

# Polymer Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

## One-step grafting of polymers to graphene oxide

Helen R Thomas,<sup>a</sup> Daniel J Phillips,<sup>a</sup> Neil R Wilson,<sup>b</sup> Matthew I Gibson<sup>a\*</sup> and Jonathan P Rourke<sup>a\*</sup>


<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL

Email [j.p.rourke@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:j.p.rourke@warwick.ac.uk), [m.i.gibson@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:m.i.gibson@warwick.ac.uk)

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL

**Keywords:** graphene oxide, grafting-to, hybrid material, RAFT, pNIPAM

**table of contents entry:** Graphene oxide is functionalized by grafting poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide) across its surface in a single reaction step to give a composite material with enhanced thermal stability and solubility.



**Abstract:** The direct grafting of poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide) to the basal plane of graphene oxide has been achieved in a single step: cleavage of the terminal thiocarbonylthio group on RAFT grown poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide) reveals a reactive thiol that attacks the epoxides present across the surface of graphene oxide. The new composite material was characterised by a combination of SSNMR, FTIR, Raman, EDX, XPS, TGA and contact angle measurement; its shows enhanced thermal stability and solubility in water.

## Introduction

There is currently much interest in exploiting graphene<sup>1</sup> for a variety of applications given its many superlative physical properties: it is exceptionally electrically<sup>2</sup> and thermally<sup>3</sup> conductive, transparent,<sup>4</sup> elastic,<sup>5</sup> highly impermeable,<sup>6</sup> extremely strong<sup>5b</sup> and yet is the thinnest<sup>6a</sup> 2D material ever obtained. However, rather than using pure graphene, which is only available in small quantities *via* mechanical exfoliation or chemical vapour deposition (CVD) growth, graphene oxide (GO) has become the graphene-like material of choice. Though not as strong or as conductive as graphene, GO is arguably more commercially applicable owing to its cheaper synthesis and potential for routine, large-scale production; it can be manipulated chemically<sup>7</sup> and it is possible to reduce graphene oxide back to a more graphene-like material by thermal<sup>8</sup> or chemical<sup>9</sup> means. Moreover, the versatility of GO may be extended by combination with other species as part of a composite material. Considering this, polymers provide an obvious partner given the plethora of interesting properties (solubility, switchable characteristics, stimuli responsiveness,<sup>10</sup> or impacting ‘stealth’ properties for *in vivo* application<sup>11</sup>) they can possess and which have been applied to controlling the interfacial properties of nanoparticles. Most previous attempts at combining GO with polymers have focussed on a simple mixing procedure,<sup>12</sup> though this approach can be hampered by phase separation and aggregation issues.


An alternative approach is to covalently functionalise a graphene-like structure with polymer chains following a traditional “grafting-from” or “grafting-to” like methodology<sup>13</sup> as has been used for the functionalization of solid substrates and particles. Controlled-radical polymerisation technologies now enable the routine formation of materials with increasing levels of control over the molecular weights and structures.<sup>14</sup> However, to apply the likes of Nitroxide-Mediated Polymerisation (NMP),<sup>15</sup> copper-mediated polymerisations<sup>16</sup> or Reversible Addition-Fragmentation Chain Transfer (RAFT)<sup>17</sup> polymerisation using the grafting-from approach would require the functionalisation of the GO sheet with initiating or chain-transfer type species, which prevents characterization of the polymer chains and introduces batch-batch variability. A simpler alternative, which we demonstrate

in this work, is therefore to covalently add pre-formed polymer chains of controlled sizes to the GO surface. Previous approaches to this grafting-to methodology have relied on multi-step synthetic routes that typically target the carboxyl groups found at the edges of the GO flakes.<sup>18</sup>

Recently, we have demonstrated a way of functionalising GO with thiol functional groups. This was achieved by ring-opening the epoxides present on the surface of GO with nucleophilic thioacetate anions followed by a simple hydrolysis procedure.<sup>19</sup> Here, we extend this concept to directly attach a thiol-terminated polymer to GO in one reaction step. This is achieved by exploiting the thiocarbonylthio moiety at the  $\omega$ -terminus of a RAFT-derived polymer chain which can be readily converted to a thiol group upon the addition of a nucleophile such as a primary amine. We demonstrate not only the successful attachment of polymer chains to a GO surface in this fashion, but also its value as a tool with which to significantly change the properties of the system.

### Results and Discussion

A series of well-defined water-soluble poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide), pNIPAM, polymers with differing chain lengths (i.e. 30, 200 and 440 repeat units) was prepared using the RAFT methodology, giving a thiocarbonylthio moiety at the  $\omega$ -chain-end (**Scheme 1** and SI, Table S1). Each polymer was then treated with methyl amine to cleave the thiocarbonylthio group and added directly to a suspension of base-washed GO (bwGO) – used here to avoid any issues relating to reactions with oxidative debris.<sup>20</sup> The resulting thiol-terminated polymer chains reacted *in situ* with the bwGO *via* an epoxide ring-opening reaction, which can proceed by both direct attack at the epoxide (an S<sub>N</sub>2 reaction) or by an attack on the double bond adjacent to the epoxide (an S<sub>N</sub>2' reaction) to produce final products with polymer chains grafted randomly across both faces of the graphene-like sheet (Scheme 1).




**Scheme 1** Synthetic route used to the GO-pNIPAM<sub>n</sub> samples

For each polymer sample, a single graphene containing material, free of unbound polymer was isolated following extensive washing with a variety of solvents. Five spectroscopic techniques (ssNMR, FTIR, EDX, XPS and Raman) were then used to confirm the presence of the polymer chains on the bwGO, whilst TGA and WCA measurements indicated changes in property following the chemical modification.

### Sample Characterisation

The solid-state <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the polymer/GO hybrids clearly show the expected peaks for the GO (broad peak at around 126 ppm for the sp<sup>2</sup> areas of the GO sheets and the epoxide and hydroxide functionalised carbons at 61 and 72 ppm, respectively) and for those of the polymer side groups (sp<sup>3</sup> aliphatic carbons at 30 and 35 ppm and the amide carbon at around 172 ppm) and the main polymer chain (shoulder at 40 ppm). **Figure 1** shows the spectrum for the GO-pNIPAM<sub>440</sub> material, but other samples showed similar spectra. Alongside the new peaks in the spectrum, there is a decrease in the intensity of the epoxide carbon in the GO, consistent with reaction taking place at this site. An approximate integral shows that the total area represented by the polymer peaks corresponds to around 20% of the total signal area.




**Figure 1** The direct excitation  $^{13}\text{C}$  MAS ssNMR spectra of bwGO and GO-pNIPAM<sub>440</sub>.

FTIR spectra of the polymer-functionalised GO (Figure SI.1) reveal peaks at 2880 and 2900  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  due to C-H stretches from the alkyl component of the polymer, and a reduction in the intensity of peaks from epoxy groups at 1240  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .<sup>21</sup> The expected C=O stretch of an amide falls between 1630 and 1670  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , but in practice it cannot be distinguished from the overlapping carboxyl C=O and C=C bands found in GO itself.

EDX (SI Table 2) shows nitrogen incorporation (approximately  $2.7 \pm 0.4$  atomic % for GO-pNIPAM<sub>440</sub>) consistent with amide functionalities being introduced, but cannot be used to distinguish the chemical environments of the nitrogen. XPS measurements give a similar level of N incorporation ( $2.9 \pm 0.4$  atomic % nitrogen) and **Figure 2a** shows the survey scan of GO-pNIPAM<sub>440</sub> which gives the incorporation level. The XPS core level spectra were used to determine the chemical environment of the both the carbon and the nitrogen. The C 1s core level spectrum (**Figure 2b**) shows the environments expected for a GO-based material with little apparent change upon polymer incorporation. However, since the polymer chain consists of a simple alkyl chain with amide functionality, it is not possible to identify peaks from the alkyl chain, as they will be obscured by the C-C bonded carbons in GO; likewise the amide carbons, which are expected to have a binding energy of 287.9-288.3 eV,<sup>22</sup> will be obscured by the C-O (hydroxy and epoxy) and C=O (keto, carboxy) peaks of GO itself. The N 1s core level spectrum (**Figure 2c**) is much more illuminating and shows nitrogen in two different chemical environments. The principal contribution has a measured binding energy of 400 eV, consistent with amide functionalities;<sup>22</sup> by area, this peak

corresponds to 80 % of the nitrogen present in GO-pNIPAM<sub>440</sub>. The smaller peak at 401.5 eV can be assigned to residual DMF solvent in this particular sample.



**Figure 2** XPS analysis of GO-NIPAM<sub>400</sub>, complete with survey scan (a), and core level spectra C 1s (b) and N 1s (c). The experimental data is shown as points with the underlying lines showing the fitted peaks (assignments as labelled).

The Raman spectrum of the GO-pNIPAM samples (Figure SI.6) is as expected; showing strong D, G and G' bands, consistent with a disordered monolayer structure. The D/G ratio is calculated to be 1.3, indicating that the addition of the polymer chain has caused no significant change (e.g. reduction) to the sheet structure of GO.


Using our elemental analysis data, it is possible to approximate the relative grafting density for each of the different polymers. Increasing the chain length from pNIPAM<sub>30</sub> to pNIPAM<sub>200</sub> gave a increase in nitrogen from 1.3 to 2.9%, consistent with a doubling of the mass of polymer being incorporated. However, this must be normalised by the relative length of the polymer chains, and therefore actually corresponds to a 3 fold decrease in grafting density (Table 2ESI). This is

consistent with observations on flat surfaces (and RAFTed polymers onto gold particles) as the increased steric crowding of longer polymers lower the grafting density, even though more ‘mass’ is absorbed.<sup>23</sup> pNIPAM<sub>440</sub> had a similar nitrogen level to pNIPAM<sub>200</sub>, indicating another corresponding decrease in grafting density. A more detailed analysis of the EDX data showed that there was approximately 1 polymer chain per 1100 carbon atoms for pNIPAM<sub>30</sub> (roughly equal to 1 chain per 150 nm<sup>2</sup>, which is a circle with radius 6.9 nm). As polymers can normally graft at densities up to 2 x R<sub>g</sub> (radius of gyration), we can conclude that for small polymers, the grafting density was limited by the availability of the reactive sites (as we would expect an R<sub>g</sub> < 1 nm), but as the chain length increases, sterics dominate as the longer polymers would be expected to have a radius of gyration > 5 nm.<sup>24</sup> Thus the composition (and any subsequent applications) of these nanocomposites must take into account the balance between the grafted chain length and the achieved grafting densities. Any polymer derived from RAFT/Xanthate polymerization will have this terminal thiol group making this a versatile approach. However, it must be noted that issues such as steric hindrance from both the monomer class (acrylamide verses methacrylamide) and the monomer side chains will play a role in the final grafting efficiency, as would monomers with competing nucleophiles (e.g. thiols). Such considerations have previously been reviewed in detail in the context of RAFT end-group modification.<sup>13a,25</sup>

### Properties

The new hybrid material has properties that reflect its composition: TGA measurements show a major decomposition temperature of the new material, at 550–600 °C, higher than that of both the isolated polymer, which decomposes sharply at around 380 °C (**Figure 3**), and of that of the bwGO which loses the majority of its mass at around 460 °C. The decomposition temperature of the new material is comparable with that of the other functionalised GO samples, where we see a stabilisation of the GO sheets which is attributable to the capping of the reactive surface functionalities which are believed to be the sites of initial decomposition.<sup>8,21,26</sup>





**Figure 3** TGA profile of bwGO, pNIPAM<sub>440</sub> and GO-pNIPAM<sub>440</sub>.

This increase in thermal stability does not come at the cost of reduced solubility. Indeed, the GO-polymer composites show smaller contact angles (34 °) than bwGO, suggesting an increase in hydrophilicity due to the hydrophilicity of the polymer chains (Figure 4), and it could be dispersed in water. pNIPAM has a strong concentration (and co-operative) dependence on its LCST<sup>27</sup> (cloud point) but the grafting densities employed here are low enough to prevent this transition at the temperatures employed, thus rendering this essentially a permanently hydrophilic polymer.

### Conclusions

Here we have demonstrated a simple ‘grafting-to’ methodology and obtained graphene oxide/polymer hybrid materials. RAFT polymerization was used to generate well-defined polymers with a masked thiol at the chain end, and this was then exploited to conjugate the polymers directly to graphene oxide via the epoxide groups that are present across the entire basal plane. As all RAFT-derived polymers have this masked thiol present, this demonstrates a versatile, yet facile, route to polymer/GO composites. Here, hydrophilic poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide) was grafted onto the GO to give water-dispersible composites, but the methodology could equally well be used to graft hydrophobic polystyrene or bioactive polymers such as glycopolymers to the surface. Grafting was confirmed by high resolution TEM, XPS, TGA and solid state NMR. These revealed that, for shorter polymers, the grafting density was limited by the number of reactive sites, but for longer

polymers by steric hindrance. This new and accessible synthetic route is highly scalable, using GO in place of graphene and a 1-step conjugation method and offers opportunities to precisely control the interfacial properties of GO in a wide range of applications.

### Supporting Information

Supporting Information, including full experimental data, is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author

### Acknowledgments

We thank EPSRC for studentship awards to HRT and the University of Warwick for a Scholarship to DJP. The TGA, XPS and some of the TEM equipment used in this research were purchased with support from Advantage West Midlands (part funded by the European Regional Development Fund). We thank Marc Walker for assistance with XPS measurements. Solid-state NMR spectra were obtained at the EPSRC UK National Solid-state NMR Service at Durham. MIG acknowledges the European Research Council for a Starter Grant (638661 CRYOMAT).

1. K. S. Novoselov, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6986-7002.
2. I. Meric, M. Y. Han, A. F. Young, B. Ozyilmaz, P. Kim and K. L. Shepard, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2008, **3**, 654-659.
3. S. Ghosh, D. L. Nika, E. P. Pokatilov and A. A. Balandin, *New J. Phys.*, 2009, **11**.
4. K. P. Loh, Q. Bao, G. Eda and M. Chhowalla, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 1015-1024.
5. (a) A. Sakhaee-Pour, *Solid State Commun.*, 2009, **149**, 91-95. (b) C. Lee, X. D. Wei, J. W. Kysar and J. Hone, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 385-388.
6. (a) V. Berry, *Carbon*, 2013, **62**, 1-10. (b) J. S. Bunch, S. S. Verbridge, J. S. Alden, A. M. van der Zande, J. M. Parpia, H. G. Craighead and P. L. McEuen, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8**, 2458-2462.
7. D. R. Dreyer, A. D. Todd and C. W. Bielawski, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 5288-301.
8. R. Larciprete, S. Fabris, T. Sun, P. Lacovig, A. Baraldi and S. Lizzit, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 17315-17321.
9. P. Cui, J. Lee, E. Hwang and H. Lee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 12370-12372.
10. D. J. Phillips and M. I. Gibson, *Polym. Chem.*, 2015, **6**, 1033-1043.
11. G. Pasut and F. M. Veronese, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2007, **32**, 933-961.
12. C. Vallés, I. A. Kinloch, R. J. Young, N. R. Wilson and J. P. Rourke, *Composites Sci. Tech.*, 2013, **88**, 158-164.
13. (a) R. Barbey, L. Lavanant, D. Paripovic, N. Schüwer, C. Sugnaux, S. Tugulu and H.-A. Klok, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 5437-5527. (b) H. J. Salavagione, G. Martinez and G. Ellis, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2011, **32**, 1771-89.
14. K. Matyjaszewski and J. Spanswick, *Materials Today*, 2005, **8**, 26-33.

15. C. J. Hawker, A. W. Bosman and E. Harth, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 3661-3668.
16. K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 4015-4039.
17. G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2009, **62**, 1402-1472.
18. (a) Y. Tan, L. Fang, J. Xiao, Y. Song and Q. Zheng, *Polym. Chem.*, 2013, **4**, 2939-2944. (b) Y. Cao, Z. Lai, J. Feng and P. Wu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 9271-9278.
19. H. R. Thomas, A. J. Marsden, M. Walker, N. R. Wilson and J. P. Rourke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 7613-7618.
20. (a) J. P. Rourke, P. A. Pandey, J. J. Moore, M. Bates, I. A. Kinloch, R. J. Young and N. R. Wilson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 3173-3177. (b) H. R. Thomas, S. P. Day, W. E. Woodruff, C. Vallés, R. J. Young, I. A. Kinloch, G. W. Morley, J. V. Hanna, N. R. Wilson and J. P. Rourke, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 3580-3588.
21. A. Bagri, C. Mattevi, M. Acik, Y. J. Chabal, M. Chhowalla and V. B. Shenoy, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 581-587.
22. E. Jagst, *Surface Functional Group Characterization Using Chemical Derivatization X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (CD-XPS)*. BAM: 2010.
23. M. I. Gibson, D. Paripovic and H. A. Klok, *Adv Mater*, 2010, **22**, 4721-5.
24. P. C. Hiemenz and T. P. Lodge, *Polymer Chemistry*. 2nd ed.; CRC Press.
25. H. Willcock and R. K. O'Reilly, *Polym. Chem.*, 2010, **1**, 149-157.
26. W. Gao, L. B. Alemany, L. J. Ci and P. M. Ajayan, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 403-408.
27. D. J. Phillips and M. I. Gibson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1054-1056.