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Remediation of DDTr contaminated soil by the combination of solvent extraction and catalytic hydrodechlorination

Xuanxuan Ma, a,b Yongsheng Luan, c Sujing Liu, a,b Ying Liu a,* and Chuanhai Xia a,*

A combination technique for remediation of DDT and its metabolites (DDTr) contaminated soil based on successive steps of solvent extraction, followed by catalytic hydrodechlorination (HDC) was studied. Firstly, solvent extraction was applied to extract DDTr contaminated soil at ambient temperature and pressure. According to GC-MS analysis, the extract from DDTr contaminated soil are mainly composed of \( p,p'-\text{DDT} \), \( o,p'-\text{DDT} \), \( p,p'-\text{DDE} \), \( o,p'-\text{DDE} \), \( p,p'-\text{DDD} \), and DCBP. Subsequently, catalytic HDH over Pd/C catalyst was introduced to treat the extract from DDTr contaminated soil, and the HDC process of DDTr was surveyed by monitoring the GC-MS analysis. These results demonstrate that the combination technique of solvent extraction and catalytic HDC can effectively remediate the DDTr contaminated soil and reduce its toxicity.

1. Introduction

DDT (1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bi(\( \rho \)-chlorophenyl)ethane) is a persistent organic pollutant that has been widely used as a broad-spectrum pesticide against forest and agricultural pests, malaria, and other mosquito borne diseases.\(^\text{1-3}\) Because of its negative impact on wildlife and ill effects on human life via the food chain, the use of DDT has recently been prohibited in most countries.\(^\text{4, 5}\) The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has classified DDT and its metabolites, DDD (1,1-dichloro-2,2-bis(\( \rho \)-chlorophenyl)ethane) and DDE (1,1-dichloro-2,2-bis(\( \rho \)-chlorophenyl)ethylene), commonly known as DDTr, as priority pollutants.\(^\text{3}\) However, DDTr is still used for essential public health purpose in some tropical countries due to its effectiveness and low cost in controlling mosquito-borne malaria.\(^\text{6}\) Although the use of DDT has declined, DDTr continue to be detected in environmental media and human tissues as they are persistent, lipophilic, and liable to bioaccumulation and biomagnification.\(^\text{7-11}\) Even after decades, the environmental risk of DDTr contaminated soil due to chemical factories has long been a significant problem in most countries.\(^\text{12}\) Therefore, it is of great urgency to develop a practical and efficient remediation method for DDTr contaminated soil.

At present, several remediation methods for DDTr have been developed, including physical treatment,\(^\text{13-15}\) incineration,\(^\text{16}\) advanced oxidation processes (AOPs),\(^\text{17-19}\) bioremediation,\(^\text{20-22}\) and catalytic hydrodechlorination (HDC).\(^\text{26-28}\) In general, physical treatment just transfers DDTr from one medium to another medium with no contaminants destroyed, so further treatment is necessary to reduce the toxicity of target compounds.\(^\text{29}\) Currently, the most common destructive technique incineration requires extremely high temperature and can lead to the formation of highly noxious by-products (such as polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo-furans) due to incomplete combustion.\(^\text{30}\) AOPs including Fenton oxidation, wet oxidation, supercritical water oxidation, and photochemical processes have been widely investigated as effective technology but also have some drawbacks such as need of relatively high temperature and/or pressure, large amount of reagents, and/or complex equipment.\(^\text{31-34}\) Bioremediation is a potential option for the degradation of DDTr in soil, but is rather slow and affected by DDTr toxicity being limited to fairly low concentration.\(^\text{35, 36}\) Catalytic HDC is considered to be a promising detoxifying technology for its potential economic and environmental advantages and wide application for reducing dramatically the toxicity of chlorinated organic compounds (COCs) under mild/ambient condition.\(^\text{37-39}\) In fact, complete removal of COCs such as DDTr from soil is very difficult by a single technique due to the high cost or limitation. Thus, hybrid methods become imperative for the abatement of COCs.
In the last few years, various hybrid methods have received increasing attention for the remediation of COCs. For the abatement of COCs contaminated water, many researches focus on the combined AOPs-biological degradation, HDC-biological degradation, and HDC-AOPs. However, few studies focus on the remediation of COCs contaminated soil with combined treatment system. For the remediation of COCs contaminated soil, solvent extraction is an ex situ separation and concentration process in which a non-aqueous liquid is used to transfer contaminants from soil. In order to reduce the toxicity of the contaminants, the solvent extraction method needs to be integrated with complementary technology suitable for the specific contaminants. Among the approaches mentioned above, catalytic HDC can reduce dramatically the toxicity of COCs under mild/ambient condition and recover some valuable raw materials without production of more hazardous byproducts.

On the basis of these observations, it was thought of developing combined technique of solvent extraction and catalytic HDC for the remediation of DDTr contaminated soil. We designed the experimental apparatus according to previous experimental experience (Scheme 1). Solvent extraction was applied to extract DDTr contaminated soil firstly. As the DDTr extracted from the soil samples were transferred into the liquid extract, their toxicity needed to be reduced. Then catalytic HDH was introduced to treat the extract from DDTr contaminated soil. The qualitative and quantitative analyses of DDTr and their dechlorination products were performed by GC-MS and GC-FID, respectively. The combination technique designed in this way was expected to remediate the DDTr contaminated soil and reduce its toxicity effectively.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

5% Pd/C Catalyst used in this study was purchased from C&P Chemical Co., China. The catalyst was not pre-treated before all the experiments and only kept in a desiccator. DDTr contaminated soil selected for this investigation was surface loam samples (0 to 5.0 cm) and obtained from a former DDT manufacturing plant in Jiangsu, China. The soil was naturally dried in air and then grinded. After that, the soil was sieved at 0.25 mm mesh and homogenized to obtain laboratory soil sample. The standards of 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(p-chlorophenyl)ethane (p,p’-DDT), 1-chloro-4-[2,2,2-trichloro-1-(o-chlorophenyl)ethenyl]benzene (o,p’-DDT), 1,1-dichloro-2,2-bis(p-chlorophenyl)ethylene (p,p’-DCB), 1-chloro-4-[2,2-dichloro-1-(o-chlorophenyl)ethenyl]benzene (o,p’-DCB), 1-chloro-2-[2,2-dichloro-1-(p-chlorophenyl)ethenyl]benzene (o,p’-DDE), and p,p’-dichlorobenzophenone (DCBP) were bought from Beijing InnoChem Sciences & Technology Co., Ltd. The other reagents, such as acetone, n-hexane, and NaOH, are analytical grade and are supplied by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China. Deionized water was used in the reaction. The purity of hydrogen and nitrogen used in the experiments is more than 99.99%.

2.2. Extraction and Catalytic procedure

The experimental apparatus for combination of solvent extraction and catalytic HDC for DDTr contaminated soil is shown in Scheme 1. The solvent extraction procedure was adopted from USEPA Method 3540 for extracting DDTr from soil samples. The soil samples (20.0 g, accurately weighed) were extracted under reflux with 150 mL of organic solvent (acetone, n-hexane, or mixed solvent). The liquid extract was quantitatively transferred to a cooling system. The composition of the extract from DDTr contaminated soil was determined using GC-MS.

The liquid-phase HDC reaction was carried out subsequently. At the beginning of each experiment, 40 mL solution was added into the flask, containing DDTr and NaOH. After the air in catalytic HDC reactor was completely replaced by nitrogen, 5% Pd/C catalyst was added and agitation was started.

2.3. Analytical Methods

The identification of DDTr and their dechlorination products in the HDC was determined by GC-MS (Thermo Fisher ITQ-900) with a column of DB-5 (30m in length, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 µm film thickness). The quantification of DDTr and their dechlorination products was analyzed by GC-FID (Agilent-7890A) with a column of HP-5 (30m in length, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm film thickness). And the concentration (%) of DDTr refers to the percentage of DDTr quantified by GC-FID. It is well-established that DDT could be broken down during GC injection, a careful analytical method was chosen for the HDC of DDT during GC-MS measurement. The temperature program used for analysis was as follows: the initial temperature of column was 50 °C, held for 2.0 min, and the rate of temperature increase was 10 °C/min up to 180 °C, and held for 1.0 min. Then, the increase rate was changed to 5 °C/min up to 260 °C, with a final hold time of 6 min. The injection port and detector temperature were set at 220 °C and 260 °C, respectively.

According to our experiments, about 0.5 g extract was obtained from 20.0 g DDTr contaminated soil, and the average extraction quantity of 20.0 g soil samples was 0.5019 g DDTr.
within 4 hours or more extraction time. After complete extraction from DDTr contaminated soil, n-hexane, acetone, and n-hexane-acetone were used to extract the soil subsequently. However, no DDTr was detected with the analysis of GC-MS. Hence, extraction yield is defined as

$$\text{extraction yield(\%) } = \frac{\text{the extraction quantity}}{\text{the extraction maximum}} \times 100$$

where the extraction quantity here was the amount of DDTr per 20.0 g soil samples, and the extraction maximum was 0.5019 g DDTr per 20.0 g soil samples.

Measurements of DDTr detected before and after the HDC reaction were divided into 9 groups on the basis of numbers of chlorine atoms in a molecular nucleus. The average chlorine atom number (ACN) is obtained as follows:

$$ACN = \sum_{i=1}^{j} iC_{DDTr_i}$$

$C_{DDTr_i}$ is the percentage of DDT with i chlorine atom(s); i is the number of chlorine atom(s) on a molecular nucleus.

3. Results and discussion

Firstly, solvent extraction was used to extract DDTr from soil samples. The extract containing DDTr was subjected to liquid-phase HDC under catalytic condition that allowed to greatly reduce the harmfulness of the products. After the HDC over Pd/C catalyst, the extract was converted into 1,1-diphenylethane (DPE) which was easily biodegraded. The two sections will be discussed separately.

3.1 Solvent extraction of DDTr contaminated soil

Solvent extraction was introduced to extract DDTr from soil samples. In general, extraction solvent plays an important role on the yield of solvent extraction from DDTr contaminated soil. Hence, the influence of solvent on extraction of DDTr contaminated soil was investigated firstly. Fitzpatrick et al. reported that hexane and acetone exhibited good extraction yield for extracting DDT, DDE, and DDD from contaminated soil. Thus, n-hexane and acetone were selected as solvent for the investigation. As shown in Fig. 1, the extraction yield of DDTr for n-hexane from soil samples reaches 76.9% within 8 hours, while the extraction yield of DDTr for acetone from soil samples reaches 100% within 6 hours. However, the liquid extract from DDTr contaminated soil using acetone as solvent is clay-coloured, which is due to humic substances and might cause the lower reaction rate in liquid-phase HDC.

Considering that azeotropic point of n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) is as low as 35.0 °C, the energy consumption of solvent extraction could be efficiently reduced when n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) was used as solvent. In addition, US EPA pointed out that n-hexane-acetone (1:1, v/v) solvent system has lower disposal cost and lower toxicity. Thus, n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) was applied to solvent extraction to extract DDTr from the soil sample. It can be seen that n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) as an extraction solvent exhibits higher efficiency than n-hexane and acetone. Moreover, complete extraction of DDTr for n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) is achieved within 4 hours. Meanwhile, the liquid extract from DDTr contaminated soil with n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) as solvent is colourless. These results indicate that n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) is much better solvent for solvent extraction compared with n-hexane and acetone. Therefore, n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) solvent system was used as the solvent for extraction in the following research.

Table 1. Solvent extraction of DDTr contaminated soil for n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Weight of soil (g)</th>
<th>Weight of the extract (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.3081</td>
<td>0.5159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20.1912</td>
<td>0.4984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.1544</td>
<td>0.4915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, solvent extraction for n-hexane-acetone (49:51, v/v) was repeated 3 times to ascertain the extraction quantity of DDTr from soil sample (Table 1). It can be seen that about 0.5 g extract was obtained from 20.0 g DDTr contaminated soil, and the average extraction quantity of 20.0 g soil samples was 0.5019 g DDTr within 4 hours. In order to determine composition of the extract, liquid samples of the extract were filtered through Millipore membrane and analyzed by GC-MS. As displayed in Fig. 2, there are six peaks on the total ion chromatogram of the extract from DDTr contaminated soil. According to mass spectrum of the six peaks, they are respectively identified as $p,p'$-DDT, $o,p'$-DDT, $p,p'$-DDE, $o,p'$-DDE, $p,p'$-DDD, and DCBP (Table 2), which are further verified via the total ion chromatograms of $p,p'$-DDT, $o,p'$-DDT, $p,p'$-DDE, $o,p'$-DDE, $o,p'$-DDD, and DCBP standards. This indicates that the extract from DDTr contaminated soil are mainly composed of $p,p'$-DDT, $o,p'$-DDT, $p,p'$-DDE, $o,p'$-
DDE, p,p'-DDD, and DCBP. Moreover, the contents of these compounds in the extract from DDTr contaminated soil are 1.8%, 30.6%, 25.3%, 29.2%, 4.7%, and 8.4%, respectively. It is believed that DDE, DDD, and DBP in the extract might be formed by environmental degradation of DDT. Foght et al. proposed that DDE was predominantly produced from dehydrochlorination of DDT under aerobic soil condition.3 Boul et al. considered that DDD was form by reductive dechlorination of DDT in anaerobic soil.55 Moreover, DDT, DDE, and DDD may also be directly transformed to DCBP via the involvement of Fenton reaction.21 Yet, no further degradation product was detected in the extract from DDTr contaminated soil because DDE and DDD are viewed as recalcitrant compounds.1 On the other hand, DDTr were just transferred into the extract, and their toxicity was not reduced at all. Hence, solvent extraction method needs to be integrated with complementary technology to reduce the toxicity of DDTr. In this case, catalytic HDC of DDTr was carried out in the following research.
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**Fig. 2.** Composition of the extract from DDT contaminated soil using GC-MS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Major ions (m/z)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DCPB</td>
<td>250, 215, 139, 111, 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>o,p'-DDE</td>
<td>316, 246, 210, 176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>p,p'-DDE</td>
<td>316, 246, 210, 176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>o,p'-DDD</td>
<td>318, 235, 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>o,p'-DDT</td>
<td>352, 282, 235, 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>p,p'-DDT</td>
<td>352, 282, 235, 165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2. Catalytic HDC of the extract from DDTr contaminated soil

In our previous work, it was found that in situ produced inorganic salt would accumulate on surface of the catalyst and thereby enabled the catalyst to keep high activity in the extract from DDTr contaminated soil involves multisteps, as illustrated in Scheme 1.
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**Fig. 3.** Reaction profiles of extract from DDTr contaminated soil in 70% isopropanol-water (70/30, v/v) over 5% Pd/C catalyst. Reaction condition: solvent (40 ml), extract (160 mg), NaOH (120 mg, 3.0 mmol), 5% Pd/C (25 mg), temperature (40 °C), H2: 10 mL min⁻¹.

The catalytic HDC of the extract from DDTr contaminated soil was performed in a solution of NaOH over 5% Pd/C catalyst under mild condition. In this paper, the HDC process of DDTr was surveyed by monitoring the GC-MS analysis. Progress of product and intermediate distributions versus reaction time are given in Fig. 3. The concentrations of DDT and DCBP decrease sharply with the reaction time, and the concentrations of DDD, DDE, and 1-chloro-2,2-bis(chlorophenyl)ethylene (DDMU) increase to maximums and then decrease sluggishly. The concentrations of 1,1-bis(chlorophenyl)ethylene (BCPE), 1-chlorophenyl-1-phenylethylene (CPPE), and DPE increase gradually with the reaction time. The HDC reaction profiles of extract from DDTr contaminated soil imply that DDD, DDE, DDMU, BCPE, and CPPE are all intermediate product in the HDC of the extract. For the dechlorination of DDTr in 70% isopropanol-water (70/30, v/v), DDD and DDE as intermediate products were produced from HDC and dehydrochlorination of DDT, respectively.61 On the other hand, DDMU as an intermediate product could be formed via HDC of one aliphatic chlorine in DDE and via dehydrochlorination of one aliphatic chlorine in DDD.30 Hence, the reaction pathway for catalytic HDC of the extract from DDTr contaminated soil involves multisteps, as illustrated in Scheme 1.
In summary, we developed a combination technique of solvent extraction and catalytic HDC, and designed an experimental apparatus for the remediation of DDTr contaminated soil. DDTr could be completely extracted from the soil sample at ambient temperature and pressure. Moreover, the extract from DDTr contaminated soil are mainly composed of $p,p'$-DDT, $o,p'$-DDT, $p,p'$-DDE, $o,p'$-DDE, $p,p'$-DDD, and DCBP based on GC-MS analysis. Then the extract from DDTr contaminated soil was effectively hydrodechlorinated over Pd/C with GC-MS analysis monitoring the HDC process of DDTr. The combination method described in this manuscript is a good way for lab detection and removing of the contamination. It provides a practical strategy and direction for rapid abatement of POPs contaminated soil under mild condition. However, for big scale application in industry or for a polluted soil field, this method might still need further investigation.
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