Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Azetidine- and N-Carboxylic Azetidine- Iminosugars as Amyloglucosidase Inhibitors:

Synthesis, Glycosidase Inhibitory Activity and Molecular Docking Studies

Kishor S. Gavale^a, Shrawan R. Chavan^a, Ayesha Khan^a, Rakesh Joshi^b, Dilip D. Dhavale*^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Garware Research Centre, Savitribai Phule Pune University (formerly University of Pune), Pune, 411 007, India

^b Institute of Bioinformatics and Biotechnology, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune 411007, India



ABSTRACT

A simple strategy for the synthesis of hitherto unknown azetidine iminosugars 2a-2c and Ncarboxylic azetidine iminosugar 2d has been reported. The methodology involves conversion of 1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-3-oxo- α -D-glucofuranose 3-azido-3-deoxy-3-C-(formyl)-3 to 1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene- α -D-glucofuranose using Jocic-Reeve 5 the and Corey-Link approach. Compound 5 was transformed to 5-OTs 10/5-OMs 19 derivatives that on intramolecular nucleophilic displacement with in situ generated 3-amino functionality afforded the key azetidine ring skeletons 11 and 20, respectively. Hydrolysis of the 1,2-acetonide group and manipulation of the anomeric carbon in 12 provided azetidine iminosugars 2a-2c. In an attempt to synthesize azetidine iminosugars with an additional 4-hydroxymethyl group from 20, we encountered an interesting observation wherein N-Cbz group in 20 hydrolyzed to the N-COOH functionality under TFA: H_2O condition that gave an access for the synthesis of N-

carboxylic azetidine iminosugar 2d. Glycosidase inhibitory activity of 2a-2d and intermediates 2e-f was studied with various glycosidases and compared with Miglitol and 1-deoxynojirimycin (DNJ). Azetidine iminosugars 2 were found to inhibit amyloglucosidase with competitive type of inhibition amongst which 2d was found to be relatively more active than Miglitol and DNJ. These results were substantiated by *in silico* molecular docking studies.

INTRODUCTION

Amongst monocyclic iminosugars, the five-, six- and seven-membered analogues are widely studied to understand the carbohydrate mediated processes in glycobiology.^{1,2} However, the four membered polyhydroxylated azetidine iminosugars are less exploited in the literature.^{3,4} In 1997, Jager and co-workers first synthesized 1,3-dideoxy-1,3-imino-L-xylitol **1a** (Figure 1) which was found to be a specific inhibitor of amyloglucosidases.⁵ Recently, Fleet and co-workers synthesized a variety of hydroxyalkyl substituted azetidine iminosugars **1a-1c** amongst which, **1b** showed a specific inhibition for non-mammalian glycosidases.⁶ Moreover, azetidine iminosugars were found to be components of dopamine antagonists⁷ and their carboxylic acid substituted (α - to the ring nitrogen) analogues are constituents of non-proteinogenic amino acids⁸ and also recognized as components of peptidomimetics.⁹ Apart from this, azetidin-3-ol¹⁰ is a basic structural motif present in naturally occurring alkaloids such as Penaresidines A and B (**1d** and **1e**) which are known for their actomyosine-ATPase activating properties.¹¹ Furthermore azetidines are widely used in bioactive compounds.¹²

While designing iminosugars, the type of substituent on the heterocyclic ring with its position and stereochemistry are being considered. In general, substituents such as alkyl /hydroxyalkyl/halogen/acid are introduced either by replacing or retaining the pre-existing hydroxyl group. The structure activity relationship (SAR) data indicated that such modifications

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

play a key role in deciding glycosidase inhibitory activity.¹³ In this direction, a variety of α geminal di-hydroxyalkyl substituted five- and six-membered iminosugars are reported from our
and other groups.¹⁴ It has been demonstrated that the presence of an additional hydroxymethyl
substituent at α -position of the ring nitrogen, leads to a selective and potential glycosidase
inhibitory activity than the parent counterpart. Inspired by this observation and in order to know
how the introduction of an additional hydroxymethyl group in azetidine ring position can alter its
inhibitory activity, we are now reporting the synthesis, glycosidase inhibitory and molecular
docking studies of α -geminal hydroxyalkyl **2a**, **2b** - (α -to the ring nitrogen), 2-carboxylic acid **2c**- as well as *N*-carboxylic- α , γ -trihydroxymethyl **2d**- azetidine iminosugars. (Figure 1)



Figure 1. Azetidine iminosugars and natural alkaloids

For the formation of azetidine iminosugars, Jager and co-workers utilized [2+2] cycloaddition of imines with the α -alkoxyketenes⁵ and Fleet and co-workers constructed azetidine core by double displacement of 3,5-di-*O*-triflate derivatives of α -furanosides or 2,4-di-*O*-triflate derivatives of β -pyranosides with alkyl amines.⁶ In our approach (Scheme 1), we envisioned to construct azetidine ring of target molecules (**2a-2d**) by the S_N2 displacement of the C5-*O*Ts/5-*O*Ms group with C3-amino (formed *in situ* from C3-azido) functionality of D-glucose. The azido and aldehyde functionalities at the C-3 position of D-glucose could be

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

obtained simultaneously in one pot using the Corey-Link¹⁵ and Jocic-Reeve type of approach with suitably protected 3-keto-D-glucohexose (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis for 2

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our synthesis starts from the 1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-3-oxo- α -D-glucofuranose 3 that was prepared from the diacetonide D-glucose as reported earlier¹⁶ (Scheme 2). Treatment of 3with dichloromethyl lithium, generated using dichloromethane and LDA in THF at -78 °C, afforded 3-dichloromethyl-D-allose 4 as the only diastereomer wherein attack of dichloromethyl anion took place exclusively from the β -face as the α -face is hindered due to the presence of 1.2acetonide group. Treatment of 4 with NaN₃ and TBAI (1.5 eq) in DMF afforded 3-azido-3formyl compound 5, via *in situ* formation of α -oriented chlorooxirane intermediate followed by the S_N2 attack of the azide ion from the β -face.^{13a,15} Reduction of 5 using NaBH₄ in MeOH:H₂O gave 3-azido-3-hydroxymethyl compound $\mathbf{6}$ that on reaction with benzyl bromide in the presence of NaH in THF gave benzyl ether derivative 7. In the next step, removal of the 5,6-acetonide group in 7 using AcOH:H₂O (3:2) at 60 °C furnished diol 8 which was further exploited to synthesize azetidine iminosugars.



 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:rescaled} Reaction \ Conditions: i) \ LDA, \ CH_2Cl_2, \ THF. -78 \ ^\circ C, \ 60\% \ ii) \ NaN_3, \ TBAI, \ DMF, \ 110 \ ^\circ C, \ 82\% \ iii) \ NaBH_4, \ MeOH: water(9:1), \ 0 \ ^\circ C, \ 93\% \ iv) \ BnBr, \ NaH, \ TBAI, \ 0 \ ^\circ C \ to \ rt, \ 92\% \ v) \ AcOH: water(3:2), \ 55 \ ^\circ C, \ 91\% \ vi) \ a) \ NalO_4, \ acetone: water(9:1), \ 0 \ ^\circ C \ b) \ NaBH_4, \ MeOH: water(9:1), \ 0 \ ^\circ C, \ 85\% \ (over \ 2 \ steps) \ vii) \ TSCI, \ Py, \ 60 \ ^\circ C, \ 88\% \ viii) \ H_2, \ 10\% \ Pd/C, \ MeOH, \ 79\% \ ix) \ CbzCI, \ NaHCO_3, \ MeOH: water(9:1), \ 89\% \ \end{array}$

Scheme 2. Synthesis of azetidine scaffold 12

Diol 8 on treatment with NaIO₄ in acetone: water (9:1) followed by reduction using NaBH₄ gave primary alcohol 9 that was protected with TsCl in pyridine to afford 5-OTs derivative 10. Hydrogenation of 10 using 10% Pd/C in methanol at 100 psi afforded furanose fused azetidine ring skeleton 11. This reaction involves reduction of the 3-azido group to 3-amino functionality followed by an intramolecular S_N2 displacement of 5-OTs to give azetidine ring skeleton in one pot. In the next step, protection of the ring nitrogen atom in 11 using CbzCl gave carbamate derivative 12. Hydrolysis of the 1,2-acetonide group in 12 using TFA: H₂O (3:1) afforded an anomeric mixture of hemiacetals (as evident from the ¹H-NMR of the crude product) that on oxidation using NaIO₄ followed by NaBH₄ reduction gave diol 13. Hydrogenolysis of 13 with 20% Pd(OH)₂/C in methanol furnished α -geminal dihydroxymethyl azetidine iminosugar 2a as a sticky solid. Targeting towards the synthesis of α -geminal dihydroxyalkyl azetidine

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

iminosugar 2b, treatment of 12 with TFA:H₂O (3:1) followed by reduction of the resulting hemiacetal with NaBH₄ gave benzyl protected azetidine triol 14. Hydrogenolysis of 14 using 20% Pd(OH)₂/C in methanol furnished the azetidine iminosugar 2b as a sticky solid.



 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:result} \mbox{Reaction conditions: i. a) TFA:H_2O, b) NaBH_4, MeOH:H_2O (9:1), 0 \ ^oC, 72\% \ (over 2 steps) ii) a) TFA:H_2O b) NalO_4, acetone:H_2O (9:1), 0 \ ^oC c) NaBH_4, MeOH:H_2O (9:1), 0 \ ^oC, 78\% \ (over 3 steps) iii. a) TFA:H_2O, b) NalO_4, acetone:water (9:1), 0 \ ^oC c) NaClO_2, 30\% \ H_2O_2, Aetonitrile:H_2O \ (1:1), 0 \ ^oC, 69\% \ (over 3 steps) \ A) \ H_2, 20\% \ Pd(OH)_2/C, MeOH, \mbox{2a} - 95\%, \mbox{2b} - 93\%, \mbox{2c} - 92\%. \end{array}$

Scheme 3: Synthesis of iminosugars 2a-2c

To obtain azetidine iminosugar 2c, hydrolysis of the 1,2-acetonide group in 12 using TFA: water (3:1) and treatment with NaIO₄ followed by the Pinnick oxidation¹⁷ using NaClO₂/30% H₂O₂ in the presence of buffer NaH₂PO₄ gave an acid **15** that on hydrogenolysis using 20% Pd(OH)₂/C in MeOH provided the 3-hydroxy-2-hydroxymethyl-azetidine-2-carboxylic acid **2c** (Scheme 3).

Next, *N*-carboxylic azetidine iminosugar 2d was obtained coincidently from the same diol 8 (Scheme 4). Thus, diol 8 on reaction with Bu_2SnO and benzyl bromide in the presence of TBAB¹⁸ selectively afforded 6-*O*Bn protected derivative 16 that on reaction with MsCl and Et₃N gave 5-*O*Ms derivative 17. In order to get the bicyclic furanose fused azetidine skeleton, compound 17 was subjected to hydrogenation conditions using 10% Pd/C in methanol (as described for azetidine iminosugars 2a-2c), however, the reaction failed to give the desired bicyclic azetidine ring skeleton **A**. Our attempts under different reaction conditions such as mole

6

ratio of catalyst (5 to 20 mol %), temperature (room temperature to 60 °C) and pressure (80 psi to 200 psi) led to the complex mixture of products. Alternatively, the 3-azido functionality in **16** was reduced using 1,3-dithiane and Et₃N in methanol to afford a 3-amino compound (as evident from the IR spectrum that showed an absence of peak at 2118 cm⁻¹due to azide group) that was protected as its carbamate derivative using CbzCl and NaHCO₃ to get *N*-Cbz protected compound **18**. The 5-hydroxyl group in **18** was reacted with MsCl and Et₃N to get 5-*O*Ms derivative **19**. In the next step, reaction of **19** with K₂CO₃/methanol under reflux afforded the required bicyclic fused azetidine scaffold **20** in overall 64% yield from **16**.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of iminosugar 2d

When compound 20 was treated with TFA: H_2O (3:1) and then with NaIO₄ followed by reduction with NaBH₄ (by the same sequence as was successfully employed in the synthesis of 2a and 2b), coincidently we got *N*-carboxylic azetidine iminosugar 21a and not the expected

azetidine iminosugar **21b** (as it was evident from the ¹H NMR spectrum, the peak corresponding to benzylic *N*-Cbz protons in 5.00-5.25 δ (ppm) region was absent, see experimental section for other data). Hydrogenolysis of **21a** using 20% Pd(OH)₂/C in MeOH at room temperature afforded *N*-carboxylic acid azetidine iminosugar **2d** (Scheme 4).¹⁹

The formation of **21a** from the *N*-Cbz protected compound **20** is intriguing. In order to know the fact that at which stage (TFA:H₂O/NaIO₄/NaBH₄ conditions) the *N*-Cbz moiety in **20** is converted to the *N*-carboxylic acid functionality; we investigated each step of the reaction sequence in detail. Thus compound **20** was treated with TFA:H₂O (3:1) at 0 °C and the reaction was monitored cautiously by TLC analysis. After 30 minutes at 0 °C, we noticed complete disappearance of starting material **20** (R_f = 0.6; hexane/EtOAc: 4/1) and a new spot appeared at lower R_f (R_f = 0.2; hexane/EtOAc: 4/1) which on work up (see experimental detail) and column chromatography purification afforded *N*-carboxylic bicyclic azetidine skeleton **22** in 94% yield (Eq. 1). This experiment established the fact that *N*-Cbz functionality in **20** is getting hydrolysed to *N*-COOH group prior to the 1,2-acetonide cleavage under acidic conditions.²⁰ To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of hydrolysis of *N*-Cbz moiety to *N*-COOH functionality under acidic conditions although, deprotection of *N*-Cbz group to amine is known under strong acidic/basic conditions.²¹



Reaction conditions: i. TFA:H₂O, 0 °C, 30min, 96%

Comparing the structural features of **12** and **20**, it is observed that such type of conversion occurs only when an additional 5-CH₂*O*Bn group is present α - to the azetidine ring nitrogen since under similar reaction conditions (TFA:H₂O, NaIO₄ and NaBH₄), **12** afforded *N*-

Cbz protected azetidine iminosugar 13, while 20 afforded *N*-carboxylic acid azetidine iminosugar 21a. We believe that α -orientation of the 1,2-acetonide and 3–CH₂*O*Bn functionalities in 20 forces *N*-Cbz group to remain in close proximity with 5-CH₂*O*Bn group. Under TFA:H₂O condition protonation of the *N*-Cbz carbonyl oxygen is stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bonding with the CH₂*O*Bn group. The protonated transition state **Y** is further stabilised by benzyloxy oxygen atom (as well as the ring nitrogen atom) which makes benzylic C-O bond weaker as shown in Figure 2. Attack of the water on benzylic carbon and cleavage of the C-O bond leads to the formation of *N*-carboxylic azetidine derivative which is in turn further stabilised by an intramolecular hydrogen bonding with 5-CH₂*O*Bn group.²²



Figure 2. Plausible mechanism for formation of 22

In order to obtain the azetidine iminosugar without *N*-COOH functionality, compound **20** was hydrogenated using 10% Pd/C in methanol at 100 psi (to remove *N*-Cbz and -*O*Bn groups). To our surprise, hydrogenolysis of **20** afforded compound **2e** in which *N*-Cbz functionality was converted to *N*-COOH along with the deprotection of 3- and 5-*O*Bn groups (Scheme 5). Owing to this unusual observation, we performed similar experiment with **12** wherein 5-CH₂*O*Bn group is absent. However, hydrogenolysis of **12** with 10% Pd/C in methanol proceeded with usual

deprotection of 3-CH₂OBn and N-Cbz groups to give $2\mathbf{f}$ (Scheme 5). These results may be attributed to the presence of an additional 5-CH₂OBn group in $2\mathbf{0}$ wherein Pd(0) can act as Lewis acid. This study thus provides an access to two new azetidine scaffolds $2\mathbf{e}$ and $2\mathbf{f}$ for evaluation of glycosidase inhibitory activity.



Scheme 5. Hydrogenolysis of 12 and 20

Biological Activity

Azetidine iminosugars (**2a-2d**), and bicyclic furanose fused azetidine ring intermediates (**2e** and **2f**) were evaluated for inhibitory activity against a series of commercially available glycosidases such as α -glucosidase (rice) [E.C.<u>3.2.1.20]</u>, β -glucosidase (rat intestine) [E.C.<u>3.2.1.21]</u>, α - galactosidase (coffee beans) [E.C.<u>3.2.1.22]</u>, β - galactosidase (bovine liver, cytosolic) [E.C.<u>3.2.1.23]</u>, α -mannosidase (Jack beans) [E.C.<u>3.2.1.24]</u>, and amyloglucosidase (*Aspergillus niger*) [EC 3.2.1.3] with reference to known standards *N*-hydroxyethyl deoxynojirimycin (trade name Miglitol) and 1- deoxynojirimycin (DNJ)²³. The corresponding IC₅₀ values and inhibition constants (Ki) were determined from Lineweaver-Burk plots (see the Supporting information) and the results are summarized in Table 1.

Azetidine iminosugars are known to be amyloglucosidase inhibitors^{5,6} amongst various glycosidases and the same trend was noticed with **2a-2d** and showed good to moderate inhibition of amyloglucosidase with competitive type of inhibition. Amongst these, **2a** and **2b** were found to be weak inhibitors of amyloglucosidase while **2c** was found to inhibit α -galactosidase

significantly along with moderate inhibition of amyloglucosidase. The furanose fused azetidine intermediate **2f** displayed strong inhibition of amyloglucosidase with IC₅₀ and K_i values 10.5 and 5.3 μ M respectively. Effect of *N*-COOH group and an additional 4-hydroxymethyl in **2d** was impressive since this molecule inhibited amyloglucosidase with IC₅₀ and K_i values 1.5 and 0.8 μ M respectively and found to be relatively more active than DNJ (IC₅₀ = 2.8 and Ki = 1.5 μ M) and Miglitol (IC₅₀ = 2.8 and K_i = 1.5 μ M). The acetonide analogue **2e** also showed strong inhibition against amyloglucosidase (IC₅₀ = 2.8 and Ki = 1.5 μ M) along with significant inhibition of α -galactosidase and weak inhibition of α -and β -glucosidase.

Enzyme (Source)		α- glucosidase (Rice)	β- glucosidase (Rat intestine)	α- galactosidase (Coffee Beans)	β- galactosidase (Bovine Liver)	α- manosidase (Jack Beans)	Amylo- glucosidase (A.Niger)
Miglitol	IC ₅₀	0.1	70	NI	NI	NI	24
	K _i	0.056	32	NI	NI	NI	13
DNJ	IC ₅₀	0.05	327	NI	NI	NI	1.7
	K _i	0.15	0.45	NI	NI	NI	2.1
2a	IC ₅₀	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	415
	K _i	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	322
2b	IC ₅₀	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	522
	K _i	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	421
2c	IC ₅₀	NI	NI	103	NI	NI	201
	K _i	NI	NI	89	NI	NI	145
2d	IC ₅₀	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	1.5
	K _i	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	0.8
2e	IC ₅₀	NI	384	45	NI	NI	2.8
	K _i	NI	254	35	NI	NI	1.5
2f	IC ₅₀	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	10.5
	K _i	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	5.3

^{*a*}NI: No inhibition at 1mM concentration of inhibitor. Data is average of five sets of assay performed.

Table 1. IC₅₀ (μ M) and K_i (μ M) values for 2a-2f and standards Miglitol and DNJ^a

Molecular Docking

The molecular docking studies of azetidine iminosugar 2d as well as bicyclic furanose fused azetidine ring intermediates 2e and 2f was performed in order to know their interactions with the amino acid residues of amyloglucosidase. Homology modeling was performed using Swiss Model server to predict three dimensional structure of Aspergillus niger amyloglucosidase (AgAmy, Accession No.: EHA21384). The X ray crystal structure of Aspergillus awamori glucoamylase (PDB:1DOG) was used as template for homology modeling.²⁴ Predicted model was energy minimized using GROMOS 43BI force field²⁵ and then assessed for its quality and Binding pockets of enzymes and docking simulations were Ramachandran plot analysis. predicted using AutoDock 4.2 version.²⁶ The grid was set around active site residues of AgAmy (Trp144, Glu200, Asp203) with dimension of 20 x 20 x 20 Å. The docking parameters were set to a LGA calculation of 10,000 runs. The energy evaluations were set to 1,500,000 and 27,000 generations. Population size was set to 150 and the rate of gene mutation and the rate of gene crossover were set to 0.02 and 0.8, respectively.²⁷ Conformations thus obtained were summarized, collected and extracted by using Autodock Tool. The geometry of resulting complexes was studied using the PyMol Molecular Viewer utility (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.5.0.4 Schrödinger, LLC).

The molecular docking study of azetidine iminosugar 2d, 2e and 2f showed atomic interactions with several amino acid residues of amyloglucosidase enzyme (Figure 3). Binding scores for 2d, 2e and 2f were -6.3, -5.7 and -5.3 kcal/mol, respectively (Table 2), thus specifying highest binding affinity of 2d for amino acid residues of amyloglucosidase. Binding pose analysis showed that 2d and 2f bind deep inside the active site while; 2e at the mouth region of the binding pocket (Figure 3A). Analysis of polar contacts displayed that 2d forms multiple

hydrogen bonds with Tyr72, Glu203 and Arg329 residue of binding pocket of AgAmy, which signifies the strong interaction between enzyme and 2d. Compounds 2e and 2f form hydrogen bonds with Tyr72 while; 2e forms single polar contact with Arg329 (Figure 3B-D). Higher density of hydrogen bonding in case of 2d renders strong complex formation and attributed to the higher binding affinity. At the same time 2e and 2f showed weak interaction compared to 2d, leading to lower binding score. Binding energies obtained for all complexes showed positive correlation with *in vitro* inhibition data. Interaction between AgAmy and 2d- 2f exhibit similar strength of Van der Waals and electrostatic interactions, hence difference in the binding energy is solely contributed by the variations in polar contacts (Table 2). *In silico* analysis and *in vitro* validations suggest that binding of 2d to the active site of AgAmy results in inaccessibility of active site for substrate which in turn marks 2d as more active and competitive inhibitor of amyloglucosidase.



Figure 3. (A) Molecular interaction of 2d-2f with *Aspergillus niger* amyloglucosidase (green colored), 2d is represented in red while 2e and 2f are represented in blue and magneta colour respectively. (B-D) Binding poses of 2d-2f in binding pockets of enzyme. Compounds and residue of binding site are represented in stick format with standard element colours. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding in binding pocket residues and functional group of compounds is represented by yellow dashed line.

Page	14	of	39
------	----	----	----

Compound	H-bond energy (kcal/mol)	E _{vdw} (kcal/mol)	E _{elec} (kcal/mol)	E _{total} (kcal/mol)
2d	- 1.243	- 2.61	- 2.53	- 6.38
2e	- 0.52	-2.52	- 2.39	- 5.43
2f	- 0.75	-2.2	- 2.15	-5.10

Table 2: The H-bond energy, Van der Waals energy (E_{vdw}), electrostatic energy (E_{elec}) and total energy (E_{total}) in Kcal/mol between active site residues of *Aspergillus awamori* glucoamylase and **2d-2f**.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the utility of the Jocic-Reeve and modified Corey-Link approach with 3-keto functionality of D-glucose for the synthesis of four new azetidine imninosugars **2a-2d**. The key azetidine ring skeleton was build up by nucleophilic displacement of the 5-OTs/5-OMs with *in situ* generated 3-amino functionality that consistently proceeded in high yields. Hydrolysis of *N*-Cbz group in **20** to *N*-COOH functionality under TFA:H₂O condition is unprecedented in the literature that was attributed to the presence of an additional 5-CH₂OR moiety. This led to an unusual formation of *N*-carboxylic azetidine iminosugar **2d**. Competitive inhibition of amyloglucosidase shown by azetidine iminosugars **2** is noteworthy, that was supported by the *in silico* molecular docking analysis. The *N*-carboxylic azetidine iminosugar **2d** was found to be relatively more active amyloglucosidase inhibitor than the miglitol and DNJ.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. All reactions were carried out with distilled and dried solvents using ovendried glassware. All reagents were purchased from commercial sources (Aldrich or Fluka). ¹H ^{13}C NMR (200MHz/300MHz/400MHz/500MHz) and NMR (50MHz/75MHz/100MHz/125MHz) were recorded in CDCl₃ and D₂O as solvents. Chemical shifts were reported in δ unit (parts per million) with reference to TMS as an internal standard. Melting points were recorded using Thomas Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P-1020 digital polarimeter with sodium light (589.3 nm) at 24–35 °C. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained in positive ion electrospray (ESI) mode using TOF (time of flight) analyser. Thin layer Chromatography was performed on precoated plates (0.25 mm, silica gel 60 F254). Column chromatography was carried out with silica gel (100-200 mesh). IR spectra were obtained using FTIR spectrophotometer as a thin film or using KBr pellets and recorded in cm⁻¹. After neutralization workup involves washing of combined organic layer with water, brine, drying over anhydrous sodium sulphate and evaporation of solvent under reduced pressure.

1,2:5,6-Di-*O***-isopropylidene-3-***C***-(dichloromethyl)**-*a***-D-allofuranose** (**4**). To a stirred solution of diisopropylamine (6.78 mL, 48.39 mmol) in dry THF (60 mL), 1.6 M solution of n-butyllithium in hexane (7.3 mL, 48.39 mmol) was added under nitrogen atmosphere and stirred for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was cooled to -78 °C and ketone **3** (5.00 g, 19.35 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) was added dropwise. The temperature was then allowed to rise slowly to 20 °C and stirred. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 3/2) after 3 h indicated disappearance of the starting material. Reaction was quenched by adding saturated aq. NH₄Cl solution (10 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL \times 2) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ ethyl acetate, 9.5:0.5) afforded **4** (3.97 g, 60%) as a white solid: R_f =

0.56 (hexane/ EtOAc, 9:1); mp 133-135 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +27.7$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, v, cm⁻¹) 3482 (br), 1220, 1079,789 ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 6.23 (s, 1H, CHCl₂), 5.93 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.81 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.41 (ddd, *J* = 9.8, 5.8, 4.1 Hz, 1H, 5CH), 4.14 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 5.9 Hz, 1H, 6CHa), 4.06 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 3.96 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 4.1 Hz, 1H, 6CHb), 3.51 (s, 1H, exchangeable with D₂O, OH), 1.61 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.59 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.47 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.44 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 112.9 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₂), 110.2 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₂), 105.4 (C1), 84.7 (C2), 81.5 (C3), 79.4 (C4), 73.2 (CHCl₂), 73.1 (C5), 68.5 (C6), 27.0 (CH₃), 26.8 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH₃), 25.4 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₁₃H₂₀Cl₂O₆Na [M + Na]: 365.0529; found: 365.0526 [M + Na].

3-Azido-3-deoxy-3-C-(formyl)-1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-a-D-glucofuranose (5). То а stirred solution of 4 (4.00 g, 11.68 mmol) in dry DMF (20 mL), sodium azide (2.28 g, 35.06 mmol), TBAI (2.16 g, 5.84 mmol) were added and the mixture was heated at 110 °C. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 9/1) after 4 h indicated disappearance of the starting material, DMF was evaporated at reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with EtOAc (30 mL \times 3) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ ethyl acetate: 9/1) afforded 5 (3.00 g, 82%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.5$ (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +75.70$ (c 0.38, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, ν, cm⁻¹) 2123, 1734, 1456, 1379, 844, 758; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 9.64 (s, 1H, CHO), 5.94 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.63 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.55 (d, J =8.8 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 4.23 (ddd, J = 3.8, 6.1, 8.8 Hz, 1H, 5CH), 4.12 (dd, J = 3.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H, 6CHa), 4.06 (dd, J = 3.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H, 6CHb), 1.61 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.38 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.33 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.30 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 194.5 (CHO), 114.1 (C(CH₃)₂), 109.9 (C(CH₃)₂), 105.7 (C1), 86.2 (C2), 81.0 (C4), 76.5 (C3), 72.5 (C5), 67.2 (C6), 26.7 (CH₃),

26.6 (CH₃), 26.1 (CH₃), 24.6 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{13}H_{20}N_3O_6$ [M + H]: 314.1352; found: 314.1343 [M + H].

3-Azido-3-deoxy-3-C-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene- α -D-glucofuranose (6).

To an ice-cooled stirred solution of 5 (3.00 g, 9.57 mmol) in methanol (20 mL), sodium borohydride (0.362 g, 9.57 mmol) was added in two portions. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 1 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction was quenched by adding saturated aq. NH_4Cl solution (5 mL) and methanol was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with EtOAc (30 mL \times 3) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ ethyl acetate: 9/1) afforded 6 (2.81 g, 93%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.4$ (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{30} = -6.3$ (c 0.6, CHCl₃); IR $(CHCl_3, v, cm^{-1})$ 3421 (br), 2986, 2116; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 5.86 (d, J = 3.9Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.63 (d, J = 3.9Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.30-4.20 (m, 1H, 5CH), 4.15 (dd, J = 9.0, 4.3 Hz, 1H, 6CHa), 4.06 (ABq, J = 12.9 Hz, 2H, 3C(CH₂OH)), 4.02 (dd, J = 9.0, 4.3Hz, 1H, 6CHb), 3.84 (d, J = 9.1Hz, 1H, 4CH), 2.91 (br, exchangeable with D₂O, 1H, OH), 1.54 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.44 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.37 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.34 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 113.1 (C(CH₃)₂), 109.9 (C(CH₃)₂), 104.3 (C1), 84.4 (C3), 81.8 (C2), 74.4 (C4), 72.5 (C5), 67.7 (C6), 62.1 (C3CH₂OH), 26.7 (CH₃), 26.6 (CH₃), 26.3 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{13}H_{21}N_3O_6Na [M + Na]$: 338.1327; found: 338.1332 [M + Na].

3-Azido-3-deoxy-3-*C***-(benzyloxymethyl)-1,2:5,6-di**-*O***-isopropylidene**-*α***-D-glucofuranose** (7). To an ice-cooled solution of **6** (2.81 g, 8.91 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL), sodium hydride (0.445 g, 11.13 mmol) was added slowly and stirred for 15 min. Benzyl bromide (1.16 mL, 9.80 mmol) and catalytic amount of TBAI (0.329 g, 0.89 mmol) were added and stirred at room temperature. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 5 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

formation of a single product. Reaction was quenched by adding saturated aq. NH₄Cl solution (5 mL) and THF was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with DCM (30 mL × 3) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc: 9.5/0.5) afforded **7** (3.32 g, 92%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.8$ (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{30} = +7.36$ (*c* 0.5, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, *v*, cm⁻¹) 2116; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.44-7.26 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.84 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.72 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.68-4.56 (m, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 4.26-4.16 (m, 1H, 5CH), 4.07 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 6.5 Hz, 1H, 6CHa), 4.04-3.90 (m, 2H, 6CHb and 3C(CH₂O)), 3.86 (d, *J* = 9.9Hz, 1H, 4CH), 3.70 (d, *J* = 8.3Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂O)), 1.51 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.36 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.33 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 137.9 (Ph), 128.9 (Ph), 127.7 (Ph), 127.6 (Ph), 112.9 (C(CH₃)₂), 109.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 104.6 (C1), 83.4 (C3), 80.8 (C2), 73.8 (OCH₂Ph), 73.6 (C4), 73.0 (C5), 69.3 (C6), 67.6 (C3CH₂O), 27.0 (CH₃), 26.7 (CH₃), 26.6 (CH₃), 25.1 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₂₀H₂₇N₃O₆Na [M + Na]: 428.1797; found: 428.1796 [M + Na].

3-Azido-3-deoxy-3-C-(benzyloxymethyl)-1,2-O-isopropylidene- α -D-glucofuranose (8).

Compound **7** (3.32 g, 81.88 mmol) was dissolved in acetic acid:water (3:2, 30 mL) and stirred at 55 °C. TLC analysis (Hexane/ ethyl acetate: 4/1) after 3 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Acetic acid was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was neutralized by saturated aq. NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with ethyl acetate (30 mL × 3). Combined organic layer was dried over sodium sulphate and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ ethyl acetate: 7/3) afforded **8** (2.73 g, 91%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.5$ (hexane/ EtOAc: 1/1); $[\alpha]_D{}^{30} = +26.05$ (*c* 0.4, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, *v*, cm⁻¹) 3401(br), 2117, 1613, 1455, 1253; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.42-7.30 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.85 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.66 (ABq, J = 11.4 Hz, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 4.60 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1)

1H, 2CH), 4.04-3.90 (m, 3H, 6CHa and $3C(CH_2O)$), 3.89-3.83 (m, 1H, 5CH), 3.80 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 3.70 (dd, J = 11.4, 4.7 Hz, 1H, 6CHb), 2.04-1.80 (br, 2H, exchangeable with D₂O, OH), 1.50 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.33 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 136.8 (Ph), 128.6 (Ph), 128.1 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 113.1 ($C(CH_3)_2$), 104.0 (C1), 84.2 (C2), 81.2 (C3), 74.0 (C4), 73.6 (C5), 69.1 (OCH_2Ph), 68.8 ($C3CH_2O$), 64.1 (C6), 26.8 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₁₇H₂₃N₃O₆Na [M + Na]: 388.1484; found: 388.1485 [M + Na].

3-Azido-3-deoxy-3-C-(benzyloxymethyl)-1,2-O-isopropylidene- α -D-xylofuranose (9). To an ice-cooled stirred solution of 8 (2.00 g, 5.47 mmol) in acetone/water (9:1, 20 mL), sodium metaperiodate (1.75 g, 8.21 mmol) was added slowly. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 1/1) after 3 h indicated disappearance of the starting material. The reaction mixture was quenched with ethylene glycol (2 mL), acetone was evaporated under reduced pressure and the reaction mixture was filtered through celite and washed with EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a thick liquid (crude weight 1.74 g, 5.24 mmol). To an ice cold stirred solution of the above crude product in methanol (15 mL), sodium borohydride (0.2 g, 5.24 mmol) was added slowly. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 7/3) after 15 min indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction was quenched by adding saturated aq. NH₄Cl solution (3 mL) and methanol was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue extracted with EtOAc (30 mL \times 2) and concentrated. Purification by column was chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc: 7/3) afforded 9 (1.50 g, 85%) as a thick liquid; $R_f = 0.45$ (Hexane/ EtOAc: 3/2); $[\alpha]_D^{30} = +18.73$ (c 0.3, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, v, cm⁻¹) 3482(br), 2112, 1541, 1456; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.42-7.28 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.89 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.63 (ABq, J = 11.3 Hz, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 4.58 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.10 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 3.93 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂O)), 3.80 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂O)), 3.82-3.77

(m, 2H, 5CHa and 5CHb), 2.67 (br t, 1H, exchangeable with D₂O, OH), 1.51 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.33 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 136.9 (Ph), 128.6 (Ph), 128.2 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 113.1 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₂), 104.2 (C1), 84.2 (C2), 82.0 (C4), 74.1 (O<u>C</u>H₂Ph), 73.0 (C3), 69.1 (C3<u>C</u>H₂O), 60.3 (C5), 26.8 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₁₆H₂₁N₃O₅Na [M + Na]: 358.1378; found: 358.1384 [M + Na].

3-Azido-3-deoxy-3-C-(benzyloxymethyl)-5-O-p-tolylsulfonyl-1,2-O-isopropylidene-a-D-

xylofuranose (10). To a stirred solution of 9 (1.50 g, 4.47 mmol) in dry pyridine (10 mL), tosyl chloride (1.02 g, 5.36 mmol) and catalytic amount of DMAP (0.053 g, 0.44 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 5 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Pyridine was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc: 9/1) afforded **10** (1.95 g, 88%) as a thick liquid; $R_f = 0.65$ (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{29} = +46.88$ (c 0.27, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, ν, cm⁻¹) 2115, 1597, 1496, 1454, 1177; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.74 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.41-7.29 (m, 7H, Ph), 5.84 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.61-4.58 (d, 3H, 2CH and OCH₂Ph), 4.29 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.3Hz, 1H, 4CH), 4.11-4.023 (m, 2H, 5CHa and 5CHb), 3.87 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂O)), 3.73 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂O)), 2.43 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 1.46 (s, 3H, (CH₃)), 1.32 (s, 3H, (CH₃)); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 145.1 (Ph), 137.3 (Ph), 132.5 (Ph), 129.9 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 128.1 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.8 (Ph), 113.3 (C(CH₃)₂), 104.6 (C1), 83.3 (C2), 78.5 (C4), 73.9 (OCH₂Ph), 73.0 (C3), 69.0 (C5), 68.1 (3C(CH₂O)), 26.9 (CH₃), 26.6 (CH₃), 21.7 (PhCH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{23}H_{27}N_3O_7SNa [M + Na]: 512.1462; found: 512.1461 [M + Na].$

3,5-Dideoxy-3-C-(benzyloxymethyl)-3,5-imino1,2-O-isopropylidene- α -D-xylofuranose (11).

To a solution of **10** (1.95 g, 3.98 mmol) in methanol, (15 mL) 10% Pd/C (0.042 g, 0.39 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was hydrogenated at 100 psi at room temperature. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 3 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. The catalyst was filtered through celite, washed with methanol and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography afforded **11** as thick liquid (0.94 g, 79%); $R_f = 0.32$ (EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D^{29} = +26.74$ (*c* 0.7, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, ν , cm⁻¹) 3331(br), 1652, 1456; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.40-7.30 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.27 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.76 (dd, J = 5.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 4.62 (ABq, J = 11.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 4.43 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 3.80 (ABq, J = 10.5 Hz, 2H, 3C(CH₂O)), 3.70 (dd, J = 9.0, 5.2 Hz, 1H, 5CHa), 3.08 (dd, J = 9.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H, 5CHb), 2.23 (br, 1H, exchangeable with D₂O, NH), 1.43 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.36 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 137.9 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 127.8 (Ph), 127.6 (Ph), 114.2 (C(CH₃)₂), 108.9 (C1), 86.1 (C2), 79.8 (C4), 73.4 (OCH₂Ph), 73.3 (C3), 68.8 (3C(CH₂O)), 51.0 (C5), 28.1 (CH₃), 27.3 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₁₆H₂₂NO₄ [M + H]: 292.1549; found: 292.1552 [M + H].

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-3-C-(benzyloxymethyl)-3,5-dideoxy--3,5-imino-1,2-O-isopropylidene-

a-D-xylofuranose (12). To an ice cold stirred solution of 11 (0.30 g, 1.02 mmol) in methanol:water (9:1, 10 mL) sodium bicarbonate (0.095 g, 1.13 mmol) was added, followed by slow drop wise addition of carbobenzyloxy chloride 50% solution (0.35 mL, 1.23 mmol) and reaction mixture was stirred. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc, 2:3) after 2 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was extracted with chloroform (20 ml x 2) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) afforded 12 as a thick liquid (0.39 g, 89%); $R_f = 0.55$ (Hexane/EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{29} = +11.0$ (*c* 0.7, CHCl₃);

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

IR (CHCl₃, v, cm⁻¹) 1706, 1497, 1454; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.36-7.20 (m, 10H, Ph), 6.17-6.15 (m, 1H, 1CH), 5.10-5.02 (m, 2H, NCOOC<u>H</u>₂Ph), 4.85-4.75 (m, 1H, 4CH), 4.65-4.30 (m, 3H, 2CH and OC<u>H</u>₂Ph), 4.10-3.97 (m, 2H, 5CHa and 5CHb), 3.91-3.70 (m, 1H, 3C(C<u>H</u>₂O)), 3.57-3.47 (m, 1H, 3C(C<u>H</u>₂O), 1.43 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.34 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.7 (N<u>C</u>OO), 137.8 (Ph), 136.2 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 128.3 (Ph), 128.1 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.7 (Ph), 127.6 (Ph), 127.5 (Ph), 127.3 (Ph), 114.8 (C(CH₃)₂), 108.9 (C1), 83.2 (C3), 78.9 (C2), 77.1 (C4), 73.3 (NCOO<u>C</u>H₂Ph), 66.8 (O<u>C</u>H₂Ph), 65.7 (C5), 56.6 (C6), 27.8 (CH₃), 27.2 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₂₄H₂₈NO₆ [M + H]: 426.1916; found: 426.1921 [M + H].

(2S,3R)-N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-2-benzyloxymethyl-2-hydroxylmethyl-azetidin-3-ol (13). Α solution of 12 (0.39 g, 0.91 mmol) in TFA:H₂O (4 mL, 3:1) was stirred at 0 °C. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 2 h indicated disappearance of the starting material. TFA was coevaporated with toluene under reduced pressure. The residue obtained (crude weight 0.35 g, 0.91 mmol) was dissolved in acetone/water (9:1, 10 mL), cooled to 0 °C, to this stirred solution, NaIO₄ (0.29 g, 1.09 mmol) was added slowly. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc, 7:3) after 3 h indicated disappearance of the starting material. The reaction mixture was quenched with ethylene glycol (1 mL), acetone was evaporated under reduced pressure and filtered through celite and washed with EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a thick liquid (crude weight 0.29 g, 0.75 mmol). To an ice cold stirred solution of above crude product in methanol (10 mL), sodium borohydride (0.043 g, 1.13 mmol) was added. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 7/3) after 2 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction was quenched by adding saturated aq. NH_4Cl solution (3) mL) and methanol was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with

22

EtOAc (20 mL × 2) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc: 3/2) gave **13** (0.23 g, 72%) as a thick liquid; $R_f = 0.57$ (Hexane/EtOAc 1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{29} = -3.91$ (*c* 0.44, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, *v*, cm⁻¹) 3412 (br), 1682, 1425; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃+ D₂O) δ (ppm) 7.59-7.05 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.20-4.97 (m, 2H, NCOOCH₂Ph), 4.65-4.33 (m, 3H, 3CH and OCH₂Ph), 4.25-4.05 (m, 1H, 4CHa), 4.04-3.80 (m, 2H, 2C(CH₂O)), 3.79-3.35 (m, 3H, 4CHb and 2C(CH₂OH)); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 156.1 (NCOO), 137.8 (Ph), 136.4 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 128.1 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.7 (Ph), 127.5 (Ph), 75.5 (NCOOCH₂Ph), 73.5 (2C(OCH₂Ph)), 69.2 (C2), 67.3 (C3), 66.9 (2C(CH₂O)), 61.7 (2C(CH₂OH)), 57.6 (C4); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₂₀H₂₄NO₅ [M + H]: 358.1654; found: 358.1663 [M + H].

(3*R*)-2,2-Bis(hydroxymethyl)-azetidin-3-ol (2a). To a solution of 13 (0.23 g, 0.64 mmol) in methanol (10 mL), 20% Pd(OH)₂/C (0.009 g, 0.06 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was hydrogenated at 100 psi. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 1/4) after 12 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite, washed with methanol and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography (chloroform/methanol: 4/1) afforded 2a (0.082 g, 95%) as a sticky solid, $R_f = 0.2$ (CHCl₃/MeOH: 3/2); $[\alpha]_D^{29} = -19.93$ (*c* 0.3, H₂O); IR (MeOH, ν , cm⁻¹) 3310 (br); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 4.59 (dd, J = 7.8 and 6.5 Hz, 1H, 3CH), 4.02 (dd, J = 12.0, 7.8 Hz, 1H, 4CHa), 3.96 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂OH), 3.77 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂OH), 3.76 (dd, J = 12.0, 7.8 Hz, 1H, 4CHb), 3.68 (ABq, J = 12 Hz, 2H, CH₂OH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O) δ (ppm), 77.3 (C2), 64.6 (C3), 59.9 (CH₂OH), 57.6 (CH₂OH), 50.4 (C4); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₅H₁₂NO₃ [M + H]: 134.0817; found: 134.0822 [M + H]. *N*-Benzyloxycarbonyl-3-*C*-(benzyloxymethyl)-1,3-dideoxy-1,3-imino-D-xylitol (14). Compound 12 (0.39 g, 0.91 mmol scale) on reaction with TFA:H₂O as described for 13 afforded

a mixture of hemiacetals (crude weight 0.30 g, 0.77 mmol). That was dissolved in THF/water (9:1, 10 mL), cooled to 0 °C and NaBH₄ (0.058 g, 1.55 mmol) were slowly added and stirred. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 7/3) after 12 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction was quenched by adding saturated aq. NH_4Cl solution (3 mL) and THF was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with EtOAc (20 mL \times 2) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc: 3/2) afforded 14 (0.25 g, 78%) as a thick liquid; $R_f = 0.5$ (EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D^{29} =$ -42.22 (c 0.13, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, v, cm-1) 3364 (br), 1670, 1497, 1420; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3+D_2O$) δ (ppm) 7.35-7.24 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.15 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, NCOOCH₂Ph), 5.04 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, NCOOCH₂Ph), 4.64 (dd, J = 7.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H, OCH₂Ph), 4.54 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 10.0 Hz, 1H, $3C(CH_2O)$, 3.81 (bd, 1H, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, 5CHa), 3.68 (dd, J = 9.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H, 1CHb), 3.62 (dd, J = 12.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H, 5CHb), 3.57 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂O); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 157.5 (NCOO), 137.7 (Ph), 136.1 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 128.3 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.5 (Ph), 77.2 (C2), 73.5 (NCOOCH₂Ph), 70.5 (OCH₂Ph), 67.8 (C3), 67.4 (C4), 67.3 $(3C(CH_2O))$, 60.9 (C5), 58.9 (C1); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{21}H_{26}NO_6$ [M + H]: 388.1760; found: 388.1770 [M + H].

3-Hydroxymethyl-1,3-dideoxy-1,3-imino-D-xylitol (**2b**). Compound **14** (0.25 g, 0.66 mmol scale) on reaction with 20% Pd(OH)₂/C and on purification by column chromatography as described for **2a** afforded **2b** (0.10 g, 93%) as a sticky solid; $R_f = 0.25$ (CHCl₃/MeOH: 1/1); $[\alpha]_D^{28} = -8.7$ (*c* 0.2, H₂O); IR (MeOH, *v*, cm⁻¹) 3309 (br); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm), 4.83 (m, 1H, merged in D₂O peak, 2CH), 4.36 (dd, *J* = 5.7, 2.7 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 4.21 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 5.7 Hz, 1H, 1CHa), 3.94 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H, 1CHb), 3.99 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H, 3C(CH₂OH), 3.81

(dd, J = 12.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H, 5CHa), 3.65 (dd, J = 12.2, 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5CHb); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, D₂O) δ (ppm), 78.4 (C3), 67.9 (C4), 65.1 (C2), 61.6 3 (C(<u>C</u>H₂OH)), 58.9 (C5), 50.7 (C1); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₆H₁₄NO₄ [M + H]: 164.0923; found: 164.0922 [M + H].

(2S,3R)-1-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-2-(benzyloxymethyl)-3-hydroxy-azetidine-2-carboxylic acid (15). Compound 12 (0.30 g, 0.70 mmol scale) on reaction with TFA:H₂O as described for 13 afforded a mixture of hemiacetals (crude weight 0.25 g, 0.64 mmol). This crude mixture was dissolved in acetone/water (9:1, 10 mL), cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C and NaIO₄ (0.21 g, 0.97 mmol) was added slowly and stirred. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 7/3) after 3 h indicated disappearance of the starting material. The reaction mixture was quenched with ethylene glycol (1 mL), acetone was evaporated under reduced pressure and the reaction mixture was filtered through celite and washed with EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a thick liquid (crude weight 0.22 g, 0.71 mmol). To a stirred solution of the above crude product in acetonitrile (5 mL), solution of sodium dihydrogen phosphate (0.018 g, 0.12 mmol) in water (3 mL) and 30% H_2O_2 (0.06 mL, 0.64 mmol) were added and cooled to -10 °C. To this stirred reaction mixture, solution of NaClO₂ (0.084 g, 0.93 mmol) in water (2.5 mL) was added dropwise over 15 min and stirred at 15 °C and the reaction was monitored by the evolution of oxygen with bubbler connected to the apparatus. After 10 h, the reaction was decomposed by addition of small amount of Na₂SO₃ (0.02 g), acidified with 10% HCl (5 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3× 20 mL). The combined organic layer was concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc: 7/3) afforded 15 (0.18 g, 69% from 12) as a thick liquid, $R_f = 0.26$ (EtOAc); $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{29} = -35.45$ (c 0.11, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, v, cm⁻¹) 3419(br), 1700; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDC_{h}) δ (ppm) 9.53-9.25 (br, 1H, exchangeable with D₂O, COOH), 7.98-7.45 (br, 1H, exchangeable with D₂O, OH), 7.38-7.12 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.15-5.03 (m, 2H, NCOOCH₂Ph), 4.654.63 (m, 1H, 3CH), 4.62-4.32 (m, 3H, 4CHa and $OC\underline{H}_2Ph$), 4.15-3.80 (m, 3H, 4CHb and $2C(C\underline{H}_2O)$); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 174.7 (COOH), 156.5 (NCOO), 136.9 (Ph), 135.6 (Ph), 128.9 (Ph), 128.8 (Ph), 128.6 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 128.3 (Ph), 128.2 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 77.3 (C2), 73.6 (NCOOC\underline{H}_2Ph), 73.5 (OC\underline{H}_2Ph), 69.7 (C3), 68.5 (3C(C\underline{H}_2O)), 58.4 (C4); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{20}H_{22}NO_6$ [M + H]: 372.1447; found: 372.1453 [M + H].

(2*S*,3*R*)-2-Hydroxymethyl-3-hydroxy-azetidine-2-carboxylic acid (2c). Compound 15 (0.20 g, 0.56 mmol scale) on reaction with 20% Pd(OH)₂/C as described for 2a afforded 2c as a sticky solid (0.065 g, 92%) and no further purification was needed, $R_f = 0.35$ (NH₄OH/MeOH: 0.5/9.5); $[\alpha]_D^{29} = -51.36$ (*c* 0.26, H₂O); IR (neat, *v*, cm⁻¹) 1619; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 4.71 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H, 3CH), 4.29 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 6.0 Hz 1H, 4CHa), 4.10 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 3.90 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 6.0 Hz, 1H, 4CHb); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 168.8 (COOH), 80.2 (C2), 64.9 (C3), 60.7 (CH₂OH), 50.9 (C4); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₅H₁₀NO₄ [M + H]: 148.0610; found: 148.0616 [M + H].

3,5-Dideoxy-3-*C***-(hydroxy methyl)-3,5-imino-1,2-di***O***-isopropylidene-***a***-D-xylofuranose** (**2f**). To a solution of **12** (0.20 g, 0.47 mmol) in methanol (15 mL), 10% Pd/C (0.01 g, 0.094 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was hydrogenated at 100 psi at room temperature. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 12 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. The catalyst was filtered through celite, washed by methanol and solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography afforded **2f** (0.088 g, 94%) as a sticky solid; $R_f = 0.5$ (CHCl₃/MeOH: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +36.0$ (*c* 0.14, H₂O); IR (MeOH, *v*, cm⁻¹) 3309 (br); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) δ (ppm), 6.39 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 5.05 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.93 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 4.13 (dd, *J* = 12.3,

2.1 Hz, 1H, 5CHa), 4.07 (ABq, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H, 3C(CH₂OH)), 3.66 (dd, J = 12.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H, 5CHb); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, D₂O) δ (ppm), 116.1 (C(CH₃)₂), 108.2 (C1), 82.2 (C2), 79.3 (C3), 77.6 (C4), 57.8 (3C(CH₂OH)), 50.6 (C5), 26.5 (CH₃), 26.1 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₉H₁₆NO₄ [M + H]: 202.1073; found: 202.1089 [M + H].

3-Azido-3-deoxy-3-C-benzyloxymethyl-6-O-benzyl-1,2-O-isopropylidene-α-D-glucofuranose

(16). To a mixture of compound 8 (1.0 g, 2.73 mmol), dibutyltin oxide (0.068 g, 0.27 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium bromide (0.264 g, 0.82 mmol), diisopropyl ethyl amine (1.12 mL, 6.84 mmol) and benzyl bromide (0.81 mL, 6.84 mmol) were added sequentially under air. The reaction mixture was then heated at 70 °C (neat), TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 7/3) after 5 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction was quenched by adding 5 ml of water and extracted with DCM (30 mL \times 3) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc: 9/1) afforded 16 (1.19 g, 95%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.48$ (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{28} = +15.50$ (c 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, v, cm⁻¹) 3431(br), 2116, 1261, 1095, ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.45-7.26 (m,10H, Ph), 5.86 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.71 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, OCH₂Ph), 4.70 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.65-4.55 (m, 3H, OCH₂Ph), 4.08 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 4.05-3.98 (m, 1H, 5CH), 3.97-3.90 (m, 2H, $3C(CH_2O)$), 3.75 (dd, J = 9.8, 2.6 Hz, 1H, 6CHa), 3.58 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H, 6CHb), 2.96 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, exchangeable with D₂O, OH), 1.38 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.53 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 137.9 (Ph), 137.4 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 127.7 (Ph), 127.6 (Ph), 112.9 (C(CH₃)₂), 104.2 (C1), 83.8 (C2), 80.1 (C4), 74.0 (C3), 73.7 (C5), 73.4 (OCH₂Ph), 71.7 (OCH₂Ph), 69.1 (3C(CH₂O), 68.1 (C6), 26.9 (CH₃), 26.6 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{24}H_{29}N_3O_6Na [M + Na]$: 478.1953; found: 478.1951 [M + Na].

3-Azido-3-deoxy-3-C-benzyloxymethyl-6-O-benzyl-5-O-methanesulfonyl-1,2-O-

isopropylidene- α -D-glucofuranose (17). To an ice-cooled solution of 16 (0.30 g, 0.65 mmol) in dry DCM (10 mL), triethyl amine (0.38 mL, 1.97 mmol) was added slowly and stirred for 15 min. To this stirred solution, methane sulforyl chloride (0.07 mL, 0.98 mmol) was added. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 1 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction was quenched by adding 3 ml of water, extracted with DCM (20 mL \times 3) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc: 9/1) afforded 17 (0.34 g, 97%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.5$ (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{28} = +23.56$ (c 0.46, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, v, cm⁻¹) 2118, 1651, 1359, 1261, 800, ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.50 – 7.30 (m,10H, Ph), 5.88 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 5.02 (dt, J = 6.6, 1.9 Hz, 1H, 5CH), 4.80 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.65 (ABq, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 4.64 (d, J = 11.8Hz, 1H, OCH₂Ph), 4.53 (d, J =11.8 Hz, 1H, OCH₂Ph), 4.09 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 3.96 (ABq, J = 10.0 Hz, 2H, 3C(CH₂O)), 3.92 (dd, J = 11.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H, CHa), 3.73 (dd, J = 11.7, 6.6 Hz, 1H, CHb), 2.94 (s, 3H, OSO₂CH₃), 1.53 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.39 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 137.7 (Ph), 137.4 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.8 (Ph), 113.2 (C(CH₃)₂), 104.2 (C1), 83.1 (C4), 78.6 (C2), 78.3 (C3), 73.7 (C5), 73.3 (OCH₂Ph), 73.1 (OCH₂Ph), 69.6 (C6), 69.4 (3C(CH₂O)), 38.9 (OSO₂CH₃), 27.0 (CH₃), 26.7 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{25}H_{31}N_3O_8SNa [M + Na]$: 556.1729; found: 556.1733 [M + Na].

3-C-[(Benzyloxymethyl)-N-benzyloxycarbonyl]-3-deoxy-6-O-benzyl-1,2-O-isopropylidene-

a-D-glucofuranose (18). To a stirred solution of 17 (1.19 g, 2.61 mmol) in dry MeOH (15 mL), triethyl amine (0.72 mL, 5.22 mmol) and 1,3-dithiane (0.52 mL, 5.22 mmol) were added sequentially under nitrogen atmosphere. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 48 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. The reaction mixture

was filtered through celite, washed with methanol and concentrated. The crude residue obtained (crude weight 1.01 g, 2.35 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL methanol:water (9:1) and cooled to 0 °C. To this cooled solution, sodium bicarbonate (0.59 g, 7.05 mmol) was added followed by slow drop wise addition of carbobenzyloxy chloride 50% solution (0.60 mL, 3.52 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc, 3:2) after 2 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, resulting residue was extracted with chloroform (30 ml x 2) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) afforded 18 (1.21 g, 92%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.5$ (hexane/ EtOAc, 4:1); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +12.09$ (c 0.21, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, ν, cm⁻¹) 3417(br), 3358(br), 1728,¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.37-7.17 (m,15H, Ph), 5.81 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 5.25 (s, 1H, exchangeable with D₂O, NH), 5.03 (d, J= 12.2 Hz, 1H, NCOOCH₂Ph), 4.95 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H, NCOOCH₂Ph), 4.90 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.52 - 4.42 (m, 4H, OCH₂Ph), 4.22 - 4.16 (m, 2H, one is exchangeable with D₂O and becomes multiplet corresponding to 1H, 5CH and OH), 4.02 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 3.79 -3.72 (m, 2H, 3C(CH₂O)), 3.69 (dd, J = 10.0 and 2.4 Hz, 1H, 6CHa), 3.53 (dd, J = 10.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H, 6CHb), 1.42 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.23 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.4 (NCOO), 138.2 (Ph), 136.7 (Ph), 136.1 (Ph), 128.6 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 128.3 (Ph), 128.1 (Ph), 128.0 (Ph), 127.8 (Ph), 127.5 (Ph), 112.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 104.3 (C1), 82.2 (C4), 84.6 (C2), 77.2 (C3), 73.9 (NCOOCH₂Ph), 73.5 (OCH₂Ph), 71.6 (OCH₂Ph), 68.1 (3C(CH₂O)), 68.0 (C5), 66.8 (C6), 26.7 (CH₃),26.4 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{32}H_{37}NO_8Na$ [M + Na]: 586.2411; found: 586.2410 [M + Na].

3-*C*-[(Benzyloxymethyl)-*N*-benzyloxycarbonyl]-**3**-deoxy-**6**-*O*-benzyl-**5**-*O*-methanesulfonyl-**1**,**2**-*O*-isopropylidene-α-D-glucofuranose (19). To an ice-cooled solution of **18** (1.21 g, 2.14

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

mmol) in dry DCM (10 mL), triethyl amine (0.89 mL, 6.44 mmol) was added slowly and stirred for 15 min. To this solution, methane sulforyl chloride (0.25 mL, 3.21 mmol) was added. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 1 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction was quenched by adding 5 mL of water, extracted with DCM (30 mL \times 3) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography afforded **19** (1.25) g, 91%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.6$ (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +28.18$ (c 0.17, CHCl₃); IR $(CHCl_3, v, cm^{-1})$ 3367, 1728, 1541,1456, 1261, ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.41 – 7.25 (m,10H, Ph), 6.23 (s, 1H, exchangeable with D_2O , NH), 6.02 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 5.17 $(ddd, J = 6.5, 5.2, 4.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 5\text{CH}), 5.07 (d, J = 10.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{NCOOCH}_2\text{OPh}), 5.02 (d, J = 3.5)$ Hz, 1H, 2CH), 5.0 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, NCOOCH₂OPh), 4.61 – 4.46 (m, 4H, OCH₂Ph), 4.21 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 4.08 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂O)), 3.90 (dd, J = 10.9, 5.2 Hz, 1H, 6CHa), 3.73 – 3.67 (m, 2H, 6CHb and 3C(CH₂O)), 2.92 (s, 3H, OSO₂CH₃), 1.47 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.32 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.6 (NCOO), 137.7 (Ph), 136.9 (Ph), 136.5 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 128.0 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.8 (Ph), 112.2 (C(CH₃)₂), 105.4 (C1), 84.5 (C4), 82.1 (C2), 78.2 (C5), 77.2 (C3), 73.7 (NCOOCH₂Ph), 73.4 (OCH₂Ph), 69.1 (OCH₂Ph), 68.7 (3C(CH₂O)), 66.7 (C6), 38.6 (OSO₂CH₃), 26.9 (CH₃), 26.4 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $C_{33}H_{39}NO_{10}SNa [M + Na]$: 664.2186; found: 664.2189 [M + Na].

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-3-C-(benzyloxymethyl)-6-O-benzyl-3,5-dideoxy--3,5-imino-1,2-O-

isopropylide ne- α -D-glucofuranose (20). To a stirred solution of 19 (1.25 g, 1.94 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL), K₂CO₃ (0.40 g, 2.92 mmol) was added and the suspension was stirred at reflux under nitrogen atmosphere. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 1 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction mixture was filtered through celite, washed by methanol and concentrated. Purification by column

chromatography afforded **20** (1.01 g, 95%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.6$ (hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -17.0$ (*c* 0.14, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, *v*, cm⁻¹) 1672, ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.34-7.13 (m,15H, Ph), 5.47 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 5.07 (ABq, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H), 4.66 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H, 5CH), 4.53 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H, OCH₂Ph), 4.46 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H, OCH₂Ph), 4.41 (ABq, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H, 3C(CH₂O)), 4.23 – 4.19 (m, 2H, 2CH and 4CH), 3.72 - 3.64 (m, 4H, 6CHa, 6CHb and OCH₂Ph), 1.40 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.21 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 152.7 (NCOO), 138.1 (Ph), 137.9 (Ph), 136.5 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 128.3 (Ph), 128.0 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.7 (Ph), 127.6 (Ph), 127.5 (Ph), 112.9 (C(CH₃)₂), 104.9 (C1), 86.6 (C4), 75.4 (C2), 74.2 (C3), 73.6 (C5), 73.5 (NCOOCH₂Ph), 71.2 (3C(CH₂O)), 69.4 (OCH₂Ph), 68.9 (OCH₂Ph), 65.9 (C6), 27.3 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₃₂H₃₆NO₇ [M + H]: 546.2492; found: 546.2487 [M + H].

(2*S*,3*R*,4*S*)-2,4-Bis(benzyloxymethyl)-2-hydroxymethyl-3-hydroxy-azetidine-1-carboxylic acid (21a).

Compound **20** (0.5 g, 0.91 mmol scale) on reaction with TFA:H₂O as described for **13** afforded a mixture of hemiacetals (crude weight 0.38 g, 0.91 mmol). This crude mixture was dissolved in acetone/water (9:1, 10mL), cooled to 0 °C and NaIO₄ (0.29 g, 1.37 mmol) were added slowly and stirred. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 2/3) after 3 h indicated disappearance of the starting material. The reaction mixture was quenched with ethylene diol (1 mL), acetone was evaporated under reduced pressure and the reaction mixture was filtered through celite and washed with EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a thick liquid (crude weight 0.37 g, 0.75 mmol). To an ice cold stirred solution of above crude product in methanol (10 mL), sodium borohydride (0.051 g, 1.36 mmol) was added. TLC analysis (Hexane/EtOAc: 2/3) after 3 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. Reaction

rganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

was quenched by adding saturated aq. NH₄Cl solution (3 mL) and methanol was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with EtOAc (20 mL × 3) and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (Hexane/EtOAc: 1/4) afforded **21a** (0.31 g, 88% from **20**) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.3$ (EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -87.63$ (*c* 0.05, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, *v*, cm⁻¹) 3398(br), 1730, ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃+D₂O) δ (ppm) 7.29-7.13 (m, 10H, Ph), 4.50 – 4.32 (m, 5H, 3CH and OCH₂Ph), 4.06 – 4.02 (m, 1H, 4CH), 3.83 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H, 2C(CH₂O)), 3.75 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H, 4C(CH₂O)), 3.71 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H, 2C(CH₂O)), 3.67 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H, 4C(CH₂O)), 3.35 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H, CH₂OH), 3.26 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H, CH₂OH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.9 (NCOO), 137.9 (Ph), 137.4 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.8 (Ph), 127.7 (Ph), 75.8 (C2), 73.8 (OCH₂Ph), 73.5 (OCH₂Ph), 71.9 (C3), 69.2 (C4), 64.9 (2C(CH₂O), 63.4 (4C(CH₂O), 60.2 (CH₂OH),; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₂₁H₂₆NO₆ [M + H]: 388.1760; found: 388.1756 [M + H].

(3R,4S)-3-Hydroxy-2,2,4-tris(hydroxymethyl)-azetidine-1-carboxylic acid (2d).

Compound **21a** (0.31 g, 0.80 mmol scale) on reaction with 20% Pd(OH)₂/C and on purification by column chromatography as described for **2a** afforded **2d** (0.15 g, 93%) as a sticky solid: $R_f =$ 0.4 (chloroform/MeOH: 3/2); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +22.26$ (*c* 0.15, MeOH); IR (neat, *v*, cm⁻¹) 3253 (br), 1672, ¹HNMR (500 MHz, D₂O) δ (ppm) 4.65 (ddd, *J* = 7.4, 4.5, 1.3Hz, 1H, 4CH), 4.05 (d, *J* = 1.3Hz, 1H, 3CH), 3.90 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H, 4C(CH₂OH)), 3.84 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H, 4C(CH₂OH)), 3.79 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H, 2C(CH₂OH)), 3.70 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H, 2C(CH₂OH)), 3.66 (s, 2H, 2C(CH₂OH)); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.9 (NCOO), 78.5 (C3), 62.8 (C2), 62.2 (4C(CH₂OH)), 61.0 (2C(CH₂OH)), 60.8 (2C(CH₂OH)), 60.7 (C4); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₇H₁₄NO₆ [M + H]: 208.0821; found: 208.0805 [M + H]. N-Carboxylic-3-C-(benzyloxymethyl)-6-O-benzyl-3,5-dideoxy--3,5-imino-1,2-O-

isopropylidene-α-D-glucofuranose (22). A solution of 20 (0.3 g, 0.55 mmol) in TFA:H₂O (3:1, 5 mL) was stirred at 0 °C. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 30 min indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. TFA was co-evaporated with toluene under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography (Hexane/ EtOAc: 7/3) afforded 22 (0.24 g, 96%) as a thick liquid: $R_f = 0.6$ (Hexane/ EtOAc: 1/1); $[a]_D^{25} = -24.75$ (*c* 0.08, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃, *v*, cm⁻¹) 3132, 1713, 1406, 1101, 1014 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.36-7.25 (m,10H, Ph), 6.73- 6.65 (br, 1H, exchangeable with D₂O, NCOO<u>H</u>), 5.89 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.60 – 4.51 (m, 5H, 5CH and OC<u>H₂Ph</u>), 4.41 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.27 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 4CH), 3.79 - 3.72 (m, 3H, 6CHa and 3C(C<u>H₂O</u>)), 3.56 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H, 6CHb), 1.49 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.31 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 152.7 (NCOOH), 137.7 (Ph), 137.3 (Ph), 128.5 (Ph), 128.4 (Ph), 127.9 (Ph), 127.8 (Ph), 127.7 (Ph), 113.5 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₂), 104.9 (C1), 85.2 (C2), 74.8 (C4), 73.7 (O<u>C</u>H₂Ph), 73.6 (O<u>C</u>H₂Ph), 72.8 (C3), 69.9 (C5), 68.5 (3C(<u>C</u>H₂O)), 65.5 (C6), 27.1 (CH₃), 26.6 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₂₅H₃₀NO₇ [M + H]:456.2022; found: 456.2026 [M + H].

N-Carboxylic-3-C-(hydroxymethyl)-3,5-dideoxy--3,5-imino-1,2-O-isopropylidene-a-D-

glucofuranose (2e). To a solution of 20 (0.3 g, 0.55 mmol) in methanol (10 mL), 10% Pd/C (0.012 g, 0.11 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was hydrogenated at 100 psi at room temperature. TLC analysis (Hexane/ EtOAc: 4/1) after 12 h indicated disappearance of the starting material and formation of a single product. The catalyst was filtered through celite, washed with methanol and the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography afforded 2e (0.14 g, 93%) as a sticky solid: $R_f = 0.43$ (chloroform/ MeOH: 9/1); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -16.17$ (*c* 0.18, MeOH); IR (neat, *v*, cm⁻¹) 3261, 1685, ¹H NMR (500 MHz,

D₂O) δ (ppm) 5.94 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 1CH), 4.56 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, 2CH), 4.51 (ddd, *J* = 4.5, 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H, 5CH), 4.40 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, 4CH), 3.84 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂OH)), 3.80-3.73 (m, 2H, 6CHa and 6CHb), 3.70 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H, 3C(CH₂OH)), 1.46 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.27 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, D₂O) δ (ppm), 154.9 (NCOOH), 114.3 (C(CH₃)₂), 104.7 (C1), 83.9 (C2), 76.5 (C4), 72.6 (C5), 66.3 (3C(CH₂OH)), 61.5 (C3), 60.8 (C6), 25.8 (CH₃), 25.3 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₁₁H₁₈NO₇ [M + H]: 276.1077; found: 276.1080 [M + H].

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds **4-22** and **2a-2f**. Glycosidase inhibition assay as well as Lineweaver-Burk plots.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: ddd@chem.unipune.ac.in

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful to the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi (Project File No. SR/S1/OC-20/2010) for providing financial support. Central Instrumentation Facility (CIF), Savitribai Phule Pune University. Authors K.S.G and S.R.C are thankful to CSIR New Delhi for providing the Junior Research Fellowship (JRF).

NOTES AND REFERENCES:

- (a) N. Asano, R. J. Nash, R. J. Molyneux, and G. W. J. Fleet, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2000, **11**, 1645–1680. (b) A. A. Watson, G. W. J. Fleet, N. Asano, R. J. Molyneux and R. J. Nash, *Phytochemistry*, 2001, **56**, 265–295. (c) J. Desire, M. Mondon, N. Fontelle, S. Nakagawa, Y. Hirokami, I. Adachi, R. Iwaki, G. W. J. Fleet, D. S. Alonzi, G. Twigg, T. D. Butters, J. Bertrand, V. Cendret, F. Becq, C. Norez, J. Marrot, A. Kato and Y. Bleriot, *Org. Bio. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 8977
- (a) G. Horne and F. X. Wilson, Prog. Med. Chem., 2011, 50, 135–176. (b) B. G. Winchester, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2009, 20, 645–651. (c) D. S. Alonzi and T. D. Butters, Chimia, 2011, 65, 35–39. (d) A. Kato, E. Hayashi, S. Miyauchi, I. Adachi, T. Imahori, Y. Natori, Y. Yoshimura, R. J. Nash, H. Shimaoka, I. Nakagome, J. Koseki, S. Hirono and H. Takahata, J. Med. Chem., 2012, 55, 10347 and references cited therein. (e) T. Wennekes, J. B. Van den Berg, T. J. Boltje, W. E. Donker-Koopman, B. Kuijper, G. A. van der Marel, A. Strijland, C.P. Verhagen, J. M. Aerts and H. S. Overkleeft, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 1258 and references cited therein; (f) W. Schönemann, E. Galienne, P. Compain, K. Ikeda, N. Asano and O. R. Martin, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2010, 18, 2645 and references cited therein.
- 3) (a) T. Michaud, J. Chanet-Ray, S. Chou and J. Gelas, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1997, 299, 253–269. (b) T. Michaud, J. Chanet-Ray, S. Chou and J. Gelas, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1997, 303, 123–127. (c) R. G. Soengas, Y. Segade, C. Jimenez and J. Rodriguez, *Tetrahedron*, 2011, 67, 2617–2622. (d) V. Dekaris and H. U. Reissig, *Synlett*, 2010, 1882–1882. (e) A. Eniade and O. R. Martin, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2002, 337, 273–277.

- Page 36 of 39
- 4) (a) T. Ayad, Y. Genisson, S. Broussy, M. Baltas and L. Gorrichon, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 15, 2903. (b) B. W. Lee, I. Y. Jeong, M. S. Yang, S. U. Choi and K. H. Park, *Synthesis*, 2000, 9, 1305.
- 5) B. Kramer, T. Franz, S. Picasso, P. Pruschek and V. Jager, Synlett, 1997, 295–297.
- 6) (a) G. M. J. Lenagh-Snow, N. Araujo, S. F. Jenkinson, C. Rutherford, S. Nakagawa, A. Kato, C. -Y. Yu, A. C. Weymouth-Wilson and G. W. J. Fleet, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, 13, 5834–5837. (b) G. M. J. Lenagh-Snow, N. Araujo, S. F. Jenkinson, R. F. Martinez, Y. Shimada, C. -Yi. Yu, A. Kato and G. W. J. Fleet, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 2142-2145. (c) R. F. Martínez and G. W. J. Fleet, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2014, 25, 373-380.
- 7) S. D. Metkar, M. S. Bhatia and U. V. Desai, Med. Chem. Res., 2013, 22, 5982–5989.
- A. Zukauskaite, S. Mangelinckx, V. Buinauskaite, A. Sackus and N. De Kimpe, Amino Acids, 2011, 41, 541–558.
- 9) (a) B. Drouillat, K. Wright, J. Marrot and F. Couty, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2012, 23, 690-696. (b) M. D. Risseeuw, M. Overhand, G. W. J. Fleet and M. I. Simone, *Amino Acids*, 2013, 45, 613-689.
- (a) A. Salgado, Y. Dejaegher, G. Verniest, M. Boeykens, C. Gauthier, C. Lopin, K. A. Tehrani and N. De Kimpe, *Tetrahedron*, 2003, **59**, 2231-2239 and references cited therein;
 (b) A. Salgado, M. Boeykens, C. Gauthier, J. –P. Declercq and N. De Kimpe, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 2763-2775 and references cited therein.
- (a) J. Kobayashi, J. Cheng, M. Ishibashi, R. M. Walchli, S. Yamamura and Y. Ohizumi, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1991, 1135-1137; (b) H. Takikawa, T. Maeda, M. Seki, H. Koshino and K. Mori, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1997, 97-111; (c) S. Knapp and Y. Dong, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 3813-3816.

- (a) X. Zhang, H. Hufnagel, T. Markotan, J. Lanter, C. Cai, C. Hou, M. Singer, E. Opas, S. McKenney, C. Crysler, D. Johnson and Z. Sui, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, 21, 5577–5582. (b) Y. Sun, S. Gou, R. Yin and P. Jiang, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 46, 5146–5153. (c) G. S. Smith, M. De Avila, P. M. Paez, V. Spreuer, M. K. Wills, N. Jones, J. M. Boggs and G. Harauz, *J. Neurosci. Res.*, 2012, 90, 28–47. (d) K. Lu, Y. Jiang, B. Chen, E. M. Ekdemenky, G. Ma, M. Packiarajan, G. Chandrasena, A. D. White, K. A. Jones, B. Li and S. –P. Hong, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, 21, 5310–5314. (e) M. Perassolo, C. V. Quevedo, A. M. Giulietti and J. Talou, *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 2011, 106, 153–159. (f) C. V. Quevedo, M. Perassolo, A. M. Giulietti and J. Talou, *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 2011, 34, 571–575.
- (a) M. Modon, N. Fontelle, J. Desire, F. Lecornue, J. Guillard, J. Marrot and Y. Bleriot, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 870-873. (b) A. Arnone, P. Bravo, A. Donadelli and G. Resnati, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 984-986. (c) X. G. Hu and L. Hunter, Beilstiein J. Org. Chem., 2013, 9, 2696-2708.
- (a) N. J. Pawar, V. Parihar, S. T. Chavan, R. Joshi, P. V. Joshi, S. G. Sabharwal, V. G. Puranik and D. D. Dhavale, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 77, 7873-7882 and the references cited therein. (b) J. M. Otero, R. G. Soengas, J. C. Estevez, R. J. Estevez, D. J. Watkin, E. L. Evinson, R. J. Nash and G. W. J. Fleet, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, 9, 623-626.
- (a) E. J. Corey and J. O. Link, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 1906-1908. (b) T. S. Snowden, Arkivoc, 2012, ii, 24-40. (c) M. K. Gupta, Z. Li and T. S. Snowden, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 1602-1605. (c) C. Dominguez, J. Ezquerra, S. R. Baker, S. Borrelly, L. Prieto, M. Espada and C. Pedregal, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9305-9308. (d) C. Gasch, J. M. Illangua, P. M. Montiel and J. Fuentes, Tetrahedron, 2009, 65, 4149-4155.

- 16) M. Vangala, S. A. Dhokale, R. L. Gawade, R. R. Pattuparambil, V. G. Puranik, and D. D. Dhavale, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 6874-6878.
- 17) N. B. Kalamkar, V. M. Kasture and D. D. Dhavale, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 3619–3622.
- 18) M. Giordano and A. Iadonisi, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 213-222.
- 19) Our attempts to synthesize other iminosugars analogous to 2b and 2c containing N-COOH functionality were unsuccessful.
- 20) We performed the same reaction with different ratio of TFA:H₂O (3:1 to 9:1) at 0 °C to 25 °C as well as using Dowex 50 WX4-200 ion exchange resin under reflux condition however we isolated the same compound 22 in quantitative yields.
- 21) (a) U. A. Kshirsagar, V. G. Puranik and N. P. Argade, J. Org.Chem., 2010, 75, 2702–2705. (b) G. -H. Chen, P. Pan, Y. Yao, Y. Chen, X. -B. Meng and Z. -J. Li, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, 64, 9078–9087. c) G. -H. Chen, P. Pan, Y. Chen, X. -B. Meng and Z. -J. Li, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, 65, 5922–5927.
- 22) Treatment of compound **24** with various bases such as LiOH, K₂CO₃ (room temperature to reflux) in THF-H₂O failed to give the decarboxylated product; furthermore under strong basic condition (KOH, reflux in MeOH-water), azetidine ring was found to be opened along with the decarboxylation as it was evident from the spectral data.
- (a) D. J. Wardrop and S. L. Waidyarachchi, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2010, 27, 1431-1468. (b) N. Ardes-G, D. S. Alonzi, G. Reinkensmeier, T. D. Butters, C. Norez, F. Becq, Y. Shimada, S. Nakagawa, A. Kato, Y. Blériot, M. Sollogoub and B. Vauzeilles, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2011, 9, 5373-5388. (c) M. M. Palcic, T. Skrydstrup, K. Bock, N. Le and R. U. Lemieux, *Carbohydr. Res.* 1993, 250, 87-92. (d) B. V. McCleary, F. Bouchet and H. Driguez, *Biotechnol. Tech.*, 1991, 5, 255-258.

- 24) E. M. S. Harris, A. E. Aleshin, L. M. Firsov and R. B. Honzatko, *Biochemistry*, 1993, 32, 1618-1626.
- 25) W. F. van Gunsteren, S.R. Billeter, A. A. Eising, P. H. Hünenberger, P. Krüger, A. E. Mark, W. R. P. Scott and I. G. Tironi, Biomolecular simulation: The GROMOS96 manual and user guide, 1996, 1-1042.
- 26) G. M. Morris, R. Huey, W. Lindstrom, M. F. Sanner, R. K. Belew, D. S. Goodsell and A. J. Olson, J. Comput. Chem., 2009, 16, 2785-2791.
- 27) W. E. Hart, C. Rosin, R. K. Belew and G. M. Morris, *Optimization of Computational Chemistry and Molecular Biology*, Springer US. 2000, 209-229.