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Abstract

Metal-based photosensitizers are of interest as their absorption and chemical binding properties can be modified via the use of different ligands. Ru$^{2+}$-based photosensitizers are known to be effective photodynamic therapy (PDT) agents against bacteria, whereas use for oncological indications in vivo has not been demonstrated with the same level of evidence. We present data showing that premixing the Ru$^{2+}$-complex TLD1433 with transferrin increases the molar extinction coefficient, including longer activation wavelengths, reduces photobleaching rates, reduces the toxicity of the complex and improving overall PDT efficacy. As the transferrin receptor is upregulated in most malignancies, premixing of the Ru$^{2+}$ complex with transferrin converts the active pharmaceutical ingredient TLD1433 into a drug of potentially considerable clinical utility.

Introduction

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a potential alternative or supplement to conventional cancer treatment. PDT uses a photosensitizer (PS) at non-toxic concentrations$^{1,2}$. Reactive excited state photosensitizer (PS$^*$), produced following photon absorption, participate in electron transfer reaction with biomolecules (Type I) or energy transfer with molecular oxygen ($^3$O$_2$) forming reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide radical anions or singlet oxygen ($^1$O$_2$) (Type II)$^3$. ROS or electron transfer reactions destroy malignant tissue via direct cellular effects, as with Aminolevulinic Acid (ALA) derived Protoporphyrin IX (PpIX)$^4$, or vascular effects as for TOOKAD$^5$, Benzoporphyrin derivative mono acid A, and mTHPC$^6,7$, and predominantly with Photofrin$^8$.

PSs have been shown to preferentially accumulate in proliferating cells, providing selectivity at the cellular level. Specificity of cytotoxic damage is achieved by three mechanisms: the short ROS lifetime, preferential accumulation of the PS, and confinement of the photon density by light scattering. The $^1$O$_2$ and hydroxyl radicals lifetime is $\sim$ 200nsec in vitro$^9$ and in vivo$^{10}$, confining ROS activity within 1µm of the PS. The treatment volume is limited to the target volume by placing photon sources within it, and adjusting its exposure time and intensity. Resistance to photobleaching, oxygen independent activity, and near-infrared activation are additional desirable properties to increase PDT efficacy.
Use of PDT in oncology is not widespread due to limitations of approved PSs. The cytotoxic effect elicited by Porphyrin-based PSs \(^1\) \(^2\) depends on \(\text{^3}O_2\), precluding cell death in hypoxic tissue pockets. Organic PSs can suffer from limited water-solubility, prolonged retention in tissues, and photobleaching. Porphyrin based photosensitizers in their native form have not been shown to exploit active cell uptake by overexpressed transmembrane transport, so inhibiting transmembrane exporters such as the ABCG2 transporter has been shown to increase the cellular concentration of PpIX \(^1\) \(^1\) \(^1\).

Selective accumulation of metal coordination complexes in malignant tumors versus normal host tissue is required for highly selective oncological applications. Cellular selectivity can be attained by high metabolic activity and limiting enzymatic activity \(^1\) \(^2\),\(^1\) \(^3\) or utilizing delivery agents such as liposomes decorated with antibodies to the desired upregulated tumor cell surface marker as the endothelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) \(^1\) \(^4\) or similarly constructed nanoparticles \(^1\) \(^5\). Alternatively, selective accumulation can be provided by exploiting upregulated surface receptors and transmembrane transporters in malignancies.

Benefits of Ru\(^{2+}\) complexes are their controllable design, preparation, isolation, purification, and a potentially high \(\text{^1}O_2\) quantum yield \(^1\) \(^6\). Additionally, is has been demonstrated that coordination complexes \(^1\) \(^7\) may not require oxygen to exert a cytotoxic effect \(^1\) \(^8\)–\(^2\) \(^5\). The pseudo-octahedral complexes provided by Ru\(^{2+}\) allows design options for ligands providing affinity for DNA binding \(^2\) \(^6\), overall stability, and solubility as seen for \([\text{Ru}(2,2\text{'-bipyridine})2 \text{benzo}{[\text{i}]\text{dipyrido}[3,2-a:2',3'-c]} \text{phenazine}]^{2+}\), which can act as Type I or II agents \(^2\) \(^6\). Cellular and nuclear uptake has been shown in vitro for Ru\(^{2+}\) Polypyridul complexes \(^2\) \(^7\). A detractor for these complexes is their high triplet state energy, which requires short wavelength excitation photons. For example, dyad-based ligands require photon energies >2.1 eV \(^2\) \(^8\),\(^2\) \(^9\), while phenanthroline-based ligands require > 1.8–1.9 eV \(^1\) \(^9\).

To simplify drug development efforts, a common approach is to exploit a natural association of the active pharmaceutical ingredient with serum proteins and associated upregulated transmembrane transporters in malignant tissues. Upon administration, Ru\(^{2+}\) complexes associate with albumin \(^3\) \(^0\) and transferrin \(^3\) \(^1\),
enabling receptor-mediated transport into cells. Collagen of the extracellular matrix and actin in the cell are potentially involved in anti-metastatic action of Ru\(^{2+}\) complexes.

The vast majority of cancers shown and upregulation of the transferrin receptor (Tf-R) as malignant cells require to boost their Fe\(^{3+}\) stores. Expression of Tf-R correlates with tumor grade, stage, progression, and metastasis, notably in bladder cancer. Investigating the Tf-R as photosensitizer transport mechanism was initiated by Cavanaugh et al by synthesised a deliberate Chlorine e6-Tf complex which however, resulted in reduced single oxygen yield, absorption in the Soret band, and ultimately reduced PDT effect. Similar approaches for Tf-R-mediated uptake include covalent binding of Tf to hematoporphyrin derivative and Tf-decorated liposomal formulation for AlCIPc and Foscan (mTHPC) delivery, whereby only AlCIPc had improved selectivity in a rat bladder cancer model.

Photo-physical and photo-biological effects due to Ru\(^{2+}\) association with Tf are not well understood. Here, we report the effects of Tf binding with the \([\text{Ru}^{II}(4,4'\text{'-dimethyl-2,2'\text{'-bipyridine(dmb)})_2(2'-(2',2''\text{',5'',2'''-terthiophene})-imidazo[4,5-f][1,10]phenanthroline)]^{2+}\) complex known as TLD1433, see figure 1 for structure. The TLD1433-Tf complex is referred to as Rutherrin. Previous studies have demonstrated that TLD1433 has some nuclear localization in cells in vitro and a high affinity for DNA isolates in solution. TLD1433 provides a strong excited state reduction of 1.31 eV and an oxidation potential of -0.87 eV. TLD1433 showed a high therapeutic index between dark- and light-mediated toxicity in vitro, with more than 1.5 logs of PDT-mediated cell kill which can be increased due to the high photo stability of the photosensitizer permitting a trade-off between the drug and photons whereby the light mediated toxicity LD\(_{50}\) can be <1 nM for 532 nm radiant exposure (H)>90 Jcm\(^{-2}\). This study investigated the differences in the changes in absorption spectra, ROS production, and PDT efficacy in vitro and in vivo between TLD1433 and Rutherrin.

Fig 1. 3D structure of TLD1433. The photosensitizer’s atomic structure is colour coded as Ruthenium (turquoise), Nitrogen (blue), Sulfur (yellow), Carbon (grey) and Hydrogen (white).
Materials and Methods

Photosensitizer

TLD1433 structure and synthesis have been described previously\textsuperscript{16,19,39,40} and is shown in figure 1. The stock (2 mM) was prepared in deionized water. TLD1433 was administered either alone or following premixing with either human, murine, or bovine Tf with (holo-Tf) or without (apo-Tf) Fe\textsuperscript{2+} saturation. The letter m (murine) or b (bovine) preceding Rutherrin indicates the Tf species used if other than human Tf. Holo- as a second prefix indicates the use of holo-Tf in Rutherrin, otherwise it is apo-Tf. Tf concentrations were limited to 12.5 µM which is ~5 times the human concentration in serum.

![Figure 1. Schematic of the [Ru(II)(4,4′-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine(dmb))\textsubscript{2}(2-(2′,2′′,5′′,2′′′-terthiophene)-imidazo[4,5-f][1,10]phenanthroline)]\textsuperscript{2+} complex known as TLD1433](image)

Reagents

Singlet Oxygen Sensor Green (SOG, Cat# S36002), 3′-p-(hydroxyphenyl) fluorescein (HPF, Cat# H36004), NucBlue® Live nuclear dye (Cat# R37605), and Presto Blue reagent (Cat # A13261) were purchased from Life Technologies. N, N′-dimethylthiourea (DMTU, Cat# D188700), bovine serum albumin (Cat# A-9418), sodium azide (NaN\textsubscript{3}, Cat# 13412), HCl (Cat # 320331), bovine apo-transferrin (Cat# T1428), human apo-transferrin (Cat# T2036), human holo-transferrin (Cat# T0665) and mouse apo-transferrin (Cat# T0523) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Spectroscopic studies
Absorbance of 10 μM TLD1433 or Rutherrin was measured in quartz cuvettes in a dual beam spectrophotometer (Cary 300 Bio UV-Visible spectrophotometer, Varian Inc., FL07033947, CA). References were either deionized water, 10 mM phosphate buffer with 100 mM NaCl at pH=7.2 (PBS), incomplete DMEM, or complete DMEM. Spectra were collected within 60 seconds of mixing the compounds. To detect a spectral signature associated with binding of TLD1433 binding to b-Tf, the spectra of both b-Tf and TLD1433 were subtracted from the b-Rutherrin spectrum. Similarly, signatures of binding to holo-Tf were obtained.

Luminescence, comprising fluorescence or phosphorescence, of 10 μM TLD1433 and b-Rutherrin in 10 mM PBS was measured following excitation at 380 or 470 nm, was detected from 520 to 800 nm using a dual grating fluorescence spectrofluorometer (Fluorolog, HORIBA Jobin Yvon, Edison, NJ, USA) in samples with less than absorbance of less than 0.2 per 3 mm path length at the excitation wavelength.

Transferrin and BSA binding kinetics and pH dependence

To determine the binding of TLD1433 to transferrin or BSA 10 μM of the PS and protein were prepared in 10 mM phosphate buffered solution with 100 mM NaCl. Immediately post mixing until 20 min post mixing, spectral analysis as described above were collected. For pH dependence measurements the pH was reduced using 3 % or 1.5 % HCl in acidification steps from 7.38 to 3.17 followed at each step with a spectroscopic analysis.

Photobleaching

Photobleaching of 10μM TLD1433 or b-Rutherrin was determined in 10mM PBS by exposing the solution to 525 nm photons (130 mWcm⁻²) as function of H<200 Jcm⁻², quantifying 432 nm absorbance.

ROS quantification

The ROS production of 500 µM TLD1433 or b-Rutherrin (2.5-10μM b-Tf) was measured via fluorescent reporters: 100 μM SOG for \(^1\)O₂ and 100 μM HPF for hydroxyl radical (\(^•\)HO). To reveal non-specific signal, 100 mM DMTU and 10 mM sodium azide were used as \(^•\)HO and \(^1\)O₂ scavengers, respectively. 96-well plates were irradiated with 625 nm light (119 mWcm⁻²) for H=90 Jcm⁻². At predetermined times, irradiation was suspended and the fluorescence signal of SOG (\(\lambda_{ex}=494\) nm and \(\lambda_{em}=525\) nm) and HPF (\(\lambda_{ex}=490\) nm and \(\lambda_{em}=515\) nm) was obtained using a plate reader (SpectroMax plate reader, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, US). PDT-related ROS signal was determined by subtracting the SOG and HPF fluorescence.
in the presence of scavengers from the total signal of control samples. The ROS was measured using water, incomplete DMEM (without FBS and antibiotics) and complete DMEM (supplemented with FBS and antibiotics) as solvents.

**Cell culture**

Human (HT1376, ATCC #CRL-1472) and rat (AY27, a gift from Dr. Selman at the University of Toledo, Toledo, OH) bladder carcinoma were used in *in vitro* experiments. Bladder cancer just like other malignancies show an upregulation of the Tf-R. Here bladder cancer cell lines were selected as representative of the first indication for clinical trials of these new photosensitizers. H1376 cells were confirmed via short tandem repeat profiles, which were not available for AY27 cells. Cells were cultured either in RPMI 1640 media (AY27) or DMEM media (HT1376) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin (5,000 units mL\(^{-1}\)) and streptomycin (5,000 µL mL\(^{-1}\)) (Gibco, Invitrogen, Burlington, Canada), and maintained at 37°C in 5% CO\(_2\). Media used for culturing and plating cells contained phenol red; media used during PDT light irradiation contained neither phenol red nor sodium pyruvate. Cells were passaged at 80% confluence with media exchange every 2-3 days and used form passage number 6 to 27.

**Imaging of cellular uptake**

To determine cellular uptake of TLD1433 or b-Rutherrin, cells were plated in Nunc Labtek II 8-chamber slides (Cat #154534, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 40,000cell cm\(^{-2}\). NucBlue® Live was used as nuclear dye. Imaging was performed on a Zeiss confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM700, Jena, Germany). Multiple fields of view were imaged with separate channels for the nuclear dye (\(\lambda_{ex}=405\) nm, DAPI emission range), TLD1433 luminescence (\(\lambda_{ex}=488\) nm, longpass \(\lambda_{em}=630\) nm) and bright field. Quantitative analysis of luminescence was performed using Fiji software. Intensity histograms were obtained on a constant image stack, normalized for the cell number per image.

**In vitro PDT**

Cells in 200µL media were plated at 10,000 cells per well in 96-well plates (Falcon, Invitrogen, CA, USA) 24 hours prior to PDT. Two plates were prepared for each experiment, with light exposure to establish cytotoxicity and one control plate without light for dark toxicity. For PDT, the media was replaced with phenol red and pyruvate-free media plus TLD1433 or Rutherrin at varying concentrations of TLD1433 8-64 µM with the Tf fraction held to 5-10 µM. Rutherrin was incubated for 1 hour at 37°C prior to adding to
cell cultures, to allow for complex formation. Following 30 or 90min PS incubation, excess TLD1433 or Rutherrin was removed and replaced with fresh phenol red and pyruvate-free media, followed by PDT light irradiation. The short incubation times were selected based on prior knowledge that a steady state is reached, and also the limited time available for bladder instillation during initial human trials.

Light activation of the PS was conducted using a 96-laser diode array light source (TLD 3000, Theralase Inc. Toronto, ON, Canada) by 625nm light (119 mWcm\(^{-2}\)) for H=90±6 Jcm\(^{-2}\). After irradiation, cells returned to the dark in standard incubators for 20 hours.

Cell viability was measured by the Presto Blue Cell viability assay quantifying fluorescence (\(\lambda_{\text{ex}}=560 \ \text{nm}, \ \lambda_{\text{em}}=600 \ \text{nm}\)) by plate reader. Dark toxicity reflects PS cell kill in the absence of light. Normalized PDT-mediated cell kill is total cell kill minus dark toxicity and light only toxicity.

**MTD50**

The toxicity and PDT response following TLD1433 and m-Rutherrin were evaluated *in vivo* as reported previously\(^1\). 8–10 week old BALB/C mice were used for *in vivo* experiments, carried out in accordance with the University Health Network guidelines (IACUC approval date 08/03/2012, assurance number A5408-01). Animals were housed with water and food supplied *ad libitum* at 12-hour day/night cycle.

The MTD50 for TLD1433 and m-Rutherrin was determined in non-tumor–bearing animals as described previously\(^1\) following the Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals\(^2\). Animal distress definition followed the classification of clinical signs given by the Federation for Laboratory Animal Science Associations guidelines\(^19,40\).

**"In Vivo" PDT**

The subcutaneous CT26.CL25 tumor model was described previously\(^1\). When a tumor reached 5-6 mm, 12.5 \(\mu\)M of TLD1433 or m-Rutherrin in 100 \(\mu\)L 20%PG-saline were delivered via intra-tumor (IT) injection using a syringe pump (#NE1000, New Era Pump Systems Inc., Farmingdale, NY, USA) at 0.01mLmin\(^{-1}\). Intratumoral injection is among the established routes of photosensitizer delivery (75) and allows easy light delivery in experimental model (. After 4 hours, tumors were irradiated with light at 808nm. The tumor was positioned above a 1.3 cm diameter aperture in a platform enabling light irradiation. A water blanket, set to \(\sim30 \ ^\circ\text{C}\), was lightly placed on top of the mouse to assist in removing heat due to optical energy absorbed by blood. Optical energy was delivered with irradiance of 400 mWcm\(^{-2}\) for H=600 Jcm\(^{-2}\).
Mice were monitored daily and euthanized when tumors reached 10x10 mm, with Kaplan-Meier plots indicating days post PDT. PDT groups comprised 9 animals, except the drug-only group (n=2) and light-only (n=3).

**Statistical analysis**

The effects of Tf concentration and incubation time on dark toxicity and PDT effect were analyzed using two-way ANOVA, and differences between the specific groups were analyzed by post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test. The data are graphed as mean (± standard error of the mean). LD$_{50}$ with 95% confidence intervals were also calculated for PDT effect dose response curves by using a non-linear, sigmoid fitting model with a variable slope using GraphPad Prism 6.05. For in vivo survival analyses, Kaplan-Meier curves were established based on 9 mice for TLD1433 and Rutherrin, with significance (p=0.05) tested by Mantel-Cox test.

**Results**

**Spectroscopic observations**

Mixing of TLD1433 with water alone, incomplete, or complete cell culture media (Fig 2A) demonstrated an increased molar extinction coefficient (M.E.C.) in complete media compared to the other solvents for the 500-800 nm range. The gain in molar extinction coefficient for complete versus incomplete media was 2.2, 9.1, and 6.4-fold at 530, 625, and 808 nm, respectively, whereas absorption of TLD1433 in incomplete media was not different from that of water (Fig 2C), suggesting that protein components in complete media contributed to the observed absorption increase.

Fig 2B shows the M.E.C. spectra for 10 µM b-Rutherrin (2.5 µM b-Tf), 2.5 µM b-Tf and 10 µM TLD1433 in phosphate buffer. The M.E.C. of Rutherrin increased at 280 nm and in the 450 to 520 nm range, reaching 4.3 times at 525 nm over TLD1433. Absorption in the 660 nm to NIR range, negligible for TLD1433 alone, was increased 16.5 times at 660 nm and 5.7 times at 808 nm (Fig 2D).

The Rutherrin spectral Tf-binding signatures were similar for b-Tf and Tf (Fig 3), and Rutherrin signature was similar to that of TLD1433 in complete media. The signature of Rutherrin was similar to Fe$^{3+}$ holo-Tf with the 400-500nm maximum blue shifted (Fig 3). TLD1433 was able to bind to holo-Tf although to a lesser extent at pH=7.4 than in Rutherrin (Fig 4).

M.E.C. of TLD1433 at 420 nm (corresponding to the peak spectral signature of TLD1433-Tf binding for Rutherrin) diminished with lower pH. The spectra of Rutherrin and TLD1433+holo-Tf demonstrated M.E.C.
reduction however absorbance remaining higher than for TLD1433 alone. Moreover, Rutherrin and TLD1433+holo-Tf increased in extinction at 420 nm at pH<7 whereas holo-Tf-Fe$^{3+}$ extinction reduced with lower pH, as anticipated. (Fig 4).

Spectral analysis showed immediate and stable TLD1433 association with holo-Tf, and while similar rapid association with BSA was achieved, it spectrally reverted partially over 20 min post mixing of equal molar concentrations of the photosensitizer and protein. Monitoring the M.E.C. of the proteins, Tf and BSA, showed no pH dependent in their absorption at 270, 420, 600 and 800 nm. TLD1433 association with BSA and Tf resulted in no spectral changes at 270 and 420 nm due to acidification. The pH dependent M.E.C. losses at 530, 600 and 800 nm seen for the PS-Tf and TLD1433-BSA mirror those seen for TLD1433, hence spectroscopically there is no evidence for a dissociation of the TLD1433 and TF for a pH as low as 3.17 whereas the M.E.C. of TLD1433 at all monitored wavelength abruptly drops around 5.5 during acidification (data not shown). This suggests that the TLD1433-Tf complex association is strong enough to be maintained also in the endosomes where Fe$^{2+}$ and BSA dissociate.

![Graphs showing absorption changes of TLD1433 due to media composition and premixing with transferrin.](image)

**Fig 2. Absorption changes of TLD1433 due to media composition and premixing with transferrin.**

(A, B) M.E.C. of TLD1433 in different media and following premixing with Tf. (A) 10 µM TLD1433 in DI-water (grey), in incomplete DMEM (dashed black) and in complete DMEM (solid black). (B) b-Tf (grey), 10 µM TLD1433 (dashed black), 10 µM b-Rutherrin (2.5 µM b-Tf) (light gray) in 10 mM phosphate buffer+100 mM NaCl, pH=7.2. (C, D) Ratios of M.E.C. (C) 10 µM TLD1433 in incomplete DMEM over water (grey) or in complete DMEM over incomplete DMEM (solid black). (D) 10 µM Rutherrin over 10 µM TLD1433. Data averages of n=3-5 measurements.
Fig 3. TLD1433 binding signatures dependent on transferrin species. The Rutherrin (10 µM TLD1433) spectral Tf-binding signatures were similar for 10 µM b-Tf (dashed line) and 10 µM h-Tf (solid line) and similar but not identical to the signature of Fe³⁺ in 10 µM holo-Tf (gray dotted line). They were also similar to that of TLD1433 in complete media (see Fig 2). Optically determined association between TLD1433 and b-Tf or holo-Tf was investigated in the pH range from 3.86 to 7.40 adjusted by adding 0.1 M HCl and verified by pH meter (Orion 3 Start, Thermo Scientific, MA, USA).

Fig 4. Dependence of absorption of TLD1433, Rutherrin and transferrin on pH at 630 nm. 10 µM TLD1433 alone (white circles), 10µM Rutherrin (10µM apo-b-Tf, black circles) and 10µM TLD1433+10 µM holo-b-Tf (grey circles) decreased their M.E.C. at lower pH. However, lowering the pH from 7.4 to 3.68 did not decrease the binding of 10 µM TLD1433 to 10 µM apo-b-Tf and to 10 µM holo-b-Tf suggesting that TLD1433 is not separated from Tf in this range of pH. The M.E.C. of 10 µM apo-b-Tf (dark grey circles) and 10 µM holo-b-Tf (grey triangles) are also shown. Magnitude of the binding signature can be derived upon subtracting M.E.C. of TLD1433 and also M.E.C. of apo-Tf and holo-Tf from the M.E.C. of Rutherrin and TLD1433+holo-b-Tf respectively. The plot suggests an increase of the signature magnitude at lower pH.

The increased Rutherrin absorption was reflected by increased luminescence emission compared to TLD1433 (Fig 5). The emission maximum blue shifted 5nm from 624 nm. While the molar extinction at 470
nm increased by a factor of ~1.5, luminescence emission increased three-fold, hinting at redistribution of the quantum yields determining a PS’s de-excitation from its singlet excited state.

Fig 5. Luminescence of TLD1433 and Rutherrin.
Luminescence emission of 10µM TLD1433 (dashed line) and 10µM b-Rutherrin (2.5µM b-Tf) (solid line) in PBS solution for (A) 380 nm and (B) 470 nm excitation. The peak at about 745 nm shown on Panel A indicates the 2nd diffraction of the excitation light.

Photobleaching

The 525 nm irradiation-induced photobleaching of Rutherrin was lowered by approximately 15 % after H=200 Jcm⁻² (130 mWcm⁻²) compared to TLD1433. Figure 6 shows absorption changes at the activation wavelength as function of photons absorbed with photobleaching reduced by approximately 50 %. No spectral changes in the absorption spectra were observed across the entire spectral range.

Fig 6. Photobleaching of TLD1433 and Rutherrin.
Photobleaching (% initial M.E.C.) of 10 µM TLD1433 (white circles) and 10 µM b-Rutherrin (2.5 µM Tf, black circles) both in 10 mM phosphate buffer+100 mM NaCl, pH=7.2 irradiated with 130 mWcm⁻² at 530 nm.
ROS production in cell-free environment

ROS signals were corrected for the number of photons absorbed to generating comparable dose responses. Negligible or no ROS generation by TLD1433 alone was observed for 625 nm irradiation in water (Fig 7). In incomplete medium, virtually no $^1$O$_2$ generation (Fig 8A), but considerable $^\cdot$HO generation (Fig 8B) was detected; in complete medium $^1$O$_2$ signal was stronger and $^\cdot$HO signal was similar to incomplete medium.

**Fig 7. Generation of ROS signal by TLD1433 in water under red light.**

ROS signal generated by TLD1433 alone for 625nm (570 mWcm$^{-2}$ irradiance) irradiation in water (open circles for singlet oxygen, black circles for hydroxyl radical indicator). Negligible to no ROS generation was observed.

**Fig 8. Generation of ROS by TLD1433 and Rutherrin in DMEM under red light.**

Light mediated generation of ROS (625 nm, 570 mWcm$^{-2}$ irradiance) using complete DMEM (crosses), 500 µM TLD1433 in incomplete DMEM (open circles) or 500 µM b-Rutherrin in incomplete DMEM with 2.5 µM b-Tf (black circles) or 10 µM b-Tf (gray circles), (A) $^1$O$_2$ and (B) $^\cdot$HO indicators signal intensity.
Rutherrin with 2.5 μM b-Tf induced improvements in $^1\text{O}_2$ signal compared to incomplete medium but no improvement in $^\cdot\text{HO}$ signal. Rutherrin with 10 μM b-Tf induced stronger $^1\text{O}_2$ signal and also a strong $^\cdot\text{HO}$ signal compared to incomplete medium. ROS signals increased with the increase in b-Tf concentration in Rutherrin. Also, while the presence of 2.5 μM b-Tf was enough to reproduce $^\cdot\text{HO}$ signal in complete medium, 10 μM b-Tf was required to reproduce a noticeable $^1\text{O}_2$ signal. During NIR (808 nm) irradiation (720 mWcm$^{-2}$ H<600 Jcm$^{-2}$), TLD1433 and Rutherrin in incomplete media generated no detectable $^1\text{O}_2$ signals and only a weak $^\cdot\text{HO}$ signal (Fig 9).

![Graphs showing ROS generation by TLD1433 and Rutherrin](image)

Fig 9. Generation of ROS by TLD1433 and Rutherrin in DMEM under NIR light. Generation of ROS by 500 μM TLD1433 (open circles) or 500 μM b-Rutherrin (10 μM b-Tf, black circles) in incomplete DMEM upon irradiation with NIR light (808 nm, 720 mWcm$^{-2}$): (A) singlet oxygen and (B) HPF fluorescence as hydroxyl radical indicators.

**Intracellular uptake**

AY27 cells incubated in incomplete media or with Tf alone did not exhibit luminescence at 630nm excitation after 40 minutes but after 150 minutes Rutherrin-incubated cells exhibit luminescence (630 nm) after 40 minutes (Fig 10) extending across the cytoplasm. By 150 min luminescence is mostly in proximity to the nuclear membrane. Frequency histograms of luminescence >630 nm showed an increased occurrence of high luminescence intensities [bits] following incubation with Rutherrin over TLD1433 (Fig 11). Uptake of PS into the nuclei was not observed (Fig 10). Bright field images showed alterations of the cell shape due to membrane blebbing at 40 and 150 min post TLD1433 incubation, whereas no blebbing is seen for Rutherrin (Fig 12).
Fig 10. Intracellular uptake of TLD433 and Rutherrin by AY27 cells.
Uptake of 23.2µM TLD1433 or 23.2µM Rutherrin (10µM Tf) by AY27 cells. Red luminescence (488 nm excitation) indicates presence of the PS, blue fluorescence identifies nuclei. The images are shown for (top) 40 min and (bottom) 150 min incubation.

Fig 11. Luminescence frequency profiles for Fig 10 images.
Luminescence (>630 nm) frequency histograms of images in Fig 10, (A, C) after 40 min incubation and (B, D) after 150 min incubation. Controls (solid black), 10 µM Tf only (dashed black), 23.2 µM TLD1433 (dotted black) and 23.2 µM Rutherrin (10 µM Tf) (grey). Full histograms (A, B) and zoomed portion of them (C, D) are shown.
**Fig 12. Bright field images corresponding to Fig 10 luminescent images.**

Bright field images of AY27 cells upon incubation with 23.2 µM TLD1433 or 23.2 µM Rutherrin (10 µM Tf). The images are collected after 40 min incubation time (top row) and after 150 min incubation time (bottom row). Cellular blebbing is visible for TLD1433 (third column from the left).

**In vitro PDT**

The PDT efficacy of TLD1433 and Rutherrin was concentration-dependent, whereby higher PS concentrations were associated with greater PDT-induced cell kill for 625nm irradiation (Fig 13). In AY27 cells, Rutherrin had an increased PDT effect compared to TLD1433, in particular observed for Rutherrin at 16-32 µM versus TLD1433 (P<0.001), with improvement for 16 µM after 30 minutes and 32 µM after 90 minutes of incubation (P<0.05). Concentration of Tf in Rutherrin did not affect the PDT effect. There was an additive effect of increased incubation time on the PDT effect of Rutherrin at 4-16 µM versus TLD1433 (P<0.05-0.0001). Between-groups significant effects were observed only at 8 µM of TLD1433 in Rutherrin (P<0.05-0.01). Improvement of PDT efficacy by Rutherrin was confirmed by significantly lower LD₅₀ at 30 min incubation, with a trend of reduced LD₅₀ at 90 min incubation (Table 1).

Importantly, Rutherrin decreased dark toxicity in AY27 cells compared to TLD1433 over the 16-64 µM concentration range (P<0.01-0.0001). Dark toxicity decreased for 16 µM Rutherrin after 30 and 90 minutes loading (P<0.01-0.0001) and for 32-64 µM after 90 minutes loading (P<0.01). Conversely, no significant impact of Rutherrin on either dark toxicity or PDT efficacy versus TLD1433 were observed in HT1376 cells (Fig 14).
Fig 13. Dark toxicity and PDT effect of TLD1433 and Rutherrin on AY27 cells.
Concentration-dependent TLD1433 and 4-64 μM Rutherrin dark toxicity and PDT-mediated cell kill in rat AY27 cells as percent of control, following (A, B) 30 minutes or (C, D) 90 min incubation. (A, C) Dark toxicity, (B, D) following 625 nm light (119 mWcm⁻², 90 Jcm⁻²) mediated PDT for TLD1433 (light grey) and Rutherrin (5 μM Tf) (grey) or Rutherrin (10 μM Tf) (black). Asterisks indicate significant effect of Tf (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001); crosses show significant effect of incubation time (+P<0.05, ++P<0.01, N=3 for all groups).

Table 1. LD50 for dark toxicity and PDT effect on AY27 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LD50 (μM)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Dark/PDT ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dark</strong></td>
<td>&gt;64.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDT, 30 min incubation time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLD1433</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>13.5 to 21.4</td>
<td>&gt;3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherrin (5 μM Tf)</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.0 to 12.9*</td>
<td>&gt;5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherrin (10 μM Tf)</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.0 to 13.4*</td>
<td>&gt;5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDT, 90 min incubation time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLD1433</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.8 to 14.3</td>
<td>&gt;6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherrin (5 μM Tf)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.9 to 8.6</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherrin (10 μM Tf)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.2 to 7.7</td>
<td>&gt;10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Dark LD50 is >64.0 μM for all experimental groups. Asterisks denote significant difference (P<0.05) between TLD1433 and Rutherrin mediated PDT.
Fig 14. Dark toxicity and PDT effect of TLD1433 and Rutherrin on HT1376 cells.
Concentration-dependent TLD1433 and 4-64µM Rutherrin dark toxicity and PDT-mediated induced cell kill in human HT1376 cells following (A, B) 30 min or (C, D) 90 min incubation. (A, C) Dark toxicity, (B, D) following 625nm light (119 mWcm⁻², 90 Jcm⁻²) mediated PDT for TLD1433 (light grey) and Rutherrin (5 µM Tf) (grey) or Rutherrin (10 µM Tf) (black). N=2 for all groups.

**In vivo PDT**

**Determination of the MTD**

Injection of Rutherrin containing 12.5 µM m-Tf increased the MTD dose to above 200 mgkg⁻¹, as compared to 110 mgkg⁻¹ for TLD1433 alone for IP administration, with all animals alive for 2 weeks.

**PDT survival**

Kaplan-Meier plots of tumor-bearing mice treated with TLD1433 or Rutherrin (12.5 µM m-Tf) mediated PDT at 808 nm (Fig 15) show no significant survival increase for TLD1433 versus light only (P=0.179) or PS dark toxicity (P=0.164). Conversely, Rutherrin-mediated PDT showed an improvement survival compared to light only (P=0.0032) and PS only (P=0.0182), with a trend to improved long-term survival for Rutherrin versus TLD1433-mediated PDT (P=0.0633) with ~70 % of animals surviving >90 days post-PDT. This data highlights 2 beneficial aspects of Rutherrin over TLD1433 mediated PDT: decreased systemic toxicity and improved post-PDT survival.
Fig 15. In vivo effect of TLD1433 and Rutherrin mediated PDT.
Tumor free survival after 50 mg kg⁻¹ TLD1433 or m-Rutherrin (12.5 µM m-Tf) mediated PDT in the CT26.CL25 subcutaneous tumor model. For NIR PDT 5x5 mm tumors were treated with H=600 J cm⁻² 808 nm photons. Light only (squares), TLD1433 only (circles), TLD1433 PDT (rhombuses), Rutherrin PDT (triangles).

DISCUSSION

There is intense research related to Ru²⁺ complexes as photosensitizers for PDT, recently reviewed by Mari et al. Some Ru-complexes have been shown to be excitable by NIR radiation so generally only in context of multiphoton excitation. Here an approach to achieve adequate NIR activation for cw light sources is presented, while simultaneously reducing dark toxicity.

Binding of TLD1433 to Transferrin, forming Rutherrin, resulted in photophysical, pharmacokinetic, and toxicology improvements, making Rutherrin a more potent photosensitizing drug than TLD1433 alone. These effects include an increased M.E.C. extending into the NIR, reduced dark toxicity in vitro, increased \(^1\)O₂ and \(^*\)HO generation quantum yield compared to TLD1433 alone in incomplete media, improved MTD in vivo, a cell line dependent improved PDT mediate cell kill and a trend towards increased therapeutic index in vitro and in vivo.

Binding of metal ions and metal-based compounds to Tf is well established, with Fe³⁺ bind to two specific binding pockets via electrostatic interactions for pH>5.5, forming holo-Tf, and a detectable absorption increase at 280nm, reflecting conformational changes, deprotonation of Tf, and a new 430nm absorption due to energy transfer between Fe³⁺ and Tf. This was also noted upon adding TLD1433 to complete media or Tf generating Rutherrin. Additional absorption changes, attributable to TLD1433, were noted above 480 nm (Fig 2). b-Rutherrin M.E.C. increased 4.5, 17.2 and 4.6-fold over TLD1433 at 530nm, 625nm and 808nm, respectively.
The improved absorption beyond 625 nm opens the possibility for red and NIR-mediated PDT. Absorption increases depend on the actual complex that binds to transferrin. Arsene et al. 49 show absorbance and luminescence loss upon binding of a Ru\textsuperscript{3+}-complex to proteins. The pH dependent spectroscopic studies indicated that Rutherrin remained intact even at pH < 5, suggesting the complex’s intracellular integrity.

Stability of the TLD1433-protein adduct at low pH does not yet guarantee pH resistance of the improved absorbance in PDT relevant range of wavelengths (green to NIR) that was attained vs. TLD1433 alone. The adducts may survive an acidified environment without retaining the same absorption properties.

Spectral signature of Rutherrin is stable at low pH suggesting stability of this TLD1433-apo-Tf adduct. Absorbance of Rutherrin is still increased vs. TLD1433 at low pH in red and NIR range and retains substantial part of absorbance improvement in green range. Similar situation is observed with TLD1433-holo-Tf adduct. Moreover, the magnitude of the signature peaks increases at low pH, probably in parallel with the loss of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} by holo-Tf. TLD1433-BSA adduct demonstrates pH-dependent decrease in UV signature peak (associated with the protein conformation) but stability of blue range peak (associated with interaction between Ru\textsuperscript{2+} and protein). TLD1433+BSA adduct retains absorbance gain at low pH in red and NIR range and partly retains it in green range. This suggests that the absorbance gain in visible-NIR range attained by Rutherrin and TLD1433-BSA adducts can completely resist low pH occurring in endosomes while demonstrating pH-resistant signatures of TLD1433-protein binding.

Thus, while the intracellular transport of the PS remains somewhat uncertain and accumulation of it outside the nucleus due to the properties of the relative much larger Tf is likely. It is rather certain that Rutherrin will retain the favourable optical properties also intracellular. Transition metal binding to Tf has been shown for other ions 50-54 and complexes 55,56 including Ru\textsuperscript{2+} 57 and Ru\textsuperscript{3+} 39,58-61 compounds. Ru\textsuperscript{2+} complexes bind electrostatically 62 or via a N-donor 57 whereas Ru\textsuperscript{3+} complexes produce covalent metal-protein conjugates 58-60 retained intracellularly 63. While bicarbonate is essential for Fe\textsuperscript{3+} Tf binding 64, it is not required for TLD1433 binding as also shown for other Ru\textsuperscript{2+} complexes 65. High binding ratios between metal complexes and Tf have been reported 49,63,66 and Ru\textsuperscript{2+} complexes can bind to Tf independently of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} 57,62,67. For the molar ratios of TLD1433 and Tf employed here binding appears complete, while not introducing an excessive load of Tf into the biological system. Some Ru\textsuperscript{2+} complexes bind stronger to holo-Tf than apo-Tf, increasing with the number of metal ions in the complex 62, while Ru complexes may be cooperative in Tf binding with Fe\textsuperscript{3+} to Tf for higher cellular accumulation 68. The reduced dark in vivo...
toxicity suggests that premixing of TLD1433 with Tf prior to administration improves supply of Fe$^{3+}$ to the cells as it does not deplete Tf.

TLD1433 binds to both apo-Tf and holo-Tf with binding signatures less pronounced for holo-Tf at pH=7.4, probably due to prior Fe$^{3+}$ binding (Fig 4). This is in contrast to the improved binding of Ru$^{3+}$ based NAMI-A to holo-Tf compared to apo-Tf. Nevertheless, successful binding of TLD1433 to holo-Tf can have positive clinical implications because recognition of holo-Tf by Tf-R is guaranteed in comparison to apo-Tf, ensuring active uptake of the PS into cells.

There is no evidence that TLD1433 displaces Fe$^{3+}$ from the holo-Tf upon binding to it because the difference between signature peaks for TLD1433-apoTf and TLD1433-holoTf is lesser than the magnitude of the signature peak of Fe$^{3+}$ in holo-Tf. Also, subtracting of the signature of TLD1433-holoTf from the signature of Rutherrin does not provide spectrum characteristic for free Fe$^{3+}$ ions (data not shown). This is in accordance of the literature data indicating that binding of Ru$^{3+}$ complexes does not affect Tf conformation, similarly to the binding of Zn$^{2+}$ and Cu$^{2+}$ ions.

While Rutherrin does not disrupt Tf-mediated Fe$^{3+}$ dependent physiological activities and indeed the Ru$^{3+}$ is not know to achieve the conformational change in Tf required for its recognition by Tf-R hence, binding of both TLD1433 and Fe$^{3+}$, or the use of apo-Tf is required. Ru$^{3+}$ complexes were shown to bind holo-Tf at 20:1 ratio, whereas TLD1433 binds holo-Tf only at premix stoichiometry of 2:1. TLD1433 binding to both apo- and holo-Tf was not disrupted by low pH, unlike binding of Fe$^{3+}$ to holo-Tf, indicating that TLD1433 remains bound to Tf after intracellular uptake, thus retaining improved absorbance and ROS generating properties, leading to the improved PDT efficacy. Retaining of TLD1433 binding to Tf at low pH suggests Fe$^{3+}$ site-independent covalent binding. For example, it was shown that NAMI-A does not displace Fe$^{3+}$ upon binding to holo-Tf and that binding is irreversible at pH=7.0. However, NAMI-A’s activity is not photo mediated, binding to proteins decreases its efficacy in vivo and in vitro, whereas our study demonstrates the possibility of improved photoactive efficacy by TLD1433 upon protein binding.

Some studies suggest that Ru$^{3+}$ complexes conjugated to Tf can be released from endosomes into cytosol and further to nucleus (54). However, Tf remains normally bound to Tf-R at low pH upon endocytosis, which is essential for the recycling of Tf back to extracellular space while Fe$^{3+}$ is released into cytosol and is further transported to mitochondria (77). Hence, PDT-mediated activation of the photosensitizer is more likely to occur in endosomes containing Rutherrin bound to TfR. Possibility of this is indicated by Dobrucki (78).
The luminescence intensity gains by Rutherrin (3.3-fold) exceed the extinction gains at 470 nm, suggesting a change in the relative contributions of luminescence, internal conversion and triplet state quantum yields. The quantum yields vary with solvent, suggesting ionic concentration and pH as confounders to ROS production.

Rutherrin had a 40-50 % reduced photobleaching rate over TLD1433 in PBS and better than for TLD1433 with BSA, both providing alternative ROS targets \(^\text{18}\), whereby Tf is likely more efficient due to direct physical proximity to the ROS source. Exposure of Tf to ROS does not affect TLD1433 binding to Tf as no spectral changes are observed post irradiation (data not shown).

Rutherrin-reduced photobleaching over TLD1433 is advantageous in PDT as it increases the PS’s ability to generate more ROS for a fixed concentration \(^\text{70}\).

ROS generation by TLD1433 was measured in three different solvent environments. DMEM supplemented by FBS and antibiotics (complete DMEM) emulated extracellular environment containing ions, low molecular weight organic molecules and proteins (including Tf). Incomplete medium (with supplementation by FBS and antibiotics) was used to preserve ionic and low molecular weight components but to exclude Tf (and other proteins). Finally, water was used as a simplest solvent devoid both ionic and organic components. Tf was added to the incomplete DMEM to elucidate the specific role of Tf in ROS production. For TLD1433, *HO generation dominates over \(^1\text{O}_2\), which is indicative for type I photoreaction. Proteins in complete DMEM improved \(^1\text{O}_2\) but not *HO generation. In contrast, b-Rutherrin containing 2.5 µM b-Tf, at concentration equivalent to Tf in complete media, did not improve \(^1\text{O}_2\) to the extent of complete media, requiring 10 µM b-Tf to achieve this. This suggests a role of other components (salts, proteins, and small organic molecules) in improved ROS generation in complete medium. Further b-Tf concentration increase resulted also in an increased *HO generation (Fig 8).

Improved ROS generation by Rutherrin as a function of radiant exposure reflects its increased M.E.C. over TLD1433. For red and NIR activation wavelength, ROS generation showed a nonlinear increase as a function of radiant exposure (see Figures 8, 9), suggesting the presence of other factors, such as an absorbed energy threshold, for detectable ROS generation as the real ROS yield may be underreported due to bicarbonate acting as electron acceptor reducing hydroxyl generation. ROS quantum yield modifiers are also suggested by the higher \(^1\text{O}_2\) production in complete media versus Rutherrin containing similar concentrations of Tf.
In vitro experiments were executed using bladder cancer cell lines as bladder cancer is an obvious first target for clinical translation using intracavity instillation to minimize systemic toxicity, particular due to the high Tf-R expression in these tumours (14)

The predominant type I photoreaction observed following 625 nm and 808 nm irradiation can facilitate an added in vivo advantage due to the longer lifetime of *HO in biological systems, findings which could also explain Type I PDT observed previously 39 for a comparable Ru²⁺ compound. Mixed Type I/II photoreaction have been reported previously 71,72, with *HO being a reaction product of O₂ 73.

Intracellular luminescence of TLD1433 and Rutherrin in cell cultures (Figures 10, 11) indicates rapid uptake of Rutherrin co-localizing with organelles proximal to the nucleus, whereby for short incubations times the fluorescence intensity difference exceeds the anticipated higher fluorescence due to increased absorption coefficient and increased fluorescence quantum yield. For prolonged incubation of 150min, no significant increase in cellular luminescence was observed beyond that anticipated due to the higher attenuation coefficient, nor evidence of nuclear localization of TLD1433 or Rutherrin, as reported for similar complexes in HL-60 cells 17. Lack of nuclear localization speaks against DNA damage as a primary mechanism of action, despite report of TLD1433 cleaving DNA plasmids in solution 17. While Ru²⁺-based PSs have been shown to localize in the nucleus 41 significant fractions remained in the cytosol and organelles, primarily in mitochondria 68,70,74. The absence of nuclear localization precludes DNA damage as a mechanism of action but also prevents undesirable oncogenic modifications. There was noticeable luminescence of Rutherrin (10 µM Tf) but not of TLD1433 40 minutes after loading. Taking into consideration the higher luminescence emission, this indicates an active uptake of Rutherrin. Rutherrin appeared to protect cells from short-term stress such as membrane blebbing induced by TLD1433 incubation (Fig 12). At 150 min, the luminescence is similar between the two PSs, so considering the higher molar extinction for Rutherrin, 2 effects can be root cause. Rutherrin remains intact and TLD1433 equally bound to proteins with improved absorption and hence the higher luminescence does translate into higher drug concentration. Should TLD1433 remain unbound Tf at pH<5.5 luminescence may not indicate a higher PS concentration. Therefore, the improved PDT effects for AY27 cells are due to a higher efficacy or higher concentration. Luminescence for TLD1433 observed at 150 minutes can be due to passive diffusion following membrane blebbing (Fig 12).

TLD1433 is a powerful photosensitizer, with red light PDT LD₅₀ as low as 4 µM and PDT-mediated cell kill as high as 1.35 logs of cell kill for U87 human glioblastoma cells and 1.2 logs of cell kill for HT1376 cells (data not shown). In the present study, TLD1433 PDT efficacy in red light reached 1.55 logs cell kill so limited by the photon density provided.
Moreover, in green light (532 nm) PDT mediated LD_{50} for TLD1433 was <1 nM for radiant exposure (H)>90 Jcm^{-2}. The PDT LD_{50} of 4 µM for red activation or in the sub nM region for 525 nm excitation compared favourably against other Ru^{2+} Polypyridyl complexes. 

Rutherrin further increased PDT efficacy at constant H compared to TLD1433 for AY27 cells after 30 and 90-minute incubation. Conversely, there was virtually no PDT efficacy improvement for HT1376 cells, requiring further testing of cell lines and their Tf-R status. Incubation times < 2 hours are preferable to maximize the therapeutic ratio between dark and PDT toxicity for both TLD1433 and Rutherrin and uptake is faster for Rutherrin.

Improved PDT efficacy of Rutherrin at constant H is novel for this complex and different from prior results. It is noteworthy that 5 µM Tf is enough to ensure this improvement by intracellular transport of TLD1433 bound to Tf, as further increase of Tf concentration does not increase PDT efficacy. Increased Rutherrin efficacy in vitro (Figures 13, 14) translated into in vivo studies, as demonstrated by an increased survival rate after Rutherrin mediated NIR-PDT (Fig 15). This is in accordance with the findings that while Rutherrin has higher activity in vitro PDT and ROS production in red light, it retains some ROS production capacity in NIR light. Retention of the NIR activity also suggests that Rutherrin remains intact within the cell. The high in vivo NIR mediated PDT survival was not predicted based on experiments producing little ROS in a cell-free environment, suggesting that high in vivo NIR-PDT efficacy may be subject to other mediators, beyond the increased M.E.C. at 808 nm seen in figure 2.

While the M.E.C at 525nm is about an order of magnitude higher than at 808 nm, see figure 2, the photon density delivered by the experiments reported on by Fong et al using 525 nm was 4.8 times lower (5.07 \times 10^{20} \text{ h} \nu \text{ versus} 2.44 \times 10^{21} \text{ h} \nu for 192 Jcm^{-2} at 525nm versus 600 Jcm^{-2} at 808 nm, respectively) and if the two wavelength resulted in the same ROS quantum yield, PDT with 525 nm should have generated about twice the ROS concentration than the 808nm mediated PDT, albeit in a shallower volume. Hence, while the longer wave yielding a lower ROS concentration the improved tissue penetration proved beneficial. Additionally, the comparison between TLD1433 and Rutherrin efficacy becomes less tumour size dependent.

CT26.CL25 cells used in the in vivo model tumor antigen beta galactosidase and hence is able to induce anti-tumor immunological response. This, however, does not prevent the tumors growth in the absence of PDT treatment. Moreover, tumor destruction and tumor growth suppression following Rutherrin-mediated PDT was improved compared to the PDT mediated by TLD1433. This suggests direct facilitating effect of Rutherrin on the tumor-free survival of the animals rather than potential anti-tumor immunologic effect.
It is noteworthy that premixing of TLD1433 with Tf results in improved intracellular uptake and PDT efficacy both in vitro and in vivo despite albumin is present in culture medium in vitro and in extracellular fluids in vivo at concentration higher than that of Tf and is therefore a strong binding competitor. It is known that some Ru\(^{2+}\) complexes bind to albumin, most likely by covalent bonds, with likely stoichiometry of 6-7:1 (77). Moreover, binding of Ru\(^{2+}\) complexes to albumin was stronger than to apo-Tf and comparable to that of holo-Tf (79). Our data confirm that binding of TLD1433 to albumin is not less than to Tf by the magnitude of spectral signature peaks and results in greater absorbance increase in green to NIR range that is also resistant to acidification to pH=5.5. However, the presence of albumin does not preclude PDT effect of TLD1433, and additional Tf (producing Rutherrin) further improves the PDT effect both in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, low pH-resistant increase in absorbance in green to NIR range upon premixing TLD1433 with albumin can be beneficial for PDT effect independently on the Tf-associated uptake of the PS into cells. Finally, in the case of Rutherrin injection as a part of PDT treatment, TLD1433 is unlikely to be bound by albumin.

**Conclusions**

Our findings suggest a beneficial role of Rutherrin as active PDT PS drug over TLD14433 alone, as the premix with Tf increases; the molar extinction from 400 to 850 nm, photobleaching resistance, ROS production, rapid intracellular uptake, and in vivo PDT efficacy, while decreasing in vivo toxicity. Predominant generation of hydroxyl radicals is an indication for its use in the low oxygen environment present in solid tumors. The combination of Tf-mediated transport and PDT activity at low oxygen concentration due to the dual energy or charge transfer mechanism, are interesting aspects towards targeting cancer stem cells as previously proposed for glioma stem cells \(^{75}\) and leukemia \(^{76,77}\).
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Mixing the novel Ru$^{3+}$ complex photosensitizer TLD1433 with transferrin in solution prior to administration generates a photosensitizing drug with reduced dark toxicity and improved photophysical properties active at NIR wavelength.