Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Identification of noreremophilane-based inhibitors of angiogenesis using zebrafish assays[†]

Kalai Mangai Muthukumarasamy^{‡a}, Kishor L. Handore^{‡bd}, Dipti N. Kakade^b, Madhuri V. Shinde^b, Shashi Ranjan^a, Naveen Kumar^c, Seema Sehrawat^c, Chetana Sachidanandan^a* and D. Srinivasa Reddy^{bd}*

Noreremophilanes are a rare class of *cis*-hydrindanes produced by genus *Ligularia* herbaceous plants which are known to exhibit interesting biological activities. We synthesized *cis*-hydrindanes based on naturally occurring noreremophilane scaffold using a Diels-Alder/aldol sequence and screened them for multiple biological activities using high-content zebrafish embryonic development assays. We discovered a noreremophilane that has strong antiangiogenic effects on the developing zebrafish embryos as well as on tumor-induced angiogenesis in a zebrafish xenograft model. We synthesized several derivatives of this class of noreremophilanes and performed structure activity relationship studies in zebrafish to identify more potent and less toxic analogs of the original structure.

Introduction

Nature is an abundant and underexplored source of small molecules with a variety of bioactivities. Many of these small molecules when taken out of their context and applied on live cells yield unexpected and potentially useful activities.¹ Among natural products certain scaffolds are found to reoccur in several species, but studying their activities remain a challenge due to the miniscule quantities that can be extracted from their natural source. Moreover, the discovery of new bioactivities necessitates multiple assays to hunt for therapeutically important activities. Here we circumvent these challenges by synthesizing derivatives of natural-productbased scaffolds and testing them using whole-organism assays in zebrafish embryos. Zebrafish has emerged as an ideal model organism that is accessible for high throughput assays while being complex enough to model the vertebrate biology.^{2,3} A number of recent studies have illustrated the power of wholeorganism screening in zebrafish to identify unexpected bioactivities of small molecules.4,5

The *cis*-hydrindane backbone has been extensively used in nature, in particular in sesquiterpenoids.⁶ The *cis*-hydrindane motifs are found in many natural products with known



Figure 1. Selected natural products with *cis*-hydrindane skeleton.

biological activities.^{6,7} For example, Peribysin-E **1** (Fig. 1), isolated from marine organisms was shown to be a potent cell adhesion inhibitor with potential for use as anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer agents.⁸ Bakkenolides **2** and **3** are another interesting family of natural products (Fig. 1) with multiple bioactivities.^{9,10} Similiarly noreremophilane-type sesquitererpenes **4-6** also contain *cis*-hydrindane framework which were isolated from the roots of *Ligularia* herbaceous plants. Some of these have attracted our attention previously due to their interesting biological activity and feasibility in accessing several molecules using methods we have developed previously.^{11,12,13}

In the present work we discovered a noreremophilane based on the natural *cis*-hydrindane backbone that is a potent angiogenesis inhibitor. The noreremophilane **11** (known as NER**11** from here on) appears to inhibit angiogenesis in normal

AL SOCIETY Chemistry

^aCSIR-Institute of Genomics & Integrative Biology, South Campus, New Delhi, 110025, India

^bCSIR-National Chemical Laboratory, Division of Organic Chemistry, Dr.Homi Bhabha Road, Pune, 411008, India.

^cVascular Biology Lab, Department of Life Sciences, School of Natural Sciences, Shiv Nadar University, India

^dAcademy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), 110025, New Delhi

⁺Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Characterization data, NMR spectra, detailed experimental procedures, and CIF file of X-ray crystal structure (CCDC#1009597). See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

^{*}Corresponding authors: chetana@igib.in (CS), ds.reddy@ncl.res.in (DSR)

ARTICLE

zebrafish embryos as well as in human endothelial cell cultures.

Angiogenesis is the formation of new blood vessels from preexisting ones and is a process essential for both physiological and pathological events. Blood vessels bring oxygen and nutrients to the cells, remove waste from tissues and are the major communication link between different tissues within the body. The functional blood vessel is comprised of endothelial cells that form the lumen to carry blood, surrounded by a variety of cell types that provide support to the fragile vessel. Angiogenesis is a complex process, the result of signals from surrounding milieu to endothelial cells, secretion of extracellular matrix degrading enzymes such as matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) and mobilization of the endothelial cells that finally leads to the sprouting of new blood vessels.¹⁴

Excessive angiogenesis is closely related to many human diseases such as tumor growth, retinopathy and inflammation. In cancerous growth, the tumor secretes pro-angiogenic factors, in particular vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), that stimulate angiogenesis such that the nutrient demands of a growing tumor can be met. Increased angiogenesis correlates with a poor prognosis as well as decreased overall survival of the patient.¹⁵ Anti-angiogenesis therapy aims at inhibiting angiogenesis in the tumor environment, thus starving the tumor of oxygen and nutrients. This idea was developed around 1990s by researchers such as Judah Folkman, who proved angiogenesis is needed for tumor growth. Today, nearly one half of the novel drugs in anti-cancer clinical trials are angiogenesis inhibitors rather than cytotoxic agents.¹⁶

Using a zebrafish xenograft model we also show that NER11 inhibits tumor-induced angiogenesis *in vivo*. Further, we synthesized a series of derivatives of NER11 to identify the active moieties in the structure that are responsible for the anti-angiogenic activity. We discovered a number of different analogs that retain the angiogenesis-inhibitory activity while reducing their general toxicity for the embryo. Thus, we propose that *in vivo* whole organism screens in zebrafish are highly informative and can be used for identifying novel bioactive compounds and for studying their structure activity relationship.

Results and Discussion

Noreremophilane 11 inhibits angiogenesis and perturbs patterning of vasculature in zebrafish

From an initial screen in wild-type zebrafish embryos, we identified a potent bioactive compound, the Noreremophilane **11 (NER11)**. We characterized the effect of **NER11** on the embryonic zebrafish in detail. Embryos were treated with a concentration range of **NER11** (6.25 μ M, 12.5 μ M, 25 μ M, 50 μ M and 100 μ M) at very early stages of development (6 hours post fertilization) to assess its teratogenic effects. We found

that at 25 μ M the gross development of the embryos is normal. We also tested the expression of markers of the germ layer specification and gastrulation, important events in embryonic development, using RNA *in situ* hybridization in 10 somite stage embryos and found no remarkable changes in the NER11 treated embryos compared to DMSO treated vehicle controls (Fig. S3). Although apparently normal earlier, the embryos later developed tail curvature (Fig. S2) and sluggish blood flow by 2 days post fertilization (dpf), suggesting underlying molecular defects. The *Tg(myl7:Gal4-VP16)* transgenic zebrafish embryos, that express green fluorescent protein (GFP) in the heart, was used to assess heart defects. NER11 treated embryos showed that the heart tube was elongated and did not loop correctly to form the two chambers, atrium and ventricle (Fig. S2).

We visualized the blood flow using the Tg(gata1a:DsRed) transgenic line which expresses red fluorescence in the erythrocytes of blood (Fig. 2g). The NER11 treated embryos had compromised blood flow and a significant accumulation of blood in the brain indicating blood vessel hemorrhage (Fig. 2h). This made us wonder if the vasculature in the brain is affected and we tested NER11 on an endothelial marker transgenic line Tg(flk1:EGFP); this line marks the blood vessels with GFP fluorescence. We observed that the main vessels of the brain were normal in compound-treated fishes but the dense meshwork of blood vessels in the brain were severely reduced indicating changes in the brain vascular patterning (Fig. 2i-j). Since angiogenesis is regulated by the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and its receptors, we visualized the expression of *flk1* (or *VEGFR2*) in the brain by RNA in situ hybridization. NER11 caused a severe reduction in the *flk1* gene expression in the brain compared to vehicle treated control embryos indicating strong inhibitory effects on angiogenesis regulatory genes (Fig. 2k-n).

A standard assay for angiogenesis in zebrafish is to visualize the sub-intestinal vessel (SIV) formation after treatment with compounds. The main arteries and veins in the zebrafish embryo, the dorsal aorta and the posterior cardinal vein respectively, are formed by 2 days of development. This process is called *de novo* vasculogenesis. Angiogenesis is the process of sprouting new blood vessels from existing vessels. SIVs sprout from the major vessels between 2 and 3 days. We used Tg(fli1:EGFP) to visualize the blood vessels and treated 2day old embryos with different concentrations of **NER11** to assay angiogenesis. Control treated zebrafish embryos show a fan-shaped array of SIVs at 3 days (Fig. 2a-b). Embryos exposed to **NER11** showed a dose-dependent inhibition of SIVs (Fig. 2cf).

NER11 inhibits tumor angiogenesis in zebrafish

To test whether the compound affects tumor angiogenesis also, we performed a xenotransplantation assay of cancer cells in zebrafish embryos. This assay has been used previously to identify inhibitors of tumor angiogenesis in zebrafish.²⁹ Dil-

labeled MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells were injected near the perivitelline space of 2 day-old *Tg(fli1:EGFP)* zebrafish embryos and then the tumor mass was allowed to grow for 24 hours at 32 °C. We found that the xenografted embryos had prolific sprouting of blood vessels that burrowed deep towards the injected cell mass such that even visualizing their spread was made difficult (Fig. 2o). In contrast the **NER11** injected xenotransplanted embryos had a severely compromised vessel growth that did not reach the tumor mass (Fig. 2p) suggesting that the compound is capable of inhibiting pathological angiogenesis as well as normal developmental growth of vessels.

Synthesis and Characterization of NER11 and related noreremophilanes

In order to identify the active moieties in the NER11 and to improve the activity to toxicity ratio, we synthesized a number of related noreremophilane derivatives. We used the Diels-Alder/aldol sequence to construct cis-hydrindanes. The dienophiles 7, 8 and diene used for the present purpose were prepared using known procedures and they were subjected to Diels-Alder¹⁷⁻²³ reaction in presence of $BF_3.Et_2O$ in dichloromethane solvent to give adduct 9. The Diels-Alder adduct 9 was subjected to intramolecular aldol condensation reaction by exposing it to 15% KOH in ethanol to furnish NER11 along with varying amounts of corresponding carboxylic acid 13 (Scheme 1). The Lewis acid mediated intermolecular Diels-Alder reaction produced the endo-adduct having the aldol partners (i.e., aldehyde and ketone) in close distance that allowed intramolecular aldol reaction in a facile manner to give cis-hydrindanes 11/13. The observed high diastereo- and regioselectivity can be explained on the basis of secondary orbital interactions and atomic coefficient preferences, respectively.²⁴⁻²⁷ By following the same protocol, compounds 12 and 14 were prepared in which angular hydrogen atom was replaced with a methyl group. The isolated double bond in 12 on chemoselective reduction using Wilkinson's catalyst under hydrogen atmosphere followed by ester hydrolysis using LiOH- H_2O in ethanol furnished the target compound **6** in 72% isolated yield. The compound 14 on esterification with MeOH using standard procedure afforded compound 15 which on reduction of the isolated double bond using Wilkinson's catalyst gave natural product 5 in 70% yield. All the spectral data (IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR) for both natural products were found to be identical to those reported in the literature.^{7,28} Towards the generation of library of compounds around natural products, the carboxylic acids 13 and 14 were coupled with appropriate alcohols and amines which afforded the corresponding analogs 5 to 25. In addition, selected compounds were prepared in enantiopure form to understand the role stereochemistry on activity. For this purpose, compound 13 was chosen and treated with D-(-)-pantolactone using standard coupling conditions to obtain a mixture of diastereomers 29a and 29b which are cleanly separated by silica gel column chromatography. The trans-esterification using EtOH/PrOH, K₂CO₃ conditions gave corresponding two

enantiomer **11a/30a** and **11b/30b**. At this stage, we were interested in establishing the relative and absolute configuration of the synthesized enantiopure noreremophilane derivatives. Towards this effort, one of the pure enantiomer **29b** was treated with with 2,4 DNP in EtOH to provide its 2,4 DNP derivative **31** as a crystalline solid. The compound **31** was recrystallized using ethyl acetate-hexane. Analysis of single crystal X-ray established the relative and absolute configurations as drawn (Scheme 1). Accordingly, all other enantiopure hydrindanes configurations were derived as drawn (Scheme 1).

Structure activity relationship (SAR) studies of the noreremophilane series using zebrafish developmental assays

All the synthesized derivatives of **NER11** were screened on zebrafish embryos. Two independent assays were performed: (1) for anti-angiogenesis activity and (2) for teratogenic activity. Teratogenicity was assayed in 1 day old embryos while angiogenesis was assayed in 2-3 day old embryos. Both assays were performed at 50 μ M concentration of compounds. In the angiogenesis assay, for compounds that were lethal at 50 μ M, a titration was performed and the percentage embryos that showed inhibition of angiogenesis at the highest non-lethal concentrations were quantified and plotted for comparison (Fig. 3a).

Compared to NER11, which is a racemic mixture, 11a and 11b, the enantiomers showed more potent anti-angiogenic activity as both elicited similar percentage inhibition of angiogenesis at 25 μM as NER11 did at 50 μM (Fig. 3a). The enantiopure esters with pantolactone moiety, 29a & 29b showed complete inhibition of angiogenesis; there was no difference between the enantiomers. However, enantiomers 30a & 30b showed clear difference in activity with 30a showing potent antiangiogenic activity at lower concentrations compared to 30b. Another observation of interest was that compounds with angular methyl group such as 5, 6, 12, 14, 15, 26 were found to be inferior in anti-angiogenic activity with respect to corresponding compounds with no angular methyl group. Generally, many potent anti-angiogenic compounds were also toxic at higher concentrations (11a, 11b, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 30a), but not all (11, 15, 16, 17, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29a, 29b).

In our teratogenicity assay (Fig. 3b) we observed that there were clearly two groups of compounds, (a) where most embryos (more than 60%) were abnormal and (b) where most embryos (less than 50%) were normal. Based on this screen we found that compounds **11**, **16**, **17**, **18**, **19**, **20**, **22** containing an ester moiety showed strong bioactivity. Further SAR analysis found that corresponding acids (**13**, **14**) and amides (**23**, **25**) did not have any significant activity. This information may suggest that esters are readily absorbed by zebrafish skin rather than corresponding carboxylic acids. However, corresponding benzyl amide (**24**) seems to have more

ARTICLE



Figure 2. Noreremophilane scaffold inhibits angiogenesis in zebrafish embryos. (a-f) Formation of subintestinal vessels (SIV) was analyzed for the anti-angiogenesis assay in zebrafish embryos. In the *Tg(fil1:EGFP)* zebrafish embryo (a), rectangular box is the region showing SIV fan-shaped vessel formation which is magnified in (b-f, o-p). Two day old zebrafish embryos were treated with DMSO (a,b), 6.25 μM (c), 12.5 μM (d), 25 μM (e) and 50 μM (f) of **NER11** and imaged at 3 days. In **NER11** treated *Tg(fil1:EGFP)* zebrafish embryos SIVs were inhibited (c-f) in a dose-dependent manner compared to DMSO (b). (g-h) In *Tg(gata1a:DsRed)* red-labeled erythrocytes show normal blood flow highlighting the major blood vessels in DMSO treated embryos (arrowhead, g), whereas **NER11** treated embryos show interrupted flow and intracranial hemorrhage (arrow, h). To check for effect of **NER11** on brain vasculature, *Tg(fil1:EGFP)* embryos were treated with DMSO which show an intricate mesh of blood vessels in the brain (arrow, i), while **NER11** treated embryos have a reduced network of cranial blood vessels (arrow, j). RNA *in situ* hybridization for flk1 (VEGFR2) RNA expression in 3 day old zebrafish embryos shows DMSO treated embryos (k, m) have dense blood vessels in the brain (arrow) while compound **16** treated embryos have a thick network of blood vessels (arrow, l,n). 2 day old *Tg(fil1:EGFP)* embryos injected with MDA-MB-231 cancer cells near the SIV and then exposed to DMSO have a thick network of blood vessels perfusing the tumor mass (red asterisk) buried deep in the yolk (arrowhead, o) while SIVs were severely inhibited in **NER11** treated cancer-injected embryos (arrowhead, p). Excitation/emission wavelength used GFP (a-f,i-j,o-p) was 495 nm/519 nm and for dsRed (g,h) was 565 nm/606 nm respectively. In all images head of the embryos is to the left; lateral view (a-h, k-l, o-p) and dorsal view (i-j, m-n). Scale bars are 200 µm.

ARTICLE





Scheme 1. Synthesis of noreremophilane based cis-hydrindanes



Figure 3. Structure activity relationship analysis of noreremophilanes using zebrafish assays. (a) Anti-angiogenic activity assay in zebrafish embryos. 2 day old embryos exposed to different compounds and the formation of subintestinal vessels (SIV) assayed at 3 days. The percentage of embryos with complete and/or partial inhibition of angiogenesis is quantified and plotted, n=25 for each compound. The size of the circle is proportional to the concentration of compound used for the assay. Embryos were exposed to 50 µM concentration of the compound generally, but where this concentration was lethal, the highest non-lethal concentrations were used viz. 25 µM, 12.5 µM, and 6.25 µM. The dotted line represents the 50% mark. The circles are coloured according to the potency of the compounds to block angiogenesis: yellow>green>blue>pink>grey. The x-axis shows the compound number codes.

(b) Teratogenicity assay in zebrafish embryos. 6 hour old zebrafish embryos were exposed to 50 μ M compounds and the percentage of embryos with either abnormal development or death at 1 day was quantified and plotted, n=25. The dotted line represents the 50% mark. The colours of the circles show their potency in inhibiting angiogenesis (from a) for comparison. The x-axis shows the compound number codes.

bioactivity. Compounds partially saturated with angular hydrogen (27) have more activity than ones partially saturated with angular methyl group (5, 6, 26). Fully saturated hydrindane (28) has no bioactivity. Enantiopure compounds **11a**, **11b**, **29a** and **29b** were also found to have more adverse effects on embryo survival, with no difference between the enantiomers. However, as in the case of angiogenesis, **30a** & **30b** shows clear difference in activity. Overall, the tested hydrindane compounds could be generally arranged in decreasing order of bioactivity on the embryo thus: esters > acids = amides (Fig.3b).

Teratogenic behaviour of the compounds, in principle, indicate the biological activity of the molecules, which may be explored for therapeutic and laboratory use. However, an ideal antiangiogenic agent would have minimal side-effects although most anti-angiogenic agents currently in use have other dosedependent toxicity and side-effects. For example, VEGFR inhibitors and multikinase inhibitors such as regorafenib, that are currently prescribed for anti-cancer therapies, are associated with cardiac problems.³⁰ So, it is essential to deduce the therapeutic window of the compounds based on their lethal dosage and efficacy.

In our study of NER11 derivatives, we discovered that compounds such as **11**, **16**, **20**, **18**, **19** are all potent antiangiogenic agents. **19** is in fact the most potent angiogenesis inhibitor in our noreremphilane library. However, we discover that all three displayed high anti-angiogenic activity with minimal toxicity (Fig.3a). In contrast, **23**, **25**, **28** also show significant anti-angiogenic activity while they are minimally teratogenic.

Noreremophilanes inhibit angiogenesis by inhibiting endothelial tube formation



Figure 4. Noreremophilane scaffold inhibits tubulogenesis in HUVECs. The primary endothelial cells, HUVEC were used to analyse the formation of new vessels. HUVEC cells grown in 2D matrigel were subjected to tubulogenesis assay (a-c). DMSO (a) and midkine treated cells (c) formed tubules while **16** treated cells showed a breakage of vessel formation (b). Scale bar represents 100 μm.

Anti-angiogenic molecules can inhibit angiogenesis by blocking signals from the milieu or by perturbing tube formation of the endothelial cells. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we picked the compound **16**, a racemic compound that had strong anti-angiogenic activity in zebrafish but was not lethal at the concentrations tested, for testing on Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial cells (HUVEC) plated on matrigel.³¹ HUVECs when grown on matrigel come together to

form tubular structures reminiscent of blood vessels (Fig. 4a). Midkine, a positive regulator of the process stimulates tubulogenesis (Fig. 4c). Treatment of HUVECs with the 1 μ M of **16** led to a strong inhibition of tubule formation (Fig. 4b). These results indicate that noreremophilane inhibitors affect the endothelial cell autonomously.

Conclusions

Using a combination of synthetic chemistry and rapid in vivo screening assays in zebrafish we have identified a noreremophilane with strong anti-angiogenic activity. The noreremophilane scaffold was also found to be very effective at inhibiting tubulogenesis in human endothelial cultures. In vivo xenotransplantation assays in zebrafish embryos demonstrate that the compounds may be useful in inhibiting tumor-induced angiogenesis. We synthesized a series of derivatives of the main noreremophilane scaffold and performed SAR analysis in zebrafish embryos to identify moieties that are critical for the anti-angiogenic activity as well as those that are crucial for bioactivity in zebrafish. Power of SAR analysis in live whole organism screens allowed us to identify efficacious compounds while eliminating toxic ones. Thus, we suggest that combining toxicity and specific activityassays, such as anti-angiogenesis assays, in whole organism screens is a speedy and productive strategy for identifying potentially useful therapeutic agents for human diseases.

Methods & Experimental Details

Zebrafish lines and maintenance

Fish were bred and maintained as described.³² All experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of the CSIR-Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology, India. The zebrafish lines used in this study are $Tg(f|k1:EGFP)^{33}$ that marks the endothelial cells, $Tg(my|7:GAL4-VP16)^{34}$ that also has a fragment of myl7 promoter driving GFP in the heart and $Tg(f|i1:EGFP::gata1a:DsRed)^{35-37}$ that was used for visualizing endothelial cells and erythrocytes.

Chemical treatment in zebrafish embryos

Zebrafish embryos were collected from timed matings of adult animals and were staged according to Kimmel et. al.³⁸ Embryos were exposed to compounds dissolved in DMSO from 6 to 24 hours for analysis of effects on gross morphology, heart development and other early-stage analysis. For angiogenesis and vasculature assays, the embryos were grown in 0.003% phenylthiourea for depigmentation. Two-day old embryo were treated with the compounds for 24 hours. Observation and imaging of phenotypes were done using Zeiss Stemi 2000-C stereomicroscope with AxiocamICc1 and Zeiss Axio Scope A1 fluorescence microscope with AxiocamHRc at the appropriate time points.

Zebrafish Xenotransplantation model

Inhibitory effect of the anti-angiogenic compounds was tested in tumor microenvironment by injecting DiI-labeled MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells in 2 day old Tg(fli1:EGFP) zebrafish line near perivitelline space as described.²⁹ About 7 nl comprising 100-150 cells mixed with matrigel (1:1 dilution) were injected in each anesthetized embryo. Embryos were incubated at 32 °C for 4 hours to increase chances of cancer cell survival. Injected embryos were exposed to compounds in water containing phenylthiourea for 24 hrs. The blood vessels were visualized 1 day later.

Cellular Assays

Journal Name

Wholemount RNA in situ hybridization

eve1, pax2a probes were used.

Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVEC) were cultured in HiEndoXLTM Endothelial cell expansion medium at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ in a gelatin coated T-25 flask. Matrigel was coated in a 48 well culture plate and kept at 37 °C for 30 min. 40,000 cells were plated per well in the 48 well plate. The cells were treated with control, Midkine and 1 μ M of compound **16** in triplicates, and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 4 hr. The HUVEC and 0.5% Gelatin solution were from HiMedia laboratories India. Midkine was procured from Life technologies-Invitrogen, USA.

General Synthesis

All reactions were carried out in oven-dried glassware under a positive pressure of argon or nitrogen unless otherwise mentioned with magnetic stirring. Air sensitive reagents and solutions were transferred via syringe or cannula and were introduced to the apparatus via rubber septa. All reagents, starting materials and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as such without further purification. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) with 0.25 mm pre-coated silica gel plates (60 F254). Visualization was accomplished with either UV light, or by immersion in ethanolic solution of phosphomolybdic acid (PMA), para-anisaldehyde, 2,4-DNP, KMnO₄ solution or lodine adsorbed on silica gel followed by heating with a heat gun for ~15 sec. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (100-200 or 230-400 mesh size). Deuterated solvents for NMR spectroscopic analyses were used as received. All ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained using a 400 MHz or 500 MHz spectrometer. Coupling constants were measured in Hertz. Chemical shifts were quoted in ppm, relative to TMS, using the residual solvent peak as a reference standard. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, b = broad. HRMS (ESI) were recorded on ORBITRAP mass analyser (Thermo Scientific, QExactive). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a FT-IR

This journal is C The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Ethyl (3a*S*,4*R*,7a*R*)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (11) : IRv_{max} (film) : 2931, 1730, 1670, 1179 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.70 (s, 1H), 5.74–5.73 (m, 2H), 4.19–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.13–3.09 (m, 1H), 2.91–2.77 (m, 2H), 2.39– 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.27–2.17 (m, 3H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.0, 174.8, 145.6, 145.5, 129.4, 124.7, 60.7, 46.1, 42.0, 37.6, 36.5, 27.2, 26.6, 14.4; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 257.1148, found 257.1147.

spectrometer as a thin film. Chemical nomenclature was

Ethyl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4carboxylate (11) : To a solution of diene (1.0 g, 8.06 mmol) and

ethyl (E)-4-oxobut-2-enoate 7 (2.1 g, 16.12 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2

(50 mL) was added BF3·OEt2 (1.5 mL, 12.09 mmol) dropwise at

-78 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm upto room

temperature and was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The

CH₂Cl₂ layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (3 X

15 mL) followed by H₂O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL), dried over

anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated in vacuo. The crude material

was passed through small pad of silica gel using 30% EtOAc in

petroleum ether as eluent. The eluent was concentrated and

dissolved in EtOH (20 mL), cooled to 0 °C, and treated with

15% ethanolic KOH (10 mL). After stirring for 1 h at room

temperature, reaction mass was evaporated. The water (5 mL) was added and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 X 15 mL). The

aqueous layer was acidified by 1N HCl and extracted by ethyl

acetate (3 X 15 mL). The combined organic layers was washed

by brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated

in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography over silica gel

(1.5:8.5; EtOAc-Petroleum Ether) afforded 11 (0.68 g, 36%) as

light yellow oil and (4:6; EtOAc-Petroleum Ether) afforded 13

(0.17 g, 10%) as white solid.

generated using Chem Bio Draw Ultra 13.0.

(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-Acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4-

carboxylic acid (13) : IRu_{max} (film) : 3146, 2934, 1646 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.77 (s, 1H), 5.77–5.76 (m, 2H), 3.18–3.14 (m, 1H), 2.95–2.91 (m, 1H), 2.87–2.80 (m, 1H), 2.47–2.44 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.34 (m, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.29–2.22 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.3, 180.7, 145.8, 145.4, 129.6, 124.4, 45.8, 41.7, 37.5, 36.6, 26.8, 26.7; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O₃ [M+Na]⁺ found 229.0835, found 229.0835

Compound **12** and **14** was synthesized using the procedure similar to preparation of **11** and **13**.

Ethyl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-acetyl-3a-methyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-

1H-indene-4-carboxylate (12) : IRv_{max} (film): 2931, 1730, 1670, 1179 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.76 (s, 1H), 5.76–5.69 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.11 (m, 2H), 2.85 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 15.8 Hz, 1H), 2.49–2.46 (m, 1H), 2.39–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.31–2.29 (m, 1H), 2.24–2.16 (m, 2H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.4, 173.8, 152.4, 142.8, 128.0, 124.3, 60.5, 48.3, 47.4, 44.8, 36.6, 26.5, 24.5, 19.8, 14.5; HRMS

RNA in situ hybridization was performed as previously

described.³⁹ Digoxigenin labelled riboprobes were produced using transcription kits (Roche). The flk1, krox20, otx2, shh, ntl,

ARTICLE

Page 8 of 12

ARTICLE

(ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{20}O_3$ [M+Na]⁺ 271.1305, found 271.1302.

(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-Acetyl-3a-methyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-

indene-4-carboxylic acid (14) : IRv_{max} (film): 3146, 2934, 1646 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.84 (s, 1H), 5.80–5.71 (m, 2H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 16.1 Hz, 1H), 2.58–2.54 (m, 1H), 2.44–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.32–2.30 (m, 1H), 2.29–2.18 (m, 2H), 1.18 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.6, 179.6, 151.9, 143.1, 128.2, 124.0, 48.2, 47.5, 44.7, 36.7, 26.6, 24.5, 19.9; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 243.0992, found 243.0992.

Ethyl(3aS,4R,7aS)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-

indene4-carboxylate (27) : To the solution of compound 11 (30 mg, 0.128 mmol) in dry benzene (5.0 mL) was added Wilkinson's catalyst [(PPh₃)₃RhCl] (24 mg, 0.025 mmol). The reaction mixture was degassed by piercing argon for 5 min and the flask was then flushed with hydrogen gas to expel the argon. The reaction was allowed to proceed at room temperature under hydrogen balloon pressure for 12 h. Upon completion of reaction (monitored by TLC), the mixture was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography over silica gel (0.5:9.5; EtOAc-Petroleum Ether) afforded 27 (23 mg, 77%) as colourless oil. IRu_{max}(film) : 2933, 1732, 1670 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.76 (s, 1H), 4.18-4.13 (m, 2H), 2.95-2.91 (m, 1H), 2.53-2.42 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.20-2.14 (m, 2H), 1.86-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.55 (m, 2H), 1.43-1.38 (m, 2H), 1.27 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.5, 175.3, 147.7, 145.8, 60.6, 46.5, 45.0, 37.3, 33.8, 27.1, 26.5, 26.3, 20.9, 14.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{14}H_{20}O_3$ [M+Na]⁺ 259.1412, found 259.1412.

Ethyl(2R,3aR,4R,7aS)-2-acetyloctahydro-1H-indene-4-

carboxylate (28) : To a solution of **11** (50 mg, 0.213 mmol) in EtOAc (5.0 mL) was added PtO₂ (~5 mg) and the mixture was stirred under hydrogen balloon pressure. After 2 h catalyst was filtered off and concentrated to afford saturated keto-ester **28** (40 mg, 80% *dr-8:2*) as colourless oil. IRU_{max}(film):2931, 1730, 1711 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.15–4.08 (m, 2 H), 3.13–2.92 (m, 1H), 2.20–2.17 (m, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.99–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.89–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.68 (m, 4H), 1.54–1.52 (m, 2H), 1.48–1.38 (m, 3H), 1.26–1.22 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.8, 176.2, 60.3, 50.4, 43.8, 40.5, 38.6, 32.4, 31.4, 29.2, 27.9, 26.4, 20.5, 14.4; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₂₂O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 261.1461, found 261.1459.

(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-Acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4-

carboxamide (23) : To a solution of acid **13** (100 mg, 0.485 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added $(COCl)_2$ (0.08 mL, 0.970 mmol) followed by 1 drop of DMF at 0 °C. The mixture was allowed stir for 2 h at same temperature.The solution was concentrated in *vacuo*, to give yellow oil. The crude was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) and cooled to 0 °C and treated with NH₄OH (25% aqueous solution, 1 mL), the mixture was stirred

at room temperature for 2 h and then concentrated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel (0.5:9.5; MeOH– CH₂Cl₂) afforded **23** (72 mg, 72%) as white solid. IRu_{max}(film): 3470, 1675 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.76 (s, 1H), 5.83–5.77 (m, 2H), 5.67–5.61 (m, 2H), 3.15–3.11 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.26–2.15 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.3, 176.9, 145.7, 145.6, 129.5, 124.8, 46.4, 43.5, 37.8, 36.5, 28.2, 26.7; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₂H₁₅O₂N [M+Na] ⁺228.0995, found 228.0994.

Compound **16**, **17**, **18**, **19**, **20**, **21**, **22**, **24** and **25** were synthesized using the procedure similar to compound **23**.

Isobutyl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-

indene-4 carboxylate (16) : (Yield : 73%); IRu_{max} (film): 2931, 1732, 1671 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.72 (s, 1H), 5.76–5.75 (m, 2H), 3.93–3.89 (m, 2H), 3.16–3.12 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.43–2.37 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.25–2.22 (m, 3H), 1.98–1.92 (m, 1H), 0.95 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.9, 145.8, 145.6, 129.5, 124.7, 70.9, 46.1, 42.1, 37.7, 36.5, 27.9, 27.3, 26.6, 19.2(2C); HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₃ [M+Na] + 285.1461, found 285.1461.

Butyl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4-

carboxylate (17) : (Yield : 71%); IRu_{max} (film): 2931, 1730, 1670, 1179 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.71 (s, 1H), 5.76–5.75 (m, 2H), 4.15–4.11 (m, 2H), 3.14–3.10 (m, 1H), 2.93–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.37 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.23–2.19 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.61 (m, 3H), 1.42–1.36 (m, 2H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.9, 145.8, 145.6, 129.4, 124.7, 64.7, 46.1, 42.1, 37.7, 36.5, 30.8, 27.2, 26.6, 19.3, 13.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 285.1461, found 285.1460.

Benzyl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-Acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-

4-carboxylate (18): (Yield : 76%); IRu_{max} (film): 2931, 1730, 1670, 1179 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.33 (m, 5H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 5.78–5.76 (m, 2H), 5.23–5.12 (m, 2H), 3.15–3.10 (m, 1H), 2.93–2.77 (m, 2H), 2.46–2.40 (m, 1H), 2.36–2.30 (m, 1H), 2.26–2.25 (m, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.21–2.18 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.6, 145.6 (2C), 136.0, 129.4, 128.8(2C), 128.6, 128.3(2C), 124.6, 66.5, 46.2, 42.1, 37.7, 36.4, 27.1, 26.6; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₉H₂₀O₃ [M+Na] ⁺ 319.1305, found 319.1304.

2,2,2-trifluoroethyl(3a*S*,4*R*,7a*R*)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-

tetrahydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (19) : (Yield : 71%); IRυ_{max}(film): 1728, 1668 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.68 (s, 1H), 5.80–5.73 (m, 2H), 4.61–4.43 (m, 2H), 3.16–3.12, (m, 1H), 2.96–2.81 (m, 2H), 2.54–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.32 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.28–2.22 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.0, 173.3, 146.0, 144.6, 129.5, 124.2, 121.7, 61.4 (q, 1C, *J* = 36.2 Hz), 46.1, 41.7, 37.7, 36.4, 26.8, 26.6; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₅O₃F₃[M+Na]⁺ 311.0866, found 311.0862.

Cyclopropyl methyl (3a*S*,4*R*,7a*R*)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetra hydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (20) : (Yield : 64%); IRu_{max}(film):1730, 1663 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.73 (s, 1H), 5.78–5.72 (m, 2H), 4.00–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.13–3.09, (m, 1H), 2.90–2.78 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.26–2.19 (m, 2H), 1.16–1.11 (m, 1H), 0.59–0.54 (m, 2H), 0.30–0.26 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.9, 145.7, 145.5, 129.3, 124.7, 69.4, 46.2, 42.1, 37.7, 36.4, 27.2, 26.6, 9.9, 3.3(2C); HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 283.1305, found 283.1303.

Allyl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4-

carboxylate (22) : (Yield : 66%); IRυ_{max}(film) : 2930, 1730, 1669 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.71 (s, 1H), 5.96–5.89 (m, 1H), 5.76 (m, 2H), 5.33 (dd, *J* = 1.2, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, *J* = 1.2, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 3.16–3.12 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.45–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.35–2.29 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.27–2.21 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.5, 145.6, 145.6, 132.2, 129.5, 124.6, 118.5, 65.4, 46.1, 42.0, 37.7, 36.5, 27.2, 26.6; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₃ [M+Na] ⁺269.1148, found 269.1147.

(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-Acetyl-N-benzyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-

indene-4-carboxamide (24) : (Yield : 80%); IRu_{max} (film): 2931, 1670, 1179 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.28 (m, 5H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 6.04 (bs, 1H), 5.77–5.76 (m, 2H), 4.57–4.52 (m, 1H), 4.40–4.35 (m, 1H), 3.16–3.12 (m, 1H), 2.89–2.75 (m, 2H), 2.28–2.25 (m, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.17–2.04 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.2, 174.3, 146.0, 145.5, 138.5, 129.2, 128.9 (2C), 127.8 (2C), 127.7, 125.1, 46.6, 44.3, 43.6, 37.9, 36.4, 28.2, 26.5; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₉H₂₁O₂N [M+Na] ⁺ 318.1465, found 318.1462.

(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-Acetyl-N,N-diethyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-

indene-4carboxamide (25): (Yield : 74%); IRu_{max} (film): 2931, 1670, 1179 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.66 (s, 1H), 5.83–5.79 (m, 2H), 3.50–3.45 (m, 1H), 3.36–3.18 (m, 4H), 2.90–2.80 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.24–2.17 (m, 2H), 2.11–2.06 (m, 1H), 1.14–1.06 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.2, 173.7, 146.6, 145.3, 129.1, 125.4, 47.0, 42.0, 40.6, 39.2, 38.0, 36.4, 28.7, 26.6, 15.2, 13.3; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₆H₂₃O₂N [M+Na] ⁺284.1621, found 284.1620.

Ethyl(3aS,4R,7aS)-2-acetyl-3a-methyl-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexa

hydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (26):Compound 26 was synthesized using the procedure similar to preparation of 27. IRv_{max} (film) : 2933, 1732, 1670 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.84 (s, 1H), 4.20–4.09 (m, 2H), 2.49 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 15.8 Hz, 1H), 2.43–2.36 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.30–2.27 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.73–1.63 (m, 3H), 1.60–1.53 (m, 1H), 1.50–1.36 (m, 2H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.9, 174.5, 154.6, 142.7, 60.3, 48.9, 48.6, 46.6, 33.2, 26.4, 24.0, 23.1, 20.9, 19.3, 14.5; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 273.1461, found 273.1460.

(3aS,4R,7aS)-2-Acetyl-3a-methyl-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-

indene-4-carboxylic acid (6) : To a solution of 26 (35 mg, 0.14 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL) and water (2 mL) was added lithium hydroxide monohydrate (12 mg, 0.28 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. The mixture was acidified to pH 2 with 1N HCl. The volatiles were evaporated and the residue was extracted with EtOAc (2 X 5 mL). The combined organic layer was washed by brine (3 mL), dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography over silica gel (3.0:7.0; EtOAc-Petroleum Ether) afforded 6 (30 mg, 96%) as white solid. IRu_{max}(film): 3144, 2936, 1646 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.93 (s, 1H), 2.52 (dd, J = 8.2, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 2.45-2.36 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.09-2.05 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.76 (m, 1H), 1.69-1.66 (m, 2H), 1.62-1.57 (m, 1H), 1.50-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.20 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.2, 180.7, 154.3, 143.0, 48.7, 48.5, 46.6, 33.2, 26.5, 23.9, 22.9, 20.7, 19.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{18}O_3$ [M+Na]⁺ 245.1148, found 245.1146.

Methyl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-acetyl-3a-methyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-

1H-indene-4-carboxylate (15) : Compound **15** was synthesized using the procedure similar to preparation of **23**. (Yield : 72%); IRu_{max} (film): 2930, 1732, 1671 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.75 (s, 1H), 5.76–5.69 (m, 2H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.86 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 15.9 Hz, 1H), 2.52–2.49 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.36 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.30–2.17 (m, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.5, 174.3, 152.1, 143.0, 128.1, 124.2, 57.7, 48.3, 47.4, 44.8, 36.6, 26.6, 24.7, 19.9; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 257.1148, found 257.1147.

Methyl(3a*S*,4*R*,7a*S*)-2-acetyl-3a-methyl-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexa

hydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (5) : Compound 5 was synthesized using the procedure similar to preparation of **27**. (Yield : 70%); IRυ_{max}(film): 1720, 1668 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.83 (s, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.51 (dd, J = 8.1, 16.1 Hz, 1H), 2.44–2.37 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.06–2.00 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.66–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.49 (m, 1H), 1.45–1.41 (m, 1H), 1.12 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.9, 175.0, 154.3, 142.9, 51.6, 48.9, 48.7, 46.6, 33.4, 26.5, 24.0, 23.2, 20.9, 19.5.

ARTICLE

Synthesis of Enantiopure Noreremophilane Derivatives: To a solution of rac-13 (300 mg, 1.46 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere was added D (-) Pantolactone (190 mg, 1.46 mmol), HOBT (296 mg, 2.19 mmol), EDC.HCl (420 mg, 2.19 mmol) and DIPEA (0.4 mL, 2.19 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h. The mixture was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (5 mL) and brine (5 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated in *vacuo*. Purification by flash chromatography over silica gel (2.0:8.0; EtOAc–Petroleum Ether) afforded **29a** (143 mg, 31%) and **29b** (120 mg, 26%) as white solid.

(R)-4,4-Dimethyl-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-

acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetra hydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (29a) : IRu_{max}(film): 1720,1668 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.93 (s, 1H), 5.82–5.78 (m, 2H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 4.09–4.04 (m, 2H), 3.38–3.33 (m, 1H), 2.98–2.92 (m, 1H), 2.88–2.82 (m, 1H), 2.58–2.52 (m, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.32–2.31 (m, 2H), 2.29–2.22 (m, 1H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.4, 174.1, 172.4, 145.7, 145.5, 129.7, 124.2, 76.4, 75.3, 46.8, 41.8, 40.3, 37.8, 36.4, 26.8, 26.6, 23.1, 20.1; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₈H₂₂O₅ [M+Na]⁺ 341.1359, found 341.1357. $[\alpha]^{25}{}_{\rm D}$ = + 9.70 (c = 1.30, CHCl₃)

(R)-4,4-Dimethyl-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl(3aR,4S,7aS)-2-

acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetra hydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (29b) : IRu_{max}(film): 1720,1668 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.78 (s, 1H), 5.78 (s, 2H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 4.09–4.03 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 2H), 3.22–3.18 (m, 1H), 2.96–2.81 (m, 2H), 2.57–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.44–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.30–2.23 (m, 2H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.13 (s, 3H);¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.0, 173.5, 172.2, 145.9, 145.0, 129.5, 124.5, 76.3, 75.3, 46.0, 42.2, 40.2, 37.7, 36.5, 27.3, 26.7, 23.2, 20.1; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₈H₂₂O₅ [M+Na]⁺ 341.1359, found 341.1354; [α]²⁵ _D = –11.05 (c = 2.90, CHCl₃)

Ethyl(3a*S*,4*R*,7*aR*)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4carboxylate (11a) : (Yield : 59%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.72 (s, 1H), 5.78–5.76 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.16 (m, 2H), 3.15–3.11 (m, 1H), 2.94–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.27–2.17 (m, 3H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.8, 145.7, 145.6, 129.5, 124.7, 60.8, 46.1, 42.1, 37.7, 36.5, 27.2, 26.7, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 257.1148, found 257.1147; [α]^{25.4}_D = + 20.87 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃)

Propyl(3aS,4R,7aR)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-

4-carboxylate (30a) : (Yield : 55%);¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.72 (s, 1H), 5.77 (m, 2H), 4.09 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.16–3.11 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.33 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.29– 2.18 (m, 2H), 1.72–1.63 (m, 2H), 0.96 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.9, 144.7, 145.5, 129.5, 124.7, 66.4, 46.1, 42.1, 37.7, 36.5, 27.3, 26.7, 22.2, 10.6; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 271.1305, found 271.1306; [α]²⁵_D = +18.42 (c = 0.9, CHCl₃)

ARTICLE

Ethyl(3a*R*,4*S*,7**a***S*)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4**carboxylate (11b)** : (Yield : 56%);¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.72 (s, 1H), 5.78–5.76 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.16 (m, 2H), 3.15–3.11 (m, 1H), 2.94–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.27–2.17 (m, 3H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.8, 145.7, 145.6, 129.5, 124.7, 60.8, 46.1, 42.1, 37.7, 36.5, 27.2, 26.7, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 257.1148, found 257.1146; [α]²⁵_D = -21.92 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃)

Propyl (3a*R*,4*S*,7a*S*)-2-acetyl-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-1H-indene-4-carboxylate (30b) : (Yield : 50%);¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.72 (s, 1H), 5.77 (m, 2H), 4.09 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.16–3.11 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.33 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.29– 2.18 (m, 2H), 1.72–1.63 (m, 2H), 0.96 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.1, 174.9, 144.7, 145.5, 129.5, 124.7, 66.4, 46.1, 42.1, 37.7, 36.5, 27.3, 26.7, 22.2, 10.6; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₃ [M+Na]⁺ 271.1305, found 271.1305; [α]²⁵_D = -15.37 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃).

Acknowledgements

Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi is acknowledged for the financial support through NCL-IGIB Joint Research Initiative (BSC0124). KLH thanks CSIR, New Delhi, for the award of a research fellowship. SS acknowledges financial support from BioCARe Department of Biotechnology. NK is supported by fellowship from Shiv Nadar University. Thanks to Avinash Bajaj and Somnath Kundu, Regional Centre for Biotechnology, Faridabad for the kind gift of Dil-labeled MDA-MB-231 cells and thanks to Ajith Nair, Towa optics, INDIA Pvt Ltd. for help in image processing using IMARIS software.

Notes and references

‡Both authors contributed equally.

- 1 D. J. Newman, G. M. Cragg and K. M. Snader, J. Nat. Prod., 2003, 66, 1022.
- 2 S. Basuand C. Sachidanandan, Chem Rev, 2013, 113, 7952.
- 3 R. T. Peterson and M. C. Fishman, *Methods in cell biology*, 2011,**105**, 525.
- 4 E. Gebruers, M. L. Cordero-Maldonado, A. I. Gray, C. Clements, A. L. Harvey, R. Edrada-Ebel, P. A. de Witte, A. D. Crawford and C. V. Esguerra, *PloS one*, 2013, 8, e83293.
- 5 S. K. Reddy Guduru, S. Chamakuri, G. Chandrasekar, S. S. Kitambi and P. Arya, ACS Med. Chem. Lett., 2013,4, 666.
- 6 W. Zhao, Q. Ye, X. Tan, H. Jiang, X. Li, K. Chen and A. D Kinghorn, J. Nat. Prod., 2001, 64, 1196.
- 7 T. Shen, P. L. Li, C. S. Yuan and Z. J. Jia, *Acta Chim Sinica*, 2007,**65**, 1638.
- 8 T. Yamada, M. Doi, A. Miura, W. Harada, M. Hiramura, K. Minoura, R. Tanaka and A. Numata, *J. Antibiot.*, 2005, **58**, 185.
- 9 T. S. Wu, M. S. Kao, P. L. Wu, F. W. Lin, L. S. Shi, M. J. Liou and C. Y. Li, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1999, **47**, 375.
- 10 T. S. Wu, M. S. Kao, P. L. Wu, F. W. Lin, L. S. Shi and C. M. Teng, *Phytochemistry*,1999, **52**, 901.
- 11 D. S. Reddy, Org Lett., 2004, 6, 3345.
- 12 K. L. Handore and D. S. Reddy, Org Lett., 2013, 15, 1894.

- 13 K. L. Handore, B. Seetharamsingh and D. S. Reddy, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 8149.
- 14 Z. H. He, M. F.He, S. C.Ma, P. P.But, Journal of ethnopharmacology, 2009, **121**, 313
- 15 X. Dong,Z. C. Han and R.Yang, *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology*, 2007, **62**, 105
- 16 R. Bicknell, British journal of cance, 2005, 92 Suppl 1, S2-5
- 17 P. V. Bonnesen, C. L. Puckett, R. V. Honeychuck and W. H. Hersh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, **111**, 6070.
- 18 Y. Hashimoto, T. Nagashima, K. Kobayashi, M. Hasegawa and K. Saigo, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 6349.
- 19 J. D. Winkler, H. S. Kim, S. Kim, C. S. Penkett, S. K. Bhattacharya, K. Ando and K. N. Houk, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, 62, 2957.
- 20 L. C. Baillie, A. Batsanov, J. R. Bearder and D. A. Whiting, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.1.1998, 3471.
- 21 M. Ge, B. M. Stoltz and E. J. Corey, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 1927.
- 22 D. S. Reddy and S. A. Kozmin, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 4860.
- 23 G. A. Kraus and J. J. Kim, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 3115.
- 24 J. Sauer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1967, 6, 16.
- 25 K. N. Houk and R. W. Strozier, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 4094.
- 26 K. N. Houk, Acc. Chem. Res., 1975, 8, 361.
- 27 O. Eisenstein, J. M. LeFour, N. T. Anh and R. F. Hudson, *Tetrahedron*, 1977, **33**, 523.
- 28 Y. Zhao, Z. Jia and H. Peng, J. Nat. Prod., 1995, 58, 1358.
- 29 S. Nicoli and M. Presta, Nature protocols, 2007, 2, 2918.
- 30 G. Chimote, J. Sreenivasan, N. Pawar, J. Subramanian, H. Sivaramakrishnan, and S. Sharma, *Drug Design, Development and Therapy*, 2014, 8, 1107.
- 31 M. L. Ponce, Methods Mol Biol, 2009, 467, 183.
- 32 M. Westerfield, 2000, The zebrafish book. A guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (Danio rerio) 4th ed., Univ. of Oregon Press, Eugene.
- 33 S. W. Jin, D. Beis, T. Mitchell, J. N. Chen and D. Y. Stainier, *Development*, 2005, **132**, 5199.
- 34 A. U. Steinbicker, C. Sachidanandan, A. J. Vonner, R. Z. Yusuf, D. Y. Deng, C. S. Lai, K. M. Rauwerdink, J. C. Winn, B. Saez, C. M. Cook, B. A. Szekely, C. N. Roy, J. S. Seehra, G. D. Cuny, D. T. Scadden, R. T. Peterson, K. D. Bloch and P. B. Yu, *Blood*, 2011, **117**, 4915.
- 35 M. K. Lalwani, M. Sharma, A. R. Singh, R. K. Chauhan, A. Patowary, N. Singh, V. Scaria and S. Sivasubbu, *PloS one*, 2012, 7, e52588.
- 36 D. Traver, B. H. Paw, K. D. Poss, W. T. Penberthy, S. Lin and L. I. Zon, *Nature immunology*, 2003, **4**, 1238.
- 37 N. D. Lawson and B. M. Weinstein, Dev Biol, 2002, 248, 307.
- 38 C. B. Kimmel, W. W. Ballard, S. R. Kimmel, B. Ullmann and T. F. Schilling, Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish, Developmental dynamics: an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists, 1995, **203**, 253.
- 39 D. Henrique, J. Adam, A. Myat, A. Chitnis, J. Lewis and D. Ish-Horowicz, *Nature*, 1995, 375, 787.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscrip