RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Journal Name

RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Catalytic asymmetric hydrogenation using [2.2]paracyclophane based chiral 1,2,3-triazol-5ylidene-Pd complex under ambient conditions and 1 atmosphere of H₂

Ayan Dasgupta,^a Venkatachalam Ramkumar^a and Sethuraman Sankararaman^{*a}

Chiral 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene-Pd complexes with planar chiral [2.2]paracyclophane wing tip group have been synthesized and structurally characterized. The complex with a labile acetonitrile co-ligand is an excellent catalyst for chemoselective hydrogenation of alkynes and alkene and enantioselective hydrogenation of prochiral alkenes at ambient conditions and 1.0 atmosphere of H_2 .

Catalytic enantioselective transformations (CET) mediated by Nheterocyclic carbenes (NHCs)¹⁻⁴ and their transition metal complexes⁵⁻⁹ have gained importance in asymmetric organic synthesis.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Compared to the conventional phosphane ligands, chiral NHC ligands are relatively easier to synthesize by appending a suitable chiral auxiliary group to the N-heterocycle scaffold.^{1,2,4,1} Mono substituted [2.2]paracyclophane (PC) derivatives are planar chiral and they are configurationally very robust.¹⁸⁻²¹ Several NHCmetal complexes bearing chiral PC wing tip groups have been reported and their utility in CET has been demonstrated.²²⁻²⁶ The vast majority of these belong to imidazol-2-ylidene-metal (normal NHC-metal) complexes. PC based chiral mesoionic carbene (MIC)metal complexes are unknown and hence their potential as catalyst for CET remains unexplored. In particular the application of MIC-Pd complexes for catalytic asymmetric hydrogenation (CAH)²⁷, a transformation of high industrial importance, has not been investigated. Earlier reports of CAH using NHC-metal complexes involved $\rm Ir^{28\text{-}36},\ Rh^{37\text{-}39}$ and $\rm Ru^{40}$ metals and generally at high pressures of H2. Herein we report the design, synthesis and structural characterization of PC based 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene-Pd complexes.^{5,41-42} Application of these complexes as catalysts for chemoselective hydrogenation of substituted alkenes and alkynes and for the CAH of prochiral alkenes at 1.0 atm of H₂ has been investigated. Structures of complexes 1 and 2 are shown in Scheme 1

and were readily synthesized from the corresponding triazolium iodide **3** in both racemic (*rac*) and enantio pure (S_p) forms. Compound **3** was synthesized in five steps from [2.2]paracyclophane (ESI). Treatment of **3** with silver oxide⁴³⁻⁴⁵ gave the corresponding silver complex **4** which was characterized by NMR and HRMS data. Reaction of **4** with PdCl₂(MeCN)₂ in refluxing acetonitrile yielded **1** in 91% yield.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of NHC complexes 1 and 2 from *rac-3* and *S*_p-

Further, treatment of 1 with PPh₃ gave complex 2. Structures of 1, 2



and **3** were confirmed by spectroscopic and single crystal XRD data. In both the complexes the geometry around Pd atom is distorted square planar (Figure 1). However, the stereochemistry of the two chlorine atoms around Pd in 1 is *trans* and that of **2** is *cis*. Also the

Journal Name

Page 2 of 4

orientation of the triazolylidene-PdCl₂ unit with respect to the PC scaffold is very different in these complexes (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Ellipsoid (50% probability) representation of the structures of complexes S_p -1 (left) and *rac*-2 (right) in the crystal.

Complexes rac-1 and rac-2 (racemic form) were screened as catalysts for the hydrogenation of E-stilbene. The reactions were carried out in methanol at room temperature (30-35 °C) with 2 mol% catalyst loading under 1.0 atm of H₂ (795 mm Hg of H₂). With complex 1 as the catalyst the reaction mixture turned from yellow to black and E-stilbene was converted to 1,2-diphenylethane within 7 h in quantitative yield (Scheme 2). With complex 2 the reaction mixture remained yellow throughout and no reaction was observed over 24 h. With *E*-1,2-diphenylpropene as the substrate the reaction was complete to give 1,2-diphenylpropane in 20 h with 1 and no reaction was observed with 2. Hydrogenation of E-stilbene did not occur with complex 1 in the presence of 4 mol% of Ph₃P indicating inhibition of the reaction in the presence of Ph₃P due to the formation of complex 2. This is in sharp contrast to the stabilization of the catalytically active NHC-Rh species by Ph₃P reported in literature.³⁸ The difference in the reactivity between 1 and 2 can be attributed to the lability of acetonitrile ligand in 1 compared to the triphenylphosphane in 2. Also the Pd atom in 2 is sterically more hindered than that in 1 thus reducing the catalytic activity of the former compared to the latter. The visual color changes observed in case of 1 and the lack of it in case of 2 indicates the formation of active catalyst from 1 and not from 2. Control experiments clearly revealed that 2 could be recovered quantitatively after workup of the reaction. The apparent catalytic turnover number (TON) after 13 consecutive cycles of hydrogenation of trans-stilbene with 1 in MeOH was 640 and the overall yield of 1,2-diphenylethane was 98%. Hydrogenation of stilbene did not proceed in CH₂Cl₂, THF and CH₃CN when either 1 or 2 was used as the catalyst. Thus, a combination of 1 as the catalyst and MeOH as solvent under 1.0 atm of H₂ at room temperature was found to be most effective for the catalytic hydrogenation of alkenes and alkynes.



Scheme 2. Comparison of catalytic activities of 1 and 2

Complex 1 was screened for the hydrogenation of a variety of alkenes and alkynes to establish its reactivity and chemoselectivity. The results are summarized in Table 1 and Scheme 3.

Table 1. Hydrogenation of styrene derivatives



	R ₁	Х	R ₂	Y	Time	Yield
					(h)	(%)
1	Ph	Н	Ph	Н	7	100
2	CO ₂ H	Н	CO ₂ Me	Н	8	100
3	CO ₂ Me	Н	CO ₂ Me	Н	8	100
4	СНО	Н	CH ₂ OH	Н	8	100
5	CO ₂ H	СНО	CO ₂ Me	СНО	8	95
6	COMe	Н	COMe	Н	8	90
7	COPh	Н	COPh	Н	8	97
8	Ph	NO ₂	Ph	NO ₂	8	76
9	Ph	NO ₂	Ph	NH ₂	18	88
10	COPh	NO ₂	COPh	NO ₂	5	70
11	COPh	NO ₂	COPh	NH ₂	18	90



Scheme 3. Hydrogenation of tolan derivatives



Cinnamaldehyde was quantitatively converted to cinnamyl alcohol (entry 4). Aromatic aldehyde was not reduced to the corresponding alcohol under the reaction conditions (entry 5 and Scheme 3). Benzalacetone and benzalacetophenone were reduced to the corresponding saturated ketones leaving the keto group intact (entry 6 and 7). Alkenes can be selectively reduced in the presence of nitro groups. Thus 4-nitrostilbene and 4-nitrobenzalacetophenone were first reduced to 1-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-phenylethane and 3-(4nitrophenyl)-1-phenylpropan-1-one (entry 8 and 10), respectively, which were further reduced to 1-(4-aminophenyl)-2-phenylethane and 3-(4-aminophenyl)-1-phenylpropan-1-one, respectively, upon prolonged hydrogenation (entry 9 and 11). Similarly 4-nitrotolan was first reduced to 1-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-phenylethane in 60% isolated yield. Prolonged hydrogenation led to the formation of 1-(4aminophenyl)-2-phenylethane in 91% isolated yield (scheme 3). The supposed intermediate, namely 4-nitrostilbene was not observed by TLC and NMR analysis of the reaction mixture at various stages of the reaction. Thus C-C double and triple bonds were reduced much faster than the nitro group. Cinnamic acid and methyl cinnamate were reduced to methyl 3-phenylpropionate (entry 2 and 3). Under the reaction conditions acids were converted to the corresponding methyl esters in MeOH. CAH of prochiral alkenes shown in Scheme 4 using S_{p-1} gave excellent yields and good enantioselectivities of the corresponding alkanes. 1,1-Disubstituted alkenes reacted faster than trisubstituted alkenes. Assignment of absolute configuration of the products is based on correlation of the observed optical rotation with the literature (ESI).⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷ Methyl α -acetamidoacrylate was hydrogenated to the corresponding saturated ester with 81% ee and in near quantitative yield.

Conclusions

Journal Name

PC based chiral 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene-Pd complexes 1 and 2 were synthesized and structurally characterized by single crystal XRD. While complex 1 showed excellent activity towards catalytic hydrogenation of alkenes and alkynes, no reaction was observed with 2 under identical conditions. Strong coordination of PPh3 compared to acetonitrile and steric hindrance could be responsible for the difference in reactivity between these two complexes for catalytic hydrogenation. For the hydrogenation of *E*-stilbene 1 was found to be active up to 13 cycles with a TON of 640. Enantiopure S_n -1 was found to be an excellent catalyst for the CAH of prochiral alkenes in near quantitative yields and good enantioselectivity. Further investigations on the synthetic utility of these Pd complexes are in progress. Finally it is noteworthy that Pd instead of Ir and Rh as metal for hydrogenation and that hydrogenation is carried out at ambient conditions and at 1.0 atm of H_2 .

Notes and references

^{*a*} Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India. <u>sanka@jitm.ac.in</u> FAX: +91 44 2257 0545.

Research grants from DST and CSIR, New Delhi are gratefully acknowledged. ADG thanks CSIR, New Delhi for a fellowship. We thank Prof. H. Hopf, Institute of Organic Chemistry, TU-BS, Germany, for useful discussions; Prof. A. Lützen, Kekulé Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, University of Bonn, Germany and Prof. T. Lindel, Institute of Organic Chemistry, TU-BS, Germany, for the chiral HPLC analysis of two samples.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Synthesis and characterization data of all compounds and CIF files of complexes **1** and **2**.]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- 1 V. Cesar, S. Bellemin-Laponnaz and L. H. Gade, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2004, **33**, 619-636.
- 2 D. Enders, O. Niemeier and A. Henseler, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, **107**, 5605-5655.
- 3 N. Marion, S. Diez-Gonzalez and S. P. Nolan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, **46**, 2988-3000.
- 4 C. D. Campbell, K. B. Ling and A. D Smith, in *N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Transition Metal Catalysis and Organocatalysis*, (ed. C. S. J. Cazin), Springer, Dordrecht, 2011, Ch.12, pp 263-297.
- 5 K. Donnelly, A. Petronilho and M. Albrecht, M. *Chem. Commun.* 2013, **49**, 1145-1159.
- 6 S. Diez-Gonzalez, N. Marion and S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, 109, 3612-3676.
- 7 E. A. B. Kantchev, J. O'Brien and M. G. Organ, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 2768-2813.
- 8 S. P. Nolan, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 91-100.
- 9 W. A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1290-1309.
- 10 G. C. Vougioukalakis and R. H. Grubbs, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1746-1787.
- 11 F. E. Hahn and M. C. Jahnke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3122-3172.

- 12 S. Diez-Gonzalez and S. P. Nolan, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2007, 251, 874-883.
- 13 T. Droge and F. Glorius, F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6940-6952.
- 14 N. T. Patil, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1759-1761.
- 15 L. –J, Liu, F. Wang, W. Wang, M. –X. Zhao and M. Shi, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 7, 555-564.
- 16 L. –J. Liu, F. Wang and M. Shi, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 4416-4420.
- 17 D. S. Clyne, J. Jin, E. Genest, J. C. Gallucci and T. V. Rajanbabu, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 1125-1128.
- 18 Rowlands, G. J. Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 1527-1534.
- 19 V. Rozenberg, E. Sergeeva and H. Hopf, in *Modern Cyclophane Chemistry*, (eds. R. Gleiterand H. Hopf), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004, Ch.17, pp 435-459.
- 20 S. E. Gibson and J. D. Knight, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2003, 1, 1256-1269.
- 21 S. Bräse, S. Dahmen, S. Hofener, F. Lauterwasser, M. Kries and R. E. Ziegert, Synlett. 2004, 2647-2669.
- 22 M. Austeri, M. Enders, M. Nieger and S. Bräse, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 1667-1670.
- 23 L. Zhao, Y. Ma, F. He, W. Duan, J. Chen and C. Song, C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1677-1681.
- 24 W. Duan, Y. Ma, H. Xia, X. Liu, Q. Ma and J. Sun, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 4330-4333.
- 25 D. Wang, Y. Ma, F. He, W. Duan, L. Zhao and C. Song, Syn. Commun. 2013, 43, 810-825.
- 26 W. Duan, Y. Ma, F. He, L. Zhao, J. Chen and C. Song, *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 2013, 24, 241-248.
- 27 T. Ohkuma, M. Kitamura and R. Noyori, R. in *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, (ed. I. Ojima), Wiley-VCH, Weinhein, 2000, Ch. 1, pp 1-110.
- 28 M. T. Powell, D. R. Hou, M. C. Perry, X. Cui and K. Burgess, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8878 – 8879.
- 29 M. C. Perry, X. Cui, M. T. Powell, D. R. Hou, J. H. Reibenspiess and K. Burgess, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 113 – 123.
- 30 M. C. Perry and K. Burgess, K. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2003, 14, 951 961.
- 31 Y. Zhu, Y. Fan and K. Burgess, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6249 6253
- 32 S. Nanchen and A. Pfaltz, A. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4550 4558.
- 33 S. Nanchen and A. Pfaltz, *Helv. Chim. Acta*. 2006, **89**, 1559 1573.
- 34 S. J. Roseblade and A. Pfaltz, Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 1402-1411.
- 35 A. Schumacher, M. G. Schrems and A. Pfaltz, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, 17, 13502 – 13509
- 36 A. Schumacher, M. Bernasconi and A. Pfaltz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, **52**, 7422-7425.
- 37 P. Etayo and A. Vidal-Ferran, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 728-754.
- 38 W. A. Herrmann, G. D. Frey, E. Herdtweck and M. Steinbeck, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2007, **349**, 1677-1691.
- 39 P. Gu, J. Zhang, Q. Xu and M. Shi, *Dalton Trans.* 2013, 42, 13599-13606.
- 40 N. Ortega, S. Urban, B. Beiring and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1710-1713.
- 41 R. H. Crabtree, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2013, 257, 755-766.

- 42 J. D. Crowley and D. A. McMorran, Aust. J. Chem. 2011, 64, 1118-1132.
- 43 H. M. J. Wang and I. J. B. Lin, Organometallics 1998, 17, 972-975.
- 44 P. Mathew, A. Neels and M. Albrecht, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13534–13535.
- 45 T. Karthikeyan and S. Sankararaman, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, **50**, 5834-5837.
- 46 B. Zupanc'ic', B. Mohar and M. Stephan, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3022-3025.
- 47 S. Sugiyama, Y. Aoki and K. Ishii, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 2847-2856.

4 | J. Name., 2012, **00**, 1-3