RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

RSC Advances

Journal Name

ARTICLE

RSCPublishing

Preparation of Polybenzoxazole Nanofibers by a **Downsizing Process**

S. Ifuku,^{a*} H. Maeta, ^a H. Izawa, ^a M. Morimoto, ^a and H. Saimoto ^a

from the homogeneous dispersion in water.

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Poly(p-phenylene benzobisoxazole) (PBO) nanofibers were prepared from commercially available PBO fiber by a simple downsizing process for the first time. Partial alkaline hydrolysis of the oxazole ring brought ionic functional groups on the fiber surface, enabling the disintegration of the PBO fiber into nanofibers with the help of electrostatic repulsive force. The obtained nanofibers, whose average diameter was 24 nm, were characterized by SEM, FT-IR, ζ -potential analyzer, XRD, and TGA. They dispersed homogeneously in water due to the surface charges. Filtration allowed a PBO nanofiber sheet to be easily prepared

1. Introduction

Since nanofibers are extremely thin and have very high surfaceto-volume ratios, their properties are different from those of microfibers.^{1,2} The preparation of nanofibers is an important subject due to their unique dimensional, optical, and mechanical characteristics. Poly(p-phenylene benzobisoxazole) (PBO) is a rigid polymer having an oxazoline heterocyclic ring in the repeating unit (Fig. 1). PBO fiber is obtained by a wetspinning process from the polymer solution dissolved in sulfuric acid.³ The PBO solution forms liquid crystal due to the orientational ordering of the rigid polymer. PBO fiber has the highest strength, Young's modulus, impact resistance, and thermal stability among the so-called super fibers.⁴ This high performance is attributable to PBO's 100% paracrystalline structure with rigid molecular chains oriented along the fiber axis caused by the process of spinning lyotropic liquid crystal. If nanofibers from PBO are developed, they will offer useful applications as a novel high-performance material, such as reinforcement filler for fiber-

reinforced plastics. The electrospinning process is a wellknown way to artificially produce nanofibers from a wide range of polymer solutions.⁵ However, it is difficult to electrospin PBO because it is not soluble in common solvents. Thus, PBO nanofibers must be prepared from the precursor of PBO, which is soluble in organic solvent, thus enabling electrospinning and



thermal conversion from the precursor.^{6,7} In another approach, PBO nanofibers were prepared by cooling crystallization from a Fig. 1. Chemical structure of poly(p-phenylene benzobisoxazole) and the alkaline hydrolysis.

0.1 wt% of dilute PBO solution in sulfuric acid.⁸ Thus, all the previously reported PBO nanofibers were prepared from PBO solution by a bottom-up process. Recently, Yang et al. and our group reported the preparation of aramid (poly(p-phenylene terephthalamide) nanofibers by a top-down approach.9,10

Since commercial aramid fiber consists of paracrystallite, it was disintegrated into nanofibers by a downsizing process. Although aramid fiber is also a sort of super fiber, PBO fiber is superior in performance and cost. Here, inspired by the previous works, we studied the facile mechanical disintegration of commercially available PBO fiber into nanofibers for the first time. Since PBO fiber also consists of paracrystals, PBO

fiber may be converted into nanofibers by the downsizing approach.



300 nm

Figure 2. FE-SEM images (a) poly(*p*-phenylene benzobisoxazole), (b) after mechanical treatment, and (c) after hydrolysis treatment followed by mechanical treatment.

2. Results and discussion

Figure 2a shows an SEM image of PBO fibers, which are known to be made up of aggregates of microcrystals. To disintegrate the aggregate, the PBO fibers were treated with a high-pressure atomization system with 1 wt% concentration in water. After 30 cycles of mechanical treatments, the PBO fibers were not disintegrated at all even though the system applied a super high-



Figure 3. (a) poly(*p*-phenylene benzobisoxazole) nanofibers dispersed in water with 1.0 wt%, and (b) the sheet prepared by filtration.



Figure 4. FT-IR spectra of (a) poly(p-phenylene benzobisoxazole), and (b) the nanofibers obtained by alkaline hydrolysis and mechanical treatments.

pressure water-jet of 200 MPa (Fig. 2b). This is due to strong interactions between PBO microcrystals *via* hydrogen bond interaction and π - π aromatic stacking interaction. To increase disintegration efficiency, PBO fiber was treated with 10 wt% NaOH under reflux for 2 weeks before mechanical treatment. The yield of PBO fiber was 74%. After mechanical treatments, the sample was dispersed homogeneously in water and was stable for more than 1 month, although PBO is a hydrophobic polymer (Fig. 3a). The dispersion has yellow color due to the conjugate system of PBO. The SEM image of the water dispersion shows that the PBO fiber was successfully disintegrated into nanofibers by the mechanical treatment (Fig.

2c). The widths of the nanofibers were distributed across a range of 10-100 nm with an average width of 24 nm. The nanofibers were much thinner than conventional PBO fibers prepared by the bottom-up approach.⁶⁻⁸ The PBO nanofibers were highly

Table 1. ζ-Potential of poly(p-phenylene benzobisoxazole) nanofiber



Figure 5. XRD profiles of (a) poly(*p*-phenylene benzobisoxazole), and (b) the nanofibers obtained by alkaline hydrolysis and mechanical treatments.

uniform over an extensive area (Fig. S1). Alkaline hydrolysis time strongly affected the structure of PBO fibers (Fig. S2). When the time was shorter than 2 weeks, broader fibers were observed. On the other hand, when the time was 3 weeks, aspect ratio of PBO fibers became decreased due to the excessive alkaline hydrolysis. To learn the alkaline treatment's effect on the chemical structure, FT-IR spectra of PBO nanofibers were collected (Fig. 4). After the alkaline treatment, a C=O stretching vibration band corresponding to carboxylic acid and a C-N stretching vibration

band corresponding to the primary amine appeared at 1685 cm⁻¹ and 1237 cm⁻¹, respectively, as small shoulder peaks. Moreover, phenolic O-H bending vibration bands were observed at 1237 cm⁻¹ and 1365 cm⁻¹. Those changes indicate that partial alkaline hydrolysis of PBO occurred in the oxazole ring and converted PBO into carboxyl, amino, and phenolic hydroxyl groups (Fig. 1). These ionically charged functional groups on the PBO fiber surface enabled the disintegration of microcrystalline aggregate into nanofibers and homogeneous dispersion in water due to the electrostatic repulsive force. To estimate the surface charge of the thus-obtained PBO nanofibers, ζ -potentials in water at pH 3.1 – 9.1 were measured (Table 1). The nanofibers had a high cationic charge of +56.6 mV in water at pH 3.1 due to the protonation of amino groups (-NH3⁺). On the other hand, the nanofibers had an anionic charge of -32.1 mV at pH 9.1 due to the anionization of carboxylic acid (-COO⁻) and phenolic



Figure 6. TGA thermogram of poly(*p*-phenylene benzobisoxazole) nanofiber.

hydroxyl groups (Ph-O⁻), and the potential decreased as the pH decreased to 6.8. Thus, again the disintegration of PBO fibers and stable dispersion in water can be achieved by the downsizing process by applying electrostatic repulsion between the PBO microcrystals with highly cationic or anionic surface charges. Although conventional PBO fiber precipitates immediately in water, the nanofiber can disperse homogeneously (Fig. 3a). Hence, the nanofiber was easy to handle and shape into the desired forms by a drying process such as filtration or freeze drying (Fig. 3b). This characteristic will expand the application of PBO as a novel material with extremely efficient mechanical properties. The crystalline structure of PBO nanofibers was studied by XRD patterns (Fig. 5). The diffraction pattern of PBO nanofibers coincided closely with that of the original PBO fibers. The diffraction peaks of the nanofibers observed at $2\theta = 16.4^{\circ}$, 26.0° , and 27.8° corresponded to 200, 010, and -210 planes, respectively.¹¹ They were typical crystal patterns of PBO fiber. The orientational degrees of crystal of PBO fiber and the nanofiber estimated from the half-width of the (200) peak were 97.9% and 97.5%, respectively.¹² Thus, partial alkaline hydrolysis occurred on the PBO fiber surface, and the original crystalline structure was maintained. PBO nanofiber exhibited high thermal resistance (Fig. 6). TGA thermograms revealed that the degradation started from approximately 400 °C and that the 50% weight loss temperature was 561 °C.

3. Experimental

To prepare the nanofibers, PBO fibers chopped to 1 mm length were obtained from Toyobo (brand name: Zylon) and were treated with 10 wt% NaOH for 2 weeks under reflux for partial hydrolysis of oxazole rings. After washing with distilled water, the obtained sample was dispersed in water at 1 wt% and was passed through 30 cycles of a high-pressure waterjet atomization treatment (Star Burst Mini, HJP-25001S, Sugino Machine). The atomization system was equipped with a ball-collision chamber. The slurry was ejected from a small nozzle with a diameter of 100 μ m under high pressure of 200 MPa.

Infrared spectra of the samples were recorded with an FT-IR spectrophotometer (Spectrum 65, Perkin-Elmer Japan) equipped with an ATR attachment. For field emission scanning electron microscopic (FE-SEM) observation, the sample was coated with platinum by an ion sputter coater and observed by FE-SEM (JSM-6700F; JEOL) operating at 2.0 kV. X-ray diffraction profiles of the nanofibers were obtained with Nifiltered CuK_{α} from an X-ray generator (Ultima IV, Rigaku) operating at 40 kV and 30 mA. ζ-Potentials were measured at 25 °C using a laser-Doppler electrophoresis-type apparatus (ELSZ-1000ZS; Otsuka Electronics). The consistency of nanofibers in water was set to 0.1 wt%, and the pH was changed from 3.1 to 9.1. Thermogravimetric analysis was carried out using a Thermo Plus Evo II (Rigaku). Samples were placed in an open platinum pan. Temperature programs were conducted at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in argon gas.

4. Conclusions

This study revealed that partial alkaline hydrolysis before mechanical treatment enabled PBO fiber to disintegrate into nanofibers. This is the first report of the facile production of PBO nanofibers by a top-down process. Nanofibers with the highest performance in physical properties and improved formability will expand novel applications of the super fibers.

Acknowledgement

PBO fiber (trade name: Zylon) was kindly provided by Toyobo Co., Ltd.

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Tottori University, Tottori 680-8552, Japan.

- Z. M. Huang, Y. Z. Zhang, M. Kotaki and S. Ramakrishna, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2003, 63, 2223.
- 2 S. Ramakrishna, K. Fujihara, W. E. Teo, T. Yong, Z. Ma and R. Ramaseshan, *Mater. Today*, 2006, **9**, 40.
- 3. D. R. Ulrich, Polymer, 1987, 28, 533.
- 4 T. Kitagawa, M. Ishitobi and K. J. Yabuki, *Polym. Sci. A Polym. Phys.*, 1998, **36**, 39.
- 5 T. J. Sill and H. A. Recum, *Biomaterials*, 2008, **29**, 1989.
- 6 H. Zhang, S Jiang, G. Duan, J. Li, K. Liu, C. Zhou and H. Hou, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2014, **50**, 61.
- 7 G. Duan, S. Jiang, S. Chen and H. Hou, J. Nanomater. 2010, 2010, 1.
- 8 T. Uchida and M. J. Furukawa, *Photopolym. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, 27, 177.
- 9 M. Yang, K. Cao, L. Sui, Y. Qi, J. Zhu, A. Waas, E. M. Arruda, J. Kieffer, M. D. Thouless and N. A. Kotov, *ACS Nano*, 2011, 5, 6945.
- 10 S. Ifuku, H. Maeta, H. Izawa, M. Morimoto and H. Saimoto, H. RSC Advances, 2014, 4, 40377.
- 11 T. Kitagawa, M. Ishitobi and K. Yabuki, J. Polym. Sci. A Polym. Phys., 2000, 38, 1605.
- 12 C. Zhou, S. Wang, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhuan and Z. Han, *Polymer*, 2008, 49, 2520.