**NJC** Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/njc

# ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



Ravi Kumar,<sup>a</sup> Muniappan Sankar,<sup>\*a</sup> Vediappan Sudhakar<sup>b</sup> and Kothandam Krishnamoorthy<sup>\*b</sup>

We have designed and synthesized a series of simple cost-effective 'push-pull' Zn(II) porphyrin dyes containing various electron donors such as 2-thienyl, pyrenyl, phenyl, 4'-bromophenyl and 4'-<sup>t</sup>butylphenyl and 4'-carboxyphenyl acceptor moieties in three steps. Their optical absorption spectra, electrochemical redox and photovoltaic properties have been investigated in detail. The overall power conversion efficiencies ( $\eta$ ) of DSSCs based on these dyes are in the range of 2.1 to 4.2% and highly depend on their donor moiety. The incorporation of *trans*-10,20-dimesityl groups is highly beneficial to prevent the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  aggregation among the porphyrin moieties, thus favorably suppressing the charge recombination and intermolecular interaction. Among all, pyrenyl appended Zn(II) porphyrin has exhibited higher power conversion efficiency of 4.2% under 1 sun illumination due to extended  $\pi$ -conjugation and electron donating ability of pyrenyl moiety.

### Introduction

Development of a sustainable society and growing global energy consumption demands clean and renewable energy resources. Several environmental issues aroused by consumption of a large amount of fossil fuel in the recent years. Artificial photosynthesis and photovoltaics technologies are desirable to harvest substantially inexhaustible solar energy. Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs)<sup>1</sup> are one of the promising alternatives to conventional photovoltaic devices based on silicon which can convert sunlight efficiently to electricity owing to their high power conversion efficiency (PCE), ease of fabrication and potential low cost compared with traditional silicon-based solar cells. In this respect for the past two decades, polypyridyl ruthenium complexes<sup>1c,2</sup> have been utilized to achieve electric power conversion efficiency up to 11% due to their broad absorption spectrum through metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT), the longer exciton lifetime, and their long-term chemical stability.<sup>3</sup> Despite their overwhelming performance, Ru-polypyridyl sensitizers encounter several drawbacks<sup>2a-c</sup> such as the high cost of noble metal ruthenium, the requirement for careful synthesis, hefty purification steps, toxicity to environment and low molar extinction coefficients in the red region of visible spectrum hampers their large-scale application in DSSCs.

Organic dyes<sup>4</sup> such as coumarin, indoline, oligoene, thiophene, triarylamine, perylene, cyanine, fluorine, merocyanine and hemicyanine derivatives are of great interest owing to their modest cost, ease of synthesis and structural modification, large molar absorption coefficients, and satisfactory stability.<sup>5</sup> Some organic dyes with the conversion efficiencies in a range of 5-9% have been prepared.<sup>4</sup> Recently, carboxy anchoring dye, LEG4 collaboratively working with alkoxysilylether dye, ADEKA1 exhibited 14.3% power conversion efficiency (PCE) under one sun illumination. However, porphyrins and phthalocyanines are best dyes due to their high thermal and photochemical stability, strong absorption in visible region as well as their intriguing optical, photophysical and electrochemical properties can be tuned by peripheral substitutions.<sup>6,7</sup> The most efficient porphyrin sensitizers feature 'push-pull Donor- $\pi$ -Acceptor (D- $\pi$ -A)' structures and/or  $\pi$ -extensions that made porphyrins panchromatic in visible and even near infrared regions.7 Consequently, porphyrin sensitizers have exhibited power conversion efficiencies that are comparable to or even higher than those of well-established highly efficient DSSCs based on ruthenium complexes. For example, remarkable power conversion efficiency  $(\eta)$  of 12.3%, was achieved by Zn(II) porphyrin sensitizer (YD2-o-C8) co-sensitized with an organic dye (YD123) using a cobalt-based electrolyte which is superior to those based on Ru complexes.°

Recently, a class of 'push-pull' porphyrins with an electrondonating diarylamino group and an electron-withdrawing carboxyphenylethynyl anchoring group, GY50 and SM315 have revealed remarkable high efficiencies of 12% and 13% with

AL SOCIETY **Hemistry** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee-247667, India. E-mail: <u>sankafcy@iitr.ac.in;</u> Fax: +91-1332-273560; Tel: +91-1332-28-4753. <sup>b</sup>Polymers and Advanced Materials Laboratory, National Chemical Laboratory, Pune-

<sup>411008,</sup> India. E-mail: <u>k.krishnamoorthy@ncl.res.in;</u> Fax: +91-20-2590-2615; Tel: +91-20-2590-3075.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger Electronic Supplementary Information (SI) available: <math display="inline">^1 H$  NMR and mass spectra of synthesized dyes. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### ARTICLE

cobalt electrolyte under standard one sun illumination.<sup>9</sup> However, synthesis of these bridged conjugated 'push-pull' porphyrin sensitizers involves multistep synthesis involving expensive metal (Pd)-catalyzed C-C and C-N coupling reactions under stringent reaction conditions leading to overall low yield of final product. All these aspects demand a simple and efficient synthetic methodology to address the practical future applications of porphyrin-based DSSCs. He and co-workers reported a power conversion efficiency of 5.1% using a simple architecture having trans-mesityl groups and meso-acrylic acid substituted zinc porphyrin sensitizer.<sup>10</sup> However, the synthesis of meso-bromosubstituted porphyrin followed by Pd[0]catalyzed Heck coupling to introduce the acrylic acid anchoring group involves multistep synthesis under inert and dry environment leading to high cost DSSCs. These findings encourage us to develop simple, efficient sensitizers involving fever synthetic steps.

Herein, we report, five simple trans-mesityl A2BC porphyrin Zn(II) complexes having different donor groups and carboxylate as the anchoring group (Chart 1). A benzene ring between the carboxylic acid and the porphyrin ring functions as a spacer. To prevent dye aggregation, usually alkyl and alkoxy chains have been introduced on the meso-phenyl rings of porphyrinic sensitizers leading to high cell performance.<sup>11</sup> Bulky trans-meso-dimesityl groups are introduced to provide the oxidative stability<sup>12</sup> to porphyrins and to suppress the selfquenching by dye aggregation between the neighboring molecules adsorbed onto the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface by the steric hindrance around the porphyrin core and also provides an effective shielding to electron recombination with the electrolyte.<sup>10</sup> This leads to an increase of electron injection efficiency ( $\varphi_{ini}$ ). The overall power conversion efficiencies ( $\eta$ ) of DSSCs based on these dyes are in the range of 2.1 to 4.2% and highly depend upon their donor moiety. Among all, pyrenyl appended Zn(II) porphyrin has exhibited higher power conversion efficiency of 4.3% under 1 sun illumination which is attributed to strong  $\pi$ -conjugated character of pyrene<sup>13</sup> that interacts with porphyrin effectively.





### **Results and Discussion**

### Synthesis and Characterization



Scheme 1. Synthetic route for the preparation of porphyrinic dyes







Figure 2. Fluorescence spectra of Zn(II) porphyrin dyes in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.

Trans-A2BC-esterporphyrins (1a-1e) were synthesized via modified MacDonald [2+2] condensation between 5-mesityl dipyrromethane and two different types of aromatic aldehydes viz. aryl aldehyde (B) having different donor groups (R-CHO) and 4-carbomethoxybenzaldehyde (C) to afford monoester porphyrins in good yields. Then monoester porphyrins (1a-1e) were subjected to base hydrolysis to afford the corresponding carboxyporphyrins (2a-2e) (Scheme 1) in quantitative yields. The subsequent metallation using  $Zn(OAc)_2 \bullet 2H_2O$  yielded the target porphyrinic dyes RA-191-Zn, RA-192-Zn, RA-193-Zn, RA-194-Zn and RA-195-Zn in 90-92% yield. Detailed synthetic procedures are given in the experimental section. All the intermediates and final Zn(II) porphyrin dyes were characterized by UV-Vis, fluorescence and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic techniques (Figures S1-S10 in the electronic supplementary information (SI)) and mass spectrometry (Figures S11-S24, SI). Further, electronic, photophysical, structural properties and computational studies of these dyes were investigated by optical absorption and fluorescence spectroscopic techniques, density functional theory (DFT), cyclic voltammetry and photocurrent-voltage measurements.

#### **Optical Absorption and Emission Spectral Properties**

The UV-Vis spectra of the synthesized Zn(II) porphyrin dyes were recorded in distilled CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K and the absorption data is listed in Table 1. Representative absorption spectra of dyes **RA-191-Zn** and **RA-195-Zn** are shown in Figure 1. All these synthesized dyes exhibited an intense Soret band in the range 400–450 nm and moderate absorbing two Q bands in the range 525–625 nm, which are attributed to the  $\pi$ – $\pi$ \* electronic transitions. Dyes containing 2-thienyl and pyrenyl as donor groups (**RA-Zn-193** and **RA-Zn-195**) showed marginal red shift (3-4 nm) in Soret and Q bands than those of **RA-191-Zn**, **RA-192-Zn** and **RA-194-Zn**.

The synthesized dyes were also characterized by fluorescence spectroscopy to elucidate the role of different donor groups. Figure 2 represents the steady state emission spectra of Zn(II) porphyrin dyes in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K and the emission data is listed in Table 1. No significant shifts in emission bands were observed for these dyes except **RA-193-Zn** which exhibited a red shift of 4 nm in emission bands as compared to **RA-191-Zn**. However, the fluorescence quenching was observed for **RA-192-Zn** which leads to the decrement in the quantum yield due to the heavy atom effect of bromo group.

Table 1. Optical absorption and emission spectral data of synthesized dyes in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 298 K. Values in parentheses refer to log  $\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon$  in Mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>).

Porphyrin	B band(s), nm	Q band(s), nm	$\lambda_{\text{em}}$ , nm	${\pmb \Phi}_{\rm f}$
RA-191-Zn	419(5.17)	548(4.77), 587(4.00)	600, 648	0.037
RA-192-Zn	420(5.24)	549(4.86), 588(4.04)	600, 648	0.019
RA-193-Zn	420(5.23)	549(4.85), 588(4.03)	600, 648	0.031
RA-194-Zn	421(5.18)	550(4.80), 592(4.04)	604, 652	0.041
RA-195-Zn	423(5.17)	552(4.76), 593(4.01)	601, 649	0.041



Figure 3. Normalized UV-Vis absorption spectra of Zn(II) porphyrin dyes on the  $TiO_2$  films.

The UV-Vis absorption spectra of porphyrin RA-191-Zn to RA-**195-Zn** adsorbed on TiO<sub>2</sub> films is shown in Figure 3. All of these porphyrins show broadened and red shifted Soret and Q bands after adsorption on TiO<sub>2</sub> films as compared to corresponding absorption spectra in solution. The observed red shifted bands provide an indication of J-type aggregation of the porphyrinic dyes onto the  $\text{TiO}_2\ \text{surface}.^{14a}$  Notably, these dyes after adsorption onto the  $TiO_2$  film exhibits stronger absorption in Q bands region, indicating strong electronic coupling between the porphyrin ring and the TiO<sub>2</sub> conduction band and alteration of porphyrin geometry<sup>14b</sup> as well as an enhanced light harvesting capacity of the low energy photons, which is highly desirable for efficient sensitizers in DSSCs. Among all, RA-195-Zn exhibited broader absorption spectral features possibly due to extended  $\pi$ -conjugation which leads to higher light harvesting capacity.

#### Cyclic Voltammetric (CV) Studies

The electrochemical properties of these Zn(II) porphyrinic dyes (RA-191-Zn-to-RA-195-Zn) were investigated by cyclic voltammetric studies in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> containing 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> with a scan rate of 0.1 V/s at 298 K as shown in Figure 4 and the data is listed in Table 2. The reduction potentials of these Zn(II) dyes are 20-80 mV cathodically shifted indicating the influence of electron donor moieties as compared to ZnTPP whereas oxidation potentials are anodically shifted (10-40 mV). Among all, tert-butylphenyl and pyrenyl appended porphyrins showed larger cathodic shift 50-80 mV in their reduction potentials indicating their effective donor ability. Among all, pyrenyl appended porphyrin (RA-195-Zn) showed 40 mV cathodic shift indicating the possibility of extended conjugation from pyrenyl moiety to porphyrin  $\pi$ -system.<sup>6a,6b</sup> Figure 5 depicts the HOMO-LUMO energy levels of Zn(II) porphyrin dyes and compared with conduction band of TiO<sub>2</sub> and electrolyte which clearly indicate that the feasibility of electron transfer (down-hill process).

ARTICLE



Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of zinc porphyrin dyes ( $^{\sim}$  1 mM) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> containing 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> using Ag/AgCl as reference electrode with a scan rate of 0.1 V/s at 298 K.

Table 2. Electrochemical redox potentials (in V vs Ag/AgCl) of zinc porphyrin dyes in  $CH_2Cl_2$  containing 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> with a scan rate of 0.1 V/s at 298 K.

Porphyrin	Oxidation (V)		Reduction (V)		ΛF(V)
	I	Ш	I	Ш	==(+)
RA-191-Zn	0.857	1.157	-1.380	-1.775	2.237
RA-192-Zn	0.863	1.163	-1.405	-	2.268
RA-193-Zn	0.836	1.141	-1.420	-	2.256
RA-194-Zn	0.850	1.148	-1.440	-	2.287
RA-195-Zn	0.884	1.183	-1.411	-	2.295



Figure 5. Energy level diagram of dyes and compared with nanocrystalline  $\text{TiO}_2$  and iodide/triiodide electrolyte.

#### **Photovoltaic studies**

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) were prepared using *trans*- $A_2BC$  Zn(II)-porphyrin-dyed 12µm thick TiO<sub>2</sub> photoanodes (0.16 cm<sup>2</sup>) assembled into standard sealed sandwiched cells with Pt

sheet counter electrode, iodide/triiodide electrolyte was introduced into the space between the photoanodes. Figure 6 shows the typical I-V characteristics of the dye-sensitized solar cells using various Zn(II) porphyrin dyes using iodide/triiodide electrolyte. Table 3 lists the photovoltaic parameters of Zn(II) dyes under AM 1.5G solar light illumination (power 100 mW  $cm^{-2}$ ) with an active area of 0.16  $cm^{2}$ . We have obtained the photon-to-current efficiency (PCE) in the range of 2.1 to 4.2%. Among all, the best performance of was obtained with RA-**195-Zn** after soaking for 20 hours. **RA-195-Zn** exhibited  $V_{oc}$ ,  $J_{sc}$ and fill factor values of 560 mV, 10.8 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and 0.70, respectively with the PCE value of (n) 4.23% at AM 1.5G full sunlight illumination. Similar open circuit voltage  $(V_{oc})$  was observed for these dyes, as expected since they have similar electronic configuration whereas much higher short circuit current density (J<sub>sc</sub>) was observed for RA-195-Zn due to extended  $\pi$ -conjugation between pyrene and Zn(II) porphyrin.<sup>6a,6b</sup> The power conversion efficiency ( $\eta$ ) of these dyes follows the order:

phenyl ( $\eta$  = 2.11%) < 4'-*tert*-butylphenyl ( $\eta$  = 2.19 %) < 4'-Bromophenyl ( $\eta$  = 3.07 %) < 2'-thienyl ( $\eta$  = 3.13%) < pyrenyl ( $\eta$  = 4.23%)



Figure 6. The typical I-V characteristics of the DSSCs using various Zn(II) porphyrin dyes.



Figure 7. IPCE action spectra of Zn(II) porphyrin dyes (RA-191-Zn to RA-195-Zn).

Zn-Porphyrin	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	J <sub>sc</sub> (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	η (%)	IPCE (%)
RA-191-Zn	0.53	5.7	70	2.11	21
RA-192-Zn	0.53	8.3	70	3.07	31
RA-193-Zn	0.56	8.0	70	3.13	29
RA-194-Zn	0.52	6.5	65	2.19	13
RA-195-Zn	0.55	11.0	70	4.23	52

The variation in  $\eta$  values clearly indicates the effect extended  $\pi$ -conjugation and donor ability of **R** groups appended on porphyrin  $\pi$ -skeleton. Further, we are trying to probe for the possibility of enhanced charge separation and broad absorption of pyrenyl dye (**RA-195-Zn**) on TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. The attempts to improve the efficiencies of these dyes by appending various efficient electron donors are in progress.

The Incident photon to current efficiency (IPCE) spectra were measured using a Newport IPCE system. The IPCE was performed as a function of incident wavelength to evaluate the photovoltaic performance. The IPCE spectra of fabricated solar cells is shown in Figure 7 which resemble the absorption spectra of the Zn(II) porphyrins adsorbed on TiO<sub>2</sub> films (Figure 3), exhibiting characteristic peaks of moderate intensity in the 400-470 and 500-650 nm region. The IPCE values are listed in Table 3. Among all, the solar cell RA-195-Zn exhibited good IPCE response (52%) which is consistent with a higher J<sub>sc</sub> value (Table 3) as compared to other dyes. RA-192-Zn and RA-193-Zn possess moderate while RA-191-Zn and RA-194-Zn exhibit lower IPCE values at the Soret and Q absorption bands which is consistent with the trends of the corresponding Soret and Q absorption bands. The I-V curves under standard AM 1.5G illumination are in qualitative agreement with the photoaction spectra of these porphyrin dyes. Notably, RA-195-Zn exhibited high plateau at Soret and Q band region as compared to other dyes which is responsible for highest current density for RA-195-Zn. This observation may be ascribed to the enhanced conjugation by pyrene moiety to the porphyrin  $\pi$ -system which obviously led to red-shift and broadened absorption bands and thus as enhance the current density.

#### **DFT Studies**

We have optimized the geometries of synthesized Zn(II) porphyrin dyes using B3LYP functional and LanL2DZ basis set. All these porphyrins are exhibiting planar confirmation of the porphyrin core. The *meso*-substituents (mesityl, different donor moieties and carboxyphenyl) are nearly perpendicular to the porphyrin plane. Figures S25 and S26 in the SI depict the frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs) of synthesized Zn(II) porphyrin dyes having various donor moieties. In general, these porphyrins show typical TPP (having acceptor groups) type molecular orbitals  $a_{1u}$ ,  $a_{2u}$  and  $e_g$ , i.e HOMO is  $a_{2u}$ , HOMO-1 is  $a_{1u}$ ; LUMO and LUMO+1 are  $e_g$  orbitals, respectively (Figures S25 and S26, SI). Further, LUMO+2 represents the

acceptor carboxy phenyl moiety. This study clearly indicates that there could be the possibility of charge transfer from HOMO (porphyrin core) to LUMO+2 (carboxyphenyl moiety).

### Conclusions

We have designed and synthesized a new series of *trans*-A<sub>2</sub>BC porphyrins having various donor groups in three steps with good yields. These porphyrins were characterized by UV-Visible, fluorescence and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic techniques and mass spectrometry. CV studies revealed the cathodic shift in reduction potentials as compared to ZnTPP indicating electron donation from **R** groups. These dyes exhibited the power conversion efficiency from 2.1 to 4.2% which depend upon electron donating ability of R group. Among all, pyrenyl appended porphyrin (**RA-195-Zn**) exhibited 4.2% power conversion efficiency possibly due to extended  $\pi$ -conjugation. The systematic studies to support extended  $\pi$ -conjugation between porphyrin  $\pi$ -system and pyrenyl moiety is in progress. The attempts to improve the efficiencies of these dyes by appending various efficient electron donors are in progress.

# **Experimental Section**

### **Chemicals and materials**

All aromatic aldehydes employed in present work were purchased from Alfa Aesar, India and used as received. Silica gel (100 - 200 mesh) used for column chromatography was purchased from Rankem, India and used as received. TBAPF<sub>6</sub> used in cyclic voltammetric studies was obtained from Alfa Aesar, India and recrysatallized twice from ethanol followed by drying under vacuum at 60 °C for 10 h.

### Instrumentation and methods

UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured in distilled CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> using a Agilent Cary 100 spectrophotometer with a pair of quartz cells of 10 mm path length and the fluorescence emission spectra were recorded on a Hitachi F-4600 spectrofluorometer using a quartz cell of 10 mm path length. Elemental analysis was carried out using ElementarVario EL II instrument. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 500 MHz and JEOL ECX 400 MHz spectrometers using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as a solvent containing 0.03% TMS (v/v). MALDI-TOF-MS spectra were measured using a BrukerUltrafleXtreme-TN MALDI-TOF/TOF spectrometer using HABA as a matrix and the ESI mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker Daltanics microTOF mass spectrometer in positive ion mode using acetonitrile as solvent. DFT studies were carried out using B3LYP functional with LANLD2Z basis set with Gaussian 03 software package. Cyclic voltammetric measurements were carried out using CHI 620E instrument in triple distilled CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>containing 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> as supporting electrolyte under argon atmosphere. A three electrode assembly was used consisting of a Pt disk working electrode, Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode and a Pt-wire as a counter electrode. UV-Vis absorption spectra of Zn(II) porphyrins on TiO<sub>2</sub> films were recorded on a Analytik Jena spectrophotometer (model

#### ARTICLE

specord 210). IPCE was measured using a 150 W Xe lamp (ORIEL) fitted with a monochromator (Corerstone 260) as the monochromatic light source.

### The fabrication of DSSCs

Fluorine-doped SnO<sub>2</sub> glass (TEC-15, 2.2 mm thickness, Solaronix) was used for transparent conducting electrodes. The substrate was first cleaned in an ultrasonic bath using a detergent solution, acetone and ethanol, respectively (each step was 20 min long). The FTO glass plates were immersed into a 40 mM aqueous TiCl<sub>4</sub> solution at 70 °C for 30 min and washed with water and ethanol. A screen-printed double layer film of interconnected TiO<sub>2</sub> particles were used as mesoporous negative electrode. A 7  $\mu$ m thick film of 20-nm-sized TiO<sub>2</sub> particles was first printed on the fluorine-doped SnO<sub>2</sub> conducting glass electrode and further coated by a 5  $\mu$ m thick second layer of 400-nm sized light scattering anatase particles.

The TiO<sub>2</sub>-coated electrodes (active area 0.16 cm<sup>2</sup>) were gradually heated under air flow at 325 °C for 5 min, at 375 °C for 5 min, at 450 °C for 15 min, and 500 °C for 15 min. After the sintering process, the TiO<sub>2</sub> film was treated with 40 mM TiCl<sub>4</sub> solution, then rinsed with water and ethanol. The electrodes were heated at 500 °C for 30 min and after cooling (80 °C) were immersed for 16 h into sensitizing baths containing EtOH/THF (volume ratio: 1/4) solutions of the porphyrin in 0.2 mM concentration and when required with 0.4 mM of 3a,7adihydroxy-5b-cholic acid (CDCA) (Solaronix, Switzerland) was added. After washing with THF and drying by air flow, electrolyte (AN-50, Solarnix, Switzerland) solution was introduced into the space between the photoanodes and Ptsheet counter electrode.

### Synthetic Procedures

5-Mesityldipyrromethane was prepared using literature methods.<sup>15</sup> 5g of mesitaldehyde (0.034 mol) was taken in 300 mL of 0.18 M aqueous HCl. To this, 7 ml of pyrrole (0.101 mol) was added. The reaction mixture was purged with argon and then stirred in dark for overnight at room temperature. At the end of this period, the reaction mixture was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, washed with water and dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The product was purified by silica column using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluent. The yield of the desired product was found to be 4.5 g, 0.017 mol (50% yield).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* (ppm) 7.94 (s, 2H, NH), 6.87 (s, 2H, *meso*-phenyl-H), 6.66 (d, 2H, J = 1.5 Hz, *α*-pyrrole-H), 6.18 (q, 2H, J = 3 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 6.01 (s, 2H, β'-pyrrole-H), 5.93 (s, 1H, *meso*-CH), 2.28 (s, 3H, *p*-CH<sub>3</sub> of Mesityl), 2.07 (s, 6H, *o*-CH<sub>3</sub> of Mesityl).

### General procedure for the synthesis of 1:

5-Mesityldipyrromethane (3.78mmol), 4-formylbenzoate (1.89 mmol) and the corresponding aromatic aldehyde (1.89 mmol) were taken in a two-neck RB flask containing 800 ml of distilled CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The reaction mixture was purged with argon for 20 minutes followed by addition of trifluoroacetic acid (2.26

mmol). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred in dark for 3 hours. At the end of this period, DDQ (3.78 mmol) was added and allowed to stir for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was neutralized with triethylamine, concentrated to small volume and loaded on silica column, eluted using 1:1 hexane/chloroform mixture. The desired product was obtained as second fraction (Scheme 2) which was further recrystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH mixture (1:3, v/v).The yield was found to be 10-12%.

### 5-(4'-carbomethoxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-phenyl-

**porphyrin (1a):** 0.14 g, 0.184 mmol (10% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) 8.80 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.74 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.70 (t, 4H,*J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.42 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *meso-o*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.31 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *meso-m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.22 (dd, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *meso-o*-phenyl-H), 7.79-7.72 (m, 3H, *meso-m* and *p*-phenyl-H), 7.28 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 4.10 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.63 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 12H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), -2.64 (s, 2H, N-H). MALDI-TOF-MS (m/z): found 757.82 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 757.35 for C<sub>52</sub>H<sub>44</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

### 5-(4'-carbomethoxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(4'-

**bromophenyl)porphyrin (1b):** 0.16 g, 0.157 mmol (10.1% yield).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 8.78 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz,  $\beta$ -pyrrole-H), 8.74 (d, 2H, *J* = 5 Hz,  $\beta$ -pyrrole-H), 8.71 (asym t, 4H, *J* = 4.5 Hz,  $\beta$ -pyrrole-H), 8.43 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, meso-ocarbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.31 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, meso-mcarbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.09 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, meso-obromophenyl-H), 7.28 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, meso-mbromophenyl-H), 7.29 (s, 4H, meso-m-mesityl-H), 4.11 (s, 3H, OCH3), 2.63 (s, 6H, meso-p-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.83 (s, 12H, meso-o-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), -2.66 (s, 2H, N-H). MALDI-TOF-MS (m/z): found 836.89 [M]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 836.29 for C<sub>52</sub>H<sub>43</sub>BrN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

### 5-(4'-carbomethoxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(2'-thienyl)-

**porphyrin (1c):** 0.155 g, 0.157 mmol (11.1% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 9.01 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz,  $\beta$ -pyrrole-H), 8.73 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz,  $\beta$ -pyrrole-H), 8.72-8.67 (m, 4H,  $\beta$ -pyrrole-H), 8.42 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *meso-o*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.31 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 7.91 (d, 1H, *J* = 3 Hz, a-thienyl-H), 7.82 (d, 1H, *J* = 5 Hz,  $\gamma$ -thienyl-H), 7.49 (t, 1H, *J* = 4 Hz,  $\beta$ -thienyl-H), 7.28 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 4.11 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.63 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 12H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), -2.58 (s, 2H, N-H). MALDI-TOF-MS (m/z): found 763.99 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 763.31 for C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S.

### 5-(4'-carbomethoxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(4'-tert-

**butylphenyl)porphyrin (1d):** 0.180 g, 0.221mmol (11.7% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) 8.85 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, βpyrrole-H), 8.72 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.69 (t, 4H, *J* = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.42 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-o*carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.31 (d, 2H,*J* = 8 Hz, *meso-m*carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.14 (d, 2H,*J* = 8 Hz, *meso-o*-<sup>t</sup>butylphenyl-H), 7.75 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *meso-m*-<sup>t</sup>butylphenyl-H), 7.28 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 4.10 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.63 (s,

6H, meso-p-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 12H, meso-o-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.60n (s, 12H, CH<sub>3</sub><sup>-t</sup>butylphenyl-H), -2.62 (s, 2H, N-H). MALDI-TOF-MS (m/z): found 814.05  $[M+H]^+$ , calcd. 814.42 for  $C_{56}H_{54}N_4O_2$ .

**5-(4'-carbomethoxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(2'-pyrenyl)porphyrin (1e):** 0.172 g, 0.195mmol (10.3% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* (ppm) 8.80 (d, 1H, *J* = 5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.77 (d, 2H, *J* = 5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.73 (d, 2H, *J* = 5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.51 (d, 1H, *J* = 6 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.59 (d, 2H, *J* = 5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.51 (d, 1H, *J* = 6 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.47-8.43 (m, 4H,*meso-o*-and *m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.40 (d, 1H, *J* = 9 Hz, pyrenyl- H), 8.37-8.30 (m, 4H, pyrenyl- H), 8.15-8.05 (m, 2H, pyrenyl- H), 7.72 (d, 1H, *J* = 9 Hz, pyrenyl- H), 7.51 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.5 Hz, pyrenyl- H), 7.26 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 4.12 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.60 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH3 mesityl-H), 1.89 (s, 6H, *meso-o*-CH3 mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 6H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), -2.42 (s, 2H, N-H). MALDI-TOF-MS (m/z): found 882.08 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 881.39 for C<sub>62</sub>H<sub>48</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

### General procedure for the synthesis of 2:

Monoester porphyrin (0.10 mmol) (**1a-1e**) was dissolved in 20 ml of THF. To this, KOH (10 mmol) in 0.5 ml water was added and heated at 80 °C for 24 h. At the end of this period, THF was removed under vacuum. The crude porphyrin was treated with 15 ml of 2N HCl affording green precipitate which was filtered and washed with excess of water thrice and dried. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using 3% methanol in chloroform as eluent. The yield of the product was found to be quantitative.

### 5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-phenylporphyrin

**(2a)**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* (ppm) 8.81 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.77 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.73 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.71 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.54 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-o*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.38 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.23 (d, 2H, *J* = 7 Hz, *meso-o*-phenyl-H), 7.79-7.73 (m, 3H, *meso-m*- and *p*-phenyl-H), 7.30 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 2.64 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.86 (s, 12H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), -2.61 (s, 2H, N-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 743.33 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 743.34 for C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 82.45%; H, 5.70%; N, 7.54% and found: C, 82.61%; H, 5.78%; N, 7.35%.

### 5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(4'-bromophenyl)-

**porphyrin (2b):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) 8.78 (t, 4H, J = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.73 (t, 4H, J = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.54 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-o*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.38 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.10 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.10 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-bromophenyl-H), 7.90 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-bromophenyl-H), 7.30 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 2.64 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.85 (s, 12H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), -2.64 (s, 2H, N-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 823.23 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 823.27 for C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>41</sub>BrN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Elemental analysis calcd. For C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>41</sub>BrN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 74.54%; H, 5.03%; N, 6.82% and found: C, 74.37%; H, 5.15%; N, 6.89%.

5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(2'-thienyl)-

**porphyrin (2c):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):δ (ppm) 9.03 (d, 2H,J

= 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.77 (d, 2H, J = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.72 (d, 4H, J = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.55 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, meso-ocarbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.38 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, meso-mcarbomethoxyphenyl-H), 7.93 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz, a-thienyl-H), 7.85 (d, 1H, J = 5 Hz, γ-thienyl-H), 7.50 (t, 1H, J = 4 Hz, β-thienyl-H), 7.30 (s, 4H, meso-m-mesityl-H), 2.65 (s, 6H, meso-p-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.86 (s, 12H, meso-o-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), -2.55 (s, 2H, N-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 749.28 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 749.30 for C<sub>49</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S. Elemental analysis calcd. For C<sub>49</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: C, 78.58%; H, 5.38%; N, 7.48%; S, 4.28% and found: C, 78.83%; H, 5.65%; N, 7.34%; S, 4.16%.

### 5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(4'-<sup>t</sup>butylphenyl)-

**porphyrin (2d):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) 8.86 (d, 2H, J = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.75 (d, 2H, J = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.71 (asym t, 4H, β-pyrrole-H), 8.49 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-o*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.36 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.14 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-o*-t<sup>b</sup>butylphenyl-H), 7.75 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-t<sup>b</sup>butylphenyl-H), 7.29 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 2.63 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub> mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 12H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.61(s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>-t<sup>b</sup>butylphenyl-H), -2.62 (s, 2H, N-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 799.39 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 799.40 for C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>50</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Elemental analysis calcd. For C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>50</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 82.68%; H, 6.31%; N, 7.01% and found: C, 82.90%; H, 6.20%; N, 6.81%.

### 5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(2'-pyrenyl)-

**porphyrin (2e)**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* (ppm) 8.81 (s, 1H, β-pyrrole-H), 8.78 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.74 (d, 2H, *J* = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.59 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.51 (s, 1H, β-pyrrole-H), 8.50 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-o*carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.45 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-m*carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.41-8.38 (m, 2H, pyrenyl- H), 8.37-8.30 (m, 3H, pyrenyl- H), 8.13-8.03 (m, 2H, pyrenyl- H), 7.73 (d, 1H, *J* = 8 Hz, pyrenyl- H), 7.51 (d, 1H, *J* = 8 Hz, pyrenyl- H), 7.26 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 2.60 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.89 (s, 6H,*meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub> mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 6H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub> mesityl-H), -2.44 (s, 2H, N-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 867.36 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 867.37 for C<sub>61</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Elemental analysis calcd. For C<sub>61</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 84.50%; H, 5.35%; N, 6.46% and found: C, 84.62%; H, 5.49%; N, 6.55%.

#### General procedure for the synthesis of 3:

**2a-2e** (0.066 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL CHCl<sub>3</sub>. To this, 10 equivalent of  $Zn(OAc) \cdot 2H_2O$  (0.66 mmol) in methanol was added and refluxed on water bath for 30 minutes. At the end of this period, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in CHCl3, washed with water, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica column using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluent. The product was recrystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH mixture (1:3, v/v) to give a purple solid with 92-95% yield.

**5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-phenyl-porphyrinatozinc(II) (RA-191-Zn**): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* (ppm) 8.89 (s, 2H, β-pyrrole-H), 8.84 (s, 2H, β-pyrrole-H), 8.77 (t, 4H, J = 4Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.50 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, meso-o-

carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.38 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, *meso-m*carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.24 (d, 2H, J = 6.5 Hz, *meso-o*phenyl-H), 7.80-7.72 (m, 3H, *meso-m*- and *p*-phenyl-H), 7.29 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 2.64 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub> mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 12H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub> mesityl-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 804.23 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 804.24 for C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Zn. Elemental analysis calcd. For C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Zn: C, 75.97%; H, 5.00%; N, 6.95% and found: C, 75.79%; H, 5.11%; N, 7.17%.

ARTICLE

5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(4'-bromophenyl)porphyrinato zinc(II) (RA-192-Zn): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):δ (ppm) 8.86 (d, 4H, J = 4.5 Hz,  $\beta$ -pyrrole-H), 8.82 (s, 4H,  $\beta$ -8.51 (d, 2H, J= 7.5 Hz, meso-opyrrole-H). carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.38 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz, meso-mcarbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.12 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, meso-obromophenyl-H), 7.89 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, meso-mbromophenyl-H), 7.29 (s, 4H, meso-m-mesityl-H), 2.64 (s, 6H, meso-p-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 12H, meso-o-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 884.14  $[M]^+$ , calcd. 884.19 for  $C_{51}H_{39}BrN_4O_2Zn$ . Elemental analysis calcd. For  $C_{51}H_{39}BrN_4O_2Zn$ : C, 69.20%; H, 4.44%; N, 6.33% and found: C, 69.35%; H, 4.61%; N, 6.37%.

## 5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(2'-thienyl)-

**porphyrinato zinc(II) (RA-193-Zn):**<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):δ (ppm) 9.10 (d, 2H, *J* = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.84 (d, 2H, *J* = 3.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.79 (d, 4H, *J* = 3.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.50 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *meso-o*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.37 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *meso-m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 7.93 (s, 1H, α-thienyl-H), 7.83 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.5 Hz, γ-thienyl-H), 7.5 (s, 1H, β-thienyl-H), 7.29 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 2.64 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub> mesityl-H), 1.84 (s, 12H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 810.19 [M]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 810.20 for C<sub>49</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SZn. Elemental analysis calcd. For C<sub>49</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SZn: C, 72.45%; H, 4.72%; N, 6.90%; S, 3.95% and found: C, 72.52%; H, 4.74%; N, 6.78%; S, 3.81.

**5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(4'-<sup>t</sup>butylphenyl)porphyrinato zinc(II) (RA-194-Zn):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ(ppm) 8.94 (d, 2H, *J* = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.83 (d, 2H, *J* = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.78 (t, 4H, *J* = 4 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.48 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-o*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.37 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.16 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-o*-<sup>t</sup>butylphenyl-H), 7.75 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, *meso-m*-<sup>t</sup>butylphenyl-H), 7.29 (s, 4H,*meso-m*-mesityl-H), 2.64 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.83 (s, 12H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.61(s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>-<sup>t</sup>butylphenyl-H). ESI-MS (m/z): found 862.30 [M]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. 862.32 for C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>48</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Zn. Elemental analysis calcd. For C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>48</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Zn: C, 76.60%; H, 5.61%; N, 6.50% and found: C, 76.84%; H, 5.70%; N, 6.39%.

# 5-(4'-carboxyphenyl)-10,20-(dimesityl)-15-(2'-pyrenyl)-

**porphyrinato zinc(II) (RA-195-Zn):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ(ppm) 8.88 (bs, 2H, β-pyrrole-H), 8.84-8.78 (m, 3H, β-pyrrole-H), 8.66 (d, 2H, *J* = 3.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.51 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.5 Hz, β-pyrrole-H), 8.50-8.45 (m, 4H,*meso-o*-and *m*carbomethoxyphenyl-H), 8.41 (d, 1H pyrenyl- H), 8.38-8.29 (m, 4H, pyrenyl- H), 8.15-8.05 (m, 2H, pyrenyl- H), 7.71 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz,pyrenyl- H), 7.53 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz,pyrenyl- H), 7.26 (s, 4H, *meso-m*-mesityl-H), 2.60 (s, 6H, *meso-p*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.89 (s, 6H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>mesityl-H), 1.82 (s, 6H, *meso-o*-CH<sub>3</sub>

mesityl-H). MALDI-TOF-MS (m/z): found 928.28  $[M]^{+}$ , calcd. 928.28 for  $C_{61}H_{44}N_4O_2Zn$ . Elemental analysis calcd. For  $C_{61}H_{44}N_4O_2Zn$ : C, 78.74%; H, 4.77%; N, 6.02% and found: C, 78.83%; H, 4.87%; N, 6.10%.

## Acknowledgements

MS sincerely thanks Science and Engineering Research Board (SB/FT/CS-015/2012) and Board of Research in Nuclear Science (2012/37C/61/BRNS/ 2776) for funding. RK thanks Ministry of Human Resource development (MHRD), Govt. of India for fellowship. We sincerely thank department of biotechnology, IIT Roorkee for carrying out MALDI-TOF mass analysis.

### Notes and references

- (a) B. O'Regan and M. Grätzel, Nature, 1991, 353, 737; (b) A. Hagfeldt, G. Boschloo, L. Sun, L. Kloo and H. Pettersson, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 6595; (c) M. K. Nazeeruddin, A. Kay, I. Rodicio, R. Humphry-Baker, E. Muller, P. Liska, N. Vlachopoulosand M. Grätzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 6382; (d) Z. Ning, Y. Fuand and H. Tian, Energy Environ. Sci., 2010, 3, 1170; (e) M. K. Nazeeruddin, P. Pechy, T. Renouard, S. M. Zakeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Comte, P. Liska, L. Cevey, E. Costa, V. Shklover, L. Spiccia, G. B. Deacon, C. A. Bignozzi and M. Grätzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 1613; (f) M. Grätzel, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1788; (g) B. E. Hardin, H. J. Snaith and M. D. McGehee, Nat. Photonics, 2012, 6, 162; (i) S. Zhang, X. Yang, Y. Numata and L. Han, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013,6, 1443.
- (a) M. K. Nazeeruddin, F. D. Angelis, S. Fantacci, A. Selloni, G. Viscardi, P. Liska, S. Ito, B. Takeru and M. Grätzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 16835; (b) F. Gao, Y. Wang, D. Shi, J. Zhang, M. Wang, X. Jing, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Wang, S. M. Zakeeruddin and M. Grätzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, **130**, 10720; (c) L. Han, A. Islam, H. Chen, C. Malapaka, B. Chiranjeevi, S. Zhang, X. Yang and M. Yanagida, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, **5**, 6057; (d) Q. Yu, Y. Wang, Z. Yi, N. Zu, J. Zhang, M. Zhang and P. Wang, ACS Nano, 2010, **4**, 6032; (e) C.-Y. Chen, M. Wang, J.-Y. Li, N. Pootrakulchote, L. Alibabaei, C.-H. Ngoc-le, J.-D. Decoppet, J.-H. Tsai, C. Grätzel, C.-G. Wu, S. M. Zakeeruddin and M. Grätzel, ACS Nano, 2009, **3**, 3103.
- 3 (a) P. Wang, C. Klein, J.-E. Moser, R. Humphry-Baker, N.-L. Cevey-Ha, R. Charvet, P. Comte, S. M. Zakeeruddin and M. Grätzel, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2004, **108**, 17, 553; (b) P. Wang, S. M. Zakeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, J. E. Moser, and M. Grätzel, *Adv. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 2101; (c) P. Wang, S. M. Zakeeruddin, J. E. Moser, M. K. Nazeeruddin, T. Sekiguchi and M. Grätzel, *Nat. Mater.*, 2003, **2**, 402.

4 (a) T. Horiuchi, H. Miura and S. Uchida, Chem. Commun., 2003, 3036; (b) T. Horiuchi, H. Miura, K. Sumioka and S. Uchida, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 12218; (c) S. Ito, S. M. Zakeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Liska, R. Charvet, P. Comte, M. K. Nazeeruddin, P. Pechy, M. Takata, H. Miura, S. Uchidaand M. Grätzel, Adv. Mater., 2006, 18, 1202; (d) K. Hara, T. Sato, R. Katoh, A. Furube, Y. Ohga, A. Shinpo, S. Suga, K. Sayama, H. Sugihara and H. Arakawa, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2003, 107, 597; (e) A. Morandeira, G. Boschloo, A. Hagfeldt and L. Hammarstrolm, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 19403; (f) N. Koumura, Z.-S. Wang, S. Mori, M. Miyashita, E. Suzuki and K. Hara, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 14256; (g) S. Kim, H. Choi, D. Kim, K. Song, S. O. Kang and J. Ko, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 9206; (h) I. Jung, J. K. Lee, K. H. Song, K. Song, S. O. Kang and J. Ko, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 3652;

### 8 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

(i) D. P. Hagberg, T. Edvinsson, T. Marinado, G. Boschloo, A. Hagfeldt and L. Sun, Chem. Commun. 2006, 2245; (j) M. Velusamy, K. R. Justin Thomas, J. T. Lin, Y.-C. Hsu and K.-C. Ho, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 1899; (k) M. Liang, W. Xu, F. Cai, P. Chen, B. Peng, J. Chen and Z. Li, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 4465; (I) S. Ferrere, A. Zaban and B. A. Gregg, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1997, 101, 4490; (m) S. Ferrere and B. A. Gregg, New J. Chem., 2002, 26, 1155; (n) Y. Shibano, T. Umeyama, Y. Matano and H. Imahori, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 1971; (o) A. Ehret, L. Stuhl and M. T. Spitler, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2001, 105, 9960; (p) S. Ushiroda, N. Ruzycki, Y. Lu, M. T. Spitler and B. A. Parkinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 5158; (q) S. Tatay, S. A Haque, B. O'Regan, J. R. Durrant, W. J. H. Verhees, J. M. Kroon, A. Vidal-Ferran, P. Gavina and E. Palomares, J. Mater. Chem., 2007, 17, 3037; (r) Q.-H. Yao, L. Shan, F.-Y. Li, D.-D. Yin and C.-H. Huang, New J. Chem., 2003, 27, 1277; (s) Y.-S. Chen, C. Li, Z.-H. Zeng, W.-B. Wang, X.-S. Wang and B.-W. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 1654; (t) K. Kakiage, Y. Aoyama, T. Yano, K. Oya, J.-i. Fujisawa and M. Hanaya, Chem. Commun., 2015, **51**, 15894.

- 5 (a) Y. Bai, J. Zhang, D. Zhou, Y. Wang, M. Zhang and P. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, **133**, 11442; (b) D. Joly, L. Pellejà, S. Narbey, F. Oswald, J. Chiron, J. N. Clifford, E. Palomares and R. Demadrille, Sci. Rep., 2014, **4**, 4033; (c) Y. Wu, M. Marszalek, S. M. Zakeeruddin, Q. Zhang, H. Tian, M. Grätzel and W. Zhu, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, **5**, 8261.
- 6 (a) L.-L. Li and E. W.-G. Diau, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 291;
  (b) J. Lu, S. Liu, H. Li, Y. Shen, J. Xu, Y. Cheg and M. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 17495;
  (c) M. G. Walter, A. B. Rudine and C. C Wamser, *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines*, 2010, **14**, 760;
  (d) R. Kumar and M. Sankar, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 12706.
- (a) T. Wei, X. Sun, X. Li, H. Ågren and Y. Xie, ACS Appl. Mater. 7 Interfaces, 2015, 7, 21956; (b) Y. Wang, B. Chen, W. Wu, X. Li, W. Zhu, H. Tian and Y. Xie, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 10779; (c) T. Higashino and H. Imahori, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 448; (d) W. M. Campbell, A. K. Burrell, D. L. Officer and K. W. Jolley, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2004, 248, 1363; (e) M. Urbani, M. Grätzel, M. K. Nazeeruddin and T. Torres, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 12330; (f) X. Sun, Y. Wang, X. Li, H. Ågren, W. Zhu, H. Tian and Y. Xie, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 15609; (g) H. Imahori, T. Umeyama and S. Ito, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1809; (h) B. Liu, W. Zhu, Y. Wang, W. Wu, X. Li, B. Chen, Y.-T. Long and Y. Xie, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 7434; (i) M. V. Martinez-Diaz, G. de la Torreand T. Torres, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7090; (j) M. J. Griffith, K. Sunahara, P. Wagner, K. Wagner, G. G. Wallace, D. L. Officer, A. Furube, R. Katoh, S. Mori and A. J. Mozer, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 4145; (k) Y. Wang, X. Li, B. Liu, W. Wu, W. Zhu and Y. Xie, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 14780 (I) K. Ladomenou, T. N. Kitsopoulos, G. D. Sharma and A. G. Coutsolelos, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 21379.
- A. Yella, H. W. Lee, H. N. Tsao, C. Yi, A. K. Chandiran, M. K. Nazeeruddin, E. W.-G. Diau, C. Y. Yeh, S. M. Zakeeruddin and M. Grätzel, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 629.
- 9 (a) A. Yella, C.-L. Mai, S. M. Zakeeruddin, S.-N. Chang, C.-H. Hsieh, C.-Y. Yeh and M. Grätzel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 2973; (b) S. Mathew, A. Yella, P. Gao, R. Humphry-Baker, F. E. CurchodBasile, N. Ashari-Astani, I. Tavernelli, U. Rothlisberger, M. K. Nazeeruddin and M. Grätzel, *Nat.Chem*, 2014, 6, 242.
- 10 H. He, A. Gurung, L. Si and A. G. Sykes, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 7619.
- (a) C. Y. Lee and J. T. Hupp, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 3760; (b) C.
   Y. Lee, C. X. She, N. C. Jeong and J. T. Hupp, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 6090; (c) Y. C. Chang, C. L. Wang, T. Y. Pan, S. H. Hong, C. M. Lan, H. H. Kuo, C. F. Lo, H. Y. Hsu, C. Y. Lin and E. W.-G. Diau, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 8910; (d) C.-L. Wang, C.-M. Lan, S.-H. Hong, Y.-F. Wang, T.-Y. Pan, C.-W. Chang, H.-

H. Kuo, M.-Y. Kuo, E. W.-G. Diau and C.-Y. Lin, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 6933; (e) T. Ripolles-Sanchis, B. C. Guo, H. P. Wu, T. Y. Pan, H. W. Lee, S. R. Raga, F. Fabregat-Santiago, J. Bisquert, C. Y. Yeh and E. W.-G. Diau, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 4368.

- (a) L. L. Chng, C. J. Chang and D. G. Nocera, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 4075; (b) H. Imahori, S. Hayashi, T. Umeyama, S. Eu, A. Oguro, S. Kang, Y. Matano, T. Shishido, S. Ngamsinlapasathian and S. Yoshikawa, Langmuir, 2006, 22, 11405; (c) S. Eu, S. Hayashi, T. Umeyama, A. Oguro, M. Kawasaki, N. Kadota, Y. Matano and H. Imahori, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 3528; (d) S. Eu, S. Hayashi, T. Umeyama, Y. Matano, Y. Araki and H. Imahori, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 4396; (e) S. Hayashi, Y. Matsubara, S. Eu, H. Hayashi, T. Umeyama, Y. Matano, Y. Araki and H. Imahori, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 37, 846; (f) A. Kira, M. Tanaka, T. Umeyama, Y. Matano, N. Yoshimoto, Y. Zhang, S. Ye, H. Lehtivuori, N. V. Tkachenko, H. Lemmetyinen and H. Imahori, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 13618.
- (a) O. Taratula, J. Rochford, P. Piotrowiak, E. Galoppini, R. A. Carlisle and G. J. Meyer, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 15734;
  (b) T.-I. Ho, A. Elangovan, H.-Y. Hsu and S.-W. Yang, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 8626;
  (c) B. N.Boden, K. J. Jardine, A. C. W. Leung and M. MacLachlan, *J. Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 1855;
  (d) C.-W. Wan, A. Burghart, J. Chen, F. Bergstrom, L. B.-A. Johansson, M. F. Wolford, T. G. Kim, M. R. Topp, R. M. Hochstrasser and K. Burgess, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 4430;
  (e) P. G. Hoertz, R. A. Carlisle and G. J. Meyer, *Nano Lett.*, 2003, **3**, 325.
- (a) J.-J. Kim, H. Choi, J.-W. Lee, M.-S. Kang, K. Song, S. O. Kang and J. Ko, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, **18**, 5223; (b) J. A. Shelnutt, K. D. Straub, P. M. Rentzepis, M. Gouterman and E. R. Davidson, *Biochemistry*, 1984, **23**, 3946.
- (a) T. Rohand, E. Dolusic, T. H. Ngo, W. Maes and W. Dehaen, *Arkivoc*, 2007, 307; (b) B. Kaszarna and D. T. Gryko, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 3707.



**Graphical Abstract** 

Zn(II) porphyrin dyes have been synthesized in three steps and exhibited power conversion efficiencies of 2.1 to 4.2% which depends on electron donating ability of **R** group.