RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Binding sites-driving sensing properties of a quinazoline derivative with metal cations

Yang Liu, Dan Wang, Xiang-Jun Zheng,**^a* **and Lin-Pei Jin**

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX ⁵**DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

A compound, 6-furyl-5,6-dihydrobenzoimidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline (L) was synthesized and characterized. L showed weak fluorescence emission at 416 nm in CH₃OH when excited by 383 nm. Upon addition of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} or Fe³⁺ ions, enhanced fluorescence emission and spectral shift (55 nm) could be observed. Job's plot, fluorescence titration, ¹H NMR and ESI-MS data for binding of L with Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+}

- 10 ions showed 1:1 metal to L complexation with the transformation of the quinazoline compound (L) to the Schiff base ligand [2-(1H-benzoimidazol-2-yl)-phenyl]-furan-2-ylmethyleneamine $(L¹)$. $Cr³⁺$ can be differentiated from Al^{3+} and Fe³⁺ by time-dependent fluorescence spectra, while Al^{3+} can be distinguished from Fe³⁺ by the emission at 430 nm when excited by 300 nm in the aqueous media CH₃OH-H₂O (1/9, v/v). The detection limits were in the order of 10^{-6} M for Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions. L is insensitive to Cd(II)
- is ion. The crystal structures of Cd(II) complexes, $[CdL_2(OAc)_2](1)$ and $[CdL_2Cl_2](2)$ indicate the binding site of L with Cd(II) is one imidazole nitrogen atom. UV-vis spectra and fluorescence spectra of L, **1** and **2** in CH₃OH showed no significant difference. Also ¹H NMR signals of 1 were almost the same as that of L. These observations indicated that the coordination of L with Cd(II) has little effect on spectroscopic properties of L. The response mechanism of L to Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions was discussed.

²⁰**Introduction**

Aluminum is the most abundant metal in earth's crust (approximately 8%) and is extensively used in modern life.^[1] The general population is exposed to aluminum from its wide spread use in water treatment, food additives, aluminum-based 25 pharmaceuticals and cooking utensils. The WTO recommended tolerable weekly aluminum dietary intake in the human body to be 7mg/kg body weight.^[2] But it is neurotoxic to humans and induces many health problems, such as Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease.^[3] Chromium(III) is an essential trace ³⁰component in human nutrition and has great impacts on the metabolism of carbohydrates, fats, proteins and nucleic acids. The deficiency of chromium would cause disturbances in the glucose levels and lipid metabolism, and lead to a variety of diseases including diabetes and cardiovascular disease.^[4] In ³⁵addition, high levels of chromium(III) can bind to DNA, negatively affecting cellular structures and damaging the cellular components.[5] Among the biologically important metals, iron(III) is an essential element in human body and plays an important role in the transport and storage of oxygen.^[6] A deficiency or excess 40 of iron can cause various pathological disorders in humans.^[7]

Thus, detection of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} is important to control the concentration level in the biosphere and minimize direct effect on human health. In recent decades, fluorescent chemosensors have attracted significant interest because of their potential ⁴⁵applications in medical and environmental sciences. The

development of chemosensors for the facile detection of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe³⁺ is of great importance in environmental monitoring and biological assays. However, compared to divalent metal ions, such as Zn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Pb^{2+} and Cu^{2+} , limited chemosensors for 50 Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} based on a single molecule have been reported.[8]

It has been shown that the quinazoline derivatives as fluorescent chemosensors are available for detection of M^{3+} ions.^[9] We have confirmed with a combination of experiments ⁵⁵and theoretical calculations that in sensing process, the appropriate metal ion can assist the C-N bond breakage of the quinazoline ring, forming a metal Schiff-base complex with metal-containing six-membered ring.^[10] At the same time a large spectral shift as well as great fluorescence-enhancement were 60 observed.^[11] The chelation-enhanced fluorescence(CHEF) effect makes the quinazoline-based compounds to be excellent probes for metal ions. As is known, Schiff-base compounds are good platform for detection of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions.^[12] Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and $Fe³⁺$ possess smaller radius and larger positive charge, they as ⁶⁵strong Lewis acids have high affinity for oxygen atom and tend to form M-O bond, resulting in the metal(III) complexes when combined with oxygen-containing ligands like metal-furyl complexes.[13] Herein, we report a quinazoline-based compound as chemosensor for Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions obtained by coupling ⁷⁰2-(2-aminophenyl)-1H-benzimidazole with furfural. And the response mechanism was discussed.

Experimental section

All solvents and reagents were used as received (analytical grade and spectroscopic grade). The solutions of metal ions were prepared from LiCl, NaCl, CaCl₂, KNO₃, MgCl₂·6H₂O, 5 CrCl₃ $·$ 6H₂O₂ $\text{S} \text{CrCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O},$ $\text{Mn}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O},$ $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O},$ $-6H_2O$, $Fe(NO_3)_3$ $Co(CIO₄)₂$ $\cdot 6H_2O$, Ni(ClO₄)₂ $·6H₂O$, $Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O$, $Cd(CIO₄)₂$ $\cdot 6H_2O$, $Zn(CIO_4)_2$ $\cdot 6H_2O$, $\text{Al}(\text{ClO}_4)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ and $Pb(CIO₄)₂$ 3H₂O, respectively. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer and

¹⁰UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded by a spectrophotometer UV-2450, with a quartz cuvette (path length = 1 cm). ¹H NMR was obtained using a Bruker Avance Ⅲ 400MHz spectrometer. Mass spectra (ESI-MS) were obtained on Quattro microtriple quadrupole mass spectrometer. PH was measured on PHS-3C PH ¹⁵meter.

X-ray crystallography

Single-crystal data were collected on a Bruker APEX IICCD diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ) at 293 K. The structure was solved by the direct method and

- 20 refined by full matrix least squares based on F^2 using the SHELX 97 program.^[14] All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions. Crystallographic data for Cd(II) complexes (**1** and **2**) are summarized in Table 1. Selected bond distances and angles of ²⁵two structures are summarized in Table S1. CCDC nos. 1041496
- -1041497 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif.

 $R_1 = \Sigma (|F_o| - |F_c|)/|F_o|$; $wR_2 = {\Sigma [(w|F^2_o| - |F^2_c|)^2/\Sigma w (F^2_o)^2]}^{1/2}$.

Preparation of L

A mixture of furfural (44 µL, 0.4 mmol) and 2-(2 aminophenyl)benzimidazole (0.0837 g, 0.4 mmol) in 2 mL ³⁵isopropanol was sealed in 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and

heated at 80°C for 1 day and then the resulting solution was kept at room temperature for 4-5 days. The reddish brown blockshaped crystals were obtained and filtered, washed with isopropanol several times and dried at room temperature. Brown ⁴⁰block-shaped crystals of L were collected in a yield of 43.7%.

 $C_{18}H_{13}N_3O$, Anal. Found (%): C, 75.40; H, 4.46; N, 14.72; calc.(%): C, 75.25; H, 4.56; N, 14.63; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3261 (s), 1616(s), 1585(s), 1512(s), 1474(s), 1450(s), 1396(s), 1332(s), 1263(s), 1148(s), 758(s), 735(s).

Preparation of [CdL² (OAc)² ⁴⁵**] (1)**

A mixture of cadmium acetate dihydrate (0.0533 g, 0.2 mmol), furfural (44 µL, 0.4 mmol) and 2-(2-aminophenyl)benzimidazole (0.0837 g, 0.4 mmol) in 5 mL methanol and isopropanol (V(methanol):V(isopropanol)=4:1) solution, was sealed in 25 mL

- ⁵⁰Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 80°C for 1 day and then the resulting solution was evaporated at room temperature for 4-5 days. The brown block-shaped crystals were obtained and filtered, washed with methanol several times and dried at room temperature in a yield of 26.1%. $C_{40}H_{32}CdN_6O_6$, Anal. Found
- ⁵⁵(%): C, 59.52; H, 4.18; N, 10.43; calc.(%): C, 59.67; H, 4.00; N, 10.44; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3264 (s), 1622 (s), 1551 (s), 1514 (s), 1458 (s), 1412 (s), 1383 (w), 1267 (s), 1152 (s), 1013 (s), 735 (s), 669 (s).

Preparation of 1'

⁶⁰A mixture of cadmium acetate dihydrate (0.0533 g, 0.2 mmol) and L (0.1148 g, 0.4 mmol) in 5 mL methanol and isopropanol (V(methanol):V(isopropanol)=4:1) solution, was sealed in 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 80°C for 1 day and then the resulting solution was evaporated at room temperature for 4-5 ⁶⁵days. The brown block-shaped crystals were obtained and filtered, washed with methanol several times and dried at room temperature in a yield of 28.7%. $C_{40}H_{32}CdN_6O_6$, Anal. Found (%): C, 59.31; H, 4.08; N, 10.43; calc.(%): C, 59.67; H, 4.00; N, 10.44; IR (KBr pellet, cm-1): 3264 (s), 1622 (s), 1553 (s), 1516 ⁷⁰(s), 1456 (s), 1414 (s), 1383 (s), 1267 (s), 1152 (s), 1013 (s), 735 (s), 669 (s).

Preparation of [CdL2Cl²] (2)

A mixture of cadmium chloride hemipentahydrate (0.0456 g, 0.2 mmol), furfural (44 μ L, 0.4 mmol) and 2-(2-aminophenyl) 75 benzimidazole (0.0837 g, 0.4 mmol) in 5 mL methanol and isopropanol (V(methanol):V(isopropanol)=4:1) solution, was sealed in 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 80°C for 1 day and then the resulting solution was evaporated at room temperature for 4-5 days. The brown block-shaped crystals were ⁸⁰obtained and filtered, washed with methanol several times and dried at room temperature in a yield of 38.8%. $C_{36}H_{26}CdCl₂N₆O₂$, Anal. Found (%): C, 57.07; H, 3.48; N, 11.08; calc.(%): C, 57.05; H, 3.46; N, 11.09; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3325 (s), 1618 (s), 1585 (s), 1530 (s), 1504 (s), 1479 (s), 1456 (s), 1412 (s), 1315 (s), 1263 ⁸⁵(s), 1229 (s), 1146 (s), 1013 (s), 762 (s), 748 (s), 733 (s).

Results and Discussion

Crystal structure of L

The quinazoline derivative, L was prepared by condensation of furfural and 2-(2-aminophenyl)-1H-benzimidazole under ⁹⁰solvothermal condition. The crystal structure (Fig. S1) is the same as reported.^[15] The selected bond distances and angles were tabulated in Table S1.

Photophysical property of L

Since L is insoluble in H_2O while soluble in CH_3OH , its fluorescence property in CH₃OH was observed. An emission band at 416 nm for L in $CH₃OH$ could be seen (Fig. S2). It is attributed to π - π^* transition of L. Fig. S2 also showed emission 5 changes of L upon addition of HCl in CH3OH. A double band at

416 nm and 462 nm appeared when c_{HCl} : $c_{\text{L}} = 2$: 1. Further addition of HCl to $CH₃OH$ solution of L made the emission band at 416 nm for L completely disappear. This could be ascribed to formation of a new compound. But the emission band of L 10 remained unchanged when NaOH was added to L in CH₃OH.

Fluorescence responses of L to metal ions in CH3OH

The fluorescence responses of L to metal cations were examined in CH₃OH. The addition of 1.0 equiv. of Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Mn^{2+} , Fe³⁺, Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, Ni²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Al³⁺ ions ¹⁵to L in CH3OH was used to measure the selectivity of L for metal ions. As shown in Fig. 1, when excited by 383 nm, L showed weak fluorescence emission at 416 nm in CH₃OH. While upon the addition of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} or Fe^{3+} ions, an intensive fluorescence emission at 471 nm with a larger peak shift (55 nm) can be ²⁰observed from the fluorescence spectra. For other metal ions

except Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} , no obvious fluorescence change could be observed upon their addition to the methanol solution of L. The shifting of emission peak for the response system makes L more sensitive to the metal ions.

25 **Figure 1.** Fluorescence spectra of L (30 μ M) with 1.0 equiv. of Al³⁺, Ca²⁺, Cd^{2+} , Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, Li⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Na⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺and Zn²⁺ in CH3OH.

To further test the selectivity of L as a fluorescent chemosensor 30 for Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} or Fe^{3+} ions, the competitive experiments to measure the fluorescence intensity of L at 471 nm with Al^{3+} (Fig. 2), Cr^{3+} (Fig. S4a) or Fe³⁺ (Fig. S4b) ions in the presence of various metal ions in CH₃OH were carried out. As a result, there is no or little interference for the fluorescence detection of Al^{3+} , 35 Cr^{3+} or Fe³⁺ ions in presence of other metal ions, so the binding

- of the sensor with Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} or Fe^{3+} ions is not affected by concomitant ions. Therefore, L was shown to be a promising selective fluorescent sensor for Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions in the presence of the competing metal ions stated.
- ⁴⁰ The Job's plot for Al^{3+} system was obtained as shown in Fig. 3 (Fig. S5 for Cr^{3+} and Fe³⁺). 1:1 stoichiometric complexation of L with Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} in CH₃OH was confirmed, respectively. In the fluorescence titration profiles (Fig. 4, Fig. S6), an increase of fluorescence intensity at 471 nm could be observed with
- 45 increasing Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} concentration until 1.0 equiv. while the emission intensity tends to be the same with further increasing

Figure 2. Fluorescence intensity of L with Al^{3+} in the presence of various metal ions in CH₃OH. λ_{ex} = 383 nm and λ_{em} = 471 nm. Red bars: L (30 μ M) 50 with 1.0 equiv. of Al³⁺ and 1.0 equiv. of other metal ions stated. Yellow bars: L(30 μM) with 1.0 equiv. of other metal ions stated.

 Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} concentration, respectively. The saturation behaviors of the fluorescence intensity after 1.0 equiv. of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} or Fe³⁺ also reveal the 1:1 stoichiometry.

Figure 3. Job's plot for the determination of the stoichiometry of L and $Al³⁺$ in the complexation.

Figure 4. (a) Fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex}=383nm) of L (33 μM) in 60 the presence of increasing amounts of Al³⁺ (0.30, 0.40, 0.50, 0.55... 0.80, 0.85, 0.90, 0.95, 1.00, 1.20, 1.40, 1.60, 1.80 equiv.) in CH₃OH. (b) Spectrofluorimetric titration curve at λ_{em} =471nm.

Based on the fluorescence titration data, the association constant K of L for Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} or Fe^{3+} was calculated by the ⁶⁵Benesi-Hildebrand expression. The association constants were determined to be 1.02×10^4 , 1.44×10^4 and 3.04×10^4 for the complex of L with Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} in CH₃OH, respectively as shown in Fig. $5(a)$, Fig. $S7(a)$ and $S7(c)$. The detection limits of L as a fluorescent chemosensor for the analysis of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and 70 Fe^{3+} were calculated and these were found to be 12, 15 and 11 μ M, respectively (Fig. 5(b), Fig. S7(b) and Fig. S7(d)).

Considering Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} have the same fluorescence response to L in methanol when excited with 383 nm, some strategies were adopted to distinguish them by L. As shown in π ₇₅ Fig.6, the response of L to Cr³⁺ is time-dependent in 20 minutes upon the addition of Cr^{3+} . While the response of L to Al^{3+} and

Figure 5. (a) Benesi-Hildebrand plot of L with AI^{3+} in CH₃OH (λ_{em} =471 nm). (b) Normalized response of emission signal at 471 nm with changing Al^3 concentrations.

Figure 6. Fluorescence spectra variation of L (67 μM) upon addition of 1.0 equiv. of Cr^{3+} in CH₃OH after 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 15, and 20 min, λ_{ex} = 383 nm.

5

- $Fe³⁺$ was quick (Fig. S8). This may be attributed to slow 10 hydrolysis rate for Cr(III) ion, forming Cr(III) species containing $L¹$ and OH. Therefore, $Cr³⁺$ could be differentiated from $Al³⁺$ and $Fe³⁺$ by time-dependent emission spectra. As to $Al³⁺$ and $Fe³⁺$, it is found that their emission spectra are different when excited by 300 nm in the aqueous media $CH₃OH-H₂O$ (1/9, v/v). As is
- 15 shown in Fig. 7, the Al^{3+} system emits at 430 nm, while Fe^{3+} system emits at 471 nm. So they can be distinguished from each other. The blue-shifted fluorescence for Al^{3+} could be ascribed to formation of $[AlL^1(OH)_3]$, which is confirmed by ESI-MS(Fig. S9). In a word, the results showed that our work is comparable ²⁰with the reported (Table S2).

Figure 7. Fluorescence spectra of L (100 μM) upon addition of 1.0 equiv. of Al^{3+} and Fe³⁺ in the aqueous media CH₃OH-H₂O (1/9, v/v), λ_{ex} = 300 nm.

Binding modes of L with Al^{3+} **,** Cr^{3+} **or** Fe^{3+} **and possible** ²⁵**fluorescent species formed in CH3OH**

As shown in Fig. 8 (a), proton signals of L were assigned similarly as the reported.^[15] The binding modes of L with Al^{3+} was studied by ${}^{1}H$ NMR titration, shown in Fig. 8 (a-d). Upon complexation of L with Al^{3+} (1:1), the proton signal (H₉) of L 30 downfield shifted from 7.03 to 7.51 ($\Delta \delta$ =0.48) due to HC(the

quinazolie ring carbon)-N(the imidazole ring nitrogen) bond cleavage upon coordination of the two nitrogen atoms with $Al³⁺$ ion. Accordingly, benzimidazole protons and phenyl protons except H_5 shifted to low magnetic field because of the reduction 35 of electron density upon coordination to the Al^{3+} . Also, furyl proton signals $(H_{10}-H_{12})$ shifted downfield after binding of furyl oxygen atom from L with Al^{3+} , $\Delta \delta = 0.15$ -0.31 ppm. Similarly, upon complexation of L with Cr^{3+} in CH₃OH-d₆, the proton peak $(H₉)$ downfield shifted from 7.03 to 7.46 ppm (Fig.S10). The $¹H$ </sup>

8.2 8.1 8.0 7.9 7.8 7.7 7.6 7.5 7.4 7.3 7.2 7.1 7.0 6.9 6.8 6.7 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.3 6.2 6.1 ppm

Figure 8. ¹H NMR spectra in CH₃OH-d₆: (a) L only; (b) L and 0.5 equiv. of Al³⁺; (c) L and 1.0 equiv. of Al³⁺; (d) L and 1.5 equiv. of Al³⁺

NMR signals of the quinazoline ring protons, benzimidazole ring 45 protons and furyl ring protons of L showed changes for the both systems. The results suggest that Al^{3+} and Cr^{3+} are coordinated with the aldimine nitrogen atom, imidazole nitrogen atom and the furyl oxygen atom of L, resulting from C-N bond cleavage of the quinazole ring to form a Schiff base [2-(1H-benzoimidazol-2-yl) so phenyl]-furan-2-ylmethyleneamine (L^1) and $M^{3+}L^1$ complexes were obtained.^[10, 11(c)] In addition, there were no significant changes for the proton signals upon the addition of 1.5 equiv. of Al^{3+} (Fig. 8(c) and (d)) and Cr^{3+} (Fig. S10(c) and (d)) to L. This also confirmed the 1:1 stoichiometry for L to Al^{3+} and Cr^{3+} , 55 respectively. We tried to observe ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of L with $Fe³⁺$, we failed to get satisfactory result because of its paramagnetic nature.

To further study the coordination of L with Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} , their ESI-MS spectra were recorded. As shown in Fig. 9, the mass 60 spectrum of L upon addition of 1.0 equiv. of Al^{3+} exhibited an intense peak at m/z 366.1, corresponding to the ion $[AlL^1(OH)_2(H_2O)]^+$ (calcd m/z 366.3). This could be attributed to the fact that -OH and H_2O can supply a hard-base environment for the hard-acid Al^{3+} , which makes it easier to form the Al^{3+} 65 complex.^[16] Also, in the literature $[AI(OH)_2H_2O]^+$ could be found.^[17] In Fig. S11a, the peak at m/z 397.9 corresponds to the ion $[CrL¹(OH)₃] Li⁺$ (calcd m/z 397.3) and the peak at m/z 416.8 corresponds to the ion $[FeL¹(OH)₃]Na⁺$ (calcd m/z 417.2) (Fig. S8b). From Job plot, ¹H NMR data and ESI-MS results, it can be *n* concluded that the Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} may form $[AlL¹(OH)₂(H₂O)]⁺$, CrL¹(OH)₃ and FeL¹(OH)₃ in CH₃OH, respectively.

To investigate the structure of the species formed in the s response system, we tried to cultivate the crystals of the Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} complexes with L, but failed. However, two Cd complexes were obtained by one-pot reaction of furfural, 2-(2 aminophenyl)benzimidazole with cadmium acetate (**1**) and chloride (**2**), respectively. The crystal structures of the Cd(II) 10 complexes **1** and **2** are shown in Fig. 10. In **1**, Cd(II) ion is sixcoordinated by four acetate oxygen atoms and two imidazole nitrogen atoms from two L ligands. The polycrystals sample of **1'** was obtained by the solvothermal reaction of cadmium acetate with L, which is confirmed to be the same phase as **1** by 15 elemental analysis, IR and powder X-ray diffraction (Fig. S12). In **2**, Cd(II) ion is four-coordinated by two chloride anions and two imidazole nitrogen atoms from two L ligands. L in **1** and **2** is monodentate and the binding site of L with Cd(II) is not located at the quinazoline ring of L. The UV-Vis spectra, fluorescence

 $_{20}$ spectra and ¹H NMR of L and Cd(II)-species are shown in Figs. S13-15. No significant difference between L and its Cd(II) species in the corresponding spectra was found. This shows that the coordination of L with Cd(II) has a little effect on the intramolecular π to π^* transition and electric delocalization, and 25 thus L for Cd(II) is insensitive.

Figure 10. The crystal structures of the Cd(II) complexes. (a) [CdL₂(OAc)₂]; (b) $[CdL₂Cl₂].$

As is known, the ionic radii of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} and Cd^{2+} are ³⁰67.5, 75, 78.5 and 109 pm, respectively with a coordination number of 6. For Cd^{2+} it is even 92 pm when coordination number falls to 4 , ^[18] which is much larger than that of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} . According to the Hard-Soft Acid-Base theory, Cd^{2+} is soft acid, while Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} are hard acids. Thus Cd^{2+} as a ³⁵soft acid has high affinity to nitrogen atom. Considering the steric effect and its large ionic radius, Cd^{2+} is apt to coordinate with the

nitrogen atom at 3-position of imidazole section. While the Al^{3+} ,

 Cr^{3+} , Fe³⁺ ions as hard acids have high affinity to oxygen atom. Their small radii make the furan oxygen atom and aldimine ⁴⁰nitrogen atom available for them. Consequently the coordination of L with Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} leads to the breakage of C-N bond, forming a Schiff base complex.

Conclusions

We synthesized a quinazoline derivative (L) and two Cd(II) ⁴⁵complexes (**1** and **2**). Their structures have been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The interaction site of L with Cd(II) is one imidazole nitrogen atom from L and thus L is monodentate in **1** and **2**. Spectroscopic determination showed that L for Cd(II) is insensitive. But L is employed as a highly so sensitive and selective sensor for Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions over a number of metal ions in CH₃OH. The Al^{3+} , Cr³⁺ and Fe³⁺ detections have been demonstrated by absorption, fluorescence, ¹H NMR and ESI-MS spectral studies. The 1:1 binding stoichiometry of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} with L was confirmed by ⁵⁵Job's plot, fluorescence titration and ESI-MS data, respectively. The interaction sites of L for Al^{3+} and Cr^{3+} have been suggested by spectral changes and the transformation from L to L^1 in the presence of Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions was explored. The CHEF effect may be attributed to the formation of M^{3+} -containing five-⁶⁰and six-membered rings via two nitrogen atoms and one oxygen atom from L^1 by increase of the donor-acceptor electric delocalization after complexation. It shows that nitrogen- and oxygen- containing quinazoline-base compounds can be served as a platform to explore chemosensors for multi-metal ions.

⁶⁵**Acknowledgements**

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20971015) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Notes and references

- ⁷⁰*College of Chemistry, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, People's Republic of China.*
	- *E-mail: xjzheng@bnu.edu.cn*

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: information on X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format, Fig. S1~S12, Tables S1. ⁷⁵See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 (a) G. H. Robinson, *Chem. Eng. News*, 2003, **81**, 54-55; (b)The special issue on Aluminium: Lithosphere to Biosphere (and Back). *J Inorg. Biochem.*, 2005, **99**, 1747-1928; (c) R. W. Smith, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **149**, 81-93.
- ⁸⁰2 (a) J. Barceló, C. Poschenrieder, *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 2002, **48**, 75-92; (b) B. Valeur, I. Leray, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **205**, 3-40; (c) Z. Krejpcio, R. W. Wojciak, *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.*, 2002, **11**, 251-254.
- 3 (a) P. Nayak, *Environ. Res.*, 2002, **89**, 101-115; (b) E. Altschuler, *Med. Hypotheses*, 1999, **53**, 22-23; (c) D. P. Perl, A. R. Brody, *Science*, ⁸⁵1980, **208**, 297-299.
- 4 (a) R. McRae, P. Bagchi, S. Sumalekshmy, C. J. Fahrni, *Chem. Rev.,* 2009, **109**, 4780-4827; (b) R. A. Anderson, *Chromium in Trace Element in Human and Animal Nutrition,* Academic, New York, NY, 1987.
- ⁹⁰5 (a) Z. Zhou, M. Yu, H. Yang, K. Huang, F. Li, T. Yi, C. Huang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 3387-3389; (b) D. A. Eastmond, J. T. MacGregor, R. S. Slesinski, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*, 2008, **38**, 173-190; (c) N. R. Chereddy, K. Saranraj, A. K. Barui, C. R. Patra, V. J. Rao, S. Thennarasu, *RSC Adv.* , 2014, **4** , 24324–24327.

- 6 (a) B. D'Autreaux, N. P. Tucker, R. Dixon, S. Spiro, *Nature*, 2005, **437**, 769-772; (b) N. Ercal, H. Gurer-Orhan, N. Aykin-Burns, *Curr. Top. in Med. Chem.,* 2001, **1**, 529-539; (c) J. Wang, K. Pantopoulos, *Biochem. J.*, 2011, **434**, 365-381.
- ⁵7 (a) R. B. Lauffr, *Iron and Human Diseade*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992; (b) R. R. Crichton, D. T. Dexter , R. J. Ward, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **252**, 1189-1199; (c) C. Brugnara, *Clin. Chem.*, 2003, **49**, 1573-1578.
- 8 (a) A. Barba-Bon, A. M. Costero, S. Gil, M. Parra, J. Soto, R. ¹⁰Martinez-Manez, F. Sancenon, *Chem. Commun.,* 2012, **48**, 3000- 3002; (b) X. Chen, X. Y. Shen, E. Guan, Y. Liu, A. Qin, J. Z. Sun, B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.,* 2013, **49**, 1503-1505; (c) S. Goswami, K. Aich, S. Das, A. K. Das, D. Sarkar, S. Panja, T. K. Mondal, S. Mukhopadhyay, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10739-10741; (d) J.
- ¹⁵Wang, Y. Li, N. G. Patel, G. Zhang, D. Zhou, Y. Pang, *Chem.Commun.,* 2014, **50**, 12258-12261; (e) X. Wan, T. Liu, H. Liu, L. Gu and Y. Yao, *RSC Advances*, 2014, **4**, 29479-29484; (f) N. R. Chereddy, P. Nagaraju, M. V. N. Rajiu, V. R. Krishnaswamy, P. S. Korrapati, P. R. Bangal, V. J. Rao, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* ²⁰2015, **68**, 749-756; (g) M. Venkateswarulu, T. Mukherjee, S.
- Mukherjee, R. R. Koner, *Dalton Trans*., 2014, **43**, 5269-5273.
- 9 (a) D. Jeyanthi, M. Iniya, K. Krishnaveni, D. Chellappa, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 20984-20989; (b) P. Saluja, N. Kaur, N. Singh, D. O. Jang, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 8551-8556; (c) S. Sen, S. Sarkar, B.
- ²⁵Chattopadhyay, A. Moirangthem, A. Basu, K. Dhara, P. Chattopadhyay, *Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 3335-3342.
- 10 W. Cao, X. J. Zheng, D. C. Fang, L. P. Jin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 5191- 5196.
- 11 (a) B. Sen, M. Mukherjee, S. Pal, S. K. Mandal, M. S. Hundal, A. R. ³⁰Khuda-Bukhsh, P. Chattopadhyay, *RSC Adv.,* 2014, **4**, 15356-15362; (b) A. Kumar, R. Pandey, A. Kumar., D. S. Pandey, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 55967-55970; (c) M. Mukherjee, B. Sen, S. Pal, S. Banerjee, S. Lohar., P. Chattopadhyay, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 64014-64020.
- 12 (a) S. Kim, J. Y. Noh, K. Y. Kim, J. H. Kim, H. K. Kang, S. Nam, S. ³⁵H. Kim, S. Park, C. Kim, J. Kim, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 3597-3602; (b) W. Ding, W. Cao, X. Zheng, D. Fang, W. Wong, L. Jin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 7320-7322; (c) C. Chen, D. Liao, C. Wan, A. Wu, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 2527-2530; (d) M. Kaur, P. Kaur, V. Dhuna, S. Singh, K. Singh, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 5707-5712; (e) Y. Wu, C.
- ⁴⁰Li, Y. Li, J. Tang, D. Liu, *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 2014, **203**, 712-718; (f) Z. Zhou, M. Yu, H. Yang, K. Huang, F. Li, T. Yi, C. Huang, *Chem. Commun.,*2008, 3387-3389; (g) L. Qiu, C. Zhu, H. Chen, M. Hu, W. He, Z. Guo, *Chem.Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 4631-4634; (h) V. Bhalla, N. Sharma, N. Kumar, M. Kumar, *Sensors and*
- ⁴⁵*Actuators, B: Chemical*, 2013, **178**, 228-232; (i) N. R. Chereddy, S. Thennarasu, A. B. Mandal, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 1334-1337.
- 13 (a) K. Onishi, M. Matsumoto, K. Shigehara, *Chem Mater*, 1998, **10**, 927-931; (b) M. Fosciak, E. Proniewicz, K. Zborowski, Y. Kim, L. M. Proniewicz, *J Porphyr. Phthalocya.*, 2013, **17**, 289-308; (c) O. A. M.
- ⁵⁰Ali, S. M. El-Medani, M. R. Abu Serea, A. S. S. Sayed, *Spectrochimica Acta, Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 2015, **136**, 651-660.
- 14 (a) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELS-97, *Program for solution of crystal structures*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997; (b) G. M. 55 Sheldrick, SHELXS 97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement,
- University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 15 S. G. Bubbly, S. B. Gudennavar, N. M. NanjeGowda, R. Bhattacharjee, V. Gayathri, S. Natarajan, *J. Chem. Crystallogr.*, 2012, **42**, 305-312.
- ⁶⁰16 D. Mairy, T. Govindaraju, *Inorg. Chem*., 2010, **49**, 7229-7231.
	- 17 M. He, H. Fu, B. Su, H. Yang, J. Tang, C. Wu, *J. Phys. Chem. B.,* 2014, **118**, 13890-13902.
	- 18 http://www.webelements.com/atom_sizes.html.