ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS

Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





ht

http://rsc.li/frontiers-organic

Emma K. Davison and Jonathan Sperry*

School of Chemical Sciences, University of Auckland, 23 Symonds Street, Auckland, New Zealand



In the presence of 1 mol% of a copper (II) catalyst and air, a readily available N^1 -acetyl- N^3 thioacylguanidine undergoes a one-pot benzylic oxidation-oxidative heterocyclization sequence to give the 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole core of polycarpathiamines A (**2**) and B (**3**) and thus facilitating the first synthesis of both natural products. This methodology offers a straightforward alternative to the low yielding dipolar cycloaddition used to access 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazoles.

INTRODUCTION

The 1,2,4-thiadiazole heterocycle is present in a small family of natural products (Figure 1), of which dendrodoine (1), isolated from the murine tunicate *Dendroba grossularia* (Styélidés),¹ was the sole member for over thirty years. This statistic changed in 2013 upon the isolation of polycarpathiamines A (2) and B (3),² from the ascidian *Polycarpa aurata* and the unnamed enantiomeric pair of alkaloids (+)-4 and (-)-4,³ from the herbaceous plant *Isatis indigotica*.



Figure 1. Natural products containing a 1,2,4-thiadiazole (red)

The 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole subunit of natural products **1-3** is a rare heterocyclic motif that to the best of our knowledge has only been the target for synthesis in the context of these three natural products (Scheme 1).^{2,4,5} The synthetic method of choice to access this motif is the dipolar cycloaddition between an acyl cyanide and *N*,*N*-dimethylaminonitrile sulfide **5** (generated *in situ* from 5-dimethylamino-1,3,4-oxathiazol-2-one) (Scheme 1), an approach that was employed in the synthesis of dendrodoine A (1)⁴ and the 1,2,4-thiadiazole **6**, the latter of which was used to aid the structural determination of the polycarpathiamines.² The harsh conditions and poor yields associated with this reaction prompted us to develop an alternative synthetic route to this heterocyclic subunit *en route* to the natural products polycarpathiamines A (**2**) and B (**3**).

Organic Chemistry Frontiers



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazoles by dipolar cycloaddition^{2,4}

We envisioned that the 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole core (8) of the polycarpathiamines could be obtained from N^1 -acetyl- N^3 -thioacylguanidine 7 by a one-pot benzylic oxidation-oxidative heterocyclization⁶⁻⁸ sequence, a challenging transformation that requires uncovering oxidation conditions that effect the overall conversion of 7 to 8 but do not promote oxidative desulfurization⁹ or intermolecular dehydrogenative coupling of the electron rich aromatic ring (Scheme 2). Once 8 is secured, deprotection steps would deliver polycarpathiamines A (2) and B (3).

Drganic Chemistry Frontiers Accepted Manuscript



Scheme 2. Proposed route to 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole **8** *en route* to polycarpathiamines A and B

The N^1 -acetyl- N^3 -thioacylguanidine **7** was readily prepared by the base-mediated condensation of *N*-acetylguanidine with thionoester **10** obtained from treating the commercially available ester **9** with Lawesson's reagent (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Synthesis of N^1 -acetyl- N^3 -thioacylguanidine 7

Page 5 of 14

Organic Chemistry Frontiers

When considering the proposed overall oxidative transformation of 7 to 8, aerobic coppercatalyzed conditions were deemed an appropriate starting point, given their ability to effect both benzylic oxidation and intramolecular N-S bond formation under mild conditions,¹⁰ but need to be used forcefully to cause desulfurization reactions.¹⁰ The reported copper(II)chloride-catalyzed α -oxygenation of arylthioacetamides to their corresponding α -keto arylthioamides¹¹ was initially attempted, affording the desired 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4thiadiazole (8) in a moderate yield (Table 1, Entry 1) that provided an excellent starting point for further optimization. In the presence of air instead of neat oxygen, the yield increased to 67% and simplified the procedure so it could be carried out in an open-flask (Entry 2). When caesium carbonate was employed as base, a slight drop in yield was noted, but increasing the amount of base to two equivalents remedied the yield and shortened the reaction time (Entries 3 and 4) compared to potassium carbonate (Entry 1). A screen of copper (II)-salts revealed that copper(II)-acetate was the best yielding (87%, entries 5-8), and there was a decrease in yield when changing the solvent from DMF to acetonitrile and toluene (entries 9 and 10). It is of note that in all of the reactions described in Table 1, the product 8 is isolated essentially pure after work-up, with no flash chromatography required. Upon tracking the reaction of 7 to 8 by TLC analysis, it is readily apparent that the reaction proceeds through a polar intermediate (assumed to be the benzoyl guanidine) that undergoes oxidative heterocyclization (N-S bond formation) to give 8. All attempts to isolate this intermediate or characterise it by NMR failed as it is quickly converted to the 1,2,4-thiadiazole 8 during workup/chromatography.

 Table 1.^a Oxidative conversion of 7 to 8

Table 1

NHAc

N NHAc

	MeO ²	7	MeC	8	N	
Entry	Catalyst	Oxidant	Base	Solvent	Time	Yield
	(1 mol%)		(eq.)		(h)	8 (%)
1	$CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	O_2	$K_{2}CO_{3}(1)$	DMF	1.75	56
2	$CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	air	$K_2CO_3(1)$	DMF	2.75	67
3	$CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	air	Cs_2CO_3	DMF	1.0	59
			(1)			
4	$CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	air	Cs_2CO_3	DMF	1.5	69
			(2)			
5	CuBr ₂	air	Cs_2CO_3	DMF	0.5	56
			(2)			
6	Cu(OTf) ₂	air	Cs_2CO_3	DMF	0.5	70
			(2)			
7	$CuSO_4$	air	Cs_2CO_3	DMF	0.5	57
			(2)			
8	Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O	air	Cs_2CO_3	DMF	0.5	87
			(2)			
9	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$	air	Cs_2CO_3	MeCN	0.5	77
			(2)			
10	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$	air	Cs_2CO_3	PhMe	3.0	38
			(2)			

^a All reactions above carried out at 80 °C on 0.04 – 0.05 mmol scale except entries 1 (0.13 mmol) and 2 (0.11 mmol)

With 8 in hand, its conversion to the polycarpathiamine natural products was undertaken (Scheme 4). Deacetylation of 8 gave a synthetic sample of polycarpathiamine B (3), which itself underwent demethylation¹² to give polycarpathiamine A (2). The spectroscopic data for synthetic 2 and 3 were in near perfect agreement with those in the isolation report (Table 2).²

Organic Chemistry Frontiers



Scheme 4. Synthesis of polycarpathiamines A (2) and B (3)

$\frac{3'}{4'} = \frac{1'}{5'} = \frac{1'}{5} = \frac{1}{5'} = \frac{1}{5'$								
	R =	R = Me ; polycarpathiamine B (3)						
		(DMSO-d ₆)						
	Synthetic		Natural product		Synthetic		Natural product	
Atom No.	¹ H	¹³ C	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	¹³ C	¹ H	¹³ C	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	¹³ C
	(400	(100	(400	(100	(400	(100	(400	(100
C(2)	MHz)	MHz)	MHz)	MHz)	MHz)	MHZ)	MHZ)	MHZ)
C (3)		171.7		171.7		1/1.8		1/1.8
$NH_{2}(3)$	7.09		7.08		7.12		7.12	
	(br s)		(s)		(br s)		(s)	
C (5)		185.7		185.7		185.4		185.4
C (1')		125.2		125.1		126.6		126.6
CH (2'/6')	8.37	133.6	8.37	133.6	8.44	133.3	8.44	133.3
	(d, J 8.8)		(d, J 8.8)		(d, J 9.2)		(d, J 9.0)	
CH (3'/5')	6.94	115.7	6.94	115.6	7.15	114.3	7.15	114.3
	(d, J 8.8)		(d, J 8.8)		(d, J 9.2)		(d, J 9.0)	
C (4')		163.9		163.8		164.6		164.6
CO (7')		180.6		180.6		180.9		180.9
OH (4')	10.80		10.79		3.90 (s)	55.8	3.90 (s)	55.8
	(br s)		(br s)					

Table 2. NMR	spectroscopic data	for synthetic and natural	polycarpathiamine A	(2) and B (3)
--------------	--------------------	---------------------------	---------------------	-----------------

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the N^1 -acetyl- N^3 -thioacylguanidine **7** undergoes a copper (II)-air mediated onepot benzylic oxidation-oxidative heterocyclization process to provide the 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole core of polycarpathiamines A (**2**) and B (**3**), facilitating the first synthesis of the two natural products. This methodology provides a straightforward alternative to the low yielding dipolar cycloaddition used to access 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazoles and should find utility in the construction of this heterocyclic motif, for example in the synthesis of analogues of polycarpathiamine A given it displays significant cytotoxic effects against L5178Y murine lymphoma cells (IC₅₀ 0.41 μ M).²

General

Commercially available reagents were used throughout without purification unless otherwise stated. Anhydrous solvents were used as supplied. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl under nitrogen atmosphere. Dichloromethane was distilled from calcium hydride under a nitrogen atmosphere. Ether refers to diethyl ether. All reactions were routinely carried out in oven-dried glassware under a nitrogen or argon atmosphere unless otherwise stated. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed using silica plates and compounds were visualized at 254 and/or 360 nm ultraviolet irradiation followed by staining with either alkaline permanganate or ethanolic vanillin solution. Infrared spectra were obtained using a Perkin Elmer spectrum One Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer as thin films between sodium chloride plates. Absorption maxima are expressed in wavenumbers (cm⁻¹). Melting points were recorded on an Electrothermal melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded as indicated on either a spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for ¹H nuclei and 100 MHz for ¹³C nuclei or on a spectrometer operating at 500 MHz and 125 MHz for ¹H and ¹³C nuclei, respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to the tetramethylsilane peak recorded as $\delta 0.00$ ppm in CDCl₃/ TMS solvent, or the residual chloroform (δ 7.26 ppm), DMSO (δ 2.50 ppm) or methanol (δ 3.31 ppm) peaks. The ¹³C NMR values were referenced to the residual chloroform (δ 77.1 ppm), DMSO (δ 39.5 ppm) or methanol (δ 49.0 ppm) peaks. ¹³C NMR values are reported as chemical shift δ . multiplicity and assignment. ¹H NMR shift values are reported as chemical shift δ , relative integral, multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet), coupling constant (J in Hz) and assignment. Assignments are made with the aid of DEPT 135, COSY, NOESY, HMBC and HSQC experiments. High resolution mass spectra were

obtained by electrospray ionization in positive ion mode at a nominal accelerating voltage of 70 eV on a microTOF mass spectrometer.

O-Methyl 2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethanethioate (10)

To a stirred solution of methyl-(4-methoxy)phenylacetate **9** (500 mg, 2.78 mmol) in xylenes (40 mL) was added Lawesson's reagent (1.55 g, 3.82 mmol) and the mixture was heated at reflux for 17 h. The solution was cooled and concentrated *in vacuo* and the crude material purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate – hexanes (1:19) to afford the *title compound* (402 mg, 2.05 mmol, 74%) as a yellow oil. **HRMS** Found: $[M + Na]^+$, 219.0446, $[C_{10}H_{12}O_2S + Na^+]$ requires 219.0450; **IR** (neat): 2942, 1610, 1510, 1244, 1175, 1120, 1032, 924, 820, 766 cm⁻¹; ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.23 (2 H, d, *J* 8.5, 2 x ArH), 6.86 (2 H, d, *J* 8.5, 2 x ArH), 4.06 (3 H, s, OMe), 4.00 (2 H, s, CH₂), 3.80 (3 H, s, OMe); ¹³C **NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 222.2, 158.9, 130.3 (2 x CH), 128.3, 114.1 (2 x CH), 59.5 (OMe), 55.4 (OMe), 52.6 (CH₂).

N-(*N*'-(2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethanethioyl)carbamimidoyl)acetamide (7)

To a stirred solution of *N*-acetylguanidine (114 mg, 1.13 mmol) in THF (3.8 mL) and DMF (2.0 mL) cooled to 0 °C, was added sodium hydride (60% in mineral oil, 64 mg, 1.60 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 10 min before adding thioester **10** (200 mg, 1.02 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL) dropwise. The resulting solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirring continued for 15.5 h, before being cooled back to 0 °C prior to the addition of water (7 mL). The aqueous layer was then separated, and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 10 mL) until the aqueous layer became colourless. The combined organic extracts were dried using Na₂SO₄, filtered, then concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with dichloromethane – methanol (49:1) to afford the

Organic Chemistry Frontiers

title compound (126 mg, 0.475 mmol, 47%) as a yellow oil. **HRMS** Found: $[M + H]^+$, 266.0963, $[C_{12}H_{15}N_3O_2S + H^+]$ requires 266.0958; **IR** (neat): 3295, 2932, 1697, 1611, 1509, 1449, 1242, 1176, 1029, 820, 734 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 11.61 (1 H, br s, NH), 11.14 (1 H, br s, NH), 9.71 (1 H, br s, NH), 7.23 (2 H, d, *J* 8.7, 2 x ArH), 6.84 (2 H, d, *J* 8.7, 2 x ArH), 3.87 (2 H, s, CH₂), 3.72 (3 H, s, OMe), 2.15 (3 H, s, COMe); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 218.4, 174.2, 159.0, 157.8, 130.5, 129.8 (2 x CH), 113.5 (2 x CH), 58.5 (CH₂), 55.0 (OMe), 24.2 (CO<u>Me</u>)

N-Acetamide-5-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-1,2,4-thiadiazole (8)

To a stirred solution of Cu(OAc)₂.H₂O (0.10 mg, 0.0005 mmol, 1 mol%) in DMF (0.1 mL) was added Cs₂CO₃ (28 mg, 0.086 mmol) and a solution of **7** (13 mg, 0.049 mmol) in DMF (0.3 mL). The resulting solution was heated to 80 °C in an open flask for 30 min. Upon completion of the reaction, the solution was cooled to room temperature, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude material was then redissolved in ethyl acetate (5 mL) and washed with water (2 x 5 mL). The aqueous layers were then combined, and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 5 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried using Na₂SO₄, filtered, then concentrated *in vacuo* to afford the *title compound* (11.8 mg, 0.0426 mmol, 87%) as a dark yellow solid, which was used directly in the next step without further purification. **HRMS** Found: [M + Na]⁺, 300.0409, [C₁₂H₁₁N₃O₃S + Na⁺] requires 300.0413; **IR** (neat): 3217, 3099, 1704, 1593, 1562, 1493, 1451, 1262, 1231, 1174, 1121, 1023, 983, 876, 854, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 11.50 (1 H, br s, NH), 8.49 (2 H, d, *J* 9.1, 2 x ArH), 7.16 (2 H, d, *J* 9.1, 2 x ArH), 3.91 (3 H, s, OMe), 2.17 (3 H, s, COMe); ¹³C **NMR** (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 186.3, 180.6, 168.1, 164.9, 163.2, 133.5 (2 x CH), 126.3, 114.4 (2 x CH), 55.9 (OMe), 23.8 (COMe).

Polycarpathiamine B (3)

To a stirred solution of **8** (51 mg, 0.184 mmol) in ethanol (4 mL) was added conc. hydrochloric acid (0.06 mL). The resulting solution was heated at reflux for 30 min, then was cooled and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude material was redissolved in water (3 mL) and 1 M NaOH was added to obtain a solution of ~ pH 10. The aqueous solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried using Na₂SO₄, filtered, then concentrated *in vacuo* to afford the *title compound* (40 mg, 0.170 mmol, 92%) as a dark yellow solid, which did not require further purification. **Mp**: 171.5 – 174.3 °C {lit.² Mp: not given}; **HRMS** Found: $[M + Na]^+$, 258.0311, $[C_{10}H_9N_3O_2S + Na^+]$ requires 258.0308; **IR** (neat): 3450, 3340, 3232, 2925, 1633, 1599, 1537, 1511, 1480, 1297, 1260, 1127, 1024, 856, 842, 758 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see **Table 2**

Polycarpathiamine A (2)

Na⁺] requires 244.0151; **IR** (neat): 3430, 3218, 2918, 1595, 1536, 1510, 1465, 1292, 1245,

1174, 1123, 1015, 841 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see **Table 2**

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

NMR spectra for all novel compounds

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the University of Auckland for a Doctoral Scholarship (E K. D) and the Royal Society of New Zealand for the award of a Rutherford Discovery Fellowship (J. S).

REFERENCES

1. S. Heitz, M. Durgeat, M. Guyot, C. Brassy, B. Bachet, Tetrahedron Lett., 1980, 21, 1457.

2. C.-D. Pham, H. Weber, R. Hartmann, V. Wray, W. Lin, D. Lai, P. Proksch, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2230.

M. Chen, S. Lin, L. Li, C. Zhu, X. Wang, Y. Wang, B. Jiang, S. Wang, Y. Li, J. Jiang, J. Shi, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 5668.

4. For the synthesis of a 3-(imidazol-1-yl)-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole by palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling, see: T. C. Gahman, H. Lang, M. R. Herbert, A. M. Thayer, C. A. Hassig, S. A. Noble, R. D. Cousins, H. Zhuang, C. R. Santos, X. Chen, U.S. Patent 544182000, June 1, 2006; *Chem. Abstr.* 2006, **145**, 8185.

5. I. T. Hogan, M. Sainsbury, *Tetrahedron*, 1984, 40, 681.

Although the formation of 1,2,4-thiadiazoles by oxidative intramolecular N–S bond formation is well established, it has never been used to construct 3-amino-5-acyl-1,2,4-thiadiazoles, see: (a) F. Kurzer, S. A. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, 379; (b) F. Kurzer, F. S. A. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 1064; (c) F. Kurzer, S. A. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, 4191; (d) B. Junge, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1975, 1961; (e) C. G. Newton, W. D. Ollis, D. E. Wright, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1*, 1984, 75; (f) F. Kurzer, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1*, 1985, 311.

7. For some further references on the formation of 1,2,4-thiadiazoles by intramolecular oxidative N–S bond formation, see: (a) H.-Y.Kim, S. H. Kwak, G.-H. Lee, Y.-D. Gong,

Tetrahedron, 2014, **70**, 8737 and references therein; (b) Y. Kihara, S. Kabashima, K. Uno, T. Okawara, T. Yamasaki, M. Furukawa, *Synthesis*, 1990, 1020.

For the synthesis of 3-amino-5-substituted-1,2,4-thiadiazoles that do not rely on an intramolecular oxidative heterocyclization, see: (a) K. Gewald, O. Calderon, U. Hain, J. *Prakt. Chemie*, 1986, **328**, 741; (b) S. Buscemi, N. Vivona, *Heterocycles*, 1994, **38**, 2423; (c) A. H. M. Al-Shaar, D. W. Gilmour, D. J. Lythgoe, I. McClenaghan, C. A. Ramsden, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1988, 3019; (d) P. M. When, P. E. Harrington, J. E. Eksterowicz, Org. Lett., 2009, **11**, 5666; (e) M. C. McKie, R. M. Paton, ARKIVOC, 2002, **vi**, 15.

9. For a review on the oxidation of thiocarbonyls to carbonyls, see: A. Corsaro, V. Pistará, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 15027.

S. E. Allen, R. R. Walvoord, R. Padilla-Salinas, M. C. Kozlowski, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 6234.

11. F. M. Moghaddam, Z. Mirjafary, H. Saeidian, M. J. Javan, Synlett, 2008, 892.

12. A comprehensive screen of alternative demethylation conditions was performed in an effort to increase the yield for this step, to no avail.