### Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/nanoscale

1 2

## Carbon coating may expedite the fracture of carbon-coated silicon core-shell nanoparticles during lithiation<sup>†</sup>

Weiqun Li,<sup>a</sup> Ke Cao,<sup>b</sup> Hongtao Wang,<sup>c</sup> Jiabin Liu,<sup>b</sup> Limin Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Haimin Yao<sup>\*,a</sup>

4

it.

3

5 Previous studies on silicon (Si) indicate the lithiation-induced fracture of crystalline Si 6 nanoparticles can be greatly inhibited if their diameter is reduced to below a critical 7 length scale around 150 nm. In this paper, *in situ* lithiation of individual carbon-coated Si 8 nanoparticles (Si@C NPs) is conducted and shows that Si@C NPs will fracture during 9 lithiation even though the diameter is much smaller than 150 nm, implying a deleterious 10 effect of the carbon coating on the integrity of the Si@C NPs during lithiation. To shed 11 light on this effect, finite element analysis is carried out and reveals that the carbon 12 coating, if fractured during lithiation, will induce cracks terminating at the C/Si interface. 13 Such cracks, upon further lithiation, can immediately propagate into the Si core due to the elevated driving force caused by material inhomogeneity between the coating and core. 14 To prevent the fracture of the carbon coating so as to protect the Si core, a design 15 16 guideline is proposed by controlling the ratio between the diameter of Si core and the 17 thickness of carbon coating. The results in this paper should be of practical value to the

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Applied Mechanics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P.R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, P.R. China, E-mail: mmhyao@polyu.edu.hk; Fax: +852-2365 4703; Tel: +852-2766 7817

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P.R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: details of finite element simulations including analogous treatment of lithiation-induced expansion, calculation of energy release rate and effect of material's inhomogeneity on

18 design and application of Si-based core-shell structured anode materials for lithium ion19 batteries.

### 20 1. Introduction

21 Recently, techniques for storage of electrical energy have been developing rapidly, 22 leading to a wide application in many fields such as portable electronic devices, electric 23 vehicles and so on. Lithium ion battery (LIB), due to its high energy density, is recognized as the one of the most promising devices for electrical energy storage.<sup>1</sup> 24 25 Among diverse electrode materials for LIB, silicon (Si) stands out for its high capacity (~ 4200 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>), abundant reserves (~28% of the total mass of the earth's crust) and low 26 cost.<sup>2</sup> However, the wide application of Si as the electrode material of LIB is still 27 28 impeded by its Achilles' heel: the large volume expansion (~400%) during lithiation and 29 delithiation which will cause the fracture and pulverization of the electrode materials and 30 delamination of the interface between the electrode material and periphery structures 31 such as current collector, resulting in poor conductivity and rate capability, short cycle life and even overall failure of the battery.<sup>3,4</sup> Although nanoscale Si particles have been 32 shown to have the capability to resist fracture during lithiation,<sup>5,6</sup> the large volume 33 34 change of Si electrode would cause repeated fracture and formation of the solid-35 electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer on the Si electrode surface during lithiation and 36 delithiation. This process not only leads to the thickening of the SEI layer therefore 37 lowers the electronic and ionic conductivity and coulombic efficiency, but also consumes the Si and electrolyte and finally results in the dry-out of the cell.<sup>7</sup> To solve these 38 39 problems, coating such as carbon has been imposed on the Si to constrain its volume expansion and also prevent the direct contact between the Si and the electrolyte.<sup>8-14</sup> As a 40

41 consequence, the electronic and ionic conductivity is improved and a much more stable 42 SEI film forms on the carbon coating surface as long as the structural integrity of coating 43 is maintained. Otherwise, if the carbon coating is cracked during electrochemical cycling, 44 the coating will lose its efficacy as new SEI layer will form and thicken on the electrode 45 surfaces. Therefore, maintaining the structural integrity of carbon coating on the Si 46 electrode materials is significantly important for the application of the carbon-coated Si 47 nanoparticles (Si@C NPs) as the electrode materials for LIB.

48 In this paper, Si@C NPs were synthesized, on which in situ lithiation tests were conducted using transmission electron microscopy (TEM).<sup>15,16</sup> The Si@C NPs were 49 50 found to fracture even though the diameter of the crystalline Si core is much lower than 51 150 nm, which has been deemed as the maximum size of bare crystalline Si NPs capable of maintaining integrity during lithiation.<sup>5</sup> Finite element simulation<sup>17-19</sup> was used to 52 53 account for such deleterious effect of carbon coating on the structural integrity of Si@C 54 NPs, giving rise to a guideline for designing Si@C NPs with high persistence to 55 structural integrity during lithiation.

56 **2. Experimental** 

### 57 2.1. Synthesis of carbon-coated silicon nanoparticles

Si@C NPs were synthesized by using sucrose as the carbon source.<sup>20</sup> 100 mg Si NPs and 600 mg sucrose were firstly dissolved in a solution made of 0.6 mL hydrochloric acid (37 wt%), 6 mL deionized water and 8 mL absolute ethanol (99.9 wt%) under stirring and then ultrasonicated for 120 mins. Subsequently, the solution was stirred at 70  $\degree$  for 3 hours and then dried in vacuum oven at 120  $\degree$  for 8 hours. The as-prepared Si-sucrose product was loaded in an alumina crucible after manual grinding. Then, the

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript

rage + or ro

crucible was moved into the furnace and heated at 800 °C for 2 hours and cooled down to
room temperature under the protection of nitrogen atmosphere.

66 2.2. In situ TEM observation

67 The *in situ* lithiation test was conducted on the Si@C NPs in a TEM (JEM-2100, JEOL) equipped with a Nanofactory® STM-TEM holder. Fig. 1a shows the schematic of 68 the nanoscale battery setup of the solid electrochemical cell for lithiation,<sup>4-6</sup> in which the 69 Si@C NPs are attached onto the flat-ended gold rod (~200 µm in diameter) with silver 70 71 paste. To improve the electronic conductivity, a small amount of carbon nanotubes (CNT) 72 were added into the Si@C NPs. The counter electrode was a piezo-driven tungsten probe 73 covered with Li metals. The surface layer of the Li metal was unavoidably oxidized to 74 Li<sub>2</sub>O during the handling in air and the resulting Li<sub>2</sub>O layer served as solid electrolyte. 75 The intensity of electron beam was minimized to avoid the decomposition of Li<sub>2</sub>O layer, 76 prevent the direct contact between the Li metal and the Si@C NPs and therefore 77 guarantee the electrochemical reaction rather than the chemical reaction between Li and Si@C NPs.<sup>5</sup> With the aid of TEM, a nano contact was made between the Li metal and the 78 79 Si@C NPs and a larger external bias (-3 V), in comparison with that in the actual LIB, was then applied to initiate the lithiation, leading to the  $Li^+$  transportation through the 80 81  $Li_2O$  layer, the insertion of  $Li^+$  into the carbon coating and then into the Si core, and the phase transition from Si to lithiated Si.<sup>21</sup> The electrochemical reaction and the diffusion 82 of  $Li^+$  here are similar to those in the actual LIB.<sup>4,6</sup> Therefore, the nano battery can 83 84 effectively simulate the real reaction and structural change in the actual LIB.

### 85 **3. Results and discussion**

### 86 *3.1. Experimental observation*

87 Figs. 1b and c show the TEM images of the synthesized Si@C NPs under different 88 magnifications. It can be seen that the Si@C NPs exhibit inhomogeneity in both the 89 diameter of the Si core and the thickness of the carbon coating. In situ measurements 90 indicate that the diameter of the Si core ranges from 10 to 150 nm while the carbon 91 coating is about 5 to 15 nm in thickness. Moreover, the high resolution TEM image of a 92 typical Si@C NP in Fig. 1d indicates that the carbon coating is amorphous, while the Si 93 core is crystalline with high crystallinity confirmed by the selected area electron 94 diffraction (SAED) pattern (Fig. 1e).



Fig. 1 (a) Schematic of the setup of *in situ* lithiation test; (b, c) TEM images of the
Si@C NPs under different magnifications; (d) high resolution TEM image showing
the amorphous carbon coating and the crystalline Si core as confirmed by the SAED
pattern in (e).

Figs. 2a-f show the snapshots of the lithiation process of a Si@C NP with diameter of Si core about 60 nm and thickness of carbon coating around 9 nm. It was reported that bare crystalline Si NP with diameter smaller than 150 nm will not fracture during lithiation.<sup>5</sup> Unexpectedly, our *in situ* lithiation test showed that Si@C NP tends to fracture during lithiation even though the diameter of the Si core is only 60 nm. That is, carbon coating induces, rather than inhibits, the fracture of Si core and therefore plays a deleterious role in maintaining the integrity of Si@C NP during lithiation.



107



We repeated the *in situ* lithiation test on many Si@C NPs and found that lithiation
may not necessarily lead to the fracture of Si@C NPs. Fig. 3 summarizes the events with

111 and without fracture on a D-t plane for all the tested Si@C NPs, where D refers to the 112 diameter of the Si core and t stands for the thickness of the carbon coating. It can be seen 113 that whether the Si@C NPs fracture or not after lithiation depends not only on the 114 diameter of the Si core but also on the thickness of the carbon coating. A general trend 115 implied by Fig. 3 is that Si@C NPs with larger D and smaller t tend to fracture during 116 lithiation. Further examination on Fig. 3 indicates that the ratio between D and t may play 117 an essential role in dominating the occurrence of fracture because fracture happens in all 118 Si@C NPs with D/t > 7.0 while no fracture is observed in any Si@C NP with D/t < 3.5. 119 For the Si@C NPs with intermediate D/t ranging from 3.5 to 7.0, the occurrence of 120 fracture seems random. It can be inferred that there exists a critical ratio of D/t, below 121 which fracture of Si@C NP can be prohibited. A rough estimation of such critical ratio of 122 D/t obtained from Fig. 3 is a number between 3.5 and 7.0.



123

Fig. 3 Dependence of fracture of Si@C NP on the diameter of Si core and thicknessof carbon coating.

# Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript

### Nanoscale

### 127 *3.2. Finite element analysis*

128 In order to shed light on the fracture mechanism of Si@C NPs during lithiation 129 especially for those with diameters much smaller than 150 nm, finite element analysis 130 (FEA) was carried out. Fig. 4a shows the FEA model we applied, in which a Si@C NP 131 (2-D) consists of a Si core with diameter of 100 nm and carbon coating with thickness of 132 5 nm. We firstly examined the stress developed in the carbon coating during lithiation. By following the approach of analogy we developed in our earlier work,<sup>19</sup> the lithiation-133 134 induced volume expansion can be equivalently treated as thermal expansion caused by a prescribed increment of the temperature field simulating the increase of Li<sup>+</sup> concentration. 135 136 We neglected the lithiation of the carbon coating and assumed that the lithiation of Si 137 core starts from its external periphery and advances symmetrically towards its center. The frontier of the lithiated region was assumed sharp.<sup>22-25</sup> That is, the core was either pristine 138 139 (unlithiated) Si or fully lithiated Si (Li<sub>x</sub>Si). We assumed that the carbon coating was pure 140 elastic while the Si and Li<sub>x</sub>Si were elastic-perfectly-plastic with mechanical properties 141 taken as the values shown in Table 1. The Si and carbon coating were assumed perfectly 142 bonded and no delamination was allowed on their interface. This assumption was based 143 on our observation that no delamination happened between lithiated Si and carbon during 144 in situ lithiation experiments. Such strong interfacial bonding between lithiated Si and carbon has also been reported in literature<sup>11</sup> and may be due to the interpenetration of Si 145 146 and carbon near their interface as shown in Fig. 1d.

 Table 1. Typical mechanical properties taken in FEA simulations

Materials	Young's modulus	Poisson's ratio	Yield strength
	(GPa)		(GPa)
Carbon	$300^{26}$	$0.25^{26}$	-
Si	169 <sup>27</sup>	$0.26^{27}$	$7^{28}$
Li <sub>x</sub> Si	$3.5^{29}$	$0.23^{30}$	$0.5^{31,32}$



Fig. 4 (a) Schematic of FEA model of Si@C NP for evaluating the stress developed in the carbon coating during lithiation; (b) Variation of the maximum tensile stress  $(\sigma_{max})$  in the carbon coating of Si@C NP with lithiation.  $\sigma_{max}^{FL}$  here denotes the maximum tensile stress in the carbon coating at the full lithiation moment.

To simulate the process of lithiation, the Si core was discretized into many concentric thin annuluses. Prescribed incremental "temperature" was applied on these thin annuluses one after another in the order from outside to inside, modelling the inward diffusion of the Li<sup>+</sup>. The calculated results were found dependent on the number of the discrete annuluses or the annulus thickness, but convergent results can be obtained if the

158 thickness of the discrete annuluses is made sufficiently thin (Fig. S1<sup>+</sup>). Fig. 4b shows the evolution of the calculated maximum tensile stress,  $\sigma_{\max}$  , in the carbon coating, which is 159 160 along the hoop direction and is located near the carbon/Li<sub>x</sub>Si interface, with the degree of 161 lithiation. Here, degree of lithiation is defined as the fraction of the Si that has been lithiated. That is, 100% lithiation means fully lithiated. Fig. 4b indicates that the  $\sigma_{max}$  in 162 163 the carbon coating increases with the degree of lithiation and almost saturates at ~25 GPa 164 after 80% lithiation. According to the maximum-tensile-stress criterion of fracture for brittle materials,<sup>33</sup> the carbon coating will fracture if the  $\sigma_{\max}$  reaches its fracture strength 165  $\sigma_{
m f}$  . For amorphous carbon, it was reported that  $\sigma_{
m f}$  ranges from 7 to 30 GPa. $^{26,34}$  If we 166 take 7 GPa as a conservative estimation, Fig. 4b implies that fracture may take place 167 168 around 6% lithiation.



172

### Nanoscale

Fig. 5 (a) Meshed finite element model for calculating the energy release rate (*J*integral) near the tip of a crack terminating at the carbon/lithiated Si interface; (b)

Calculated energy release rate (J-integral) as a function of the degree of lithiation.

173 Once the carbon coating is fractured, a crack terminating at the carbon/Li<sub>x</sub>Si interface forms. As the bonding between the lithiated Si and carbon is normally strong,<sup>11</sup> 174 175 the formed crack is prone to penetrating into the lithiated Si rather than deflecting along 176 the carbon/lithiated Si interface. To estimate the subsequent evolution of the crack, driving force for crack propagation was examined by calculating the *J*-integral<sup>35</sup> around 177 178 the crack tip, as shown in Fig. 5a. The calculated evolution of the J-integral with the lithiation is shown in Fig. 5b. Based on the preceding analysis of the  $\sigma_{\max}$  developed in 179 180 the carbon coating, the cracking of carbon coating happens at the moment of 6% 181 lithiation. Therefore, substantial J-integral is only observed after 6% lithiation. It can be 182 seen that the J-integral increases rapidly with lithiation. It reaches up to more than 10 J  $m^{-2}$  at ~30% lithiation. That is, the *J*-integral can reach a considerable level upon a small 183 184 amount of further lithiation after the cracking of the carbon coating. Recalling that the 185 critical energy release rate for crack growth, or the fracture toughness, for lithiated Si is about 10 J m<sup>-2</sup>,  $^{36,37}$  the J-integral near the crack tip can easily exceed this value, leading to 186 187 the penetration of crack into the core and therefore the fracture of the whole Si@C NP. 188 Such high energy release rate is mainly due to the material's inhomogeneity along the 189 direction of crack extension, namely the dissimilarity between the carbon coating and lithiated Si in mechanical properties.<sup>38</sup> Earlier analysis in fracture mechanics indicated 190 191 that material inhomogeneity could lead to either a shielding or anti-shielding effect on the 192 crack propagation, depending on the directionality of inhomogeneity. If the crack tends to 193 propagate from stiff/hard material to compliant/soft material, the material inhomogeneity

194 would facilitate the crack propagation. On the contrary, if the crack tends to propagate 195 from compliant/soft material to stiff/hard material, the material inhomogeneity would prohibit the crack propagation.<sup>38</sup> Clearly, our case belongs to the former scenario because 196 197 the carbon coating is stiffer and harder compared to the lithiated Si. A comparison was 198 made between the energy release rates developed in a cracked Si@C NP and a cracked 199 uncoated Si NP during lithiation. The results (Fig. S2<sup>†</sup>) show that the energy release rate 200 in the uncoated Si NP, which has no material inhomogeneity, is much lower than that in a 201 Si@C NP shown above even though the NPs and the preexisting cracks have the same 202 size. Therefore, the carbon coating on the Si@C NP, if fractured, will expedite the 203 fracture of the whole Si@C NP.

It should be pointed out that in our simulation the calculated *J*-integrals were found to have certain path-dependence. At given lithiation level, the calculated *J*-integral decreases with the distance of the path from the crack tip (Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>). When the path is sufficient far away from the crack tip, convergent *J*-integral is obtained, which is taken as the energy release rate shown in Fig. 5b.

209

210 3.3. Optimal design of Si@C NP

Above analysis indicates that the crack in the carbon coating, once developed, is quite easy to propagate into the Si core. To prevent the Si@C NP from fracturing, the integrity of the carbon coating should be secured, which can be achieved by optimizing the geometries of the Si@C NP. By adopting different diameters of the Si core (*D*) and thicknesses of the carbon coating (*t*) in the FEA model shown in Fig. 4a, we conducted a parametric study on the effects of *D* and *t* on the  $\sigma_{\text{max}}^{\text{FL}}$  developed in the carbon coating

217 during lithiation. Here  $\sigma_{_{max}}^{_{FL}}$  refers to the maximum tensile stress in the carbon coating at 218 the full lithiation moment, as illustrated in Fig. 4b. Fig. 6 shows the normalized  $\sigma_{max}^{FL}$  as a function of D and t. It can be seen that larger D and smaller t result in higher  $\sigma_{\max}^{FL}$ . This 219 220 trend agrees well with the observation from the *in situ* lithiation that Si@C NPs with 221 larger core diameter and thinner coating thickness tend to fracture more easily during 222 lithiation (Fig. 3). To prevent the fracture of the carbon coating, one should control the  $\sigma_{\max}^{_{\rm FL}}$  below the fracture strength of carbon  $\sigma_{\rm f}$  . For given  $\sigma_{\rm f}$  , Fig. 6 implies an 223 "unimpaired region" on the *D*-*t* plane in which the  $\sigma_{\max}^{FL}$  is lower than  $\sigma_{f}$  or the integrity 224 of carbon coating can be ensured. For example, if we take  $\sigma_{\rm f} = 6 \, {\rm GPa}$  and 225  $E_{\rm C}=300\,{\rm GPa}$ , the region below the contour line of  $\sigma_{\rm max}^{\rm FL}$  /  $E_{\rm C}=0.02$  in Fig. 6 is the 226 227 "unimpaired region". Interestingly, it can be seen that the contour line of 0.02 in Fig. 6 228 almost coincides with the line defined by D/t = 3.5. Similarly, the contour line corresponding to  $\sigma_{\text{max}}^{\text{FL}} / E_{\text{C}} = 0.04$  is quite close to the line defined by D/t = 7.0. 229 Comparing Fig. 6 and Fig. 3, it can be predicted that the fracture strength of the carbon 230 coating in our Si@C NPs ranges from 6 to 12 GPa, which agrees well with the value 231 reported in the literature.<sup>34</sup> This prediction also justifies our earlier selection of 232  $\sigma_{\rm f}$  = 7 GPa when predicting the critical degree of lithiation causing fracture of carbon 233 coating for the model in Fig. 4a. 234



### 235

Fig. 6 Maximum tensile stress at full lithiation in the carbon coating of Si@C NPs with different core diameters *D* and coating thicknesses *t*. Here,  $\sigma_{\text{max}}^{\text{FL}}$  is normalized by  $E_{\text{C}} = 300$  GPa.

239

Although Fig. 6 indicates that lower value of D/t is preferential for prohibiting the fracture of Si@C NPs, the demand for high capacity on the contrary requires higher D/tbecause Si possesses much higher theoretical capacity compared to carbon. The optimal value of D/t catering for both requirements above is the maximum mechanically allowable D/t. For our case, a conservative estimation of the optimal D/t from Fig. 6 is 3.5.

### 246 **4. Conclusions**

In this paper, *in situ* lithiation was carried out on the synthesized Si@C NPs. It was observed that lithiation could cause fracture of Si@C NPs with diameters smaller than 150 nm, which has been viewed as the maximum allowable size of bare Si nanoparticles immune to lithiation-induced fracture. Such deleterious effect of carbon coating on the integrity of Si@C NP was analyzed using FE simulation. Our results indicated that the

252 maximum tensile stress experienced by the carbon coating of Si@C NP during the 253 lithiation process depends on the size of the Si core as well as the thickness of the carbon 254 coating. Excessive tensile stress will be readily developed in the carbon coating if the Si 255 core is too large or the carbon coating is too thin, leading to the fracture of the carbon 256 coating. The resulting crack in the carbon coating will experience an elevated driving 257 force for growth due to the unfavorable material inhomogeneity between the carbon 258 coating and the lithiated Si, inducing the fracture of the whole Si@C NP. To secure the 259 structural integrity of Si@C NP during lithiation and meanwhile attain capacity as high as 260 possible, a design guideline for Si@C NP is proposed by controlling the ratio between the 261 Si core diameter and the carbon coating thickness below a critical value. Our discussion 262 above was based on the assumption that the carbon coating and lithiated Si were perfectly bonded. Recently, people developed slidable layered graphene coating on Si<sup>12</sup> and yolk-263 shell architecture,<sup>10</sup> in which the carbon/Si interface was weak or even vanishing. These 264 265 innovations provide alternative solutions for solving the fracture problem of electrode 266 materials during lithiation. Admittedly, our results provided a necessary but not a 267 sufficient condition for the long cycle life of the Si@C NP electrode materials since we 268 only considered the integrity of the carbon coating during the first lithiation. The fatigue 269 stability of electrode materials upon cyclic lithiation and delithiation loading, the 270 interactions between the electrode materials and the current collector and the formation 271 and stability of SEI layers have not been considered fully and require further studies.

### 273 Acknowledgements

274	T	his work was supported by the General Research Fund from Hong Kong RGC	
275	(5293)	13). HW would like to acknowledge the support from the National Natural Science	
276	Found	ation of China (11322219, 11321202). WL acknowledges Mr. Zheng-Long Xu and	
277	Prof. Jang-Kyo Kim from the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology for the		
278	helpful discussion on the lithiation-induced fracture of Si@C nanoparticles, and Dr. Wei		
279	Lu from the Materials Research Center of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University for		
280	providing technical supports.		
281	31 References		
282	1	A. S. Aricò, P. Bruce, B. Scrosati, JM. Tarascon and W. van Schalkwijk, <i>Nat.</i>	
283	2	Mater., 2005, 4, 366-377.	
284	2	M. I. McDowell, S. W. Lee, W. D. Nix and Y. Cul, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 4966-	
205	2	4905. C K Chan H Dong G Liu K Mellwrath X E Zhang P A Hugging and V	
280	5	Cui Nat Nanotechnol 2008 3 31-35	
287	Δ	X H Liu H Zheng I Zhong S Huang K Karki I O Zhang Y Liu A	
289	•	Kushima W T Liang I W Wang I-H Cho E Epstein S A Daveh S T	
290		Picraux, T. Zhu, J. Li, J. P. Sullivan, J. Cumings, C. Wang, S. X. Mao, Z. Z. Ye, S.	
291		Zhang and J. Y. Huang, <i>Nano Lett.</i> , 2011, <b>11</b> , 3312-3318.	
292	5	X. H. Liu, L. Zhong, S. Huang, S. X. Mao, T. Zhu and J. Y. Huang, ACS Nano,	
293		2012, 6, 1522-1531.	
294	6	M. T. McDowell, S. W. Lee, J. T. Harris, B. A. Korgel, C. Wang, W. D. Nix and	
295		Y. Cui, Nano Lett., 2013, 13, 758-764.	
296	7	H. Wu, G. Chan, J. W. Choi, I. Ryu, Y. Yao, M. T. McDowell, S. W. Lee, A.	
297	_	Jackson, Y. Yang, L. Hu and Y. Cui, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2012, 7, 310-315.	
298	8	SH. Ng, J. Wang, D. Wexler, K. Konstantinov, ZP. Guo and HK. Liu, <i>Angew</i> .	
299	0	<i>Chem. Int. Ed.</i> , 2006, <b>45</b> , 6896-6899.	
300	9	M. Gu, Y. Li, X. Li, S. Hu, X. Zhang, W. Xu, S. Thevuthasan, D. R. Baer, JG.	
301	10	Zhang, J. Liu and C. Wang, ACS Nano, 2012, <b>6</b> , 8439-8447.	
302 202	10	N. LIU, H. WU, M. T. MCDOWEII, Y. Yao, C. Wang and Y. Cui, <i>Nano Lett.</i> , 2012, 12 (2215) 2221	
303	11	$\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{Stournara} \setminus \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{N} +$	
305	12	I H Son I H Park S Kwon S Park M H Rümmeli A Rachmatiuk H I	
306	14	Song J Ku J W Choi J-m Choi S-G Doo and H Chang Nat Commun	
307		2015, <b>6</b> .	
-			

308	13	CM. Wang, X. Li, Z. Wang, W. Xu, J. Liu, F. Gao, L. Kovarik, JG. Zhang, J.
309 310		Howe, D. J. Burton, Z. Liu, X. Xiao, S. Thevuthasan and D. R. Baer, <i>Nano Lett.</i> , 2012, <b>12</b> , 1624-1632.
311	14	L. Luo, H. Yang, P. Yan, J. J. Travis, Y. Lee, N. Liu, D. M. Piper, SH. Lee, P.
312		Zhao, S. M. George, JG. Zhang, Y. Cui, S. Zhang, C. Ban and CM. Wang ACS
313		Nano, 2015, <b>9</b> , 5559-5566
314	15	X H Liu Y Liu A Kushima S Zhang T Zhu I Li and I Y Huang Adv
315	10	Energy Mater 2012. 2 722-741
316	16	O Li P Wang O Feng M Mao I Liu S X Mao and H Wang Chem Mater
317	10	2014 <b>26</b> 4102-4108
318	17	H. Yang, S. Huang, X. Huang, F. Fan, W. Liang, X. H. Liu, LO. Chen, J. Y.
319	17	Huang, J. Li, T. Zhu and S. Zhang, <i>Nano Lett.</i> 2012, <b>12</b> , 1953-1958.
320	18	H Yang F Fan W Liang X Guo T Zhu and S Zhang I Mech Phys Solids
321	10	2014 <b>70</b> , 349-361
322	19	O. Li, W. Li, O. Feng, P. Wang, M. Mao, J. Liu, L. Zhou, H. Wang and H. Yao,
323		Carbon, 2014, <b>80</b> , 793-798.
324	20	XY. Zhou, JJ. Tang, J. Yang, J. Xie and LL. Ma, <i>Electrochim. Acta</i> , 2013, 87.
325		663-668.
326	21	M. N. Obrovac and L. Christensen, <i>Electrochem, Solid-State Lett.</i> , 2004, 7, A93-
327		A96.
328	22	M. T. McDowell, I. Rvu, S. W. Lee, C. Wang, W. D. Nix and Y. Cui, Adv. Mater.
329		2012. 24. 6034-6041.
330	23	X. H. Liu, J. W. Wang, S. Huang, F. Fan, X. Huang, Y. Liu, S. Krylyuk, J. Yoo, S.
331		A. Daveh, A. V. Davydov, S. X. Mao, S. T. Picraux, S. Zhang, J. Li, T. Zhu and J.
332		Y. Huang, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2012, 7, 749-756.
333	24	K. Karki, E. Epstein, JH. Cho, Z. Jia, T. Li, S. T. Picraux, C. Wang and J.
334		Cumings, Nano Lett., 2012, <b>12</b> , 1392-1397.
335	25	J. W. Wang, Y. He, F. Fan, X. H. Liu, S. Xia, Y. Liu, C. T. Harris, H. Li, J. Y.
336		Huang, S. X. Mao and T. Zhu, Nano Lett., 2013, 13, 709-715.
337	26	M. G. Fyta, I. N. Remediakis, P. C. Kelires and D. A. Papaconstantopoulos, <i>Phys.</i>
338		<i>Rev. Lett.</i> , 2006, <b>96</b> , 185503.
339	27	W. A. Brantley, J. Appl. Phys., 1973, 44, 534-535.
340	28	K. E. Petersen, <i>Proc. IEEE</i> , 1982, <b>70</b> , 420-457.
341	29	A. Kushima, J. Y. Huang and J. Li, ACS Nano, 2012, 6, 9425-9432.
342	30	V. B. Shenoy, P. Johari and Y. Qi, J. Power Sources, 2010, 195, 6825-6830.
343	31	M. J. Chon, V. A. Sethuraman, A. McCormick, V. Srinivasan and P. R. Guduru,
344		Phys. Rev. Lett., 2011, 107, 045503.
345	32	S. K. Soni, B. W. Sheldon, X. Xiao, M. W. Verbrugge, D. Ahn, H. Haftbaradaran
346		and H. Gao, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2012, 159, A38-A43.
347	33	M. A. Meyers and K. K. Chawla, Mechanical Behavior of Materials, Cambridge
348		University Press, New York, 2009.
349	34	S. Cho, I. Chasiotis, T. A. Friedmann and J. P. Sullivan, J. Micromech. Microeng.,
350		2005, <b>15</b> , 728-735.
351	35	T. L. Anderson, Fracture Mechanics: Fundamentals and Applications, CRC Press,
352		Boca Raton, 2005.

353	36	K. Zhao, M. Pharr, Q. Wan, W. L. Wang, E. Kaxiras, J. J. Vlassak and Z. Suo, J.
354		Electrochem. Soc., 2012, <b>159</b> , A238-A243.
255	27	M Dham 7 Suc and I I Vlaccal Name Latt 2012 12 5570 5577

- 355 37 M. Pharr, Z. Suo and J. J. Vlassak, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 5570-5577.
- 356 38 N. K. Simha, F. D. Fischer, O. Kolednik and C. R. Chen, J. Mech. Phys. Solids,
  357 2003, 51, 209-240.