

Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Kinetic isotope effect of proton-coupled electron transfer in a hydrogen bonded phenol–pyrrolidino[60]fullerene

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

Janneke Ravensbergen^a, Chelsea L. Brown^b, Gary F. Moore^b, Raoul N. Frese^a, Rienk van Grondelle^a, Devens Gust^b, Thomas A. Moore^b, Ana L. Moore^b and John T. M. Kennis^a

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) plays a central role in photosynthesis and potentially in solar-to-fuel systems. We report a spectroscopy study on a phenol–pyrrolidino[60]fullerene. Quenching of the singlet excited state from 1 ns to 250 ps is assigned to PCET. A H/D exchange study reveals a kinetic isotope effect (KIE) of 3.0, consistent with a concerted PCET mechanism.

Proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) is of key importance in photosynthesis and various other biological processes.¹ In photosystem II, after the initial charge separation the oxidized chlorophyll is reduced in a PCET step by a tyrosine (Tyr₂). The tyrosine phenolic proton is donated to a nearby histidine residue (His 190).^{2, 3} When the tyrosine is reduced by the water oxidation complex it regains a proton. By the coupling of proton movement to these redox reactions photosynthesis avoids high energy intermediates and stabilizes the charge separated state.⁴⁻⁶

In artificial photosynthesis one aim is to use design principles from nature to develop a solar fuel producing system. PCET is one of these principles that can play a crucial role in bridging the timescale of short-lived, reactive intermediates formed by photoinduced charge separation to that of the relative slow process of multi-electron catalysis. Various studies have been performed on artificial PCET systems with various degrees of molecular complexity.^{1, 5, 7-16} In search of a minimal biomimetic construct for photoinduced PCET, Moore et al., have previously reported a phenol–pyrrolidino[60]fullerene in which the fullerene fluorescence lifetime in benzonitrile is reduced from 1.3 ns to 260 ps. This reduction of the fluorescence lifetime was not found in acidified solvent, strongly suggesting a PCET mechanism for the quenching of excited fullerene.¹⁷ This could be either a ‘proton first’, ‘electron first’ or concerted transfer process. We will refer to both the step-wise and concerted mechanisms as PCET.

Here we report a transient absorption spectroscopy study of this putative PCET in the phenol–pyrrolidino[60]fullerene **1** depicted in Figure 1. In this isomer the phenol hydroxyl group is *ortho* to the pyrrolidine moiety and designed to hydrogen bond to the lone pair electrons of the nitrogen on the pyrrolidine. This internal hydrogen bond provides a well-defined structural framework for the PCET process. Reference compound **2** has a hydroxyl group at the *para* position where the internal hydrogen bond cannot be formed. Reference compound **3** lacks the hydroxyl group.




Figure 1. Phenol–pyrrolidino[60]fullerene compounds investigated in the present work.

Previously reported electrochemical measurements revealed that the phenol moiety of compound **1** is thermodynamically capable of reducing singlet excited C₆₀. The phenol moiety in compound **2** and the phenyl group in **3** are thermodynamically incapable of reducing singlet excited C₆₀. This also holds for compound **1** in acidified solvent, where the internal hydrogen bond is disrupted.¹⁷ The steady-state absorption spectra in Figure 2 are dominated by features from fullerene.¹⁸ The extinction coefficient of the compounds is largest in the UV. A small amplitude tail spans the visible part of the spectrum, where maxima are found at 433 nm and 705 nm.

^a Department of Physics and Astronomy, Faculty of Sciences, VU University, De Boelelaan 1081, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

^b Center for Bioenergy and Photosynthesis, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA 85287-1604

† Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x




Figure 2. Normalized absorption spectra of **1** (black), **2** (red) and **3** (blue) in benzonitrile, normalized at 433 nm.

For transient absorption spectroscopy the compounds were excited at 705 nm in the lowest-energy absorption band of fullerene; the instrument response time was 100 fs. Global analysis yields the Evolution Associated Difference Spectra (EADS) in Figure 3. These are the interconverting spectra that follow from analysis with a sequential model. Further details of the measurements and analysis are given in the Materials and Methods section in the supplementary information. Raw transient absorption data is presented in Figure S 2.

For compound **2** (Figure 3A) in aerated benzonitrile two lifetime components are needed for a sufficient fit of the data: 1.3 ns and 386 ns. The spectra are assigned to the singlet and triplet excited state of fullerene and are very similar to those reported for methylfulleropyrrolidine.¹⁸ The first EADS (black) assigned to the singlet state shows excited state absorption in the full probed window. Overlapping, but with smaller amplitude we find ground state bleach. This has a negative contribution to the ΔA signal that can be recognized by the minima at 433 nm and 705 nm. The singlet excited state has a lifetime of 1.3 ns. The second EADS, assigned to the fullerene triplet, has lower amplitude at wavelengths shorter than 585 nm and higher amplitude at longer wavelengths. A maximum is found around 700 nm. The triplet decays to the ground state in 386 ns. Very similar results are found for **3** (Figure S 1).




Figure 3. Evolution Associated Difference Spectra (EADS) of compounds **2** (A) and **1** (B) in aerated benzonitrile upon 705 nm excitation. The lifetimes of the interconverting spectra are given in the legend.

The singlet excited state spectrum of **1** (Figure 3B, black) closely resembles the singlet spectra of **2** and **3**. The lifetime of the singlet state is found to be 250 ps, in agreement with the reported fluorescence lifetime.¹⁷ As a result of the shorter singlet lifetime, less triplet is formed, and the triplet spectrum (which is similar to that of **2** and **3**), is smaller in amplitude (Figure 3B, red and red dash). The reduced singlet lifetime has been assigned to a proton-coupled electron transfer process forming a neutral phenoxyl radical and a zwitterionic pyrrolidino[60]fullerene group (PhO^- - PyrH^+ - C60^\cdot). The zwitterionic state could not be detected. Most probably, the rate constant for recombination is larger than that for formation of the zwitterionic state. In such 'inverted kinetics', the zwitterionic state signal would rise with the time constant of its lifetime, and its transient concentration would be low. The detection of such recombination time constants on fast timescales is further complicated by a large coherent and cross-phase modulation artefact around zero time delay.

To confirm the involvement of proton migration in the photophysical pathway we performed proton transient absorption spectroscopy of compound **1** in aerated benzonitrile with 2% v/v H_2O or D_2O . The protons or deuterium from H_2O or D_2O will exchange with the phenolic proton, the only exchangeable proton of compound **1**. The H/D exchange was confirmed by $^1\text{H-NMR}$. The $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectrum of the compound in equal parts $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CS}_2$ with

2% H₂O was compared to the ¹H-NMR spectrum in equal parts CDCl₃/CS₂ with 2% D₂O. The disappearance of the peak for the phenolic proton was observed after addition of D₂O (Figure S 3 and S 4). We refer to the deuterated compound as **1-D** (**1-H** for H₂O). Figure 4 shows the time trace for the absorption of both compounds at 538 nm. For **1-H** we find a singlet lifetime of 250 ps, similar to the measurement in benzonitrile. In contrast, the singlet lifetime of **1-D** is 546 ps. Based on the lifetime for decay of **2** of 1.3 ns, we estimate the rate of PCET at $3.2 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **1-H** and **1-D** respectively.[‡] Thus, excited state quenching by PCET is associated with a H/D kinetic isotope effect (KIE) of 3.0. For compounds **2** and **3** in benzonitrile with 2% v/v H₂O or D₂O no kinetic isotope effect was found.




Figure 4. Transient absorption spectroscopy time trace of **1** at 538 nm in aerated benzonitrile with 2% H₂O (**1-H**, black) and 2% D₂O (**1-D**, red). The sharp peak at 0 ps is due to a coherent and cross-phase modulation artefact.

This significant kinetic isotope effect for **1** confirms the involvement of proton migration in the quenching of the singlet state. We propose that this PCET process occurs by a concerted mechanism. The size of the KIE shows that the proton is involved in the rate determining step and excludes the ‘electron first’ mechanism for this non-equilibrium PCET process.^{7-11, 19, 20} The ‘proton first’ mechanism is excluded by the large difference in acidity. The pyrrolidine is about 10 pK_a units more acidic than the phenol, based on values in acetonitrile.^{21, 22} Prato et al. have shown that pyrrolidine is even more acidic when attached to fullerene.²³ The pK_a of pyrrolidine is not expected to change much upon excitation of fullerene, because the HOMO and LUMO do not include the atoms around the H-bond.²⁴ Due to the large difference in acidity, proton transfer from phenol to pyrrolidine prior to electron migration would be energetically steeply uphill. Concerted PCET avoids this high energy intermediate.

Constantin et al. reported a phenol-pyrrolidine system with an electrochemically observed KIE of 1.8.²⁵ A possible explanation for the larger KIE in our experiments is the lower pK_a of pyrrolidinofullerene, as compared to pyrrolidine, which leads to a weaker hydrogen bond. Hammes-Schiffer and co-workers discussed the KIE dependence on hydrogen bond strength in PCET processes.²⁶ The trend of increasing KIE with weaker hydrogen bond is explained by the more localized wavefunction in case of deuterium, leading to a faster decay of overlap with increasing donor-acceptor distance. However the opposite trend has been

observed in some systems and explained by the role of vibronic states.²⁶


To summarize, our transient absorption spectroscopy results for compound **1** show a quenching of the singlet excited fullerene, assigned to a proton coupled electron transfer process. We observe a H/D kinetic isotope effect of 3.0 consistent with a concerted PCET mechanism.

Notes and references

‡ From the measured 1.3 ns singlet lifetime of reference compound **2** it follows that the rate of singlet decay is $k_{\text{ref}} = 1/(1.3 \text{ ns}) = 7.7 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$. This rate includes intersystem crossing and internal conversion in compound **2** and is used as estimation for these processes in **1-H** and **1-D**. For **1-H** and **1-D** the singlet decay rate is the sum of k_{ref} and the PCET rate as $k_{\text{sum}} = k_{\text{ref}} + k_{\text{PCET}}$. Here, k_{sum} corresponds to the observed singlet decay rate, which is (1/250 ps) for **1-H** and (1/546 ps) for **1-D**. From this it follows that k_{PCET} is $3.2 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **1-H** and **1-D** respectively.

- B. A. Barry, Reaction dynamics and proton coupled electron transfer: Studies of tyrosine-based charge transfer in natural and biomimetic systems, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 2015, **1847**, 46-54.
- S. Nakamura, R. Nagao, R. Takahashi and T. Noguchi, Fourier Transform Infrared Detection of a Polarizable Proton Trapped between Photooxidized Tyrosine YZ and a Coupled Histidine in Photosystem II: Relevance to the Proton Transfer Mechanism of Water Oxidation, *Biochemistry*, 2014, **53**, 3131-3144.
- T. Noguchi, Fourier transform infrared difference and time-resolved infrared detection of the electron and proton transfer dynamics in photosynthetic water oxidation, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 2015, **1847**, 35-45.
- M. Hambourger, G. F. Moore, D. M. Kramer, D. Gust, A. L. Moore and T. A. Moore, Biology and technology for photochemical fuel production, *Chem Soc Rev*, 2009, **38**, 25-35.
- L. Hammarström and S. Styring, Proton-coupled electron transfer of tyrosines in Photosystem II and model systems for artificial photosynthesis: the role of a redox-active link between catalyst and photosensitizer, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2011, **4**, 2379.
- M. H. V. Huynh and T. J. Meyer, Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5004-5064.
- I. J. Rhile, T. F. Markle, H. Nagao, A. G. DiPasquale, O. P. Lam, M. A. Lockwood, K. Rotter and J. M. Mayer, Concerted Proton-Electron Transfer in the Oxidation of Hydrogen-Bonded Phenols, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 6075-6088.
- J. M. Mayer, I. J. Rhile, F. B. Larsen, E. A. Mader, T. F. Markle and A. G. DiPasquale, Models for Proton-coupled Electron Transfer in Photosystem II, *Photosynth Res*, 2006, **87**, 3-20.
- J. J. Concepcion, M. K. Brennaman, J. R. Deyton, N. V. Lebedeva, M. D. E. Forbes, J. M. Papanikolas and T. J. Meyer, Excited-State Quenching by Proton-Coupled

- Electron Transfer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 6968-6969.
10. T. Irebo, O. Johansson and L. Hammarström, The Rate Ladder of Proton-Coupled Tyrosine Oxidation in Water: A Systematic Dependence on Hydrogen Bonds and Protonation State, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 9194-9195.
11. C. Bronner and O. S. Wenger, Kinetic Isotope Effects in Reductive Excited-State Quenching of Ru(2,2'-bipyridine)₃²⁺ by Phenols, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **3**, 70-74.
12. F. Lachaud, A. Quaranta, Y. Pellegrin, P. Dorlet, M.-F. Charlot, S. Un, W. Leibl and A. Aukauloo, A Biomimetic Model of the Electron Transfer between P680 and the Tyr-His190 Pair of PSII, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2005, **44**, 1536-1540.
13. S. Y. Reece, J. M. Hodgkiss, J. Stubbe and D. G. Nocera, Proton-coupled electron transfer: the mechanistic underpinning for radical transport and catalysis in biology, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 2006, **361**, 1351-1364.
14. J. D. Megiatto Jr, D. D. Méndez-Hernández, M. E. Tejada-Ferrari, A.-L. Teillout, M. J. Llansola-Portolés, G. Kodis, O. G. Poluektov, T. Rajh, V. Mujica, T. L. Groy, D. Gust, T. A. Moore and A. L. Moore, A bioinspired redox relay that mimics radical interactions of the Tyr-His pairs of photosystem II, *Nat Chem*, 2014, **6**, 423-428.
15. D. R. Weinberg, C. J. Gagliardi, J. F. Hull, C. F. Murphy, C. A. Kent, B. C. Westlake, A. Paul, D. H. Ess, D. G. McCafferty and T. J. Meyer, Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 4016-4093.
16. J. Chen, M. Kuss-Petermann and O. S. Wenger, Distance Dependence of Bidirectional Concerted Proton-Electron Transfer in Phenol-Ru(2,2'-bipyridine)₃²⁺ Dyads, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 4098-4104.
17. G. F. Moore, J. D. Megiatto, M. Hamburger, M. Gervaldo, G. Kodis, T. A. Moore, D. Gust and A. L. Moore, Optical and electrochemical properties of hydrogen-bonded phenol-pyrrolidino[60]fullerenes, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2012, **11**, 1018.
18. D. M. Guldi and M. Prato, Excited-State Properties of C60 Fullerene Derivatives, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 2000, **33**, 695-703.
19. S. Hammes-Schiffer and A. A. Stuchebrukhov, Theory of Coupled Electron and Proton Transfer Reactions, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6939-6960.
20. A. Hazra, A. V. Soudackov and S. Hammes-Schiffer, Isotope Effects on the Nonequilibrium Dynamics of Ultrafast Photoinduced Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer Reactions in Solution, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **2**, 36-40.
21. A. Kütt, V. Movchun, T. Rodima, T. Dansauer, E. B. Rusanov, I. Leito, I. Kaljurand, J. Koppel, V. Pihl, I. Koppel, G. Ovsjannikov, L. Toom, M. Mishima, M. Medebielle, E. Lork, G.-V. Röschenthaler, I. A. Koppel and A. A. Kolomeitsev, Pentakis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl, a Sterically Crowded and Electron-withdrawing Group: Synthesis and Acidity of Pentakis(trifluoromethyl)benzene, -toluene, -phenol, and -aniline, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 2607-2620.
22. I. Kaljurand, A. Kütt, L. Sooväli, T. Rodima, V. Mäemets, I. Leito and I. A. Koppel, Extension of the Self-Consistent Spectrophotometric Basicity Scale in Acetonitrile to a Full Span of 28 pKa Units: Unification of Different Basicity Scales, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 1019-1028.
23. M. Prato and M. Maggini, Fulleropyrrolidines: A Family of Full-Fledged Fullerene Derivatives, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 1998, **31**, 519-526.
24. I. D. Petsalakis, N. Tagmatarchis and G. Theodorakopoulos, Theoretical Study of Fulleropyrrolidines by Density Functional and Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 14139-14149.
25. C. Costentin, M. Robert and J.-M. Savéant, Electrochemical and Homogeneous Proton-Coupled Electron Transfers: Concerted Pathways in the One-Electron Oxidation of a Phenol Coupled with an Intramolecular Amine-Driven Proton Transfer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 4552-4553.
26. S. J. Edwards, A. V. Soudackov and S. Hammes-Schiffer, Analysis of Kinetic Isotope Effects for Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer Reaction†, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 2117-2126.



223x90mm (150 x 150 DPI)