RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Journal Name RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Ultrasonic enhanced synthesis of multi-walled Carbon nanotubes supported Pt-Co bimetallic nanoparticles as catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction

Shaofang Fu,^a Guohai Yang,^a Yazhou Zhou,^a Horng-bin Pan,^b Chien M. Wai,^b Dan Du,^a and Yuehe Lin^{a,c*}

Carbon materials supported bi- or tri-metallic nanoparticles were usually used to replace noble metals, such as platinum, for improving catalytic performance and reducing the cost. In this paper, carboxylate-functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes supported bimetallic platinum-cobalt nanoparticles catalyst was synthesized using a simple one-step ultrasonic method. Electrochemical experiments showed that this catalyst exhibited excellent electrocatalytic activity in acid solution for oxygen reduction reaction. In detail, the onset potential and half-wave potential of this catalyst positively shifted compared with the commercial platinum/carbon catalyst. The as-prepared catalyst also presented a high mass activity. Additionally, it showed a four-electron reduction pathway for oxygen reduction reaction and exhibited better stability (about 82.8% current density was maintained) than platinum/carbon during the current durability test.

Introduction

Polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEMFCs) are a leading candidate to replace the aging alkaline fuel cell due to their compact construction, high current density, low operation temperature, and fast start-up.¹ To some extent, PEMFCs are considered as efficient and clean energy sources for portable and stationary applications.^{2, 3} However, there are still some challenges need to be addressed. One of the major challenges impeding the further development of the industrial applications is improving the activity of cathodic catalysts toward oxygen reduction reaction (ORR).⁴⁻⁸ Carbon materials supported platinum nanoparticles (Pt NPs) have been traditionally used in catalysts for the sluggish ORR in PEMFCs because of the high activity of Pt toward ORR. However, Pt NPs tend to dissolve and agglomerate under the severe cathode conditions. Besides, the high cost of Pt limits the wide scale usage of PEMFCs as alternative energy source.⁹⁻¹¹

T solve these problems, extensive efforts have been made to develop the low-Pt electrocatalysts for fuel cells. Many studies have demonstrated that Pt-based bi- or tri-metallic alloyed electrocatalysts, such as alloying Pt with transition metals, such

as iron (Fe), $^{12-14}$ cobalt (Co)¹⁵⁻²⁰, nickel (Ni), $^{12, 14, 16, 18}$ chrome (Cr) , ^{18, 20} vanadium (V) , ^{12, 20} could improve the durability and activity for the ORR by decreasing the activation energy. Consequently, the amount of Pt is also reduced significantly. On the other hand, a lot of researches have been focused on the support materials to further increase the electrocatalytic property and efficiency. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) attract much interest as catalyst support due to their high electrical conductivity, high surface area and good durability.^{21, 22} However, the pristine CNTs contain only few binding sites to anchor metal NPs because of their high curvature and inertness. In order to have the good attachment and dispersion of metal NPs, CNTs are usually treated with covalent or non-covalent functionalization.²³ Our group has been working on experimental and theoretical studies of CNTs supported metal nanoparticles for several years.23-25 The as-synthesized catalysts exhibit excellent catalytic activities on PEMFCs.

Ultrasonic method has been applied in organic synthesis, materials chemistry, and industrial processes due to its extreme transient conditions, which are distinct with traditional synthesis methods. $26-30$ It was demonstrated to be a facile method to synthesize nanostructured metal particles. These

unique conditions of ultrasound allow the synthesis of materials in a liquid with room temperature, which otherwise requires high temperature, high pressure or long reaction time.²⁶

Herein, carboxylate-functionalized multi-walled CNTs (MWCNTs) supported bimetallic Pt-Co NPs (PtCo/MWCNTs) catalyst was synthesized using a simple one-step ultrasonic method. The electrochemical studies in acid solution showed that PtCo/MWCNTs presented better electrocatalytic activity for ORR through a four-electron reduction pathway compared with commercial platinum/carbon (Pt/C) catalyst. For the stability, 82.8% initial current density was maintained during the test, which is also higher than that of commercial Pt/C catalyst.

Results and discussion

Characterization of PtCo-MWCNTs

Fig. 1 (a) TEM image of the PtCo/MWCNTs. (b) Pt-Co NPs size distribution.

The morphology of the product was obtained by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) as shown in Fig. 1a. It reveals that the Pt-Co NPs were uniformly deposited onto the surface of MWCNTs. The formation of Pt-Co bimetallic NPs on MWCNTs support was attributed to the functional groups of the MWCNTs, which served as the anchor sites and bound with NPs by chemical bonds.³¹ The particle size distribution was calculated by Nano Measure software, which is shown in shown in Fig. 1b. It reveals that the particles are distributed uniformly with an average size around 1.6 nm.

Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction pattern of PtCo/MWCNTs. JADE database peak positions for Pt (red) and Co (green) are marked.

The crystalline and lattice properties of the PtCo/MWCNTs catalyst were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), as shown in Fig. 2. The first diffraction peak ($2\theta = 26^{\circ}$) indicates the graphitic carbon of MWCNTs. A well-defined (111) plane can also be observed at $2\theta = 40.33^{\circ}$. These peaks slightly shifted to higher angles than those for Pt compared with the database for pure Pt and Co (e.g., 2θ values of 39.1 (111) for Pt; 44.2 (111) for Co), which demonstrated the alloy formation among Pt and Co. The PtCo NPs size can be calculated according to Scherrer equation:

$$
L = \frac{0.9\lambda_{K\alpha 1}}{B_{2\theta} \cos \theta_{\text{max}}}
$$

where L is the size of PtCo NPs. $\lambda_{K\alpha_1}$ is the X-ray wavelength $(\lambda = 0.154 \text{ nm})$. $B_{2\theta}$ is the half-peak width. θ_{max} is the Bragg angle. The calculated PtCo NPs size is around 1.16 nm, which agrees with the TEM result.

Fig. 3 XPS spectra of Pt 4f (a) and Co 2p (b) of PtCo/MWCNTs.

The surface composition and interaction between Pt and Co atoms in the PtCo/MWCNTs were studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Fig. 3a and b show the XPS spectra of the sample in Pt 4f and Co 2p regions. The peaks at binding energies of 71.64 eV, 74.79 eV and 780.67 eV correspond to Pt (4 $f_{7/2}$), Pt (4 $f_{5/2}$) and Co (2 $p_{3/2}$), respectively. It reveals that Pt 4f peaks shift to higher binding energy compared to pure Pt NPs,³³ indicating electron transfer from Pt to Co. The electronic structures of PtCo NPs are modified due to the alloying of Pt with Co.^{10, 34, 35}

Electrocatalytic activity of PtCo/MWCNTs catalysts

Journal Name ARTICLE

Fig. 4 CV curves of PtCo/MWCNTs and commercial Pt/C on RDE in N2 saturated and $O₂$ -saturated 0.5 M HClO₄ solution at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. (b) LSV curves of PtCo/MWCNTs and Pt/C electrodes in $O₂$ saturated 0.5 M HClO₄ solution at a scan rate of 10 mV/s and a rotation rate of 1600 rpm. (c) ORR mass activity of PtCo/MWCNTs and commercial Pt/C catalysts at 0.73 V and 0.763 V vs. Ag/AgCl. (d) LSV curves with various rotation rates. (e) Koutecky-Levich plots of PtCo/MWCNTs electrodes at different potential. (f) Chronoamperometric responses of PtCo/MWCNTs and commercial Pt/C electrodes at 0.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl in $O₂$ -saturated 0.5 M HClO₄ solution at a rotation rate of 200 rpm.

In order to evaluate the specific electrocatalytic performance of PtCo/MWCNTs towards ORR, cyclic voltammetry (CV) tests were carried out in N_2 and O₂-saturated 0.5 M HClO₄ solution from 0 V to 1.0 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. As shown in Fig. 4a, featureless voltammetric currents within the potential range were observed for all electrodes in N_2 -saturated solution. In contrast, when the solution was saturated with O_2 , all the CV curves presented well-defined characteristic ORR peaks, indicating the electrocatalytic activities of PtCo/MWCNTs towards ORR. In detail, the peak potential of PtCo/MWCNTs positively shifted (around 40 mV) relative to commercial Pt/C catalyst. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves for the ORR obtained with PtCo/MWCNTs and Pt/C electrocatalysts on a rotating disk electrode (RDE) in O_2 saturated 0.5 M HClO₄ solution at a rotating rate of 1600 rpm are shown in Fig. 4b. The onset potential of PtCo/MWCNTs is nearly 40 mV higher than that of commercial Pt/C. A half-wave potential, $E_{1/2}$, is often used to evaluate the elctrocatalytic activity of a catalyst. As shown in Fig. 4b, the half-wave potential of PtCo/MWCNTs (0.763 V) is positively shifted relative to Pt/C catalyst (0.73 V). These results are comparable or even better than that in some reports. $6, 10$ The ORR mass

activity of PtCo/MWCNTs catalyst is higher than that of commercial Pt/C as shown in Fig. 4c. All these results indicate the good electrocatalytic activity of PtCo/MWCNTs towards ORR. This might be attributed to the formation of Pt-Co alloy which increases the ORR activity because of the different band configuration between Pt-Co alloy and pure Pt.^{36, 37} In addition, the addition of Co also has an effect on the catalyst, such as geometric structure, particle size, wettability, and so on.^{4, 15}

Further insight of the electron transfer kinetics of ORR process on these catalysts was studied by LSV on an RDE. The rotating speeds were from 225 rpm to 2500 rpm with a scan rate of 10 mV/s in O₂-saturated 0.5 M HClO₄ electrolyte. As shown in Fig. 4d, the current densities increased with rotating rate significantly for PtCo/MWCNTs electrode due to the faster oxygen flux to electrode surface under high rotating rate. ORR precedes either via a two-electron reduction pathway in which hydrogen peroxide is formed as an intermediate or via a fourelectron reduction pathway where O_2 is directly reduced to OH⁻ . The four-electron pathway is generally preferred in fuel cell since it gives a faster reduction rate. Fig. 4e shows Koutecky-Levich plots of PtCo/MWCNTs at different potentials. Each plot shows a good linear relationship between J^{-1} and $\omega^{-1/2}$, revealing the consistent electron-transfer at different potential and the first-order reaction kinetics with respect to the concentration of dissolved O_2 . According to the slopes of the Koutecky-Levich plots, the number of electrons transferred for ORR on PtCo/MWCNTs electrode was calculated to be around four, indicating the nearly complete reduction of O_2 to H_2O . To demonstrate the stability of PtCo/MWCNTs catalyst, a comparison test with commercial Pt/C was performed by chronoamperometry at a constant voltage of 0.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl in O_2 -saturated 0.5 M HClO₄ electrolyte at a rotating rate of 200 rpm. As shown in Fig. 4f, about 82.8% relative current was persisted after reaction for 20000 s. In contrast, only about 78.5% of the initial current density was maintained for commercial Pt/C. This confirmed that PtCo/MWCNTs catalysts exhibit a much better stability than commercial Pt/C catalyst for ORR in acid media due to the higher stability of Pt-Co alloy compared to Pt.

Experimental

Chemicals and reagents

Commercial platinum/carbon (Pt/C) 20 wt. % (Pt loading: 20 wt. %, Pt on carbon black) and sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Potassium chloride (KCl, 99.0~100.5%), 2-propanol $(C_3H_8O, 99.5\%)$, nafion perfluorinated resin solution (5 wt. % in mixture of lower aliphatic alcohols and water, contains 45% water), potassium hexachloroplatinate (II) (K_2PtCl_4) , and perchloric acid (HClO₄) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Co. Ethanol $(C_2H_6O, 200$ proof) was purchased from Decon Laboratories, INC. and cobalt (II) chloride hexahydrate $(CoCl₂·6H₂O)$ was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Carboxylic acid functionalized multiwall carbon nanotubes (C-MWCNTs, 10-30 nm in diameter) with purity of 95% were obtained from Nanostructured & Amorphous Materials Inc, USA.

Characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained by Philips CM200 UT (Field Emmision Instruments, USA). X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was operated by Siemens D500, equipped a Cu Kα X-ray source. The tube was operated at 35 kV accelerating voltage and 30 mA current. Xray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed on a Kratos AXIS-165 multi-technique electron spectrometer system with a base pressure of $1x10^{-9}$ torr. The spectra of the surfaces were obtained with an AXIS-165 manufactured by Kratos Analytical Inc. (Spring Valley, NY, USA) using a monochromatic X-ray radiation of 1487 eV ($AIK\alpha$). The spectrometer was calibrated against both the Au 4f7/2 peak at 84.0 eV and the Ag $3d_{5/2}$ peak at 368.3 eV. Static charging when present was corrected with a neutralizer (flood gun) by placing the carbon peak (C 1s) at about 285 eV.

Ultrasonic synthesis of PtCo-MWCNTs

The PtCo/MWCNTs catalyst was synthesized by a simple ultrasonic method. Briefly, 20 mg carboxylic acid functionalized MWCNTs were added into 20 mL ethanol and then the solution was sonicated using a mechanical ultrasonic cleaner bath FS60H (Fisher Scientific, USA) for 1 h to disperse the MWCNTs. After that, 400 µL of a solution containing 200 μ L 0.1 M K₂PtCl₄ and 200 μ L 0.1 M CoCl₂·6H₂O (1:1 molar ratio of Pt^{2+} and Co^{2+} ions) were mixed and added into the welldispersed MWCNTs solution. Then, 15 mg of NaBH₄ was added into this mixed solution, followed by 20 min sonication. Finally, PtCo/MWCNTs were obtained by centrifugation and drying at 80 \degree C for 12 hours. Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) results showed that the molar ratio of Pt and Co in PtCo/MWCNTs system is 1:0.92, and the mass fractions of Pt and Co were 9.1% and 2.5%, respectively. The total metal weight percentage was 11.6%.

Electrode preparation and electrochemical measurements

The Pt/C catalyst (2 mg/mL) was prepared by dissolving commercial Pt/C into aqueous solution which contains 2 mL 2 proponal, 8mL deionized water and 0.05 mL nafion. The mixture was ultrasonicated to obtain homogeneous catalyst ink. The PtCo/MWCNTs catalyst (2 mg/mL) was prepared by PtCo/MWCNTs with 0.25% nafion which was diluted by ethanol. The mixture was ultrasonicated to obtain homogeneous catalyst ink.

The electrochemical measurements were conducted on an electrochemical workstation (CHI 630E) coupled with a threeelectrode system. A Pt wire and a Ag/AgCl electrode filled with 3M KCl aqueous solution were used as the counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. To prepare the working electrode for ORR and methanol crossover test, 10 µL PtCo/MWCNTs catalyst ink was loaded on a glassy carbon (GC) disk electrode $(5 \text{ mm in diameter}, 0.19625 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ in})$ geometric area) and dried at 140 F in vacuum. Pt/C on GC electrodes was prepared in the same way. And the calculated loading was 101.9 µg/cm^2 . The electrolyte was 150 mL 0.5 M HClO⁴ solution for ORR test.

Measurements on rotating dick electrode (RDE) were carried out on an electrode rotator (Princeton Applied Research). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements with scan rate of 50 mV/s for both ORR and methanol crossover tests were performed after purging nitrogen or oxygen into HClO⁴ solution for 30 min. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) measurements were performed in O_2 -saturated electrolyte for ORR test. The scan rate was 10 mV/s.

Conclusions

In summary, MWCNTs supported bimetallic Pt-Co alloy NPs were synthesized by one-step ultrasonic method. The Pt-Co NPs were uniformly distributed on the surface of MWCNTs. The electrochemical experiments showed that PtCo/MWCNTs catalysts presented higher activity towards ORR than that of commercial Pt/C. It also showed a four-electron reduction pathway. Additionally, the PtCo/MWCNTs catalysts showed better stability in the current durability test compared to commercial Pt/C.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a startup fund of Washington State University, USA. We thank Franceschi Microscopy & Image Center at Washington State University for TEM measurements. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is a multi-program national laboratory operated for DOE by Battelle under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830.

Notes and references

a Department of Mechanical and Material Engineering, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99163.

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844.

^c Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99352, United States.

- * Email: yuehe.lin@wsu.edu
- 1 A. Rabis, P. Rodriguez and T. *J. Schmidt, ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 864- 890.
- 2 H. T. Chung, J. H. Won and P. Zelenay, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1922。
- 3 M. S. Saha, Y. Chen, R. Li and X. Sun, *Asia Pac. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2009, **4**, 12-16.
- 4 R. Callejas-Tovar and P. B. Balbuena, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 14414-14422.
- 5 S. Y. Huang, P. Ganesan and B. N. Popov, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 825- 831.
- 6 Z. J. Zhu, Y. L. Zhai and S. J. Dong, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 16721-16726.
- 7 J. A. Wittkopf, J. Zheng and Y. S. Yan, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 3145- 3151.
- 8 S. I. Choi, M. H. Shao, N. Lu, A. Ruditskiy, H. C. Peng, J. Park, S. Guerrero, J. G. Wang, M. J. Kim and Y. N. Xia, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 10363-10371.
- 9 S. Takenaka, H. Miyamoto, Y. Utsunomiya, H. Matsune and M. Kishida, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 774-783.
- 10 Y. G. Zhao, J. J. Liu, Y. H. Zhao and F. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 19298-19306.
- 11 C. H. Cui, L. Gan, H. H. Li, S. H. Yu, M. Heggen and P. Strasser, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 5885-5889.
- 12 H. Yano, M. Kataoka, H. Yamashita, H. Uchida and M. Watanabe, *Langmuir*, 2007, **23**, 6438-6445.

Journal Name ARTICLE

- 13 E. Antolini, J. R. C. Salgado and E. R. Gonzalez, *J. Power Sources*, 2006, **160**, 957-968.
- 14 H. Y. Zhu, S. Zhang, S. J. Guo, D. Su and S. H. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 7130-7133.
- 15 G. Sievers, S. Mueller, A. Quade, F. Steffen, S. Jakubith, A. Kruth and V. Brueser, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **268**, 255-260.
- 16 R. Loukrakpam, J. Luo, T. He, Y. S. Chen, Z. C. Xu, P. N. Njoki, B. N. Wanjala, B. Fang, D. Mott, J. Yin, J. Klar, B. Powell and C. J. Zhong, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 1682-1694.
- 17 Y. Yamada, K. Miyamoto, T. Hayashi, Y. Iijima, N. Todoroki and T. Wadayama, *Surf. Sci.*, 2013, **607**, 54-60.
- 18 M. K. Min, J. H. Cho, K. W. Cho and H. Kim, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2000, **45**, 4211-4217.
- 19 C. S. Rao, D. M. Singh, R. Sekhar and J. Rangarajan, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.*, 2011, **36**, 14805-14814.
- 20 D. L. Wang, H. L. L. Xin, R. Hovden, H. S. Wang, Y. C. Yu, D. A. Muller, F. J. DiSalvo and H. D. Abruna, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 81- 87.
- 21 E. Lam and J. H. T. Luong, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 3393-3410.
- 22 S. Zhang, Y. Y. Shao, G. P. Yin and Y. H. Lin, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 4631-4641.
- 23 S. Zhang, Y. Y. Shao, G. P. Yin and Y. H. Lin, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 2826-2830.
- 24 Y. Y. Shao, R. Kou, J. Wang, C. M. Wang, V. Viswanathan, J. Liu, Y. Wang and Y. H. Lin, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2009, **9**, 5811- 5815.
- 25 Y. H. Lin, X. L. Cui, C. H. Yen and C. M. Wai, *Langmuir*, 2005, **21**, 11474-11479.
- 26 H. X. Xu, B. W. Zeiger and K. S. Suslick, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 2555-2567.
- 27 Z. J. Zhang and J. P. Li, *Rare Metal Mat. Eng.*, 2012, **41**, 1700-1705.
- 28 C. T. Hsieh and J. Y. Lin, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **188**, 347-352.
- 29 R. Ahmadi, M. K. Amini and J. C. Bennett, *J. Catal.*, 2012, **292**, 81- 89.
- 30 J. Qi, L. Xin, Z. Y. Zhang, K. Sun, H. Y. He, F. Wang, D. Chadderdon, Y. Qiu, C. H. Liang and W. Z. Li, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 1133-1137.
- 31 H. B. Pan and C. M. Wai, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 19782- 19788.
- 32 H. B. Pan and C. M. Wai, *New J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 1649-1660.
- 33 J. F. Xu, X. Y. Liu, Y. Chen, Y. M. Zhou, T. H. Lu and Y. W. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 23659-23667.
- 34 J. G. Zhang, H. Asakura, J. van Rijn, J. Yang, P. Duchesne, B. Zhang, X. Chen, P. Zhang, M. Saeys and N. Yan, *Green Chem*., 2014, **16**, 2432-2437.
- 35 J. G. Zhang, J. Teo, X. Chen, H. Asakura, T. Tanaka, K. Teramura and N. Yan, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 1574-1583.
- 36 H. L. Peng, F. Liu, X. Liao, S. You, C. Tian, X. Nan, H. Luo, F. Song, H. Fu, Z. Huang, P., *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 3797-3805.
- 37 H. L. Peng, Z. Y. Mo, S. J. Liao, H. G. Liang, L. J. Yang, F. Luo, H. Y. Song, Y. L. Zhong and B. Q. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 1765.