environment of the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms (Symmetry codes: A: $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; B: $-x,-y+1,-z+1$; C: $x+1, y, z ; \mathrm{D}:-x,-y,-z+1$; E: $x-1, y, z$; F: $-x+1,-y,-z+2$.); (b) View of the 2D network connected by the 4,4'- dbpt ligand; (c) View of the 3D network along the a axis; (d) The 3D network with parallel channels along the b axis; (e) the schematic description for the 3 D architecture with $\left(3^{6} .4^{18} .5^{3} \cdot 6\right)$ symbol.


Fig. 3 (a) The coordination environment of the $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms (Symmetry codes: A: $-x+1,-y,-z+2$; B: $x+1 / 2,-y-1 / 2, z-1 / 2 ; \mathrm{C}:-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+5 / 2$.); (b) View of the 2D network connected by the 4,4'- Hdbpt ligand; (c) The 3D extended structure; (d) the schematic description for the 3D architecture with $\left(4^{4} .6^{2}\right)$ symbol.


Fig. 4 (a) The coordination environment of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ atoms (Symmetry codes: A: $-x$, $y,-z+1 / 2 ; \mathrm{B}:-x+1 / 2, y-1 / 2, z ; \mathrm{C}: x-1 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2 ; \mathrm{D}:-x+1, y,-z+3 / 2 ; \mathrm{E}: x$, $-y+1, z+1 / 2$; F: $x-1 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$.$) ; (b) The space-filling plot of the 3D network$ connected by the 4,4'- dbpt ligand; (c) View of the 3D network connected by the 4,4'dbpt ligand.


Fig. 5 (a) The coordination environment of the Mn (II) atoms (Symmetry codes: A: $-x+1 / 2, y,-z$; B: $-y+1 / 4,-x+1 / 4,-z+1 / 4 ;$ C: $y+1 / 4,-x+1 / 4, z-1 / 4$ ); (b) View of the
helical chain extended along the $c$ axis; (c) View of the 3D extended structure along the $c$ axis; (d) The connection type of the H-bond between the adjacent helical chains; (e) arrangement of lattice water molecules filling in the gaps of the neighbor chains.


Fig. 6 (a) Plots of $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ vs T and $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{-1}$ vs T (inset) for 1; (b) FC magnetization of them in different field; (c) Plots of zero-field cooled magnetization (ZFC) and field-cooled magnetization (FC) and in a field of 10 Oe for $\mathbf{1}$ using a SQUID; (d) Arrhenius plot for 1 fitted by Arrhenius law $\tau=\tau_{0} \exp \left(-\Delta \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}\right)$, Inset: the zero-field ac magnetic susceptibilities for 1.



Fig. 7 Plots of $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ vs T and $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{-1}$ vs $T$ (inset) for 2-4 and 6 .


Fig. 8 TG curves for Complexes 1-6.

