

The effect of high methoxyl pectin and gellan including psyllium gel on Doogh stability

Journal:	RSC Advances
Manuscript ID:	RA-ART-02-2015-003190.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Apr-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Hashemi, Fatemeh Sadat; Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Biotechnology Research Center Gharibzahedi, Seyed Mohammad Taghi; University of Tehran, Food Science& Engineering Hamishehkar, Hamed; Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Drug Applied Research Center

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

The effect of high methoxyl pectin and gellan including psyllium gel on Doogh	1
stability	2
Fatemeh Sadat Hashemi ^{a,b} , Seyed Mohammad Taghi Gharibzahedi ^c , Hamed Hamishehkar ^{d*}	3
	4
^a Biotechnology Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran,	5
^b Department of Food Science and Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering and	6
Technology, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran,	7
^c Department of Food Science and Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering and	8
Technology, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran,	9
^d Drug Applied Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.	10
	11
* Corresponding author:	12
Tel: +984113363311; Fax: +984113363231; E-mail: hamishehkarh@tbzmed.ac.ir	13

Abstract 14 Influence of three stabilizers of psyllium seed hydrocolloid (PSH, 0.25%w/w), high methoxyl 15 pectin (HMP, 0.25%w/w), and gellan gum (GG, 0.05%w/w) alone or binary mixture on the phase 16 separation during 21d of storage, mean particle size and distribution, *\xi*-potential, rheology, 17 microstructure and sensory characteristics of an Iranian fermented milk drink "Doogh" was 18 investigated. Results revealed that the stability of Dooghs prepared with binary combinations of 19 HMP, PSH and GG was highly more than samples manufactured with each studied hydrocolloids 20 alone. Use of binary mixture of PSH and HMP with the lowest instability rate (6.08%) and the 21 highest absolute negative ξ-potential (-7.10 mV) led to a desirable effect on the critical sensory 22 attributes. The maximum consistency coefficient under power-law rheological model (R²>0.97) 23 was found for Dooghs formulated with GG alone. This study provides important data on the 24 performance of different types of polysaccharides which can be used in formulating acidified 25 milk drink systems. 26 Keywords: Acidified dairy drink, Physical stability, Rheology, Gellan gum, Psyllium mucilage, 27 Storage time 28 29 Introduction 1 30 There are many types of fermented dairy drink under different names in different regions of the 31 world. Some examples are vogurt drink in Europe, kefir and kumiss in the Middle East, avran in 32 Turkey and Doogh in Iran.¹ Doogh is made by mixing yogurt, water, and a few salt as well as 33 some aqueous extracts of local herbs.²⁻⁴ In recent years, consumption of this drink has become 34 very common in Iran and other Asian countries.⁵⁻⁷ It has many benefits due to the presence of 35 probiotic and prebiotic microorganisms which can increase the nutraceutical value of the final 36 product.⁶ However, Doogh like other acidic dairy drinks has a serious problem because its low 37 pH (\leq 4) causes phase separation leading the casein accumulation and gives a product with 38 undesirable non-uniform appearance.^{2,6,8} Furthermore, a reduction in salt and fat amounts can 39 noticeably reduce physical stability of this dairy drink.^{1,6,9} On the other hand, heat treatments 40 such as pasteurization have a key importance in production of dairy products, since it is a 41 common step in the processing of milk products. The heat treatment exposes reactive groups on 42 the protein which were previously inaccessible; and this, in turn, affects the rheological 43

44

2

properties of the products.⁷

It has been reported that polysaccharide hydrocolloids can considerably prevent phase separation 45 in acidic dairy drinks by the changing functional properties of milk proteins especially kappa-46 caseins and viscosity enhancement.^{2,9-16} Since hydrocolloids addition causes an undesirable taste, 47 choosing the optimal concentration of applied hydrocolloids is considered an important factor in 48 order to stabilize fermented dairy products.⁹ Studies have also shown that various factors such as 49 ionic power, protein-polysaccharide ratio, pH and final concentration of the product have great 50 effects on the physico-chemical properties of protein-polysaccharide mixed systems.¹ 51

Psyllium seed is an excellent source of natural soluble fiber, and has almost 8% more soluble 52 fiber than oat bran on a per weight basis.^{17,18} The seed husk is containing high amounts of 53 hydrocolloids obtainable by separating the outer layer of grains by grinding or scratching and 54 forms approximately 25% of the whole grain.¹⁹ Hydrocolloid of psyllium seed is a white fibrous 55 substance that absorbs water and becomes a clear and colorless gel. Polysaccharide presence in 56 this hydrocolloid is an anion from L-arabinose, D-xylose and D-galacturonic acid.¹⁹⁻²¹ 57 Hydrocolloid properties of psyllium seed include a high tendency to absorb water at about 20 58 times that of its original volume. Hydrocolloid is chemically inert and cannot be digested and 59 absorbed in the body. Also, this seed as a medicinal material is an active polysaccharide used to 60 treat unhealthy conditions such as diarrhea, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), constipation, 61 diabetes, colon cancer and high cholesterol.²²⁻²⁴ Askari et al.²² by extracting psyllium seed 62 hydrocolloid (PSH) and evaluating its rheological properties demonstrated the rheological 63 behavior is watery with shearing. Ladjeverdi et al.²⁵ have recently developed a stable low-fat 64 vogurt gel using functionality of PSH for achieving the best rheological, textural and sensory 65 characteristics using response surface methodology. Gharibzahedi et al.²¹ reported that use of 66 PSH in the presence of whey protein isolate can significantly improved physical stability of the 67 ultrasonically prepared coconut oil-in-water emulsions containing canthaxanthin produced by 68 Dietzia natronolimnaea HS-1 strain. Ahmadi et al.²⁶ earlier pointed out polysaccharide gel of 69 psyllium had a good potential to be used in producing new biodegradable edible films with 70 interesting specifications. 71

Study on the effect of different hydrocolloids such as tragacanth gum (TG), guar gum, high 72 methoxyl pectin (HMP), and gellan gum (GG) on the Doogh stability showed that these 73 polysaccharides as thickener agents can react with caseins having positive charge to create a 74 strong three-dimensional network by trapping water and caseins.^{1,7} Among these 75

88

89

90

95

96

polysaccharides, pectin and TG were applied as a common stabilizer for this dairy drink. Pectin 76 as a polysaccharide is composed of units of D-galacturonic acid with bounds of α -(1 \rightarrow 4). It is a 77 component of absorbent hydrocolloid that causes stability in a system via steric repulsion.¹⁵ 78 Some literatures have proposed formation of a weak gel network by pectin gel to prevent 79 accumulation of casein deposits.^{8,13,15} Also, the stabilizing mechanisms of TG, especially 80 Astragalus gossypinus demonstrated that tragacanthin was responsible for an electrostatic 81 reaction with casein in that *bassorin* also has a key role in sustainability by increasing viscosity 82 of the constant phase.^{6,9} 83

To the best of our knowledge, no study has been yet reported on the application of PSH in Doogh formulation and evaluation of its stability characteristics. Thus, this study has attempted to evaluate the ability of PSH used individually or in combination with GG, and HMP on Doogh stabilization over a 15 day storage period. 87

2 Materials and Methods

Materials and chemicals

Psyllium seeds used in this study were purchased from a local herbal store in Tehran (Iran). HMP
and GG were provided from CP Kelco Co. (Lille Skensved, Denmark) and Heidelberg Co.
(Colorado Springs, USA), respectively. All chemicals and culture media applied in this work
were obtained from the Merck Chemical Co. (Darmstadt, Germany).

Preparation of psyllium seed hydrocolloid

For the extraction of PSH, 100 mL of hydrochloric acid (0.1 M) was indirectly heated to boiling 97 temperature by a bottle containing water on a heater (Heidolph, Model R, Schwabach, Germany), 98 and added 5 g of psyllium seeds and then continued heating and mixing $(300 \times g)$ until the color 99 change of seeds. The seeds were washed by 10 mL warm water and the resulted aqueous solution 100 containing hydrocolloid was separated through a filter to completely collect the hydrocolloid. 101 After this step, the filtered liquid containing hydrocolloid was mixed with 60 mL of 96% ethanol 102 and maintained at 5°C for one day. Finally, PSH was isolated by centrifuging (Universal 320, 103 Hettich, Manchester, UK) at 3500 ×g for 20 min. Hydrocolloid was obtained in a laboratory oven 104 (Behdad Medical Equipment, Iran), dried at 40°C and prepared for further tests by grinding in a 105 laboratory mill (IKA, Model A 11B, Germany).²⁰ 106

107
108

Doogh preparation

In order to produce Doogh samples, yogurt (40% w/w), NaCl (0.7% w/w), and each 109 polysaccharides (PSH (0.25% w/w), HMP (0.25% w/w) and GG (0.05% w/w)) in a separate tank 110 were added to 100 mL of distilled water. The polysaccharides amount in Doogh formulation was 111 selected based on a preliminary literature review and experiment. The obtained dairy solution 112 was heated at 60-65°C for 1 h after mixing yogurt, NaCl, hydrocolloid and water. In the next 113 step, the mixture was homogenized (Oltratrax, Heidolph Persia, Germany) at the speed of 15,000 114 ×g until the achieving uniform and fine particles. Pasteurization was then done at 83°C for 1 min 115 in a water bath (IKA-Werk, Germany). Doogh samples were put in to sterile containers and 116 stored at 5°C. PH of the final product was 3.84 ± 0.09 . 117 To study the combined effect of hydrocolloids, one hydrocolloid was added to water and yogurt 118 based on the specified ratios, on completion of the determined duration, the second hydrocolloid 119 was added and operations of homogenization, pasteurization and filling of sterile containers were 120

performed. Doogh with a sour flavor is the most popular among consumers, thus sour yogurts 121 with pH = 3.97 and acidity of 132-138° dornic were used. It is to be noted that the AOAC²⁷ 122 standard method was used to measure acidity, dry matter and pH of the developed samples. 123

Physical instability measurement

Phase separation of the different formulations was carried out in glass tubes for 21 days.126Amounts of serum phase (upper phase) were measured by a ruler and divided by the amount for127Doogh content in each test tube and expressed as percentage.3,4128

Viscosity measurement

The viscosity was measured at $23\pm1^{\circ}$ C by a rotational viscometer (Brookfield DV-II+ 131 programmable viscometer, Middleboro, MA, USA) equipped with a ULA spindle. This analysis 132 was performed 24 h after the production at different rotational speeds dependent on torque 133 amounts. The power law model was used to determine consistency coefficient and flow behavior 134 index of the samples by fitting the experimentally measured shear stress-shear rate data.²⁸

Determination of particle size

136

124

125

129

145

146

147

151

152

157

158

Particle size distribution of the samples was determined by dynamic light scattering using a 138 Mastersizer 2000S (Malvern Instruments Ltd., UK). Measurements were made 1 day after the 139 production according to the Fraunhofer principle.⁴ Doogh samples were diluted before tests with 140 deionized water at the ratio of 1:100 in order to avoid multiple scattering effects. Size distribution 141 was characterized by volumetric percentage and mean particle diameter obtained by surface-142 weighted mean diameter (D₃₂) of the Doogh particles, based on the following Eq. (1): 143

$$D_{43} = \frac{\sum n_i d_i^3}{\sum n_i d_i^2}$$
(1) 144

where, n_i is number of particles with diameter d_i .

ξ-potential measurement

Zeta-potential measurements of the 100-fold diluted particles were carried out using a Malvern148Zetasizer IV (Malvern Instruments Ltd., UK). The zeta-potential measurements are reported as149the averages of three separate injections, with three readings made per injection150

Microscopic observation

Each Doogh sample (0.15 mL) was initially diluted with 20 mL of distilled water and added 1 mL 153 of rhodamine B in order to color formation of casein particles. Observations were immediately 154 visualized after the slides preparation of using a phase-contrast light microscopy (Leica Galen III, 155 Germany) at 40× magnifications. 156

Microbiological analysis

Determination of coliforms, and mold and yeast population was according to the FDA-159 Bacteriological Analytical Manual.²⁹ Briefly, each sample was serially diluted (10-fold) in 160 sterilized phosphate buffer solution (pH = 7.0). In the next step, 1 mL of Doogh and each diluent 161 were transferred to two duplicated separate Petri-Dish and two of them poured plated with Violet 162 Red Bile Agar (VRB) and other two with Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) for enumeration of 163 coliforms, and mold and yeast counts, respectively. After mixing completely, the VRB plates 164 were incubated at 32°C for 24 h and PDA plates at 20°C for 5-7 days, respectively. After the 165 incubation, all colonies grown on VRB and PDA were enumerated. 166

Sensory evaluation

Evaluation of sensory attributes of the produced Dooghs with various formulations during 169 storage time in terms of taste, consistency, appearance, smell and overall acceptability was 170 carried out by 20 trained panelists consisting of graduate students and staff members of Tabriz 171 University's Food Engineering Department (Tabriz, Iran) who were familiar with the 172 characteristic qualities of produced products.⁴ In order to describe the sensory properties of the 173 seven types of Doogh, the sensory profiling method was applied.³⁰ This procedure consisted of 174 two phases, an initial phase to select, train and validate the assessors and a subsequent phase 175 focusing on the evaluation of the samples. Trained panelists were thus selected on the basis of 176 perceived verbal skills, motivation, regular use of dairy products and the ability to replicate their 177 results. Panelists were between the ages of 25 and 45, 11 were female and 9 were male. Testers 178 were seated in sensory booths with standard lighting. Each sample was presented twice, and the 179 samples were presented in random order. Panelists used water to rinse between samples and 180 unsalted crackers were available. A hedonic 9-point structured scale, in which 9 corresponded to 181 most liked and 1 to most disliked. The median value or mode was used to represent the sensory 182 data. 183

Statistical analysis

All experiments were repeated at least 3 times and the results presented as a mean of the obtained 186 values with the standard deviation (SD). The results were subjected to analysis of variance 187 (ANOVA), using SPSS 16.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software. Differences 188 among mean values at a 5% level were examined by the least significant differences (LSD) test. 189 Correlation analysis for studying the relationships between different traits was also performed 190 employing Pearson's test. 191

3 Results and discussion

Phase separation

As shown in Fig. 1a, the Dooghs formulated with HMP and HMP-PSH respectively had the 195 highest and lowest instability rate among other investigated samples (p < 0.05). In general, the 196 stability of samples manufactured with each studied hydrocolloids alone was lower than those 197 prepared with binary combinations of HMP, PSH and GG (Fig. 1a). Increase of serum separation 198

184

192

193

in the produced Dooghs during storage (Fig. 1a) can be attributed to the sedimentation of large 199 particles and aggregation of casein proteins at the bottom due to over acidification in low pHs 200 (Fig. 1b).³¹ Correlation analysis showed that the physical stability was strongly related with pH 201 loss rate of the produced Dooghs during storage period ($r^2 = -0.929$, p < 0.01). Table 1 shows the 202 microbial analysis of different formulations of Doogh including the yeast, mold and coliforms 203 count during the storage time. The mold and yeast (0.70-3.48 Log 10 CFU/mL) were dominant in 204 this acidified drink during 21d storage period. However, growth of coliforms in the various 205 formulations of Doogh was limited as only control sample and Dooghs containing HMP, GG and 206 PSH had very low counts of coliform bacteria. Maybe, use of hydrocolloids alone provides 207 suitable conditions to grow yeast/mold and subsequently reduces the medium pH. In contrast, 208 combination between the used hydrocolloids can probably inhibit coliforms growth in the 209 produced Dooghs. However, it is necessary to provide the hygiene status of materials and 210 equipment applied for increasing microbial quality of the final product. For example, the quality 211 of raw milk, adequacy of heat treatment, microbiological quality of edible salt and aromatic 212 additives used in production, hygiene level of filling equipment and packaging containers and the 213 air condition of the production hall are the most effective parameters on the microbial quality of 214 the final Doogh.¹¹⁻¹³ Moreover, the storage temperature of Doogh should be controlled significant 215 to extend shelf life of the product.⁷ 216

It was demonstrated that the apparent viscosity of acidic milk drinks can increase by increasing 217 pectin content and thus the low diffusivity under this condition can reduce the physical instability 218 due to a decrease in the collisions between Doogh particles. ^{3,4,14,31-33} It seems that the use of a 219 low concentration of HMP (0.25% w/w) provides high instability for the formulated Dooghs 220 because more pectin at high levels of HMP to cover the casein particles and also to interact with 221 water could be available for increasing the resistance of the fluid against flow.^{11,34} Increase of the 222 stability by combining HMP and PSH was probably because of the ability of these hydrocolloids 223 to induce formation of a weak network structure in Doogh.¹⁵ PSH enhances the consistency and 224 stability of the natural systems because of the formation of a strong gel by hydrocolloid nature of 225 the husk containing arabinoxylans with various structural features.²¹ Arabinoxylans present in 226 PSH structure are highly branched non-starch polysaccharides with a main chain of densely 227 substituted β -(1,4)-linked xylopyranose residues. Single arabinofuranose and xylopyranose 228 residues, or short side chains consisting of these monosaccharides, are attached at positions 2 229

and/or 3 of the main chain xylopyranose residues.³⁵⁻³⁷ PSH thus is an anionic polysaccharide that 230 bears a negative charge due to ionized carboxyl groups.²¹ In acid medium, most of -COO⁻ 231 groups transform into -COOH groups and consequently a significant increase can be observed in 232 the hydrogen bonding interaction among hydrophilic groups and the additional physical 233 crosslinking degree.³⁸ Sila *et al.*³⁹ showed that the electrical charge on biopolymers may be also 234 changed by their interactions with other ionic species in the environment. These interactions 235 typically involve multivalent ions such as calcium that bind to oppositely charged groups on the 236 biopolymer chain, altering overall charge characteristics. The presence of Ca²⁺ ions in Doogh 237 medium can covalently form cross-links between free carboxyl and amino groups along 238 neighboring polymer chains and can cause a decrease in negative charge. Therefore, adding Ca²⁺ 239 ions resulted in an increase in the density of aggregates, and the network became stronger.^{19,21} 240 High stability of Dooghs formulated with PSH-HM can be due to polysaccharides molecules 241 bonds either separately or in combination with proteins leading to the product stability through 242 formation of a three-dimensional network or trapping the protein particles in the network.⁴⁰ 243

244

245

Rheology

Power law and Herschel-Bulkley models were applied to find the best shear stress-shear rate 246 plots to describe the rheological behavior of Dooghs. Results showed that the power law was the 247 better rheological model compared to the Herschel-Bulkley model (data not shown) because of 248 due to the highest determination coefficient and lowest standard error (Table 2). Similar results 249 were previously reported for Doogh², ayran^{14,32}, and other types of fermented milk beverages⁴¹⁻⁴³. 250 A rheological behavior change from Newtonian to pseudoplastic was found by the adding 251 different hydrocolloids alone or in combination with each other (Table 2). The formation of inter-252 and intra-molecular interactions between chains is probably responsible for increase in the 253 apparent viscosity in a constant shear stress and decrease in the flow behavior index.⁶ Fig. 2 by 254 presenting a shear-thinning behavior shows Dooghs formulated with 0.5% GG had the highest 255 the consistency index (m). Table 2 shows the viscosity value of various Dooghs at a constant 256 shear rate (0.416 s⁻¹). As considered in this figure, Dooghs formulated with GG and HMP 257 respectively had the highest (0.0288 Pa.s) and lowest (0.0020 Pa.s) viscosity among the 258 investigated samples. No significant difference (p>0.05) was observed for the values of viscosity 259 (0.0048-0.0049 Pa.s) between control and Doogh containing GG-PSH. Also, the viscosity values 260

of samples containing HMP in mutual combinations with GG and PSH and also Doogh 261 containing PSH alone were in a similar range. High viscosity of Dooghs containing GG can be 262 attributed to the formation of an electrostatic complex by increasing interaction between 263 positively charged protein groups and anionic GG groups through the pasteurization process. 44,45 264 Indeed, association of acid-casein particles as control Doogh, existence of bridging between the 265 casein particles via GG-casein associations, and fragile association of GG helices in term of weak 266 gels provide a strong network against to flow.³⁴ Hasheminya et al.⁷ also by studying effect of GG 267 on rheological characteristics and stabilization of a fiber-enriched Doogh reported that the 268 formation of such complex is possibly responsible for enhancement in the consistency coefficient 269 and apparent viscosity in a constant shear stress and reduction in the flow behavior index. Low 270 viscosity of Dooghs containing HMP alone was probably due to low concentration of this 271 component in the formulation. It was demonstrated that HMP at high content has an interest 272 ability to induce formation of a weak network structure in Doogh.³ As can be seen in Fig. 3a, the 273 favorable viscosity of PSH can be because of formation of a continuous cross-linked network due 274 to its high molecular weight and the presence of an arabinoxylan with $1 \rightarrow 4$ linkages in the xylan 275 backbone in alkaline extractable gelling (AEG) faction of PSH structure which was heavily 276 substituted by short arabinose branches.^{19,38} We believe that the formed complex structures by 277 GG and PSH in combination with HMP can potentially modulate the system viscosity by 278 providing a homogeneous and fine distribution among particles (Fig. 3b and c). Higher viscosity 279 of Dooghs formulated with HMP-PSH than those formed by HMP-GG (Fig. 3) may be explained 280 by the fact that the presence of many number of particles in their matrix (Fig. 3b and c) can 281 increase resistance to flow. Poor viscosity of Dooghs formulated with combination of PSH and 282 GG can be ascribed to extensive depletion flocculation (Fig. 3d) due to the high presence of non-283 adsorbed anionic polysaccharides of PSH and GG on casein micelles. Evaluation of zeta potential 284 somewhat demonstrates this fact for Doogh formulated with PSH-GG (Table 2). Existence of 285 large, irregular fragments of aggregated casein, separated from one another, and differing widely 286 in size and shape in this formulation can often lead to "wheying off" - the formation of a clear 287 separated layer or syneresis when polysaccharide stabilizers or thickeners are added to dairy-288 based beverages.⁵ 289

Particle size and ξ-potential

Formation and growth of electrostatic complexes between polysaccharide and protein molecules 292 can easily determine using particle size analysis. Table 2 indicates D₃₂ values of the particles of 293 produced Dooghs in this research. The lowest (5.39 µm) and highest (36.40 µm) D₃₂ values 294 respectively were for samples prepared with PSH alone and plain Doogh without any 295 hydrocolloid (p < 0.05; Table 2). The microscopic image of Dooghs containing PSH alone (Fig. 296 3a) also confirms the results obtained using laser diffraction particle sizing. However, a high 297 uniformity and low polydispersity index can be observed for Dooghs containing PSH according 298 to the particle size distribution curve (Fig. 4). Casein particles in the absence of stabilizer formed 299 large aggregates and had a wide particle size distribution from 0.1 to $\sim 100 \ \mu m$ (Fig. 4). Particle 300 size values of Dooghs stabilized with HMP, HMP-PSH and HMP-GG were in a similar range 301 (6.26-8.39 µm) and had no significant difference with the control sample. This could be related to 302 the efficient interactions between positive charged proteins and negative charged polysaccharides 303 which resulted in formation of soluble complexes.¹ Nonetheless, the ξ -potential analysis 304 demonstrated that HMP-PSH was the best biopolymer combination to improve the inter- and 305 intra-molecular interactions in Doogh structure (Table 2). The surface charge of the casein 306 stabilized particles is governed by the ionization degree of amino (NH₂) and carboxyl (COOH) 307 groups of protein depending on the pH of the surrounding aqueous phase. At pHs lower than 308 isoelectric point of casein protein, ξ -potential of the particles of control sample showed a 309 relatively high positive surface charge (+11.91 mV), but when HMP-PSH was present in the 310 system, charge reversal to -7.10 mV occurred, indicating the strong adsorption of this binary 311 mixture on the surface of the Doogh particles. Dooghs composed of GG and PSH and also their 312 binary mixture showed higher D₃₂ values than the other samples. This fact showed that these 313 components were not sufficient to completely cover the positively charged casein particles and 314 caused bridging flocculation as well as casein aggregation.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ 315

Sensory characteristics

316 317

Evaluation of consumer preference of an essential product as Doogh is one of the most important 318 methods for determining its sensory quality. Results of the sensory evaluation during 21d storage 319 in Fig. 5 showed that there was a difference between the Doogh samples containing 320 hydrocolloids and the control sample in terms of taste, smell, consistency, appearance and overall 321 acceptability. Moreover, a considerable decrease in sensory attributes of all the formulations of 322

344

345

Doogh by increasing storage time was observed (Fig. 5). The highest and lowest taste scores 323 were respectively belonged to Dooghs containing PSH and PSH-HMP combination (Fig. 5a). 324 The taste sensory attribute was strongly correlated with smell ($r^2 = 0.937$) and overall 325 acceptability ($r^2 = 0.860$) values. Although fresh Dooghs formulated with GG had the highest 326 consistency scores in comparison to the control, samples containing PSH-HMP maintained their 327 high consistency up to the end of storage time (Fig. 5b). In general, the mean of consistency 328 values presented by panelists was indicative of desirability of the samples containing 329 hydrocolloids. Penna et al.⁴² reported that a high consistency coefficient can positively increase 330 the sensory acceptability of lactic beverages. Koksoy and Kilic¹⁴ pointed out that a positive 331 correlation with sensory acceptability of lactic drinks can be obtained with the providing high 332 consistency coefficient and pseudo-plastic property. Joudaki et al.^{3,4} showed that use of HMP in 333 Doogh formulation can noticeably improve the consistency and consumer preference. A high 334 correlation was also detected between consistency and appearance values ($r^2 = 0.909$). The 335 relatively stable appearance in samples containing HMP-PSH can thus be attributed to the least 336 phase separation and the highest consistency during storage (Fig. 5c). Fig. 5 (d and e) confirms 337 that the best smell and overall acceptability scores were for samples formulated with PSH 338 hydrocolloid (PSH, GG-PSH and HMP-PSH). The correlation analysis showed that the smell 339 values were positively associated with overall acceptability of Dooghs ($r^2 = 0.967$) formulated 340 with the different formulations. Finally, it can be concluded that use of combination of PSH and 341 HMP rather than their application alone had a desirable effect on the sensory characteristics of 342 samples. 343

4 Conclusion

The present study showed that use of PSH, HMP and GG did not affect pH values of the 346 developed Doogh samples during storage compared with the control sample. However, these 347 hydrocolloids affected the attributes of consistency, sensory and instability rate. Addition of PSH 348 separately and in combination with HMP increased the consistency, showed a dramatic reduction 349 in the rate of phase separation and improved sensory properties of the produced Dooghs in 350 comparison with other formulations prepared with the tested hydrocolloids. This effect was more 351 happened in the samples containing PSH. In general, the use of PSH with HMP and GG can be 352 recommended for improving the critical quality properties of Doogh and other acidified dairy 353

drinks. This research provided a theoretical basis for Doogh processing; however, further studies	354
is required due to the complex ingredients and reactions involved during Doogh processing and	355
storage.	356
	357
Acknowledgments	358
Research Center for Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology (RCPN, Tabriz, Iran) is appreciated for its	359
kind cooperation and provision of facilities used in the present study.	360
	361
Nomenclature	362
PSHPsyllium seed hydrocolloidHMPHigh methoxyl pectinGGGellan gumTGTragacanth gumIBSIrritable bowel syndrome D_{32} Surface-weighted mean diametermConsistency indexnFlow behaviour index S_{sy} Standard error B^2 Coefficient of determination	
K Coefficient of determination	363
References	364
1 E. Ghorbani Gorji, M. A. Mohammadifar and H. Ezzatpanah, Int. J. Dairy Technol., 2010, 64,	365
262-268.	366
2 S. Foroughinia, S. Abbasi and Z. Hamidi, Iran. J. Nutr. Sci. Food Technol., 2007, 2, 15–25.	367
3 H. Joudaki, M. Mousavi, M. Safari, S. H. Razavi, Z. Emam-Djomeh and S. M. T.	368
Gharibzahedi, Carbohydr. Polym., 2013, 97, 378-383.	369
4 H. Joudaki, M. Mousavi, M. Safari, S. H. Razavi, Z. Emam-Djomeh and S. M. T.	370
Gharibzahedi, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2013, 60, 375-382.	371
5 L. E. Nilsson, S. Lyckand and A. Y. Tamime, Production of drinking products. In A. Y.	372
Tamime (Ed.), Fermented milks. UK: Blackwell Science Ltd., 2006.	373
6 F. Azarikia and S. Abbasi, Food Hydrocolloid., 2010, 24, 358-363	374
7 S. M. Hasheminya, S. M. A. Ebrahimzadeh-Mousavi, M. R. Ehsani and J. Dehghannya, Iran.	375
Food Sci. Technol. Res. J., 2011, 21, 179-193.	376
8 A. D. P. Thi and R. Ipsen, Asian J. Food Agro-Ind., 2009, 2, 155-165	377

9 E. Ghorbani Gorji, M. A. Mohammadifar, H. Ezzatpanah and A. M. Mortazavian, Iran. J. Nutr.	378
Sci. Food Technol., 2011, 6, 31-42.	379
10 A. Parker, P. Bouenguer and T. P. Kravtchenko, Effect of Addition of High Methoxyl Pectin	380
on the Rheology and Colloidal Stability of Acid Milk Drinks. In K. Nishiari and E. Doi (Eds.),	381
Food hydrocolloids: Structures, Properties, and Functions. New York: Plenum Press, 1994.	382
11 A. Syrbe, W. J. Bauer and H. Klostermeyer, Int. Dairy J., 1998, 8, 179-193.	383
12 A. Maroziene and C. G. de Kruif, Food Hydrocolloid., 2000, 14, 391-394.	384
13 M. A. Laurent and P. Boulenguer, Food Hydrocolloid., 2003, 17, 445-454.	385
14 A. Koksoy and M. Kilic, Food Hydrocolloid., 2004, 18, 593-600.	386
15 R. H. Tromp, C. G. de Kruif, M. Van Eijk and C. Rolin, Food Hydrocolloid., 2004, 18, 565-	387
572.	388
16 D. W. Everett, and R. E. McLeod, Int. Dairy J., 2005, 15, 1175-1183.	389
17 L. Yu, G. E. de Vay, G. H. Lai, C. T. Simmons and S. R. Neilsen, US Patent, no. 6,248,373,	390
2001.	391
18 L. Yu, J. Perret, T. Parker and K. Allen, Food Chem., 2003, 82, 243-248.	392
19 Q. Guo, S. Cui, Q. H. Wang, D. Goff and A. Smith, Food Hydrocolloid., 2009, 23, 1542-	393
1547.	394
20 M. Saeedi, K. Morteza-Semnani, F. Ansoroudi, S. Fallah and G. Amin, Acta Pharmaceut.,	395
2010, 60 , 339-348.	396
21 S. M. T. Gharibzahedi, S. H. Razavi and S. M. Mousavi, Carbohydr. Polym., 2013, 92, 2002-	397
2011.	398
22 H. Askari, A. Farahnaky, M. Aminilari, M. Majzoobi and G. Mesbahi, 18th Iranian Congress	399
on Food Technology, 15-16 Oct, Mashhad, 2008.	400
23 M. R. P. Rao, M. P. Khambete and H. N. Lunavat, Int. J. Pharm Tech Res., 2011, 3, 1191-	401
1197.	402
24 R. Ahmadi, A. Kalbasi-Ashtari and S. M. T. Gharibzahedi, Int. Agrophysics, 2012, 26, 91-93.	403
25 R. Ahmadi, A. Kalbasi-Ashtari, A. Oromiehie, M. S. Yarmand and F. Jahandideh, J. Food	404
Eng., 2012, 109 , 745-751.	405
26 Z. S. Ladjevardi, S. M. T. Gharibzahedi and M. Mousavi, Carbohydr. Polym., 2015, doi:	406
10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.02.051.	407

27 AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists,	408
Virginia, USA, 1990.	409
28 S. M. T. Gharibzahedi, S. M. Mousavi, F. Khodaiyan and M. Hamedi, Int. J. Biol. Macromol.,	410
2012, 50 , 376–384	411
29 A. D. Hitchins, P. Feng, W. D. Watkins, S. R. Rippey and L. A. Chandler, Bacteriological	412
Analytical Manual. Edition 8. Revision A. Chapter 4. U.S. Food & Drug Administration Center	413
for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition, 1998.	414
30 ISO 13299, Sensory Analysis, General Guidance to Establish a Sensory Profile, International	415
Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.	416
31 M. Atamer, A. Gürsel, B. Tamuçay, N. Gençer, G. Yıldırım, S. Odabası, E. Karademir, E.	417
Senel and S.Kırdar, Gida, 1999, 24,119-126.	418
32 J. A. Lucey, M. Tamehana, H. Singh and P. A. Munro, J. Texture Stud., 1999, 30, 305–318.	419
33 A. Koksoy and M. Kilic, Int. Dairy J., 2003, 13, 835-839.	420
34 E. Dickinson, Trend. Food Sci. Technol., 1998, 9, 347-354.	421
35 S. Edwards, M. F. Chaplin, A. D. Blackwood and P. W. Dettmar, Proceed. Nutr. Soc., 2003,	422
62 , 217–222.	423
36 M. H. Fischer, N. Yu, G. R. Gray, J. Ralph, L. Anderson and J. A. Marlett, Carbohydr. Res.,	424
2004, 339 , 2009-2017.	425
37 W. Kaialy, P. Emami, K. Asare-Addo, S. Shojaee and A. Nokhodchi, Pharmaceut. Develop.	426
Technol., 2014, 19 , 269-277.	427
38 J. K. An, W. B. Wang and A. Q. Wang, Starch/Stärke, 2010, 62, 501-507.	428
39 D. N. Sila, S. Van Buggenhout, T. Duvetter, I. Fraeye, A. De Roeck, A. Van Loey and M.	429
Hendrickx, Compr.Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf., 2009, 8, 86-104.	430
40 I. T. Norton, D. A. Jarvis and T. J. Foster, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 1999, 26, 255-261.	431
41 D. Kristensen, P. Y. Jensen, F. Madsen and K. S. Birdi, J. Dairy Sci., 1997, 80, 2282-2290.	432
42 A. L. B. Penna, K. Sivieri and M. N. Oliviera J. Food Eng., 2001, 49, 7-13.	433
43 T. Janhoj, M. Bom Frost and R. Ipsen, Food Hydrocolloid., 2008, 22, 798-806.	434
44 T. V. Burova, N. V. Grinberg, V. Y. Grinberg, A. I. Usov, V. B. Tolstoguzov and C. G.	435
deKruif, Biomacromol., 2007, 8, 368–375.	436
45 S. de Jong, H. J. Klok and F. Velde, Food Hydrocolloid., 2009, 23, 755–764.	437
46 J. Liu, N. Akihiro and M. Corredig, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2006, 54, 6241-6246.	438

47 S. M. T. Gharibzahedi, S. H. Razavi and M. Mousavi, J. Dispersion Sci. Technol., 2015, 36,	439
614-625.	440
48 S. M. T. Gharibzahedi, S. M. Mousavi, M. Hamedi and F. Khodaiyan, Food Bioprocess	441
Technol., 2013, 6 , 456-469.	442
	443
	444
	445
	446
	447
	448
	449
	450
	451
	452
	453
	454
	455
	456
	457
	458
	459
	460
	461
	462
	463
	464
	465
	466
	467
	468
	469

Figures legend	470
Fig. 1. The instability rate (a) and pH value (b) of the different formulations of Doogh in this	471
study	472
Fig. 2. Rheological behavior curves of Dooghs formulated with GG (Δ), PSH (\blacktriangle), HMP (\circ),	473
HMP-PSH (\blacksquare), HMP-GG (\blacklozenge), and GG-PSH (\bullet) in comparison to the control sample (\diamondsuit)	474
Fig. 3. Light microscopy images of the Dooghs containing PSH (a), HMP-PSH (b), HMP-GG	475
(c), and GG-PSH (d)	476
Fig. 4. Comparison of particles size distribution of Dooghs stabilized by HMP (\Box), PSH (\circ), GG	477
(\diamond), HMP-PSH (\blacktriangle), HMP-GG (\blacksquare), and GG-PSH (\bullet) with the control sample (Δ)	478
Fig. 5. Evaluation of sensory attributes (a, taste; b, consistency; c, appearance; d, smell; e,	479
overall acceptability) of the different formulations of Doogh during the storage time	480
	481
	482
	483
	484
	485
	486
	487
	488
	489
	490
	491
	492
	493
	494
	495
	496
	497
	498
	499
	500

Table 1. Mi	crobiological anal	ysis of the different	nt formulations of	Doogh during the	storage time		501 502
			Microbiological a	nalvsis (Mean±SD)			001
Devel (mer	1 st Day		7 th Day		21 th Day		503
Doogn type	Coliforms	Mold and yeast	Coliforms	Mold and yeast	Coliforms	Mold and yeast	504
	(Log 10 CFU/mL)	(Log 10 CFU/mL)	(Log 10 CFU/mL)	(Log 10 CFU/mL)	(Log 10 CFU/mL)	(Log 10 CFU/mL)	504
HMP	-	0.21 ± 0.08	0.75 ± 0.41	1.25 ± 0.10	1.30 ± 0.41	2.06 ± 0.23	505
GG	-	0.37 ± 0.12	-	0.89±0.05	0.80±0.25	1.74 ± 0.15	202
PSH	-	-	-	0.45 ± 0.05	0.47 ± 0.12	2.55 ± 0.38	506
HMP-GG	-	-	-	0.37 ± 0.13	-	3.48 ± 0.42	500
HMP-PSH	-	-	-	0.22 ± 0.01	-	0.70 ± 0.19	507
GG-PSH Control	-	-		0.20 ± 0.04	-	0.89 ± 0.28	
Control	-	0.02≖0.02	1.90±0.37	0.40±0.11	2.83±0.37	1.09±0.04	508
							509
							510
							511
							512
							513
							514
							515
							516
							510
							517
							518
							519
							520
							521
				18			

Table 2.	. Particle	size,	zeta	potential	and	power	law	parameters	for	flow	behavior	curves	of the	522

developed Dooghs

Sample type ^a Rheological parameters		parameters	$(\mathbf{P}^2)^c$	c d	Particle size	Particle size characteristics		
Sample type	m (Pa s ⁿ)	п	Viscosity (Pa.s) ^b	(K)	S _{xy}	$D_{32}(\mu m)$	ξ-potential (mV)	
HMP	0.72 ^c	0.81 ^b	0.00204^{d}	0.999	0.05	$6.26 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	$-2.40\pm0.02^{\circ}$	
PSH	0.83 ^c	0.64 ^c	0.00643 ^b	0.989	0.02	36.4 ± 0.31^{a}	0.12 ± 0.01^{b}	
GG	17.27 ^a	0.65 ^c	0.02880^{a}	0.978	0.21	28.71 ± 0.42^{ab}	-0.32 ± 0.01^{b}	
HMP-GG	0.80°	0.68°	0.00598^{b}	0.984	0.16	$8.39 \pm 0.79^{\circ}$	-0.21 ± 0.01^{b}	
HMP-PSH	0.87°	0.98 ^a	0.00690^{b}	0.998	0.34	$7.82 \pm 0.83^{\circ}$	-7.10 ± 0.02^{d}	
GG-PSH	1.78 ^b	0.73 ^c	0.00480°	0.999	0.09	22.63±0.64 ^b	0.45 ± 0.02^{b}	
Control	1.70^{b}	1.00^{a}	0.00493 ^c	1.000	0.07	$5.39 \pm 0.26^{\circ}$	11.91 ± 0.03^{a}	
^b Values in th	ne same colu	mns foll	owed by different le	etters (a-c	l) are sig	nificantly different	(<i>p</i> <0.05)	
^b Viscosity d	etermined at	constan	t shear rate of 0.416	s ⁻¹				
c,d R ² and S _{xy}	are determin	nation co	efficient and standa	rd error,	respectiv	ely.		

527

528



Fig. 1. The instability rate (a) and pH value (b) of the different formulations of Doogh in this531study532



Fig. 2. Rheological behavior curves of Dooghs formulated with GG (Δ), PSH (\blacktriangle), HMP (\circ),534HMP-PSH (\blacksquare), HMP-GG (\blacklozenge), and GG-PSH (\bullet) in comparison to the control sample (\diamond)535

536



Fig. 3. Light microscopy images of the Dooghs containing PSH (a), HMP-PSH (b), HMP-GG539(c), and GG-PSH (d)540







Fig. 4. Comparison of particles size distribution of Dooghs stabilized by HMP (\Box), PSH (\circ), 549 GG (\diamond), HMP-PSH (\blacktriangle), HMP-GG (\blacksquare), and GG-PSH (\bullet) with the control sample (Δ) 550

