

NJC

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Sputtering Synthesis and Optical Investigation of Octadecanethiol-Protected Fluorescent Au Nanoparticles

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

Yohei Ishida, Taiki Sumi, and Tetsu Yonezawa*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Here we report for the first time the synthesis of octadecanethiol-capped gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) by sputtering of Au over a liquid matrix (silicon-oil). Au NPs prepared in silicon-oil showed plasmon absorption; however, those prepared in the presence of 1-octadecanethiol did not show plasmon absorption but fluoresced in the near IR region.

Metal nanoparticles (NPs) are attracting considerable interest due to a large number of potential applications resulting from both high surface-to-bulk ratio and quantum confinement of electrons localized inside NPs.¹⁻⁴ Various preparation methods for metal NPs have been investigated but, recently, sputtering deposition over liquid matrixes has been intensively studied in order to generate stable colloidal metal NPs.^{5,6} This synthetic method takes advantage of the extremely low vapor pressure of liquid matrixes so that the preparation of NPs can be carried out at low pressure or under high vacuum conditions. In a sputtering process, ionized argon at high voltage attacks the target metal and ejects the target atoms or atom clusters into a vacuum chamber. Ejected atoms and clusters coalesce into NPs in the gas phase or at the interface of the liquid matrix. In the past, several liquid matrixes have been examined including ionic liquids,^{5,7} propane-1,2,3-triol,⁸ pentaerythritol ethoxylate,⁹ polyethylene glycol (PEG),¹⁰⁻¹² 6-mercaptohexyl-trimethylammoniumbromide,⁶ and pentaerythritol tetrakis(3-mercaptopropionate).⁹ All these liquid matrixes were able to produce Au NPs with approximately 10-nm diameters. The last two matrixes listed above, however, produced rather small NPs with diameters of approximately 1-2 nm. This phenomenon was attributed to the coordination of mercapto groups in the liquid matrix molecules to the Au NP surface that prevented the aggregation and growth of Au NPs. The Au NPs obtained in such "thiolate matrixes" fluoresced due to their very small particle sizes hence plasmon absorption was negligible. Very recently, we reported the synthesis of fluorescent Au and Ag NPs by sputtering onto thiolate-containing PEG. In this procedure, we successfully controlled the diameter of NPs by changing the concentration of thiolate ligands.^{11,12} This approach can be expanded to other combinations of liquid matrixes and thiolate

ligands, and will broaden the spectrum of NP chemistry using this sputtering technique.

We here present a novel set of fluorescent Au NPs synthesized by sputtering onto liquid matrixes (silicon-oil: Si-oil) containing alkylthiol (octadecanethiol: ODT). This method aims at the stabilization of Au NPs by ODT both inside and at the interface of the Si-oil. It is expected that the concentration of ODT directly controls the diameter of Au NPs to single nanometer sizes. Alkylthiol is usually very volatile and not suitable for matrix sputtering, but ODT can be used for this purpose due to its high boiling point.

Three different matrix compositions were studied in this work: (a) Si-oil, (b) 3.6×10^{-3} M ODT in Si-oil, and (c) molten ODT (3.0 M). Extinction spectra of Au NP dispersions were measured in a quartz cell with a 1 mm optical path just after sputtering (Figure 1). Samples (a) and (b) were measured without dilution, and sample (c) was measured after a 1:5 dilution with acetonitrile (1 vol. part ODT: 5 vol. parts acetonitrile). A broad absorption peak around 520 nm




Figure 1. Extinction spectra of Au NPs prepared by sputtering onto (a) Si-oil, (b) 3.6×10^{-3} M ODT in Si-oil, and (c) molten ODT. The spectra were measured in 1 mm quartz cell without dilution for (a) and (b), and a 1:5 dilution with acetonitrile for (c).

was observed for sample (a). This peak is usually observable for Au NPs and corresponds to the localized plasmon absorption of Au. On the other hand, samples (b) and (c) did not show any plasmon absorption peaks in their absorption spectra. The results for (b) and (c) may be due to the difference in the sizes of these NPs. Surface plasmon absorption generally arises from a vibration of free electrons on the surface of NPs and so shows an absorption corresponding to these vibration frequencies. Plasmon absorption thus requires a certain particle size.^{13,14} When the number of atoms in each particle decreases, the energy band gap becomes wider according to the quantum size effect and a particle becomes non-metallic. Thus, plasmon absorption is not observable in particles less than 2 nm in diameter for Au.¹⁵ Judging from the absence of plasmon absorption in Figure 1, very small particle diameters are expected for samples (b) and (c).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to determine particle diameters. Figure 2 shows representative TEM images and size-distribution histograms. The average size of the Au NPs were determined to be 4.9 ± 1.4 nm, 1.9 ± 0.4 nm, and 1.3 ± 0.3 nm for samples (a), (b), and (c), respectively. As we determined from the extinction spectra in Figure 1, the diameter of sample (a) was significantly larger than (b) and (c). Moreover, the average NP diameter for samples (b) and (c) were quite different. This could have originated from the suppression of the coalescence of NPs on or in the matrix. The concentration of ODT in sample (b) was 3.6×10^{-3} M, and the concentration of molten ODT for sample (c) was 3.0 M. Thus, the difference in ODT concentration in these two samples affected the collision probability between ODT and the Au NPs, which may be the reason for such a difference in their sizes.




Figure 2. TEM images and size-distribution histograms of Au NPs prepared by sputtering onto (a) Si-oil, (b) 3.6×10^{-3} M of ODT in Si-oil, and (c) molten ODT. The histogram data were prepared from 200 NPs counted in more than 5 TEM images.

From these TEM images, we can recognize the inter-particle interactions in the current system. ODT-capped Au NPs formed in this study were not soluble in common organic solvents such as chloroform, hexane, and dimethylsulfoxide. In contrast, ODT-capped Au NPs prepared by the chemical reduction method were quite soluble in these solvents.¹⁶ The chain length of ODT is approximately 2 nm,¹⁷ thus the distance between adjacent Au NPs should be larger than 4 nm when the Au NPs exist as mono-nanoparticles without subsequent aggregation. From Figure 2c, the average inter-particle distance was calculated to be 2.1 nm (see the histogram of edge-to-edge inter-particle distances shown in Figure S1). Thus, it is obvious that the long hydrophobic alkyl chains in ODT were entangled between 2 or more nanoparticles. The insolubility of these Au NPs likely resulted from this phenomenon.

This entanglement of the surface ligands may be attributed to the very high concentration of ODT (3.0 M) and their long hydrophobic alkyl chains.

The absence of plasmon absorption and the very small particle sizes of samples (b) and (c) led us to study the fluorescence properties of Au NPs stabilized by ODT. The excitation wavelength was set at 300 nm. Sample (a), which shows plasmon absorption in Figure 1, did not fluoresce. On the other hand, samples (b) and (c) fluoresced in the near IR region. Figure 3 shows a fluorescence spectrum of sample (c) (also see sample pictures under sunlight and UV-irradiation in Figure S2). The spectral shapes of samples (b) and (c) were similar but the fluorescence maxima (λ_{FI}) were slightly different from each other. The λ_{FI} were 677 and 664 nm for samples (b) and (c), respectively. As we previously reported, in the matrix sputtering synthesis of Au NPs, the fluorescence maxima tends to shift to the red as the average diameter of the nanoparticles increases.^{11,12} This phenomenon is attributed to the quantum size effect,¹⁸ in which a band gap of NPs depends on the number of atoms making up a particle.




Figure 3. Excitation and fluorescence spectra of Au NPs prepared by sputtering onto molten ODT (sample c). The excitation wavelength was set at 300 nm, and the excitation spectrum was observed at 664 nm.

The excitation spectrum of sample (c) was observed at the fluorescence maximum (664 nm) as shown by the dashed line in Figure 3. The excitation maximum was observed at 356 nm; therefore, the Stokes shift for these Au NPs was determined to be 1.62 eV. This large Stokes shift was reported in our previous matrix sputtering synthesis work. The main reason for this shift was considered to be the stabilization of the d band and/or the destabilization of the sp-conduction band in the Au NPs, which usually results in a blue shift in the absorption (excitation) spectrum.⁶

The fluorescence quantum yields for sample (b) and (c) were measured by the absolute method. Both samples showed a 1.0% quantum yield. These values were similar to those for gold nanoparticles and nanoclusters prepared by various other synthetic methods including sputtering and chemical reduction methods.^{19,20}

In conclusion, we have reported the synthesis of octadecanethiol-capped fluorescent Au NPs by sputtering over silicon-oil. The photophysical characteristics were fully investigated by absorption and fluorescence measurements combined with TEM. The sputtering method presented here can be expanded to a wide variety of liquid matrix-thiolate ligand combinations. Hence, it is expected to be a

novel synthetic candidate for functional metal NPs with precisely controlled diameters and photophysical characteristics.

Experimental Section

The liquid matrix used in this work was a silicon oil obtained from Shinetsu (Si-oil, KF-96-50cs, kinematic viscosity of $50 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) that is often used in synthetic baths. 1-Octadecanethiol (ODT, Wako) was used as the alkylthiol stabilizer for Au NPs. An Au target (99.9%) was supplied by Tanaka Precious Metals (Japan). The experimental procedure is described below. First, in order to remove any volatile substances, Si-oil and ODT were dried under vacuum at $100 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h. Three different capturing matrix compositions were used in this work: (a) 1.0 g of Si-oil, (b) 1.0 g of Si-oil containing 10 mg of ODT (corresponding to $3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ ODT in Si-oil), and (c) 1.0 g of molten ODT (corresponding to 3.0 M).

For the sputtering syntheses, solutions (a), (b) and (c) were put separately into glass petri dishes having a diameter of 30 mm and were horizontally positioned against the sputtering target. Au was sputtered at a current of 30 mA under Ar and the pressure was kept as 20 Pa. Sputtering voltage was ca. 200 V. The distance between the surface of PEG and the surface of the gold target was 25 mm. Sputtering was carried out for 30 min at $50 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ under stirring at 100 rpm. Due to the temperature inside the sputtering chamber, ODT completely dissolved in Si-oil at the concentration prepared for sample (b) and was completely liquefied in sample (c). The experimental details for the characterization and observation for the resulting NPs are described in the supporting information.

Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by Hokkaido University and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (to TY 24241041), and by Building of Consortia for the Development of Human Resources in Science and Technology (to YI). This work also partially supported by d by Hokkaido University, microstructural characterization platform as a program of Nanotechnology Platform of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan.

Notes and references

Division of Material Science and Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Kita 13, Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8628, Japan.

E-mail address: tetsu@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: detailed experimental procedures. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

1. N. Toshima and T. Yonezawa, *New J. Chem.*, 1998, **22**, 1179.
2. D. V. Talapin, J.-S. Lee, M. V. Kovalenko, and E. V. Shevchenko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 389.
3. R. L. Whetten and R. C. Price, *Science*, 2007, **318**, 407.
4. E. V. Shevchenko, D. V. Talapin, N. A. Kotov, S. O'Brien, and C. B. Murray, *Nature*, 2006, **439**, 55.
5. T. Torimoto, K.-I. Okazaki, T. Kiyama, K. Hirahara, N. Tanaka, and S. Kuwabata, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2006, **89**, 243117.
6. Y. Shishino, T. Yonezawa, K. Kawai, and H. Nishihara, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 7211.
7. H. P. S. Castro, H. Wender, M. A. R. C. Alencar, S. R. Teixeira, J. Dupont, and J. M. Hickmann, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2013, **114**, 183104.

8. J. Siegel, O. Kvítek, P. Ulbrich, Z. Kolská, P. Slepíčka, and V. Švorčík, *Mater. Lett.*, 2012, **89**, 47.
9. Y. Shishino, T. Yonezawa, S. Udagawa, K. Hase, and H. Nishihara, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **50**, 703.
10. Y. Hatakeyama, T. Morita, S. Takahashi, K. Onishi, and K. Nishikawa, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 3279.
11. T. Sumi, S. Motono, Y. Ishida, N. Shirahata, and T. Yonezawa, *Langmuir*, 2015, in press. DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b00294.
12. Y. Ishida, R. Nakabayashi, T. Yonezawa, *New J. Chem.* 2015,
13. N. Schaeffer, B. Tan, C. Dickinson, M. J. Rosseinsky, A. Laromaine, D. W. McComb, M. M. Stevens, Y. Wang, L. Petit, C. Barentin, D. G. Spiller, A. I. Cooper, and R. Lévy, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 3986.
14. S. H. Yau, O. Varnavski, and T. Goodson, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 1506.
15. C. Kumara, X. Zuo, D. A. Cullen, and A. Dass, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 6431.
16. A. Badia, L. Cuccia, L. Demers, F. Morin, and R. B. Lennox, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 2682.
17. N. A. Ray, R. P. Van Duyne, and P. C. Stair, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 7748.
18. J. A. McLean, K. A. Stumpo, and D. H. Russell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 5304.
19. P. Yu, X. Wen, Y.-R. Toh, and J. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 6567.
20. T. Chen, S. Xu, T. Zhao, L. Zhu, D. Wei, Y. Li, H. Zhang, and C. Zhao, *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 5766.