# Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

## **ARTICLE TYPE**

### Novel ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas for asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins

Xiaochen Ren<sup>*a*</sup>, Chunyan He<sup>*a*</sup>, Yingle Feng<sup>*a*</sup>, Yonghai Chai<sup>\**a*</sup>, Wei Yao<sup>*b*</sup>, Weiping Chen<sup>*b*</sup>, Shengyong 5 Zhang<sup>\**a,b*</sup>

### Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

An efficient method was developed to synthesize the ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas bearing multiple hydrogen-bonding donors. Asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins catalyzed by these novel bifunctional catalysts afford the Michael

<sup>10</sup> adducts in high yield and moderate to excellent enantioselectivities. Multiple hydrogen-bondings play an important role in accelerating reaction.

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

#### Introduction

- 15
  - Since the pioneering work of Jacobsen<sup>1</sup>, Schreiner<sup>2</sup> and Takemoto<sup>3</sup>, bifunctional amine-thiourea, which incorporates both Lewis/Brøsted acid and base functionalities into a chiral scaffold within the same molecule, has become one of the most versatile
- <sup>20</sup> catalysts and been successfully used in numerous enantioselective reactions.<sup>4,5</sup> The key to the success of these catalysts is their ability to activate both nucleophilic and electrophilic substrates independently and simultaneously by the discrete functionalities, amine and thiourea, within the same catalyst, and to control their <sup>25</sup> encounter in a well-defined chiral environment.
- Ferrocene is a "privileged framework" for the construction of effective chiral ligands in metal catalysis due to its specific and unique geometries (adequate rigidity, steric bulkiness and planar chirality), electronic (redox) properties, easy accessibility and
- <sup>30</sup> derivatization, as well as stability,<sup>6</sup> Surprisingly, ferrocene-based organocatalysts are rare<sup>7,8</sup> excepting for the use of the planar chiral DMAP<sup>9</sup> and some chiral ferrocene-based phosphines as nucleophilic organocatalysts.<sup>10</sup> Recently, we reported the first

 <sup>40</sup> Department of Medical Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China; E-mail: syzhang@fmmu.edu.cn

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See 45 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/



Figure 1 The structures of chiral amine-thioureas in literatures

<sup>50</sup> ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thiourea ( $R_C S_{Fc}$ )-1 (Figure 1)<sup>11</sup> and demonstrated that, in accord with metal catalysis, ferrocene could be an excellent scaffold for chiral organocatalysts. ( $R_C S_{Fc}$ )-1 is highly enantioselective in the Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins, giving the <sup>55</sup> enantioselectivity of up to 96% ee, but the catalytic activity is somewhat low.

In 2008, Wang elaborately designed the amine-thioureas **2** and **3** with multiple hydrogen-bonding donors (Figure 1)<sup>12</sup> and <sup>60</sup> proved that multiple hydrogen-bonding donors play a key role in accelerating reactions, improving yields and enantioselectivities. Since then, many amine-thioureas bearing multiple hydrogen bonding donors have been developed and proven to be powerful catalysts for a wide range of asymmetric transformations.<sup>13</sup> Previously, we developed a series of cinchona alkaloids-based bifunctional tertiary amine-thioureas **4** bearing multiple hydrogen-bonding donors (Figure 1) for highly enantioselective aza-Henry reactions, Michael additions of acetylacetone and acetone to nitroolefins and diethyl malonate to chalcones<sup>14</sup>. In <sup>70</sup> order to improve the catalytic activity, we designed the ferrocene-

<sup>35</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Applied Surface and Colloid Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering shannxi Normal University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710062, P.R. China, Tel: 029-81530783; E-mail: ychai@snnu.edu.cn

55

11

based bifunctional amine-thioureas **5** which bear multiple hydrogen-bonding donors (Figure 2). We envisioned that, similar to the bifunctional amine-thioureas based on other backbones, multiple hydrogen-bonding donors in the ferrocene-based 5 bifunctional amine-thioureas could also facilitate forming more

hydrogen bonds and thereby might enhance their catalytic activity.



Figure 2 Ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas

#### **Results and discussion**

10

The ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas **5** are readily synthesized from diamine  $(R_C, S_{Fc})$ -**6**<sup>11</sup> (Scheme 1). Thus, reaction 15 of  $(R_C, S_{Fc})$ -**6** with CS<sub>2</sub> and DCC gave isothiocyanate  $(R_C, S_{Fc})$ -**7** in 64% yield.  $(R_C, S_{Fc})$ -**7** was then treated with chiral  $\beta$ -amino alcohol to afford the corresponding amine-thioureas **5** in 60-83% yields.



Reagents and conditions: a) CS<sub>2</sub>, DCC, THF, 0-15 °C, 3 h; then rt 16 h; b)  $\beta$ -amino alcohol, THF, rt, 2-4 h.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas <sup>25</sup>

Initially, the performance of **5** was evaluated in the model Michael addition of *trans-\beta*-nitrostyrene **8a** with acetylacetone **9** in the presence of 10 mol% of catalyst at room temperature, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Indeed, introduction of the <sup>30</sup> multiple hydrogen bonding donors in the ferrocene-based

- bifunctional amine-thioureas significantly enhanced the catalytic activity. The Michael addition of **8a** with **9** was almost completed after 24 h at room temperature using 10 mol% of catalyst **5** (Table 1, entries 1-10), while the prototype catalyst ( $R_{C},S_{Fc}$ )-**1**
- <sup>35</sup> only gave 33% yield in the same conditions. The configurations of ferrocenyl moiety in the catalysts play the decisive role in the Michael addition, giving (*R*)-**10a** with ( $R_C S_{Fc}$ )-catalysts (entries 1-10). It was showed that all the catalysts have good activity. While the configurations of ferrocene scaffold are the main

<sup>40</sup> governing factors, the configuration of  $\beta$ -amino alcohol moiety is also important. ( $R_C S_{F,C} R$ )-5(a,c,e,g) are the catalysts with the

matched configurations and  $(R_C S_{F\sigma}S)$ -**5(b,d,f,h**) are the unmatched (entries 1-8). Surprisingly,  $(R_C S_{F\sigma}S)$ -**5j**, derived from (*S*)-prolinol, is the most enantioselective catalyst so far prepared <sup>45</sup> (entries 9-10). The results strongly suggested the determined factors of the catalyst may be the specific combination of prolinol and ferrocene frameworks as well as the matched configurations of prolinol and ferrocene moieties, which makes the dimethylamino group and hydrogen-bonding donors (CSNH and <sup>50</sup> OH) in a suitable orientation, although the multiple hydrogen-

bonding donors indeed play a key role in accelerating the reaction.

**Table 1** Asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to trans- $\beta$ -nitrostyrene catalyzed by amine-thiourea **5**.<sup>*a*</sup>

Ph {	$NO_2 + $	5 (10 mol%)	Ph NO <sub>2</sub>
Entry	catalyst	Yield $(\%)^b$	ee $(\%)^{c,d}$
1	5a	93	41
2	5b	87	5
3	5c	88	27
4	5d	90	3
5	5e	82	25
6	5f	62	4
7	5g	87	28
8	5h	81	7
9	5i	87	30
10	5j	90	80

<sup>*a*</sup> Unless otherwise specified, the reactions were performed with 0.1 mmol of **8a** and 0.2 mmol of **9** in 1.0 mL of toluene for 24 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. <sup>*d*</sup> Absolute configuration was assigned by comparing the optical rotation value with that reported in the literature.

 $(R_C, S_{Fc})-1$ 

33

80

After screening of catalysts, we proceeded to investigate the influence of different experimental parameters temperature and solvent in the asymmetric Michael addition reaction using the 60 most effective catalyst  $(R_C, S_{FC}, S)$ -5j and the results are summarized in Tables 2. The choice of solvent plays a critical role in the reaction. Reactions in polar solvents afforded the desired Michael adduct (R)-10a with excellent yields (86-96%) and moderate enantioselectivities (34-67% ee) (Table 2, entries 1-65 4). Interestingly, more polar solvents, such as CH<sub>3</sub>CN and MeOH, increased remarkably the catalytic activity, but gave recemate (entries 5-6). Like most Michael additions of acetylacetone to  $\beta$ nitrostyrene catalyzed by bifunctional amine-thioureas,11,15 toluene is the best solvent in the reaction (entry 9). Notably, 70 nonpolar cyclohexane improved significantly activity but decreased the enantioselectivity (entry 7). Lowering the reaction temperature from 25 °C to 0 °C slightly improved the enantioselectivity, and further reducing the temperature had no beneficial on enantioselectivity (entries 9-13). 75

35

	NO2	0 0	( <i>R<sub>C</sub>,S<sub>Fc</sub>,S</i> ) <b>-5j</b> (10 mol%)		
	Ph' +	9	solvent		NO <sub>2</sub>
<u>u</u>		Ū.		10a	
Entry	Solvent	Temp (°C)	Time	Yield	ee.
			(h)	$(\%)^b$	$(\%)^{c}$
1	TBME	25	36	96	45
2	THF	25	36	91	59
3	Et <sub>2</sub> O	25	16	89	67
4	$CH_2Cl_2$	25	16	86	34
5	MeOH	25	3	92	0
6	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	25	3	93	0
7	Cyclohexane	25	3	87	36
8	Xylene	25	16	76	76
9	Toluene	25	16	89	82
10	Toluene	10	16	90	83
11	Toluene	0	24	92	86
12	Toluene	-10	48	88	85
13	Toluene	-40	60	82	86
<sup>a</sup> The read	ctions were performe	d with 0.1 m	mol of <b>8a</b> and	0.2 mmol of 9	in 1.0 mL of

**Table 2** Optimization of reaction conditions for asymmetric Michael 5 addition acetylacetone to trans- $\beta$ -nitrostyrene catalyzed by amine-thiourea ( $R_{C}, S_{FC}, S)$ -5j.<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> The reactions were performed with 0.1 mmol of **8a** and 0.2 mmol of **9** in 1.0 mL of solvent. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

With the establishment of a set of acceptable reaction <sup>10</sup> conditions: **9** (0.20 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and **8a** (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 1.0 mL of toluene with 10 mol % of ( $R_C S_{FC} S$ )-**5j** at 0 °C for 24 h (Table 2, enty 11), the scope of substrates was explored. As shown in Table 3, all the *trans*- $\beta$ -nitrostyrenes bearing either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing <sup>15</sup> substituents on the aromatic ring gave the desired Michael adducts in good to excellent yields and enantioselectivities. Normally, higher enantioselectivities were obtained with the *trans*- $\beta$ -nitrostyrenes having a substituent in the 2-position of the phenylring (Table 3, enties 2-6), and the 2-chloro derivative gave the highest comptions having (07% as entry 2).

<sup>20</sup> the highest enantioselectivity (97% ee, entry 2). Importantly, lowering of the catalyst loading to 5 mol%, the enantioselectivities( entries 18-20) were still excellent and kept almost untouched

25

30

Table 3	Asymmetric	Michael	addition	of	acetylacetone	to	trans- $\beta$
<sup>40</sup> nitrostyrenes catalyzed by amine-thiourea $(R_C, S_{FC}, S)$ - <b>5</b> $\mathbf{j}$ . <sup><i>a</i></sup>							

	$Ar \xrightarrow{NO_2} + \underbrace{9}{9}$	( <i>R<sub>C</sub>,S<sub>FC</sub>,S</i> )- <b>5j</b> (10 mol%) Toluene 0 °C, 24 h		2
Entry	Ar	Product	Yield $(\%)^{b}$	ee $(\%)^{c,d}$
1	C.H. (8a)	10a	91	86
2	$2-Cl-C_{2}H_{4}(8h)$	104	89	96
3	$2-\text{Cr-C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}(80)$	100 10c	93	92
4	$2 \text{ - E-C}_{-}\text{H}_{+}(8d)$	10d	97	88
5	2.4-Cl <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>2</sub> (8e)	10e	96	93
6	$2, -MeO-C_{e}H_{4}$ (8f)	10f	94	92
7	$3-NO_2-C_6H_4$ (8g)	10g	96	94
8	3-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (8h)	10h	90	86
9	$3-Br-C_6H_4$ (8i)	10i	96	68
10	$3-\text{MeO-C}_6\text{H}_4$ (8i)	10i	95	82
11	$4-Cl-C_6H_4$ (8k)	; 10k	85	74
12	$4-Br-C_6H_4$ (81)	101	92	80
13	$4-F-C_6H_4$ (8m)	10m	97	71
14	$4-Me-C_{6}H_{4}(8n)$	10n	91	67
15	$4-MeO-C_6H_4$ (80)	100	93	77
16	2-furyl (8p)	10p	98	86
17	2-thiophyl (8q)	10q	98	78
$18^{e}$	$2-Cl-C_6H_4$ (8b)	10b	79	96
$19^e$	$2-Br-C_{6}H_{4}(8c)$	10c	88	91
$20^{e}$	2,4-Cl <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> (8e)	10e	90	91

<sup>*a*</sup> Unless otherwise specified, the reactions conditions: **9** (0.20 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and **8** (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 1.0 mL of toluene with 10 mol % of ( $R_{\rm C}S_{F\sigma}S$ )-**5j** at 0 °C for 24 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. <sup>*d*</sup> Absolute configuration was assigned by comparing the optical rotation value with those reported in the literature. <sup>*c*</sup> Reacted using 5 mol % of ( $R_{\rm C}S_{F\sigma}S$ )-**5j** at 0 °C for 40 h.

#### Conclusions

In summary, a series of ferrocene-based bifunctional aminethioureas bearing multiple hydrogen-bonding donors were <sup>45</sup> synthesized starting from the ferrocene-based isothiocyanate. Incorporation of the multiple hydrogen-bonding donors into the ferrocene amine indeed significantly enhanced the activity of the Michael addition reactions between acetylacetone with *trans-β*nitrostyrenes. The spatial arrangement of  $\beta$ -amino alcohol <sup>50</sup> moieties has significant influence on the enatioselectivity. The determined factors of the catalyst may be the specific combination of prolinol and ferrocene frameworks as well as the matched configurations of prolinol and ferrocene moieties, which makes the dimethylamino group and hydrogen-bonding donors <sup>55</sup> (CSNH and OH) in a suitable orientation. Further work in our lab

Page 4 of 7

is ongoing to disclose the structure-reactivity-enantioselectivity relationship in order to develop more efficient organocatalysts based on ferrocene templates.

#### 5 Experimental

#### **General methods**

- All the reactions were carried out in oven dried reaction flasks <sup>10</sup> under argon atmosphere and also solvents and reagents were transferred by oven-dried syringes to ambient temperature. TLC was performed on Merck silica gel aluminum sheets using UV as visualizing agent. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Columns were packed as slurry of silica gel in hexane <sup>15</sup> and ethyl acetate solvent mixture. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra
- were performed on an Avance (400 M Hz or 600 M Hz) spectrometer. The following abbreviations were used to explain multiplicities: s (singlet), d (doublet), dd (double doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet). Enantiomeric excess (ee) values of chiral
- <sup>20</sup> adducts were recorded by a High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system using a chiracel AD-H column (0.46 cm $\emptyset \times 10$  cm). Mass spectra were noted by eletrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). All reactions were performed under argon atmosphere with freshly distilled and
- <sup>25</sup> dried solvents and solvents were distilled using standard procedures. Unless otherwise noted, reagents were obtained from Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, and TCI, and used without further purification.

#### <sup>30</sup> General procedure for synthesis of $(R_C, S_{Fc})$ -7

In a 25 mL rond-bottomed flask compound ( $R_C S_{Fc}$ )-6 272 mg (1 mmol) was taken then dissolved in freshly distilled anhydrous THF (1.5 mL). The mixture was cooled to -10 °C, and CS<sub>2</sub> (363 <sup>35</sup> ul, 6 mmol) and DCC (194 mg, 1 mmol/mL in THF) was slowly added. The mixture was slowly recovered to the room

- temperature within 2-3 h and stirred overnight at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and the residue was <sup>40</sup> purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300-400 mesh, PE/EA/Et<sub>3</sub>N = 1 : 1 : 0.05) to give yellow solid ( $R_C S_{Fc}$ )-7 200
- rE/EA/E<sub>3</sub>N = 1 : 1 : 0.03) to give yenow solid ( $K_G.S_{Fc}$ )-7 :200 mg, yield 64%; mp: 74.9-75.6 °C; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -166 (c = 0.1 , CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.45 (s, 1H), 4.24 (s, 5H), 4.08 (s, 2H), 3.80 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (g, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (g, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (g, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (g, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (g, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (g, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (g, J =
- <sup>45</sup> 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3) δ 133.04, 85.32, 84.80, 70.57, 65.52, 65.17, 64.52, 55.77, 40.79, 15.90 ppm.

#### General procedure for syntheses of compound 5a-5j

- <sup>50</sup> The  $\beta$ -amino alcohol (0.23 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL of THF. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and ( $R_C, S_{FC}$ )-7 (72 mg, 0.23 mmol, in THF 0.12 mmol/mL) was slowly added. The mixture was slowly recovered to the room temperature with stirring within 2-3 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After
- ss completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300-400 mesh, PE/EA/Et<sub>3</sub>N = 2 : 1: 0.05 to PE/EA/Et<sub>3</sub>N = 1 : 3 : 0.05) to give the catalysts **5a-5h**.

<sup>60</sup> **Catalyst 5a**. Yellow solid; yield 83%; mp: 136.8-137.9 °C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -342$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.52 (s, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (m, 1H), 5.80 (s, 1H), 4.26 (s, 1H), 4.19–4.14 (m, 2H), 4.14–4.11 (m, 1H), 4.11–3.81 (m, 8H), 2.13 (s, 6H), 1.25 (d, <sup>65</sup> J = 6.7 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 180.63, 138.81, 128.93, 127.98, 127.18, 92.94, 85.07, 69.85, 65.74, 64.64, 64.12, 60.17, 59.29, 56.93, 39.16, 7.42 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. For C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 452.1459; found 452.1469.

- **Catalyst 5b.** Yellow solid; yield 81%; mp: 137.1-137.9 °C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -530$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.81 (s, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.43 (m, 4H), 7.34 (m, 1H), 5.91 (s, 1H), 4.23 (m, 6H), 4.18 (m, 2H), 4.11–4.05 (m, 3H), 3.99 (m, 1H), 2.07 (s, 6H), 1.23 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151)
- <sup>75</sup> MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 179.88, 138.70, 128.99, 127.90, 127.03, 93.80, 84.37, 69.88, 66.12, 64.40, 63.79, 60.35, 57.42, 39.45, 38.66, 6.98 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. For  $C_{23}H_{29}FeN_3OS$  (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 452.1459; found 452.1457.
- **Catalyst 5c.** Yellow solid; yield 80%; mp: 147.0-147.5 °C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -346$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.30 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 5H), 4.79 (s, 1H), 4.17 (m, 6H), 4.15 (m, 1H), 4.02–3.98 (m, 2H), 3.79 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (dd, J = 85 13.3, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (dd, J = 13.3, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (s, 6H),
- <sup>88</sup> 15.5, 5.9 HZ, 1H), 2.95 (dd, J = 15.5, 9.0 HZ, 1H), 2.15 (8, 6H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 180.23, 137.84, 129.38, 128.52, 126.45, 92.70, 85.49, 69.98, 64.64, 64.19, 62.69, 60.07, 57.91, 56.77, 39.26, 36.64, 7.59 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. For C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 466.1615; found <sup>90</sup> 466.1612.

**Catalyst 5d.** Yellow solid; yield 80%; mp: 146.0-146.8 °C;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -606$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.74 (s, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.43–7.29 (m, 5H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 4.15 <sup>95</sup> (m, 6H), 4.11–4.01 (m, 1H), 3.95 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.2 Hz, 2H), 3.13 (dt, J = 13.6, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  179.15, 137.62, 129.37, 128.74, 126.79, 93.38, 83.85, 69.76, 64.32, 63.85, 63.61, 60.41, <sup>100</sup> 57.56, 57.44, 39.11, 36.97, 7.00 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. For C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 466.1615; found 466.1613.

**Catalyst 5e**. yellow solid; yield 79%; mp: 147.0-147.6 °C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -164$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : <sup>105</sup> 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.51 (s, 1H), 4.24 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.11 (m, 6H), 4.01 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 1H), 3.78 (dd, J = 11.1, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, J = 11.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (s, 6H), 1.85–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.23 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3)  $\delta$  182.65, 167.61, <sup>110</sup> 130.92, 128.85, 70.08, 64.97, 64.66, 63.47, 62.53, 56.10, 39.39, 29.69, 19.59, 13.73 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. For C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>31</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 418.1615; found 418.1616.

**Catalyst 5f.** Yellow pulp; yield 60%;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -372$  (c = 0.1, <sup>115</sup> CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.91 (s, 1H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 4.65 (s, 1H), 4.24 (s, 5H), 4.19 (s, 1H), 4.01 (m, 4H), 3.81

85

(dd, J = 10.9, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (s, 6H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 3H), 1.10 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.72, 132.32, 130.92, 128.84, 69.90, 65.56, 64.37, 62.41, 57.66, 39.09, 30.57, 29.88,  $\delta$  19.67, 13.72 ppm; ESI-MS Calcd. For C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>31</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 418.1615; found 418.1614.

**Catalyst 5g**. Yellow pulp; yield 67%;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -44$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.06 (s, 10 1H), 4.38 (m, 2H), 4.20 (s, 5H), 4.15 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.84 (m, 2H), 3.57–3.47 (m, 2H), 2.16 (s, 6H), 1.34 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (s, 9H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 184.77, 90.78, 88.97, 70.24, 65.31, 64.93, 62.21, 41.99, 39.76, 34.00, 27.01, 23.38, 14.09, 11.11 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. For 15 C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>33</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 432.1772; found 432.1767.

**Catalyst 5h.** Yellow pulp; yield 70%;  $[\alpha]_{0}^{25} = -236$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 9.12 (s, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 4.90 (s, 1H), 4.24 (s, 5H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 4.01 (s, 1H), 3.89 (d, <sup>20</sup> J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (t, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (s, 6H), 1.27 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (s, 9H). ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 128.85, 130.09, 128.85, 69.91, 65.31, 64.42, 42.01, 39.24, 34.20, 30.16, 27.54, 23.38, 14.09, 11.12 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. For C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>33</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> <sup>25</sup> 432.1772; found 432.1769.

**Catalyst 5i.** Yellow solid; yield 73%; mp: 86.9-87.4 °C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -690 \ (c = 0.1, CHCl_3); {}^{1}H \ NMR \ (600 \ MHz, CDCl_3) \ \delta:$ 9.67 (s, 1H), 5.62 (s, 1H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.38 (m, <sup>30</sup> 1H), 4.18 (s, 5H), 3.97 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 2H), 3.84–3.77 (m, 2H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 2.19–2.16 (s, 6H), 2.11–2.05 (m, 3H), 1.87 (m, 1H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; {}^{13}C \ NMR \ (151 \ MHz, CDCl\_3) \ \delta 177.62, 142.51, 99.19, 79.30, 70.09, 62.89, 62.69, 59.03, 46.08, 38.87, 29.68, 28.18, 24.21, 14.83, 11.01 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. <sup>35</sup> For C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>29</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 416.1459; found 416.1454.

**Catalyst 5j.** Yellow solid; yield 77%; mp: 106.1-107.0 °C;  $[\alpha]_{p}^{25} = -840$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 9.76 (s, 1H), 5.47 (s, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.33–4.30 (m, 1H), 4.17 (s, 40 5H), 3.95 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (m, 2H), 3.46 (s, 2H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 2.09 (m, 3H), 1.86–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.25 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  177.37, 131.36, 129.06, 79.44, 70.06, 2.70, 62.58, 59.11, 38.90, 30.58, 29.70, 28.16, 24.28, 19.18, 13.72 ppm; ESI-MS: Calcd. For C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>29</sub>FeN<sub>3</sub>OS (M 45 + H)<sup>+</sup> 416.1459; found 416.1455.

### General procedure for the Michael reaction of nitrostyrenes 105 with acetylacetone

- <sup>50</sup> A mixture of the  $\beta$ -nitrostyrenes (0.125 mmol), catalyst **5j** (0.01 mmol) in anhydrous PhMe (1 mL) was stireed at 0 °C for 5-10 min. Acetylacetone (27 ul, 0.25 mmol) was then added and stirred at the same temperature for 24 h. After the reaction was completed, excess PhMe was removed under reduced pressure
- ss and the residue was purified by column chromatomatography on silica gel (300-400 mesh, PE/EA = 3 : 1 to PE/EA = 1 : 1) to afford the product **10a-10q**.

#### (*R*)-3-(2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl)-pentane-2,4-dione (10a).

<sup>60</sup> White solid; yield 91%, 86% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.31 (s, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.67–4.61 (m, 2H), 4.37 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (dd, J = 10.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis(Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane: ethanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = <sup>65</sup> 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 15.3 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 20.5 min [major, (*R*)].

(*R*)-3-[1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione (10b). White solid; yield 89%, 96% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.49–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.15 (m, <sup>70</sup> 1H), 4.86 (dd, J = 12.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (m, 1H), 4.68 (dd, J = 12.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis(Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane: 2-propanol = 98 : 2, flow rate = 0.7mL/min, wavelength = 210 n m): t<sub>R</sub> = 28.7 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 31.6 min [major, (*R*)].

(*R*)-3-[1-(2-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione (10c). White solid; yield 93%, 92% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.10 (m, 2H), 4.85 (dd, J = 12.1, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.78–4.73 (m, <sup>80</sup> 1H), 4.68 (dd, J = 12.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 98 : 2, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 30.7 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 33.7 min [major, (*R*)].

(*R*)-3-[1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione (10d). White solid; yield 97%, 88% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.36–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.19 (dd, J = 11.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.12 (m, 1H), 7.11–7.05 (m, 1H), 4.79–4.72 (m, 1H), 4.69– <sup>90</sup> 4.62 (m, 1H), 4.50 (m, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 15.8 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 18.2 min [major, (*R*)].

#### 95 (R)-3-[1-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-

**dione** (10e). colorless oil; yield 96%, 93% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.48 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (dd, J = 12.3, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (m, 2H), 4.57 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H) <sup>100</sup> ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  201.61, 200.54, 135.12, 134.52, 132.09, 130.51, 130.20, 128.01, 75.98, 68.83, 28.58 ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 15.1 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 18.9 min [major, (*R*)].

#### (R)-3-[1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-

**dione** (10f). White solid; yield 94%; 92% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.12–7.01 (m, 1H), 6.94–6.84 (m, 2H), 4.78 (dd, J = 12.1, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.64–4.55 (m, 2H), 4.49 <sup>110</sup> (ddd, J = 10.9, 7.8, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 98 : 2, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 37.5 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 40.8 min [major, (*R*)].

### (R)-3-[1-(3-Nitrophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione (10g). White solid; yield 96%, 94% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.11 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J = 14.1, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (dd, J = 12.9, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.35–4.30 (m, 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.98 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak 5 AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 32.8 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> =34.5 min [major, (*R*)].

#### (R)-3-[1-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione

<sup>10</sup> (10h). White solid; yield 90%, 86% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.29–7.26 (m, 3H), 7.08 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.35 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.20 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 93 : 7, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, 15 wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 19.4 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> =22.0 min [major, (*R*)].

#### (R)-3-[1-(2-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione

(10i). White solid; yield 96%; 68% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, 20 CDCl3)  $\delta$ : 7.45 (dd, J = 7.9, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.68–4.62 (m, 2H), 4.36 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (ddd, J = 10.8, 7.6, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 93 : 7, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, 25 wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 25.1 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> =27.8 min [major, (*R*)].

#### (R)-3-[1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-

dione (10j). colorless oil; yield 95%; 82% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 <sup>30</sup> MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.26 (m, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (s, 1H), 4.72–4.59 (m, 2H), 4.39 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.27–4.18 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.99 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 <sup>35</sup> nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 19.6 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 26.2 min [major, (*R*)].

(*R*)-3-[1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione (10k). White solid; yield 85%; 74% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.34 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 40 4.70–4.59 (m, 2H), 4.35 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.29–4.21 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 85 : 15, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 15.7 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 41.5 min [major, (*R*)].

45

[major, (R)].

(*R*)-3-[1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione
(10l). White solid; yield 92%; 80% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.67–4.60 (m, 2H), 4.35 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.27–4.19 (m, 1H), 50 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 85 : 15, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 15.1 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 49.2 min

(*R*)-3-[1-(2-Fluorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione
(10m). White solid; yield 97%; 71% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.23–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.08–7.01 (m, 2H), 4.67–4.59 (m, 2H), 4.36 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.30–4.22 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H),

1.99 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, 60 Hexane : 2-propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm):  $t_R$  = 20.8 min [minor, (*S*)],  $t_R$  = 41.6 min [major, (*R*)].

(*R*)-3-[1-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione <sup>65</sup> (10n). White solid; yield 91%; 67% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.15 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.62 (m, 2H), 4.38 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.19 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 70 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 15.4 min [minor, (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 25.5 min [major, (*R*)].

#### (*R*)-3-[1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4dione (100). White solid; yield 93%; 77% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 <sup>75</sup> MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) $\delta$ : 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.61 (m, 2H), 4.35 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.27–4.17 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 23.2 min [minor, <sup>80</sup> (*S*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 36.4 min [major, (*R*)].

(*S*)-3-[1-furyl-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2, 4-dione (10p). colorless oil; yield 98%; 86% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.38 (s, 1H), 6.32 (dd, J = 3.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 85 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.39–4.33 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm): t<sub>R</sub> = 16.9 min [minor, (*R*)], t<sub>R</sub> = 20.1 min [major, (*S*)].

(*S*)-**3-[1-thienyl-2-nitroethyl]-pentane-2,4-dione** (**10q**). light yellow color solid; yield 98%, 78% ee; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.24 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.00–6.92 (m, 1H), 6.89 (d, J

= 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 4.55 (dt, J = 11.6, 6.0 Hz, <sup>95</sup> 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H) ppm; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, Hexane : 2-propanol = 90 : 10, flow rate = 0.7 mL/min, wavelength = 210 nm):  $t_R$  = 20.2 min [minor, (*R*)],  $t_R$  = 27.8 min [major, (*S*)].

#### Acknowledgements

<sup>100</sup> This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (21172262, 21272271), Special Founding of High-level Talents of Shaanxi Normal University (999565).

#### References

105

- 1 M. S. Sigman and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 4901.
- 2 P. R. Schreiner and A. Wittkopp, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 217.
- 3 a) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi and Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003,
  110 125, 12672; b) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Furukawa, X. Xu and Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 119; c) H. Miyabe and Y. Takemoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2008, 81, 785; d) Y. Takemoto, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2010, 58, 593.

For recent reviews on bifunctional amine-thiourea, see: a) Z. Zhang
 and P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1187; b) S. J.
 Connon, *Synlett.*, 2009, **3**, 354; c) W.-Y. Siau and J. Wang, *Catal.*

Sci. Technol., 2011, 1, 1298; d) S. Narayanaperumal, D. G. Rivera,
R. C. Silva and M. W. Paixao, *ChemCatChem.*, 2013, 5, 2756; e) O.
V. Serdyuk, C. M. Heckel and S. B. Tsogoeva, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, 11, 7051; f) G. Jakab and P. R. Schreiner in Comprehensive Enantioselective Organocatalysis, P. I. Dalko Ed., Wiley-VCH,

- Enantioselective Organocatalysis, P. I. Daiko Ed., whey-vCh, Weinheim, 2013, 315-342.
   Eas some of the most recent averages of higheritanel aming.
- 5 For some of the most recent examples of bifunctional aminethioureas in organocatalysis, see: a) M. P. Lalonde, M. A. McGowan, N. S. Rajapaksa and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135,
- 1891; b) Y. Fukata, K. Asano and S. Matsubara, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
   2013, 135, 12160; c) Z. Jin, J. Xu, S. Yang, B.-A. Song and Y. R.
   Chi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 12354; d) B. Qiao, Y. An, Q.
   Liu, W. Yang, H. Liu, J. Shen, L. Yan and Z. Jiang, Org. Lett., 2013,
   15, 2358; e) C. B. Tripathi and S. Mukherjee, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,
- 2013, 52, 8450; f) R. L. Farmer, and K. A. Scheidt, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013,
   4, 3304; g) R. Rohlmann, C.-G. Daniliuc and O. G. Mancheño, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 11665; h) T. Tite, M. Sabbah, V. Levacher and J.-F. Brière, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 11569; i) J. Li,
   T. Du, G. Zhang and Y. Peng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 1330; j) R.
- Miyaji, K. Asano and S. Matsubara, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 3658; k) S.
   Meninno, G. Croce and A. Lattanzi, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 3436; l) B.
   Qiao, Y. An, Q. Liu, W. Yang, H. Liu, J. Shen, L. Yan and Z. Jiang,
   Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2358; m) S. Rajkumar, K. Shankland, J. M.
   Goodman and A. J. A. Cobb, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 1386; n) Q. Guo
- and J. C.-G. Zhao, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 508; o) D. Bastida, Y. Liu, X. Tian, E. Escudero-Adan and P. Melchiorre, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 220;
   p) A. Quintavalla, F. Lanza, E. Montroni, M. Lombardo and C. Trombini, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 78, 12049; q) S. Perera, D. Sinha, N. K. Rana, V. Trieu-Do and J. C.-G. Zhao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 78,
- <sup>30</sup> 10947; r) W.-Y. Han, J.-Q. Zhao, Z.-J. Wu, X.-M. Zhang and W.-C. Yuan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 10541; s) Z. Mao, A. Lin, Y. Shi, H. Mao, W. Li, Y. Cheng and C. Zhu, J. Org. Chem., 2013, **78**, 10233; t) B.-D. Cui, W.-Y. Han, Z.-J. Wu, X.-M. Zhang and W.-C. Yuan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 8833; u) A. Noole, K. Ilmarinen, J. I. Jarving,
- <sup>35</sup> M. Lopp and T. Kanger, J. Org. Chem., 2013, **78**, 8117; v) B. Zhou, Y. Yang, J. Shi, Z. Luo and Y. Li, J. Org. Chem., 2013, **78**, 2897; w) Z.-X. Jia, Y.-C. Luo, X.N. Cheng, P.-F. Xu and Y.-C. Gu, J. Org. Chem., 2013, **78**, 6488; x) W.-T. Meng, Y. Zheng, J. Nie, H.-Y. Xiong and J.-A. Ma, J. Org. Chem., 2013, **78**, 559; y) Y. Gao and D.-
- M. Du, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.*, 2013, 24, 1312; z) J. Guang and J. C.-G. Zhao, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, 54, 5703. i) S. Sakamoto, N. Kazumi, Y. Kobayashi, C. Tsukano, Y. Takemoto, *Org., Lett.* 2014, 16, 4758; ii) Y. Fukata, T. Okamura, K. Asano, S. Matsubara, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, 16, 2184; iii) Z. -L. Guo, J.-H. Xue, L.-N. Fu, S.-E.
   Zhang, Q.-X. Guo, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, 16, 6472.
- 6 Selected reviews on ferrocene-based chiral ligands, see: a) T. Hayashi in *Ferrocenes*, A. Togni and T. Hayashi Ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1995, pp105–142. b) R. G. Arrayás, J. Adrio and J. C. Carretero, *Angew. Chem., Int. Engl.*, 2006, **45**, 7674; c) H.-C. Blaser,
- W. Chen, F. Camponovo and A. Togni, In *Ferrocenes: Ligands, Materials and Biomolecules,* P. Stepnicka Ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008, pp205-236; d) W. Chen and H.-U. Blaser, In *Phosphorus Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis,* A. Börner, Ed., 2008, pp359-392; e) *Chiral Ferrocenes in Asymmetric Catalysis.* L. Dai and X. Hou Ed., Wiley-VCH, 2010.
- J. Stemper, K. Isaac, V. Duret, P. Retailleau, A. Voituriez, J.-F. Betzer and A. Marinetti, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6084: b) J. Stemper, K. Isaac, J. Pastor, G. Frison, P. Retailleau, A. Voituriez, J.-F. Betzer and A. Marinetti, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 3613;
   c) E. Conde, D. Bello, A. de Cózar, M. Sánchez, M. A. Vázquezb
- and F. P. Cossío, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 1486.
- 8 Recently, ferrocenyl pyrrolidines, O-ferrocenoyl hydroxyprolines and ferrocenyl proline amides have been used as organocatalysts in enamine catalysis. However, in these works, the ferrocenyl moiety
- should be regarded as a mere substituent rather than a backbone because the most important feature of ferrocene scaffold, planar chirality, is not involved. a) D. Petruzziello, M. Stenta, A. Mazzanti and P. G. Cozzi, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 7696; b) L. A. Al-Momani and A. Lataifeh, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2013, **394**, 176; c) A. Patti and
- 70 S. Pedotti, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 624.

- 9 Selected examples for the use of the planar chiral DMAP as nucleophilic organocatalysts a) G. C. Fu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, 37, 542; c) S. Y. Lee, J. M. Murphy, A. Ukai and G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15149, and references therein; c) B. Hu, M. Meng, Z. Wang, W. Du, J. S. Fossey, X. Hu and W.-P. Deng, *J. Am. Chem.*
- Z. Wang, W. Du, J. S. Fossey, X. Hu and W.-P. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 17041.
  Selected examples for the use of the chiral ferrocene-based
- Phosphines as nucleophilic organocatalysts. a) A. Bonet, H. Gulyás and E. Fernández, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 5130; b) A. A. Ibrahim, P.-H. Wei, G. D. Harzmann and N. J. Kerrigan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 7901; c) A. Voituriez, A. Panossian, N. Fleury-
- Brégeot, P. Retailleau and A. Marinetti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14030; d) A. Voituriez, A. Panossian, N. Fleury-Brégeot, P. Retailleau and A. Marinetti, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2009, 351, 1968; e)
  N. Pinto, M. Neel, A. Panossian, P. Retailleau, G. Frison, A.
- N. Pinto, M. Neel, A. Panossian, P. Retailleau, G. Frison, A. Voituriez and A. Marinetti, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, 16, 1033; f) A. Voituriez, N. Pinto, M. Neel, P. Retailleau and A. Marinetti, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010,16, 12541; g) N. Pinto, P. Retailleau, A. Voituriez and A. Marinetti, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 1015; h) S. I. Pereira, J.
- Adrio, A. M. S. Silva and J. C. Carretero, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 10175; i) S. Takizawa, E. Rémond, F. A. Arteaga, Y. Yoshida, V. Sridharan, J. Bayardon, S. Jugé and H. Sasai, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 8392; j) X. Zhang, P. Ma, D. Zhang, Y. Lei, S. Zhang, R. Jiang, W. Chen, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 2423.
- 95 11 W. Yao, M. Chen, X. Liu, R. Jiang, S. Zhang, W. Chen. Catal. Sci. Technol., 2014, 4, 1726.
- (a) C.-J. Wang, Z.-H. Zhang, X.-Q. Dong, X.-J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, **12**, 1431. (b) Z.-H Zhang, X.-Q Dong, D. Chen, C.-J Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 8780. (c) C.-J. Wang, X.-Q. Dong, Z.-H.
   Zhang, Z.-Y. Xue, H.-L. Teng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 8606.
- a) M.-X. Zhao, W.-H. Tang, M.-X. Chen, D.-K. Wei, T.-L. Dai, M. 13 Shi, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 2011 6078. b)N. Probst, U. Madarasz, A. Valkonen, I. Papai, K. Rissanen, A. Neuvonen, P. M Pihko, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 8495. c) N.-K. Li, Z.-M. Liu, X.-F. Huang, J.-X. Zhang, X. Chen, Y. Wang, X.-W. Wang, RSC Adv., 105 2013, 3, 9154 . d) W. Li, W. Wu, F. Yu, H. Huang, X. Liang, J. Ye, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9(7), 2505. e) M. S. Manna, V. Kumar, S. Mukherjee, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 5193. f) M.-X. Zhao, H. Zhou, W.-H. Tang, W.-S. Qu, M. Shi, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 1277. g) Y. Ogura, M. Akakura, A. Sakakura, K. Ishihara, Angew. 110 Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 8299 . h) T.-Z. Li, X.-B. Wang, F. Sha, X.-Y. Wu, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 4332. i) X. Fang, X.-Q. Dong, Y.-Y. Liu, C.-J. Wang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2013, 54, 4509. j) L. Yao, K. Liu, H.-Y. Tao, G.-F. Qiu, X. Zhou, C.-J. Wang, Chem. Commun., 115 2013, 49, 6078. k) X. Fang, J. Li, C.-J. Wang, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 3448. 1) H. J. Lee, S. B. Woo, D. Y. Kim, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 3374. m) W. Hou, B. Zheng, J. Chen, Y. Peng, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 2378. n) M. S. Manna, S. Mukherjee, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 1627. o) S. W. Youn, H. Sub Song, J. H. Park, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 1028. p) Zh.-W. Sun, F.-Z. Peng, Z.-Q. Li, L.-W. Zou, S.-X. Zhang, X. Li, 120 Z.-H. Shao, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77(8), 4103.
- 14 a) X. Shi, W. He, H. Li, X. Zhang, Sh. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52(25)**, 3204. b) H. Li, X. Zhang, X. Shi, N. Ji, W. He, S. Zhang, B. Zhang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, **354(11-12)**, 2264. c) Y. Liu, X. Wang, X. Wang, W. He, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 3163
- a) J. M. Andres, R. Manzano and R. Pedrosa, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, 14, 5116; b) P. Gao, C. Tang, C. Wang, Y. Wu and Z. Zhou, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 27, 4563; c) X. Jiang, L. Lai, X. Liu, R. Wang, L.
  <sup>130</sup> Wu, G. Zhang, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Jiang, L. Lai and R. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 5562; d) X.-W. Pu,F.-Z. Peng, H.-B. Zhang, Z.-H. Shao, *Tetrahedron.*, 2010, 66, 3655; e) D. P. Gavin and J. C. Stephens, *Arkivoc.*, 2011, 9, 407; f) Z.-W. Ma, Y.-X. Liu, X. Gao, L.-J. Huo, J.-C. Tao, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2012, 23, 443; g) V.
  <sup>135</sup> Bloch, M. Gandelman, G. Nisnevich and G. Suez, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 2012, 2118.