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Oil-swollen hexagonal mesophases resulting from the surfactant mediated self-assembly of a quaternary mixture of water, surfactant, co-surfactant, and oil, are versatile templates to synthesize anisotropic nanomaterials. Poly(diphenylbutadiyne) (PDPB) polymer nanofibrous network structures were produced in the oil tubes of the mesophases by photo-induced radical polymerization using a chemical initiator or by gamma irradiation. The diameter of the nanofibers can be varied from 5 to 25 nm in a controlled fashion, and is directly determined by the diameter of the oil tube of the doped mesophases, proving thus a direct templating effect of the mesophase. The nanoIR technique allows chemical characterization and identification of the polymer nanostructures simultaneously with morphological characterization. Cyclic voltammetry has been used as effective approach to evaluate both the energy level of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) as well as the energy of lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and band gap of the PDPB. The conductivity of the PDPB nanostructures obtained by gamma irradiation was estimated to be 10^-1 S/cm, which is higher than the conductivity of PDPB nanostructures previously reported in the literature. The soft template approach allows size tunable synthesis of anisotropic polymer structures with morphological homogeneity at the nanoscale with high conductivity, thus appears as an attractive opportunity for electronic device applications.

1. Introduction

Conducting polymers with anisotropic shape hold great promise as flexible, inexpensive materials for fundamental science as well as for various industrial applications.1-2 In recent years, one-dimensional conducting polymer nanostructures (e.g., nanofibers and nanotubes) have attracted considerable interest due to their superior optoelectronic properties. They indeed exhibit high surface-to-volume ratio and enhanced current carrying ability, which lead to potential applications including light-emitting diodes, electrical nanodevices, solar cells, and chemosensors.1,5-7 In particular, poly(diacetylene)s are well known π-conjugated polymers with excellent optoelectronic properties.6,7

The synthesis of poly(diphenylbutadiyne) (PDPB) usually occurs by closely-packed pre-organization of the monomers, 1, 4-diphenylbutadiyne (DPB) by photo-mediated or radiation-induced polymerization leading to extremely linear, aligned polymerized domains of 1,4-disubstituted polydiacetylenes.8,9 In general, unless conjugated polymers are molecularly and macroscopically assembled and aligned with a well-defined structure, their superior optoelectronic properties for device application cannot be fully realized. But the majority of these polymers are extremely brittle and difficult to process due to their conjugated backbone. The solubility and processability of the polymer can be further improved by using functionalized monomers which in turn significantly lower the conductivity.10 Nevertheless, there have been efforts to align conjugated polymers by using crystals, micelles, or Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) films.10,11 However, these techniques do not provide much flexibility in terms of controlling the size and morphology. Furthermore, the common and effective method has been used in order to create macroscopic alignment of monomers via supra molecular assemblies.12 Directed assembly and the alignment of conjugated polymers is a challenging task. Indeed, it is difficult to envisage the exact mode of assembly and the final structural parameters such as length and shape of the supramolecular architecture. On the other hand, conducting polymer nanostructures show high electrical conductivity, large surface area, and high electrochemical activity, as compared to its macrogranular structure or self-supporting films.13, 14 Although the formation of assembled structures and films are widely explored, chemical based synthetic approaches for the PDPB nanostructure are still scarce in the literature.15-17 Shinkai et al. reported the preparation of porphyrin-based 1D assembly by linking the porphyrin units using the polymerization of butadiyne in the gel state.15 Morin et al. recently reported the synthesis of conjugated nanowires prepared by topochemical polymerization of butadiynes in the xerogel state.16,17 However, the production of freestanding ultrathin polymer nanostructures with high conductivity and easy processabiliy remains a significant challenge and has not been well explored in the literature.15-17

Polymerization in self-assembled liquid crystal (LC) is an emerging and versatile technique for the preparation of polymers.18,19 Few examples have been reported for the
preparation of conducting polymer nanostructures in LC as structure-directing polymerization templates. One of the significant problems in order to control polymer structure in LC template synthesis is thermodynamically driven phase separation, which in turn leads to polymers with poorly defined nanostructures. To circumvent this problem, temperature independent rapid initiation rates of photo-polymerization are required which may allow cross-linking or locking of a polymer network at a very rapid rate before phase separation or reorganization of the template. Photopolymerization in the LC phases have been used to generate polymer nanostructures with improved functionality but retention of the original LC template throughout the polymerization, process is again a challenging task.

Swollen hexagonal mesophases made by a quaternary system water/oil/surfactant/cosurfactant and constituted of regular arrays of surfactant-stabilized tubes that can be swollen with a nonpolar solvent, can be used for the synthesis of one-, two- or three-dimensional materials. We have shown that these swollen hexagonal mesophases doped with various precursors, can be used as nanoreactors to synthesize various nanostructured materials both in the aqueous and in the oil phases. Here, we report synthesis and characterization of nanofibrous network structures of tunable diameters of poly(diphenylbutadiyne) (PDBP), a polymer from the polydiacetylene family, in swollen hexagonal mesophases. The polymerization is induced by UV light (in the presence of an initiator for polymerization) or by gamma irradiation.

2. Experimental

2.1 Reagents
Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), sodium chloride, cyclohexane (> 99%) and pentanol (≥ 99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For in-situ polymerization, we used 1,4-diphenylbutadiyne (DPB) (Aldrich) as monomer and benzoind methyl ether (BME) (Fluka) as catalyst. All compounds were used as received. Ultrapure water (Millipore System, 18.2 MΩ cm) and ethanol (≥ 99% for HPLC, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) were used as solvents.

2.2 Sample Preparation:
2.2.1 Preparation of the mesophases: The swollen hexagonal mesophases with SDS as surfactant were prepared following the previously published method with some modifications. Typically, 1 g of the surfactant (SDS) was dissolved in 2 mL of brine (for example, an aqueous solution containing 0.1 mol/L NaCl, other salt composition have been tabulated in supporting information, Table S1) in pyrex glass tubes. After a vigorous agitation at 30°C, the surfactant had completely dissolved to give a transparent and viscous micellar solution. The subsequent addition of cyclohexane containing the monomer 1,4-diphenylbutadiyne (DPB) (10%) and the catalyst benzoin methyl ether (BME) (1%) in the micellar solution under stirring leads to a white unstable emulsion. A cosurfactant, pentanol-1 was then added to the mixture, which was then strongly vortexed for a few minutes. This led to a perfectly colorless, translucent, birefringent and stable gel: a hexagonal mesophase. All experiments were performed at room temperature. We define the swelling ratio (φ) as the volume ratio of oil over water (v/v). In all cases, the total concentration of the monomer and the catalyst in the mesophases was fixed and the swelling ratios as well as total salt concentrations (Cs) were varied concomitantly (see ESI†, Table S1). This allows the diameter of the oil tubes to be varied within the mesophases. Samples for which the tube diameter varies between 5 and 18 nm has been used for the present study. Mesophases at different swelling ratios and doped with the monomer and eventually the initiator for polymerization were used as soft templates to synthesize polymer nanostructures induced by irradiation either by UV light or by radiolysis at different swelling ratios. Note that for radiolytic synthesis, no initiator was required.

2.2.2 Photochemical Synthesis of Polymer Nanostructures in Mesophases: For in-situ photo-polymerization, the doped mesophases were transferred in quartz cells and irradiated with an Oriel 300 W Xenon UV-visible lamp at a distance of 5 cm for 12 hours.

2.2.3 Radiolytic Synthesis of Polymer Nanostructures in Mesophases: The mesophases were incorporated in glass vessels with a rubber plastic septum, centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes and deoxygenated under a N2 flow. The mesophases were then exposed to γ -irradiation at room temperature for 14 h (irradiation dose of 6.4 kGy) under N2 atmosphere. The γ-irradiation source, located at Orsay, was a panoramic 60Co gamma-facility of 7000 Curies with a maximum dose rate of 6400 Gy.h⁻¹.

2.4 Extraction of the polymer nanostructures: The mesophases doped with the monomer, which were initially translucent gels, turned into yellow gels after UV or gamma irradiation (see ESI†, Fig. S1). After reaction, the materials were extracted in a water-ethanol mixture, centrifuged, and washed several times to eliminate the surfactant, the cosurfactant and the salt.

2.3 Material Characterization: Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) was used to characterize the pure hexagonal mesophases and the mesophases doped with the monomer and with the catalyst before and after polymerization. The mesophases were inserted in glass capillaries of 1.5 mm diameter. A high brightness low power X-ray tube, coupled with aspheric multilayer optic (GeniX 3D from Xenocs) was employed, which delivered an ultralow divergent beam (0.5 mrad). Scatterless slits were used to give a clean 0.8 mm diameter X-ray spot with an estimated flux around 35 Mph/s at the sample position. A transmission configuration was used. The scattered intensity was collected on a two-dimensional Schneider 2D image plate detector prototype, at a distance of 1.9 m from the sample. The experimental data were corrected for the background scattering and the sample transmission. The scattering vector q can be calculated from the angle of the scattered radiation and X-ray wavelength. The scattering pattern of a hexagonal phase consists in diffraction peaks whose positions are in the ratio 1:√3:2. The first peak position q₀ allows a direct determination of the hexagonal lattice parameter a according to:

\[ a = \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{3} q_0} \]

Optical microscopy of gel samples before and after polymerization was performed with a Leica DMRX polarizing microscope.

For structural study of polymer nanostructures synthesized by UV and gamma irradiation of doped mesophases, drops of the diluted PDBP ethanolic solutions after extraction from mesophases were deposited on carbon coated grids. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations were performed on a JEOL JEM 100 CXII transmission electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 100 kV.

The Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR)-Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) of solid DPB monomers and solid PDBP powders obtained after extraction from the mesophases as synthesized by UV or gamma irradiation were recorded using a Bruker Vertex 70 FTIR spectrometer with diamond ATR attachment (PIKEMIRACLE crystal plated diamond/ZnSe) and...
MCT detector with liquid nitrogen cooling system. Data allow the identification of the chemical structure of as prepared PDPB nanostructure. Scanning wavelengths were varied from 4000–600 cm\(^{-1}\) with a 4 cm\(^{-1}\) spectral resolution with 100 repetitions scans average for each spectrum. This allows the identification of the chemical structure of as prepared PDPB nanostructures.

The morphology eventually combined with local spectrum of synthesized PDPB on solid substrate was determined by combining the classical atomic force microscope (AFM) with tunable pulsed laser as an InfraRed (IR) source (AFMIR). For the present study, we have used a commercial setup, nanoIR (Anasys Instrument corp.) allowing us to cover the range from 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1000 cm\(^{-1}\). Usually, samples are directly deposited on the upper surface of a ZnSe prism that is transparent in the mid-infrared and the tip of the AFM remains in contact with the object. When the sample absorbs the IR laser pulse, it warms via the photothermal effect, resulting in a rapid thermal expansion of the absorbing region of the sample. The thermal expansion pulse impacts the tip of the AFM cantilever and causes it to oscillate. As the amplitude of oscillations is proportional to the absorption, we are able to record infrared absorption spectra at a given point and to make chemical maps by scanning the surface at a given wavelength. In this study, drops of ethanolic solution of PDPB powder after extraction from mesophases were directly deposited on the upper surface of a ZnSe prism and dried at room temperature. For chemical mapping, in comparison to topology study (0.5 mg/L), higher concentration of polymer solutions (3mg/mL) was used due to limitation of resolution in the present nanotube (100 nm) system.

Thermal stability analysis of PDPB solid powder was carried out by using a TGA DQ\(b\) thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) apparatus (TA instruments, USA). The test was carried out under nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 20°C/min from 50°C to 600°C.

Electrochemical characterization was carried out using three-electrodes single cell, with a glassy carbon (GC) disk (2 mm diameter) coated with the sample film as the working electrode, a Pt wire as counter electrode, and a silver wire pseudo-reference electrode. Ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fc/Fc\(^+\)) redox potential was measured at the end of each experiment in order to calibrate the pseudo reference electrode as recommended by IUPAC.\(^{39}\) Polymer samples (at a concentration of 1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) in tetrahydrofuran) were drop-casted onto the GC-electrode and then dried. The GC-electrode was immersed into the electrochemical cell containing acetonitrile with 0.1 M tetrabutylammoniumhexafluorophosphate (TBAPF\(_6\)). The compounds were electrochemically reduced prior to being oxidized between −2.0 V and +2.0 V at a scan rate of 20 mV/s.

Finally, spin-coated films were fabricated on glass slides at 1000 rpm for 60 s using the PDPB ethanolic solutions (1mg/mL) obtained after extraction from mesophases. In addition, PDPB nanostructures obtained after extraction from the mesophases were treated with nitrosoniumtetrafluoroborate (NOBF\(_4\)), as chemical oxidant, at a concentration of 10\(^{-2}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) in acetonitrile and were then spin-coated in the same conditions. The thickness (ca. 200-500 nm thickness) of all the films (doped or undoped) was measured using a 3 Veeco Dektak 150 surface profiler. The electrical conductivity of the polymer films was measured using a Kelvin four-point probe technique implemented with Keithley 2420 system. The conductivity, (S/cm) was determined using the following equation:

\[
\rho = \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln(2)} \cdot \frac{V}{I \cdot \tau} \right)^{-1}
\]

where \(V\) is the voltage difference, \(t\) is the film thickness and \(I\) is the applied current. The test was performed three times on each sample in different places and the average value was calculated.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The mesophases doped with the monomer (DPB) and the initiator (BME) in the oil phase was exposed to UV-light. On the other hand, mesophases doped with the monomer without using initiator were exposed to gamma rays for the polymerization of DPB in the oil phase. Before irradiation, both SDS-based mesophases (doped with the monomer and initiator or only with the monomer) were transparent, translucent and birefringent. After irradiation by UV light or by gamma rays, the mesophases turned yellow, but remained translucent and birefringent (see ESIF, Fig. S1). The closely packed and properly ordered, self-assembled diacetylene monomers undergo polymerization via 1,4-addition reaction to form alternating ene-yn polymer chains upon irradiation with UV light (in the presence of precursor for polymerization) or with \(\gamma\)-irradiation (Scheme 1). The \(\gamma\)-irradiation or UV irradiation in the presence of BME can induce a free radical reaction in sequence which allows for a cascade reaction to occur throughout the distinct hydrophobic domains of the mesophases and aromatic diacetylenes were found to form stable diradicals which in turn produce oligomers.\(^{9,13}\)

![Scheme1. Schematic representation of polymerization of diphenylbutadiyne (DPB) by UV or gamma irradiation.](image)

The birefringence before and after irradiation revealed an anisotropic structure, which was confirmed by polarized light microscopy (Fig.1 and see ESIF, Fig. S2). Cross section of swollen hexagonal mesophases containing PDPB monomer is schematically shown in the inset of Fig.1a. Polarized light micrograph patterns (Fig. S1a and b) showed characteristic birefringence of the hexagonal liquid crystal before and after doping with the DPB monomer and the BME initiator. This indicates that the controlled addition of the monomer and the initiator in the organic confined phase did not disrupt the liquid crystalline phase. The SAXS spectra of the mesophases before and after both UV and gamma irradiation exhibited the characteristic features of a direct hexagonal phase with three Bragg peaks whose positions were in the ratio 1:√3:2:√7 (Fig. 1c).

![Diagram](image)
Fig. 1 Representative polarized light micrographs of hexagonal mesophases (a) before and (b) after photopolymerization (ϕ = 2.21, C₀ = 0.3 mol·L⁻¹). Inset of 1a: Cross section of swollen hexagonal mesophases, a is the lattice parameter of hexagonal mesophases. (c) SAXS spectra of swollen hexagonal phases before polymerization (•) and after polymerization by UV irradiation (○), gamma irradiation (×) at 0.1 M NaCl (ϕ = 0.98). The arrows specify the indexing of the Bragg peaks. (d) Representative SAXS spectra of swollen hexagonal phases with various swelling ratios and salt concentrations from top to bottom (top, ϕ = 0.22, C₀ = 0.3 mol·L⁻¹ with less oil, middle, ϕ = 0.72 with relatively more oil, C₀ = 0.3 mol·L⁻¹, bottom, ϕ = 2.21, C₀ = 0.3 mol·L⁻¹). Before polymerization (blue line), after polymerization by UV light irradiation (red line), and gamma irradiation (green line).

SAXS patterns observed before and after polymerization were found to be superimposed. Data measured for hexagonal mesophases with various swelling ratios (ϕ, from 0.22 to 2.21) and various salt concentration (C₀, from 0 to 0.3 mol·L⁻¹) before and after polymerization both using UV and gamma irradiation, are shown in Fig. 1d. As ϕ increased, Bragg peaks shifted to lower wave-vector (q), showing an increase of the characteristic size of the hexagonal arrangement from 7.5 nm to 18.4 nm, as measured previously. For all ϕ, the SAXS profiles of the doped hexagonal mesophases following UV or γ irradiation superimpose to the profiles before polymerization. Hence our results showed that the UV and γ irradiations used for in-situ polymerization did not disturb the long-range order of the soft hexagonal matrix over the whole range of composition investigated for the mesophases.

Similar observations were obtained when varying the experimental conditions (various swelling ratio from 0.22 to 2.21 and salt concentration ranging from 0 to 0.3 mol·L⁻¹) indicating that a relatively large degree of liquid crystalline order was preserved when doping the oil phase of the mesophases with DPB and BME. Hence, the structure of the hexagonal mesophases remained unchanged after photo and gamma irradiation over the whole range of composition.

The monomer and the synthesized nanostructures after extraction from the mesophases, were characterized by ATR-FTIR (Fig. 2).

IR spectrum of DPB monomer shows characteristic peaks at 2845 and 2923 cm⁻¹ due to the symmetrical and asymmetrical stretching modes of C–H bond respectively. The typical bands observed at 683 cm⁻¹ and 754 cm⁻¹ are associated to the benzene ring out-of-plane deformations. Generally, the peaks in the region of 1400 to 1600 cm⁻¹ region have been attributed to the formation of the π conjugated enyne unit is overlapped with the spectrum region associated with aromatic ring stretching and bending.

Before irradiation, the IR spectrum of the monomer shows triple band stretching (C=C) of the monomer at 2146 cm⁻¹ as shown in Fig. 2 (bottom spectrum). Upon UV irradiation, the monomer band at 2146 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the antisymmetric acetylene IR active band of DPB disappeared suggesting a change in the nature of the C=C units. The absence of the characteristic vibration peak indicates the formation of PDPB polymer, as demonstrated in literature. Photo-irradiation induced spectral changes of DPB monomer were monitored in the whole region of 4000–600 cm⁻¹. However, except the triple band stretching, the other bands of the monomer have been preserved after polymerization. The peaks at 1490 and 1443 cm⁻¹ are due to in-plane C–H bending and the band at 1595 cm⁻¹ has been assigned to the stretching vibration of benzene ring in PDPB polymer synthesized by photo irradiation. The large intensity change of C–H stretching modes has been associated with frequencies in the range of 3100–3000 cm⁻¹. The band at 3054 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the C–H vibration involving hydrogen atoms in the para and meta positions. Similar IR spectra were obtained after gamma irradiation (Fig. 2 top). This suggests similar chemical structure formed by both photo and gamma irradiation, and these two spectra are in good agreement with the spectrum of PDPB obtained in literature.

The polymer nanostructures were also characterized by AFMIR technique using nanoIR instrument. The nanoIR can quickly survey the polymer regions via AFM topography imaging and then rapidly acquire high-resolution local chemical spectra at selected regions on the sample. The surface topography of the photo-induced PDPB nanostructures showed a dendrite arrangement formed during deposition on the ZnSe substrates as shown in Fig. 3a. The dendritic nanostructures correspond to a self assembly of polymer nanostructures which should interact by π-stacking. The combination of nanoscale probe from an atomic force microscope with tunable IR source provides simultaneous measurements of nanoscale morphology along with the chemical composition mapping.
The effect of the confining oil tubes, which can be simply swelled during polymerization, the size of the oil-swollen tubes were controlled by varying the amount of oil (cyclohexane) and the salt concentration whose compositions are given in Table S1 in Supporting Information. Irradiations carried out in doped mesophases with different swelling ratios and hence different oil tube diameters have been investigated, for the polymers produced by UV and gamma irradiation. In all cases, connected polymer nanofibers are observed. Interestingly, we found that the average nanofibers diameter varies as the oil-swollen tubes of the hexagonal phases varied. Fig. 4 shows TEM images of polymers synthesized using UV-irradiation.

Fig. 3 (e) shows the nanoIR spectrum of PDPB in the 1200–1600 cm\(^{-1}\) region, which is quite similar to the FTIR spectrum of PDPB, generally associated with the formation of the enyne unit. The other peaks around 1451, 1480 and 1494 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to in plane C–H bending. The band at 1415 cm\(^{-1}\) is larger in relative intensity in the nanoIR spectrum compared to that observed in the FTIR spectrum of PDPB (Fig. 2). Additionally, the spectral region at 2300–2000 cm\(^{-1}\) of the triple bond stretching was thoroughly analyzed in order to identify the presence of the monomer DPB band at 2146 cm\(^{-1}\) as shown in Fig. 3(f). The disappearance of the monomer band at 2146 cm\(^{-1}\) after UV irradiation demonstrates that the polymerization is complete. Fig. 3(g) shows the IR spectra measured at a fixed point in each domain in the wavenumber range of 2800–3200 cm\(^{-1}\). The benzene ring C–H stretching leads to characteristic peaks at 2857, 2928 and 3023, 3054 cm\(^{-1}\). On the other hand, the intense absorption bands at 2857, 1451, 1494 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to deformational vibrations of C–H in the polymer spectra. The spectral changes in the 3100–3000 cm\(^{-1}\) region are noticeable in nanoIR spectra (100 nm) due to the presence of significant steric hindrances between neighboring phenyl groups within the large oligomers as shown in Fig. 3(g). As nanoIR is accomplished with high spatial resolution compared to classical FTIR measurement, we can identify even lower intensity bands present in the polymer structure by photo-irradiation. Furthermore, the strength of the benzene ring stretching measured by nanoIR around 2928 and 3054, and 3084 cm\(^{-1}\) is much more intense than the band measured by FTIR. In contrast to classical FTIR measurement with average data of several polymers, nanoIR provides the local spectra of polymer molecule. Hence, each nanoIR spectrum is compared with the corresponding FTIR spectrum and importantly the major absorption band occurs at substantially the same positions for both techniques. In order to have comparative study for the photosynthesized PDPB, gamma radiation induced PDPB have also been characterized by AFM-IR technique (see ES1†, Fig.S4). The IR absorption spectrum of gamma induced-synthesized PDPB (see ES1†, Fig.S4) was similar to that of the photosynthesized polymer (Fig. 3). It displays intense absorption bands at 1440, 1451 and 1480, 1494 cm\(^{-1}\) in addition to the band at 3054 cm\(^{-1}\) which corresponds to the C–H vibration. Note that once again the monomer band at 2146 cm\(^{-1}\) is absent indicating the formation of PDPB by gamma radiolysis. To conclude, the nanoIR system provides local absorption spectra of nanoscale region of polymer and demonstrates good correlation with the FTIR measurements.

Contrary to our observation with the polymer structure synthesized using UV-irradiation, the topographic image of PDPB polymer nanostructures synthesized by gamma irradiation shows well dispersed fibers on ZnSe substrate (see ES1†, Fig.S3). Interestingly, we identified the strong signal at 1490 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3054 cm\(^{-1}\) characteristic of the polymer structure with a lack of signal at 2146 cm\(^{-1}\) indicating the absence of DPB monomer. This observation also supports effective polymerization of DPB monomer within the mesophases by gamma irradiation.

The polymer nanostructures synthesized by both UV and gamma irradiation were further characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In order to see the effect of the confinement of the polymer nanostructures within oil-swollen tubes during polymerization, the size of the oil-swollen tubes...
Similar fiber morphology was obtained with polymers prepared with gamma-irradiation. In the case of gamma induced polymerization, the diameter of the polymer nanofibrous network structures varied in the range of 12-25 nm as shown in Fig. 5. The diameter of the fibers increases as the oil tubes increase, and the diameter of fibers is slightly larger with gamma irradiation compared to UV irradiation. The anisotropic shape of the templated polymer nanostructure presumably reflects the geometry of the hydrophobic domains of the hexagonal mesophases.

For both UV and gamma polymerization, the diameter of the nanofibers depends on the swelling ratio of the template hexagonal phase, which sets the diameter of the oil tubes. Remarkably, both set of experimental data matched well with the evolution of the oil tube diameters of the hexagonal phase (Fig. 6 and Table 1), suggesting a direct templating effect of the mesophases on the formation of the polymer nanofibers.

Fig. 6 Composition of the diameter of the oil tubes of hexagonal mesophases and diameter of PDPB nanostructures by both UV and gamma-irradiation with various swelling ratios (ϕ) and structural parameters, as determined from SAXS and TEM measurements. The continuous line has a slope of 1.

In addition, control experiments showed that only micron-sized spherical particles were obtained when polymer was synthesized by UV irradiation directly from surfactant-free DPB and BME in cyclohexane. However, no such fiber structure has been formed without using mesophases (see ES1, Fig. S5), indicating that the hydrophobic region of the mesophases accommodates DPB monomer within the nonpolar solvent filled tubes to align them in a one-dimensional packing mode suitable for the polymerization. Since the morphologies of poly(diacetylene) are strikingly dependent on the superstructure of the monomer assemblies before UV-irradiation, pre-organization of the corresponding monomers into well-designed fibrous architectures is a prerequisite to obtain poly(diacetylene) nanofibers.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) can provide information relating to the thermal stability of the as-prepared polymer nanostructures. The TGA graph of PDPB polymers powder after extraction from the mesophases showed an onset of decomposition at about 200°C until a major decomposition happens around 540°C (see ES1, Fig. S6). However, it has been observed that at about 260°C, the PDPB weight decreased significantly by ~41%. Further, at higher temperatures, PDPB displayed decomposition pattern up to 590°C with a weight loss of about 95 wt%. Hence, the thermal stability of PDPB polymer nanofibrous structures synthesized in hexagonal mesophases is consistent with the other conductive polymers as described in literature.

Wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXD) measurement has been conducted for the detection of crystallinity of the PDPB nanofibers (as shown in Fig S7). XRD pattern of the PDPB nanofibers shows sharp features, revealing highly crystalline nature and a well-organized material which is well consistent with the literature report (see Fig S7 in SI).

The molar mass of polymer has been found to be 1625 g mol⁻¹ as determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) and corresponds to oligomers of degree of polymerization 8. The polymers are relatively short chains presumably because they are induced by polymerization in the oil confined domains. The PDPB nanofibers are probably formed by π-stacking of these oligomers in the oil tubes of the mesophases. The polymerization process happened within a confined geometry of liquid crystal which may restrict the growth of polymerization of poly(diphenylbutadiyne) which is consistent with the literature reports.

The oxidation and reduction potentials of PDPB have been determined under the similar experimental conditions to estimate both the energy level of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the energy of lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) from the ionization potential and the electronic affinity, respectively and the band gap using cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement. For PDPB, we found that the main p-doping (oxidation) and n-doping (reduction) were irreversible processes, and the values of the peak potentials vs. Ag pseudo-reference were: +1.91 V (oxidation) and −1.71V (reduction) yielding an energy gap of ~3.62 eV as a first approximation as shown in Fig 7a. Further, to explain the visible light absorption of PDPB,
having a careful analysis of the CV of polymer structures (zoom at lower current, Fig.7b) reveals onsets of oxidation (+1.35 V) which means a HOMO level at ~5.52 eV, and reduction (~0.45 V) processes occurring at lower potentials leading to a HOMO LUMO level calculated at ~3.72 eV. From the CV curve, the estimated bandgap to be 1.80 eV, in agreement with the bandgap estimated from the onset of optical absorption (1.77 eV) which is remarkably narrow for a polymer. Additionally, these results are consistent with the calculated value of the PDPB band gap, which is 1.95 eV on the basis of DFT (considering oligomeric PDPB structures comprising various numbers of units from 1 to 8). The low bandgap implies the possibility of efficient injection of carriers, useful for potential application in optoelectronics or as support in electrocatalysis.

Importantly, polydiacetylene (PDA) has quasi one dimensional π-conjugated backbone which originates interesting electrical and optical properties. Conducting polymers are able to conduct electricity through their conjugated backbone when properly doped, through either chemical or electrochemical process.

Fig.7 Cyclicvoltammograms of PDPB nanostructure prepared by UV-irradiation in mesophases with φ = 2.21% and Cs = 0.3 M NaCl (a) Cyclicvoltammogram obtained at 20 mV/s in acetonitrile and 0.1M Tetrabutylammonium perchlorate. Ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fe/Fc⁻) redox potential has been measured at the end of each experiment in order to calibrate the pseudo reference electrode (0.63V vs. Ag in the present study). (b) Zoom of the voltammogram as shown in (a). The energy levels of PDPB nanostructure are determined as follows: \( E_{\text{HOMO}} \) (eV) from ionization potential = \( -4.8-e \left( E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{UV}}=0.63 \right) \) and \( E_{\text{LUMO}} \) (eV) from electronic affinity = \( -4.8-e \left( E_{\text{col}}=0.63 \right) \).

Oxidative doping of a conjugated polymer makes it conductive, because of the extraction of electrons from the polymer chain which creates free carriers. After extraction from the mesophases, the electrical conductivity of NOBF₄-doped thin films of PDPB (thickness of ca. 200-500 nm) was evaluated by using a four-point probe device. Table 1 shows the conductivities of doped PDPB nanofibers obtained by UV and gamma induced polymerization techniques. The electrical conductivity of the photo-induced PDPB nanostructures at room temperature is about 10⁻² S/cm. This value is comparable with conductivity values already reported in the literature for PDPB. In contrast, the electrical conductivity of the PDPB nanostructures obtained by gamma irradiation is 0.13 S/cm, which is one order of magnitude higher than that of the UV-induced polymer nanostructure. It has to be noted that the conductivity increases slightly with the decrease of the diameter of the nanofibers (induced by UV- or gamma irradiation). Polyacetylene has been considered as an insulator without doping. However, the conductivity of bulk polyacetylene was found to be 10⁻¹¹ S/cm by NOBF₄ doping, which is consistent with previously reported in literature for doped polyacetylene. The conductivity of polydiacetylene thin films has been evaluated in the region below 20 μm using a newly constructed independently driven double-tip scanning tunneling microscope, and was found to be (3-5)x10⁻⁶ S/cm. Baba et al., reported highest conductivity ca. (1.3±0.8)x10⁻² S/cm of poly(diacetylene) nanocrystals after chemical doping. In contrast, the as prepared PDPB nanostructures having higher conductivity in the range of 10⁻¹ S/cm which can be used as semiconducting thin layers for organic electronic devices. A comparative conductivity data of PDPB has been tabulated in S1.

Additionally, these one-dimensional PDPB nanostructures were readily dispersed in alcohol and forming transparent continuous films by simple drop casting on a substrate and subsequent drying, may be used for electronic device applications.

4. Conclusions

Hexagonal mesophases composed of oil-swollen surfactant stabilized tubes arranged on a triangular lattice in water and doped with monomers were used as soft templates for the synthesis of polymer nanostructures using UV and gamma irradiations. Micrometers long nanofibers of conducting poly(diphenylbutadiyne) (PDPB) were synthesized in the oil tubes of the hexagonal mesophases. Catalyst-free γ-ray initiated polymerization reactions in mesophases are particularly interesting in order to have pure final product without using additional photo initiators. The diameter of the nanofibers can be varied from 5 to 25 nm in a controlled fashion, and is found to be directly determined by the diameter of the oil tube of the doped mesophases, proving thus a direct templating effect of the mesophase. The polymer nanofibers can be easily extracted from the mesophases by a simple washing process, and this synthetic approach is fast, simple, and reproducible. We demonstrated that nano-IR technique can improve chemical characterization and identification of the polymer nanostructures when comparing with traditional FTIR spectra. In addition, we found that the polymer nanofibers produced by radiolysis exhibit relatively high conductivity and may find applications in electronic devices or solar light harvesting.
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Conducting Polymer Nonofibers of Controlled Diameter Synthesized in Hexagonal Mesophases
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Conducting poly(diphenylbutadiyne) (PDPB) nanofibers of controlled diameters (5 to 25 nm) and relatively high conductivity were synthesized in soft templates using UV and gamma irradiations.