

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances



A HS-SPDE-GC-MS/MS method for investigating pharmacokinetics of I-menthol, borneol, isoborneol, and camphor in rat plasma after oral administration of LRPs.

| 1  | Simultaneous quantification of m                                | ultiple volatile active components  |
|----|---|---|
| 2  | in rat plasma by headspace-solid                                | phase dynamic extraction method   |
| 3  | coupled to gas chromatograp                                     | hy-tandem mass spectroscopy:  |
| 4  | Application in a pharmacokinetic                                | study of Longhu Rendan  |
| 5  |   |   |
| 6  | Tian-Ming Wang <sup>a</sup> , Li-Qing Ding <sup>b</sup> , Hua-J | ia Jin <sup>b</sup> , Rong Shi <sup>a</sup> , Jia-sheng Wu <sup>a</sup> , Li Zhu <sup>b</sup> , |
| 7  | Yi-Qun Jia <sup>c*</sup> , Yue-Ming Ma <sup>a*</sup>            |   |
| 8  | <sup>a</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Shanghai               | University of Traditional Chinese Medicine,   |
| 9  | Shanghai 201203, China  |   |
| 10 | <sup>b</sup> Shanghai Zhonghua Pharmaceutical Co.               | , Ltd, Shanghai 200052, China   |
| 11 | <sup>c</sup> Experiment Center for Science and Tech             | nology, Shanghai University of Traditional  |
| 12 | Chinese Medicine, Shanghai 201203, Chin                         | ıa  |
| 13 |   |   |
| 14 | * Corresponding authors: YM. Ma: Te                             | l.: +86 21 5132 2386  |
| 15 | Fa  | x: +86 21 5132 2386.  |
| 16 | E-t   | mail: mayueming_117@hotmail.com   |
| 17 | YQ. Ji: Te  | l.: +86 21 5132 3028  |
| 18 | Fa  | x: +86 21 5132 2686   |
| 19 | E-h   | mail: yqjia1961@126.com   |
| 20 |   |   |
| 21 |   |   |
| 22 |   |   |
|    |   |   |
| 23 |   |   |
| 24 |   |   |
| 25 |   |   |
| 26 |   |   |

## 27 Abstract

Longhu Rendan pills (LRPs), a traditional Chinese over-the-counter medicine, have 28 29 been used for the prevention and treatment of heatstroke and motion sickness. A sensitive, specific, and accurate headspace-solid-phase dynamic extraction method 30 31 coupled to gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (HS-SPDE-GC-MS/MS) was developed and validated for the investigation of pharmacokinetic properties of 32 1-menthol, borneol, isoborneol, and the metabolite camphor in rats after oral 33 34 administration of LRPs. Target compounds were extracted using an SPDE needle device coated with a polydimethylsiloxane solid phase. Detection of components was achieved 35 by GC-MS/MS in multiple reaction-monitoring mode. This method was successfully 36 applied in the evaluation of the pharmacokinetics of components and a metabolite of 37 38 LRPs after a single intragastric administration of a 0.92 g/kg dose to rats. 39 Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated from the plasma concentration-time data.  $C_{max}$  values of 1-menthol, borneol, isoborneol, and camphor in rat plasma were 40 41 determined to be 876  $\pm$  341, 268  $\pm$  149, 158  $\pm$  91, and 126  $\pm$  56 ng/mL, respectively, 42 and the AUC<sub>0-t</sub> values were measured as 876  $\pm$  259, 408  $\pm$  121, 140  $\pm$  50, and 401  $\pm$ 43 35 ng h/mL, respectively. These results provide useful information on the effective components of LRPs. 44

Keywords Longhu Rendan pills; Volatile compounds; HS-SPDE-GC-MS/MS;
Pharmacokinetics

- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52

53

# 55 **1. Introduction**

Longhu Rendan pills (LRPs), a classic traditional Chinese over-the-counter medicine, 56 are composed of Mentholum, Borneolum Synthcticum, Flos Caryophylli, Fructus 57 Anisi Stellati, Radix Aucklandiae, Fructus Amomi, Cortex Cinnamomi, Fructus 58 Piperis, Rhizoma Zingiberis, Catechu, and Radix Glycyrrhizae. LRPs have been 59 used for more than a century in China and are licensed by the State Food and Drug 60 Administration (SFDA) of China (No. Z20025168). LRPs have been widely used for 61 the prevention and treatment of heatstroke and motion sickness. Modern 62 pharmacological studies have confirmed that LRPs elicit significant anti-heatstroke, 63 anti-motion sickness activity, and exhibit peripheral antiemetic effects in rats.<sup>1</sup> 64 there is currently published information 65 However, no regarding the pharmacokinetics of LRPs, which would allow us to understand the pharmacological 66 mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effects of LRPs. 67

68 LRPs contain a number of volatile compounds that elicit a variety of pharmacological effects. Menthol causes gastric relaxation by reducing acetylcholine 69 release<sup>2</sup> and shows antiemetic,<sup>3</sup> anti-inflammatory, analgesic,<sup>4</sup> and anti-peristaltic 70 properties.<sup>5</sup> Borneol and isoborneol exert anti-inflammatory,<sup>6</sup> analgesic,<sup>6, 7</sup> and 71 neuroprotective effects.<sup>8, 9</sup> Furthermore, borneol inhibits acetylcholine-mediated 72 effects<sup>10</sup> and shows anti-coagulant<sup>11</sup> and vasorelaxant activities.<sup>12</sup> Moreover, borneol 73 can enhance the oral bioavailability and distribution of drugs to the brain tissue as 74 well as penetrate the blood-brain barrier.<sup>13, 14</sup> Borneol and isoborneol can be 75 oxidized to camphor in mice, rats, and rabbits.<sup>15</sup> Camphor has analgesic<sup>16</sup> and 76 vasorelaxant activities.<sup>17</sup> Moreover, menthol and camphor have been shown to act 77 synergistically.<sup>18</sup> Although there is no report on the anti-heatstroke and anti-motion 78 sickness of l-menthol, borneol, isoborneol, and camphor, respectively, it has been 79 80 reported that the anti-inflammatory, analgesic and neuroprotective, and anti-coagulant and vasorelaxant properties may contribute to anti-heatstroke 81 effects.<sup>19</sup> and the anticholinergic, gastric relaxation, antiemetic, anti-inflammatory, 82 analgesic, and anti-peristaltic effects may contribute to the anti-motion sickness.<sup>20</sup> 83

Therefore, we hypothesized that 1-menthol, borneol, isoborneol, and camphor contribute to the therapeutic efficacy of LRPs, and that they are the major bioactive ingredients in LRPs. In order to improve our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effects of LRPs, it is important to study the pharmacokinetics of 1-menthol, borneol, isoborneol, and camphor after the oral administration of LRPs.

90 Volatile compounds are commonly analysed using gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS). Conventional pre-treatment methods such as 91 liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) used for quantifying the concentration of compounds in 92 93 biological samples can cause significant evaporative losses of the volatile components, which are hard to enrich, resulting in the loss of sensitivity and unacceptable assay 94 accuracy. These factors make the sensitive and accurate quantification of volatile 95 components in biological samples very challenging. Solid-phase dynamic extraction 96 97 (SPDE) developed by Chromtech (Idstein, Germany) in 2000 is the first commercially available inside-needle device.<sup>21</sup> SPDE has the advantages of high sensitivity, short 98 sample preparation and extraction times, and high sample throughput, in part 99 100 reflecting the full automation of the method. It has been extensively used in environmental, pharmaceutical, and biomedical studies as a solvent-free technique for 101 the extraction, concentration, and desorption of volatile compounds.<sup>22-27</sup> To the best of 102 our knowledge, there is only one report published to date describing a 103 pharmacokinetic study using the HS-SPDE-GC-MS/MS approach.<sup>28</sup> However, the 104 105 method described in that publication is not suitable for the analysis of LRPs because of the lower sensitive quantification of borneol and isoborneol and the incapacity to 106 107 detect l-menthol and camphor in plasma. To address this challenge, we developed and validated an accurate, sensitive, and reliable HS-SPDE-GC-MS/MS method for the 108 109 simultaneous measurement of the levels of 1-menthol, borneol, isoborneol, and the metabolite camphor (Fig. 1) in rat plasma. This method was successfully applied in a 110 111 pharmacokinetic study of volatile compounds found in LRPs.

# 113 **2. Experimental**

# 114 **2.1. Chemicals and reagents**

Camphor, 1-menthol, isoborneol, borneol, and naphthalene (purity > 98%) were 115 purchased from the Chinese Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological 116 China). LRPs were provided by Shanghai Zhonghua 117 Products (Beijing, Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). By using gas chromatography coupled 118 with triple quadrupole mass spectrometry,<sup>29</sup> the levels of menthol, isoborneol, and 119 borneol in LRPs were determined to be 22.7, 5.7, and 9.7 mg/g, respectively. Ethyl 120 acetate was obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co, Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 121 Ultra-pure water was purified using a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, 122 USA). 123

# 124 **2.2. Animals**

Male Wistar rats, weighing  $250 \pm 20$  g (grade II, certificate no. SCXK 2012-0002) were purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd. They were maintained on a 12-h light–dark cycle in an environmentally controlled breeding room (temperature 22–25 °C, humidity 60%  $\pm$  5%) for 7 days. The animals were fasted for 12 h prior to the experiments, but continued to have free access to water during this time. All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Research Council guidelines.

132

#### 133 **2.3. Instrumentation and analytical conditions**

Analysis was performed using an Agilent 7890A GC interfaced to a Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer Agilent 7000B (Agilent Technologies, California, USA). Data acquisition, processing, and evaluation were performed using Masshunter software, version B.05.02 1032 (Agilent Technologies). Chromatographic separation was performed on a VF-WAXms capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm ID; Agilent Technologies) coated with 100% polyethylene glycol (0.25-µm film thickness).

140 The following temperature program was used: 50  $\ \C$  (0 to 1 min), 50 to 150  $\C$  (1 141 to 9.3 min at 12  $\C/min$ ), 150 to 200  $\C$  (9.3 to 11.8 min at 20  $\C/min$ ), 200 to 245  $\C$ 

(11.8 to 12.8 min at 45 °C/min), with the system held at 245 °C for 2 min. Helium and 142 143 nitrogen were used as collision cell gases at flow rates of 2.25 and 1.5 mL/min, 144 respectively, with helium used as the carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 2.5 mL/min. The temperatures of the transfer line and the ion source were set to 250 and 300  $^{\circ}$ C, 145 146 respectively. The solvent delay was set to 6 min in splitless mode. The mass detector was operated in electron impact ionisation (EI) MS/MS mode at 70 eV using multiple 147 reaction monitoring (MRM) for quantification of all analytes. The full list of the 148 149 analytes, with their time segments, respective retention times, detected ions, dwell times, collision energies, and gains, is presented in Table 1. 150

151 SPDE was performed using a CTC-Combi-PAL autosampler supplied by 152 Chromtech (Idstein, Germany). CTC-Combi-PAL autosampler included a single 153 magnet mixer, a gas station to aspire desorption gas and a heated flushing station for 154 conditioning and reconditioning of the SPDE needles (Chromtech). All SPDE 155 sampling steps were automatically controlled by the CTC-Combi-PAL software. The 156 internal surface of the SPDE needle was coated with a PDMS phase with film 157 thickness of 50 µm and film length of 56 mm.

Aliquots (100  $\mu$ L) of plasma spiked with 10  $\mu$ L of internal standard (IS) 158 naphthalene (100 ng/mL) were placed into 10-mL vials and vortex-mixed for 30 s. 159 Before the measurements were obtained, samples were kept at 85  $\,^{\circ}$ C for 5 min in a 160 161 single magnet mixer to reach equilibrium between the HS compartment and the water phase. Following equilibration, a needle was inserted 20 mm into the sample vial to 162 extract the sample. A desorption volume of 1 mL of nitrogen gas was subsequently 163 aspirated into the syringe at the gas station and was desorbed into the injector at a 164 165 flow rate of 50  $\mu$ L/s. Following desorption, the needle was removed from the injector and flushed with nitrogen for 6 min in the needle flush station at a temperature of 166 250 °C, to prevent any carryover effects. The parameters that affect the extraction rate, 167 such as the number of extraction cycles, syringe temperature, and pre-incubation time, 168 were optimised to obtain the highest extraction efficiency. 169

#### 171 **2.4. Standard solutions and quality-control samples**

172 Stock solutions of camphor, l-menthol, isoborneol, and borneol were prepared in ethyl acetate at concentrations of 0.66, 3.4, 2.0, and 2.0 mg/mL, respectively. A series of 173 174 mixed working standards at concentrations in the 0.5-400 ng/mL range were prepared 175 for each compound by diluting a mixture of stock solutions in ethyl acetate. Three levels of quality control (QC) samples at concentrations of 1, 20, and 320 ng/mL were 176 prepared separately for each compound in plasma in the same manner. Additionally, 177 178 the stock solution of IS naphthalene was diluted to a concentration of 100 ng/mL in 179

180

#### 181 **2.5. Method validation**

The method was validated according to the guidelines of the U.S. Food and DrugAdministration (FDA).

184

#### 185 **2.5.1. Selectivity**

The selectivity of the method was evaluated by analysing six batches of blank rat plasma. The area of peaks corresponding to the endogenous compounds co-eluting with the analytes should be less than 20% of the peak area at the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ).

190

#### 191 **2.5.2. Linearity and LLOQ**

The linearity of the calibration curve (y = bx + a) was established using weighted (weight coefficient =  $1/x^2$ ) linear least-square regression<sup>28, 30</sup> of peak area ratios (y) of the analyte to their IS versus different concentrations (x) of the standard samples. LLOQ was defined as the lowest concentration in the calibration curve that can be determined with an accuracy of 80–120% and a precision of no more than 20%.

197

# 198 **2.5.3. Accuracy and precision**

The precision and accuracy of the proposed analytical method were evaluated usingQC samples. For intra-day precision and accuracy, six replicates were analysed at

201 each concentration. The inter-day precision and accuracy were determined by202 analysing five replicates at each concentration level on 3 consecutive days.

203

#### 204 **2.5.4. Extraction recovery**

The average recovery was quantified as the amount of the standard extracted from the spiked blank plasma compared to the amount of standard measured in ultrapure water, based on three replicates at three QC levels. The recovery of the IS was determined in a similar manner.

209

#### 210 **2.5.5. Stability**

211 The stability of target analytes in rat plasma was evaluated by analysing three 212 replicates of plasma samples at the concentrations of QC samples, which were exposed to different conditions (time and temperature). The stability of QC samples at 213 low, medium, and high concentrations was examined after storage at 25 °C for 12 h 214 (post-preparative stability), after three freeze/thaw cycles (-80 °C), and at -80 °C for 215 216 15 days. Relative deviations of all stability test samples were determined in relation to 217 freshly prepared samples. Analytes were considered stable when the precision was found to be below 15% and the accuracy biases were below 15% for different levels. 218

219

# 220 **2.5.6. Dilution integrity**

Dilution of the biological matrix is required when the analyte concentration in the studied sample are expected to be higher than the upper limit of quantification. The dilution was tested by analysing three replicates of QC samples (3.2 and 1.6  $\mu$ g/mL) with 10- and 5-fold dilutions evaluated to assess the effect on accuracy and precision of the quantification method. The acceptable precision and accuracy were required to be within ±15%.

227

#### 228 **2.6. Pharmacokinetic study**

Blood samples (200 µL) were collected in heparinized 1.5-mL polythene tubes at 0,
0.03, 0.08, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 12, 24, and 48 h after intragastric administration of 0.92

g/kg LRPs (equivalent to 20.89 mg/kg of 1-menthol, 5.25 mg/kg of isoborneol, and 231  $8.94 \text{ mg/kg of borneol}^{29}$  to rats. Samples were centrifuged and the isolated plasma 232 was stored at -80 °C until the analysis. Concentrations of analytes were measured in 233 234 the plasma, as described above. Samples with concentrations above the upper limit 235 of quantification were diluted with blank plasma and re-analysed. The plasma pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated using the non-compartmental model in 236 the WinNonlin software package (Build 6.1.0.173, Pharsight Corporation, MO, 237 238 USA).

239

# 240 **3. Results and discussion**

# 241 **3.1 Method development**

#### 242 **3.1.1. GC-MS/MS optimization**

The standard solutions of the analytes and IS were injected onto the mass 243 spectrometer separately to determine the detected ions and optimize the processing 244 parameters. The abundantly generated fragment ions in the full-scan mode of 245 246 camphor, 1-menthol, borneol, and isoborneol were found to be m/z 95, 71, 95, and 95, respectively. However, the molecular ions of camphor, 1-menthol, borneol, and 247 isoborneol (m/z 152, 156, 154, and 154, respectively) were found to be present at 248 low tendencies. The product ions of camphor, l-menthol, borneol, and isoborneol 249 250 were found at m/z 95, 71, 95, and 95, respectively. Furthermore, no significant difference in peak areas was observed when comparing the two highest detected ions, 251 71/71 and 95/95 of 1-menthol. Therefore, the precursors to product ions of camphor, 252 253 1-menthol, borneol, and isoborneol are the same ions (m/z 95). The most intense ion 254 of the IS naphthalene is its molecular ion  $(m/z \ 128)$ , rather than the fragment ions. Collision energies were subsequently tested using the selected precursor ions to 255 determine characteristic product ions. The optimised MS/MS parameter values are 256 shown in Table 1. The initial temperature of the column oven was optimized to 257 obtain good separation. MRM extracted ion chromatograms are shown in Fig. 2. 258

#### 260 **3.1.2. Parameter optimization for the SPDE method**

261 In this study, we investigated the different outcomes obtained with the number of extraction cycles ranging between 20 and 60. Based on the peak response, the 262 optimal number of extraction cycles to use was determined to be 40 (Fig. 3A). The 263 extraction temperature range examined in this study was 45-95 °C. As shown in Fig. 264 3B, the highest peak area was always observed at a temperature of 85 °C, with all 265 compounds showing similar behaviour. The effect of using different pre-desorption 266 267 periods for thermal equilibration, ranging from 10 to 40 s, was evaluated, and 30 s was found to be the optimal period to use (Fig. 3C). On the basis of the highest 268 269 obtained peak areas, 40 extraction cycles, an extraction temperature of 85 °C, and pre-desorption time of 30 s were determined to be optimal conditions. 270

271

# 272 **3.1.3. Electrolyte addition**

The influence of electrolyte addition was investigated. A range of the NaCl concentrations (10%, 20%, and 30% w/w) and addition of different amounts of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.01, 0.1, 0.5 g) were tested using 40 extraction cycles and an extraction temperature of 85 %. The results demonstrated that adding electrolyte had little effect on the detection of the compounds in this study.

278

# 279 **3.2. Method validation**

# 280 **3.2.1. Selectivity, linearity, and LLOQ**

The representative MRM extracted ion chromatograms profiles of blank plasma spiked with four standards, blank plasma, and plasma sample obtained 30 min after intragastric administration of LRPs in rats are shown in Fig. 2. A baseline separation of camphor, 1-menthol, borneol, and isoborneol was obtained under the specified chromatographic conditions. The calibration curves, correlation coefficients, linear ranges, and LLOQs are presented in Table 2.

287

#### 288 **3.2.2. Accuracy and precision**

289 Results of the evaluation of accuracy and precision at three QC concentrations are

presented in Table 3. The results demonstrate acceptable accuracy and precision ofthe proposed quantification method.

292

#### 293 **3.2.3. Extraction recovery**

Average recoveries of investigated analytes ranged from 74.95% to 88.55% (n = 3). The mean extraction recovery of the IS was  $88.80\% \pm 5.00\%$  (n = 3). Mean recoveries of camphor, 1-menthol, borneol, and isoborneol at the evaluated concentrations are presented in Table 4.

298

#### 299 **3.2.4. Stability**

The results of the evaluation of the stability of analytes under various storage conditions are presented in Table 4. Our data indicates that the analytes investigated were all stable in plasma at room temperature for 12 h, after three freeze/thaw cycles (-80 °C), and following 15 days of storage at -80 °C for 15 days. Measurements following all tested storage conditions showed variability in measured concentrations below 15.0% of the initial values.

306

#### 307 **3.2.5. Dilution integrity**

Dilution integrity experiments were carried out in three replicates with 10- and 5-fold dilutions in blank plasma, with assay precision and accuracy evaluated using the above described sample pre-treatment method. For diluted samples, the precision was estimated to be below 11.5%, and the accuracy was within  $\pm 10.9\%$ . These results suggest that samples with concentrations that exceed the upper limit of the calibration curve can be reliably measured using an appropriate dilution.

314

# 315 **3.3. Method applicability**

In our present study, the proposed HS-SPDE-GC–MS/MS method for simultaneous
quantification of concentrations of camphor, l-menthol, borneol, and isoborneol in rat
plasma met the requirements for use in the quantitation of biological samples.

Page 13 of 23

#### **RSC Advances**

319 Some agents that are commonly used in traditional Chinese medicine, including LRPs, contain multiple volatile ingredients that elicit important pharmacological 320 effects. However, their pharmacokinetics under the common dose have often been 321 unsatisfactorily elucidated to date, mostly due to the shortcomings of conventional 322 pre-treatment methods of biological samples resulting to lower sensitivity of 323 quantification. In our current study, the sensitivity of our proposed method using 324 SPDE coupled to GC-MS for 1-menthol, borneol, isoborneol and camphor was 325 30–100 times higher than that for camphor,<sup>31</sup> l-menthol,<sup>32</sup> borneol and isoborneol<sup>33</sup> 326 using conventional LLE coupled to GC-MS, respectively. Addition to, compared with 327 the reported the method using HS-SPDE-GC–MS/MS approach,<sup>26</sup> the present method 328 not only detected borneol and isoborneol with over 40 times higher sensitivity, but 329 330 also exhibited sufficient sensitivity to determine the levels of 1-menthol and camphor in rat plasma. Further, compared with method using LLE in concert with 331 programmable temperature vaporizing-based large-volume injection of the organic 332 extract,<sup>34</sup> the present method not only similar sensitively detected borneol, isoborneol, 333 and camphor, but also sensitively determined the levels of 1-menthol in rat plasma. 334 335 The established method was successfully applied in the evaluation of the pharmacokinetics of camphor, l-menthol, borneol, and isoborneol of LRPs after 336 intragastric administration. 337

338 Since 1-menthol and borneol are aromatic ingredients that are commonly used in 339 many Chinese combination herbal therapies, the method optimized and validated in 340 our current study can also be used in pharmacokinetic studies evaluating related 341 volatile compounds in plasma, following administration of other traditional Chinese 342 medicine agents.

343

#### 344 **3.4. Pharmacokinetic study**

LRPs have been broadly used in China for treatment and prevention of heatstroke and motion sickness, and as an antiemetic agent.<sup>1</sup> Despite their widespread use, the pharmacokinetics of LRPs has not yet been investigated. The present study we clarified the pharmacokinetics of camphor, 1-menthol, borneol, and isoborneol, after

oral administration of LRPs in rats. The concentrations of all ingredients were 349 350 detectable in rat plasma up to 48 h following oral administration. Fig. 4 shows the mean plasma concentration-time profiles of the investigated components. Calculated 351 pharmacokinetic parameters are presented in Table 5. After oral administration of 352 LRPs, 1-menthol, isoborneol, and borneol were rapidly absorbed, with a  $T_{max}$  value of 353 0.22 h. Isoborneol and borneol were quickly metabolized to camphor, as evidenced by 354 the fact that the  $T_{max}$  value of campbor follows closely to those of isoborneol and 355 borneol. All volatile compounds exhibited a half-life of medium length (11-18 h). 356 The bioavailability of borneol and isoborneol determined by calculating the ratio of 357 oral AUC to intravenous AUC was 12.7% and 8.7% in a rat pharmacokinetic study of 358 borneolum.<sup>34</sup> In another previous study, the bioavailability of l-menthol was estimated 359 to be about 21% on the basis of the ratio of the 24-h urine excretion of 1-menthol 360 glucuronide to the dose<sup>35</sup> based on almost all the 1-menthol was metabolized into 361 menthol glucuronide and the plasma AUC of menthol glucuronide exceeded 99.5% of 362 the sum of the plasma AUC of l-menthol and the AUC of menthol glucuronide.<sup>32</sup> 363 364 According to these bioavailabilities, the distribution volumes of isoborneol, borneol, and 1-menthol were calculated following oral administration of LRPs in our study. 365 The results showed relatively large distribution volumes. Moreover, borneol has been 366 reported to be capable of permeating the blood-brain barrier to reach the brain tissue 367 and the concentration of borneol in the brain is higher than that in serum.<sup>36</sup> Taken 368 together, these results suggest that isoborneol, borneol, and 1-menthol can be easily 369 distributed into various tissues, including the brain. The study of the pharmacokinetics 370 of volatile compounds from LRPs in our present study provides valuable reference 371 data that can be used to guide the future development of LRPs for clinical use. 372

Prior to this investigation, to the best of our knowledge, there has been no information on the pharmacokinetics of the bioactive compounds after the oral administration of LRPs, although several pharmacokinetic studies of borneol and isoborneol after intravenous and oral administration<sup>33, 34, 37</sup> and of 1-menthol after oral administration<sup>32, 35</sup> have been reported. In the present study, the elucidation of the pharmacokinetics of 1-menthol, isoborneol, borneol, and metabolite camphor

following the oral administration of LRPs in rats provides useful information on the bioactive components of LRPs because menthol can reduce acetylcholine release from enteric nerves,<sup>2</sup> and borneol inhibits acetylcholine-mediated effects,<sup>10</sup> given that anticholinergic effects can help alleviate motion sickness.

In present study, the pharmacokinetic characteristics of volatile compounds from LRPs was only clarified, the pharmacokinetic characteristics of the non-volatile compounds call for further study.

386

# 387 4. Conclusion

A sensitive, specific, accurate, and validated HS-SPDE-GC–MS/MS method was developed for the simultaneous quantification of the levels of 1-menthol, isoborneol, borneol, and camphor in rat plasma. The main advantages of this method are its solvent-free nature, high sensitivity, and the technically simple procedure used for plasma sample preparation, based on the HS-SPDE technique. The method was successfully applied in a study evaluating the pharmacokinetics of multiple volatile compounds following oral administration of LRPs.

395

# 396 Acknowledgements

The project was supported by Program for Shanghai Innovative Research Team in
University (2009), the Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (12QY12 and
2013JW10) and "085" First-Class Discipline Construction of Science and Technology
Innovation (085ZY1205).

- 402
- 403
- 404
- 405

# 406 **References**

| 407 | 1.  | X. H. Li, W. Pan, J. H. Jin, J. L. Du, J. Li and D. F. Song, Pharmacology and Clinics of        |
|-----|-----|---|
| 408 |     | Chinese Materia Medica, 2009, 25, 61-62.  |
| 409 | 2.  | A. Amato, R. Serio and F. Mule, European journal of pharmacology, 2014, 745, 129-134.           |
| 410 | 3.  | K. Heimes, F. Hauk and E. J. Verspohl, Phytotherapy research : PTR, 2011, 25, 702-708.          |
| 411 | 4.  | C. Y. Liang, W. L. Li, H. Q. Zhang and B. R. Ren, ChinWild Plant Resour, 2003, 22: 9-12.        |
| 412 | 5.  | A. L. Rozza, C. A. Hiruma-Lima, R. K. Takahira, C. R. Padovani and C. H. Pellizzon,             |
| 413 |     | Chemico-biological interactions, 2013, 206, 272-278.  |
| 414 | 6.  | X. P. Sun, L. J. Ou, S. Q. Mi and N. S. Wang, Tradit Chin Drug Res Clin Pharmacol, 2007,        |
| 415 |     | 18: 353–355.  |
| 416 | 7.  | R. E. Granger, E. L. Campbell and G. A. R. Johnston, Biochem Pharmacol, 2005, 69,               |
| 417 |     | 1101-1111.  |
| 418 | 8.  | L. L. Tian, Z. Zhou, Q. Zhang, Y. N. Sun, C. R. Li, C. H. Cheng, Z. Y. Zhong and S. Q.          |
| 419 |     | Wang, Cellular physiology and biochemistry : international journal of experimental cellular     |
| 420 |     | physiology, biochemistry, and pharmacology, 2007, 20, 1019-1032.                                |
| 421 | 9.  | R. Liu, L. Zhang, X. Lan, L. Li, T. T. Zhang, J. H. Sun and G. H. Du, Neuroscience, 2011,       |
| 422 |     | <b>176</b> , 408-419.   |
| 423 | 10. | T. J. Park, Y. S. Park, T. G. Lee, H. Ha and K. T. Kim, Biochem. Pharmacol., 2003, 65,          |
| 424 |     | 83-90.  |
| 425 | 11. | Y. H. Li, X. P. Sun, Y. Q. Zhang and N. S. Wang, Am J Chinese Med, 2008, 36, 719-727.           |
| 426 | 12. | J. C. Silva-Filho, N. N. P. M. Oliveira, D. D. R. Arcanjo, L. J. Quintans, S. C. H. Cavalcanti, |
| 427 |     | M. R. V. Santos, R. D. C. M. Oliveira and A. P. Oliveira, Basic Clin Pharmacol, 2012, 110,      |
| 428 |     | 171-177.  |
| 429 | 13. | B. Yu, M. Ruan, Y. Sun, X. B. Cui, Y. Yu, L. L. Wang and T. H. Fang, Neural Regeneration        |
| 430 |     | Research, 2011, 6, 1876-1882.   |
| 431 | 14. | Z. Cai, S. X. Hou, Y. B. Li, B. B. Zhao, Z. X. Yang, S. G. Xu and J. X. Pu, J Drug Target,      |
| 432 |     | 2008, <b>16</b> , 178-184.  |
| 433 | 15. | X. F. Jiang, J. L. Zou, Y. M. Yuan and M. C. YAO, Mode Tradit Chin Med Mater Med,               |
| 434 |     | 2008, 10, 27-36.  |
| 435 | 16. | H. Xu, N. T. Blair and D. E. Clapham, The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of     |
| 436 |     | the Society for Neuroscience, 2005, 25, 8924-8937.  |
| 437 | 17. | A. G. Smith and G. Margolis, The American journal of pathology, 1954, 30, 857-869.              |
| 438 | 18. | B. L. Xu, Z. R. Wang, K. He and H. X. Chen, Chinese Journal of Modern Applied Pharmacy,         |
| 439 |     | 1998, <b>15</b> , 32-34.  |
| 440 | 19. | G. S. Lipman, K. P. Eifling, M. A. Ellis, F. G. Gaudio, E. M. Otten and C. K. Grissom,          |
| 441 |     | Wilderness & environmental medicine, 2014, 25, 855-65.  |
| 442 | 20. | J. F. Golding and M. A. Gresty, Current opinion in neurology, 2015, 28, 83-88.                  |
| 443 | 21. | F. Musshoff, D. W. Lachenmeier, L. Kroener and B. Madea, Journal of Chromatography A,           |
| 444 |     | 2002, <b>958</b> , 231-238.   |
| 445 | 22. | C. Bicchi, C. Cordero, E. Liberto, P. Rubiolo and B. Sgorbini, Journal of Chromatography A,     |
| 446 |     | 2004, <b>1024</b> , 217-226.  |
| 447 | 23. | M. A. Jochmann, M. P. Kmiecik and T. C. Schmidt, Journal of chromatography. A, 2006,            |
| 448 |     | 1115, 208-216.  |

| 449<br>450 | 24. | T. E. Goodwin, M. S. Eggert, S. J. House, M. E. Weddell, B. A. Schulte and L. E. Rasmussen, <i>Journal of chemical ecology</i> , 2006, <b>32</b> , 1849-1853. |
|------------|-----|---|
| 451        | 25. | M. A. Jochmann, X. Yuan and T. C. Schmidt, Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 2007,  |
| 452        |     | <b>387</b> , 2163-2174.   |
| 453        | 26. | D. Lenz, L. Kroner and M. A. Rothschild, Journal of chromatography. A, 2009, 1216,  |
| 454        |     | 4090-4096.  |
| 455        | 27. | B. Rossbach, P. Kegel and S. Letzel, Toxicology letters, 2012, 210, 232-239.  |
| 456        | 28. | W. Chang, L. Han, H. Huang, B. Wen, C. Peng, C. Lv, W. Zhang and R. Liu, Journal of   |
| 457        |     | chromatography. B, Analytical technologies in the biomedical and life sciences, 2014, 963,  |
| 458        |     | 47-53.  |
| 459        | 29. | T. M. Wang, L. Q. Ding, Y. Q. Jia, H. J. Jin, R. Shi, L. Zhu and Y. M. Ma, Anal Methods-Uk,   |
| 460        |     | 2014, 6, 3713-3719.   |
| 461        | 30. | Y. Li, X. L. Liu, Z. G. Cai and S. X. Zhang, Biomed Chromatogr, 2014, 28, 193-196.  |
| 462        | 31. | XM. Sun, QF. Liao, YT. Zhou, XJ. Deng and ZY. Xie, Journal of Pharmaceutical  |
| 463        |     | Analysis, 2014, <b>4</b> , 345-350.   |
| 464        | 32. | N. Hiki, M. Kaminishi, T. Hasunuma, M. Nakamura, S. Nomura, N. Yahagi, H. Tajiri and H.   |
| 465        |     | Suzuki, Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics, 2011, 90, 221-228.  |
| 466        | 33. | T. L. Huang, S. M. Ye, W. P. Ou and S. Q. Mi, <i>Tradit Chin Drug Res Clin Pharmacol</i> , 2006,  |
| 467        |     | 17, 265–267.  |
| 468        | 34. | C. Cheng, X. W. Liu, F. F. Du, M. J. Li, F. Xu, F. Q. Wang, Y. Liu, C. Li and Y. Sun, Acta  |
| 469        |     | pharmacologica Sinica, 2013, <b>34</b> , 1337-1348.   |
| 470        | 35. | A. Gelal, P. Jacob, 3rd, L. Yu and N. L. Benowitz, <i>Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics</i> ,  |
| 471        |     | 1999, <b>66</b> , 128-135.  |
| 472        | 36. | M. R. Liang., Q. D. Liu., T. L. Huang., Y. Q. Zhang. and W. P. Ou., Traditional Chinese   |
| 473        |     | Drug Research & Clinical Pharmacology, 1993, 4, 38-40.  |
| 474        | 37. | X. Xu, Y. Li, J. Hou, S. Zhang, Y. Xu, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, C. Liu and X. He, <i>Planta medica</i> ,  |
| 475        |     | 2011, <b>77</b> , 1600-1604.  |
| 476        |     |   |
| 477        |     |   |
| 478        |     |   |
| 470        |     |   |
| 4/9        |     |   |
| 480        |     |   |
| 481        |     |   |
| 482        |     |   |
| 483        |     |   |
| 484        |     |   |
| 485        |     |   |
| 486        |     |   |
| 187        |     |   |
| +07        |     |   |

| 488 |
|-----|
|-----|

**Table 1** Instrument method for the GC–MS/MS analysis for all the target analysts and IS.

| Compound    | Time segments (min) | RT (min) | Detected ion $(m/z)$ | Dwell (ms) | CE (v) | gain |
|-------------|---------------------|----------|----------------------|------------|--------|------|
| Camphor     | 6.0                 | 8.34     | 95-95                | 100        | 5      | 30   |
| L-menthol   | 6.0                 | 9.64     | 95-95                | 100        | 5      | 30   |
| Isoborneol  | 6.0                 | 9.93     | 95-95                | 100        | 5      | 30   |
| Borneol     | 6.0                 | 10.27    | 95-95                | 100        | 5      | 30   |
| Naphthalene | 10.5                | 10.69    | 128-102              | 100        | 25     | 30   |

**Table 2** Calibration curve, Linear range and LLOQ for camphor, l-menthol, liquiritin, isoborneol and borneol in

| plasma.    |                                       |        |                      |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---------------------------------------|--------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Compounds  | Calibration curve                     | r      | Linear range (ng/mL) | LLOQ (ng/mL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camphor    | <i>Y</i> =1.146498 <i>X</i> +0.004245 | 0.9963 | 0.50-400.00          | 0.50         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L-menthol  | <i>Y</i> =0.615042 <i>X</i> +0.002673 | 0.9961 | 0.50-400.00          | 0.50         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isoborneol | <i>Y</i> =1.612448 <i>X</i> +0.002094 | 0.9963 | 0.50-400.00          | 0.50         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borneol    | <i>Y</i> =1.745362 <i>X</i> +0.014426 | 0.9961 | 0.50-400.00          | 0.50         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |                                       |        |                      |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **Table 3** Precision and accuracy levels of the 4 analytes.

|            |               |  |   | Intra-d | ay (n = 6) |          |        | Inter-day $(n = 5)$ |       |        |          |  |  |  |
|------------|---------------|--|---|---------|------------|----------|--------|---------------------|-------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| Compounds  | Concentration |  |   |         | DCD        | A        |        |                     |       | DCD    | <b>A</b> |  |  |  |
|            | (ng/mL)       |  |   |         | KSD        | Accuracy |        |                     |       | KSD    | Accuracy |  |  |  |
|            |               | Mean   |   |         | (%)        | (%)      | Ν      | /lean               |       | (%)    | (%)      |  |  |  |
| Camphor    | 1.00          | $1.06 \pm 0.08$                                      |   | 7.07    | 106.20     | 1.04     | ±      | 0.06                | 6.18  | 104.45 |          |  |  |  |
|            | 20.00         | $19.04 \pm 0.81$                                     |   | 4.27    | 95.20      | 19.24    | ±      | 1.53                | 7.93  | 96.20  |          |  |  |  |
|            | 320.00        | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ |   | 7.42    | 100.40     | 324.94   | ±      | 18.30               | 5.63  | 101.54 |          |  |  |  |
| L-menthol  | 1.00          |  |   | 6.38    | 96.65      | 1.01     | $\pm$  | 0.07                | 7.24  | 100.70 |          |  |  |  |
|            | 20.00         | 20.39  | ± | 1.25    | 6.12       | 101.95   | 19.56  | $\pm$               | 1.62  | 8.30   | 97.80    |  |  |  |
|            | 320.00        | $315.21 \pm 27.76$                                   |   | 8.81    | 98.50      | 324.00   | ±      | 24.12               | 7.44  | 101.25 |          |  |  |  |
| Isoborneol | 1.00          | 1.03   | ± | 0.05    | 5.33       | 102.90   | 0.99   | $\pm$               | 0.09  | 9.22   | 99.31    |  |  |  |
|            | 20.00         | 19.55  | ± | 1.29    | 6.62       | 97.74    | 19.71  | ±                   | 1.88  | 9.53   | 98.57    |  |  |  |
|            | 320.00        | 312.65   | ± | 24.68   | 7.90       | 97.70    | 322.15 | ±                   | 21.02 | 6.53   | 100.67   |  |  |  |
| Borneol    | 1.00          | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ |   | 6.77    | 104.65     | 1.00     | ±      | 0.08                | 7.84  | 100.29 |          |  |  |  |
|            | 20.00         |  |   | 6.08    | 97.46      | 19.84    | ±      | 1.52                | 7.68  | 99.19  |          |  |  |  |
|            | 320.00        | 308.21   | ± | 24.96   | 8.10       | 96.32    | 320.27 | ±                   | 24.14 | 7.54   | 100.09   |  |  |  |

|            | Nominal       | autosampler              |   |         | three freeze/thaw<br>cycles at -80 °C<br>stability (%) |   |       | freezin       | g at -8 | 0°C  | Recovery |   |         |
|------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|---------|--|---|-------|---------------|---------|------|----------|---|---------|
| Compounds  | concentration | for 12h<br>stability (%) |   | fo      |  |   |       | r 15 da       | iys     |      |          |   |         |
|            | (ng/mL)       |                          |   | stabili |  |   |       | stability (%) |         |      |          |   |         |
| Camphor    | 1.00          | 98.39                    | ± | 3.93    | 101.90   | ± | 11.10 | 98.88         | ±       | 4.78 | 88.55    | ± | 5.16    |
|            | 20.00         | 92.50                    | ± | 7.20    | 102.61   | ± | 2.12  | 97.72         | ±       | 0.52 | 83.48    | ± | 5.62    |
|            | 320.00        | 95.94                    | ± | 2.35    | 93.83  | ± | 5.73  | 105.59        | ±       | 8.24 | 84.71    | ± | 3.52    |
| L-menthol  | 1.00          | 98.47                    | ± | 8.93    | 106.86   | ± | 2.15  | 107.60        | ±       | 3.60 | 78.42    | ± | 6.48    |
|            | 20.00         | 93.28                    | ± | 7.01    | 102.29   | ± | 8.17  | 94.46         | ±       | 4.80 | 74.95    | ± | 8.23    |
|            | 320.00        | 97.43                    | ± | 2.63    | 95.07  | ± | 5.17  | 108.46        | ±       | 3.52 | 85.40    | ± | 11.81   |
| Isoborneol | 1.00          | 92.59                    | ± | 3.19    | 91.65  | ± | 5.80  | 100.51        | ±       | 3.16 | 79.73    | ± | 5.64    |
|            | 20.00         | 93.16                    | ± | 7.50    | 105.63   | ± | 9.57  | 98.99         | ±       | 3.45 | 79.27    | ± | 8.00    |
|            | 320.00        | 97.96                    | ± | 3.79    | 92.05  | ± | 6.52  | 109.30        | ±       | 6.12 | 83.00    | ± | 8.46    |
| Borneol    | 1.00          | 104.92                   | ± | 9.70    | 99.65  | ± | 5.91  | 104.37        | ±       | 3.93 | 82.52    | ± | 5.82    |
|            | 20.00         | 98.78                    | ± | 10.33   | 107.59   | ± | 7.91  | 93.86         | ±       | 2.20 | 79.27    | ± | 10.11 💽 |
|            | 320.00        | 101.11                   | ± | 1.18    | 95.31  | ± | 6.13  | 107.86        | ±       | 3.12 | 88.49    | ± | 8.48    |

501 **Table 4** Stability and extraction recovery of camphor, l-menthol, isoborneol and borneol in rat plasma. (n=3)

502

503 Table 5 Pharmacokinetic parameters of 1-menthol, borneol, isoborneol and camphor after a single introgastric

administration of Longhu Rendan pills at a dose of 0.92 g/kg to rats. (n=6. Mean  $\pm$  SD)

| Parameters                   | L-menthol |   |        | Borneol |   |        | Isot   | om | eol   | Camphor |   |       |
|------------------------------|-----------|---|--------|---------|---|--------|--------|----|-------|---------|---|-------|
| AUC <sub>0-t</sub> (ng h/mL) | 876.15    | ± | 259.22 | 408.19  | ± | 120.69 | 139.87 | ±  | 49.57 | 401.00  | ± | 35.07 |
| $t_{1/2}$ (h)                | 16.51     | ± | 5.73   | 17.56   | ± | 4.10   | 12.68  | ±  | 4.79  | 11.34   | ± | 1.71  |
| MRT $_{0-t}$ (h)             | 7.34      | ± | 2.34   | 11.08   | ± | 2.80   | 6.19   | ±  | 2.64  | 8.95    | ± | 2.84  |
| $T_{max}$ (h)                | 0.22      | ± | 0.07   | 0.22    | ± | 0.07   | 0.22   | ±  | 0.07  | 0.29    | ± | 0.10  |
| $Cl (L kg^{-1} h^{-1})$      | 4.78      | ± | 1.11   | 2.56    | ± | 0.77   | 3.32   | ±  | 1.11  | -       | ± | -     |
| $Vd (L kg^{-1})$             | 113.46    | ± | 38.94  | 61.82   | ± | 11.93  | 56.11  | ±  | 15.03 | -       | ± | -     |
| $C_{max}$ (ng/mL)            | 876.29    | ± | 341.21 | 267.58  | ± | 148.82 | 158.07 | ±  | 91.16 | 125.74  | ± | 55.63 |

505 -: cannot be calculated



508



Fig. 2 MRM extracted ion chromatograms of (1) camphor, (2) 1-menthol, (3) isoborneol, (4) borneol, (5)
naphthalene. (A) blank rat plasma, (B) blank plasma spiked with reference compounds (80 ng/mL), and (C)
plasma sample 30 min after oral administration of LRPs in rats.



515 Fig. 3 Effect of the extraction parameters on the SPDE efficiency (the concentration of each compound was 30



517



519

520 Fig. 4 Profiles of mean concentration-time of, I-menthol, borneol, isoborneol and camphor after oral dose of 0.92

521 g/kg Longhu Rendan pills in rats (n = 6, mean  $\pm$  SD).