NJC Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/njc

NJC

PAPER

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



Manuscrip spectrum for enhancement of sunlight harvesting, appropriate HOMO and LUMO levels for high open circuit voltage (Voc) (and efficient charge separation) and high hole mobility. Apart from optical and electronic properties, polymer PCBM blend should form interpenetrated network morphology on nanoscale.²⁴ In order to improve the performance of the PSC, the synthetic versatility of organic chemistry is used for the development of novel materials. Over the past several years 4,8-bis(2-ethylhexyloxy)benzo[1,2-b:4,5b']dithiophene (BDT) has been incorporated in the polymer backbone extensively as the donor unit. Since the first introduction of BDT unit, it was coupled with thieno[3,4-b]thiophene (TT),^{25,26} dithiophene-2-yl-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (DTBT),²⁷ thieno [3,4c]pyrrole-4,6-dione (TPD)²⁸⁻³⁰ and diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) to form copolymers.³¹ BDT unit offers several advantages like strong electron donating ability, large planar structure providing high hole mobility and strong intramolecular charge transfer.³²⁻³⁶ Therefore, in this study, 4,8-bis(2-alkoxy)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene (BDT) was incorporated in benzotriazole (BTZ) and thiophene bearing polymer backbone due to aforementioned properties. Previously, Zou et al reported BTZ and BTD containing polymers with a highest PCE of 1.7%.³⁷ In this work, electrochemical, optical and photovoltaic properties of the PTBTBDT were investigated.

Synthesis of a Benzotriazole Bearing Alternating Copolymer For an **Organic Photovoltaic Application**

Cagla Istanbulluoglu^a, Seza Göker^a, Gonul Hizalan^a, Serife O. Hacioglu^a, Yasemin Arslan Udum^b Esra D. Yildiz^c, Ali Cirpan^{a,d,e} and Levent Toppare^{a,d,e,f}

A low band gap donor-acceptor (D-A) copolymer PTBTBDT namely poly(2-dodecyl-4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl)-2Hbenzo[d][1,2,3]triazole-alt- 4,8-bis(2-ethylhexyloxy)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene) was designed and synthesized via Pdcatalyzed Stille polycondensation reaction. The polymer was characterized by 1H NMR spectroscopy, UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, and gel permeation chromatography (GPC). PTBTBDT has good solubility in common organic solvents, good thermal stability, broad absorption, low band gap and exhibits not only high hole mobilities but also moderate photovoltaic properties. PTBTBDT revealed broad absorption in the wavelength range of 300 nm to 630 nm, and the HOMO and LUMO energy levels were calculated as -4.98 eV and -3.34 eV, respectively. Bulk heterojunction solar cells were fabricated using PTBTBDT as the electron donor and PC₇₀BM as the acceptor. The device exhibits a power conversion efficiency of 2.12 % with a current density of 5.45 mA/ cm², an open-circuit voltage of 0.72 V, and a fill factor of 54 % under illumination of AM 1.5 G, 100 mW cm⁻². Under similar device fabrication conditions, PTBTBDT based device showed much improved efficiency among its previously synthesized counterparts i.e. PBDTDTBTz, and PBDTBTz based devices with 1.7 % and 1.4 % efficiencies. The hole mobility of PTBTBDT:PC₇₀BM (1:2 w/w) blend reached up to 1.47 x10⁻³ c m²V⁻¹ s⁻¹ as calculated by the space-charge-limited current (SCLC) method. By side-chain engineering, this study demonstrates a good example for tuning absorption range, energy level, charge transport, and photovoltaic properties of the polymers.

Introduction

Conducting polymers have received considerable interest as active materials for organic photovoltaics (OPVs),^{1,2} organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs),^{3,4} organic field effect transistors (OFETs),^{5,6} and electrochromic devices (ECDs).⁷⁻⁹ Due to the unique advantages of polymer solar cells (PSCs), like low cost, solution processability, application to flexible substrates, there is a growing interest towards the development of novel materials for polymer solar cell (PSC) applications.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Power conversion efficiencies (PCE) of 9 % for single junction architecture¹⁵⁻¹⁷ was improved to 10.6 % with tandem cells.¹⁸ These results have been achieved with the development of novel donor acceptor moieties together with the device processing innovations.^{16,19-23} Recent research has focused on the development of new materials and morphology control of the active layer. Ideal polymer should have broad absorption

^{a.} Department of Chemistry, Middle East Technical University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

^{b.} Institute of Science and Technology Department of Advanced Technologies, Gazi University, 06570 Ankara, Turkey

^{c.} Department of Physics, Hitit University, 19030 Corum, Turkey

^{d.} The Center for Solar Energy Research and Application (GÜNAM), Middle East Technical University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

e. Department of Polymer Science and Technology, Middle East Technical

University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

^{f.} Department of Biotechnology, Middle East Technical University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

Paper

Experimental

Materials

1H-Benzotriazole, 1-bromododecane, potassium tert-butoxide, tributyltin chloride, chloride. ammonium bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride, Nbromosuccinimide, sodium bicarbonate, bromine, hydrobromic acid, thiophene, magnesium sulphate, acetic acid, methanol, dichloromethane, hexane, chloroform, 2,6-bis(trimethylstannyl)-4,8-bis(2-ethylhexyloxy)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Co. Ltd and used without further purification. The $PC_{70}BM$ was obtained from Solenne. All reactions were carried out under argon atmosphere unless otherwise mentioned. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled over Na/benzophenone ketyl. Tributyl(thiophene-2-yl)stannane, 2-2-dodecyl-4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl)-2Hdodecylbenzotriazole and benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (TBT) were synthesized according to previously described methods. 38

Characterization

For cyclic voltammetry studies, polymer was dissolved in CHCl₃ (5mg/mL) and spray coated onto ITO coated glass substrate using an Omni spray coating gun. The polymer thickness was less than a micron in order to be able to study its electrochromic properties. Three electrode system was constructed, ITO substrate was used as the working electrode, Pt wire as the counter electrode and Ag wire as the reference electrode which was calibrated against Fc/Fc⁺ reference electrode. Ferrocene was purchased from Aldrich and used without any purification. 0.1 M TBAPF₆ was used as the supporting electrolyte. In the spectroelectrochemistry studies, polymer film was coated on ITO electrode and dipped into monomer free solution. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker SpectroSpin Avance DPX-400 spectrometer, with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal reference; chemical shifts were recorded in ppm. The UV-Vis spectra were recorded on Varian Cary 5000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer at room temperature. Cyclic voltammetry studies were carried out in a solution of 0.1 M of tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate in anhydrous acetonitrile (ACN) solution at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. A Gamry 600 potentiostat was used with a three-electrode cell consisting of an ITO-coated glass substrate as the working electrode, Pt wire as the counter electrode, and Ag wire as the reference electrode which was calibrated against Fc/Fc+ (0.3V). HOMO and LUMO energy levels were calculated from the onset oxidation and onset reduction potentials. Molecular weight and polydispersity index of the polymer was determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analysis.

Fabrication and Characterization of Organic Solar Cell

ITO glass substrates were purchased from Visiontek Systems. ITO glass substrates were etched with acid solution and sonicated for 15 minutes with detergent, distilled water, acetone, and isopropanol, consecutively. Oxygen plasma cleaning was performed to remove organic impurities from ITO surface. Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT-PSS) solution was spin-coated on cleaned ITO surface with a thickness of 40 nm. The substrates were

heated for 10 minutes at 150°C to remove residual water. Polymer $PC_{70}BM$ blends, were prepared at different weight ratios and spincoated under N_2 atmosphere. Cathode materials (0.8 nm LiF and 100 nm Al) were thermally evaporated with an average rate of 0.08 and 1 Å/s respectively in the glove box. J–V curves were recorded with a Keithley 2400 source meter under simulated AM 1.5 G illumination of 100mW/cm². Incident photon to current efficiencies (IPCE) of solar cells was recorded by the Oriel Quantum Efficiency Measurement system.

Syntheses

Tributyl(thiophen-2-yl)stannane (1)

Thiophene (2.00 g, 23.8 mmol) and freshly distilled THF (25 ml) were added in a 100 ml three-necked flask under argon atmosphere. n-Butyl lithium (9.50 ml, 2.5 M in hexane, 23.8 mmol) was added drop wise at -78 °C. After that the solution was stirred for 1h at room temperature. After cooling to -78 °C, tributyltin chloride (23.8 ml, 28.5 mmol) was added drop wise. The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred overnight. After evaporation of solvent under reduced pressure, dichloromethane was added to the crude product and the mixture was washed with NH_4CI (saturated), brine (saturated) and distilled water until clear solution was obtained. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. After removal of solvent, a light yellow liquid was obtained. Yield: 94%

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.57 (d, *J*= 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, *J*= 3.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, *J*= 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.50 (m, *J*=7.2 Hz, 6H), 1.26 (m, *J*= 7.4 Hz, 6H), 1.03 (t, *J*= 8.4 Hz, 6H), 0.82 (t, *J*= 7.7 Hz, 9H)

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 135.2, 130.6, 127.8, 29.28, 28.95, 27.41, 27.24, 13.71, 13.64, 10.81, 8.772

2-dodecyl-4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (3)

4,7-Dibromo-2-dodecylbenzotriazole (600 mg, 1.35 mmol), and tributyl(thiophen-2-yl) stannane (1.39 g, 4.05 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous THF (40 ml) and bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride was added to the reaction medium. The mixture was refluxed for 2 days under inert atmosphere at 125 °C. After solvent evaporation under vacuum, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to obtain green solid. Yield: 85 %

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 8.03 (d, *J*= 4.7 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (s,*2H*), 7.31 (d, *J*= 5.1 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (t, *J*= 4.5 Hz, 2H), 4.75 (t, *J*= 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.13 (q, *J*= 7.3 Hz, 2H),1.38-1.17 (m, *J*= 6.5 Hz, 18H), 0.80 (t, *J*= 6.6 Hz, 3H)

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 , ppm): δ 142.1, 140.0, 128.1, 127.0, 125.5, 123.6, 122.8, 57.02, 32.04, 30.11, 29.42, 29.30, 29.01, 26.64, 22.73, 14.10

NJC

4,7-bis (5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2-dodecyl-2Hbenzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (4)

2-Dodecyl-4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (450 mg, 1.0 mmol) and N-bromosuccinimide (2.5 mmol, 445.0 mg) were dissolved in chloroform (20 ml) and glacial acetic acid (20 ml) mixture. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature in dark. The mixture was washed with NaHCO₃ and distilled water three times. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄. After solvent removal, the crude product was recrystallized with ethanol to obtain yellow solid. Yield: 78 %

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 7.73 (d, *J*= 3.9 Hz, 2H), 7.45(s,*2H*), 7.06 (d, *J*= 3.9 Hz, 2H), 4.73 (t, *J*= 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.11(q, *J*= 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.33-1.17 (m, *J*= 5.2 Hz, 18H), 0.80 (t, *J*= 6.6 Hz, 3H)

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 , ppm): δ 138.8, 128.3, 124.5, 119.5, 54.53, 29.50, 27.50, 27.00, 26.51, 24.10, 20.31, 11.60

P-TBTBDT

4,7-Bis(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2-dodecyl2Hbenzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (250 mg, 0.41 mmol), 2,6-bis(trimethylstannyl)-4,8-bis(2ethylhexyloxy)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene (316.8 mg, 0.41 mmol) and bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride (5 mol%) were refluxed at 110 °C under an argon atmosphere for 2 days. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. To remove oligomers, the crude product was washed with methanol, acetone, and hexane using Soxhlet extractor. To extract the polymer, chloroform was used and a red solid was obtained after precipitation with cold methanol. Yield: 37%

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃, δ: ppm) 7.56 (BTz), 7.31 (BDT), 7.66 (thiophene), 4.77(-OCH₂), 3.23 (N-CH₂), 2.27 (-CH), 1.96-1.68 (-CH₂), 1.18-0.79 (-CH₃).

Mn: 34 kDa, Mw: 42 kDa, PDI: 1.2

Polymer synthesis and characterization

The synthetic route toward monomer and polymer is shown in scheme 1. 4,7-Dibromo-2-dodecyl-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (2) was synthesized according to reported procedures in literature.³⁹ Compound 2 was coupled with compound 1 via Stille coupling to obtain 2-dodecyl-4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (3). Then bromination of compound (3) was performed in the presence of N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) and CHCl₃ to give compound (4). The Stille polymerization between 2,6-bis(trimethyl-stannyl)-4,8-bis(2-ethylhexyloxy)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene and monomer (4) in the of presence bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride afforded the copolymer PTBTBDT. Soxhlet extraction was performed with methanol, hexane, and acetone to remove residual catalyst. After extraction with several organic solvents, the polymer was precipitated in cold methanol. Resulting polymer has superior solubility in organic solvents like chloroform, dichlorobenzene and o-dichlorobenzene due to presence of alkyl chains on the polymer backbone. Molecular weight of the polymer was determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) using CHCl₃ as the eluent and polystyrene standards as the reference. Number average molecular weight (Mn) and weight average molecular weight (Mw) of the polymer was determined as 34 kDa and 42 kDa respectively, with a polydispersity index (PDI) of 1.2. Thermal property of PTBTBDT was investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The polymer showed no degradation up to 300°C with a 65% loss at 500°C. This thermal behaviour is good enough for organic photovoltaic applications.



Scheme 1: Synthetic route of the monomer and polymer

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Electrochemical and Optical properties

Cyclic voltammetry studies were performed in order to investigate redox properties of the P-TBTBDT. The polymer was spray coated on ITO glass electrode and single scan cyclic voltammogram of polymer performed in the potential range between -1.60 and 1.20 V. Since polymer has ambipolar (both p and n dopable) character, HOMO and LUMO energy levels were calculated from the onset of the oxidation of p-doping state and onset of the reduction of ndoping state, respectively. Cyclic voltammogram of polymer was shown in Figure 1(a). Onset of the oxidation at p-doping state is 0.53 V and onset of the reduction at n-doping state is -1.11 V. From equation 1, HOMO-LUMO energy levels were found as -4.98 eV and -3.34 eV respectively and electronic band gap was found as 1.6 eV which is the difference between HOMO and LUMO energy levels. Relatively low HOMO energy level is beneficial to obtain high open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) value, since V_{oc} is proportional to the difference between the LUMO of the acceptor and the HOMO of the donor. Table 1 summarizes optoelectronic properties of the polymer and

Eq. 1

New Journal of Chemistry Accepted I

Paper

figure 3 shows the energy level diagram of organic solar cell device construction.

TABLE 1:	Summary	of	Optical	and	Electrochemical	Properties	of
PTBTBDT							

Electrochemical Properties				Optical Properties			
Polymer	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)	Eg ^{ec} (eV)	Thin film λ _{max} (nm)	Solution λ_{max} (nm)	Eg ^{op} (eV)	
PTBTBDT	-4.98	-3.34	1.64	493/530	473/510	1.90	
HOMO	(E		75 0	200 -11			

$$HOMO = -(Eox, onset + 4.75 - 0.30) eV$$

$$LUMO = -(Ered, onset + 4.75 - 0.30) eV$$

Optical properties of copolymer were investigated in thin film and as o-DCB solution [fig. 1(b)]. Polymer has a strong absorption between 300 nm and 630 nm. The maximum absorption peaks in solution and in thin film are located at 473/510 nm and 493/530 respectively. Due to the planarity effect of the π -conjugated polymer backbone in the solid state and enhanced intermolecular interactions between the polymer main chains⁴⁰ a 20 nm red shift was observed in UV-VIS absorption spectra. Optical band gap of polymer (E_g^{opt}) was calculated from absorption spectra according to $E_g^{opt} = 1241/\lambda$. The E_g^{opt} was found as 1.9 eV.



Figure 1: a) Cyclic voltammogram of PTBTBDT in a monomer free solution of 0.1M TBAPF₆/ACN and b) Normalized UV-Vis spectra of PTBTBDT in o-DCB solution and in thin film.

Spectroelectrochemical Studies

In order to investigate the electronic transitions and optical changes upon doping, benzotriazole bearing copolymer (PTBTBDT) was subjected to incrementally increasing applied potential while recording UV–Vis spectra. Polymer film was coated on ITO electrode and dipped into monomer free solution of 0.1M TBAPF₆/ACN. The polymer has electrochromic characteristics of reversible color change upon external potential. As shown in figure 3, an absorption band was observed at around 500 nm for copolymer film at the neutral state which corresponded to the π - π^* inter band transition. The applied potential was increased, the absorption of π - π^* transition decreased meanwhile the new absorption bands started at around 700 nm and 1370 nm. The formation of this new bands observed at longer wavelengths due to the formation polaronic and bipolaronic charge carriers on the polymer backbone.



Figure 2: Energy level diagram of organic solar cell device.



Figure 3: Electronic absorption spectra of polymers in 0.1 M $TBAPF_6/ACN$ solution between 0.0 V and 1.3 V for PTBTBDT.

4 | New J. Chem.

NJC

Kinetic studies were performed to determine switching times and percent transmittance changes (Δ T%) of the polymer. Switching time is the time between the colored and bleached states of the electrochromic material at a 95% contrast value. The measurement was done between the neutral and fully oxidized states of the polymers with 5 s time intervals. As shown in figure 4 the copolymer film revealed 18 % optical contrast with a switching time of 0.4 s at 500 nm, 14 % optic contrast with a switching time of 0.5 s at 700 nm and 36 % optical contrast with 0.4 s switching time at 1370 nm.





Photovoltaic properties

In order to investigate photovoltaic properties of the polymer, bulk heterojunction solar cells were fabricated with a device architecture of ITO/PEDOT:PSS (Clevios) (40 nm)/polymer:PC₇₀BM/LiF(0.8 nm)/Al(100 nm). The performance of PTBTBDT based devices was optimized by the ratio of donor acceptor, spin coating speed (thickness of the active layer), thermal annealing treatment and addition of an additive. Since organic solar cell applications highly depend on solubility and film formation of the polymer fullerene blend, optimization of solvent is a crucial step in organic solar cell applications.⁴¹ In this context, dissolving polymer and PC₇₀BM in o-DCB resulted in the best morphology. PC70BM was preferred as the acceptor to enhance absorption of blend due to broad absorption range of PC₇₀BM in visible region. Current density/ Voltage (J/V) characteristics of the optimized OSCs were shown in Figure 5(a). The best performance was obtained with device construction of 1:2 polymer: PC70BM ratio. As summarized in Table 2, increasing PC₇₀BM ratio from 1:1 to 1:2 was improved charge transport as a result power conversion efficiency (PCE) was enhanced.⁴² However increasing $PC_{70}BM$ ratio from 1:2 to 1:3 was decreased the short circuit current (J_{sc}) due to less contribution of the polymer on absorption. Moreover, it decreased the hole transport ability of the active layer.⁴³ Hereby, optimum ratio was found as 1:2 polymer: PCB₇₀M. In addition to this, active layer thickness should be optimized to balance the absorption and charge transport. Since,

Paper

the absorption of sun light is enhanced as the thickness of active layer increases which in return, results in higher charge production. On the other hand, active layer thickness is limited to a few hundreds of nanometers due to low diffusion lengths of organic materials which is around 10-20 nm.⁴⁴ The optimum active layer thickness was found as 116 nm which was determined by AFM (Atomic Force Microscopy) (fig.6 a and b). PSCs showed the highest photovoltaic performance of 2.12 % with V_{oc} of 0.72V, J_{sc} of 5.40 mA/cm² and FF (fill factor) of 54 %.

Pre and post annealing treatments, addition of additive can be carried out to improve the morphology of the active layer. $^{\rm 45-51}$

In this study, pre-annealing treatments were carried out to increase device performance. Active layer coated ITO substrates were annealed at different temperatures for different time periods. However, power conversion efficiency decreased from 2.12 % to 1.53 %. To investigate the pre-annealing treatment effect on morphology, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) measurements were carried out. Due to the higher electron density of PC70BM compared to polymer, the dark areas correspond to $\mathsf{PC}_{70}\mathsf{BM}$ domains in TEM images. As depicted in Figures 6 c and 6 d, nanoscale morphology was adversely affected with thermal annealing. J_{sc} value was decreased from 5.45 mA/cm² to 3.37 mA/cm² due to the formation of less interconnected donor-acceptor network upon annealing.46 According to AFM results (Fig. 6 a and b), the blend film root mean square roughness (Rms) were determined as 6.79 nm and 6.47 nm. For further optimization, addition of 1% 1,8-diiodooctane (DIO) to polymer PCBM blend did not make any improvement on PCE. Incident photon to electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) measurements were performed and 40% IPCE was obtained with the device showing the highest PCE%. External quantum efficiencies of PTBTBDT based devices as a function of wavelength from 350 nm to 750 nm matches well with UV-Vis absorbance range of polymer PC₇₀BM blend.

Two benzotriazole-based polymers, PBDTDTBTz and PBDTBTz, were previously synthesized by Zou et al. The photovoltaic devices based on these polymers demonstrated a power conversion efficiency of 1.7 % and 1.4 %, respectively for PBDTDTBTz and PBDTBTz, under the illumination of AM 1.5, 100 mW cm². In our work, PTBTBDT comprising thiophene unit as a π bridge revealed a power conversion efficiency of 2.12 % which is higher than previously synthesized polymer based photovoltaic device. The absorption edges of previously synthesized polymers were at 625 nm and 635 nm and the band gaps were determined as 2.0 eV and 1.95 eV.³⁷ For PTBTBDT, the absorption edge is at 653 nm with band gap of 1.9 eV. The lower band gap of this polymer resulted from the red shift in the absorption. PTBTBDT can harvest more visible light due to higher absorption edge.



a) PTBTBDT : PCBM (1:3) PTBTBDT : PCBM (1:1) Current Density (mÁ/cm²) PTBTBDT : PCBM (1:2) 0.6 0.8 02 0.3 0.4 0.5 Voltage (V) -5 b) 40 30 IPCE(%) 20 10 350 4**0**0 450 500 550 600 650 700 750 Wavelength(nm)

Paper

Figure 5: (a) J-V curve of bulk heterojunction OSCs based on PTBTBDT:PC₇₀BM for 1:1,1:2, and 1:3 blends, (b) IPCE curve of the best performance solar cell



Figure 6: a) AFM Height image of PTBTBDT: PC70BM blend on ITO/PEDOT: PSS. b) AFM Height image of PTBTBDT: PC70BM blend

on ITO/PEDOT: PSS annealed at 110°C for 10 min c) TEM image of PTBTBDT:PC70BM blend d) TEM image of PTBTBDT:PC70BM blend annealed at 110°C for 10 min. Scale bars in AFM and TEM images are 500nm.

TABLE 2: Photovoltaic Properties of PTBTBDT

	Thickness	Voc	Jsc	FF	PCE ^a	Mobility
	(nm)	(V)	(mA.cm ⁻²)	(%)	(%)	(cm ² V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
P:PC ₇₀ BM	102	0.71	4.45	52	1.64	3.85x10 ⁻⁴
1.1					(1.60)	
P:PC ₇₀ BM	116	0.72	5.45	54	2.12	1.47 x10 ⁻³
1.2					(2.03)	
P:PC ₇₀ BM	125	0.71	4.00	52	1.48	6.54 x10 ⁻⁵
1:3					(1.40)	
P:PC ₇₀ BM	108	0.73	5.34	50	1.82	1.14 x10 ⁻³
1:2					(1.75)	
P:PC ₇₀ BM	108	0.74	4.70	57	2.00	1.28 x10 ⁻³
1:3					(1.83)	
P:PC ₇₀ BM	113	0.76	3.37	59	1.53	9.88 x10 ⁻⁵
* 1:2					(1.47)	

*annealed at 110°C for 10 min

^a The values in the parenthesis are the average values of PCEs based on measurements for 8 cells.

Hole Mobility

Charge carrier mobility of the polymer was investigated by the space charge limited current (SCLC) theory. It is related with the current density-voltage characteristics (J-V curve) under dark. J-V curve follows Child's law:

$$J_{SCL} = \frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_o \theta \varepsilon_r \mu \frac{V^2}{L^3}$$
 Eq. 2

where L is the thickness of polymer, V is applied voltage, I is the carrier mobility, Er (3 for polymer) is the relative permittivity and Eo permittivity of free space. Figure 6 shows log J vs. log V plots with different active layer thicknesses of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/Polymer:PCBM/LiF/Al solar cells under dark. The hole mobilities were calculated using eq. 2 and summarized in Table 2.



Figure 7: J-V plots of devices with different thicknesses of active layer in dark medium. *annealed at 110°C for 10 min

Conclusion

A benzodithiophene based new donor-acceptor type alternating copolymer was synthesized via palladium catalyzed Stille coupling reaction. The polymer exhibits good electrochemical and spectroelectrochemical properties, good solubility in common organic solvents, high thermal stability up to 300°C and broad absorption from 300 nm to 630 nm. Bulk heterojunction polymer solar cells with PTBTBDT as the active layer were fabricated and optimized systematically. The organic solar cell device based on PTBTBDT: PC70BM (1:2, w/w) exhibited the best PCE of 2.12% with a Voc of 0.72 V, a Jsc value of 5.45 mA/cm², and a FF of 54% under the illumination of AM 1.5 G, 100 mW/cm². The hole mobility of the polymer was estimated through space charge limited current (SCLC) model and calculated as 1.47 $\times 10^{-3}$ cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹. Hole mobility results are consistent with PCE of PTBTBDT based photovoltaic device. The results indicate that synthesized polymer is a promising donor for organic photovoltaics.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to METU-BAP for financial support, İbrahim Cam and Seckin Ozturk (METU Central Laboratory) for AFM and TEM analyses. We also thank Esra Ozdemir for TGA analysis and Batuhan Tasdoven for creating software to calculate power conversion efficiency.

Notes and references

1. A. Facchetti, Mater. Today 2013, 4, 123

2. a) L. Dou, J. You, J. Yang, C. Chen, Y. He, S. Murase, T. Moriarty,

K. Emery, G. Li and Y. Yang, Nat. Photonics 2012, 6, 180

 b) B. Zhang, X. Hu, M. Wang, H. Xiao, X. Gong, W. Yang and Y. Cao, New J. Chem. 2012, 36, 2042 S. Setayesh, A. C. Grimsdale, T. Weil, V. Enkelmann, K. Müllen, F. Meghdadi, E. J. W. List and G. Leising, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, **123**, 946

4. A. Cirpan, A. Z. Aroguz and F. E. Karasz, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2006, 102, 2509

5. R. Mondal, H. A. Becerril, E. Verploegen, D. Kim, J. E. Norton, S. Ko, N. Miyaki, S. Lee, M. F. Toney, J. L. Bredas, M. D. McGehee and

Z. Bao, J. Mater. Chem. 2010, 20, 5823

 S. Allard, M. Forster, B. Souharce, H. Thiem and U. Scherf, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4070

7. G. Sonmez, H. B Sonmez, C. K. F. Shen, R. W. Jost, Y. Rubin and F. Wudl, *Macromolecules* 2005, **38**, 669

8. Chad M. Amb, A. L. Dyer, J. R. Reynolds, *Chem. Mater.* 2011, 23, 97

9. G. Gunbas and L. Toppare, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1083

10. G. Yu, J. Gao, J. C. Hummelen, F. Wudl, A. J. Heeger Science 1995, 270, 1789

11. G. Li, V. Shrotriya, J. Huang, Y. Yao, T. Moriarty, K. Emery, Y. Yang, *Nat. Mater*. 2005, **4**, 864

12. G. Li, R. Zhu and Y. Yang, Nat. Photon. 2012, 6, 153

13. P. Peumans, A. Yakimov, and S. R. Forrest, *J. Appl. Phys.* 2003 93, 3693

14. S. Gunes, H. Neugebauer and N. S. Sariciftci, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007 107, 1324

15. S. Liu, K. Zhang, J. Lu, J. Zhang, H.-L. Yip, F. Huang, and Y. Cao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, **135**, 15326

16 Z. He, C. Zhong, S. Su, M. Xu, H. Wu, and Y. Cao, *Nat. Photon.*, 2012, **6**, 591

17. S. H. Liao, H.-J. Jhuo, Y.-S. Cheng, S.-A. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 4766

18. J. You, L. Dou, K. Yoshimura, T. Kato, K. Ohya, T. Moriarty, K. Emery, C.-C. Chen, J. Gao, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1446

L. Dou, J. You, Z. Hong, Z. Xu, G. Li, R. A. Street and Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater.* 2013, **25**, 6642

20. C. Duan, K. Zhang, C. Zhong, F. Huang and Y. Cao, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, **42**, 9071

21. J. Kong, I. W. Hwang and K. Lee, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 6275

22. M. T. Dang and J. D. Wuest, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 9105

23. T. Ameri, N. Li and C. J. Brabec, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 2390

24. Y. Li, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 723

R.	ı.	1	r	•
P	V.	J	c	

ew Journal of Cher

- רח	~	10	~	
۳.	d	U	е	

25. L. J. Huo, S. Q. Zhang, X. Guo, F. Xu, Y. F. Li and J. H. Hou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, **50**, 1

26. L. J. Huo, X. Guo, Y. F. Li and J. H. Hou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 8850

27. S. C. Price, A. C. Stuart, L. Yang, H. Zhou and W. You, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 4625

28. a) Y. Zou, A. Najari, P. Berrouard, S. Beaupre, B. R. Aich, Y. Tao and M. Leclerc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, **132**, 5330

b) Y. Lu, Y. Lei, B. Wu, X. Xu, F. Zhu, X. Hu, B. S. Ong and S. C. Ng, New J. Chem. 2015, 39, 2642

29. C. Piliego, T. W. Holcombe, J. D. Douglas, C. H. Woo, P. M. Beaujuge and J. M. Frechet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, **132**, 7595

30. B. Qu, D. Tian, Z. Cong, W. Wang, Z. An, C. Gao, Z. Gao, H. Yang,
L. Zhang, L. Xiao, Z. Chen, and Q. Gong, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, **117**,
3272

31. a) J. Jiang, H. Chen, H. Lin, C. Yu, S. Lan, C. Liu and K. Wei, *Polym. Chem.* 2013, **4**, 5321

b) L. Zhang, S. Zeng, L. Yin, C. Ji, K. Li, Y. Li and Y. Wang, *New J. Chem*. 2013, **37**, 632

32. K. W. Song, T. H. Lee, E. J. Ko, K. H. Back and D. K. Moon, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym.* 2014, **52**, 1028

33. N. Limi, N. Cho, S. Paek, C. Kim, J. K. Lee and J. Ko, *Chem. Mater*. 2014, **26**, 2283

 M. L. Keshtov, D. V. Marochkin, V. S. Kochurov, A. R. Khokhlov,
 E. N. Koukaras and G. D. Sharma, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2, 155
 S. P. Sista, R. S. Kularatne, M. E. Mulholland, M. Wilson, N. Holmes,
 X. Zhou, P. C. Dastoor, W. Belcher, S. C. Rasmussen, M. C. Biewer and M. C. Stefan, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2013, 51, 2622
 J. H. Kim, E. Song, N. Shin, H. Kang, S. Wood, I. N. Kang, B. J.
 Kim, B. S. Kim, J. S. Kim, W. S. Shin and D.-H. Hwang, *Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2013, 5, 12820

37. Z. Zhang, B. Peng, B. Liu, C. Pan, Y. Li, Y. He, K. Zhou and Y. Zou, *Polym. Chem.* 2010, **1**, 1441

38. A. Balan, D. Baran, G. Gunbas, A. Durmus, F. Ozyurt and L. Toppare *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, **44**, 6768

A. Balan, G. Gunbas, A.Durmus, and L. Toppare, *Chem. Mater*.
 2008, 20, 7510

40. I. Meager, R. S. Ashraf, S. Mollinger, B. C. Schroeder,
H.Bronstein, D. Beatrup, M. S. Vezie, T. Kirchartz, A. Salleo, J.
Nelson, and I. McCulloch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, **135**, 11537

41. R. B. Aïch, Y. Zou, M. Leclerc, Y. Tao, *Org. Electron.* 2010, **11**, 1053

42. Y. Yang, J. Zhang, Y. Zhou, G. Zhao, C. He, Y. Li, M. Andersson, O. Inganas and F. Zhang *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 3701

43. T. Kietzke, R. Y. C. Shin, D. A. M. Egbe, Z. K. Chen and A. Sellinger Macromolecules 2007, **40**, 4424

44. L. U. Rycel, C. S. Price, W. You, *Macromol. Rapid Commun*. 2012, **33**, 1162

45. D. Gedefaw, M. Tessarolo, W. Zhuang, R. Kroon, E. Wang, M. Bolognesi, M. Seri, M. Muccini and M. R. Andersson, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 2083

46. Y. Zhang, L. Gao, C. He, Q. Sun and Y. Li, *Polym. Chem.*, 2013, 4, 1474

47. H. C. Liao, C. C. Ho, C. Y. Chang, M. H. Jao, S. B. Darling and W. F. Su, *Mat. Today*, 2013, **16**, 326

48. B. Qu, D. Tian, Z. Cong, W. Wang, Z. An, C. Gao, Z. Gao, H. Yang,
L. Zhang, L. Xiao, Z. Chen and Q. Gong, J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117,
3272

49. B. Xiao, H. Wu and Y. Cao, *Mater. Today*, 2015, doi:10.1016/j.mattod.2015.02.016

50. C. Du, W. Li, Y. Duan, C. Li, H. Dong, J. Zhu, W. Hub and Z. Bo, *Polym. Chem.*, 2013, **4**, 2773

51. A. Zusan, C. Deibel, B. Gieseking, M. Zerson, V. Dyakonov, R Magerle, *Scientific Reports* **5**, doi: 10.1038/srep08286

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015



Improvement of the efficiency via using benzodithiphene based polymer in organic photovoltaics 19x10mm (300 x 300 DPI)