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ABSTRACT: In this paper, the nano-amorphous Mg/Al oxides-calcined layered double hydroxides (CLDHs) was employed to evaluate the adsorption capacity of Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ in wastewater and to investigate the adsorption mechanism. The results demonstrated that the maximal adsorption capacities of Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ were 422.08 mg·g$^{-1}$ and 508.21 mg·g$^{-1}$, respectively, whereas the initial Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ concentration in single-ion solutions was 500 mg·L$^{-1}$. Certain competitive and inhibitory effects were observed during the Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ binary ions adsorption. During the process, CLDHs were hydration, and heavy metal ions were adsorbed rapidly onto the surface of CLDHs with crystalline phase inversion and formation of octahedral sheets with positive charges. Then the sheets were stripped into solution and hydroxyls intercalated into the interlayer to make sheets combined together. Consequently CLDHs recovered into hydrotalcite structure, which realized the transition from surface adsorption to bulk phase. Thermodynamics study indicated
that the adsorption isotherm was suitable for Langmuir and kinetic model was the pseudo-second-order. The regenerative adsorption experiments suggested that CLDHs could remove Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) with high efficiency with 4 repetitions. Both the high density bulk adsorption sites and the superior performance indicate vast potential application prospect of the material.
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1. **Introduction**

Originally derived from minerals, heavy metals spread unconsciously in the environment, including mining, metal finishing, plating plants, welding and alloy manufacturing, etc.\(^1\). In the factory, the washing wastewater is deionized water after multistage countercurrent washing. In this experiment, preparing solution by deionized water to simulate the actual wastewater is appropriate. Since heavy metals not only accumulate in the environment and living organisms, but cannot be decomposed as well\(^2\). In recent years, heavy metal ions in the wastewater significantly threaten the environment and human health. For instance, industrial effluent always contains toxic heavy element, such as zinc, copper, nickel, cadmium and lead, etc., which may gradually accumulate in organisms, thereby resulting in severe diseases or even death \(^3\text{–}^5\). Therefore, it is extremely urgent to develop efficient and reliable methods so as to remove metal ions in wastewater. As we all know, substantial methods such as precipitation, coagulation, solvent extraction, electrolysis, membrane
separation, ion exchange and adsorption, have been developed and tested with respect
to removal of heavy ions\textsuperscript{6,7}. However, these traditional methods are mainly base on
solid surface adsorption and have limited adsorption capability. In addition, from the
perspective of life cycling, the metal ions treated with traditional methods are not able
to exit the environment in the form of minerals, finally, which was in the stable
original mineral state million years ago. Hence, a high-efficient and low-cost method
would be ideal for removal of metal ions.

Due to the merits of convenient operation, low cost, potential for regeneration
and high retention efficacy, adsorption is believed the most attractive method\textsuperscript{8,9}. In
recent years, the nano-adsorbents layered double hydroxides\textsuperscript{10} and their calcined
products (CLDHs) have attracted widest attention because of the characteristics of
large surface area, high density of active surface sites, low intraparticle diffusion
resistance and high adsorption capacities. The general formula of LDHs is
\[ [M^{2+}_{1-x} + M^{3+}_x (OH)_2] \cdot [A^{n+}]_x \cdot yH_2O, \]
where \( M^{2+} \) and \( M^{3+} \) are divalent and trivalent metal cations, respectively, such as \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Al}^{3+} \), \( A^{n+} \) is the incorporated anions in the
interlayer space along with water molecules, and \( x \) is the ratio of \( M^{3+}/(M^{2+} + M^{3+}) \)\textsuperscript{11,13},
whereas the adsorption of CLDHs can be expressed as below\textsuperscript{14,15}:
\[
\text{Mg}_{1-x} \text{Al}_x \text{O}_{1+x/2} + \frac{x}{n} \text{A}^{n-} + (m + 1 + \frac{x}{2})\text{H}_2\text{O} + z\text{M}^{2+} \\
\hspace{1cm} \longrightarrow \text{Mg}_{1-x} \cdot M_z \text{Al}_x (\text{OH})_{(2z+2x)} (\text{A}^{n-})_{\frac{x}{n}} \cdot m\text{H}_2\text{O} + (x - 2z)\text{OH}^{-}
\]
LDHs have high anionic exchange capacity, which allows the limited exchange of
their original anions with those present in an aqueous solution. Metal cations in the
form of sediments are sorbed on the surface of LDHs. This ability of LDHs can be
used to absorb a variety of anions$^{11, 16, 17}$ and metal cations$^{18-21}$, which have been researched extensively.

With higher surface area, CLDHs are believed having higher adsorption capacity than the uncalcined ones. CLDHs is a kind of positive charge material on surface with higher isoelectric point$^{22}$. To date, only a few researches discussed the use of CLDHs for heavy metal ions$^{21, 23}$ and anions$^{24}$ removal and very few of these researches investigated the competitive adsorption of two heavy metal ions, which are ubiquitous in industrial wastewater treatment system. E. Alvarez-Ayuso and Nugteren$^{23}$ also confirmed that the removal capacities towards chromium were 16.3 and 128 mg·g$^{-1}$ on uncalcined and calcined LDHs, respectively$^{23}$. CLDHs maybe have a higher removal amount about anions and heavy metal ions than LDHs. Cai Peng and his partner explored competitive adsorption characteristics of fluoride and phosphate on calcined Mg–Al–CO$_3$ layered double hydroxides (CLDH). They found that the competition in adsorption between fluoride and phosphate was affected by pH, contact time and sequence of addition of the anions.

The objective of this study was to investigate the maximal adsorption capacity, the competitive effect and the regeneration performance of CLDHs for Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$. The thermodynamics experiments were designed to explore the maximum adsorption quantity of CLDHs for Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ in individual sorption. The adsorption rate and competition effect between Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ were obtained by kinetics studies. By successive adsorption/calcinations, the largest circular number of adsorbent (CLDHs) for Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ with high removal efficiency could be detected. These results
provided evidence that CLDHs are suitable to be used to treat wastewater containing both Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ pollutants. In addition, the mechanism of metal ion removal of CLDHs could be further elucidated based on X-ray diffraction pattern (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET), scanning electron microscope$^{25}$ and electron dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals.

The chemicals, Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O, NaOH, Na$_2$CO$_3$, Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$·3H$_2$O and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O were A.R. grade and used as received without purification. All the water used was deionized water. In this study, the stock solutions of copper nitrate (10000 mg·L$^{-1}$) and zinc nitrate solution (10000 mg·L$^{-1}$) were used for synthesized wastewater. An appropriate volume of 0.1M HNO$_3$ and 0.1M NaOH solution was used to adjust the pH of the solution$^{26}$.

2.2. Preparation of Mg$_3$Al–CO$_3$–LDHs and CLDHs.

The Mg$_3$Al–CO$_3$–LDHs were prepared by the co-precipitation method$^{27}$ at a fixed pH 10 ± 0.5, which was as follows: one aqueous solution (400 mL) contained Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (0.1875mol) and Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O (0.0625mol, Mg/Al molar ratio 3:1). A second solution (250 mL) contained NaOH (0.4000mol) and Na$_2$CO$_3$ (0.0250mol). Then adding them dropwise to high purity water (400mL) under vigorous stirring$^{28}$. The final precipitate was separated by vacuum filtration, and the filter cake was heated at 353K for 24 h to crystallize. Finally Freeze-drying samples and getting Mg$_3$Al–CO$_3$–LDHs. The calcined production was obtained by heating
LDHs in a muffle furnace at 723 K for 2 h.

2.3. Competitive Adsorption Study.

The solutions were prepared as follows: (1) only Cu\(^{2+}\) (100 mg·L\(^{-1}\)), (2) only Zn\(^{2+}\) (100 mg·L\(^{-1}\)), (3) Cu\(^{2+}\) + Zn\(^{2+}\) (100 mg·L\(^{-1}\)+100 mg·L\(^{-1}\)) mixture. 50 mg CLDHs were mixed with 100 mL of different Cu\(^{2+}\)/Zn\(^{2+}\) concentrations solutions with a rolling rate of 150 rpm at testing temperature (308.15K)\(^{14}\). Besides, the initial pH of them were about 2.1 and adjusted by a certain amount of 0.1M nitric acid solution. Under the time interval 0, 10, 30, 50, 90, 120, 180, 240, 300 min, 2mL supernatant were taken to centrifuge, filter respectively and analysis the filtrates by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer in a TAS-990F instrument\(^{29,30}\).

2.4. Adsorption capacity Experiments.

Different concentrations Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) solutions (100, 200, 300, 400, 500 mg·L\(^{-1}\)) were prepared by dilution of the stock solutions, separately. Then the test method was same as before, except for adsorption time, which was set to 5h. And the testing temperature were 298.15K, 308.15K, 323.15K\(^{14}\).

2.5. Regeneration Adsorption Study.

The possibility of recycling the CLDHs was evaluated by repeating adsorption/calcination experiments. We used 0.3g CLDHs to repeat the adsorb procedures up more than once cycles. The adsorption conditions were as follows: every time, Cu\(^{2+}\) (or Zn\(^{2+}\)) solution concentration 100 mg·L\(^{-1}\), reaction temperature 308.15K, pH 2.0 and contact time 5 h\(^{31,32}\).

The quantity of Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) adsorbed on CLDHs at time t was calculated by the
following equation \(^\text{33}\):

\[ q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{W} \]  

(2)

The removal percentage of Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) was calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{Removal}(\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100\% \]  

(3)

where \(q_t\) is the quantity of Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) adsorbed on CLDHs at the time \(t\) (mg·g\(^{-1}\)), \(q_e=q_t\) when adsorption reaches equilibrium, \(V\) is the volume of solution (L), \(C_0\) (mg·L\(^{-1}\)) and \(C_t\) (mg·L\(^{-1}\)) are the initial concentration of Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) and that at time \(t\), \(W\) is the mass of adsorbent (g) \(^{34,35}\).

\textbf{2.6. Characterization.}

The X-ray diffraction pattern (XRD) analysis used a Rigaku D/MAX-RA instrument at 40 kV and 50 mA with Cu Ka radiation (\(\lambda = 0.154184\) nm). The 2\(°\) range from 2\(°\) to 70\(°\) and the scanning rate was 8\(°\)min\(^{-1}\)\(^{29,35}\). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was collected in a Phi 5300 ESCA system (Perkin-Elmer, USA) with Mg Ka (1253.6 eV) radiation (operated at 200 W). The binding energy of C 1s was shifted to 284.6 eV as an internal reference. The specific surface areas were evaluated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method\(^{36,37}\). Surface area and porosity analysis were using a Quantachrome SI system, which was determined by N\(_2\) adsorption/desorption at 77 K. The hole distribution of the sample was calculated the BJH model. The surface morphology of the samples were obtained by using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) from a Hitachi S-3000N microscope instrument equipped with the Hitachi electron dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) microanalysis system.
3. Results and discussion


In order to study the potential mechanism involved in Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ sorption for the binary pollutant treatment, three kinetic models were tested: (1) the pseudo-first order, (2) the pseudo-second order, and (3) the particle diffusion kinetic model. The kinetic equations were as follow:

The pseudo-first order: 
\[ \ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - K_1 t \]  
(4)

The pseudo-second order: 
\[ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \]  
(5)

The particle diffusion: 
\[ q_t = K_i t^{0.5} \]  
(6)

Where $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$), $k_2$ (g·mg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) and $k_i$ (mg·g$^{-0.5}$·min$^{-0.5}$) represent the rate constants of the pseudo-first-order kinetic, pseudo-second-order and particle diffusion model, respectively. The parameters were listed in Table.1. The correlation coefficients ($R^2$) of pseudo-second-order were the highest among the three models. Moreover, the calculated equilibrium adsorption quantity ($q_e$) was close to the experimental results for the pseudo-second-order model, indicating the effectiveness of the pseudo-second-order kinetic model in describing the whole process.

The rate constant $K_2$ for Cu$^{2+}$ adsorption increased from $\sim 3.39 \times 10^{-4}$ g·mg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ in the single system to $\sim 3.48 \times 10^{-4}$ g·mg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ in the binary system, while the constant for Zn$^{2+}$ adsorption decreased from $\sim 0.64 \times 10^{-4}$ g·mg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ to $\sim 0.54 \times 10^{-4}$ g·mg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$. Thus, the adsorption rate was promoted for Cu$^{2+}$, but inhibited for Zn$^{2+}$.

Besides, the equilibrium adsorption capacities of Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ in single-ion solution were $\sim 140.80$ and $\sim 225.95$ mg·g$^{-1}$, whereas in binary solutions, the adsorption amount
reduced to \( \sim126.55 \) and \( \sim213.57 \) mg·g\(^{-1}\), respectively. In this respect, mutual restraint existed between Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) in binary adsorption system. For the pseudo-second-order kinetics model, \( t/q \) curve was fitted as shown in Fig.1. It could be seen that CLDHs removed a considerable amount of Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) from the aqueous solutions. The adsorption amounts of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) in the binary system were lower than that in single system, and the inhibition effect was observed with little influence. Therefore, Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) could be removed simultaneously from wastewater. Meanwhile, the results also showed that Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) competed for the limited number of active sites on CLDHs with equivalent binding force\(^{26}\). It can be seen from Fig.1 that the Cu\(^{2+}\) uptake was fast for the first 10 min and gradually got close to saturation after 120 min, while the sorption rate of Zn\(^{2+}\) was lower than that of Cu\(^{2+}\) within the first 20 min and then continued to increase until 240 min. It took less time for Cu\(^{2+}\) to reach adsorption equilibrium than Zn\(^{2+}\), which reflected that not only was the adsorption rate of Cu\(^{2+}\) faster than that of Zn\(^{2+}\), but Cu\(^{2+}\) was easier to reach adsorption equilibrium as well. It terms of the adsorption amount, CLDHs showed better adsorption ability for Zn\(^{2+}\).\(^{41,42}\)

### 3.2. Adsorption capacity.

To investigate the removal capacity of CLDHs for Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\), two common adsorption isotherm models, namely Langmuir isotherm \(^{28}\) and Freundlich isotherm \(^{37}\) were selected. The corresponding isotherm equations are expressed as follows:

\[
\text{Langmuir: } \quad \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{\text{max}} K_L} + \frac{C_e}{q_{\text{max}}} \quad (7)
\]
Freundlich: \[ \ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e \]  

(8)

where \( C_e (\text{mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}) \) is the concentration of the ions adsorption equilibrium; \( q_{\text{max}} \) (mg/g) is the maximum adsorption amount; \( K_L (1/\text{mg}) \) and \( K_F (\text{mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}) \cdot (\text{L} \cdot \text{mg}^{-1})^{1/n} \) are Langmuir and Freundlich constant, respectively.\textsuperscript{14, 39, 43}

The parameters of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms were calculated and compared, as shown in Table.2. It can be seen that the Langmuir equation better fits the adsorption isotherms with \( R^2 \) over 0.99, suggesting that the adsorption process was homogeneous with multitudinous active sites. The adsorption isotherms of CLDHs for \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) at various temperatures were shown in Fig.2. It could be seen that the adsorption isotherms experienced similar patterns for \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \). The removal amounts were linearly proportional to the concentrations of the metal equilibrium and the reaction temperatures.\textsuperscript{19} While the concentrations of metal equilibrium increased with increasing initial concentration. So, in this experiment, the adsorption capacities of CLDHs for \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) were maximum with initial \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) or \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) concentration of 500mgL\(^{-1}\), and reaction time of 300 minutes under 323.15K.

3.3. Regenerative Adsorption.

It is of great economic value to evaluate the regenerative behavior of the adsorbents. In order to study the recycling of CLDHs as adsorbents for \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \), the thermal regeneration tests were carried out. In the experiment, the removal efficiency of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) with respect to each cycle was shown in Fig.3. It can be seen that all \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) could be almost removed after four adsorption processes. The removal efficiency of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) declined to 44.41% and 78.06% in the fifth cycle. The
experiment suggested that the thermal regeneration of CLDHs was acceptable within four cycles. CLDHs can take advantage of the anions and metal cations in solution to regenerate the Hydrotalcite-like compounds. The results might be caused by a certain amount of CLDHs tending to adsorption equilibrium. The CLDHs successively proceeded adsorption/calcination and progressively led to decreased crystallinity of new products \(^{44,45}\). With limited active sites, the adsorption process gradually tended to balance as the cycling time increased. It was obtained that the overall amount of adsorption of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) on CLDHs reached 283.901 mg·g\(^{-1}\) and 306.746 mg·g\(^{-1}\), respectively, after adsorption of five times \(^{37}\). Compared with relevant literatures \(^{4,6}\), CLDHs demonstrated stronger regeneration performance and higher practical application value. Adsorption products belong to one kind of clays, which is closed to the original source of metal and met the requirement of life cycle.

3.4. Sample Characterization.

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of precursor Mg\(_3\)Al-CO\(_3\)-LDH, and CLDHs pre- and post-adsorption of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) were shown in Fig. 4. For LDHs, sharp and symmetric peaks for (003) and (006), which were the characteristic of hydrotalcite-like compounds could be seen, indicating that the material consisted of a single crystalline phase. For CLDHs, because calcining destroyed the layered octahedral structure of crystal LDHs, the interlayer water and anions disappeared. The internal structure of CLDHs become chaotic, which were composed of mixed oxide Mg(Al)O. Therefore, in Fig. 4 (a), the peaks of CLDHs were completely missing and only two different peaks (200) and (220) were exhibited \(^{12,46,47}\). The peaks of
CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn were similar to that of LDHs. CLDHs gradually reconstructed the structure of the hydrotalcite-like compounds while adsorbing Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\). Besides, the peaks of copper hydroxide and zinc hydroxide could not be found, suggesting that Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) also participated in the refactoring process and were not be adsorbed on the surface of CLDHs in a form of precipitation, finally. The elements isomorphous substitution effect in crystalline materials typically resulted in variations of XRD patterns\(^1\). Compared with LDHs patterns, the relative intensities of peaks of CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn decreased, and the abscissas of peaks were of certain deviation. Thus, XRD patterns of Mg/Al-LDH were affected by substitution of Mg\(^{2+}\) with Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) because of the difference in ion size\(^2\). The changes of crystal lattice parameters could further proved the isomorphous substitution effect.

To study the adsorption mechanism and sites of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) on CLDHs, the XPS spectra of the CLDHs before and after Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) sorption were analyzed. The XPS spectra of the pristine CLDHs and CLDHs-Cu and Zn samples were displayed in Fig.5. Comparing with the pristine CLDHs, new peaks at binding energy of 933.7 eV and 1021.9 eV, attributed to Cu 2p and Zn 2p, were observed in XPS spectrum of CLDHs-Cu and Zn, indicating that Cu and Zn anions are adsorbed on CLDHs. The high-resolution XPS (HR-XPS) is used to investigate elemental composition and oxidation states on the surface. The HR-XPS spectra of CLDHs-Cu-1 (adsorption of one cycle) and CLDHs-Cu-2 (adsorption of five cycles until saturated) were shown in Fig.6 (a) and (b) and CLDHs-Zn-1 and CLDHs-Zn-2 in Fig.7 (a) and (b). The dominant peak appeared at 933.6 eV is ascribed to Cu 2p\(_{3/2}\) in
Fig. 6 (a) and (b) and the Zn 2p$_{3/2}$ peak appeared at 1021.7 eV which is shown in Fig. 7 (a) and (b). From Fig. 5, there are four elements C, O, Mg and Al on the surface of CLDHs. In Fig. 6 (a), the XPS-peak-differentiation-imitating result shows that a peak is 932.1 eV and the other one is 934.6 eV, which illustrates there are two kinds of state of copper ions on the surface of CLDHs adsorption Cu$^{2+}$ at one cycle. The peak at 934.5 eV is attributed to Cu-O-Al and Cu-O-Mg is on the peak position of 932.1 eV. It means that copper, magnesium, aluminum and hydroxyl combine on the surface of adsorbent, forming lamellar structure of co-sided octahedron. Discovered through comparative analysis and combined with copper binding energy data tables, a new peak is observed in Fig. 6 (b) which is attributed to Cu(OH)$_2$. It also indicates that the whole adsorption sites of CLDHs are occupied after adsorption saturation and the redundant Cu$^{2+}$ only accumulate on the surface of the adsorbent by the formation of Cu-O-Cu. Fig. 7 shows the high resolution of XPS spectrum of Zn$^{2+}$, which can obtain the same result as Cu$^{2+}$. In Fig. 7(a), one peak at 1021.7 eV is Zn-O-Al, another 1021.0 eV is Zn-O-Mg. A new peak 1022.8 eV, displayed in Fig. 7, is ascribed to Zn(OH)$_2$. It shows from the result that before adsorption saturation, Cu-O-Cu and Zn-O-Zn do not appear on the surface of CLHDs. Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ are bound with magnesium and aluminum to insert onto the laminate, without self-aggregation phenomenon, which shows the uniformity and richness of adsorption sites. The conclusion is consistent with the regeneration experiment, XRD and EDX.

The crystal cell parameters a and c were calculated from (110) and (003) reflections, respectively, which were a=2·d110 and c=3·d003. The basal spacing (d003)
is about 0.770nm and the basal spacing (d110) is about 0.150nm. The interlayer spacing of LDH, CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn, were list in Table.3. It can be seen that “a” slightly changed, which indicated that the layer thickness was almost constant. The size of parameter “a” was only related to the bond length of M-O (M represents metal elements) and the charges of metal ions. Given the fact that Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ have the same charge, and insignificantly different bond lengths, the parameter “a” of LDH, CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn were close. On the other hand, the parameter “c” not only related to M-O bond length and the charges of the metal ions, but also depended on the interlayer anionic radius and the size of electrostatic interactions of interlayer anionic with positively charged sheets. So the layer board structure of CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn become distorted compared to that of LDHs. For CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn, OH$^-$ was the main interlayer anions. Therefore, the parameter “c” and interlayer spacing of CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn were all bigger than that of LDHs. As a result, the variations of crystal lattice parameters further proved the isomorphous substitution of Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ for Mg$^{2+}$. Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ was removed in the form of product composition, rather than Cu or Zn hydroxyl.

The N$_2$ adsorption and desorption isotherms and pore size distribution of the LDHs and CLDHs were shown in Fig.8. The N$_2$ adsorption and desorption isotherms were followed the type IV adsorption isotherms according to the IUPAC classification with a H$_3$-type hysteresis loop for the desorption isotherm, which was the characteristic type of mesoporous materials $^{48}$. At high p/p$_0$ values, the adsorption
isotherm did not have a plateau, which indicated that N\textsubscript{2} physico-sorption occurred between the aggregates of platelets particles, accounting for the lamellar morphology of the samples. The surface area and pore size distribution were determined with BJH method, which could be seen that the pore distribution of CLDHs was more abundant than LDH in the 3 to 20nm range. The inference was layer boards collapse and molecular dehydration contraction after calcination. A similar situation also appeared in the range of 20 to 50 nm. Mesoporous was the main contribution of the pore volume and specific surface area for LDHs and CLDHs. Therefore, the samples were mesoporous materials\textsuperscript{49, 50}. The experimental data were list in Table.4. The surface area of CLDHs was 260.700 m\textsuperscript{2}\cdot g\textsuperscript{-1}, which was evidently larger than that of LDH (79.727m\textsuperscript{2}\cdot g\textsuperscript{-1}). Thus, CLDHs could offer much more active adsorption sites, and consequently had higher metal adsorption capacity.

In order to speculated the adsorption mechanism of CLDHs for Cu\textsuperscript{2+} or Zn\textsuperscript{2+} and obtain more information concerning the alteration of the surface morphology, the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and corresponding electron dispersive X-ray (EDX) were performed. Fig.9 displayed the high vacuum SEM images of gold-coated LDHs, CLDHs, CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn with 1 \( \mu \) m in length and 100-200nm thickness. The alveolate-like structure of LDHs could be clearly seen in Fig.9(a), which was the typical particle morphology of hydrotalcite - like compounds. Fig.9(b) demonstrated that the structures of CLDHs were compact, because that LDHs layer sheets structure collapsed and molecular contracted after calcination. Then the hydrotalcite -like structures disappeared. It also confirmed the pore size distribution
results obtained from Fig.8. As seen in Fig.9(c) and (d), the CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn showed the alveolate-like structures of hydrotalcite-like compounds. Basically, CLDHs retained the structure of LDHs after adsorbing Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$. During conversion of CLDH to LDH, the effect of Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ was not significant. The EDX images analyzed the relative content of CLDHs components before and after Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ adsorption. It can be seen from the Fig.9 that the EDX spectrum of CLDHs mainly contained three components: i.e. oxygen, magnesium, aluminum and carbon, which confirmed that CLDHs were mainly composed of magnesium oxide and aluminum oxide. In the EDX spectrum images of CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn, the element spectra of copper, zinc and carbon could be also found. Compared with the CLDHs, Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ and carbonate intercalated the structure of CLDHs-Cu and CLDHs-Zn. It can be concluded from the above SEM and EDX that Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ participated in the structure restructuring of hydrotalcite-like compounds.

3.5. Potential Adsorption Mechanism of CLDHs for Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$. The above adsorption experiments showed that the CLDHs were cost-effective and convenient for removing Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ from aqueous solution. Meanwhile, it also demonstrated that Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ firstly generated hydroxide precipitation and occurred to metastasis of the water - solid phase. Based on the characterization results of XRD, XPS, SEM and EDX, CLDHs could recover the structure of hydrotalcite-like compounds after adsorbing Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$. In the adsorption process, copper or zinc integrated in the sheets. Considering the adsorption mechanism, there were some
inferences: (1) when the particles of Mg/Al-CLDHs contacted the aqueous solution, hydrolysis of mixed oxides occurred in the surfaces and metal hydroxides were formed. Meanwhile, due to the effect of ionization, a certain amount of hydroxyl was released into the solution, contributing to increased pH value. (2) Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ in the wastewater would combine with hydroxyl, thereby forming metal hydroxides, most of which were attached to the surfaces of CLDHs because of the high OH$^-$ solution gradient. In addition, copper hydroxide prevailed over zinc hydroxide at the beginning of the reaction, which was probably resulted from the relative stability of copper hydroxide ($K_{sp}=2.2 \times 10^{-20}$) to zinc hydroxide ($K_{sp}=1.2 \times 10^{-17}$). (3) With the ionization effect of metal hydroxides, Mg$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$ and Cu$^{2+}$ (Zn$^{2+}$) were released again from the interface of the water and CLDHs. With continuous accumulation, the magnesium, aluminum, copper, zinc and hydroxyls combined together on the surface of adsorbents, thus the single octahedral sheet with sharing edges were formed. The Mg$^{2+}$ could be isomorphous substitution with Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ because of the same charge, which could transfer a large number of Cu$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ from water phase to solid phase, and remove them from wastewater. (4) The sheets were stripped from the sorbent surface to water, which could not combine together, because that the existence of trivalent cations Al$^{3+}$ resulted in electropositive sheets. (5) The above reactions (4 steps) proceeded on the new surface of the adsorbent in oxide state, so a mass of heavy metal ions were removed from liquid phase, producing more single-sheet octahedral groups with positive charges. To balance the charge and keep stable structure, the negative ions (OH$^-$) were inserted into the interlayer galleries upon
electrostatic interaction. Some water molecules and nitrate anions also automatically inserted into the interlayers. (6) Through constantly cycling of the above reactions, the main layers structures of hydrotalcite-like compounds were reshaped\textsuperscript{51}. The adsorption process transformed CLDH to hydrotalcite-like compounds. The regenerative adsorption experiments implemented successional adsorption/calcinations and the adsorption mechanism as described above. Herein, CLDHs have high adsorption capacity and performance of regeneration. The adsorption mechanism for Cu\textsuperscript{2+} and Zn\textsuperscript{2+} were similar, so taking Cu\textsuperscript{2+} as an example, the adsorption process could be expressed as follows:

\begin{align*}
Sur_1\cdot O- + H_2O & \rightarrow Sur_1\cdot O-\ldots H + OH^- \quad (9) \\
Sur_1\cdot O- + Cu^{2+} + OH^- & \rightarrow Sur_1\cdot O-\ldots Cu(OH) \quad (10) \\
Sur_1\cdot O-\ldots Cu(OH) & \rightarrow Sur_2\text{(include } -Cu\text{-)}-OH \quad (11)
\end{align*}

Regenerative adsorption process was continued as follows:

\begin{align*}
Sur_2\text{(include } -Cu\text{-)}-OH & \xrightarrow{723K \Delta} Sur_3\cdot O- + H_2O \quad (12) \\
Sur_3\cdot O- + H_2O & \rightarrow Sur_3\cdot O-\ldots H + OH^- \quad (13) \\
Sur_3\cdot O- + Cu^{2+} + OH^- & \rightarrow Sur_3\cdot O-\ldots Cu(OH) \quad (14) \\
Sur_3\cdot O-\ldots Cu(OH) & \rightarrow Sur_4\text{(include } -Cu\text{-)}-OH \quad (15)
\end{align*}

\[\ldots\]

Where Sur and Sur\textsubscript{n} (n=1, 2, 3\ldots\ldots) respects the structures of the hydrotalcite-like compounds excepting for the hydroxyl groups on the surface.

**Conclusions**

In this study, a potential CLDHs material was compounded by co-precipitation
method and used to adsorb Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) from single and binary systems. According to the experimental results, when the initial Cu\(^{2+}\) or Zn\(^{2+}\) concentration in single-ion solutions was 500 mg·L\(^{-1}\) with the adsorbent dosage of 0.5 g·L\(^{-1}\), the maximal adsorption capacities of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) were 422.08 mg·g\(^{-1}\) and 508.21 mg·g\(^{-1}\), indicating that this material may be an effective adsorbent. The results of co-adsorption on CLDHs show that when Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) coexist in the water, the adsorption amount is still very large despite the competition between them, which is of great significance for using CLDHs to remove the various toxic heavy ions in wastewater, simultaneously. Through regenerative experiments it was concluded that the CLDHs kept high adsorption efficiency within 4 cycles.

Characterization results show the adsorption of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) on CLDHs layer board is homogeneous. Due to the hydration and ionization on the CLDHs surface, hydroxyls were released to combine heavy metal ions, forming hydroxides (single octahedral sheets with sharing edges) with positive charges, which were stripped from the sorbent surface. The anions entered the interlayer space along with H\(_2\)O, which made sheets combined to recover into hydrotalcite structure. And the adsorption was a process that surface adsorption phase shifted to bulk phase, rather than only was the traditional interface adsorption. The equilibrium adsorption data of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) fitted well with the Langmuir model and the adsorption kinetics followed a pseudo-second order model.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by Science and Technology Development Plan of
Shandong Province (No.2012GGE27098), which is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES


Table:

Table.1. Kinetic parameters of pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and intraparticle diffusion models at test temperature (308.15K) for Cu$_{2}^{+}$ and Zn$_{2}^{+}$ in single/binary system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single System</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Binary System</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>q$_{e,exp}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>q$_{e,cal}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>K$_{1}$ (min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>R$^{2}$</td>
<td>q$_{e,exp}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>q$_{e,cal}$ (g·mg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>K$_{2}$×10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(II)</td>
<td>140.80</td>
<td>90.70</td>
<td>0.0139</td>
<td>0.9598</td>
<td>148.59</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(II)</td>
<td>225.95</td>
<td>192.77</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>0.9865</td>
<td>263.16</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.9956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(II)</td>
<td>126.55</td>
<td>84.85</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td>0.9705</td>
<td>134.23</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(II)</td>
<td>205.59</td>
<td>185.08</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.9813</td>
<td>257.73</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.9959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Isotherm parameters obtained by using linear method for the adsorption of 
Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) on LDH at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>Langmuir adsorption model</th>
<th>Freundlich adsorption model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q(_{\text{max}}) (mg·g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>K(_L) (L·mg(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu((\text{II}))</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>571.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308.15</td>
<td>662.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323.15</td>
<td>775.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn((\text{II}))</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>434.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308.15</td>
<td>476.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323.15</td>
<td>584.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. The cell parameter \( a \), \( c \) and interlayer spacing of LDH, CLDH-Cu and CLDH-Zn.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>LDH</th>
<th>CLDH-Cu</th>
<th>CLDH-Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( d_{110} ) (nm)</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a ) (nm)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{003} ) (nm)</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c ) (nm)</td>
<td>2.313</td>
<td>2.565</td>
<td>2.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlayer-spacing (nm)</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. BET data for samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Pores volume (ml/g)</th>
<th>Average pore size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDHs</td>
<td>79.727</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>17.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLDHs</td>
<td>260.700</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>2.769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig.1. The pseudo-second-order models fit for (a) Cu$^{2+}$ and (b) Zn$^{2+}$ adsorption by CLDHs (a: [Cu$^{2+}$]=100mg·L$^{-1}$; [Cu$^{2+}$+Zn$^{2+}$] =100 mg·L$^{-1}$+100mg·L$^{-1}$; b: [Zn$^{2+}$]=100mg·L$^{-1}$, [Cu$^{2+}$+Zn$^{2+}$] =100 mg·L$^{-1}$+100mg·L$^{-1}$)
Fig. 2. Model plots for the adsorption of (a) Cu$^{2+}$ and (b) Zn$^{2+}$ on CLDHs.
Fig.3. Removal efficiency of (a) Cu$^{2+}$ and (b) Zn$^{2+}$ in different cycles by using the CLDHs ([Cu$^{2+}$]=100mg·L$^{-1}$, [Zn$^{2+}$]=100mg·L$^{-1}$; T=308.15K, CLDHs= 0.3 g/100 mL).
Fig. 4. Powder XRD patterns for (a) CLDHs, (b) Mg₃Al-CO₃-LDHs, (c) CLDHs-Cu (after uptake of copper ion) and (d) CLDHs-Zn (after uptake of zinc ion).
Fig. 5. X-ray photoelectron spectra of pristine CLDHs and CLDHs-Cu and Zn.
Fig. 6. High-resolution XPS spectrum of (a) CLDHs-Cu-1 (adsorption of one cycle) and (b) CLDHs-Cu-2 (adsorption of five cycles until saturated)
Fig. 7. High-resolution XPS spectrum of (a) CLDHs-Zn-1 (adsorption of one cycle) and (b) CLDHs-Zn-2 (adsorption of five cycles until saturated)
Fig. 8. $N_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms and pore size distribution (inset) of LDHs and CLDHs.
Fig. 9. The SEM images of samples: (a) LDHs, (b) CLDHs, (c) CLDHs -Cu and (d) CLDHs-Zn. And the EDX of (a) CLDHs, (b) CLDHs-Cu and (c) CLDHs-Zn.