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Broadly Tunable Graphene Plasmons Using an Ion-gel Top Gate with Low Control Voltage

Hai Hu, Feng Zhai, Debo Hu, Zhenjun Li, Bing Bai, Xiaoxia Yang, and Qing Dai

The electrostatic tunability of graphene is vital for the field of active plasmons and would be beneficial in tunable infrared and Terahertz optical element applications. The key to realizing broad tunability is achieving high carrier densities in graphene. Here we use ion-gel, currently one of the most efficient dielectrics with ultra-high capacitance, to realize broadly tunable graphene plasmons (~1270 cm⁻¹) with low voltage modulation (~4 V shifted from Dirac point). We further explore the coupling between graphene plasmons and the molecular vibration modes of ion-gel, since strong plasmon-phonon coupling can split the plasmon resonance peak into multi-peaks and reduce their tunability. Our experiments demonstrate weak plasmon-phonon coupling in the graphene/ion-gel system, which has limited effects on plasmon properties. These make ion-gel an effective dielectric for broadly tunable graphene plasmonic devices, such as new optical modulators, filters and wavelength multiplexers.

Introduction

Plasmons can be used to concentrate and manipulate light at the nanoscale which is substantially below the diffraction limit. Based on these remarkable properties, a series of novel plasmonic devices, such as tunable modulators, filters, and detectors, have been proposed recently. Development of a broadly tunable plasmonic device with low control voltage would further improve the practicability of these existing plasmonic devices. Enhancing doping efficiency and carrier density of graphene is an important approach to implement this functionality. Optimize the electronic doping design with proper dielectric materials to enhance doping efficiency and carrier density of graphene seems to be a promising approach. There are three main types of dielectric materials which are widely used in current electrical tunable graphene devices. Conventional SiO₂ dielectric is beneficial for identifying and processing graphene flakes, but only gives graphene carrier densities on the order of 10¹³ cm⁻². High-k dielectrics (1-2 μFcm⁻²), such as ZrO₂, Al₂O₃, and HfO₂ have also been used to obtain high doping, but their applications have been limited due to the necessity of high growth temperatures and the complexity of processing conditions. Ion-gel is one of the most efficient dielectric materials with a very high gate capacitance of about 10 μFcm⁻², which is ~800 times larger than the widely used 300 nm SiO₂ (12 nFcm⁻²). Ion-gel can be employed to shift the Fermi level (E_F) of graphene significantly from about -1.5 to 2.5 eV by applying a small top gate voltage (~10 V). This E_F shift corresponds to carrier densities ranging from about -1 to 2.5×10¹⁷ cm⁻². Ion-gel gate dielectric materials are also transparent, and have good mechanical flexibility, fatigue stability, as well as excellent electrochemical and thermal stability, which makes them compatible with tunable graphene plasmonic devices on varied substrates, such as SiO₂ and In₂O₃/BaF₂. F. Wang’s group reported tunable terahertz graphene plasmonics from about 60 to 200 cm⁻¹. However, the tunability of graphene plasmons in the mid-IR spectrum range and the influence of ion-gel phonon on them are still unclear. The plasmon-phonon coupling can change graphene plasmon significantly, such as their dispersion, tunability and lifetime, thus studying the plasmonic properties of graphene/ion-gel system in mid-IR is of fundamental importance. For example, the strong plasmon-phonon couplings in graphene/SiO₂ and graphene/h-BN systems split the plasmon resonance peak into multi-peaks and dramatically change their frequencies, tunability and lifetimes.
In this work, a broadly tunable graphene plasmon of ~1242 cm\(^{-1}\) shifting (corresponding to ~0.88 eV shifting of \(E_F\)) was realized through a low voltage modulation (4 V shifting from Dirac point) by using a conveniently spin-coated ion-gel thin film. In contrast, the SiO\(_2\) back gate led to 379 cm\(^{-1}\) shifting with nearly 200 V gate voltage modulation on the same device. We further explored the coupling between graphene plasmons and the molecular vibration modes of ion-gel, since strong plasmon-phonon coupling can split the plasmon resonance peak into multi-peaks and reduce their tunability. Our experiments demonstrate weak plasmon-phonon coupling in the graphene/ion-gel system. Our results also show that ion-gel has limited effects on graphene plasmon lifetimes. These results indicate that ion-gel thin film is an effective dielectric for broadly tunable graphene plasmon devices with limited phonon-plasmon coupling influence.

Results and Discussion

Device Fabrication and Experimental Set-up

Graphene nanoribbon arrays were used to excite localized graphene plasmons. Figure 1a shows a schematic view of the measurement set-up. First, a graphene sheet was transferred onto a SiO\(_2\)/Si substrate and then patterned into electrically continuous nanoribbon arrays with a gap-to-width ratio of 1:1 (Figure 1b). Two Cr/Au electrodes were deposited on the graphene for electrical characterization and a third one was deposited on the outside of the graphene as an in-plane top-gate electrode (Figure 1b). This design is used to avoid metal atoms penetrating into the ion-gel film which can occur when the top electrode is made by evaporating and to prevent external contamination. A film of ion-gel was spin coated onto the device as the top-gate dielectric while a SiO\(_2\) film was used as the back-gate dielectric with highly doped Si as the back gate.

Using ion-gel top gate can shift the Fermi level of graphene in a wide range with small gate voltages because of the high capacitance of ion-gel. This high capacitance results from the nanometer thick (1-5 nm) Debye layer formed at the ion-gel/graphene interface. As illustrated in Figure 1a, with a positive gate bias, negative ions (ClO\(_4^-\)) in the ion-gel move toward and accumulate near the top-gate electrode while positive ions (Li\(^+\)) accumulate on the surface of the graphene channel. The accumulated Li\(^+\) ions create a positive electric field, which draws electrons into the graphene channel. When a negative voltage is applied, holes are injected into graphene. Thus, by using ion-gel top gate, we were able to obtain highly doped graphene nanoribbons with actively tunable carrier densities via small gate voltages. In addition, the applied gate voltages should be limited in the range from -5 to 5 V in order to prevent unwanted chemical reactions.

The plasmonic properties of graphene at different Fermi levels \(E_F\) were characterized by using a Fourier transform
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infrared microscopy (FTIR). First, the extinction spectra (T(CNP)) of the graphene nanoribbon array at the charge neutral point (CNP) (i.e. \(E_F=0\)) were detected. Then we changed \(E_F\) of the graphene nanoribbon array, and measured its extinction spectra \(T(E_F)\). The electromagnetic response of the graphene plasmon at \(E_F\) was obtained from the extinction spectrum \(T\), where \(T=1-T(E_F)\). Since the background IR extinction was all cancelled out, the peaks in the as-obtained extinction spectrum were resulted from the plasmon resonances which were strongly dependent on the electronic properties of the graphene.

**Electrical Characteristics**

Gate voltage-dependent resistance properties of the graphene devices were detected by applying a top gate and a back gate, respectively, as shown in Figure 1c. The CNP appears at 98 V by sweeping the back-gate voltage from -100 to 100 V (blue curve) while it appears at 0.53 V in the transfer characteristics of the same graphene sample with an ion-gel top-gate (red curve). The device was found to be a typical ambipolar FET as manifested by the ‘V’ shape of the resistance dependence on the gate voltage. The graphene at zero gate voltage was found to be highly p-type doped. This can be attributed to the absorption of impurities (e.g. water molecules) and the effect of surface dangling bonds of the SiO\(_2\) substrate. In addition, the maximum resistivity was found to increase after the ion-gel was spin coated. This phenomenon likely originated from the additional impurities introduced into the graphene by ion-gel.

From the determined transfer characteristics, and capacitance and thickness of the dielectric, we calculated the carrier concentration and \(E_F\) of the graphene using a standard parallel plate capacitor model (see details below). For the SiO\(_2\) thin film, using a relative dielectric constant of 3.9 and a thickness of 300 nm, the capacitance was calculated as 0.0121 \(\mu\)Fcm\(^{-2}\). The capacitance of the ion-gel dielectric was calculated as 2.42 \(\mu\)Fcm\(^{-2}\) from a relationship that the shift in the back-gate voltage at CNP \(V_{bg,CNP}\) is linearly dependent on the change of applied top-gate voltage \((V_{tg})\) and

\[
\frac{C_{tg}}{C_{bg}} = -\frac{\Delta V_{bg,CNP}}{\Delta V_{tg}}
\]

(see Figure S3 in Supplementary Information). Based on the value of capacitance, the dielectric constant of ion-gel can be calculated by using the conventional capacitance equation, \(C = \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi d}\), where \(\varepsilon\) is the static dielectric constant and \(d\) is the thickness of the Debye layer. Assuming a Debye length of 2 nm, we obtained the dielectric constant as 5.5 for the ion-gel composed of LiClO\(_4\) and PEO, which is consistent with previous reported values of PEO (~5).

For both top-gated and back-gated graphene FET, the dependence of the carrier density on the gate voltage satisfies the equation:

\[
V_g - V_{CNP} = \frac{\hbar v_F}{e} \sqrt{n_i n} + \frac{n e}{C_g},
\]

where \(v_F\) is the Fermi velocity, \(V_{CNP}\) is the charge neutrality point voltage, \(v_F=1.1\times10^6\) m/s is the Fermi velocity, \(n\) is the carrier density and \(C_g\) is the capacitance of gate dielectric.

Combined with the relation between \(E_F\) and the carrier density of graphene \(E_F = \hbar v_F \sqrt{n_i n}\), we obtained the relation between \(E_F\) and the gate voltages (see Supplementary Information). As shown in Figure 1d, \(E_F\) is plotted as a function of both the ion-gel top-gate voltage \((V_{tg})\) and the SiO\(_2\) back-gate voltage \((V_{bg})\). When the \(V_{tg}\) is tuned from -4 to 4 V, the values of \(E_F\) shifts from about -0.94 to 0.81 eV. This shift of \(E_F\) is much larger than the change made by back gate, which is from about -0.5 to 0.05 eV with \(V_{bg}\) shifted from -100 to 100 V.

**Plasmon Resonance Frequencies Characteristics**
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The plasmonic properties of as-prepared low voltage-controlled and broadly tunable mid-infrared graphene devices were experimentally characterized for performance. Because the electrical tunability of graphene is symmetric with respect to the CNP, we focused on the hole-doping regime for simplicity. Figure 2a shows the extinction spectra of the graphene nanoribbon array at different $E_F$ controlled by the ion-gel top gate. The extinction spectra of the same graphene nanoribbon array at different $E_F$ controlled by the SiO$_2$ back gate are used for comparison (Figure 2b). In both the top-gated and back-gated strategies, there are two distinct resonance peaks in the extinction spectra, as indicated by diamonds and spheres in Figures 2a and 2b. These peaks are ascribed to the coupling of graphene plasmon to two surface optical (SO) phonons of SiO$_2$ at 806 cm$^{-1}$ ($\omega_{sp1}$) and 1168 cm$^{-1}$ ($\omega_{sp2}$), respectively.$^{45,46}$ In order to better compare the electrical tunability of graphene plasmons controlled by the ion-gel and SiO$_2$, we used narrow graphene ribbons (~65 nm) to reduce this obvious plasmon-phonon coupling by shifting the pristine plasmon resonance frequency of graphene to energies much higher than $\omega_{sp2}$. In most of these extinction spectra (except for the condition at low Fermi levels), the first resonance peaks (diamonds) are phonon-like polaritons (PP) and the second ones (spheres) are graphene plasmon-like polaritons (GP), which are the main peaks in the extinction spectra.

The resonance frequency $\omega_{pl}$ for pristine graphene plasmons is determined by $E_F$ and the wave vector $q$ via the equation

$$\omega_{pl} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_f q^2}{2 \pi \hbar^2 \varepsilon_0 E_F}}$$

where $q = \pi / W$. When $q$ is fixed, the corresponding value of $\omega_{pl}$ scales as $E_F^{1/2}$. For the plasmon-phonon coupling system, when $E_F$ of graphene increases, both the PP and the GP peaks gain intensity and shift to higher frequencies, as shown in Figure 2. The plasmon resonance frequencies of the graphene device controlled by the ion-gel top gate are lower than those of the same device at the same $E_F$ controlled by the SiO$_2$ back gate (see Supplementary Information Figure S4). This is due to different effective dielectric environments of graphene for these two cases as the environment determines the plasmon resonance frequencies via $\omega \propto \varepsilon^{1/2}$. For the SiO$_2$ back gate system (air/graphene/SiO$_2$), the average dielectric environment $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{air} + \varepsilon_{SiO_2})=2.45$, while for ion-gel top gate system (ion-gel/graphene/SiO$_2$), $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{ion-gel} + \varepsilon_{SiO_2})=4.67$.

We used a generalized random phase approximation (RPA) theory to calculate the relationship between $E_F$ and the graphene plasmon resonance frequency in the condition of
plasmon-phonon coupling. Calculations were performed according to Yang, et al. Figure 3a shows the calculated results for a graphene nanoribbon with 65 nm ribbon width at varying $E_F$ as controlled by both the ion-gel dielectric top gate (blue lines) and the SiO$_2$ back gate (red lines) alongside experimental data (diamonds and spheres) extracted from the extinction spectra of Figures 2a and 2b. The two-dimensional pseudo-colour background was calculated from the loss function of the graphene plasmons controlled with the ion-gel dielectric top gate. The simulation results are in excellent agreement with our experimental results. The used Fröhlich-like coupling strength between plasmons and two SO phonons of SiO$_2$ are represented in Figure S5 (for the ion-gel top gate and for the SiO$_2$ back gate). Small deviation between experimental and theoretical values mainly originates from the effect of the phonon-photon coupling of the SiO$_2$ substrate and the calculation error of the ion-gel dielectric constant.

The plasmon resonance frequencies were plotted as a function of gate voltage shifted from CNP for both SiO$_2$ back gate (red) and ion-gel top gate (blue), respectively (Figure 3b). The GP peak dramatically shifts from 1184 to 2455 cm$^{-1}$ ($\Delta\omega=1271$ cm$^{-1}$) and the PP peak shifts from 842 to 1119 cm$^{-1}$ ($\Delta\omega=277$ cm$^{-1}$) by adjusting $V_{bg}$ to shift 4 V from CNP. In contrast, the GP peak shifts from 1374 to 1753 cm$^{-1}$ ($\Delta\omega=379$ cm$^{-1}$) and the PP peak shifts from 906 to 1065 cm$^{-1}$ ($\Delta\omega=157$ cm$^{-1}$) when $V_{tg}$ shifts 200 V from CNP. The obtained shift of the GP peak by ion-gel top gate is nearly 3.5 times as much as the value obtained by SiO$_2$ back gate while the applied voltage is just 1/50$^{th}$ of the latter.

**Plasmon-phonon Coupling Between Graphene and Ion-gel**
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**Figure 4.** (a) Three selected extinction spectra of graphene nanoribbon array at different $E_F$ controlled by ion-gel top gate with enlarged view. The infrared extinction spectrum of the ion-gel film is shown for comparison. The arrow under red square indicates the SO phonon of SiO$_2$ at 1168 cm$^{-1}$. The arrow under the blue triangle indicates the molecular resonance of PEO at 2885 cm$^{-1}$. (b) The zoom-in spectra of Figure 4(a) illustrating the coupling between graphene plasmons and the dipole moments of C-O-C stretching vibration of PEO molecules. The arrows indicate the IR active molecular resonances of PEO. (c) Schematic of coupling mechanism of graphene plasmons and PEO optical phonons. (d) Dependence of energy splitting induced by plasmon-phonon coupling on Fermi levels. For graphene/PEO case, the deepest dips at 1118 (blue triangles, up) and 2885 cm$^{-1}$ (blue triangles, down) are selected as instances.

Graphene plasmons in the mid-infrared spectral range can interact strongly with phonons of surrounding dielectrics, such as the SO phonons of SiO$_2$ and the optical phonons of h-BN. These strong plasmon-phonon couplings impede the electrostatic tunability of graphene plasmon significantly, especially at around the phonon energies. Thus, to explore how the ion-gel phonons affect graphene plasmons is of great importance. As shown in Figure 4a, the molecular vibration modes of the ion-gel can also interact with the graphene plasmon mode. However, the resulting shape is completely
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different from the case when SiO$_2$ phonons are coupling with graphene plasmons. The SiO$_2$ phonons split the plasmon resonance peak into well separated multi-peaks (The PP peaks and the GP peaks), while ion-gel only introduce several shallow dips appearing in the extinction spectra (Figure 4a). The most obvious dips at 844, 1118, 1280 and 2885 cm$^{-1}$ are accompanied with other dips at 946, 974, 1061, 1154, 1233, 1344, 1363, 1469, and 1610 cm$^{-1}$. These dips correspond to the respective IR group vibrations of PEO based on comparison to the IR absorption peaks of the ion-gel thin film. In order to exhibit these plasmon-phonon coupling signals more clearly, zoom-in spectra of Figure 4a in the range from 1000 to 1500 cm$^{-1}$ (between the two dashed lines) are shown in Figure 4b. The strong C-O-C stretching mode at ~1118 cm$^{-1}$ of PEO molecules couples with graphene plasmon and slightly splits the PP peak.

When the plasmon mode interacts with a phonon mode, the coupling of external light to the phonon mode (i.e. phonon absorption) can be significantly enhanced due to the plasmon excitation via the near field enhancement. The induced phonon resonances form polariton waves with an opposite phase with respect to the plasmon polaritons (Figure 4c). These two waves with the same wavelength and opposite phase cause coherent destructive interference between them, which results in dips in the plasmon resonance extinction peaks. According to the interference theory of waves, the shape of the dips is mainly determined by the intensity of the induced phonon polariton waves, which determined the coupling strengths. For the plasmon-phonon polaritons, the coupling strength is proportional to the energy splitting of the dip. Figure 4d presents the dependence of the energy splitting on Fermi levels for both graphene/PEO and graphene/SiO$_2$ systems. For both cases, the coupling strength increases coinciding with the shift of Fermi level, that is, the increasing electromagnetic field strength of graphene plasmon. For graphene/PEO system, the coupling strength is much less than that of graphene/SiO$_2$. The latter enters a strong coupling regime as the splitting energies are much larger than the sum of linewidths of graphene plasmon and SiO$_2$ phonon. The former is a weak coupling system as the splitting energy is much less than the sum of the linewidths of graphene plasmon and the phonon resonance. This is because the densities of phonon modes in the ion-gel are much smaller than those in the SiO$_2$ films due to a disordered arrangement of long PEO molecular chains (Figure 4c), which is consistent with the coupling between plasmon and other molecular vibrations. Thus, compared with conventional oxide dielectric materials, ion-gel dielectric has very limited perturbation on the properties of graphene plasmon.

Plasmon Lifetime Characteristics

The influence of the ion-gel dielectric on plasmon lifetimes, which is closely related to the plasmonic performance, was also studied. The plasmon lifetimes T can be obtained via $T=2\hbar/\Gamma$ for the far field extinction spectra, where $\Gamma$ is the linewidths of resonance peaks extracted by using Fano curve fitting (See Supplementary Materials and Figure S6a). We compared the graphene plasmon lifetimes of the graphene/SiO$_2$ device before and after spin coated with the ion-gel top gate by plotting the lifetimes of the GP mode as a function of resonance frequencies (Figure S6b). In both systems, the lifetimes dramatically decreased as the resonance frequencies increased. The lifetimes increased slightly at the same resonance frequency after adding the ion-gel film. This is because the ion-gel coating introduces additional scattering (such as charged impurities) to the graphene plasmons. The condition is very similar to that of free standing graphene transferred onto supporting substrates, whose carrier mobility decreases dramatically due to introduced phonon scattering and charged impurity scattering. However, the mobility of graphene is less reduced by ion gel for its weak phonon scattering.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we achieved a low voltage-controlled and broadly tunable graphene plasmonic device with a top gate prepared by the simple and convenient method of using a spin-coated ion-gel thin film as a dielectric. The ion-gel top gate can shift the plasmon resonance frequency to 1271 cm$^{-1}$ which is nearly 3.5 times the value obtained by a SiO$_2$ back gate (379 cm$^{-1}$) while applying 1/50$^{th}$ of the voltage shifted from CNP (e.g. 4 V compared to the 200 V required for a SiO$_2$ back gate). In addition, unlike the graphene plasmon resonance peaks being split by the SO phonon of SiO$_2$ and the optical phonons of h-BN, the coupling between a graphene plasmon and phonons of PEO is much weaker and has very limited effects on the plasmonic properties. On the whole, the ion-gel is an excellent dielectric for broadly tunable graphene plasmon devices.

Experimental Section

The device consists of a graphene sheet prepared by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) on copper foil which was transferred to a SiO$_2$ (300 nm)/Si substrate. The sample was identified to be a monolayer by micro-Raman microscope (Horiba JobinYvon, LabRAM HR800) before processing. The nanoribbon arrays were patterned using electron-beam lithography on graphene which was then etched away using oxygen plasma. The graphene nanoribbons were characterized by atomic force microscopy (s-SNOM, Neaspec) using tapping mode and SEM (Hitachi, S-4800). The source, drain and top gate electrode of the device were made by e-beam lithography, followed by e-beam evaporation of 100 nm of gold and subsequent lift-off in acetone. The ion-gel dielectric material was achieved by dissolving polyethylene oxide (PEO) and LiClO$_4$ in methanol with a mass ratio of 1:0.12:40, as reported in previous work. The compound ion-liquid is then spun onto a graphene transistor at 5000 RPM, followed by baking at 60°C to remove any residual methanol. The electrical transport properties were characterized with a semiconductor
This work is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No.2015CB932400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.51372045, and No. 2015CB932400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.201313870), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.201313870), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.201313870), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.201313870).

Acknowledgements

Notes and references