

Polymer Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

ARTICLE

The Effect of Molecular Weight on the Porosity of Hypercrosslinked Polystyrene

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Thanchanok Ratvijitvech,^a Michael Barrow,^a Andrew I. Cooper^a and Dave J. Adams^{a,*}Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A series of hypercrosslinked linear polystyrenes with different degree of polymerisations has been synthesised by a Friedel-Craft alkylation reaction using formaldehyde dimethyl acetal (FDA) as an external crosslinker. The surface areas of the networks could be tuned by the degree of polymerisation (DP) of the linear polystyrenes. Surface areas up to 974 m²/g could be obtained.

Introduction

Microporous organic polymers (MOPs) are useful materials with pores smaller than 2 nm.¹ The porous structure and high stability of these materials have led to them being investigated widely for gas storage and sorption², separation³, catalysis⁴, and energy storage.^{5, 6} There are many kinds of MOPs, as classified by their structures, including covalent organic frameworks (COFs)^{7, 8}, covalent triazine frameworks (CTFs)⁹, polymers of intrinsic microporosity (PIMs)¹⁰, hypercrosslinked polymers (HCPs)¹¹, conjugated microporous polymers (CMPs)¹², porous aromatic frameworks (PAFs)¹³, and porous polymer networks (PPNs)^{14, 15}, among others. Tuning of the porosity and chemical properties of the networks is important for the design of these polymers for specific uses.¹⁶⁻¹⁸


The so-called “knitting method” is an effective and efficient method to construct porous networks.¹⁹ Here, a Friedel-Crafts reaction is used to cross-link rigid aromatic building blocks to form HCPs. A number of aromatic monomers have been used, including benzene¹⁹, toluene¹⁹, carbazole²⁰, indoles²¹ and thiophenes²². However, the limitation of the Friedel-Crafts reaction is that the reaction is generally unsuccessful if there is an electron-withdrawing group such as a carboxylic acid on the benzene ring.^{23, 24} Such functional groups are of course useful in many applications; for example, to adjust the polarity of the networks for selective extractions.²⁵⁻²⁷ As one example, a carboxylic acid functionalised CMP was shown to have a strong interaction with carbon dioxide as compared to polymer networks containing other functional groups.²⁸ It is also possible to transform carboxylic acids into esters, amides, etc. Hence, the incorporation of carboxylic acids into HCP

networks, while retaining porosity, would be interesting and useful.

Direct hypercrosslinking of polystyrene was pioneered by Davankov *et al.*²⁹ These networks were modified and used in many applications, including separation³⁰⁻³² as well as gas storage^{33, 34} and catalysis³⁵. It is also possible to hypercrosslink polystyrene films (M_w ca. 15,900 Da) to form porous membranes.³⁶ Many researchers have focused on varying synthetic conditions, such as the degree of crosslinking, and the resulting effect on the surface areas of the polymers.³⁷⁻⁴² Previously, surface areas were found to be independent of the linear polystyrene molecular weight for polymers ranging from 8.8×10^3 to 3×10^6 Da.⁴³ However, to the best of our knowledge, hypercrosslinking of low molecular weight linear polystyrene has not been examined. Recently, Zhang *et al.* have showed the influence of molecular weight of linear poly-*p*-phenylenes on the porosity properties of the networks after crosslinking.⁴⁴ The surface areas and pore volumes were found to first rise and then fall again as the molecular weight was increased.

In this paper, we examine the “knitting” of a series of linear polystyrenes, synthesised by reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation.⁴⁵ RAFT polymerisation is one of the most effective ways to synthesise polymers with well-defined functional structures and molecular weights. We decided to prepare the polystyrenes using RAFT as opposed to another living radical technique, since RAFT is tolerant of carboxylic acid groups.⁴⁶ There are several commercially available RAFT agents available containing carboxylic acids. By using carboxylic acid containing RAFT agents, linear polystyrenes with pendant carboxylic acids were obtained. After crosslinking by the Friedel-Craft reaction (Scheme 1), we

investigated the effect of the presence of the carboxylic acid and the degree of polymerisation of the polymer on the porosity properties of resulting networks.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of hypercrosslinked polystyrene (CLPS)

Experimental

Materials and Methods

All reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Styrene was passed through alumina to remove the inhibitor before use. All other chemicals were used as received.

Synthesis of linear polystyrenes. Linear polystyrenes were synthesised by RAFT polymerisation. In an example synthesis, to a solution of 4-cyano-4-[(dodecylsulfanylthiocarbonyl)sulfanyl]pentanoic acid (**RAFT-1**) (1 eq, 0.72 mmol, 0.29 g) in dioxane (7.5 mL) was added styrene (25 eq, 18 mmol, 2.1 mL) and 4,4'-azobis(4-cyanovaleric acid) (ACVA) (0.5 eq, 0.36 mmol, 0.10 g). The solution was deoxygenated by nitrogen purging for 30 minutes. The reaction was then heated to 60 °C and stirred under nitrogen. The conversion was monitored by ^1H NMR. After 90 hours, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and the product precipitated into ethanol (EtOH). After drying *in vacuo* at 40 °C overnight, **PS₁₋₇** was obtained as yellow solid in an 87 % yield. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ = 0.88 (m, 3H), 0.90-2.50 (m, 89H), 3.25 (m, 2H), 4.62-5.07 (m, 1H), 6.25-7.35 (m, 105 H) ppm. GPC: M_n = 2609, M_w = 2783, PDI = 1.07. Elemental analysis: calculated (%) for $\text{C}_{187}\text{H}_{201}\text{NO}_2\text{S}_3$: C 86.69, H 7.82, N 0.54, S 3.71; found: C 86.86, H 7.74, N 0.57, S 3.17. For other polymers, the molecular weight of the polystyrene was varied by adjusting the amount of RAFT agent and initiator used. Other RAFT agents were also used where described (see text)

Crosslinking of linear polystyrenes. The crosslinking of polystyrene was performed followed the method of Li *et al.*¹⁹ As an example synthesis, to a solution of the linear polystyrene (**PS₁₋₇**) (0.1 mmol, 0.26 g) in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) (4.2 mL) was added formaldehyde dimethyl acetal (FDA) (4.2 mmol, 0.4 mL) and iron (III) chloride (FeCl_3) (4.2 mmol, 0.68 g). The reaction was heated to 80 °C overnight under nitrogen. The reaction was quenched by adding methanol (MeOH). The solid product was collected by filtration and washed well with MeOH. The product was further purified by Soxhlet extraction using MeOH for three days and dried *in vacuo* at 70 °C overnight. The resulting crosslinked polystyrene (**CLPS₁₋₇**) was obtained as a brown solid in a 99 % yield. Elemental analysis: calculated (%) for $\text{C}_{229}\text{H}_{243}\text{NO}_2\text{S}_3$: C 87.66, H 7.81, N 0.45, S

3.07; found: C 81.82, H 6.94, N 0.46, S 1.50. For other polymers, the amount of FDA, FeCl_3 and solvent used was adjusted in the proportion to the number of styrene units in the linear polystyrene.

Characterisation

Fourier Transform infrared (FTIR). IR spectra were measured on a Bruker Tensor 27 using pressed KBr discs for crosslinked networks and attenuated total reflection (ATR) for monomers and linear polystyrenes. The resolution of the measurement was 2 cm^{-1} with a background subtraction of a blank KBr disc or air as appropriate.

Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC). GPC data were collected on a Viscotek system, which was comprised of a GPCmax solvent/sample module, and a TDA302 detector module housing a refractive index, viscometer and light-scattering detectors. The system was fitted with 2x ViscoGel HHR-H columns housed in an oven at 40 °C, and THF was used as the eluent. The system was calibrated with low molecular weight polystyrene standards, and the OmniSEC Universal calibration method was used.

Gas sorption. Samples for gas sorption studies were degassed at 120 °C under vacuum for 900 minutes prior to the measurements. Nitrogen sorption isotherms were collected using Micromeritics ASAP 2420 instrument at 77.3 K. Surface areas were calculated using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) theory over the relative pressure range of 0.05-0.15 P/P_0 . Carbon dioxide uptakes were obtained from Micromeritics ASAP 2020 physisorption analyser at 273 K and 298 K.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of linear polystyrenes

A series of linear polystyrenes with different molecular weights containing carboxylic acid functional groups were synthesised by RAFT polymerisation.⁴⁷ A number of different RAFT agents were investigated, including three that contained a carboxylic acid (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Structures of the RAFT agents used in this study.

When using **RAFT-1**, **RAFT-3**, and **RAFT-4**, the resulting polystyrenes were obtained as pale yellow to yellow solids in yields of between 65–80% yields. The colour of the polymers was found to be more yellow at lower molecular weights. The polymers are named **PS_{x-y}**, with x referring to the RAFT agent used, and y referring to the sample number (Table 1). As

expected, the rate of polymerisation was slower rate when using **RAFT-2** and **RAFT-5**, as the radical fragmentation rate of these RAFT agents is known to be lower.^{48, 49} For these two RAFT agents, after 90 hours, only around a 50 % conversion was obtained. Therefore, a higher amount of styrene monomer was used in polymerisation reactions to provide the linear polystyrenes with the target styrene units. **PS_{2-y}** and **PS_{5-y}** were pink followed the colour of the RAFT agents used with 50-60 % conversion.

¹H NMR spectra showed all the expected resonances, including those for the RAFT agent. The ratio of the integrals from the aromatic protons to those of the RAFT agent increased with increasing molecular weight. After purification by precipitation, no residual monomer was detected, as shown by the absence of the vinyl proton peaks at 5.27 and 5.83 ppm (Figure 2). Elemental analysis illustrated the decrease of sulphur (S) and nitrogen (N) content as the number of styrene units increased.

Sample	%N	%S	Mw	Mn	PDI	DP
PS ₁₋₁	2.81	9.42	1,060	950	1.12	5
PS ₁₋₂	1.18	7.23	1,350	1,220	1.11	8
PS ₁₋₃	1.16	5.82	1,670	1,490	1.12	10
PS ₁₋₄	0.84	5.30	1,790	1,680	1.07	12
PS ₁₋₅	0.84	4.81	2,200	2,060	1.07	16
PS ₁₋₆	0.79	3.58	2,320	1,960	1.18	15
PS ₁₋₇	0.57	3.17	2,780	2,610	1.07	21
PS ₁₋₈	0.37	1.82	4,140	3,740	1.11	32
PS ₁₋₉	0.30	1.51	5,090	4,520	1.13	39
PS ₁₋₁₀	0.27	1.21	5,700	5,040	1.13	45
PS ₂₋₁	1.50	4.76	1,460	1,180	1.24	11
PS ₂₋₂	0.55	1.77	3,280	2,940	1.11	24
PS ₃₋₁	0.61	7.07	1,350	1,140	1.18	10
PS ₃₋₂	0.20	3.21	2,790	2,500	1.11	23
PS ₄₋₁	1.60	9.46	1,170	980	1.20	7
PS ₄₋₂	0.72	4.01	2,350	2,170	1.08	19
PS ₅₋₁	1.50	5.40	1,200	1,030	1.16	9
PS ₅₋₂	0.67	2.60	2,440	2,210	1.10	19

Table 1. Summary of data for the linear polystyrenes

For most RAFT agents used, the presence of C≡N stretches at 2233 cm⁻¹ and C=O stretches at 1709 cm⁻¹ in IR spectrum (Figure 3) showed the presence of the expected RAFT agent

end group in the final polymers. Stretches for the aromatic C=C at 1601, 1493 and 1453 cm⁻¹ indicated the incorporation of styrene units as well as monosubstituted phenyl rings at 759 and 697 cm⁻¹. As the molecular weight of linear polystyrene increased, the intensity of C≡N and C=O peaks were suppressed and intensity of aromatic peaks enhanced. GPC data (Table 1) showed that all polymers had narrow molecular weight distributions, with a polydispersity index (PDI) of less than 1.2. The degree of polymerisation (DP) was calculated from the molecular weight (M_n).




Figure 2. ¹H-NMR spectrum and assignment of **PS₁₋₇**. Peaks marked with asterisks are due to CHCl₃ (7.27 ppm) and TMS (0.00 ppm).

Hypercrosslinking of linear polystyrenes

The polystyrenes were hypercrosslinked with FDA using a Friedel-Crafts catalysed reaction.¹⁹ The products were purified by Soxhlet extraction to remove the monomer and catalyst residues providing brown solids in quantitative yields. These are named **CLPS_{x-y}**, for networks formed from the corresponding **PS_{x-y}**. All networks were insoluble powders, as expected from previous examples.^{19, 50-52} Elemental analysis of the networks showed the decrease of S and N contents as the degree of polymerisation of the parent polystyrene decreased. The discrepancy between the theoretical and measured data is typical for such HCPs.^{18, 52-54} Common explanations include trapped catalyst in the networks, the unreacted end of crosslinkers, or the adsorption of gases and water in the porous structures.⁵⁵




Figure 3. IR spectrum of CLPS_{1-1} , compared to that of PS_{1-1} and RAFT-1 .

IR spectra (Figure 3) illustrated the decrease in intensity of the stretching of the monosubstituted phenyl rings (at 760 and 700 cm^{-1}) after crosslinking, indicating the success of the reaction. Focusing on the polystyrenes synthesised using **RAFT-1**, the peak at 1734 cm^{-1} shows that the carbonyl group survived the polymerisation process.

Davankov and Tsyurupa have suggested that identification of carbonyl groups in hypercrosslinked polymers by IR can be difficult because there can be overlapping with bands from the stretching of the phenyl rings at around 1700 cm^{-1} .⁵⁵ However, the peak at 1734 cm^{-1} was not present in the crosslinked polystyrene without a carboxylic acid group (for example, for polymers formed from **RAFT-4**). Nonetheless, this seems a relatively high wavenumber for a carboxylic acid.⁵⁶ Hence, the reaction of **RAFT-1** alone with FDA and FeCl_3 in DCE was investigated. After reaction, the product showed the shift of carbonyl peak from 176 to 172 ppm as well as the presence of a methoxy peak at 52.1 ppm and in the ^{13}C NMR spectrum and at 3.70 ppm in the ^1H NMR spectrum, suggested that the methyl ester is formed during the reaction.⁵⁷ The IR spectrum of the product also showed a band at 1735 cm^{-1} (see Fig. S1, Supporting Information). It seems that the esterification of the carboxylic acid on the RAFT agent can occur. For simplicity, the reaction of decanoic acid with FDA and FeCl_3 in DCE was investigated and also clearly showed the methyl ester product. Hence, it appears that the carboxylic acid does not survive the knitting process.




Figure 4. (a) Nitrogen isotherms of CLPS_{1-y} collected at 77 K. Adsorption (filled symbols), desorption (hollow symbols). (b) Plot of BET surface areas of CLPS_{1-y} against DP of the parent polystyrene. (c) Plot of BET surface areas of CLPS_{x-y} synthesised by different RAFT agent.

Sample	%N	%S	SA _{BET} (m ² /g)	CO ₂ uptakes (mmol/g)	
				273 K	298 K
CLPS ₁₋₁	2.25	4.51	9	0.73	0.45
CLPS ₁₋₂	0.90	2.88	27	1.21	0.78
CLPS ₁₋₃	0.83	2.48	222	1.51	0.92
CLPS ₁₋₄	0.66	2.53	366	1.29	0.81
CLPS ₁₋₅	0.70	2.29	502	1.60	0.91
CLPS ₁₋₆	0.53	1.27	658	1.77	1.05
CLPS ₁₋₇	0.46	1.50	767	1.87	1.13
CLPS ₁₋₈	0.25	0.62	862	2.04	1.20
CLPS ₁₋₉	0.21	0.57	898	2.06	1.22
CLPS ₁₋₁₀	0.17	0.44	974	2.17	1.30
CLPS ₂₋₁	1.08	3.20	59	1.44	0.90
CLPS ₂₋₂	0.37	1.25	869	2.10	1.28
CLPS ₃₋₁	0.40	3.72	9	1.13	0.70
CLPS ₃₋₂	0.16	1.50	774	1.78	1.06
CLPS ₄₋₁	1.48	4.41	44	0.91	0.59
CLPS ₄₋₂	0.53	2.17	643	1.67	0.99
CLPS ₅₋₁	1.19	3.61	8	1.65	1.02
CLPS ₅₋₂	0.50	1.36	879	2.18	1.29

Table 2. Summary results of crosslinked polystyrenes

The effect of the DP of the parent polystyrene units on the surface areas of the final networks was studied. The porosities were measured by nitrogen sorption at 77 K. Typical nitrogen adsorption isotherms for CLPS_{1-y} are shown in Figure 4a. Surface areas were calculated using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) theory. For those polymers formed using RAFT-1, as the DP of the parent polystyrenes increased, the surface areas also increased (Figure 4b). Crosslinking polystyrenes with DP 5 and 9 provided essentially non-porous networks (SA_{BET} < 30 m²/g). The surface areas rapidly increased from 222 to 767 m²/g when crosslinking a polystyrene with a DP of 10 to 21. A further slight increase in SA_{BET} was observed with a DP of 32, but there was no significant increase beyond this DP. Further investigation on the hypercrosslinking of commercial polystyrenes with molecular weights of 35K, 100K, and 230K Da (approximate DP of 336, 960 and 2208 respectively), provided networks with surface areas of 865, 1035 and 856 m²/g, respectively, exhibited no significant change from that of the polystyrenes with DP 21.

For the networks formed from the other RAFT agents, those with a DP of around 10 were found to be essentially non-porous, whilst those with a higher DP resulted in networks with a SA_{BET} of over 600 m²/g (Figure 4c). Hence, the surface areas of the networks do not depend on the nature of the RAFT agents used, but mainly on DP of the primary polymer chain.

Conclusions

We have shown that the surface areas of a series of networks formed by the crosslinking of monodisperse polystyrenes depend on the DP. The nature of the RAFT agent does not seem to affect the porosity of the final networks. At low DP, networks with low porosity are obtained. This is somewhat surprising considering that hypercrosslinking of either benzene or biphenyl leads to networks with high porosity.¹⁹ There is a

gradual increase in porosity as the DP of the parent polystyrene increases up to around a DP of 20. Beyond this, there is little further increase, even when very high DP polymers are used. These data agree with those of Davankov, where the DP was found not to be an important parameter for the high molecular weight polystyrenes used in their study.⁴³

The concept of hypercrosslinking polystyrene with a low DP could be used, conceptually, to generate networks with a relatively high percentage of functional groups. However, we show here that carboxylic acids do not survive the crosslinking process. Nonetheless, this functionalization methodology may be more successful if other RAFT agents are used. The need for a DP of greater than approximately 10 to achieve good levels of porosity, however, puts an upper limit on the maximum concentration of functional group that could be incorporated by this method.

Acknowledgements

TR thanks Mahidol University, Thailand for Funding. DA thanks the EPSRC for a Fellowship (EP/L021978/1). MB thanks the UK EPSRC (Grant EP/H046143) and the UK Regenerative Medicine Platform Safety and Efficacy Hub (MR/K026739/1) for funding. We thank Sean Higgins for running the GPC samples.

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Crown Street, Liverpool, L69 7ZD, U.K. Email: d.j.adams@liverpool.ac.uk
Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Further IR data. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- R. Dawson, A. I. Cooper and D. J. Adams, *Progress in Polymer Science*, 2012, **37**, 530-563.
- C. Xu and N. Hedin, *Materials Today*, 2014, **17**, 397-403.
- Z. Chang, D.-S. Zhang, Q. Chen and X.-H. Bu, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2013, **15**, 5430-5442.
- P. Kaur, J. T. Hupp and S. T. Nguyen, *ACS Catalysis*, 2011, **1**, 819-835.
- J. Germain, J. M. J. Fréchet and F. Svec, *Small*, 2009, **5**, 1098-1111.
- F. Vilela, K. Zhang and M. Antonietti, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2012, **5**, 7819-7832.
- X. Feng, X. Ding and D. Jiang, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2012, **41**, 6010-6022.
- S.-Y. Ding and W. Wang, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2013, **42**, 548-568.
- P. Kuhn, M. Antonietti and A. Thomas, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2008, **47**, 3450-3453.
- N. B. McKeown, *ISRN Materials Science*, 2012, **2012**, 16.
- S. Xu, Y. Luo and B. Tan, *Macromolecular Rapid Communications*, 2013, **34**, 471-484.
- A. I. Cooper, *Advanced Materials*, 2009, **21**, 1291-1295.
- C. Pei, T. Ben and S. Qiu, *Materials Horizons*, 2015, **2**, 11-21.

14. W. Lu, D. Yuan, D. Zhao, C. I. Schilling, O. Plietzsch, T. Muller, S. Bräse, J. Guenther, J. Blümel, R. Krishna, Z. Li and H.-C. Zhou, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2010, **22**, 5964-5972.
15. D. Yuan, W. Lu, D. Zhao and H.-C. Zhou, *Advanced Materials*, 2011, **23**, 3723-3725.
16. R. Dawson, A. I. Cooper and D. J. Adams, *Polymer International*, 2013, **62**, 345-352.
17. Y. Zhang and S. N. Riduan, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2012, **41**, 2083-2094.
18. N. Fontanals, J. Cortés, M. Galià, R. Maria Marcé, P. A. G. Cormack, F. Borrull and D. C. Sherrington, *Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 2005, **43**, 1718-1728.
19. B. Li, R. Gong, W. Wang, X. Huang, W. Zhang, H. Li, C. Hu and B. Tan, *Macromolecules*, 2011, **44**, 2410-2414.
20. X. Zhu, S. M. Mahurin, S.-H. An, C.-L. Do-Thanh, C. Tian, Y. Li, L. W. Gill, E. W. Hagaman, Z. Bian, J.-H. Zhou, J. Hu, H. Liu and S. Dai, *Chemical Communications*, 2014, **50**, 7933-7936.
21. M. Saleh, H. M. Lee, K. C. Kemp and K. S. Kim, *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 7325-7333.
22. Y. Luo, B. Li, W. Wang, K. Wu and B. Tan, *Advanced Materials*, 2012, **24**, 5703-5707.
23. N. O. Calloway, *Chemical Reviews*, 1935, **17**, 327-392.
24. K. Jiang, D. Kuang, T. Fei and T. Zhang, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2014, **203**, 752-758.
25. C. F. Poole, *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 2003, **22**, 362-373.
26. D. Bratkowska, R. M. Marcé, P. A. G. Cormack, D. C. Sherrington, F. Borrull and N. Fontanals, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 2010, **1217**, 1575-1582.
27. D. Bratkowska, A. Davies, N. Fontanals, P. A. G. Cormack, F. Borrull, D. C. Sherrington and R. M. Marcé, *Journal of Separation Science*, 2012, **35**, 2621-2628.
28. R. Dawson, D. J. Adams and A. I. Cooper, *Chemical Science*, 2011, **2**, 1173-1177.
29. V. A. Davankov and M. P. Tsyurupa, *Reactive Polymers*, 1990, **13**, 27-42.
30. V. Davankov, M. Tsyurupa, M. Ilyin and L. Pavlova, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 2002, **965**, 65-73.
31. N. Fontanals, R. M. Marcé, P. A. G. Cormack, D. C. Sherrington and F. Borrull, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 2008, **1191**, 118-124.
32. N. Fontanals, P. A. G. Cormack, D. C. Sherrington, R. M. Marcé and F. Borrull, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 2010, **1217**, 2855-2861.
33. J.-Y. Lee, C. D. Wood, D. Bradshaw, M. J. Rosseinsky and A. I. Cooper, *Chemical Communications*, 2006, 2670-2672.
34. C. Long, Q. Li, Y. Li, Y. Liu, A. Li and Q. Zhang, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2010, **160**, 723-728.
35. D. C. Sherrington, D. J. Craig, J. Dagleish, G. Domin, J. Taylor and G. V. Meehan, *European Polymer Journal*, 1977, **13**, 73-76.
36. Z.-A. Qiao, S.-H. Chai, K. Nelson, Z. Bi, J. Chen, S. M. Mahurin, X. Zhu and S. Dai, *Nat Commun*, 2014, **5**.
37. H. Binglin, Z. Quanxing, L. Xiaobai, S. Rongfu, S. Zuoqing, G. Xianquan, Z. Xiaolun and W. Jianying, *Chinese Journal of Polymer Science (CJPS)*, 1986, **4**, 220-228.
38. M. P. Tsyurupa and V. A. Davankov, *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 2002, **53**, 193-203.
39. M. P. Tsyurupa and V. A. Davankov, *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 2006, **66**, 768-779.
40. J.-H. Ahn, J.-E. Jang, C.-G. Oh, S.-K. Ihm, J. Cortez and D. C. Sherrington, *Macromolecules*, 2006, **39**, 627-632.
41. D. C. Sherrington, *Chemical Communications*, 1998, 2275-2286.
42. B. Li, R. Gong, Y. Luo and B. Tan, *Soft Matter*, 2011, **7**, 10910-10916.
43. V. Davankov and M. Tsyurupa, in *Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry*, eds. A. D. Vadim and P. T. Maria, Elsevier, 2011, vol. Volume 56, pp. 195-295.
44. D. Zhang, L. Tao, J. Ju, Y. Wang, Q. Wang and T. Wang, *Polymer*, 2015, **60**, 234-240.
45. J. Chiefari, Y. K. Chong, F. Ercole, J. Krstina, J. Jeffery, T. P. T. Le, R. T. A. Mayadunne, G. F. Meijs, C. L. Moad, G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, *Macromolecules*, 1998, **31**, 5559-5562.
46. G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, *Australian Journal of Chemistry*, 2009, **62**, 1402-1472.
47. J.-P. Gao, W. Wu, L. Rong, G.-L. Mao, Y.-N. Ning, Q.-L. Zhao, J. Huang and Z. Ma, *European Polymer Journal*, 2014, **59**, 171-179.
48. D. J. Keddie, G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 5321-5342.
49. D. J. Keddie, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2014, **43**, 496-505.
50. R. T. Woodward, L. A. Stevens, R. Dawson, M. Vijayaraghavan, T. Hasell, I. P. Silverwood, A. V. Ewing, T. Ratvijitvech, J. D. Exley, S. Y. Chong, F. Blanc, D. J. Adams, S. G. Kazarian, C. E. Snape, T. C. Drage and A. I. Cooper, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2014, **136**, 9028-9035.
51. J. Wang, J. Huang, X. Wu, B. Yuan, Y. Sun, Z. Zeng and S. Deng, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2014, **256**, 390-397.
52. M. Errahali, G. Gatti, L. Tei, G. Paul, G. A. Rolla, L. Canti, A. Fraccarollo, M. Cossi, A. Comotti, P. Sozzani and L. Marchese, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2014, **118**, 28699-28710.
53. R. Dawson, T. Ratvijitvech, M. Corker, A. Laybourn, Y. Z. Khimyak, A. I. Cooper and D. J. Adams, *Polymer Chemistry*, 2012, **3**, 2034-2038.
54. G. Liu, Y. Wang, C. Shen, Z. Ju and D. Yuan, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2015, **3**, 3051-3058.
55. V. Davankov and M. Tsyurupa, in *Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry*, eds. A. D. Vadim and P. T. Maria, Elsevier, 2011, vol. Volume 56, pp. 166-193.
56. P. Larkin, in *Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy*, ed. P. Larkin, Elsevier, Oxford, 2011, pp. 73-115.
57. *Japan Pat.*, JP 63066152, 1988.