
# Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

**PAPER** 

www.rsc.org/obc

# Triazolophostins: A Library of Novel and Potent Agonists of IP<sub>3</sub> Receptors†

Amol M. Vibhute, a Vera Konieczny, Colin W. Taylor and Kana M. Sureshan\* a

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

IP<sub>3</sub> receptors are channels that mediate release of  $Ca^{2+}$  from the intracellular stores of cells stimulated by hormones or neurotransmitters. Adenophostin A (AdA) is the most potent agonist of IP<sub>3</sub> receptors, with the β-anomeric adenine contributing to the increased potency. The potency of AdA and its stability towards the enzymes that degrade IP<sub>3</sub> have aroused interest in AdA analogs for biological studies. The complex structure of AdA poses problems that have necessitated optimization of synthetic conditions for each analog. Such lengthy one-at-a-time syntheses limit access to AdA analogs. We have addressed this problem by synthesizing a library of triazole-based AdA analogs, triazolophostins, by employing click chemistry. An advanced intermediate having all the necessary phosphates and a β-azide at the anomeric position was reacted with various alkynes under Cu(I) catalysis to yield triazoles, which upon deprotection gave triazolophostins. All eleven triazolophostins synthesized are more potent than IP<sub>3</sub> and some are equipotent with AdA in functional analyses of IP<sub>3</sub> receptors. We show that a triazole ring can replace adenine without compromising the potency of AdA and provide facile routes to novel AdA analogs.

#### Introduction

20 Many biological processes are regulated by changes in the intracellular concentration of Ca2+.1 A major pathway for these Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals is the release of Ca<sup>2+</sup> from intracellular stores sequestered within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) via IP<sub>3</sub> receptors (IP<sub>3</sub>R)<sup>2</sup> IP<sub>3</sub>R are a family of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels 25 that are expressed largely in ER membranes. IP<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 1A, 1) is produced when cell-surface receptors stimulate phospholipase C activity. IP3 then binds to IP3R causing its channel to open and release Ca<sup>2+</sup> into the cytosol. The ability of IP<sub>3</sub> to interact with IP<sub>3</sub>R is terminated by its dephosphorylation or phosphorylation 30 by specific 5-phosphatase and 3-kinase enzymes. 5 While terminating the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-mobilizing ability of IP<sub>3</sub>, these steps also initiate recycling of inositol to the lipid from which IP3 is generated and the synthesis of more complex bioactive phosphoinositols including the pyrophosphates, many of which 35 have been recent synthetic targets. Adenophostin A (AdA), a fungal metabolite isolated from the culture broth of Penicillium brevicompactum, is a more potent agonist than IP<sub>3</sub> of IP<sub>3</sub>R.<sup>8</sup> Moreover, AdA is resistant to the enzymes that metabolize IP<sub>3</sub>. Many analogs of AdA have been synthesized to address 40 structure-activity relationships and the determinants of the increased potency of AdA.9 These studies revealed that the three phosphates and 2"-OH (color coded in Fig. 1A, 2), which structurally mimic the essential pharmacophore of IP<sub>3</sub>, <sup>10</sup> are

essential for AdA activity. The nucleobase/surrogate with β-45 stereochemistry is indispensible for the enhanced potency. 9b,11 Adenophostin A (AdA, 2) Nucleobase Selective glycosylation of nucleobase AcO BnÒ Removal of Protecting groups Nucleobase Nucleobase Selective BnO RO phosphorylation BnÒ  $H_2$ , Pd  $\binom{R = Bn}{R = H}$  (AdA-analog)

Fig. 1 (A) Molecular structures of IP3 (1) and AdA (2); (B) Conventional synthesis of AdA-analogs. The question marks represent the complexity of conventional syntheses.

Recent studies suggested that a cation- $\pi$  interaction between 5 adenine and a cationic residue (Arg504) of the IP<sub>3</sub>R might be responsible for the increased potency of AdA. 9b,12 Additional base-modified analogs of AdA would be useful in both testing this proposal and as potent and metabolically stable agonists of IP<sub>3</sub>R to probe Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling mechanisms.

The major hurdle in developing such AdA analogs lies in the synthesis of these structurally complex base-modified analogs (disaccharide nucleotides). 9e,13 The traditional synthesis involves N-glycosylation of a nucleobase with an orthogonally protected disaccharide derivative followed by chemoselective demasking 15 and phophorylation of specific hydroxyl groups in the nucleoside (Fig. 1B). This early introduction of the nucleobase necessitates an almost individualy tailored synthesis (branching at an early stage of synthesis) for each base-modified analog. Further complications arise from the lack of a generally applicable 20 method for glycosylation and phosphorylation of such complex molecules. For instance glycosylation of nucleobases is challenging with respect to yield 14 and regioselectivity, 13b,15 and each glycosylation condition has to be optimized individually. Chemoselective O-phosphorylation in the presence of 25 nucleobases having nucleophilic groups/sites challenging.<sup>16</sup> Thus syntheses of analogs by a one-at-a-time method is cumbersome, time-consuming and impractical. We herein report a general strategy for making a combinatorial library of structurally diverse AdA analogs that are potent 30 agonists of IP<sub>3</sub>R.




Fig. 2 (A) Imidophostin and Triazolophostins. (B) Retrosynthetic analysis of triazolophostins.

#### Results and discussion

Imidophostin (Fig. 2A), though weaker than AdA, is more potent than IP3, suggesting that even a 5-membered aromatic heterocyclic ring can be a partial functional mimic of adenine. As triazole is isosteric with imidazole, we envisioned that triazolebased AdA analogs would also be potent agonists of IP<sub>3</sub>R. This 40 and the earlier reports on use of click reaction in biologically important molecules<sup>17</sup> prompted us to adopt azide-alkyne click chemistry to make a library of triazolophostins, AdA analogs wherein the nucleobase is replaced by substituted triazoles. These molecules can easily be prepared by reacting appropriately 45 protected tris-phosphate derivative **II** having an azide at anomeric position with aryl or heteroaryl alkynes under Cu(I) catalysis (Fig. 2B). Such an approach would solve three major issues associated with conventional AdA analog synthesis: (i) Ensure the necessary β-anomeric stereochemistry of the base-surrogate 50 (ii) As the triazole-based base-surrogate is introduced only at the penultimate step (after phosphorylation), the interference of nucleobases during phosphorylation can be avoided (iii) Diversification at the penultimate step provides access to many diverse analogs from a common advanced structurally 55 intermediate.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of triazolophostins. a) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-silica, Ac<sub>2</sub>O, DCM, rt, 12 h, 90%; b) TMSN<sub>3</sub>, AlCl<sub>3</sub>, DCM, 0 °C, 10 min, 99%; c) NaOMe, MeOH, rt, 30 min, 96%; d) i. (iPr)<sub>2</sub>NP(OBn)<sub>2</sub>, ImOTf, DCM, rt, 1 h, ii. m-CPBA, -78 °C, 45 60 min, 90%; e) i. Trimethylsilylacetylene, Cu, CuSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O:<sup>t</sup>BuOH, rt, 12 h; ii. TBAF, THF, rt, 2 h, (95% for two steps); f) Alkyne, Cu, CuSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O:<sup>t</sup>BuOH, rt, 12 h, 48-97%; g) Cyclohexene, Pd(OH)2, MeOH:H2O, 80 °C, 4 h, Bn = benzyl, Ac = acetyl, Me = methyl.

We have synthesized orthogonally protected disaccharide 4 in 65 thirteen steps from D-glucose and D-xylose in a convergent manner as reported previously. 18 Acetolysis 19 of the ketal 4 gave tetraacetate 5 as anomeric mixtures in very good yield. Lewis acid catalysed azidation of the acetate 5 gave anomerically pure

β-azide **6**.<sup>20</sup> Methanolysis of the acetate groups in **6** provided the triol 7 in excellent yield. Phosphitylation of the triol 7 using phosphoramidite followed by in situ oxidation using m-CPBA provided the fully protected trisphosphate 8. Compound 8 with 5 three phosphates in their appropriate relative position and βoriented azide is the common advanced intermediate for coupling with different alkynes. Copper (I) catalysed click reaction of azide 8 with various alkynes provided fully protected triazolophostins 9a-k. As the reaction is catalyzed by copper(I), 10 1,4-substituted triazoles<sup>21</sup> were obtained exclusively in all the cases. Global removal of benzyl protecting groups by transfer hydrogenolysis provided triazolophostins 10a-k in very good yields. Though in a recent elegant communication, Potter et al reported a functionally active triazole based cADPR analog,<sup>22</sup> 15 ours is the first report on the use of click chemistry to generate a library of IP<sub>3</sub>R agonists.

Table 1. Ca<sup>2+</sup> release via IP<sub>3</sub>R evoked by triazolophostins

	110 O OPO3-2				
Ligand	R =	pEC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>	max.
			(nM)	w.r.t.	response
				1	(%)
IP <sub>3</sub> (1)		$6.75 \pm 0.08$	178 ±	1	$73 \pm 3$
			30		
AdA (2)		$8.05 \pm 0.04$	9 ± 1	20	$73 \pm 2$
10a	Н	$7.87 \pm 0.00$	13 ± 0	13	71 ± 1
10b	N N CH <sub>3</sub>	$7.75 \pm 0.03$	18 ± 2	10	72 ± 2
10c		7.81 ± 0.05	15 ± 1	11	65 ± 3
10d		7.77 ± 0.03	17 ± 1	10	64 ± 1
10e		$7.70 \pm 0.07$	20 ± 3	9	75 ± 4
10f	F	8.00 ± 0.03	10 ± 1	18	69 ± 1
10g	F	$7.86 \pm 0.04$	14 ± 2	13	71 ± 2
10h	F	$7.64 \pm 0.01$	23 ± 1	8	67 ± 2
10i	FOMe	$7.45 \pm 0.03$	35 ± 2	5	65 ± 1
10j		$7.78 \pm 0.03$	17 ± 2	11	68 ± 2
10k	ĊНО	$7.89 \pm 0.02$	13 ± 1	14	70 ± 1

Results show the maximal  $Ca^{2+}$  release (% of  $Ca^{2+}$  content of intracellular stores),  $EC_{50}$  and  $pEC_{50}$  values (means  $\pm$  SEM from at least 3 experiments). Hill coefficients were not significantly different from 1.

The abilities of the newly synthesized ligands to evoke Ca<sup>2+</sup> release via IP<sub>3</sub>R were assessed using permeabilized cells that

express only type 1 IP<sub>3</sub>R.<sup>23</sup> A low-affinity Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicator trapped 25 within the ER allowed the effects of IP3 to be directly measured using a fluorescence plate-reader equipped to allow automated additions. All the triazolophostins fully release the IP<sub>3</sub>-sensitive Ca<sup>2+</sup> stores and all are more potent than IP<sub>3</sub> (Table 1, Fig. 3). It is interesting to note that the parent analog triazolophostin 10a 30 having only the five-membered ring is 13-fold more potent than IP<sub>3</sub> This remarkable AdA-like potency of 10a suggests that a single triazole ring can effectively replace the adenine base in AdA without compromising potency. In contrast, imidophostin was previously reported to be only slightly (1.3-fold) more potent 35 than IP3, and it was therefore suggested that a fused bicyclic nucleobase/surrogate is essential for AdA-like potency. 18a Among all triazolophostins, the 3-fluoro derivative **10f** is the most potent, and equipotent to AdA: it is the most potent AdA analog without a purine nucleobase. Of the several AdA analogs reported in the  $_{\rm 40}$  literature, very few match the potency of AdA.  $^{9\bar{c},12b,13\bar{b},14,18a,24,25}$  In this context, our late-stage diversification method is important because it allows many potent analogs to be made in an easy and combinatorial way from the same advanced intermediate.





Fig. 3 Concentration-dependent release of Ca<sup>2+</sup> (%) by IP<sub>3</sub>, AdA and triazolophostins from the intracellular stores of cells expressing IP<sub>3</sub>R1. Results show means ± SEM from at least 3 experiments.

The docking study suggests that triazolophostin 10a and AdA 50 exhibit similar interactions with the IP<sub>3</sub>-binding core of IP<sub>3</sub>R1 (IBC, residues 224-604).<sup>24</sup> The triazolyl ring of 10a forms a cation-π interaction with Arg504 similar to the proposed interaction between the adenine of AdA and Arg504. This supports previous reports that a cation- $\pi$  interaction between the 55 base and IP<sub>3</sub>R contributes to the increased potency of AdA and analogs. 9c,24 All other triazolophostin analogs showed a similar interaction. The small differences in activity among these analogs seem to arise from steric, rather than electronic factors. For instance, attaching aromatic rings with different electrostatic 60 surface potentials to the triazole ring did not cause any systematic change in biological activity. The fact that all the analogs are both more potent than IP<sub>3</sub>, and show a conserved cation- $\pi$  interaction between the triazole ring and Arg504 suggests that this critical interaction is unaffected by aromatic substitution, irrespective of 65 the electronic nature of the aromatic substituent. The single bond connection between the triazole ring and the substituent (aromatic ring) allow torsional freedom (freely rotatable) and hence the sterically favorable non-coplanar conformation of the two aromatic rings. As a result of such non-coplanarity (lack of 70 conjugation), the electron density on the triazole ring cannot be influenced by the aromatic unit. This could be the reason why

there is no clear trend in activity when the aromatic ring is modified with electron-deficient or electron-rich substituents. One reason for the increased potency of AdA is believed to be its conformational flexibility allowing it to adopt an optimal conformation for binding to IP<sub>3</sub>R.<sup>26</sup> The additional conformational freedom due to the single bond linkage of this hetero-biaryl unit (rather than fused rings in AdA) allows the second ring to orient to an electronically favorable position, such that it can have additional electrostatic interactions. Docking results suggest that the most potent analog, **10f**, has additional interactions between F and IP<sub>3</sub>R side-chains (D566, Q565 and Q507) that may enhance its activity (Fig. 4).



15 **Fig. 4** Docking of 3-fluorophenyl triazolophostin with IBC showing preferred binding mode and the important cation- $\pi$  and fluorine-oxygen interactions with residues Arg504 and Asp566, respectively. The cation- $\pi$  distance r=2,97 Å. The fluorophenyl moiety orients perpendicular to the triazole ring. Color code for ligand: carbon, gray; oxygen, red; nitrogen, blue; 20 phosphorous, yellow; fluorine, cyan.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, addressing the problems associated with conventional one-at-a-time synthesis of AdA analogs, we have synthesized a library of new analogs using azide-alkyne click 25 chemistry. The simple reaction conditions, high yield and atomeconomy of the click reaction to install base-surrogates are advantageous over the conventional Vorbruggen method of installation of nucleobase. By this easy and rapid method, we generated a variety of ligands with diverse structures from a 30 common advanced intermediate. All the analogs synthesized by this method are more potent than IP<sub>3</sub> and some (10a, 10f, 10g, 10k) are as potent as AdA. Though replacement of purine with pyrimidines or other aromatic groups are reported to give agonists that are more potent than IP3, none of the previously 35 reported non-purinated AdA analogs was as potent as AdA. We have shown that AdA-like potency can be achieved without a nucleobase. Our study reveals that a five-membered aromatic ring, triazole, is sufficient as a base surrogate to exhibit AdA-like potency. Easy access to potent, non-metabolizable ligands of 40 IP<sub>3</sub>R and the ease of conjugation with reporter groups such as fluorescent probes, photoaffinity probes, etc offers avenues for advanced biological exploration. This report that triazoles can be functional mimics of nucleobases has broader implication and might trigger such attempts in other nucleobase-derived signaling

45 molecules.

#### **Experimental section**

#### 1. General Methods

Chromatograms were visualized under UV light and by dipping plates into either phosphomolybdic acid in MeOH or 50 anisaldehyde in ethanol, followed by heating. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, COSY, NOESY and HMOC spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to the internal standard tetramethylsilane (TMS,  $\delta$  0.0 ppm) or with the solvent reference relative to TMS employed as 55 the internal standard (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ 7.26 ppm; D<sub>2</sub>O, δ 4.79 ppm). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift (multiplicity [singlet (s), doublet (d), doublet of doublet (dd), triplet (t), quartet (q), and multiplet (m)], coupling constants [Hz], integration and peak identification). All NMR signals were assigned on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, COSY and HMQC experiments. <sup>13</sup>C spectra were recorded with complete proton decoupling. Carbon chemical shifts are reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) relative to TMS with the respective solvent resonance as the internal standard. All NMR data were collected at 25 °C. The concentration of the compounds 65 for <sup>1</sup>H NMR was 5 mg per 0.5 mL and for <sup>13</sup>C NMR it was 20 mg per 0.5 mL for protected compounds and 5-7 mg per 0.5 mL for final compounds in case of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR. Each triazolophostin (10a-k) was quantified using Briggs's/Ame's phosphate assay. Melting point was determined using melting 70 point apparatus and is uncorrected. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel 230-400 mesh. Where ever needed, the reactions were carried out under argon or nitrogen atmosphere employing oven dried glassware.

X-ray intensity data measurements of freshly grown crystals of **4** was carried out at 293-296 K on a Bruker-KAPPA APEX II CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized (MoK = 0.71073Å) radiation. The X-ray generator was operated at 50 kV and 30 mA. Data were collected with scan width of 0.3° at different settings of φ (0°, 90° and 180°) keeping the sample to detector distance fixed at 40 mm and the detector position (2θ) fixed at 24°. The X-ray data collection was monitored by SMART program. All the data were corrected for Lorentzian, polarization and absorption effects using SAINT and SADABS programs. SHELX-97 was used for structure solution and full matrix least-squares refinement on *F2*. Molecular and packing diagrams were generated using Mercury-3.1. Geometrical calculations were performed using SHELXTL and PLATON.

# 2. Synthesis of 1,2,3',4'-tetra-O-acetyl-2',5,6'-tri-O-benzyl-3-O- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl-D-ribofuranose (5)

To a solution of disaccharide 4 (1.0 g, 1.41 mmol) and Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mL, 4.24 mmol) in dry DCM (20 mL), 0.05 g of freshly prepared H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-silica<sup>19</sup> was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h under nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction was monitored using TLC. When the starting material disappeared, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of solid NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Solid material was filtered off and the organic layer was washed with a saturated aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using 40% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether as eluent to get the known<sup>18a</sup> tetraacetate 5 (0.96 g, 90%) as a sticky mass.

## 3. Synthesis of 2,3',4'-tri-*O*-acetyl-2',5,6'-tri-*O*-benzyl-3-*O*-α-D-glucopyranosyl-β-D-ribofuranosyl azide (6)

To a solution of tetraacetate 5 (0.51 g, 0.68 mmol) and TMSN<sub>3</sub> (0.44 mL, 3.4 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (10 mL) was 5 added AlCl<sub>3</sub> (0.09 g, 0.68 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C under nitrogen atmosphere for 10 min. When the starting material disappeared (TLC), the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of aqueous NaHCO3. The reaction mixture was partitioned between dichloromethane and aqueous 10 layers in a separating funnel. The dichloromethane layer was washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4 and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using 25% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether as eluent to get triacetate azide 6 (0.495 g, 99%) 15 as a colourless gum. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 1.79 (s, 6H,  $2 \times COCH_3$ , 1.86 (s, 3H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.22 (dd, J = 10.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-6A'), 3.26 (dd, J = 10.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-6B'), 3.46 (dd, J =10.0, 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 3.52 (dd, J = 11.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-5A), 3.61 (dd, J = 11.0, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-5B), 3.77 (d, J = 9.8, Hz, 1H, H-5B) $_{20}$  5'), 4.22 (d, J = 12.0, Hz, 1H, 0.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.29-4.30 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.38-4.43 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhCH2), 4.46-4.54 (m, 3H, H-3 and 1  $x \text{ PhCH}_2$ ), 4.88 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 4.95 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H, H-4'), 5.02 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.27-5.29 (m, 2H, H-1 and H-3'), 7.16-7.22 (m, 15H, 3 x Ph); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 25 δ: 20.5, 20.7, 20.9 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 67.6 (C-6'), 69.0 (C-4' and C-5'), 69.5 (C-5), 71.9 (C-3'), 73.3, 73.5, 73.5 (3 x CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.9 (C-2), 74.5 (C-3), 76.6 (C-2'), 81.4 (C-4), 92.77 (C-1), 96.7 (C-1'), 127.5, 127.7, 127.7, 127.8, 128.0, 128.4, 128.4, 128.5 (Ar-C), 137.5, 137.7, 137.9 (ipso Ar-C), 169.8, 170.5, 170.5 (3 x 30 COCH<sub>3</sub>); Anal. calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>43</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>12</sub>: C, 62.20; H, 5.91; N, 5.73. found: C, 62.12; H, 6.09; N, 5.71.

## 4. Synthesis of 2',5,6'-tri-O-benzyl-3-O- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranosyl azide (7)

To the suspension of triacetate 6 (0.42 g, 0.57 mmol) in MeOH 35 (10 mL) was added sodium methoxide (0.015 g, 0.28 mmol) and stirred at room temperature. Reaction mixture became clear after 30 min. When TLC showed complete disappearance of starting material, the reaction was quenched by adding Dowex 50-ion exchange resin. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a 40 filter paper and washed successively with methanol (2 times). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to get a thick mass. The thick mass was then purified by flash column chromatography using 50% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether as eluent to get triol azide 7 (0.34 g, 96%) as a white solid. mp: 69-<sub>45</sub> 71 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.33 (dd, J = 9.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 3.43-3.54 (m, 5H, H-4', H-5<sub>A</sub>, H-5<sub>B</sub>, H-6<sub>A</sub>', H-6<sub>B</sub>'), 3.66 (ddd, J = 9.8, 8.7, 3.9, 1H, H-5'), 3.82-3.85 (m, 2H, H-3' andH-2), 4.16-4.18 (m, 2H, 3-H and H-4), 4.38-4.48 (m, 4H, 2 x  $CH_2Ph$ ), 4.61-4.70 (m, 3H, H-1' and 1 x  $CH_2Ph$ ), 5.20 (d, J = 1.4<sub>50</sub> Hz, 1H, H-1), 7.18–7.29 (m, 15H, 3 x Ar-*H*); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 69.2 (C-5 or C-6'), 70.2 (C-5 or C-6'), 70.6 (C-5'), 71.1 (C-4'), 73.3 (C-3'), 73.5 and 73.6 (2 x CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 74.0 (C-2), 74.3 (1 x CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 78.3 (C-3 or C-4), 78.4 (C-2'), 81.4 (C-3 or C-4), 95.1 (C-1), 98.0 (C-1'), 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.4, 55 128.5, 128.6, 128.8 (Ar-C), 137.1, 137.6, 137.9 (Ipso Ar-C); Anal. calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9</sub>: C, 63.25; H, 6.14; N, 6.92; found: C, 63.23; H, 6.16; N, 6.90.

# 5. Synthesis of 2',5,6'-tri-*O*-benzyl-2,3',4'-tris(dibenzyloxyphosphoryl)-3-*O*-α-D-glucopyranosyl-β-D60 ribofuranosyl azide (8)

A solution of the triol 7 (0.1 g, 0.16 mmol), bis(benzyloxy) N,Ndiisopropylaminophosphine (0.27 mL, 0.82 mmol), and imidazolium triflate (0.20 g, 0.90 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (10 mL) was stirred at room temperature under N2 atmosphere for 30 65 min. The reaction mixture was then cooled to -78 °C, and then m-CPBA (0.093 g, 0.54 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 45 min at -78 °C and then at room temperature for an additional 30 min. The reaction was quenched by the addition of aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The reaction mixture was 70 partitioned between DCM and aqueous layer in a separating funnel. The DCM layer was washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using 25% acetone in petroleum ether as eluent 75 to get pure **8** (0.206 g, 90%) as a colourless gum: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.43-3.58 (m, 5H, H-6<sub>A</sub>', H-6<sub>B</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>, H-5<sub>A</sub>, H-2'), 3.70-3.72 (m, 1H, H-5'), 4.17-4.22 (m, 2H, H-4, and 0.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.27-4.34 (m, 3H, H-3 and 1 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.37-4.42 (m, 2H, 1 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.47 (dd, 19.05, 9.75 Hz, 1H, H-4'), 4.55-4.59 80 (m, 2H, H-2, 0.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.65 (dd, 11.95, 8.5 Hz, 1H, 0.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.78-4.94 (m, 12H, H-3' and 5.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.01 (d, 3.55 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 5.30 (d, 2.6 Hz, 1H, H-1), 6.96-7.25 (m, 45H, 9 x Ph), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.1 (C-6'), 69.1 (C-5), 69.3, 69.3, 69.4, 69.5, 69.8, 69.83, 69.9, (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.0 85 (C-5'), 72.0 (1 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 73.2 (C-3), 73.3, 73.5 (2 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.4 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4'), 76.9 (C-2'), 77.6 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 78.1 (C-2, 31P coupled), 81.3 (C-4), 92.4 (C-1), 95.2 (C-1'), 127.6, 127.65, 127.70, 127.8, 128.06, 128.08, 128.26, 128.28, 128.31, 128.34, 128.39, 128.44, 128.49, 128.52, 128.62, 90 128.64, 128.68, 128.69 (aromatic carbons), 135.40, 135.45, 135.70, 135.77, 135.85, 135.90, 136.14, 136.16, 136.20, 136.23, 137.6, 137.9, 138.1, (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.20, -1.90, -2.15; Anal. calcd for C<sub>74</sub>H<sub>76</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 64.02; H, 5.52; N, 3.03. Found: C, 64.33; H, 95 5.45; N, 3.19.

#### 6. Synthesis of fully protected triazolophostins:

# a) Synthesis of 1-{5-O-benzyl-2-O-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-O-[2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl]- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranosyl}-1,2,3-triazole (9a)

100 A mixture of tris-phosphate **8** (0.1 g, 0.07 mmol), trimethylsilylacetylene (0.011 mL, 0.071 mmol), Cu (0.018 g, 0.28 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.004 g, 0.014 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O/<sup>4</sup>BuOH (1/1, v/v, 1 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After complete consumption of the starting material (TLC, 12 h), TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 0.5 mL) was added to the reaction and the mixture was stirred for additional 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then filtered and washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 10 mL) and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of acetone and petroleum ether (3:7 v/v) as eluent to get pure **9a** (0.098 g, 97%) as a colourless gum. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.43-3.45 (m, 1H, H-5<sub>A</sub>'),

3.47-3.57 (m, 3H, H-2", H-5<sub>B</sub>', H-6<sub>A</sub>"), 3.59-3.61 (m, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>") 3.75 (ddd, J = 9.2, 4.65, 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-5''), 4.21-4.23 (m, 1H, 0.5)x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.29-4.32 (m, 4H, H-4', 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.39-4.46 (m, 3H, H-3', H-4" and 0.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.58-4.66 (m, 3H, 1.5 x <sup>5</sup> PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.68-4.72 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80-4.96 (m, 8H, H-3", 3.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 5.13 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-1"), 5.27 (ddd, J =8.75, 8.2, 4.6 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 6.31 (d, 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.99-7.27 (m, 45H, 9 x  $C_6H_5$ ), 7.48 (s, 1H, H-5), 7.61 (s, 1H, H-4); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.5 (C-6"), 69.1 (C-5'), 69.2, 69.36, 10 69.40, 69.5, 69.6, 69.8, 69.83, 69.9, 70.0, 70.02, (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.3 (C-5"), 71.9, 73.4, 73.6 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 73.9 (C-3'), 74.5 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4"), 76.9 (C-2'), 78.0 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 78.6 (C-2', 31P coupled), 82.81 (C-4'), 90.0 (C-1'), 95.6 (C-1"), 122.4 (C-5), 127.6, 127.7, 127.74, 127.77, 127.8, 127.9, 15 128.0, 128.07, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.34, 128.4, 128.5, 128.52, 128.55, 128.59, 128.7, (aromatic carbons), 135.2, 135.28, 135.7, 135.74, 135.8, 135.87, 136.1, 136.2, 137.4, 137.6, 138.0, (<sup>31</sup>P) coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -1.427, -1.908, -2.128; Anal. calcd for  $C_{76}H_{78}N_3O_{18}P_3$ : 20 C, 64.54; H, 5.56; N, 2.97. Found: C, 64.31; H, 5.42; N, 3.01.

#### b) Synthesis of 1-{5-O-benzyl-2-O-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-O-[2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)- $\alpha$ -Dglucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(1-methylimidazol-5yl)-1,2,3-triazole (9b)

25 A mixture of tris-phosphate 8 (0.2 g, 0.14 mmol), 5-ethynyl-1methyl-1*H*-imidazole (0.016 mL, 0.15 mmol), Cu (0.036 g, 0.57 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.008 g, 0.028 mmol) in  $H_2O/^{1}BuOH$  (1/1, v/v, 2 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was filtered and washed 30 successively with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of acetone, diethyl ether and 35 petroleum ether (4:1:15 v/v/v) as eluent to get pure **9b** (0.205 g, 95%) as a colourless gum. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.55-3.76 (m, 8H, 1 x N-CH<sub>3</sub>,  $6_A$ ", H- $6_B$ ", H- $5_B$ ', H-2" and H- $5_A$ '), 3.88(bs, 1H, H-5"), 4.31-4.45 (m, 5H, H-4' and 2 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.51-4.54 (m, 3H, H-4" and 1 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.70-4.82 (m, 6H, H-3', and 2.5 x <sup>40</sup> PhC $H_2$ ), 4.94-5.03 (m, 8H, H-3" and 3.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 5.26 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 5.41 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 6.42 (s, 1H, H-1'), 6.97-7.34 (m, 47H, Ar-H), 7.75 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 33.4 (N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 68.6 (C-6"), 69.2 (C-5'), 69.4, 69.42, 69.5, 69.59, 69.8, 69.9, 70.03, (<sup>31</sup>P coupled Ph*C*H<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.3 (C-5"), 71.92, 73.4, 45 73.7 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.3 (C-3'), 74.5 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 77.0 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 78.0 (C-2"), 78.8 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 83.1 (C-4'), 90.4 (C-1', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 95.77 (C-1"), 119.41 (C-5), 127.64, 127.67, 127.71, 127.80, 127.8, 127.96, 128.0, 128.1, 128.14, 128.3, 128.5, 128.52, 128.6, 128.67, (aromatic carbons), 50 135.1, 135.2, 135.6, 135.76, 135.80, 135.9, 136.1, 136.18, 137.2, 137.6, (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 138.0, 138.8 (ipso carbons of COCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.453, -1.894, -2.080; Anal. calcd for  $C_{80}H_{82}N_5O_{18}P_3$ : C, 64.29; H, 5.53; N, 4.69. Found: C, 63.99; H, 5.23; N, 4.81.

#### 55 c) Synthesis of 1-{5-O-benzyl-2-O-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-O-[2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)- $\alpha$ -D-

#### glucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-phenyl-1,2,3-triazole (9c)

A mixture of tris-phosphate 8 (0.1 g, 0.07 mmol), 60 phenylacetylene (0.016 mL, 0.158 mmol), Cu (0.018 g, 0.28 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.004 g, 0.014 mmol) in  $H_2O/^tBuOH$  (1/1, v/v, 1 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC) the mixture was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed successively with water 65 and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of acetone and petroleum ether (3:7 v/v) as eluent to get pure 9c (0.101 g, 94%) as a colourless gum. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, <sup>70</sup> CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.46-3.52 (m, 2H, H-2" and H-5<sub>A</sub>'), 3.55-3.58 (m, 2H,  $H-6_B''$  and  $H-5_B'$ ), 3.64-3.66 (m, 1H,  $H-6_A''$ ), 3.77-3.80 (m, 1H, H-5"), 4.21-4.35 (m, 5H, H-4' and 2 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.41-4.46 (m, 3H, H-3', H-4" and 0.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.58-4.64 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.68-4.72 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.81-4.94 (m, 8H, H-3", and 3.5 75 x PhC $H_2$ ), 5.16 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-1"), 5.33 (ddd, J = 9.4, 8.55, 5.0, Hz, 1H, H-2'), 6.33 (d, 5.4 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.99-7.47 (m, 50H, 10 x  $C_6H_5$ ), 7.83 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.7 (C-6"), 69.2 (C-5"), 69.2, 69.4, 69.45, 69.5, 69.55, 69.6, 69.85, 69.88, 70.0, 70.02, 70.1 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.4 (C-80 5"), 72.0, 73.5, 73.7 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.5 (C-3'), 74.6 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4"), 77.0 (31P coupled, C-2"), 78.1 (C-3", 31P coupled), 78.8 (C-2'), 83.0 (C-4'), 90.1 (C-1'), 95.9 (C-1"), 118.2 (C-5), 125.8 (ArC), 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.86, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.45, 128.50, 128.53, 128.6, 128.7 85 (aromatic carbons), 130.3, 135.2, 135.23, 135.3, 135.7, 135.8, 135.87, 135.93, 136.2, 136.25, 137.4, 137.6, 138.1, 148.1; (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.551, -1.932, -2.105; Anal. calcd for C<sub>82</sub>H<sub>82</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 66.08; H, 5.55; N, 2.82. Found: C, 65.95; H, 5.72; N, 2.97.

#### 90 d) Synthesis of 1-{5-O-benzyl-2-O-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-O-[2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)- $\alpha$ -Dglucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,3triazole (9d)

A mixture of tris-phosphate 8 (0.2 g, 0.14 mmol), 2-95 ethynylpyridine (0.016 mL, 0.15 mmol), Cu (0.036 g, 0.57 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.008 g, 0.028 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O/BuOH (1/1, v/v, 2 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC) the mixture was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The 100 organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of acetone and petroleum ether (3:7 v/v) as eluent to get pure **9d** (0.104 g, 48%) as a colourless gum. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.43-3.45 (m, 105 1H, H- $5_A$ '), 3.48-3.59 (m, 4H, H-2", H- $5_B$ ', H- $6_B$ "and H- $6_A$ "), 3.64-3.71-3.76 (m, 1H, H-5"), 4.20-4.23 (m, 1H, 0.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.27-4.37 (m, 4H, H-4' and 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.41-4.45 (m, 3H, H-3', H-4" and 0.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.60-4.62 (m, 1H, 0.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.66-4.72 (m, 5H, 2.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.80-4.93 (m, 8H, H-3", and 3.5 x <sup>110</sup> PhC $H_2$ ), 5.15 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H, H-1"), 5.34-5.35 (m, 1H, H-2'), 6.30 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.99-7.19 (m, 45H, 9 x C6H5), 7.28 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, pyr-H), 7.72 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, pyr-H), 8.03 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, pyr-H), 8.44 (s, 1H, H-5), 8.52 (d, J = 4.0

Hz, 1H, pyr-H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.4 (C-6"), 69.1 (C-5'), 69.13, 69.18, 69.4, 69.42, 69.5, 69.55, 69.8, 69.85, 69.87, 69.9, 70.0, 70.04 ( $^{31}$ P coupled, Ph*C*H<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.3 (C-5"), 72.0, 73.38, 73.6 (3 x Ph*C*H<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.2 (C-3'), 74.5 ( $^{31}$ P coupled, 5 C-4"), 77.1 ( $^{31}$ P coupled, C-2"), 78.1 (C-3",  $^{31}$ P coupled), 78.7 (C-2'), 83.1 (C-4'), 90.4 (C-1'), 95.7 (C-1"), 120.6 (C-5), 123.0 (Ar*C*), 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.43, 128.49, 128.5, 128.55 (aromatic carbons), 135.2, 135.25, 135.28, 135.30, 136.19, 136.25, 137.37, 137.60, 138.11;  $^{10}$  ( $^{31}$ P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph);  $^{31}$ P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.60, -1.95, -2.13; Anal. calcd for C<sub>81</sub>H<sub>81</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 65.23; H, 5.47; N, 3.76. Found: C, 65.01; H, 5.21; N, 3.92.

# e) Synthesis of 1-{5-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-*O*-[2,6-di-*O*-benzyl-3,4-di-*O*-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)-\alpha-D-

### 15 glucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(2-fluorophenyl)-1,2,3-triazole (9e)

A mixture of tris-phosphate 8 (0.2 g, 0.14 mmol), 2-fluorophenyl acetylene (0.021 mL, 0.15 mmol), Cu (0.036 g, 0.57 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.008 g, 0.028 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O/<sup>t</sup>BuOH (1/1, v/v, 2 mL) 20 was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC) the mixture was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified 25 by flash column chromatography using a mixture of acetone and petroleum ether (1:3 v/v) as eluent to get 9e (0.203 g, 93%) as a colourless gum. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.53-3.55 (m, 1H,  $H-5_{A}'$ ), 3.61-3.73 (m, 4H, H-2'',  $H-5_{B}'$ ,  $H-6_{A}''$  and  $H-6_{B}''$ ), 3.88 (bs, 1H, H-5"), 4.33-4.35 (m, 1H, 0.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.42-4.48 (m, 30 4H, H-4' and 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.53-4.58 (m, 3H, H-3', H-4" and 0.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.72-4.81 (m, 6H, 3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.96-5.06 (m, 8H, H-3", 3.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.27 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 5.46 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 6.42 (bs, 1H, H-1'), 7.13-7.40 (m, 48H, Ar-H), 8.21 (s, 1H, H-5), 8.30 (bs, 1H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.4 (C-6"), 69.0 35 (C-5'), 69.1, 69.2, 69.4, 69.42, 69.5, 69.6, 69.8, 69.85, 69.89, 70.0, 70.05 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.2 (C-5"), 71.9, 73.4, 73.6 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.2 (C-3'), 74.4 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4"), 77.1 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-2"), 78.0 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 78.6 (C-2'), 83.0 (C-4'), 90.2 (C-1'), 95.7 (C-1"), 115.7 (C-5), 121.6, 121.7, 124.5, 40 124.6, 127.6, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.5, 128.6 (aromatic carbons), 129.4, 129.5, 135.2, 135.22, 135.3, 135.7, 135.8, 135.84, 135.89, 136.2, 136.22, 137.3, 137.6, 138.1, 141.48, 141.5, 158.3, 160.3 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.632, -1.930, -2.134; <sup>19</sup>F NMR 45 (470.68 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -114.22; Anal. calcd for C<sub>82</sub>H<sub>81</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 65.29; H, 5.41; N, 2.79. Found: C, 65.43; H,

# f) Synthesis of 1-{5-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-*O*-[2,6-di-*O*-benzyl-3,4-di-*O*-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)-α-D-chaptyropoxyl) β D ribefyropoxyl) β 2 flycopyphoryl) 1.2.2

## <sup>50</sup> glucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(3-fluorophenyl)-1,2,3-triazole (9f)

5.59; N, 2.67.

A mixture of azide **8** (0.2 g, 0.14 mmol), 3-fluorophenyl acetylene (0.021 mL, 0.15 mmol), Cu (0.036 g, 0.57 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.008 g, 0.028 mmol) in  $H_2O/^tBuOH$  (1/1, v/v, 2 mL) swas stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the mixture was filtered, the filtrate was extracted with ethyl

acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified 60 by flash column chromatography using a mixture of acetone and petroleum ether (1:3 v/v) as eluent to get 9f (0.188 g, 86%) as a colourless gum; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.58 (dd, J =10.85, 2.45 Hz, 1H, H- $5_A$ '), 3.62 (dd, J = 9.65, 3.65 Hz, 1H, H-2"), 3.66-3.69 (m, 2H, H- $6_A$ ", H- $5_B$ ), 3.78 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.5 Hz, 65 1H, H- $6_B$ ") 3.90 (ddd, J = 10.8, 9.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-5"), 4.33-4.38  $(m, 2H, 1 \times PhCH_2), 4.41-4.44 (m, 2H, 1 \times PhCH_2), 4.46-4.47 (m, 2H, 1 \times PhCH_2), 4.46-4.4$ 1H, H-4'), 4.52-4.56 (m, 3H, H-3', H-4" and 0.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.70-4.75 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80-4.84 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.92-5.06 (m, 8H, H-3",  $3.5 \times PhCH_2$ ), 5.28 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H,  $_{70}$  H-1"), 5.43 (ddd, J = 8.85, 5.2, 4.65 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 6.44 (d, 5.6 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 7.09-7.39 (m, 49H, Ar-H), 7.90 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.7 (C-6"), 69.1 (C-5'), 69.4, 69.5, 69.8, 69.6, 69.7, 69.87, 69.9, 69.94, 70.07, 70.1 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 72.0 (C-5"), 72.1, 73.5, 73.7 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.5 75 (C-3'), 74.53 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4"), 77.1 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-2"), 78.1 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 78.9 (C-2'), 83.1 (C-4'), 90.3 (C-1'), 95.9 (C-1"), 112.7, 114.8 (Ar-C), 118.5 (C-5), 121.4 (Ar-C), 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.83, 127.9, 128.0, 128.07, 128.14, 128.31, 128.34, 128.43, 128.48, 128.5, 128.51, 128.69, (aromatic carbons), 130.2, 80 130.27, 136.2, 136.23, 137.31, 137.61, 138.1, 148.1, 162.3, 164.2 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.515, -1.920, -2.064; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.68 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -112.766; Anal. calcd for C<sub>82</sub>H<sub>81</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 65.29; H, 5.41; N, 2.79. Found: C, 65.50; H, 5.21; N, 2.66.

# 85 g) Synthesis of 1-{5-O-benzyl-2-O-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-O-[2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl]- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,2,3-triazole (9g)

A mixture of azide 8 (0.2 g, 0.14 mmol), 4-fluorophenyl 90 acetylene (0.018 mL, 0.15 mmol), Cu (0.036 g, 0.57 mmol) and  $CuSO_4$  (0.008 g, 0.028 mmol) in  $H_2O^{\dagger}BuOH$  (1/1, v/v, 2 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the mixture was filtered, the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The 95 organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of 25% acetone in petroleum ether as eluent to get pure **9g** (0.207 g, 95%) as a colourless gum; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.47-3.52 100 (m, 2H, H-2" and H-5<sub>A</sub>'), 3.55-3.59 (m, 2H, H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-5<sub>B</sub>'), 3.65-3.67 (m, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>"), 3.77-3.80 (m, 1H, H-5"), 4.21-4.28 (m, 2H, 1 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.30-4.35 (m, 3H, H-4'), 4.39-4.45 (m, 3H, H-4" and 1 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.61-4.65 (m, 3H, H-3', and 1 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.67-4.73 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.81-4.97 (m, 8H, H-3", 3.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 105 5.16 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-1"), 5.32 (ddd, J = 9.2, 8.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 6.34 (d, 5.6 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.94-7.37 (m, 49H, Ar-H), 7.79 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.6 (C-6"), 69.2 (C-5'), 69.22, 69.4, 69.45, 69.5, 69.56, 69.6, 69.8, 69.9, 69.91, 70.03, 70.07 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.3 (C-5"), 72.0, 110 73.5, 73.7 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.4 (C-3'), 74.5 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4"), 77.3 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-2"), 78.0 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 78.9 (C-2'), 83.0 (C-4'), 90.2 (C-1'), 95.9 (C-1"), 115.6 (C-5), 117.9, 127.5, 127.51, 127.62, 127.67, 127.7, 127.8, 127.87, 128.0, 128.01,

128.07, 128.1, 128.16, 128.20, 128.35, 128.39, 128.47, 128.5, 128.55, 128.65, 128.67 (aromatic carbons), 136.14, 136.20, 137.4, 137.6, 138.0, 147.5, 162.1, 164.4 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.49, -5 1.920, -2.092; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.68 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -113.585; Anal. calcd for C<sub>82</sub>H<sub>81</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 65.29; H, 5.41; N, 2.79. Found: C, 64.98; H, 5.11; N, 2.93.

#### h) Synthesis of 1-{5-O-benzyl-2-O-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-O-[2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)- $\alpha$ -D-10 glucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(3,5-difluorophenyl)-1,2,3-triazole (9h)

A mixture of azide 8 (0.2 g, 0.14 mmol), 3,5-difluorophenyl acetylene (0.018 mL, 0.15 mmol), Cu (0.036 g, 0.57 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.008 g, 0.028 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O/<sup>t</sup>BuOH (1/1, v/v, 2 mL) 15 was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the mixture was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified 20 by flash column chromatography using a mixture of 25% acetone in petroleum ether as eluent to get pure 9h (0.2 g, 91%) as a colourless gum;. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.46 (dd, J =10.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H- $5_A$ '), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.65, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-2"), 3.54-3.58 (m, 2H,  $H-6_A''$  and  $H-5_B'$ ), 3.68 (dd, J=10.7, 1.3 Hz, 25 1H, H- $6_{B}$ "), 3.79 (ddd, J = 5.95, 4.85, 3.95 Hz, 1H, H-5"), 4.24 (dd, J = 11.5, 7.5 Hz, 2H, 1 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.29-4.34 (m, 2H, 1 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.36 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-4'), 4.38-4.45 (m, 3H, H-3', H-4" and 0.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.59-4.62 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.68-4.72 (m, 3H, 1.5 x  $PhCH_2$ ), 4.81-4.94 (m, 8H, H-3'', 3.5 x 30 PhC $H_2$ ), 5.18 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-1"), 5.31 (ddd, J = 8.85, 5.2, 4.65 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 6.33 (d, J = 5.75 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.97-7.29 (m, 48H, Ar-H), 7.77 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.7 (C-6"), 69.2 (C-5'), 69.23, 69.4, 69.45, 69.5, 69.57, 69.6, 69.8, 69.85, 69.9, 70.02, 70.07 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.4 (C-35 5"), 72.0, 73.48, 73.7 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.5 (C-3'), 74.54 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4"), 77.1 (31P coupled, C-2"), 78.0 (C-3", 31P coupled), 78.9 (C-2'), 83.3 (C-4'), 90.4 (C-1'), 95.8 (C-1"), 108.7 (C-5), 118.9 127.6, 127.7, 127.73, 127.85, 127.92, 128.0, 128.1, 128.14, 128.3, 128.35, 128.39, 128.5, 128.51, 128.54, 128.58, 40 128.66, 128.79 (aromatic carbons), 135.2, 135.23, 135.25, 135.7, 135.71, 135.8, 135.89, 136.1, 136.2, 137.2, 137.23, 137.6, 146.45, 162.5, 163.3 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.502, -1.902, -2.068; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.68 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -109.331; Anal. calcd for 45 C<sub>82</sub>H<sub>80</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 64.52; H, 5.28; N, 2.75. Found: C, 64.37; H, 5.58; N, 2.91.

#### i) Synthesis of 1-{5-O-benzyl-2-O-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-O-[2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)- $\alpha$ -Dglucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-50 1,2,3-triazole (9i)

A mixture of azide 8 (0.2 g, 0.14 mmol), 4-methoxyphenyl acetylene (0.02 mL, 0.15 mmol), Cu (0.036 g, 0.57 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.008 g, 0.028 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O/BuOH (1/1, v/v, 2 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC) 55 the mixture was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The

organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of acetone and 60 petroleum ether (1:3 v/v) as eluent to get 9i (0.193 g, 88%) as a colourless gum; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.49-3.56 (m, 5H,  $6_A$ ", H- $6_B$ ", H- $5_B$ ', H-2" and H- $5_A$ '), 3.77 (bs, 4H, 1 x OC $H_3$ and H-5"), 4.21-4.35 (m, 5H, H-4' and 2 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.40-4.45 (m, 3H, H-3', H-4" and 0.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.58-4.72 (m, 6H, and 3 x 65 PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.84-4.93 (m, 8H, H-3" and 3.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.16 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 5.32 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 6.33 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.80 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.00-7.28 (m, 45H, 9 x C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 6.38 (d, J =7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.75 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 55.4 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 68.6 (C-6"), 69.16 (C-5"), 69.2, 69.4, <sup>70</sup> 69.44, 69.5, 69.58, 69.85, 69.9, 70.07, (<sup>31</sup>P coupled PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.3 (C-5"), 72.0, 73.4, 73.6 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.4 (C-3' and C-4", 31P coupled), 77.0 (C-2', 31P coupled), 78.0 (C-2"), 78.6 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 83.0 (C-4'), 90.3 (C-1', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 95.9 (C-1"), 114.2, 117.5 (C-5), 127.1, 127.2, 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.82, 75 127.85, 128.0, 128.03, 128.07, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.45, 128.5, 128.52, 128.6, 128.64, (aromatic carbons), 135.1, 135.15, 135.2, 135.65, 135.70, 135.8, 135.84, 136.1, 136.16, 137.4, 137.6, (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 138.0 (ipso carbon of COCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl3) δ: -80 1.576, -1.941, -2.118; Anal. calcd for  $C_{83}H_{84}N_3O_{19}P_3$ : C, 65.56; H, 5.57; N, 2.76. Found: C, 65.66; H, 5.77; N, 2.95.

#### j) Synthesis of 1-{5-O-benzyl-2-O-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-O-[2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)- $\alpha$ -Dglucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(2-formylphenyl)-1,2,3-85 triazole (9j)

A mixture of azide 8 (0.2 g, 0.14 mmol), 2-ethynylbenzaldehyde (0.02 g, 0.15 mmol), Cu (0.036 g, 0.57 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.008 g, 0.028 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O/<sup>t</sup>BuOH (1/1, v/v, 2 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC) the mixture was 90 filtered. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of acetone and petroleum ether 95 (1:3 v/v) as eluent to obtain pure **9j** (0.19 g, 87%) as a colourless gum;. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.57-3.64 (m, 2H, H-2" and  $H-5_{A}$ '), 3.68-3.70 (m, 2H,  $H-5_{B}$ ' and  $H-6_{A}$ "), 3.76-3.78 (m, 1H,  $H-5_{A}$ ") 6<sub>B</sub>"), 3.90 (bs, 1H, H-5"), 4.33-4.44 (m, 4H, 2 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.49-4.58 (m, 4H, H-4', H-4" and 1 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.72-4.86 (m, 6H, H-3', and 2.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.93-5.07 (m, 8H, H-3", 3.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 5.29 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 5.47 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 6.48 (d, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 7.13-7.41 (m, 46H, Ar-H), 7.51-7.59 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 8.00 (s, 1H, H-5), 8.05 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 10.35 (s, 1H, CHO); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 68.6 (C-6"), 68.66 (C-5'), 69.2, 105 69.22, 69.3, 69.4, 69.45, 69.6, 69.61, 69.87, 69.9, 69.94, 70.07, 70.1 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.4 (C-5"), 72.0, 73.5, 73.7 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.3 (C-3'), 74.5 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4"), 77.3 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-2"), 78.0 (C-3", 31P coupled), 78.9 (C-2'), 83.2 (C-4'), 90.5 (C-1'), 95.8 (C-1"), 121.7 (C-5), 127.7, 127.71, 127.73, 110 127.8, 127.83, 127.86, 128.0, 128.1, 128.14, 128.19, 128.35, 128.38, 128.5, 128.54, 128.59, 128.69 (aromatic carbons), 129.9, 132.8, 134.1, 136.2, 137.2, 137.6, 138.1, 145.6 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 192.21 (CHO); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : -1.32, -1.89, -2.07; Anal. calcd for  $C_{83}H_{82}N_3O_{19}P_3$ : C, 65.65; H, 5.44; N, 2.77. Found: C, 65.41; H, 5.73; N, 2.90.

#### k) Synthesis of 1-{5-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl-3-*O*-[2,6-di-*O*-benzyl-3,4-di-*O*-(bisbenzyloxyphosphoryl)-α-D-5 glucopyranosyl]-β-D-ribofuranosyl}-4-(6-methoxynaphth-2yl)-1,2,3-triazole (9k)

The mixture of azide 8 (0.1 g, 0.07 mmol),2-ethynyl-6methoxynaphthalene (0.014 g, 0.077 mmol), Cu (0.018 g, 0.28 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.004 g, 0.014 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O/<sup>t</sup>BuOH (1/1, 10 v/v, 1 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC) the mixture was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus 15 obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using 25% acetone in petroleum ether as eluent to get pure, protected trizolophostin 9k (93 mg, 82%) as a colourless gum; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.47-3.52 (m, 2H, H-2" and H-5<sub>A</sub>'), 3.55-3.59 (m, 2H, H- $5_B$ ' and H- $6_A$ "), 3.64-3.66 (m, 1H, H- $6_B$ "), 3.77-20 3.80 (m, 1H, H-5"), 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.21-4.24 (m, 1H, 0.5 x  $PhCH_2$ ), 4.28-4.36 (m, 4H, H-4' and 1.5 x  $PhCH_2$ ), 4.41-4.48 (m, 3H, H-3', H-4" and 1 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.59-4.65 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 4.69-4.72 (m, 3H, 1.5 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80-4.97 (m, 8H, H-3", 3.5 x PhC $H_2$ ), 5.18 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-1"), 5.37 (ddd, J = 9.1, 8.5, 25 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 6.35 (d, 5.3 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 7.00-7.19 (m, 45H, 9 x  $C_6H_5$ ), 7.29 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.89 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.05 (s, 1H, H-5);  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 55.4 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 68.7 (C-6"), 69.2 (C-5'), 69.4, 69.6, 69.8, 69.9, 70.1 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, 30 PhCH<sub>2</sub>OP), 70.3 (C-5"), 72.0, 73.5, 73.6 (3 x PhCH<sub>2</sub>OC), 74.5 (C-3'), 74.54 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-4"), 77.0 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, C-2"), 78.1 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 78.8 (C-2'), 83.1 (C-4'), 90.1 (C-1'), 95.9 (C-1"), 105.9, 119.20 (C-5), 124.5, 127.2, 127.6, 127.63, 127.7, 127.8, 127.86, 127.89, 127.97, 128.0, 128.1, 128.13, 128.2, 35 128.3, 128.36, 128.38, 128.45, 128.5, 128.52, 128.57, 128.6, 128.7, 129.0, (aromatic carbons), 129.8, 134.4, 136.2, 137.2, 137.5, 137.6, 138.1 (<sup>31</sup>P coupled, ipso carbons of POCH<sub>2</sub>Ph); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: -1.524, -1.920, -2.082; Anal. calcd for C<sub>87</sub>H<sub>86</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>19</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 66.53; H, 5.52; N, 2.68. Found: C, 66.74; 40 H, 5.39; N, 2.88.

#### 7. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Triazolophostins:

To a solution of protected triazolophostins **9a-k** (80-200 mg, 0.06-0.13 mmol) and cyclohexene (2 mL) in a mixture of methanol and water (9:1 v/v, 5 mL),  $Pd(OH)_2$  (20% on carbon, 50 mg) was added and the mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 4 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled, filtered through a membrane filter washing successively with methanol and water. The combined filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by ion-exchange column chromatography on Q-Sepharose matrix using  $0 \rightarrow 1.0 \text{ M}$  triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) as eluent to get pure triazolophostins **10a-k**.

## a) 3'-O- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl-1- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranosyl-1,2,3-triazole 2',3",4"-trisphosphate [triazolophostin] (10a)

55 By using 80 mg (0.056 mmol) of **9a**, 33 mg (97%) of

triazolophostin 10a was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 3.74-3.80 (m, 7H, H-4", H-5", H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>', H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-6<sub>B</sub>" and H-2"), 4.03 (bs, 1H, H-4'), 4.41 (bs, 2H, H-3' and H-3"), 5.14 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 5.23 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 6.38 (bs, 60 1H, H-1"), 7.80 (s, 1H, H-5), 8.18 (s, 1H, H-4); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 60.3 (C-5'), 60.8 (C-6"), 70.9 (C-2", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 71.8 (C-5", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 72.6 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.6 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 76.3 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 77.3 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 83.8 (C-4'), 90.8 (C-1', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 98.0 (C-1"), 125.1 (C-4, C-5); <sup>31</sup>P S NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.496, 3.570, 4.382; HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup>, 603.0268, found: 603.0271.

#### b) 4-(1-methyl-imidazol-5-yl)-triazolophostin (10b)

By using 105 mg (0.07 mmol) of 9b, 45 mg (94%) of triazolophostin 10b was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>70</sup> H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 3.74-3.81 (m, 5H, H-2", H-5<sub>A</sub>', H- $5_{B'}$ , H- $6_{A''}$  and H- $6_{B''}$ ), 3.87 (dd, 1H, J = 12.8, 3.05 Hz, H-4''), 3.94 (s, 3H, N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.08-4.10 (m, 1H, H-5"), 4.47-4.50 (m, 2H, H-4' and H-3"), 4.66-4.70 (m, 1H, obscured by HDO peak, H-3'), 5.24 (dd, 1H, J = 8.8, 4.45 Hz, H-2'), 5.27 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-75 1"), 6.46 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 7.76 (s, 1H, ImH-4), 8.63 (s, 1H, H-5), 8.80 (s, 1H, Im*H*-2);  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ : 34.8 (N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 60.3 (C-5'), 60.7 (C-6"), 70.6 (C-2", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 71.7 (C-5", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 72.8 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.6 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 76.5 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 77.7 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 84.2 80 (C-4'), 91.2 (C-1', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 98.0 (C-1"), 118.8 (C-5), 124.8 (ImC-4), 125.0 (C-4), 134.1 (ImC-5), 136.4 (ImC-2); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 3.62, 3.69, 4.41; HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 684.072, found 684.0699.

#### c) 4-phenyl triazolophostin (10c)

85 By using 100 mg (0.067 mmol) of **9c**, 41 mg (91%) of triazolophostin **10c** was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 3.73-3.85 (m, 6H, H-2", H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-4", H-5<sub>B</sub>', H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-6<sub>B</sub>"), 4.07-4.09 (m, 1H, H-5"), 4.43 (bs, 1H, H-4"), 4.47-4.49 (m, 1H, H-3"), 4.64-4.75 (m, 1H, H-3"), 5.19-5.20 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.24 (s, 1H, H-1"), 6.39 (d, 1H, 3.65 Hz, H-1"), 7.38-7.41 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.78 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 8.48 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 60.2 (C-5"), 60.8 (C-6"), 70.4 (C-2", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 71.6 (C-5", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.0 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.8 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 76.6 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 78.1 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 83.9 (C-4'), 91.0 (C-1', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 98.1 (C-1"), 121.3 (C-5), 125.9, 126.0, 129.1, 129.2, 129.3 (Ar-*H*); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 3.551, 3.597, 4.343; HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub> [M-H]<sup>+</sup>, 678.0503, found 678.0482.

#### 100 d) 4-(2-pyridyl)-triazolophostin (10d)

By using 100 mg (0.067 mmol) of **9d**, 21.2 mg (47%) of triazolophostin **10d** was obtained as a sticky mass:  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ : 3.79-3.90 (m, 5H, H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-6<sub>B</sub>", H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>', H-2"), 4.11-4.12 (m, 1H, H-5"), 4.49-4.51 (m, 2H, H-4" and H-4"), 4.72 (m, 2H, obscured by HDO peak, H-3' and H-3"), 5.26-5.29 (m, 2H, H-2' and H-1"), 6.51 (s, 1H, H-1'), 7.98 (s, 1H, Pyr-*H*), 8.40 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H, Pyr-*H*), 8.62 (s, 1H, Pyr-*H*), 8.74 (s, 1H, Pyr-*H*), 9.04 (s, 1H, H-5);  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ : 60.3 (C-5"), 60.7 (C-6"), 70.6 (C-2",  ${}^{31}$ P coupled), 71.8 (C-5",  ${}^{31}$ P coupled), 73.0 (C-4",  ${}^{31}$ P coupled), 73.8 (C-3',  ${}^{31}$ P coupled), 76.7

(C-2′,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 78.0 (C-3″,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 84.5 (C-4′), 91.4 (C-1′,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 98.1 (C-1″), 124.9 (C-5), 126.2, 139.4, 141.3, 142.4, 147.6 (Ar-*C*);  $^{31}$ P NMR (202.4 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ : 3.637, 3.720, 4.448; HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for  $C_{18}H_{27}N_4O_{18}P_3$  [M- $^{5}$  H] $^{+}$ , 679.0455, found 679.0431.

#### e) 4-(2-Fluorophenyl)-triazolophostin (10e)

By using 190 mg (0.12 mmol) of 9e, 79 mg (91%) of triazolophostin **10e** was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) 3.58-3.81 (m, 6H, H-5", H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-6<sub>B</sub>", 10 H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>', H-2"), 4.09-4.11 (m, 1H, H-4"), 4.30 (bs, 1H, H-4'), 4.51-4.53 (m, 1H, H-3"), 4.68 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-3"), 5.15 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 5.22 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 6.31 (bs, 1H, H-1'), 7.10-7.14 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.28 (dd, J = 13.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.02 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.51 (s, 1H, H-5); 15 <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, MeOD) δ: 61.9 (C-5'), 62.1 (C-6"), 72.7 (C-2", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.6 (C-5", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 74.6 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 76.8 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 79.1 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 79.5 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 85.3 (C-4'), 93.0 (C-1', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 100.6 (C-1"), 116.9 (C-5), 124.0, 125.8, 128.8, 131.1, 142.4, 159.8, 20 161.7 (Ar-C); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, MeOD) δ: 0.601 (2P), -0.550; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.68 MHz, MeOD) δ: -116.02; HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for  $C_{19}H_{27}FN_3O_{18}P_3$  [M]<sup>+</sup>, 697.0486, found 697.0490.

#### f) 4-(3-Fluorophenyl)-triazolophostin (10f)

By using 180 mg (0.11 mmol) of 9f, 78 mg (93%) of 25 triazolophostin **10f** was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) 3.61-3.62 (m, 1H, H-2"), 3.69-3.84 (m, 5H, H-5", H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-6<sub>B</sub>", H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>'), 4.13-4.18 (m, 1H, H-4"), 4.32-4.33 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.53-4.55 (m, 1H, H-3"), 4.69 (t, J = 5.0Hz, 1H, H-3'), 5.18 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-1"), 5.27 (t, J = 3.8 Hz,  $_{30}$  1H, H-2'), 6.32 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 7.01 (dt, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.0, 14.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.51 (d, J = 9.7Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.57 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.58 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, MeOD) δ: 61.9 (C-5'), 62.0 (C-6"), 72.5 (C-2", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.4 (C-5", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 75.2 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P 35 coupled), 76.6 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 79.3 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 80.0 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 85.4 (C-4'), 92.7 (C-1', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 100.5 (C-1"), 113.4 (C-5), 116.2, 121.8, 122.6, 132.0, 133.9, 148.0 (Ar-C); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, MeOD) δ: -0.755, -0.131, 0.279; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.68 MHz, MeOD) δ: -114.722; HRMS (ESI) mass 40 calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>27</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup>, 697.0486, found 697.0472.

#### g) 4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-triazolophostin (10g)

By using 200 mg (0.13 mmol) of **9g**, 88 mg (95%) of triazolophostin **10g** was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) 3.60-3.83 (m, 6H, H-2", H-5", H-6<sub>A</sub>", 45 H-6<sub>B</sub>", H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>'), 4.13 (bs, 1H, H-4"), 4.31 (bs, 1H, H-4"), 4.54 (bs, 1H, H-3"), 4.68 (bs, 1H, H-3"), 5.17 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 5.25 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 6.30 (bs, 1H, H-1'), 7.09 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.76 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 8.50 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, MeOD) δ: 61.9 (C-5'), 62.1 (C-6"), 72.6 (C-2", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.5 (C-5", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 74.9 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 76.7 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 79.1 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 79.7 (C-3", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 85.4 (C-4'), 92.8 (C-1', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 100.5 (C-1"), 116.8 (C-5), 116.9, 121.2, 128.0, 128.8, 128.9, 148.2, 163.3, 165.2 (Ar-*C*); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, MeOD) δ: -0.602, -0.269, 55 0.473; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.68 MHz, MeOD) δ: -115.406; HRMS (ESI)

mass calcd for  $C_{19}H_{27}FN_3O_{18}P_3$  [M]<sup>+</sup>, 697.0486, found 697.0481.

#### h) 4-(3,5-diflurorophenyl)-triazolophostin (10h)

By using 200 mg (0.13 mmol) of **9h**, 79 mg (84%) of triazolophostin **10h** was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid:  $^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) 3.59-3.79 (m, 6H, H-2", H-5", H- $^{6}$ 6,", H- $^{6}$ 8," H- $^{5}$ 5,' H- $^{5}$ 8,' H- $^{5}$ 9, 4.10 (bs, 1H, H- $^{4}$ 7), 4.30 (bs, 1H, H- $^{4}$ 7), 4.52 (bs, 1H, H- $^{3}$ 7), 4.66 (bs, 1H, H- $^{3}$ 7), 5.15 (bs, 1H, H- $^{1}$ 7), 5.22 (bs, 1H, H- $^{2}$ 7), 6.28 (s, 1H, H- $^{1}$ 7), 6.83 (t,  $^{2}$  = 8.9 Hz, 1H, Ar- $^{2}$ 7), 7.36 (d,  $^{2}$  = 6.2 Hz, 2H, Ar- $^{2}$ 7), 8.60 (s, 1H, H- $^{5}$ 5);  $^{13}$ 7 Coupled), 7.36 (C- $^{5}$ 7),  $^{31}$ P coupled), 74.7 (C- $^{47}$ 7,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 75.6 (C- $^{57}$ 7,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 74.7 (C- $^{47}$ 7,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 79.0 (C- $^{27}$ 7,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 79.5 (C- $^{37}$ 7,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 85.4 (C- $^{47}$ 9, 92.9 (C- $^{17}$ 7,  $^{31}$ P coupled), 100.6 (C- $^{17}$ 7), 104.2 (C- $^{5}$ 5), 109.3, 122.4, 135.2, 146.9, 163.9, 165.9 (Ar- $^{2}$ 6);  $^{31}$ P NMR (202.4 MHz, MeOD)  $^{5}$ 6: -0.650, 0.47, 0.81;  $^{19}$ F NMR (470.68 MHz, MeOD)  $^{5}$ 6: -111.10; HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for  $^{2}$ 9 $^{1}$ 9 $^{1}$ 26 $^{2}$ 2 $^{1}$ 30 $^{1}$ 8 $^{1}$ 9, [M] $^{1}$ 7, 715.0392, found 715.0402.

#### i) 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-triazolophostin (10i)

By using 195 mg (0.12 mmol) of **9i**, 87 mg (96%) of triazolophostin **10i** was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 3.65-3.76 (m, 9H, H-2", H-5", H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-6<sub>B</sub>", H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>' and 1 x OC*H*<sub>3</sub>), 4.00-4.02 (m, 1H, H-4"), 4.33 (bs, 1H, H-4'), 4.40-4.41 (m, 1H, H-3"), 4.54 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 5.08-5.15 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.16 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 6.27 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-*H*), 8.25 (s, 1H, H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 55.6 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 60.3 (C-5'), 61.0 (C-6"), 70.7 (C-2", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 71.7 (C-5", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.0 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.9 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 76.5 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 98.2 (C-1"), 114.8 (C-5), 120.6, 122.1, 127.5, 147.4, 159.5 (Ar-*C*); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, MeOD) δ: -0.55, 0.66 (2P); HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>P<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup>, 709.0686, found 709.0691.

#### j) 4-(2-formylphenyl)-triazolophostin (10j)

<sup>90</sup> By using 190 mg (0.12 mmol) of **9j**, 78 mg (88%) of triazolophostin **10j** was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 3.75-3.87 (m, 6H, H-2", H-5", H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-6<sub>B</sub>", H-5<sub>A</sub>' and H-5<sub>B</sub>'), 4.09-4.11 (m, 1H, H-4"), 4.44 (bs, 1H, H-4'), 4.50 (bs, 1H, H-3"), 4.65-4.68 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.21 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 5.25 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 6.40-6.42 (m, 1H, H-1'), 7.34 (bs, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.46-7.47 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.60-7.61 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.77 (s, 1H, H-5 (triazole)), 8.36-8.48 (m, 1H, C*H*(OH)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 60.3 (C-5'), 60.9 (C-6"), 71.5 (C-2", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 72.0 (C-5", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 72.2 (C-4", <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 73.4 (C-3', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 76.1 (C-2', <sup>31</sup>P coupled), 97.8 (C-1"), 121.2 (C-5), 125.8, 126.3, 129.0, 129.3, 130.9, 136.5, 146.7, 147.4, (Ar-*C*); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (202.4 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ: 3.51, 3.63, 4.32; HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>19</sub>P<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup>, 707.0530, found 707.0549.

#### 105 k) 4-(6-methoxynaphth-2-yl)-triazolophostin (10k)

By using 90 mg (0.057 mmol) of **9k**, 42 mg (97%) of triazolophostin **10k** was obtained as a white hygroscopic solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD)  $\delta$ : 3.06 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H-2"), 3.69-3.85 (m, 8H, H-5", H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>', H-6<sub>A</sub>", H-6<sub>B</sub>" and OC*H*<sub>3</sub>),

4.13-4.15 (m, 1H, H-4"), 4.32-4.33 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.53-4.55 (m, 1H, H-3"), 4.70 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 5.17 (bs, 1H, H-1"), 5.28 (bs, 1H, H-2'), 6.32 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 7.05 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.15 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.69 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.73 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.79 (s, 1H, H-5), 8.13 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.57 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, MeOD) δ: 55.9 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 61.9 (C-5'), 62.1 (C-6"), 72.6 (C-2", 31P coupled), 73.5 (C-5", 31P coupled), 75.0 (C-4", 31P coupled), 77.0 (C-3', 31P coupled), 79.3 (C-2', 31P coupled), 79.5 (C-3", 31P coupled), 85.4 (C-4'), 92.9 (C-1', 31P coupled), 100.7 (C-1"), 106.9 (C-5), 120.4, 121.2, 125.2, 125.5, 126.6, 128.7, 130.4, 130.7, 136.1, 149.4, 159.7 (Ar-C); 31P NMR (202.4 MHz, MeOD) δ: 3.605 (2P), 4.393; HRMS (ESI) mass calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>19</sub>P<sub>3</sub> [M-H]<sup>+</sup>, 758.0765, found 758.0785.

#### 15 8. Biological Assay:

 ${\rm Ca}^{2^+}$  release from the intracellular stores of saponin-permeabilized DT40 cells expressing only type 1 IP<sub>3</sub>R was measured using a low-affinity Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicator (Mag-fluo-4) as described previously.<sup>21</sup> Briefly, the ER was loaded with Ca<sup>2+</sup> to steady state for ~120 s by addition of 1.5 mM MgATP in medium containing *p*-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP) to inhibit mitochondria. IP<sub>3</sub>, AdA or triazolophostins were added with cyclopiazonic acid (10  $\mu$ M) to inhibit further Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake. Ca<sup>2+</sup> release was assessed 10–20 s after addition of the analog, and 25 expressed as a fraction of the ATP-dependent Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake.

#### Acknowledgements

for a Senior Research Fellowship (SRF) during this work. K. M. S. thanks Department of Science and Technology (DST) India for Swarnajayanti Fellowship, Ramanujan Fellowship and for financial support. C. W. T. and V. K. were supported by the Wellcome Trust, Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, and the German Academic Exchange Service (V. K.).

A. M. V. thanks the University Grants Commission (UGC) India

#### 35 Notes and rererences

- <sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram, KERALA-695016, India. Fax: (+) 914712597427, E-mail: kms@iisertvm.ac.in Homepage: www.iisertvm.ac.in/scientists/kana-m-sureshan/personal-40 information.html
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Tennis Court Road, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1PD, U.K.
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures and spectral data for all new compounds, crystal data (CCDC 45 1022279) for disaccharide **4** and details of the docking study. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
  - a) M. J. Berridge, Cell Signalling Biology 2012, DOI:10.1042/csb0001002;
     b) M. J. Berridge, P. Lipp, M. D. Bootman, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol., 2000, 1, 11;
     c) A. H. Guse, Curr. Mol. Med., 2004, 4, 239.
  - 2 a) M. Nebel, A. P. Schwoerer, D. Warszta, C. C. Siebrands, A. –C. Limbrock, J. M. Swarbrick, R. Fliegert, K. Weber, S. Bruhn, M. Hohenegger, A. Geisler, L. Herich, S. Schlegel, L. Carrier, T. Eschenhagen, B. V. L. Potter, H. Ehmke and A. H. Guse, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, 288, 16017; b) A. H. Guse, *Sci. Signal.*, 2012, 5, 18; c)

- A. Galione and A. White, *Trends Cell Biol.*, 1994, **4**, 431; c) S. -Y. Hua, T. Tokimasa, S. Takasawa, S. Furuya, M. Nohmi, H. Okamoto and K. Kuba, *Neuron*, 1994, **12**, 1073; d) H. C. Lee, *Physiol. Rev.*, 1997, **77**, 1133; e) V. Lukyanenko, I. Györke, T. F. Wiesner and S. Györke, *Circ. Res.*, 2001, **89**, 614; f) H. C. Lee, *Curr. Biol.*, 2003, **13**, R186; g) S. Patel, G. C. Churchill and A. Galione, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 2001, **26**, 482; h) M. -D. Seo, S. Velamakanni, N. Ishiyama, P. B. Stathopulos, A. M. Rossi, S. A. Khan, P. Dale, C. Li, J. B. Ames, M. Ikura and C. W. Taylor, *Nature*, 2012, **483**, 108.
- 3 a) C. W. Taylor and S. C. Tovey, Cold Spring Harb. Persp. Biol. 2010, 2, a004010; b) C. W. Taylor, A. A. Genazzani and S. A. Morris, Cell Calcium 1999, 26, 237.
- a) K. Mikoshiba, Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 1997, 7, 339; b) C. W. Taylor and S. C. Tovey, Cold Spring Harb Persp Biol, 2010, 2, a004010; c) H. Saleem, S. C. Tovey, T. Rahman, A. M. Riley, B. V. L. Potter and C. W. Taylor, PLOS one 2013, 8, e54877; d) J. K. Foskett, C. White, K. H. Cheung and D. O. Mak, Physiol Rev, 2007, 87, 593; e) A. M. Rossi, A. M. Reley, S. C. Tovey, T. Rahman, O. Dellis, E. J. A. Taylor, V. G. Veresov, B. V. L. Potter and C. W. Taylor, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2009, 5, 631.
- 5 T. Wundenberg and G. W. Mayr, Biol. Chem., 2012, 393, 979.
- a) B. V. L. Potter and D. Lampe, Angew. Chem. Int. Edn. Eng. 1995, 34, 1933; Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 2085; b) R. F. Irvine and M. J. Schell, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2001, 2, 327; c) M. D. Best, H. Zhang and G. D. Prestwich, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 1403.
- a) S. Capolicchio, H. Wang, D. T. Thakor, S. B. Shears and H, J. Jessen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201404398; b) M. Wu, L. S. Chong, S. Capolicchio, H. J. Jessen, A. C. Resnick and D. Fiedler, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7192; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 7320; c) S. Capolicchio, D. T. Thakor, A. Linden and H. J. Jessen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6912; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 7050; d) A. M. Marmelstein, L. M. Yates, J. H. Conway and D. Fiedler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 108; e) M. Wu, B. E. Dul, A. J. Trevisan and D. Fiedler, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 405.
- M. Takahashi, T. Kagasaki T. Hosoya and S. Takahashi, J. Antibiot. 1993, 46, 1643.
- a) A. M. Rossi, A. M. Riley, B. V. L. Potter and C. W. Taylor, Curr. Top. Membr. 2010, 66, 209; b) H. Saleem, S. C. Tovey, A. M. Riley, B. V. L. Potter and C. W. Taylor, PLOS One 2013, 8, e58027; c) C. N. Borissow, S. J. Black, M. Paul, S. C. Tovey, S. G. Dedos, C. W. Taylor and B. V. L. Potter, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 245; d) J. S. Marchant, M. D. Beecroft, A. M. Riley, D. J. Jenkins, R. D. Marwood, C. W. Taylor and B. V. L. Potter, Biochemistry 1997, 36, 12780; e) S. Shuto, K. Tatani, Y. Ueno and A. Matsuda, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8815; f) R. D. Marwood. A. M. Riley, V. Correa, C. W. Taylor and B. V. L. Potter, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 453; g) H. Hotoda, K. Murayama, S. Miyamoto, Y. Iwata, M. Takahashi, Y. Kawase, K. Tanzawa and M. Kaneko, Biochemistry 1999, 38, 9234; h) M. Kashiwayanagi, K. Tatani, S. Shuto and A. Matsuda, Eur. J. Neurosci. 2000, 12, 606; i) S. Shuto, M. Terauchi, Y. Yahiro, H. Abe, S. Ichikawa and A. Matsuda, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4151; j) F. Chretien, N. Moitessier, F. Roussel, J. -P. Mauger and Y. Chapleur, Curr. Org. Chem. 2000, 4, 513; k) H. Abe, S. Shuto and A. Matsuda, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 4315; 1) T. Mochizuki, Y. Kondo, H. Abe, S. C. Tovey, S. G. Dedos, C. W. Taylor, M. Paul, B. V. L. Potter, A. Matsuda and S. Shuto, J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 5750.
- I. Bosanac, J.-R. Alattia, T. K. Mal, J. Chan, S. Talarico, F. K. Tong, K. I. Tong, F. Yoshikawa, T. Furuichi, M. Iwai, T. Michikawa, K. Mikoshiba and M. Ikura, *Nature* 2002, 420, 696.
- 11 V. Correa, A. M. Riley, S. Shuto, G. Horne, E. P. Nerou, R. D. Marwood, B. V. L. Potter and C. W. Taylor, *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2001, 59, 1206.
- 12 a) K. M. Sureshan, A. M. Riley, M. P. Thomas, S. C. Tovey, C. W. Taylor and B. V. L. Potter, *J. Med. Chem.* 2012, **55**, 1706; b) K. M. Sureshan, M. Trusselle, S. C. Tovey, C. W. Taylor and B. V. L. Potter, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, **73**, 1682; c) A. M. Rossi, K. M.

- Sureshan, A. M. Riley, B. V. L. Potter and C. W. Taylor, *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2010, **161**, 1070.
- 13 a) R. D. Marwood, S. Shuto, D. J. Jenkins and Potter, B. V. L. Chem. Commun. 2000, 219; b) T. Mochizuki, Y. Kondo, H. Abe, C. W. Taylor, B. V. L. Potter, A. Matsuda and S. Shuto, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1455
- 14 S. Shuto, G. Horne, R. D. Marwood and B. V. L. Potter, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2001, **7**, 4937.
- a) A. A. Koshkin, J. Fensholdt, H. M. Pfundheller and C. Lomholt, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8504; b) M. J. Robins, R. Zou, Z. Guo and S. F. Wnuk, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9207; c) M. Zhong and M. J. Robins, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 9327.
- 16 Y. Hayakawa and M. Kataoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12395.
- 17 a) P. M. E. Gramlich, S. Warncke, J. Gierlich, and T. Carell, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3442; b) G. A. Burley, J. Gierlich, M. R. Mofid, H. Nir, S. Tal, Y. Eichen and T. Carell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1398; c) J. Gierlich, G. A. Burley, P. M. E. Gramlich, D. M. Hammond and T. Carell, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 3639; d) F. Seela, V. R. Sirivolu, Chem. Biodiversity 2006, 3, 509; e) B. M. Swarts and Z. Guo, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 2342.
- 18 a) R. D. Marwood, D. J. Jenkins, V. Correa, C. W. Taylor and B. V. L. Potter, *J. Med. Chem.* 2000, 43, 4278; b) M. de Kort, V Correa, A. R. P. M. Valentijn, G. A. van der Marel, B. V. L. Potter, C. W. Taylor and J. H. van Boom, *J. Med. Chem.* 2000, 43, 3295.
- 19 A. Vidyasagar, A. Pathigoolla and K. M. Sureshan, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 5443.
- 20 A. Stimac and J. Kobe, Carbohydr. Res, 1992, 232, 359.
- a) J. E. Moses and A. D. Moorhouse, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007, **36**, 1249;
  b) H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2001, **40**, 2004.
- 22 J. M. Swarbrick, R. Graeff, C. Garnham, M. P. Thomas, A. Galione and Barry V. L. Potter, *Chem. Commun.* 2014, 50, 2458.
- 23 H. Saleem, S. C. Tovey, T. F. Molinski and C. W. Taylor, Br. J. Pharmacol. 2014, 171, 3298.
- 24 H. J. Rosenberg, A. M. Riley, A. J. Laude, C. W. Taylor and B. V. L. Potter, J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 4860.
- 25 K. M. Sureshan, M. Trusselle, S. C. Tovey, C. W. Taylor and B. V. L. Potter, Chem. Commun. 2006, 2015.
- 26 M. de Kort, A. D. Regenbogen, H. S. Overkleeft, R. A. John Challiss, Y. Iwata, S. Miyamoto, G. A. van der Marela and J. H. van Boom, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 5915.