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This review summarizes recent progress in the surface-initiated controlled radical polymerizations from silica nanoparticles, gold nanocrystals, and bionanoparticles.
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Abstract

In recent years, core/shell nanohybrids containing a nanoparticle core and a distinct surrounding shell of polymer brushes have received extensive attention in nanoelectronics, nanophotonics, catalysis, nanopatterning, drug delivery, biosensing, and many more. From the large variety of existing polymerization methods on the one hand and grafting strategies on the other hand, the combination of grafting-from with controlled radical polymerization (CRP) techniques has turned out to be the best suited to synthesize these well-defined core/shell nanohybrids and is known as surface-initiated CRP. Most common among these are surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP), surface-initiated reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization, and surface-initiated nitroxide-mediated polymerization (NMP). This review highlights the state of the art of growing polymers from nanoparticles using surface-initiated CRP techniques. We focus on mechanistic aspects, synthetic procedures, and the formation of complex architectures as well as novel properties. Out of the vast amount of examples for the nanoparticle/polymer hybrids formed by surface-initiated CRP techniques, we present the nanohybrid formation from the particularly important and most studied silica nanoparticles, gold nanocrystals, and proteins which can be regarded as bionanoparticles.

1. Introduction

A wide range of nanoparticles, including silica nanoparticles, gold nanocrystals, and bionanoparticles, have been extensively studied and investigated in recent years. However, restricted by their surface properties, a versatile use of the diverse nanoparticles for further processing and applications is challenging. For example, even little changes of the external surrounding can lead to plasmonic coupling as well as irreversible aggregation of gold nanoparticles, and stability of bionanoparticles. One approach to tackle these difficulties is to form hybrid nanostructures by covalently coating a polymer shell around the nanoparticle core. The resulting nanohybrids usually combine the fascinating electronic, optical, magnetic, photonic, and biological properties of the nanostructure core with the properties of the designed polymer shell such as solubility, processability, chemical and physical compatibility, stability or even responsiveness to external stimulus. Moreover, the properties of nanostructured materials can be specifically tuned for the desired application through the attachment of well-selected polymers.

Polymer chains can be attached onto nanoparticle cores in different ways. The traditional approach is to synthesize nanoparticles and polymers individually and connect them subsequently. This approach is called grafting-to method. Since both components are tailor-made prior to the desired covalent linkage, the structure and architecture can be specifically designed. However, due to steric hindrance on the nanoparticle surface, the grafting density of polymers is relatively low and sometimes not sufficient for further applications. The second classical method for the hybrid synthesis is the in situ sol-gel process. The pre-formed polymer chains act as stabilizer or template and sometimes even as reducing agent during the nanohybrid synthesis. The two other straightforward and later on developed approaches for the attachment of polymer chains onto nanoparticle surfaces are grafting-through and grafting-from. These methods cover all strategies, in which the polymer chains are growing directly from the surface of nanoparticles. For the grafting-through method, a polymerizable group i.e. a monomer or a macromonomer is anchored onto the surfaces of nanoparticles. Then, the polymerization is started in the solution that contains initiator, monomer and (macro)monomer-NP. Sooner or later, the pre-attached polymerizable moiety will be integrated into the growing polymer chains. The much more applied grafting-from method has become increasingly popular in recent years. Functional initiators are chemically linked onto the nanoparticle surface to initiate the polymerization directly, which is called surface-initiated polymerization. The achievable polymer grafting density is higher using grafting-from than grafting-to, as the steric hindrance is much lower for a polymerization from a surface (as only the small initiator is
grafted onto the nanoparticle surface prior to polymerization). Various functional initiators can be linked to the nanoparticle surfaces according to the used type of polymerization.\textsuperscript{40-51} From the multitude of available polymerization techniques, controlled radical polymerization (CRP) has been shown to be the most effective technique to graft polymer chains from a nanoparticle surface with respect to monomer versatility, variable functionalities, mild reaction conditions, chemical compatibility, uniform molecular weight, and advanced polymer architectures. This strategy is called surface-initiated CRP, which has been extensively studied and will be discussed in the following parts of this review. A high degree of control over size, architecture, uniformity, and functionality of the polymer chains is essential for the hybrid materials to be applied in the vast majority of functional core/shell nanostructure applications.\textsuperscript{52} Utilizing the CRP technique, well-defined polymers with distinct structure, architecture, molecular weight, and versatile functionalities can be obtained.

Controlled radical polymerization is well known as living free radical polymerization (LFRP)\textsuperscript{53, 54} while IUPAC recommends the terms reversible-deactivation radical polymerization (RDRP) or controlled reversible-deactivation radical (CRDR) polymerization.\textsuperscript{55, 55} During recent years, the following three most common CRP methods have been extensively studied: atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP),\textsuperscript{53-62} reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization,\textsuperscript{63-67} and nitroxide-mediated polymerization (NMP).\textsuperscript{68-74} Before introducing surface-initiated CRP in chapter 2, it should be pointed out that due to their respective characteristic polymerization mechanisms, ATRP, RAFT, and NMP have their own special unique areas concerning available monomers, functional initiators as well as polymer architectures, which leads to varying emphasis and considerations for the nanohybrids fabrication.

For a classical ATRP process, an alkyl halide initiator and a catalyst system (usually transition metal complexes e.g. Cu/ligand) are needed. The Cu/ligand, in a lower oxidation state, activates the alkyl halide initiators through an \textit{inner-sphere electron transfer} (ISET) process generating the radicals. During the propagation of the polymer chains, the active radicals can be reversibly deactivated back to dormant species by reaction with the formed Cu\textsuperscript{0}/ligand in a higher oxidation state. By this fast activation-deactivation equilibrium, termination reactions as well as self-coupling or disproportionation of active radical species are diminished, and the (macro)radicals keep an apparent livingness for propagation, which is called persistent radical effect.\textsuperscript{75-78} Thus, the living radicals grow with equal probability, and monomers are polymerized in a controlled way (Scheme 1a). The initiators, transition metal catalysts and ligands required for ATRP are usually commercially available. Most importantly, the ATRP technique is compatible with a wide range of monomers, including not only more activated monomers\textsuperscript{79} like styrene (St), vinylpyridine (VP), (meth)acrylate, (meth)acylamide, acrylonitrile (AN), and their derivatives, but also less activated monomers\textsuperscript{80} like vinyl acetate (VAc),\textsuperscript{81} N-vinylpyrrolidone (NVP),\textsuperscript{82} and N-vinylcarbazole (NVC).\textsuperscript{83} Various reaction conditions are applicable, e.g. bulk or solution as homogeneous reaction system and aqueous, superfluid CO\textsubscript{2}, ionic liquids etc. as emulsion or suspension polymerizations.\textsuperscript{55, 59, 62} However, complete removal of the toxic transition metal catalyst after polymerization is still challenging and critical for biological and electronic applications. Furthermore, for acidic monomers, ATRP is not as convenient as RAFT because acidic moieties interfere with the catalyst complex. Hence, a two-step process has to be employed, e.g. ATRP of protected monomers followed by deprotection by hydrolysis.\textsuperscript{83-85}

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1.** (a) General equilibrium of the classical ATRP process. M is the monomer and \(k_p\) is the propagation rate constant. The kinetic parameters \(k_{cat}\) and \(k_{deact}\) represent the rate constants of activation and deactivation, respectively. \(P_i\) is the propagating radical. (b) Mechanism of RAFT equilibrium. \(R\) is the R-group of the CTA or R-group-derived polymeric adduct. For the kinetic parameters, \(k_{cat}\) and \(k_{deact}\) are the rate constants for the addition reaction of CTA (or macro-CTA) with the propagating (or initiator-derived) radicals, on the contrary, \(k_{act}\) and \(k_{deact}\) are the fragmentation rate constants for the intermediate radicals. (c) Activation-deactivation equilibrium in NMP. The kinetic parameter \(k_i\) represents the rate constant for initiation. The upper initiating process is a bi-component system which is usually the case for conventional radical polymerization with nitroxides. The initiating process shown in the lower part is a mono-component system being present for alkoxyamines.

RAFT polymerization is similar to conventional radical polymerization only differing in the addition of a RAFT agent, also called chain transfer agent (CTA), which is usually a thiolcarboxylthiol derivative with a stabilizing Z-group and a re-initiating R-group.\textsuperscript{63, 66-82} The CTA reacts reversibly with propagating polymer radicals to form dormant polymeric chains. The continuous reversible deactivation process mediated by rapid exchange between the (macro)radicals and the RAFT agent renders the polymerization living (Scheme 1b).\textsuperscript{64, 66, 89-91} The RAFT technique is especially attractive as it is compatible with almost all common vinyl monomers from conventional radical polymerization, has a high tolerance towards functional groups, and can be performed under mild reaction conditions. Furthermore, as RAFT polymerization is free from possibly toxic metal catalysts, it is better suited for biological and medical applications than ATRP. Additionally, chain-end transformation after RAFT polymerization is comparably simple, which qualifies this technique for further functionalization.\textsuperscript{82, 92} However, the commercial availability of RAFT agents is limited, so that a complex synthesis of these
agents is sometimes required. Moreover, RAFT polymerization is still challenging with basic monomers and those with primary amino groups.

In NMP, the controlled radical process is based on the equilibrium between growing active (macro)radicals and dormant species formed by the coupling of growing (macro)radicals with nitroxide radicals (Scheme 1c). This coupling is reversible with the equilibrium on the side of the radical adduct, which limits irreversible radical chain termination thus making the polymerization living and controllable. Originally, this equilibrium was obtained by adding (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxidanyl (TEMPO) or derivatives to conventional radical polymerization. This initiating system is a bi-component system, which involves initiators for conventional radical polymerization and nitroxides (upper drawing in Scheme 1c). This process is unstable and the initiation efficiency is not reproducible, because of the cage effect and the induced fragmentation of primary radicals. To circumvent this problem, a unimolecular initiation system based on alkoxyamines R2ONR2 was developed, which is the dominating NMP initiating strategy at present. Under certain conditions, the alkoxyamine can undergo homolysis via dissociation of the C–O bond, generating an alkyl radical for initiation and a stable nitroxide. Compared to the bi-component system, the generated alkyl radical and nitroxide keep equimolar, which leads to a better control on the molecular weight, chain distribution, and polymerization process (lower drawing in Scheme 1c). The NMP process based on alkoxyamines is much more straightforward compared to RAFT and ATRP, because it is a unimolecular system. The NMP technique has been successfully applied for the polymerization of many different monomers, especially St, (meth)acrylate and their derivatives, even though some disadvantages remain limiting the universal use of this technique. Usually, higher polymerization temperatures are needed for the homolysis of alkoxyamines and a well-controlled reversible activation-deactivation equilibrium. In addition, the commercial availability of alkoxyamines is quite limited.

According to the above description of CRP, surface-initiated CRP covers surface-initiated ATRP, surface-initiated RAFT polymerization, and surface-initiated NMP. Every technique has been extensively investigated for the fabrication of polymer nanoparticle core/shell hybrids using various functional monomers. Each polymerization method has its advantages and disadvantages. However, if one method cannot be applied, most likely one of the two others will do so. Figure 1 shows all monomers that are included in the examples within this review. The abbreviations given in Figure 1 are used for the monomers in all following chapters. The outline of this review is the following: after presenting the fundamental concept and mechanism of surface-initiated CRP, the main part applies this knowledge to the various nanoparticles. Due to the vastness of the area of different nanoparticles, we limited the scope to the most commonly used ones. The inorganic nanoparticles that have been omnipresent in the last years are most importantly silica nanoparticles, and gold nanocrystals. Nature provides many different kinds of bionanoparticles. Out of these, one class of biological species can be functionalized with polymers in a quite similar fashion compared to classic inorganic nanoparticles, and these are proteins. As the strategies and methods for the formation of hybrid materials are similar, proteins are included in this review as a kind of bionanoparticle. The chapter about surface-initiated CRP from silica nanoparticles is divided into four parts. The first three subchapters describe the techniques of growing polymers from silica nanoparticles using surface-initiated ATRP, surface-initiated RAFT polymerization, and surface-initiated NMP, respectively. The fourth part will specifically introduce interesting Y-shaped asymmetric initiators for sequential surface-initiated CRP to fabricate bi-functional polymer grafted silica nanoparticles. We focus on the synthetic protocols for the creation of polymer grafted nanoparticles, accompanying with a brief view on the properties and applications of the hybrid materials. The following chapters will deal with gold nanocrystals, and bionanoparticles, respectively. Here, we give a brief overview about the polymerizations from these nanostructures before concluding with some general remarks on the development of surface-initiated CRP.
2. Surface-Initiated CRP Mechanisms

Surface-initiated ATRP has been extensively explored to grow polymer chains from nanoparticle surfaces with various grafting densities. First, alkyl halide initiators are fixed onto the nanoparticle surface. Then, in the presence of a transition metal catalyst, usually a CuI/ligand system, the attached initiators are activated forming the living radicals. Governed by the activation-deactivation equilibrium which is similar to the classical ATRP process in bulk or solution, monomers are polymerized in a controlled way from the surface of nanoparticles (Scheme 2a). The grafting density of the immobilized initiator is usually higher than the density of anchored CTAs and attached alkoxyamines, owing to the smaller steric hindrance of ATRP initiators during the attachment in comparison with RAFT agents and alkoxyamines. Further ATRP variants, especially reverse ATRP, Activator Generated by Electron Transfer (AGET) ATRP, Activator ReGenerated by Electron Transfer (ARGET) ATRP, and electrochemically mediated ATRP (e-ATRP) have also been successfully utilized to grow polymers from the surfaces of hydroxyapatite, silicon, gold, quantum dots, chitosan, silica nanoparticles, cellulose, iron oxide, and carbon nanotubes. In this review, we mainly focus on the fundamental surface-initiated ATRP from nanoparticles.
Scheme 2. General mechanisms of surface-initiated ATRP (a), surface-initiated RAFT polymerization with R-group approach (b) and Z-group approach (c), as well as surface-initiated NMP (d). Gray spheres represent nanoparticles, M denotes the monomers, and $k_p$ is the propagation rate constant. In (a) and (d), the kinetic parameters $k_{act}$ and $k_{deact}$ represent the rate constants of activation and deactivation, respectively, in surface-initiated ATRP and NMP. In (b) and (c), R- represents the R-group or R-group-derived polymeric adduct, while Z- is the stabilizing group in the CTA for RAFT polymerization. $k_{add}$ and $k_β$ are the rate constants for the addition reaction of CTA (or macro-CTA) with the propagating (or initiator-derived) radicals, on the contrary, $k_{-add}$ and $k_{-β}$ are the fragmentation rate constants for the intermediate radicals.

In a typical surface-initiated RAFT process, RAFT agents are immobilized onto the nanoparticle surfaces and re-initiated from the substrate following the classical RAFT mechanism. As a RAFT agent contains two functional parts, its immobilization can be divided into two categories: (i) R-group approach in which the leaving and re-initiating R-group is attached onto the nanoparticles and (ii) Z-group approach where the stabilizing Z-group is anchored onto the nanoparticles. However, both strategies have advantages and limitations. In the R-group approach, the nanoparticles are part of the R-group. The living polymeric radicals form and grow from the nanoparticle surfaces leading to high molecular weight and dense grafting (Scheme 2b). However, for very high grafting density, the molecular distribution will be broadened through bimolecular termination, which arises from the so-called migration effect by a reaction-diffusion mechanism. A very effective surface migration of the grafted radicals can occur via successive degenerative (exchange) chain transfer. Hence, two originally well-separated active radicals on the surface can migrate towards each other, increasing the rate of termination (Figure 2b). In the Z-group approach, the nanoparticles are part of the leaving Z-group. Living radicals are released from the surfaces, propagate in the solution, and diffuse back onto the surfaces (Scheme 2c). Intrinsically, this mechanism is more similar to grafting-to. Because of steric hindrance, the grafting density is usually lower compared to the R-group approach. Nevertheless, because of the intrinsic similarity to the grafting-to mechanism, the Z-group approach produces better controlled grafted polymer chains with a narrow molecular weight distribution.
As mentioned above, alkoxyamines have the general molecular structure RONR. In a surface-initiated NMP process, the alkoxyamines are covalently linked onto the surfaces of nanoparticles via the R-group. Initiation from the anchored alkoxyamines proceeds via thermal decomposition of the NP/alkyl part and the ONR,R2 group. Subsequently, the (macro)radicals directly propagate from the surfaces (Scheme 2d). Similar to NMP in bulk or solution, the equilibrium between active growing (macro)radicals and nitroxide-capped dormant species renders the polymerization living.

3. Silica Nanoparticles

Silica nanoparticles have been extensively studied and applied in various areas such as colloid chemistry, catalysis, nanopatterning, photonics, drug delivery, and biosensing because of their high rigidity, thermal stability as well as physical and chemical resistance.1–10 Usually, silica nanoparticles can be synthesized by the Stöber method11 and the inverse microemulsion technique.112 Recently, a novel approach has been reported for the synthesis of silica nanospheres with diameters less than 20 nm using a basic amino acid (e.g. lysine) as catalyst.112–119 The highly active nanoparticle surface which is covered with silanol (Si–OH) groups enables the combination of silica nanoparticles with many organic materials, especially polymers to fabricate silica polymer nanocomposites.120 These hybrid materials combine the advantages of silica nanoparticles (rigidity, stability) and organic polymers (flexibility, processability, functionality) to enlarge the potential applications of silica nanoparticles.113 Various routines can be applied to fabricate silica polymer nanocomposites including mixing for blends, the sol-gel process which is also known as a template method, and surface modifications to yield core/shell particles etc.121 The surface modification with polymers can be achieved by grafting-to, grafting-through or grafting-from each having the specific advantages and disadvantages already discussed above. In the case of grafting-from, the attachment of functional initiators is crucial for the surface polymerization. Owing to the high accessibility and reactivity of the surface silanol groups, ATRP initiators, RAFT agents, nitroxides, alkoxyamines, free radical initiators,114,115 ring-opening polymerization (ROP) initiators,126,127 living anionic initiators128,129 and ruthenium carbenes for ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP)130,131,132 can be anchored onto silica nanoparticles. For surface-initiated CRP techniques, ATRP initiators, RAFT agents, and alkoxyamines can be covalently bound to the silica nanoparticle surface mainly utilizing three different strategies. First, a functional silane, which contains an initiator on one side and a silane group on the other side, can be attached onto the nanoparticle surface via direct condensation. The second possibility is coupling the initiators via a linker to silica nanoparticle surfaces. Another approach is in-situ synthesis of initiators directly on the silica nanoparticle surface. Tables 1 to 4 provide a summary of functional initiators used for surface-initiated CRP from silica nanoparticles. These four tables specify the nature of the anchoring group, the chemical structure of the functional initiator, the polymerization technique, and the monomers used for the polymerization. In the following sections, we introduce details about surface-initiated ATRP, surface-initiated RAFT, and surface-initiated NMP from silica nanoparticles. In the last part of this chapter, Y-shaped initiators for sequential surface-initiated CRP are described.

### 3.1 Surface-Initiated ATRP from Silica Nanoparticles

Table 1 gives an overview about functional initiators and corresponding monomers used for surface-initiated ATRP from silica nanoparticles. The bulk text refers to the entries in the table.

<p>| Table 1. Functional initiators and monomers used for surface-initiated ATRP from silica nanoparticles. |  |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Initiator Linkage</th>
<th>Monomer(s)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ATRP initiator functionalized silane attached onto silica nanoparticle surfaces</td>
<td>St&lt;sup&gt;40&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BIDS: St&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;, 137 MMA, 138 MMA, 139, 140 OEGMMA, 141 MEMA, 142 HEMA, 143 GMA, 144 DMAEMA, 145 NaStS, 146 NaVB, 147 φButA, 148 MA, 149 BnMA</td>
<td>St, 137 MMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NIPAM&lt;sup&gt;143&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>BDES: St&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;, 137, 138 MMA, 139, 140 OEGMMA, 141 MEMA, 142 HEMA, 143 GMA, 144 DMAEMA, 145 NaStS, 146 NaVB, 147 φButA, 148 MA, 149 BnMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4VP, 100 SPMA, 156 St, 157 AM</td>
<td>4VP, 100 SPMA, 156 St, 157 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BPTS: META&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>BPTS: META&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SPMA&lt;sup&gt;150&lt;/sup&gt; MMA&lt;sup&gt;159&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>SPMA, 150 MMA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ATRP initiator coupled via linker to silica nanoparticle surfaces
Cationic ATRP macroinitiator: 

Anionic ATRP macroinitiator: 

Abbreviations: CDES, (2-(4-chloromethylphenyl)ethyl) dimethylethoxysilane; BPDS, (3-(2-bromopropionyl)oxypropyl) dimethylethoxysilane; BIDS, (3-(2-bromoisobutryl)oxypropyl) dimethylethoxysilane; BUES, (11-(2-bromoisobutryl)oxyundecyl) dimethylethoxysilane; BPES, (15-(2-bromoisobutryl)oxypentadecyl) dimethylethoxysilane; CMPS, ((p-chloromethyl)phenyl) trimethoxysilane; CMPES, ((p-chloromethyl)phenylethyl) trimethoxysilane; BPE, (3-(2-bromo-2-methyl)propionyl)oxypropyl) trimethoxysilane; BHE, (6-(2-bromo-2-methyl)propionyl)oxyhexyl) trimethoxysilane; BPCS, (3-(2-bromo-2-methyl)propionyl)oxypropyl)dimethylchlorosilane; BHCS, (6-(2-bromo-2-methyl)propionyl)oxyhexyl) dimethylchlorosilane; BUCS, (11-(2-bromo-2-methyl)propionyl)oxyundecyl) dimethyldichlorosilane; CUTS, 11-(2-chloropropionyl)oxyundecyl trichlorosilane; MPTS, 3-mercaptopropyl) trimethoxysilane; BiBB, 2-bromoisobutyryl bromide; BMPA, 2-bromo-2-methylpropionic acid; GPTS, (3-glycidoxypropyl) trimethoxysilane; CPC, (2-chloropropionyl) chloride; APTES, (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane; BPC, 2-bromopropionyl bromide; APTMS, (3-aminopropyl) trimethoxysilane; FPBiB, 4-formylphenyl 2-bromoisobutyrylate.

Gray spheres represent silica nanoparticles.

* For full names and structures of the monomers, it is referred to Figure 1.
Surface-initiated ATRP from silica nanoparticles was systematically reported for the first time by Patten and coworkers.\textsuperscript{40, 137} They synthesized several ATRP initiator functionalized silanes, namely CDES, BPDS, and BIDS, respectively (Table 1, entry 1 to 3). The functional silane has an alkyl halide on the one side for ATRP initiation and a silane group on the other side for attachment onto silica nanoparticle surfaces \textit{via} direct condensation. After preparation of the initiator-modified nanoparticles, \textit{i.e.} macrominitiators, well-defined polymer nanoparticle hybrids were obtained by surface-initiated ATRP. Densely grafted polystyrene (PS\textsubscript{t}) or poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) formed visible outer layers which were directly observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), illustrated in Figure 3. For polymerizations of St and MMA, the authors comparatively studied the criteria for a controlled/living radical polymerization and indicated that introducing either sacrificial free initiator or a deactivator copper(II) complex can induce the surface-initiated polymerization in a more controlled manner.\textsuperscript{137} The presence of sacrificial initiator quickly accumulates an appropriate amount of Cu\textsuperscript{II} via the termination of polymer radicals at early stage of polymerization. The Cu\textsuperscript{II} species ensures a fast deactivation process and suppresses termination reactions by radical coupling, thus controlling the polymerization by the persistent radical effect.\textsuperscript{95, 137, 147, 178, 179} Additionally, free polymers produced by the sacrificial free initiator form an entangled network structure which prevents nanoparticle diffusion, diminishes interparticle coupling, and avoids gelation or aggregation.\textsuperscript{95, 137, 147, 178, 179} Up to now, utilizing surface-initiated ATRP, various synthetic polymers such as PS\textsubscript{t},\textsuperscript{41, 138, 148, 151, 162} PMMA,\textsuperscript{139, 147, 148, 162, 177} poly(n-butyl acrylate) (PnBA),\textsuperscript{140, 148} poly(tert-butyl acrylate) (PBA),\textsuperscript{142} poly(methoxyethylene glycol methacrylate) (POEGMA),\textsuperscript{141} poly(2-(N-morpholino)ethyl methacrylate) (PMEMA),\textsuperscript{141} poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA),\textsuperscript{141, 142, 163} poly(glycerol monomethacrylate) (PGMA),\textsuperscript{141} poly(N,N-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate) (PDMAEMA),\textsuperscript{142, 151, 163-165} poly(2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate) (PDEAEMA)\textsuperscript{141} as well as polyelectrolytes\textsuperscript{138, 148} have been grafted from silica nanoparticles (Table 1). Due to the living character of CRP techniques, it is possible to continue the polymerization with a second monomer after consumption of the first monomer, which leads to block copolymer-grafted silica nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{138, 148}

\textbf{Figure 3.} TEM images for different monomer conversion for the surface-initiated ATRP of St from the surface of 75 nm BPDS-functionalized silica nanoparticles. The percentage of the monomer conversion is (A) 3.7, (B) 7.9, (C) 11.6, (D) 16.7, (E) 21.0, and (F) 24.6. Correspondingly, the number-average molecular weight (M\textsubscript{n}) of grafted PSt is 3.6, 6.2, 8.9, 12.0, 14.3, and 15.4 kg/mol, respectively. The images of the PSt silica nanohybrids show micrometer-sized polymer film domains containing a monolayer of silica nanoparticles. The silica nanoparticles are arranged within the PSt film in a hexagonal array, and the spacing between the nanoparticles increases with increasing molecular weight of the grafted polymer. Reprinted with permission from T. von Werne \textit{et al.}, \textit{J. Am. Chem. Soc.}, 2001, \textbf{123}, 7497-7505. Copyright 2001 American Chemical Society.\textsuperscript{137}

Compared to the Stöber method, reverse microemulsion techniques and amino acid catalysis are two attractive ways to synthesize monodispersed silica nanoparticles with diameters less than 55 nm.\textsuperscript{125-128} However, such small silica nanoparticles tend to irreversibly form aggregates, because of their high surface to volume ratio. To overcome this disadvantage, grafting a polymer outer layer is effective to afford good dispersibility of the silica nanoparticles to adopt them for further applications. Ohno and coworkers used the reverse microemulsion technique and lysine catalysis to produce monodispersed silica nanoparticles with average diameters of 55 and 15 nm, respectively.\textsuperscript{95} Subsequently, these nanoparticles were surface-modified with the ATRP initiator functionalized silane BPE (Table 1, entry 6). Upon addition of sacrificial free initiator, the polymerization of MMA proceeded in a living manner from the initiator \textit{via} radical polymerization.\textsuperscript{95} Due to the excellent solubility of PMMA in many organic solvents, the PMMA grafted silica hybrid nanoparticles can be dispersed very well in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), acetone, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and toluene. The authors suspended the 55 nm core silica nanoparticles grafted with PMMA (M\textsubscript{n} = 122 000 g/mol and PDI = 1.19) in a mixture of chlorobenzene/1,2-dichloroethane and let it stand at room temperature. Several minutes after the onset of the experiment, tiny iridescent flecks were observed showing the self-assembly of the nanoparticles forming a colloidal crystal (Figure 4a and b). Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) visualized the crystal structure (Figure 4c).\textsuperscript{95}
Silica nanoparticles coated with polymer brushes which are responsive to external stimuli like pH, temperature, or light are potential building blocks for smart nanodevices. One example is a studied pH-responsive polymer is poly(4-vinylpyridine) (P4VP) with a pKa value approximately in the range of 4 to 5.\(^{180,181}\) Classical ATRP of P4VP in solution was first described by the group of Matyjaszewski\(^{182,183}\) and colleagues. The collapse of the outer zone of PNIPAM brushes. This phase transition behavior of PNIPAM brushes demonstrated the thermoresponsiveness of PNIPAM chains on the nanoparticle surface.\(^{170}\) Consequently, the PNIPAM grafted silica nanoparticles displayed thermoresponsive wettability changes. This thermally modulated wettability property enabled the temperature-dependent interaction with bioactive compounds. For example, PNIPAM modified silica beads proved to be an effective packing material for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), which was shown by the successful separation of three aromatic compounds (toluene, diphenyl ketone, biphenyl) using water as mobile phase.\(^{171}\) Further examples for the synthesis of thermoresponsive water soluble polymer brushes are listed in Table 1 (Entry 3, 7, 13, and 17), namely the formation of poly(methoxypropyl(ethylene glycol)methacrylate) (PPEGMA), poly(methoxyethyl(ethylene glycol)methacrylate) (PDEGMA), poly(methoxytri(ethylene glycol)methacrylate) (PTEGMA), and thermoresponsive ionic liquid (IL) soluble poly(benzyl methacrylate) (PBnMA)\(^{146}\) as well as thermo- and pH-responsive PDMAEMA\(^ {25,142,164,175}\) from silica nanoparticles by surface-initiated ATRP.
Figure 5. (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of hybrid silica nanoparticles coated with thermoresponsive PNIPAM brushes via surface-initiated ATRP. The densely grafted PNIPAM brushes exhibit reversible thermoresponsive swelling/collapse phase transitions. (b) Temperature dependence of a) intensity-average hydrodynamic radius, $R_h$, and b) average radius of gyration, $R_g$, of hybrid silica nanoparticles coated with PNIPAM brushes in aqueous solution. The concentration of nanoparticles is 5 µg/ml. Reprinted with permission from T. Wu et al., Chem. Mater., 2008, 20, 101–109. Copyright 2008 American Chemical Society.

Light responsiveness can be introduced by photochromic compounds. These compounds can reversibly modulate the molecular properties through remote irradiation with a specific wavelength, are therefore highly attractive for numerous material systems. Such photochromic moieties can be incorporated into polymer matrices to change the polymer structure, conformation, and solvation by a remote light-trigger. The property changes of the material arise from the altered interactions of the different forms of the photochromic groups with the polymer main chain, solvent molecules, or the side groups of the other monomer. Utilizing this strategy, photoresponsive systems tailored for specific applications were designed. During the past few years, spiropyran (SP) has emerged to be very promising for the construction of photoactive nanomaterials.\textsuperscript{193, 194} UV irradiation induces the ring opening isomerization from neutral and colorless SP to zwitterionic blue/purple and fluorescent merocyanine (MC).\textsuperscript{193, 194} SP is optically transparent in the visible (Vis) region without strong emission, while MC absorbs strongly at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 550$–600 nm with an intense emission band approximately centered at 650 nm.\textsuperscript{193, 194} Bell et al. copolymerized SPMA, a SP containing monomer (Figure 1), with MMA from silica nanoparticles via surface-initiated ATRP (Table 1, entry 9 and 10).\textsuperscript{159, 160} The authors utilized UV/Vis spectroscopy to analyze the kinetics of the isomerization of SP to MC and the following bleaching process.\textsuperscript{159} The kinetics of the bleaching process fits to a superposition of two first-order rate reactions indicating two distinct MC species, free and H-stacked MC.\textsuperscript{159} Comparing the monomers and polymers, H-stacked MC solely exists in polymer brushes, because only this environment provides the significant steric crowding which allows and facilitates the reorganization of free MC.\textsuperscript{159} The H-type noncovalent $\pi-\pi$ interactions of MCs provide a useful tool for supramolecular engineering to fabricate polymeric nanocapsules.\textsuperscript{146} DMAEMA and SPMA were randomly copolymerized from BPE fixed silica nanoparticle surfaces via Cu-mediated ATRP. Within the crowded environment of polymer brushes, after UV irradiation, the MC isomers crosslinked the copolymer shell by the H-type MC–MC stacks and robust pH-responsive vesicles were formed after hydrofluoric acid (HF) etching the inorganic silica cores (Figure 6a).\textsuperscript{146} The obtained nanovesicles show pH-responsive properties (Figure 6b). Vis irradiation can dissociate the H-stacks and disrupt the nanovesicles straightforwardly, which is environmentally friendly (Figure 6b).

Figure 6. (a) Synthetic procedure for fabricating polymer-based nanocapsules. First, BPE was immobilized onto the surface of silica nanoparticles (green dots in first step), and next the photosensitive SPMA was randomly copolymerized with DMAEMA via surface-initiated ATRP (second step). After prolonged UV irradiation ($\lambda = 365$ nm) to induce the SP to MC isomerization of the chromophore units and promote the formation of intraparticle H-type MC–MC stacks within the polymeric layer, HF etching leads to robust nanocapsules. (b) The
pH-responsive nanocapsules can be disrupted in the protonated state induced by visible light. Reprinted with permission from D. S. Achilleos et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 5726-5729. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society. (c) Schematic illustration of the reversible modulation of a multicolor fluorescent system based on hybrid silica nanoparticles coated with thermoresponsive P(NIPAM-co-NBDAE)-b-P(NIPAM-co-SPMA) brushes. The inner and outer layers are selectively labeled with FRET donor (NBDAE) and photoswitchable acceptor (SPMA) moieties, respectively. The FRET process can be switched on or off via UV or Vis irradiation. The FRET efficiency can be tuned via thermo-induced collapse.swelling of the responsive polymer brushes. (d) Photographs recorded during 365 nm UV illumination at varying conditions: (1) 20 °C, upon Vis irradiation, (2) 20 °C, upon UV irradiation, (3) 35 °C, upon Vis irradiation, and (4) 35 °C, upon UV irradiation. The duration of UV or Vis irradiation is 4 min in all cases. Reprinted with permission from T. Wu et al., Chem. Mater., 2009, 21, 3788-3798. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.77

SP can also be utilized in fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) systems. In a FRET process, energy is transferred from a donor to an acceptor fluorophore.195 The donor is initially in its electronic excited state and can transfer energy to the acceptor based on a nonradioactive dipole–dipole coupling mechanism. The energy transfer efficiency is inversely proportional to the sixth power of the donor-to-acceptor distance, which makes FRET sensitive to small changes in distance. The colorless SP can undergo isomerization to the fluorescent MC upon UV irradiation. Nanoparticles suitable for a photoswitchable FRET process were fabricated by incorporating the SP system together with another fluorophore into the polymer shell around the nanoparticles. Liu et al. synthesized P(NIPAM-co-NBDAE) and P(NIPAM-co-SPMA) blocks sequentially from silica nanoparticles by surface-initiated ATRP (Figure 6c).27 They prepared a nanosensor on the basis of photoswitchable FRET. Excited at 480 nm, fluorescence emission of NBDAE centered at 530 nm was observed, which generally matches the absorbance band of the excited SPMA (MC form). Thus, NBDAE is the FRET donor and SPMA is the photoswitchable acceptor. UV irradiation switches the FRET process on by inducing the isomerization from the nonphotoset SP to the FRET acceptor MC, while Vis irradiation switches off the FRET by inducing the reverse isomerization. Temperature induced swelling/collapse of the PNIPAM chains adjusts the distance between the donor and acceptor units, thus tuning the FRET efficiency. These processes are shown in Figure 6c. The P(NIPAM-co-NBDAE)-b-P(NIPAM-co-SPMA) grafted silica nanoparticles can act as a thermosensitive UV dependent ratiometric fluorescent meter (Figure 6d).27

Combining surface-initiated ATRP with other techniques, more advanced polymer architectures such as hyperbranched,158 comb-coil,122 mixed brushes,42 and Janus143, 157, 176 have been fabricated on the surfaces of silica nanoparticles. Hyperbranched polymers play an increasingly important role in interface and surface sciences, due to their highly compact and globular shape as well as monodispersity of the polymers. Mori et al. synthesized an initiator-monomer, BPEA (compare Figure 1), which contains an alkyl bromide group for initiation in addition to the polymerizable acrylic group.158 The initiator unit BHTS was fixed on silica nanoparticles to subsequently synthesize hyperbranched polymer brushes via surface-initiated ATRP of BPEA, which was called self-condensing vinyl polymerization (SCVP) by the authors (Figure 7a).158 In a similar attempt, the authors obtained hyperbranched poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) grafted silica hybrid nanoparticles, by copolymerizing BPEA with tert-ButA and subsequently hydrolyzing the tert-butyl groups into carboxylic groups.158 Zhao et al. reported the first synthesis of comb-coil polymer brushes on a silica nanoparticle surface.172 This involved two steps. First, PHEMA brushes were grown from silica nanoparticles by surface-initiated ATRP. Then, polylactide (PLA) and PrButA were synthesized simultaneously from the backbone and the terminal bromide of the PHEMA chains, respectively, by two different mechanisms (the scheme is illustrated in Figure 7b).172 The hydroxyl groups on the PHEMA pendant chains are initiators for ROP to form the comb PLA, while the alkyl bromide groups at the end of the PHEMA chains are used as initiators for AGET ATRP of PrButA.172

Figure 7. (a) SCVP of BPEA from functionalized silica nanoparticles. Capital letters indicate vinyl groups (A) and active centers (A*, B*) while lowercase letters stand for reacted ones (a, b). Reprinted with permission from H. Mori et al., Langmuir, 2002, 18, 3682-3693. Copyright 2002 American Chemical Society.158 (b) Schematic representation for the preparation of comb-coil polymer brushes on the
surface of silica nanoparticles. Reprinted with permission from H. Zhao et al., Macromolecules, 2005, 38, 10619-10622. Copyright 2005 American Chemical Society.\textsuperscript{172} (c) Schematic representation for the preparation of cleavable PSt brushes on silica nanoparticles, and dynamic exchange reactions between PSt brushes and 1-pyrenecarboxaldehyde as well as PSt and aldehyde-terminated fluorescein-labeled PMMA. Reproduced from ref. 42.\textsuperscript{42}

The concept of so-called dynamic covalent chemistry was also applied for nanoparticle functionalization. Chemical reactions that are carried out reversibly under conditions of equilibrium control are considered in the context of dynamic covalent chemistry.\textsuperscript{196} This dynamic process allows the exchange of molecular components at equilibrium achieving a thermodynamically stable system.\textsuperscript{197, 198} For example, imine bonds can be dynamically formed from the condensation of amines and aldehydes.\textsuperscript{197} The dynamic imine bond was employed to attach an ATRP initiator onto nanoparticles by the reaction of FPBiB with amine modified silica nanoparticles (Table 1, entry 19).\textsuperscript{176} Subsequently, PSt brushes were prepared by surface-initiated ATRP. Meanwhile, the authors synthesized aldehyde-terminated fluorescein labeled PMMA by ATRP of MMA and fluorescein methacrylate (FMA), using FPBiB as initiator. Afterwards, they used the dynamic exchange reaction between the PSt coated silica nanoparticles and the aldehyde-terminated fluorescein labeled PMMA, straightforwardly, to fabricate the mixed brushes of PSt/PMMA on the silica nanoparticle surface (Figure 7c).\textsuperscript{42} The $^1$H-NMR spectrum of the cleaved PSt/PMMA mixed brushes showed the signals of the phenyl groups on the PSt chains and of the methyl protons on the PMMA chains.

Janus particles are special nanoparticles with differently functionalized halves having varying properties. Janus silica nanoparticles can be obtained by grafting two distinct polymer brushes on the opposite sides. Several methods exist to prepare these Janus nanoparticles, namely toposelective modification by wax colloidosomes,\textsuperscript{176} in-situ biphasic grafting,\textsuperscript{157} or utilizing single crystal templates.\textsuperscript{143} A wide range of particles with different sizes and compositions can be used as emulsifiers to form Pickering emulsions. The assembly of nanoparticles at the Pickering emulsion interface allows an asymmetric modification, as the two sides of the particles are separated by the stabilized oil/water interface and protected in the two phases. However, the particles rotate at the interface, and it is unclear how this rotation affects the asymmetric modification. To tackle this problem, a solidification method of the nanoparticles was utilized by Granick.\textsuperscript{199} The silica nanoparticles are embedded in a frozen wax/water Pickering emulsion interface, where the rotation is restricted.\textsuperscript{199} Using the wax/water interface as a template mask, Stamm et al. modified the free side of the silica nanoparticles with ATRP initiators. After detachment, PNIPAM or PtButA was grown from this modified side via surface-initiated ATRP.\textsuperscript{176} A second polymer, P2VP, was immobilized onto the other side using grafting-to. The synthetic procedure is illustrated in Figure 8a.\textsuperscript{176} The group of Yang presented the formation of Janus nanoparticles by simultaneously grafting different polymers in the two Pickering emulsion phases via surface-initiated ATRP (Figure 8b).\textsuperscript{157} They first used CMPTS (Table 1, entry 8) anchored silica nanoparticles as emulsifiers to stabilize water-in-oil (w/o) Pickering emulsions. The two sides of the nanoparticles were separated by the water-oil interface, and the residual –CH$_2$Cl group from the CMPTS was used for ATRP initiation. The dispersed water phase contained the monomer AM and the water soluble ligand 2,2'-bipyridine (Bpy), while the continuous oil phase consisted of toluene as solvent, St as monomer, and the oil-soluble ligand 4,4'-di-5-nonyl-2,2'-bipyridine (dNBpy). After adding CuCl, polyacrylamide (PAM) and PSt were synchronously grown from the two sides of silica nanoparticles by surface-initiated ATRP in the water and oil phase, respectively. The hydrophilic/lipophilic interactions between the ATRP system and the original silica nanoparticles restrict the rotation and facilitate further formation of Janus colloids (Figure 8b). Instead of the liquid/liquid interface, a poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) single crystal was utilized as flat hard template mask to synthesize amphiphilic Janus silica nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{143} Alkoxyssilane-terminated hydrophobic PCL can form single crystals by folding the polymer chains back and forth. By careful control on the crystallization conditions and chain structure, the alkoxyssilane ends can be accumulated on the crystal surface to be able to immobilize 50 nm silica nanoparticles via chemisorption on these functional groups. After this immobilization, the free side of the silica nanoparticles was functionalized with CMPS (Table 1, entry 4), and surface-initiated ATRP of NIPAM was conducted to graft PNIPAM brushes. After dissolving the PCL single crystals in acetone, free Janus nanoparticles decorated with PNIPAM and PCL on the two sides were obtained (Figure 8c).\textsuperscript{143}
3.2 Surface-Initiated RAFT from Silica Nanoparticles

In the same way like in the previous chapter 3.1, Table 2 gives an analogous overview about the RAFT agents and monomers employed for surface-initiated RAFT polymerizations from silica nanoparticles.

Table 2. Functional RAFT agents and monomers used for surface-initiated RAFT polymerizations from silica nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>RAFT Agent Linkage</th>
<th>Monomer(s)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-group approach</td>
<td>Si&lt;sup&gt;113&lt;/sup&gt; nButA&lt;sup&gt;113&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAFT agent functionalized silane attached onto silica nanoparticle surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>St&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt; MMA, NIPAM&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt; nButA&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt; VBC&lt;sup&gt;200&lt;/sup&gt; PyAc&lt;sup&gt;201&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>AA&lt;sup&gt;202&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RAFT agent coupled onto silica nanoparticle surfaces via linker

In situ RAFT agent synthesis on silica nanoparticle surfaces

Z-group approach
RAFT agent functionalized silane attached onto silica nanoparticle surfaces
As discussed in the surface-initiated CRP mechanisms part, surface-initiated RAFT polymerization can be categorized into R-group and Z-group approaches, according to the anchored part of the RAFT agent onto the nanoparticle surface. To the best of our knowledge, the R-group approach was explored for the first time by Fukuda’s group.41 Unlike the common R-group approach, they grafted oligomeric PSt chains from silica particles by surface-initiated ATRP, and converted the terminal halides to dithiobenzoyl groups by reaction with 1-phenylethyl dithiobenzoate (Figure 9a). Surface-initiated RAFT polymerization was further carried out to polymerize St from the RAFT agent terminated oligomeric PSt grafted silica nanoparticles. The differences between the kinetics of RAFT polymerizations with and without a free RAFT agent were compared. Thereof, the authors concluded the importance of adding a free RAFT agent to control the surface-initiated RAFT polymerization. By adding free RAFT agent, the concentrations of dormant and active chains on the surface can be effectively maintained by exchange reactions between surface radicals and free radicals. This renders the graft polymerization controllable even to high conversions.53 Moreover, the free polymers increase the viscosity of the solution, thus preventing interparticle coupling, gelation and aggregation.44 Following this strategy, the addition of free RAFT agent was widely applied for surface-initiated RAFT polymerizations.44, 114, 206-208, 206 Another issue was noticed in the fundamental article by Fukuda. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) spectra of the grafted polymers showed a shoulder which was assigned to dead chains from the recombination of polymer radicals (solid curves in Figure 9b). On the contrary, no shoulder appeared in the GPC spectra of free polymers (dash curves in Figure 9b). This means that recombination reactions occurred much more frequently on the surface than in solution.55 This specific enhanced recombination is derived from a so-called migration effect, which was already mentioned in chapter 2 and is attributed to a reaction-diffusion mechanism process.55 A very effective surface migration of grafted radicals can occur via successive degenerative (exchange) chain transfer especially for densely surface grafting (Figure 2). The effective migration can narrow the molecular weight distribution of the polymer chains. Oppositely, this migration broadens the chain length distribution by bimolecular termination, especially when the density is too large and the migration speed boosts.
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RAFT polymerization is able to polymerize a wide range of vinyl monomers with a
high tolerance to functional groups, which other CRP techniques, especially ATRP, cannot accomplish. For instance, side reactions occur during the ATRP of VBC, because of the dissociation of the C–Cl bond in the monomer. Furthermore, monomers containing carboxyl groups such as AA are not compatible with ATRP, and their polymerizations by ATRP need pre-protection of the functional groups.83–85 Instead, RAFT polymerization is the ideal radical process for these monomers. VBC was effectively polymerized using the RAFT technique, not only in solution with comonomers,121, 217–220 but also from EHT fixed silica nanoparticles (Table 2, entry 2).200 VBC can thermally auto-initiate the surface-initiated RAFT polymerization without any extra initiator (Figure 10a, synthetic route i).201 Incorporating the fluorescent comonomer PyAc, P(VBC-co-PyAc) grafted silica nanoparticles were synthesized, as shown in Figure 10a (synthetic route ii).201 Moreover, VBC has great potential for introducing various functionalities in a post-modification step. By quaternizing the VBC with triethylamine (TEA), the P(VBC-co-PyAc) chains became hydrophilic and water soluble fluorescent silica nanohybrids were yielded (Figure 10a, synthetic route iii, and Figure 10b).202 The quaternized P(VBC-co-PyAc)-g-silica nanoparticles were dispersed very well in aqueous solution and showed fluorescence under 365 nm UV irradiation (Figure 10b). These hybrid materials were tested for biological applications in cancer treatment. The hydrophilic fluorescent particles proved to be stable in biological media and uptaken into the endosomes of human colon cancer cells, which was applied for in vitro cell-imaging.201 Xu et al. prepared well-defined PAA brushes from silica nanoparticles via surface-initiated RAFT polymerization.202 A RAFT agent functionalized silane, MBET (Table 2, entry 3), was designed and anchored onto silica nanoparticles by its R-group. The

Figure 9. (a) The structures of the functional initiator for surface-initiated ATRP, the RAFT agent 1-phenylethyl dithiobenzoate, and oligomeric PSt RAFT agent utilized in the group of Fukuda. (b) GPC spectra of grafted (solid curve) and free (broken curve) polymers after 3 h and 9 h of surface-initiated RAFT polymerization of St at 110 °C. The difference in number-average molecular weight (Mn) between the grafted and free polymers is nearly equal to the Mn = 3400 g/mol of the precursory oligomeric PSt. Reprinted with permission from Y. Tsujii et al., Macromolecules, 2001, 34, 8872-8878. Copyright 2001 American Chemical Society.27
authors studied the structure and responsiveness of the PAA grafted silica nanoparticles thoroughly by TEM, dynamic light scattering (DLS), GPC, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and conductometric titration. The high amount of carboxyl groups provided abundant coupling sites for streptavidin (SA) immobilization via NHS/EDC chemistry. These SA coupled nanoparticles have a wide range of biological applications such as biotin recognition.

Figure 10. (a) Fabrication of hydrophilic fluorescent silica nanohybrids, (i) surface-initiated RAFT polymerization of VBC from EHT fixed silica nanoparticles via thermal auto-initiation, (ii) surface-initiated RAFT copolymerization of VBC and PyAc from EHT fixed silica nanoparticles, and (iii) post-modification of the core-shell nanoparticles by quaternizing the VBC block with TEA. PABTC is a free RAFT agent, namely 2-(butylthiocarbonothioylthio)propionic acid. (b) Optical images of TEA-quaternized PVBC-silica and P(VBC-co-PyAc)-silica nanoparticles, A) under visible light and B) under 365 nm UV irradiation. Reprinted with permission from J. Moraes et al., Chem. Mater., 2013, 25, 3522-3527. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

As described in the last two paragraphs, RAFT agent functionalized silanes can be effectively attached onto silica nanoparticles to subsequently initiate RAFT polymerizations from the surface. However, the synthesis and purification of such functional silanes involve multiple steps. Intermediate compounds are usually purified via column chromatography, but the adsorption of silane groups to the silica gel leads to low overall yields. Therefore, Benicewicz et al. developed another versatile route for the RAFT agent immobilization onto silica nanoparticle surfaces. First, they functionalized silica nanoparticle surfaces by coating with a monolayer of aminosilane. Then, an activated RAFT agent, a CPDB ester, which is easier to synthesize and purify compared to RAFT agent functionalized silanes, was linked to the amino groups on the surface (Table 2, entry 6). The kinetics of surface-initiated RAFT polymerizations of MMA and St via the R-group approach, performed with or without free RAFT agent, were studied and compared. Following this RAFT agent coupling method, various monomers e.g. AHMA, MAA, tBuMA, St, and MA were polymerized from silica nanoparticle surfaces (Table 2, entry 6 to 10). Moreover, the Benicewicz group synthesized bimodal polymer brushes from CPDB anchored silica nanoparticles by a step-by-step technique. A bimodal polymer brush is defined as a homopolymer brush with two distinct lengths of monodispersed chains tethered to a surface. They initially prepared a first dense polymer brush by surface-initiated RAFT polymerization and cleaved the CTAs in the chain ends. Then, a second RAFT agent was attached onto the silica nanoparticles, and longer chains with less grafting density were polymerized (Figure 11). The large population of short chains screened the interparticle attractions, while the small number of long chains enhanced the excluded volume repulsions. The bimodal brush grafted silica nanoparticles showed superior dispersion within a homopolymer matrix, compared with monomodal polymer grafted nanoparticles. Using this strategy, polymer chains of different length can be grown from silica nanoparticles.
The grafting of homopolymers from silica nanoparticles described so far can be extended to the synthesis of block and crosslinkable copolymer chains. In this way, new properties can be added to the hybrid materials and advanced structures formed. For example, silica nanoparticles are well suited as sacrificial templates to fabricate nanocapsules as the silica core can be etched away with HF. Recently, Huang et al. fabricated narrowly distributed hollow polymeric nanocapsules utilizing surface-initiated RAFT block copolymerization from CPDB anchored silica nanoparticles using a similar approach already presented in the previous paragraph. They first grew poly(tert-butyl methacrylate) (PrButMA) from the silica nanoparticle surface, incorporating about 11.5% of a comonomer, DMIPM. The dimethylmaleimide group in this comonomer can be crosslinked forming dimers under UV illumination. The first PrButMA block was then extended with a second block, poly(2-hydroxypropyl methacrylamide) (PHPMA), to obtain a water soluble polymeric shell (Figure 12a). Crosslinking the DMIPM in the inner shell and etching the silica core with HF yielded polymeric nanocapsules. The thickness of the nanocapsule wall can be varied by controlling the molecular weight of the grafted polymer. The size of the nanocapsules can also be regulated by using silica nanoparticle templates of different size (Figure 12b). In a similar approach, the authors synthesized a kind of intelligent and biodegradable nanocapsule. The pH-responsive PDEAEMA is used as a membrane-generating component. The pyridyldisulfide moieties in the PDSM block can be exchanged by reacting with a thiol compound. Correspondingly, these moieties can be crosslinked by reacting with a dithiol crosslinker, forming a robust shell (Figure 12c). The permeability of the nanocapsule membrane can be tuned by changing the pH or using crosslinkers of different length. The formed nanocapsules can be biologically degraded, using glutathione (GSH) as reducing agent to cleave the disulfide bonds (Figure 12d). The shells of these formed polymeric nanocapsules can be easily designed during the polymerization process and have a uniform single layer or a multilayer with flexible structures plus an easily tunable permeability, the latter depending on the synthesized polymer blocks. Hence, this approach shows advantages over bilayer membranes formed in polymersomes.
Figure 12. (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of photo-crosslinked nanocapsules. (b) TEM images of 450 nm (left) and 900 nm (right) Pt(ButMA-co-DMIPM)-b-PHPMA hollow nanocapsules from different silica nanoparticle templates. Reprinted with permission from X. Huang et al., Macromolecules, 2011, 44, 8351-8360. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society. (c) General procedure for the synthesis of polymeric nanocapsules with crosslinkers of different length. (d) The permeability of the nanocapsule membrane can be tuned by changing the pH. Moreover, the nanocapsules can be biologically degraded, using glutathione (GSH) as reducing agent to cleave the disulfide bonds. Reprinted with permission from X. Huang et al., ACS nano, 2012, 6, 9718-9726. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.

RAFT polymerization from silica nanoparticles has been often combined with click chemistry, especially Huisgen’s Cu¹-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC). Click chemistry has been widely studied and applied in surface functionalization, functional polymer synthesis, supramolecular chemistry, self-assembly, and biology during the last few years underlining its importance in polymer and polymer/nanoparticle hybrid synthesis.⁹¹-⁹³ Brittain et al. reported the preparation of DMP anchored silica nanoparticles by click coupling alkyne terminated DMP with azide modified silica nanoparticles (Table 2, entry 11).⁴⁵ The grafting density of RAFT agents on the silica nanoparticle surface is 1.2–1.3 RAFT agents/nm² which is higher than achievable with the other described attaching approaches⁴⁴,⁹⁶,⁹⁷,¹⁰⁵,¹⁰⁷ demonstrating the high efficiency of click chemistry. RAFT agents can be in situ synthesized on the silica nanoparticle surface. Compared to the other two presented approaches for the RAFT agent immobilization, this in situ synthesis has overwhelming advantages in view of versatility, simplicity, and avoidance of complex purifications, e.g. gel chromatography. In one example, a mixture of CS₂ with carbazole¹¹⁴,¹²¹ or phenyl magnesium bromide¹²⁶ was added dropwise to chloromethylphenyl functionalized silica nanoparticles, leading to the in situ formation of RAFT agent supported silica nanoparticles (Table 2, entry 12 and 13). Zhu et al. used this approach to synthesize the RAFT agent BCBD directly on silica nanoparticle surfaces (Table 2, entry 12 and Figure 13a).¹¹³ Then, they grafted P4VP by surface-initiated RAFT polymerization from the BCBD anchored silica nanoparticles (Figure 13a). P4VP has been extensively studied because of its capability to coordinate metal ions at the pyridine nitrogen atoms.³²⁰-³²² Au⁺ was coordinated to the P4VP chains in aqueous solution and reduced by sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) or trisodium citrate. In this way, gold nanoparticles with an average diameter of 2.5 or 15 nm, respectively, were formed and embedded in the P4VP
The 15 nm gold nanoparticles supported by P4VP grafted silica show a very high surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) effect (Figure 13b).

Perrier and coworkers systematically reported the surface-initiated RAFT polymerization from silica particles using the Z-group approach (Table 2, entry 15 to 18). They functionalized silica particles with CMPS and covalently bound MPPA to the pendant chloride via its Z-group. A series of well-defined homopolymers were then successfully grafted onto the surfaces of MPPA anchored silica particles. It was already discussed in chapter 2 that the particles are part of the leaving Z-group in the surface-initiated RAFT polymerization via Z-group approach. As living radicals propagate in the solution, this mechanism is intrinsically more similar to grafting-to. Additionally, the authors found that introducing free CTA during polymerization significantly improved the control on molecular weight as well as distribution, and enhanced the grafting efficiency of polymers by improving the control over polymerization in solution and favoring the addition-fragmentation onto solid surfaces. They also observed that the molecular weight of free and grafted polymers differed much more upon increasing conversion. This can be explained by a more pronounced shielding effect caused by the longer grafted polymer chains as conversion increases. This effect slows down the polymerization rate surrounding the surface by hindering the diffusion of monomer and active polymer chain radicals, and diminishes the degenerative transfer process onto the polymer dormant chains on the surface. To improve this, one solution is using a less active free RAFT agent. The propagation of free chains in solution is slow enough to favor addition-fragmentation onto solid surfaces and to adjust comparable polymerization rates in solution and on surface thus obtaining well-defined free and grafted polymers. The highly efficient diblock copolymerization from silica supported macro-CTAs showed strong evidence of living polymeric chains. The group of Perrier further studied the effect of CTA loading, type of anchored CTA and free CTA as well as solvent on the polymerization kinetics, molecular weight, and molecular weight distribution of the grafted and free polymers.

### 3.3 Surface-Initiated NMP from Silica Nanoparticles

Table 3 gives a detailed overview about alkoxyamines that were linked to silica nanoparticles using different strategies to initiate the NMP of the listed monomers.

**Table 3.** Functional alkoxyamines applied for surface-initiated NMP from silica nanoparticles.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Linkage or Formation of Alkoxyamines</th>
<th>Monomers*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alkoxyamine silanes anchored onto silica nanoparticle surfaces by condensation</td>
<td>$\text{St}^{46}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\text{St}^{233}$ $\text{MAH}^{233}$ $\text{VBCB}^{233}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\text{St}^{234-237}$ $\text{nButA}^{235}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\text{nButA}^{99, 238}$ $\text{St}^{238}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\text{CBMA-1}^{37}$ $\text{CBMA-5}^{47}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\text{nButA}^{239, 240}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\text{St}^{241}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alkoxyamines linked to functionalized silica nanoparticle surfaces

* For full names and structures of the monomers, it is referred to Figure 1.
Another alternative approach for NMP from silica nanoparticles is to attach activated alkoxyamines to functionalized silica nanoparticles followed by surface-initiated NMP. For example, aminopropylsilane was covalently linked onto silica nanoparticles followed by grafting N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) activated alkoxyamines to the amino functionalized surfaces (Table 3, entry 9). Subsequently, well defined PSi-g-silica nanoparticles were obtained by surface-initiated NMP.\textsuperscript{244} In a simple one step procedure, Charleux and coworkers introduced amine modified silica, NHS activated alkoxyamine and the monomer in one pot allowing grafting and chain growth simultaneously at the polymerization temperature of e.g. 120 °C for St. A high grafting density of up to 0.9 polymer chains per nm\textsuperscript{2} with high molecular weight polymer chains could be reached.\textsuperscript{245}

Moreover, alkoxyamines can be formed in situ on the nanoparticle surface. This route consists of two steps. First, an alkene functionality is covalently linked to silica nanoparticles, e.g. through MPTS condensation. Then, alkoxyamines are formed in situ on the surface by trapping free alkoxyamines\textsuperscript{246} or free initiator radicals/nitroxides (Table 3, entry 10 to 12).\textsuperscript{244, 247} Similarly to this in situ trapping method, an even simplified way exists to obtain surface alkoxyamine initiators in one step. All components are mixed together simultaneously to let the condensation of the functional silane and the trapping reaction of the C=C double bond with an alkoxyamine\textsuperscript{247} or nitroxide/initiator\textsuperscript{237, 242, 243} proceed together at the same time.

3.4 Y-shaped Asymmetric Initiators for Sequentially Surface-Initiated CRP from Silica Nanoparticles

Nanoparticles with binary mixed brushes represent a new class of environmentally responsive nanostructured material.\textsuperscript{249} Two distinct homopolymers are grafted onto the surface which usually provides novel properties to the material due to responsiveness to multi-external stimuli. Generally, different combinations of surface-initiated ATRP, surface-initiated reverse ATRP, surface-initiated RAFT polymerization, surface-initiated NMP, and surface conventional free radical polymerization can be utilized in a grafting-from procedure to fabricate mixed brushes from a surface.\textsuperscript{252-254} However, this approach has intrinsic disadvantages. Since different species of initiators have to be attached to the substrate simultaneously or sequentially, uncontrollable preferential binding of one species, inhomogeneous distribution of the grafting initiators, and disordered phase separation in whatever scale cannot be avoided. Hence, the homogeneity of grafted polymers is reduced and further applications are limited. To tackle this drawback, novel asymmetric difunctional Y-shaped initiators were designed.\textsuperscript{255-259} The Y-shaped initiator contains two functional initiator units to sequentially initiate surface polymerization together with a functional end group for the attachment to a surface.\textsuperscript{260} The attached Y-shaped initiators self-assemble to a monolayer which affords two different initiating sites alternatively aligned. This maximally ensures a well-mixing of the different grafted polymers at molecular level.\textsuperscript{261} There are only several examples for the utilization of Y-shaped initiators for surface-initiated CRP from silica nanoparticles in recent years. This approach has been likewise used for the desired binary functionalization of silica wafers and mesoporous silica which will be also included in this subchapter. Table 4 shows the structures of the Y-shaped initiators together with the monomers used for the respective CRP technique.

### Table 4. Functional Y-shaped asymmetric initiators used for sequentially surface-initiated living polymerizations from silica.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Linkage of Y-shaped difunctional asymmetric Initiators</th>
<th>Monomers*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="image" /> or silica wafers</td>
<td>ATRP of MMA and NMP of St\textsuperscript{249, 260, 262}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="image" /></td>
<td>ATRP of tButA and NMP of St\textsuperscript{249, 261, 263-265}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="image" /></td>
<td>ATRP of tButA and NMP of St\textsuperscript{249, 255, 256, 266, 267}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Zhao and coworkers developed the concept of asymmetric difunctional Y-shaped initiators and grafted binary mixed brushes initiated from silica wafers. The used initiator (Table 4, entry 1) contains an ethyl α-bromoisobutyrate (EBiB) group to initiate an ATRP of MMA and an alkoxyamine group to start a NMP of St. The initiator was immobilized onto a silica wafer by its functional trichlorosilane group. The ATRP and NMP reactions cannot be performed simultaneously. Moreover, it is important to consider the sequence of the two polymerizations. The activation of ATRP initiators is a bimolecular mechanism, while in NMP alkoxyamines undergo a unimolecular process initiated by thermal decomposition. The first grown polymer has less steric hindrance on a unimolecular reaction system favoring to perform ATRP. Furthermore, the reaction conditions for ATRP are relatively mild compared to these usually required for NMP. Alkoxyamine groups are stable under usually used ATRP conditions. Additionally, the C–Br bond in ATRP initiators is weak and can act as a chain transfer agent in the NMP process. According to all the above considerations, ATRP was performed first, followed by dehalogenation to remove the bromide groups on the polymer chain ends as well as unreacted ATRP initiators, followed by the NMP process. The authors successfully grafted PMMA by surface-initiated ATRP and PST by surface-initiated NMP from the described asymmetric difunctional initiator. They further studied the effect of polymer chain length of the grafted mixed PMMA/PSt brushes on the responsiveness to different solvents.

The same group used similar asymmetric Y-shaped initiators (Table 4, entry 2 and 3) to fabricate mixed polymer brushes from silica nanoparticles. Amphiphilic mixed PAA/PSt grafted nanoparticles were synthesized by sequential surface-initiated ATRP of tButA and NMP of St, followed by hydrolysis of tButA to AA (Figure 14a). Free sacrificial initiator was added to control the polymerization of tButA with living character under the chosen reaction conditions. This was proven by the almost overlapping GPC spectra of cleaved and free PrButA which have a narrow molecular weight distribution. Interestingly, the molecular weight of the polymer mixture cleaved from PrButA/PSt-g-silica nanoparticles is higher than that of either free PrButA or PST, but lower than that of the sum of free PrButA and PST. This clearly manifests that the cleaved polymers are a mixture of PrButA, PST, and PrButA-PSt diblock copolymer initiated from the same Y-initiator. This finding illustrates the advantage of Y-shaped initiators over a mixture of two separate initiators. From a certain amount of Y-initiators, both polymerizations have been initiated from the same initiator and hence the different grafted polymers are well-mixed on the surface of the nanoparticles. Moreover, after hydrolyzing the ester group in the cleaved Y-initiators, homo PST
chains were extracted from the polymer mixture. The GPC analysis showed that the molecular weight of grafted PSt is essentially equal to that of free PSt. This confirms the livingness of the surface-initiated NMP and the controllability that was achieved by adding free alkoxyamines. The obtained nanohybrids could be dispersed well in many solvents which exclusively dissolve PSt or PAA initially, demonstrating the reorganization of attached polymer chains in response to external stimulus (Figure 14b, c). Moreover, with casting and annealing, microphase separations of the mixed PrButA/PSi brushes on the nanoparticle surface were observed such as laterally rippled structures, micellar structures, and truncated wedge-shaped structures. The phase separation behavior is dominated by many factors, e.g. solvent selectivity, molecular weight, polymer chain disparity, chain grafting density, and silica nanoparticle size which effects the surface curvature.

Several further examples for the use of Y-shaped initiators demonstrate the applicability of the approach also for other combinations of polymerization techniques like ROP with NMP or ATRP with RAFT. The combination of ROP and NMP even allows performing the two polymerizations simultaneously. Brintin et al. synthesized a Y-shaped initiator containing an alkoxyamine group to initiate NMP and a benzyl chloride group for ROP (Table 4, entry 4). NMP of St proceeds via a free radical addition mechanism, while ROP of PhOXA undergoes a cationic ring-opening mechanism. These two processes proceed independently without intervening each other. The Y-shaped initiator was attached to a silica wafer surface, and binary mixed homopolymer brushes of PSt and poly(2-phenyl-2-oxazoline) (PPHOXA) were synthesized simultaneously. Another Y-shaped initiator having a hydroxyl group for surface-initiated ROP and an alkoxyamine for surface-initiated NMP was utilized to functionalize silica nanoparticles, and PCL/PSt mixed brushes were fabricated at the same time (Table 4, entry 5). Mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSN) have attracted much attention in life sciences. The large number of pores in the structures and dual-functional surfaces (exterior and interior) provide a potential platform for drug loading and release, especially when the mesoporous nanoparticles contain precisely attached polymers. The attachment of two or multiple polymers to the exterior and interior surface not only provide an enhanced stability when exposed to a biological environment, but also render the surface responsive to external stimuli, hence creating smart nanodevices. Huang et al. reported a novel Y-shaped initiator (Table 4, entry 6) to functionalize the exterior surface of mesoporous silica with bifunctional polymers via sequential surface-initiated RAFT and ATRP to fabricate smart drug carriers. The Y-shaped initiator consists of a CPDB end group for surface-initiated RAFT polymerization of HPMA and an EBiB functionality for surface-initiated ATRP of DEAEMA (Figure 15a). Since the radicals generated during ATRP would also initiate a RAFT process by chain transfer, RAFT should be initiated prior to ATRP and deactivated by radical coupling. The interior mesopores act as channels to store the target substance, while the grafted water soluble PHPMA chains on the exterior surface stabilize the nanostructures. The pH-dependent gatekeeper for the drug loading and release are the PDEAEMA chains on the exterior surface. In acidic solution, the PDEAMEA is positively charged, becomes hydrophilic, and opens the mesoporous silica core. Oppositely, in basic solution, the neutralized PDEAEMA chains turn to hydrophobic and shrink on the surface closing the pores. The general process of the drug uptake and release is illustrated in Figure 15b.
Entry | Linkage of ATRP Initiators or RAFT Agents | Attachment Method | Monomers*  
---|---|---|---  
1 | ligand exchange | | nButA,\textsuperscript{307} MMA,\textsuperscript{317,335,338} MBAA,\textsuperscript{23,337} NIPAM,\textsuperscript{23,337-339} 4VP,\textsuperscript{223}  

Figure 15. (a) Linkage of a bi-functional asymmetric initiator to mesoporous silica nanoparticles and the general procedure to functionalize MSN independently with PHPMA and PDEAEMA. (b) Illustration of the use of these nanocarriers for the uptake and release of Doxorubicin (Dox). Reprinted with permission from X. Huang et al., Small, 2012, 8, 3579-3583. Copyright 2012 Wiley-VCH.\textsuperscript{230}  

4. Gold Nanocrystals

During the last decades, gold nanocrystals, especially gold nanoparticles and gold nanorods, have attracted intensive interest in diverse fields such as nanoelectronics, nanophotonics, nanosensors, catalysis, and biomedicine, because of their unique optical, electrical, catalytic, and chemical properties.\textsuperscript{11-15} Many methods have been reported on the synthesis of gold nanocrystals with different diameters, shapes, and surface properties.\textsuperscript{226-229} Generally, gold nanocrystals can be easily obtained with high reproducibility. However, although a mono- or multilayer of small molecular ligands like citrate, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), or amino acids are adsorbed on the nanocrystal surface, gold nanocrystals often cannot maintain their original colloidal stability and optical properties accompanied by irreversible aggregation in a wide range of solvents, temperature, pH or ionic strength. Hence, surface functionalization of gold nanocrystals is crucial for further applications. Gold nanocrystal surfaces have been modified with many kinds of inorganic/organic compounds such as metallic shells,\textsuperscript{279} silica coatings,\textsuperscript{280-282} gelating\textsuperscript{283} supramolecular hosts,\textsuperscript{284-288} DNAs,\textsuperscript{289-291} proteins,\textsuperscript{292,293} polypeptides,\textsuperscript{294} dendrimers,\textsuperscript{295-297} liquid crystals,\textsuperscript{298-309} resins,\textsuperscript{310} and especially polymers.\textsuperscript{321}

Since the discovery in 1718 that starch could stabilize water soluble gold particles, it has been well known that polymers can stabilize gold nanocrystal dispersions.\textsuperscript{305,308} After recent development in polymer science, there has been a thriving research area about polymer surface-modified gold nanocrystals, mainly gold/polymer core/shell nanocomposites. Compared to other kinds of attached ligands, polymers have many overwhelming advantages arising from their original chemical and structural properties. Polymer chains provide a certain steric shielding which helps to produce gold nanocrystals with high stability in solution.\textsuperscript{309-313} When the outer polymer shell is crosslinked, the stability of the nanocrystals is typically even more enhanced, especially at elevated temperatures.\textsuperscript{321} Besides providing stability, the bound polymer chains can act as functional scaffolds to self-assemble the gold nanocrystals into superstructures, e.g. ordered arrays at water/liquid or liquid/liquid interfaces,\textsuperscript{35,316,317} plasmonic strings,\textsuperscript{30,304,306,318} and plasmonic vesicles.\textsuperscript{319,321} When responsive or amphiphilic polymers are attached onto gold nanocrystals, the resultant composites show stimulus properties in response to changes of pH,\textsuperscript{322,323} ionic strength,\textsuperscript{324-326} temperature,\textsuperscript{325,326} or solvent.\textsuperscript{316,327,328} Interestingly, a protective polymer layer with tunable permeability can also trap and release target molecules, which was applied for selective catalysis\textsuperscript{329} and showed a reversible SERS effect.\textsuperscript{331}

Many approaches have been studied to fabricate gold/polymer core/shell nanocomposites which can be roughly categorized as grafting-to, \textit{in situ} sol-gel process, grafting-through, and grafting-from. As discussed in the Introduction, surface-initiated polymerization, as a grafting-from method, has several significant advantages over other surface functionalization techniques. Compared to grafting-to and \textit{in situ} sol-gel process, the grafting density is considerably higher which is important for the stability and further applications of gold nanocrystals. Contrary to grafting-through, polymer chains can be obtained with narrow molecular weight distribution, versatile functionalities, and various complex architectures. Lots of work has been done about growing polymers from gold nanocrystals by ROMP,\textsuperscript{332,333} living cationic polymerization,\textsuperscript{334} and CRP techniques. Herein, we give several examples for surface-initiated ATRP and surface-initiated RAFT polymerization from gold nanocrystals. Table 5 shows the structures of several functional ATRP initiators and one RAFT agent together with the monomers used for the respective surface-initiated CRP technique.

Table 5. Functional ATRP initiators and RAFT agent employed for surface-initiated CRP from gold nanocrystals.
**Abbreviations:** DTBU, 1,1'-dithiobis[1-(2-bromo-2-methylpropionyloxy)undecane]; DTBE, 2,2'-dithio-bis[1-(2-bromo-2-methylpropionyloxy)ethane]; ssDNA, single-stranded DNA; MUD, 11-mercaptopoundecanol; BSA, Bovine Serum Albumin; HAuCl₄, chloroauric acid.

Gray spheres represent silica nanoparticles, and red spheres gold nanocrystals.

* For full names and structures of the monomers, it is referred to Figure 1.
Polymeric layers not only afford gold nanoparticles’ well dispersibility and stability, but can also provide the gold nanocomposites with stimulus properties by choosing adequate polymers. Several examples have been described by Li et al. for the fabrication of thermoresponsive polymer/gold nanoparticle hybrids by surface-initiated ATRP (Table 5, entry 1). A series of thermoresponsive PNIPAM with different feed of MBAA was synthesized from 18-nm gold nanoparticles by surface-initiated ATRP in i-PrOH/water, 1/1 v/v (Figure 17a).\textsuperscript{337} Slight crosslinking by MBAA occurred during the polymerization on the gold nanoparticles surface which resulted in stable core/shell gold/polymer nanohybrids. Compared with a shell composed of linear PNIPAM, the crosslinked copolymer shell took up more space as observed by TEM, showing that the incorporation of the crosslinker MBAA can influence the network of the outer shell (Figure 17b).\textsuperscript{337} Meanwhile, dual thermoresponsive shells containing linear homo PNIPAM and NIPAM/OEGMMA copolymers were grafted from gold nanoparticle surfaces via a consecutive one-pot multistage surface-initiated ATRP in a mixture of i-PrOH/water, 1/1 v/v (Figure 17c).\textsuperscript{23} The first inner block PNIPAM was polymerized directly giving a $M_n$ of 16,000 g/mol. After that, the second monomer OEGMMA was added without purification resulting in NIPAM and OEGMMA copolymers as the second block with a $M_n$ of 29,000 g/mol. DLS and surface plasmon resonance (SPR) characterization showed two distinct thermoresponsive transitions at 33 and 55 °C, which correspond well with the conformation transitions of inner PNIPAM and outer POEGMMA containing copolymer, respectively.\textsuperscript{23} Figure 17d shows the schematic illustration of the dual thermoresponsive collapse phase transitions of the block copolymer/gold nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{23} Finally, thermoresponsive PNIPAM brushes were also successfully polymerized from gold nanoparticles in aqueous solution\textsuperscript{338} or gold nanorods in DMF/water, v/v 1/1 (Table 5, entry 1).\textsuperscript{339}
PH-responsive gold nanocomposites were fabricated using surface-initiated ATRP of 4VP from gold nanoparticles at ambient conditions (Table 5, entry 1) and the pH-responsive properties were systematically investigated. At low pH (<3.1), the pyridine groups were protonated and therefore positively charged. Consequently, the grafted polymer chains were stretched by electrostatic repulsion forces rendering the polymer layer strongly swollen with a zeta potential higher than 30 mV. As the pH increased up to 4.0, the P4VP chains transferred into a shrunken state originating from the deprotonation of the pyridine groups. At that state, the polymer chains were wrapped tightly around the gold nanoparticles, leading to a decrease in size and zeta potential. At pH higher than 5.5, the zeta potential drastically decreased to neutral. At this point, the colloidal particles aggregated to form large structures. The pH-responsiveness of the P4VP/gold nanocomposites is illustrated schematically in Figure 18. Corresponding to the two transitions, the SPR of the gold nanoparticle hybrids shows a two-stage red shift which is ascribed to the polymer collapse and particle agglomeration, respectively. Other pH-responsive monomers, e.g., DMAEMA, DEAEMA, and PEGMMA, have been used for surface-initiated ATRP by Duan and coworkers (Table 5, entry 5). A series of pH-responsive capsules ranging from 40-300 nm was fabricated by first selectively crosslinking the DMAEMA moiety with 1,2-bis(2-iodoethoxy) ethane (BIE) in the polymer shell and subsequently etching the gold nanoparticle template with potassium cyanide (KCN).

Figure 17. (a) Scheme of the fabrication of PNIPAM from MBAA/gold nanoparticle hybrids by surface-initiated ATRP. (b) TEM images of copolymer/gold hybrids with A) 0% MBAA and B) 1% MBAA dispersed in water, scale bars: 100 nm. Insets: images at a higher magnification, scale bars: 20 nm. Reprinted with permission from D. Li et al., Chem. Eur. J., 2007, 13, 2224-2229. Copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH. (c) Scheme of the fabrication of gold nanoparticles with dual thermo-responsive shells containing linear homo PNIPAM and NIPAM/OEGMMA copolymers via a consecutive one-pot multistage surface-initiated ATRP. (d) Schematic illustration of the thermoresponsive size changes of copolymer/gold nanoparticles. The thickness of the inner layer, a, at 25 °C changes to a′ at 40 °C above the first transition point, and the thickness of the outer layer, b, at 40 °C changes to b′ at 65 °C above the second transition point. Reprinted with permission from D. Li et al., Adv. Funct. Mater., 2007, 17, 3134-3140, Copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH.

Figure 18. Scheme of the pH-responsive morphology change of the P4VP/gold nanocomposites. The polymer chains are branched, and the whole particles are monodispersed and nonaggregated at low pH (<3.1); the polymer chains collapse onto the gold cores, but the whole
A protein is a natural macromolecule whose 20 different amino acid residues introduce many kinds of polar or nonpolar, anionic or cationic moieties. Based on different chemical composition and conformation, proteins exhibit varying physical and chemical properties, e.g., solubility, different isoelectric points (pI), and changeable denaturation and gelation temperatures. The combination with gold nanoparticles renders the nanoparticles responsive to pH, ionic strength, solvent, and/or heavy metals. A novel kind of dual pH- and thermoresponsive gold nanocomposite based on protein/polymer conjugate systems was synthesized via surface-initiated ATRP of OEGMMA and DEGMMA from protein coated gold nanoparticles by the group of Liz-Marzán (Table 5, entry 6). First, gold nanoparticles with different sizes and ligands, i.e., 15 nm with stabilizing citrate and 60 nm gold nanoparticles with CTAB were synthesized. BSA was strongly bound with its thiol/disulfide and amine groups to the gold nanoparticle surfaces via ligand exchange forming a robust coating. Afterwards, free amine groups, mainly from the lysine residues in BSA, were utilized to covalently link the ATRP initiator BiBB followed by surface-initiated ATRP of OEGMMA and DEGMMA yielding random copolymer brushes. TEM images reveal individual nanoparticles with an organic layer of 3-4 nm in dry state (Figure 19a). The polymer/protein coated gold nanocomposites exhibited a wide range of pH-dependent thermoresponsiveness as visualized in Figure 19b and c. At high pH, pH > pI (BSA), the nanoparticles were negatively charged. At temperature T < LCST, the polymer brush was hydrophilic and stretched (upper left in Figure 19b), while at T > LCST, the polymer brush was collapsed (lower left in Figure 19b). For both cases, the nanohybrids did not aggregate due to the electrostatic stabilization stemming from the negatively charged protein layer. At pH 10, the plasmon peaks in UV-vis-NIR spectra of copolymer/BSA/Au15 (i in Figure 19c) and copolymer/BSA/Au60 (ii in Figure 19c) are centered at 525 nm and 540 nm, respectively, for temperatures below and above the LCST, indicating the presence of well separated nanoparticles in a wide temperature range. However, during the ATRP initiator attachment, the residual amine groups in BSA were consumed and only carboxylic groups remained. Therefore, at low pH, pH < pI (BSA), the nanoparticles carried nearly no net charge. At T < LCST, the polymer brush was hydrophilic and stretched, conferring steric stability to the nanoparticles (upper right in Figure 19b). On the contrary, at T > LCST, the polymer brush was collapsed and reversible aggregation of the nanoparticles occurred due to the lack of electrostatic repulsion and increased hydrophobic interactions (lower right in Figure 19b). UV-vis-NIR spectra and photographs show the reversible thermoresponsive behavior of copolymer/BSA/Au15 and copolymer/BSA/Au60 (iii and iv in Figure 19c) between T < LCST (RT) and T > LCST (60 °C) at pH 2.5. Such aggregation can be visually observed through the color change due to plasmon coupling between gold nanoparticles. The process was completely reversible and can be repeated multiple times (graph insets ii and iv in Figure 19c). These stimuli responsive nanocomposites are a new kind of smart responsive material which can be conjugated with functional biomolecules for drug delivery and diagnostic systems. In another report, a DNA supported ATRP initiator was synthesized and attached to gold nanoparticle surfaces (Table 5, entry 7). From this gold ssDNA-Bi macroinitiator, surface-initiated ATRP of HEMA or OEGMMA was performed. Compared to only DNA conjugated gold nanoparticles, the presence of thick polymer shells improved the stability and broadened the applications of DNA biosensing.

![Figure 19](image_url)

**Figure 19.** (a) TEM images of BSA-coated gold nanoparticles grafted with P(DEGMMA-co-OEGMMA) brushes, in which the ratio of DEGMMA to OEGMMA is 85:15. Au15@BSA@copolymer nanoparticles (left), the scale bar represents 100 nm for the image and 20 nm for the inset; Au60@BSA@copolymer nanoparticles (right), the scale bar represents 200 nm for the image and 50 nm for the inset. Schematic illustration (b) and UV–vis–NIR spectra with photographs (c) of the pH-dependent thermoresponsive behavior of the BSA-coated 15 or 60 nm gold nanoparticles grafted with P(DEGMMA-co-OEGMMA) brushes. Reprinted with permission from M. S. Strozyk et al., Adv. Funct. Mater., 2012, 22, 1436–1444. Copyright 2012 Wiley-VCH.

Using sequential grafting-to and grafting-from steps, amphiphilic mixed brushes were grafted on gold nanocrystal surfaces. The resultant hybrid structures have been widely studied experimentally and theoretically. For example, hydrophilic thiol-poly(ethylene glycol) (thiol-PEG) was first attached to gold nanoparticles or nanorods simultaneously with the initiator DTBE (Table 5, entry 5), followed by surface-initiated ATRP of MMA or DEAEMA (Figure 20a). The pre-attached PEG chains stabilized the gold nanocrystals during further reaction steps. This integrated grafting-to and surface-initiated ATRP method allows varying combinations of polymers for fabricating different mixed brushes tethered on gold nanocrystals, e.g. PEG/PDEAEMA, PEG/PMMA or PEG/P(MMA-co-4VP). Upon pH, temperature or solvent...
induction, the fabricated nanocomposites can be assembled into stimuli responsive plasmonic superstructures, e.g. reversible 2-D arrays on interfaces (Figure 20b and c)\textsuperscript{316} or plasmonic vesicular nanostructures (Figure 20d and e).\textsuperscript{319} For nanoparticles coated with mixed PEG/P(MMA-co-4VP) brushes, vesicular assemblies with a hollow cavity were formed that were disrupted through the hydrophobic-to-hydrophilic transition of the 4VP blocks at acidic conditions.\textsuperscript{319,343} Thus, the plasmonic assemblies can serve as drug carrier, allowing for efficient cargo loading and release.\textsuperscript{343} Moreover, the self-assembled plasmonic vesicles exhibited significantly different plasmonic properties and greatly enhanced SERS intensity in comparison with single gold nanoparticles, because of the strong interparticle plasmon coupling. The disassembly of these vesicles during the drug release generated independent optical feedback which can be easily traced by plasmonic imaging and SERS spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{343}

\textbf{Figure 20.} (a) Scheme of the synthesis of amphiphilic gold nanocrystals by sequentially combined grafting-to (co-adsorption of PEG and DTBE) and grafting-from (surface-initiated ATRP) approaches. (b) Schematic illustration of the amphiphilic gold nanoparticles in chloroform, water as well as liquid/liquid interfaces (upper part), and photographs of the assembling process by adding hexane into chloroform with volume fractions from 30\% to 80\% (lower part). The gold nanoparticles transfer to the interface forming a separated layer between the two phases. This layer shows characteristic golden-color reflectance and purple/blue transmittance due to the strong coupling of gold nanoparticles manifesting the self-assembled 2-D arrays at the interface. (c) TEM images of the gold nanoparticle assembly at the water/organic solvent interface at different magnifications. Reprinted with permission from L. Cheng \textit{et al.}, \textit{ACS nano}, 2010, 4, 6098–6104. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society.\textsuperscript{319} (d) Schematic illustration of the self-assembly of amphiphilic nanocrystals with mixed polymer brushes into plasmonic vesicular structures. (e) TEM (left) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (right) images of the plasmonic vesicles assembled from 14 nm gold nanoparticles with mixed PEG/P(MMA brushes. Reprinted with permission from J. Song \textit{et al.}, \textit{J. Am. Chem. Soc.}, 2011, 133, 10760-10763. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society.\textsuperscript{319} Note: The monomers for ATRP are MMA, DEAEMA in ref. \textsuperscript{119}, and MMA, 4VP in ref. \textsuperscript{119}.

5. Bionanoparticles

Proteins can be regarded as bionanoparticles. They have unique properties originating from their applications in nature that other nanoparticles cannot provide. Proteins act as catalysts, transporters, nanochannels, recognition sites and much more. It is very desirable to make use of the properties of proteins by creating hybrid materials and hence their functionalization was studied in much detail. Applications of protein-polymer hybrid materials are drug/gene delivery, bio-sensing, bio-imaging as well as creating materials for electronic devices and functional membranes.\textsuperscript{17, 347,349} The established bioconjugation strategies can improve stability, solubility, biocompatibility and interfacial activity of a protein.\textsuperscript{17, 350} The activity of proteins is often preserved to a high degree in hybrid materials.\textsuperscript{351} Up to now, many protein-polymer conjugates were synthesized successfully whereby ATRP and RAFT polymerization were used most of the time. The synthesis of the conjugates can be sometimes delicate as conditions are needed so that the protein stays stable in solution. The distinct three-dimensional structure of proteins resulting from the self-assembly of the amino acid chain into the tertiary structure can be influenced dramatically by heat, the pH value and certain chemicals. Aqueous buffer conditions are usually required to keep a protein stable in solution and the addition of organic co-solvents has to be tested in every single case. Regarding especially medical applications, the toxicity of copper catalysts from ARTP is a subject that needs considerable attention, hence the purification is crucial.\textsuperscript{352}
The synthesis of conjugate structures consisting of proteins and polymers is restricted by the reactions that are applicable to modify amino acid residues. Two amino acids are especially used for modification. The amine group of lysine is modified by acylations and alkylations while the thiol group of cysteine is often alkylated or used to form disulfide bonds. Activated carboxylic acid derivatives like NHS esters are mostly used to target lysines. However, due to the high abundance of lysines in common proteins, control of the local modification is difficult to achieve. By modifying more than one lysine group, the unique property of proteins to form monodispersed particles is lost due to a varying degree of modification. The number of accessible cysteine groups in a protein is much less compared to lysine and some cysteine thiol groups are not available for modification as they are blocked in disulfide bonds. Cysteine residues can be selectively addressed by activated disulfide groups leading to disulfide bond formation with the cysteine thiol group. Michael additions and thiol-ene chemistry are used for alkylation of the thiol group. For the targeting of amino acid residues, it has to be considered that not all of them are accessible for modification on the surface of a protein as they can be located inside the protein structure. Lysine is mostly located on the surface of proteins while this is the case for only very few cysteine groups. In addition, also tyrosine, glutamine, tryptophan, histidine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, arginine, phenylalanine plus the N- and C-terminus of the peptide can be principally used for modification. In general, the synthesis of site-specific and stoichiometric conjugates in high yield is challenging, and the investigation of suitable reaction conditions and strategies to further improve the bioconjugation is a main current research topic. Going one step further, genetic modification of proteins was used to introduce initiator groups to the C-terminus of a protein or even incorporate unnatural amino acids into the primary structure bearing the initiator unit.

Although the stability and required reaction conditions differ much comparing proteins with classic inorganic nanoparticles, the used strategies for the synthesis of hybrid structures are nearly the same with grafting-to, grafting-from and grafting-through. Concerning the delicate stability of proteins, the advantage of grafting-to is that the protein is only involved in the last synthetic step and not during the polymerization. Although it is challenging to synthesize peptide-functional monomers, grafting-through leads to polymers containing several peptide or protein units. In contrast to inorganic nanoparticles, the differences of purification after performing grafting-to or grafting-from play a major role for protein-polymer conjugates. While after grafting-to, reacted polymer and maybe even unmodified protein have to be removed, the purification of the hybrid material is easier for grafting-from as with unreacted monomer and sometimes catalyst only small molecules have to be removed. For grafting-from, which is the main objective of this review, reaction conditions are needed for the polymerization from the so-called protein macroinitiator that do not affect the protein stability. CRP techniques are well-suited due to their high tolerance towards many functional groups and almost exclusively used for the conjugate synthesis. The two techniques that have been particularly studied for the use under biological conditions are ATRP and RAFT. However, the choice of well-considered reaction conditions is important to perform ATRP in aqueous buffer systems. RAFT has the advantage over ATRP that no transition metal catalyst is required. Several articles provide an extensive overview about the different approaches and examples, but within the scope of this review we can only present a few important and recent conjugation examples via grafting-from for some frequently used proteins.

To the best of our knowledge, grafting-from was first used in combination with proteins by Maynard et al. Biotin functionalized ATRP initiators were non-covalently attached to streptavidin utilizing the extremely high affinity of biotin to this protein. NIPAM and PEGMA have been polymerized individually by ATRP from the protein macroinitiator in presence of sacrificial initiator. Similar conjugates were formed with BSA and lysozyme, respectively, with covalently attached initiator molecules at cystein residues and grafting of PNIPAM. Not much later, Sumerlin et al. presented grafting from BSA via the RAFT R-group approach. A maleimide-functionalized trithiocarbonate RAFT agent was linked to a free cysteine residue of BSA via its R-group. The successful subsequent RAFT polymerization of NIPAM in buffer at room temperature was proven by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) and sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). The esterase activity of BSA could be thermally regulated due to the responsive nature of the attached polymer chain.

As was shown for silica and gold nanoparticles before, further examples exist for proteins to create hybrid conjugates with responsive polymers. Recent examples show that the responsiveness of attached polymers can be used to tailor enzyme activity and stability through the triggered change of the polymer shell that is surrounding the protein. The enzyme chymotrypsin was modified at its lysine residues with an ATRP initiator and responsive polymers were grafted from the protein. Therefore, the thermostresponsive PNIPAM and poly[(2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl)dimethyl-(3-sulfopropyl)ammonium hydroxide] (PDMAPS) as well as the temperature- and pH-responsive PDMAEMA were utilized. Even a block copolymer with different upper critical solution temperature (UCST) or LCST values, respectively, was linked to chymotrypsin. The polymer shell P(SBAM-b-NIPAM) was created by the same grafting-from approach and responds structurally to both low and high temperature (Figure 21).
The last mentioned conjugate is one of the few examples to graft a block-copolymer from a protein by two consecutive polymerizations. Other examples are lysozyme and BSA which were modified by two successive RAFT polymerizations. RAFT CTAs were conjugated to the lysine residues of lysozyme or a cysteine residue of BSA, respectively, via their R-groups. After the first polymerization of NIPAM, the RAFT group was retained at the end of the grown polymer chains. Thus, the polymer chains could be extended by polymerization of DMAA in both cases to yield the thermoresponsive conjugates containing block copolymer chains.

Our group used ferritin for hybrid materials as components in polymer-based membranes. Due to their defined structure as well as their variability in size and shape, proteins can be used as well-defined and uniform templates in membrane materials. The lysine residues of ferritin were easily modified with NHS-activated BMPA to subsequently initiate an ATRP reaction. NIPAM and photo crosslinkable DMIAAm were randomly copolymerized from the protein surface (Figure 22a). The conjugates showed a much higher interfacial activity than the pure protein which enables the assembly at interfaces. Hybrid membranes could be constructed from Pickering emulsions at liquid/liquid as well as liquid/air interfaces by crosslinking the polymer chains. Ferritin acts as a sacrificial template for uniform pores in the polymer membrane that can be created through denaturing the protein (Figure 22b). The permeation through the resulting membrane was controlled by temperature switching below and above the lower critical solution temperature of the polymer. A high mass transport was measured and a size-selectivity of particles below 20 nm was determined which is in very good agreement with the size of the used protein.

The principle of forming protein-polymer conjugates was expanded to virus-like particles (VLP) by the groups of Finn and Douglas. Viruses consist of identical protein subunits that self-assemble into hollow capsids, usually encapsulating its own genetic material. This encapsulated RNA can be efficiently removed to obtain a sufficiently large cavity. Grafting-from polymerization has been done both on the outside and on the inside of viral capsids. Interestingly, the protein shell acts as a barrier so that the polymerization can be controlled to take place exclusively inside or outside of the capsids. The only requirement is to identify and exploit addressable amino acids for the modification that are exposed exclusively to the inside or the outside, as needed. To graft polymers onto the outside of a viral capsid, ATRP initiators were linked to N-terminal modified amine residues of the VLP Qβ by CuAAC, and consecutively OEGMA was polymerized by ATRP. The post-polymerization modification of polymer end groups and N-terminal functionalized monomers proved successful in functionalizing the particles with fluorescent dyes or Gd complexes. The terminal bromide could be substituted by azide and alkynefunctionalized dyes linked by CuAAC. Alternatively, the click reaction was done after polymerizing N-terminal-functionalized OEGMA monomers to link further functionalities to the polymer side chains. To grow polymers inside the capsid, mutants with unnatural amino acids or specifically positioned cysteine groups were generated by genetic engineering. ATRP initiators were linked to the unnatural amino acid azidohomoalanine inside the Qβ VLP by CuAAC and PDMEMA chains grown by ATRP. In another attempt, cysteine residues of VLP derived from the bacteriophage P22 were functionalized with initiators by thiol-ene coupling. It was shown that the poly(2-aminoethyl methacrylate) (PAEMA) polymer chains grew...
only inside the cavity if the cysteine residues are oriented solely towards the interior. Compared to single proteins described in the previous paragraphs, the advantage of virus-like particles is to be able to modify both the inside and the outside of the capsid. This enables a precise manufacturing of the hybrid structures for applications. The growth of the cationic polymer PDMAEMA resulted in increased binding of the VLP polymer conjugates to cells.\(^{385}\) Small molecules of interest can be linked to amine groups of PAEMA leading to a very high labeling degree of a VLP.\(^{387}\) A copolymerization with functional Ru\(^3+\) complexes equipped the particles with a photoactive group being promising for photocatalysis.\(^{386}\) Besides fluorescent dyes, also Gd\(^3+\) complexes which can act as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents were bound to the polymer matrix inside the viral capsid.\(^{387}\) These first experiments can be furthered to the development of improved delivery systems which have a payload inside the cavity and functional recognition motifs like targeting ligands outside the capsid.\(^{385}\)

6. Conclusion and Perspectives

The synthesis of nanoparticle/polymer hybrid materials via grafting-from techniques pointed out to be an elegant and prosperous way to form such composites with unique properties. Surface-initiated CRP techniques, mainly ATRP and RAFT polymerization as well as NMP, are the method of choice to successfully graft polymers from nanoparticle surfaces. The crucial step prior to surface-initiated CRP is the attachment of a functional initiator or RAFT agent onto the nanoparticle surface. Therefore, a wide range of approaches exist for silica nanoparticles, gold nanocrystals, and bionanoparticles. Surface-initiated CRP is flexible and dependent on many factors, e.g. the type of nanoparticles, and their surface properties, the monomers, as well as the mechanism. The in-depth research on CRP techniques has facilitated the synthesis of many advanced polymer architectures on nanoparticle surfaces, ranging from block to random copolymers, hyperbranched to combed-coil architectures, and multiple different attached polymer chains to responsive polymers.

The attached polymer chains provide unique properties to the fabricated polymer/nanoparticle hybrids which the bare nanoparticles don’t possess. The hybrid materials are much more stable to environmental factors and can resist flocculation. Due to the confinement on the nanoparticle surfaces, the polymers exhibit different phase behaviors compared to the relatively free polymer chains in bulk, solution, or thin films. Upon incorporating stimuli responsive polymers, the formed nanohybrids show thermo-, pH-, or light-responsive behavior, which is highly promising for next generation nanodevices and nanosensors. The applicability of nanoparticle/polymer hybrids for the very attractive field of drug transport and release has been demonstrated with a few examples. Furthermore, via toposelective modification techniques, Janus nanoparticles with different polymers grafted on the two faces were obtained. Even more interesting, grafted polymers can act as scaffold to guide the self-assembly of e.g. gold nanocrystal hybrids into superstructures.

However, despite the flourishing development in the synthesis of nanoparticle/polymer core/shell composites, some challenges still exist, particularly regarding potential applications. The compatibility between different CRP techniques is one demanding issue trying to combine ATRP, RAFT, and NMP efficiently. During recent years, environmentally friendly and biologically active polymers have drawn extensive attention. However, their incorporation into nanoparticle/polymer hybrids is still problematic. As of now, many examples of the hybrid material formation demonstrate the feasibility only with model systems. Other than the small lab-scale development of first application-related materials, not much has been achieved on the large-scale production. With proper collaboration among different disciplines and among academic research and industry, the possible applications of such materials are immense. The extensively generated knowledge about nanoparticle/polymer composites can be used to achieve applicable materials for solar cells, medical and energy applications. Concerning protein-polymer conjugates, the research will transfer from the modification of relatively simple proteins like BSA and ferritin to application-related virus-like particles and membrane proteins.
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