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Tricyclic phenothiazine and phenoselenazine derivatives as potential multi-targeting agents to treat Alzheimer’s disease
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Graphical Abstract
A group of tricyclic phenothiazine (6a, 6b, 7a–l) and phenoselenazines (12a, 12b, 13a–l) were designed, synthesized and evaluated as multi-targeting ligands aimed at the cholinergic, amyloid and oxidative stress pathways of Alzheimer’s disease. The phenothiazine derivative 7j (2-chloro-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone), was identified as the best dual, nonselective cholinesterase inhibitor (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.9 ± 0.6 µM; BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.3 ± 0.5 µM), whereas in the corresponding phenoselenazine series, 13j (2-chloro-10H-phenoselenazin-10-yl-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone) exhibited good nonselective cholinesterase inhibition (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.8 ± 0.4 µM; BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 4.9 ± 0.5 µM). Interestingly, N-10 unsubstituted phenothiazine 6a (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 7.3 ± 0.6 µM; BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.8 ± 0.5 µM; Aβ$_{1-42}$ aggregation inhibition = 62%; DPPH scavenging = 92%), and the corresponding phenoselenazine bioisotere 12a (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.6 ± 0.4 µM; BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 3.0 ± 0.5 µM; Aβ$_{1-42}$ aggregation inhibition = 45.6%; DPPH scavenging = 84.4%), were able to exhibit multi-targeting ability by demonstrating cholinesterase inhibition, beta-amyloid aggregation and antioxidant properties. These results show that fused tricyclic ring systems based on either a phenothiazine or phenoselenazine
templates can be useful to develop hybrid small molecules to target multiple pathological routes associated with Alzheimer’s disease.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a complex neurodegenerative disorder that is the leading cause of dementia around the world.\(^1\)–\(^3\) Recent years have seen a dramatic rise in its prevalence due to an increase in the aging population, increasing life span and limited pharmacotherapy options. The cholinesterase inhibitors such as donepezil, galantamine and rivastigmine which prevent the degradation of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh), (by inhibiting the enzymes acetyl and butyrylcholinesterase AChE and BuChE respectively), yet provide only symptomatic relief and are ineffective as long term therapies for AD.\(^4\)–\(^6\) The enzyme AChE is primarily responsible for the degradation of the neurotransmitter ACh. However, as AD progresses, BuChE is known to take over this function due to depletion of AChE. These observations highlight the benefits of developing dual AChE and BuChE inhibitors. AD pathophysiology suggests the involvement of few major pathways such as cholinergic dysfunction, amyloid aggregation, tau hyperphosphorylation and oxidative stress, that can lead to neurodegeneration, loss of memory and cognition.\(^7\)–\(^14\) The involvement of multiple factors in the pathophysiology of AD supports the development of hybrid small molecules as multi-targeting agents to treat AD.\(^15\)–\(^17\)

Tacrine (Fig. 1, 1), the first cholinesterase inhibitor, is an example of a fused tricyclic ring. Its chemical structure has been modified to design tacrine derivatives that exhibit multi-targeting ability as shown in Fig. 1 (2 and 3).\(^18\),\(^19\) In this regard, we investigated the use of phenothiazine (PTZ), and its bioisostere, phenoselenazine (PSZ, Fig. 1, 4 and 5) derivatives, as multi-targeting ligands to treat AD, since they also possess a fused tricyclic ring system similar to tacrine. PTZs
represent an important class of bioactive molecules. For example, both chlorpromazine and fluphenazine are used in clinical therapy as antipsychotics. In addition, PTZ derivatives are also known to exhibit cholinesterase inhibition and antioxidant properties.

The trace element selenium (Se) is an essential micronutrient in the diet and is known to be present in selenoproteins, such as glutathione peroxidase (GPx), which is part of body’s antioxidant defense. Interestingly a recent study in AD patients shows lower levels of selenium in the plasma, erythrocytes, and nails compared to the control group, suggesting that Se deficiency is associated with AD. In this regard, some novel organoselenium compounds have been developed as multi-targeting compounds to treat AD, which further supports the incorporation of selenium to design novel anti-AD molecules. Based on this evidence, we considered the organoselenium based PSZ derivatives in our study as a bioisostere of sulfur containing PTZs.

Fused tricyclic ring systems based on either a PTZ or a PSZ have the potential to serve as suitable ring templates to design novel small molecule multi-targeting agents against AD pathophysiology. In our study, we synthesized a library of PTZ and PSZ derivatives which were evaluated for their potential to (i) inhibit AChE and BuChE enzymes; (ii) prevent amyloid aggregation and (iii) possess antioxidant properties. The structure activity relationship (SAR) data acquired demonstrates that PTZ and PSZ derivatives have the ability to inhibit cholinesterases, exhibit anti-amyloid aggregation and antioxidant properties as well as represent a novel class of fused tricyclic ring systems as multi-targeting ligands to treat AD.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Chemistry
PTZ derivatives 7a–l were synthesized starting from either unsubstituted 10H-phenothiazine (6a) or 2-chloro-10H-phenothiazine (6b), which were coupled to various acid chlorides (RCOCl, where R = phenyl, benzyl, phenethyl, 3- or 4-methoxyphenyl or 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl) under reflux at 110 °C in toluene as shown in Scheme 1.29 These conditions afforded 7a–l in good yields ranging from 70–95%. The synthesis of PSZ derivatives was accomplished in a stepwise fashion. Initially, the starting precursor diphenylamine (11a or 11b) was synthesized by coupling cyclohex-2-enone (8) with iodine in presence of dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) and potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃) to afford α-iodinated 2-cyclohex-2-enone (9) in 70% yield (Scheme 2).30 In the next step, 9 was coupled with substituted anilines (10) in a metal-free approach by refluxing overnight in presence of trace amounts of para-toluenesulfonic acid (p-TSOH) to afford 11a and 11b in 40–50% yields.31 The ring closing of 11a or 11b to obtain the PSZ tricyclic ring was achieved by heating them at 150 °C in a pressure vial, in presence of selenium, selenium dioxide (SeO₂) and iodine, using sulfolane as solvent.32 It should be noted that the presence of SeO₂ helps in regenerating selenium in situ and improves the PSZ yield. The final yield of PSZ derivatives 12a and 12b, were 20–25% which was much better than our previous attempts to cyclize diphenylamine (11a or 11b) in presence of selenium monochloride (Se₂Cl₂), under reflux, which provided poor yields (5–10%) and difficulty in purification.33 In the final step, the PSZ derivatives 12a and 12b, were subjected to nucleophilic addition/elimination reaction with acid chlorides (RCOCl, where R = phenyl, benzyl, phenethyl, 3- or 4-methoxyphenyl or 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl), to afford PSZ derivatives 13a–l in moderate to good yields (20–80%, Scheme 1).

2.2 Cholinesterase inhibition studies
The cholinesterase inhibition activity profile of PTZ (6a, 6b, 7a–l) and PSZ derivatives (12a, 12b, 13a–l) toward both human AChE and BuChE enzymes, were evaluated using the Ellman assay as per our previously reported protocol (Table 1). The unsubstituted PTZ derivative 6a, exhibited an IC$_{50}$ value of 7.4 ± 0.6 µM toward human AChE and was less potent compared to reference agents tacrine (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 0.16 ± 0.01 µM), donepezil (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 0.04 ± 0.002 µM) and galantamine (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 2.6 ± 0.6 µM, Table 1). Addition of an N-10 benzoyl substituent in compound 7a (R = phenyl), retained AChE inhibition (IC$_{50}$ = 8.0 ± 0.7 µM) and the compound was less potent compared to 6a. The effect of N-10 aromatic acyl groups, with one and two carbon spacers, was explored. These modifications provided AChE inhibition for compounds 7b (R = benzyl; AChE IC$_{50}$ = 7.4 ± 0.8 µM) and 7c (R = phenethyl; AChE IC$_{50}$ = 7.1 ± 0.8 µM), although they were less potent compared to reference agents. Our previous work, has shown that the presence of a 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl substituent provided good cholinesterase inhibition. Accordingly, the effect of methoxyphenyl substituents was explored by evaluating compounds 7d–f (Table 1). Interestingly, these compounds exhibited AChE inhibition ranging from 5.8 to 6.3 µM (IC$_{50}$s), and were more potent compared to compounds 6a and 7a–c. The 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl compound (7f) was identified as the most potent AChE inhibitor (IC$_{50}$ = 5.8 ± 0.4 µM). Furthermore, the addition of C-2 chlorine to the PTZ scaffold was investigated. It appears that C-2 chlorine substituent was not a major factor in AChE inhibition and C-2 chloro-PTZ derivatives 6b and 7g–l, exhibited IC$_{50}$ values in the range of 4.6 to ~10.0 µM (Table 1). Similar to compounds from the non-chlorinated PTZ series (6a and 7a-c), the presence of methoxyphenyl substituents enhanced AChE inhibition (7j–l) with compound 7k (3-methoxyphenyl) identified as the most potent compound (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 4.6 ± 0.5 µM) among the C-2 chloro-PTZ derivatives.
The SAR studies of PTZs on BuChE inhibition indicates that, they were generally weak inhibitors compared to their inhibition profile toward AChE (Table 1). Among the non-chlorinated PTZs, compound 6a exhibited superior inhibitory potency (BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.8 ± 0.5 µM) relative to AChE (IC$_{50}$ = 7.4 ± 0.6 µM) and was the most potent. It was approximately 11-fold more potent relative to the reference agent galantamine (BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 66.5 ± 4.1 µM) and was less potent compared to both tacrine (BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 0.04 ± 0.001 µM) and donepezil (BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 3.6 ± 0.4 µM). Among the 2-Cl-PTZ derivatives 7g–l, compound 7h (R = benzyl), was identified as the most potent BuChE inhibitor (BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 3.6 ± 0.4 µM). In general, the presence of a 2-Cl substituent led to enhanced BuChE inhibition potency for N-10 acyl PTZ derivatives 7g–j. Interestingly, the presence of either a phenethyl (7i) or 4-methoxyphenyl (7j) N-10 acyl substituents, provided similar BuChE inhibition (IC$_{50}$ values of 5.0 ± 0.6 and 5.3 ± 0.5 µM respectively) whereas both 3-methoxy (7k) and 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl (7l) derivatives exhibited weak inhibition (IC$_{50}$ values of ~30.8 and 32.6 µM respectively). The cholinesterase SAR study for PTZ compound library demonstrates that they exhibit dual inhibition of both AChE and BuChE enzymes. They showed nonselective inhibition of both the cholinesterase enzymes and generally exhibited superior AChE inhibition potency.

The cholinesterase inhibition profile of PSZ derivative 12a toward AChE, shows an IC$_{50}$ value of 5.6 ± 0.4 µM and was less potent compared to reference agents tacrine (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 0.16 ± 0.01 µM), donepezil (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 0.04 ± 0.002 µM) and galantamine (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 2.6 ± 0.6 µM, Table 2). However, it showed greater potency, than the organoselenium compound ebselen (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 6.2 ± 0.5 µM). Addition of an N-10 phenyl substituent in compound 13a, retained AChE inhibition (IC$_{50}$ = 5.4 ± 0.4 µM) compared to 12a. Compound 13b (R = benzyl; AChE IC$_{50}$ = 6.4 ± 0.6 µM) and 13c (R = phenethyl; AChE IC$_{50}$ = 6.7 ± 0.7 µM) were less potent
compared to 12a (AChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 5.6 ± 0.4 µM). The effect of methoxyphenyl substituents, at N-10 was explored by evaluating compounds 13d–f (Table 2). Interestingly, these compounds exhibited AChE inhibition ranging from ~4.6 to 6.2 µM (IC<sub>50</sub>). The 4-methoxyphenyl compound (13d) was identified as the most potent AChE inhibitor (IC<sub>50</sub> = 4.6 ± 0.3 µM). Furthermore, the addition of a C-2 chlorine to the PSZ scaffold was investigated. Similar to the PTZ series, the C-2 chlorine substituent was not a major factor in AChE inhibition. The C-2 chloro-PSZ derivatives 12b and 13g–l, exhibited IC<sub>50</sub> values in the range of ~5.8–6.5 µM (Table 2). Similar to the compounds from the non-chlorinated PSZ series (12a and 13a–c), the presence of methoxyphenyl substituents, enhanced AChE inhibition (13j–l), with compound 13j (R = 4-methoxyphenyl) identified as the most potent compound (AChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 5.8 ± 0.4 µM), among the C-2 chloro-PSZ derivatives. The SAR studies of PSZs on BuChE inhibition indicates that, they were generally weak inhibitors compared to their inhibition profile toward AChE (Table 2). Among the non-chlorinated PSZs (12a and 13a–f), compound 12a exhibited superior inhibitory potency (BuChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 3.0 ± 0.5 µM) relative to AChE (IC<sub>50</sub> = 5.6 ± 0.4 µM) and was the most potent. It was approximately 22-fold more potent relative to the reference agent galantamine (BuChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 66.5 ± 4.1 µM), and more potent than both donepezil (BuChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 3.6 ± 0.04 µM) and ebselen (BuChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 4.6 ± 0.6 µM). However, it was less potent compared to tacrine (BuChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.04 ± 0.001 µM). Among the 2-Cl-PTZ derivatives 12b and 13g–l, compound 13g (R = phenyl), was identified as the most potent BuChE inhibitor (BuChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 3.8 ± 0.1 µM). In general, the presence of a 2-Cl substituent, led to enhanced BuChE inhibition potency for N-10 acyl PSZ derivatives 13g, 13h, 13j and 13l, relative to the corresponding non-chlorinated N-acyl PSZ derivatives (13a–f). Interestingly, the presence of either a 4-methoxyphenyl (13j), or and 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl (13l) N-10 acyl substituents, provided similar
BuChE inhibition (IC$_{50}$ values of $\sim$4.9 and 5.7 µM respectively), whereas both 3-methoxyphenyl (13i) and phenethyl (13k) derivatives, exhibited weak inhibition (IC$_{50}$ values of $\sim$40.0 and 19.3 µM respectively). The cholinesterase SAR study for PSZ compound library, demonstrates that they exhibit dual inhibition of both AChE and BuChE enzymes. Their AChE inhibition ranged from $\sim$4.6-6.7 µM (IC$_{50}$s), whereas their BuChE inhibition ranged from $\sim$3.0-40.0 µM. Similar to that of the PTZ series, the PSZ series exhibited nonselective inhibition of both cholinesterase enzymes, and generally exhibited superior AChE inhibition potency. These studies show that both PTZ and PSZ based compounds serve as useful ring scaffolds to design dual cholinesterase inhibitors.

2.3. Molecular docking studies of PTZ (6a, 7j) and PSZ (12a, 13j) compounds with human cholinesterases

The catalytic site of cholinesterases consists of His, Ser and Glu triad which are involved in substrate hydrolysis, whereas an anionic subsite consists of a Trp residue. In addition, the active site of AChE is made up of a peripheral anionic site (PAS) which is lined by amino acid residues Trp72, Asp74, Tyr124, Trp286 and Tyr341, whereas BuChE lacks this PAS due to the presence of smaller aliphatic amino acid residues closer to the entrance. As a consequence, BuChE active site is more than 60% larger (501.91 Å$^3$) compared to AChE (302.31 Å$^3$). The cholinesterase inhibitor tacrine is known to bind in the catalytic site and the anionic subsite.$^{22,35}$

Binding mode of 6a (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 7.4 ± 0.6 µM; BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.8 ± 0.5 µM), within the active site of human AChE, shows that the tricyclic PTZ ring was oriented closer to catalytic and anionic subsites (His447 and Trp86 respectively). The aromatic rings of 6a, underwent $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking interactions with aromatic rings of Trp86, Tyr337 and Tyr341, whereas the central thiazone ring was in van der Waal’s contact with Trp86 and Tyr337 (distance < 5 Å) as shown in
Figure 2a. Interestingly, the sulfur atom of thiazine ring was in van der Waal’s contact with His447 (sulfur-π interaction, distance < 5 Å). Modeling of 6a in the human BuChE shows that the PTZ ring was oriented in a flipped conformation compared to its binding mode in AChE (Figure 2b). The aromatic rings of 6a underwent van der Waal’s interactions with Trp82 and Ala328. These studies show that 6a was able to inhibit cholinesterases through hydrophobic interactions.

The modeling study of the best PTZ derivative 7j (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.9 ± 0.6 µM; BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.3 ± 0.5 µM, Figure 2c) shows that, in the AChE active site, the tricyclic PTZ ring, with a C-2 chloro substituent, underwent π-π and π-halogen interactions with Trp86, Tyr337 and Phe338 (Figure 2c). The central thiazine sulfur was closer to His447 (distance < 5 Å). The N-10 acyl amide C=O formed a hydrogen bond with hydroxyl of Tyr337 (distance = 2.7 Å) and the 4-methoxyphenyl substituent was in the vicinity of Asp74, Trp86, and Tyr124 (Figure 2c) closer to the PAS. Compound 7j exhibits a different binding mode in BuChE, where the flipped PTZ ring undergoes π-π stacked and π-halogen interactions with Trp82 (Figure 2d). The sulfur atom of central thiazine ring was closer to Trp82 (distance < 5 Å). The 4-methoxyphenyl substituent underwent nonpolar contacts with Gly117 and Phe329.

The binding mode of selenium containing tricyclic 12a (AChE IC$_{50}$ = 5.6 ± 0.4 µM; BuChE IC$_{50}$ = 3.0 ± 0.5 µM), in AChE active site, shows that the PSZ ring undergoes π-π interactions with Trp86, Tyr341 and Phe338 (distance < 5 Å, Figure 3a) and the selenium atom was in close proximity to Trp86. In the BuChE active site, 12a exhibited a flipped conformation compared to its binding mode in AChE (Figure 3b), with the selenium atom closer to His438 (distance < 5.5 Å) and the tricyclic PSZ ring underwent a number of nonpolar contacts with Trp82, Ala328, Tyr338 and Tyr341 (distance < 5 Å). The binding mode of 4-methoxyphenyl compound 13j
(AChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 5.8 ± 0.4 µM; BuChE IC<sub>50</sub> = 4.9 ± 0.5 µM, Figure 3c), in AChE, shows that the PSZ ring was closer to the anionic subsite and catalytic site, where it undergoes π-π and π-halogen interactions with Trp86 (distance < 5 Å). The selenium atom was closer to His447 and Tyr337 (distance < 4.5 Å). Interestingly, the N-10 acyl amide C=O formed a hydrogen bond with Tyr337 (distance = 2.7 Å) and the 4-methoxyphenyl moiety was oriented closer to the PAS (Tyr124), as shown in Figure 3c. In the BuChE active site, the PSZ ring of 13j, was in van der Waal’s contact with Trp82 and Ala328 (distance < 5 Å), whereas the 4-methoxyphenyl substituent was oriented toward the mouth of BuChE and underwent T-shaped π-π stacking interactions with Tyr332 (Figure 3d). These studies suggest that PTZ compound 7j and PSZ compound 13j, exhibit bivalent inhibition of AChE where the tricyclic ring was oriented closer to the catalytic and anionic subsite whereas the N-10 4-methoxyphenyl moiety was closer to the PAS.

2.4. Self-induced amyloid aggregation (Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>) inhibition studies

The PTZ (6a, 6b, 7f and 7l) and PSZ (12a, 12b, 13f and 13l) compounds were evaluated for their ability to inhibit self-induced Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> aggregation, using the thioflavin-T (ThT) based fluorescence measurements (Table 3). Their activity was compared to a known Aβ-aggregation inhibitor, orange G (Table 3). The unsubstituted PTZ (6a), exhibited superior anti-aggregation activity compared to orange G at all concentrations tested (62% inhibition at 25 µM, Table 3), whereas 7f was inactive. A similar trend was observed for C-2 chloro PTZ compounds 6b and 7l (60.8% and 5.9% inhibition at 25 µM, Table 3). Among the PSZ compounds tested, the N-10 unsubstituted PSZs, 12a and 12b, exhibited good anti-aggregation activity (45.6 and 45.0% inhibition respectively, at 25 µM Table 3), whereas N-10 acyl substituted compounds 13f and 13l, exhibited
weak anti-aggregation activity at the highest concentration tested (~11.0 and 5.9% inhibition respectively, at 25 µM, Table 3). The Aβ-aggregation kinetics data for compounds 6a and 12a is shown in Figure 4a and 4b. Both compounds were able to exhibit a concentration dependent decline in ThT fluorescence intensity over a period of time. The chart shows that both 6a and 12a, were able to produce a rapid decline in the Aβ-growth phase and reduce the formation of Aβ fibrils.

2.5. 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity

The antioxidant properties of PTZ (6a, 6b, 7f and 7l) and PSZ (12a, 12b, 13f and 13l) compounds, were evaluated using the DPPH radical scavenging activity and was compared with known radical scavenger trolox, and the organoselenium compound ebselen (Table 4). The PTZ compound 6a, (92.1% inhibition at 50 µM) exhibited excellent antioxidant activity. The N-10 acyl substituted PTZ compound 7f, exhibited radical scavenging activity (44.3% inhibition at 50 µM). However, it showed a 2-fold decrease in antioxidant activity compared to 6a. Similarly, the 2-chloro PTZ compound 6b (76.4% inhibition at 50 µM), and 7l (46.5% inhibition at 50 µM) exhibited similar trends, with the N-10 acyl substitution, proving to be detrimental to antioxidant activity. All the PSZ compounds tested (12a, 12b, 13f and 13l), exhibited superior antioxidant activity (38.3–84.4% inhibition at 50 µM, Table 4), compared to the organoselenium reference compound ebselen (34.7% inhibition at 50 µM). In general, as observed with PTZ based compounds, N-10 acylation led to a decline in their antioxidant properties (13f = 39%; 13l = 38.3% inhibition at 50 µM, Table 4). This study shows that, the presence of a free amine at N-10, of either PTZ or PSZ rings, provides excellent antioxidant properties, whereas N-10 acylation reduces their ability to scavenge DPPH radicals. It is suggested that, this could be due to the formation of a resonance stabilized radical in PTZ and PSZ’s, that possess a free NH group,
while *N*-acylation might compromise this stability and exhibit less efficient DPPH radical scavenging activity (Figure 5).

2.6. Cell death assay studies of PTZ and PSZ compounds in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells

The cell toxicity of PTZ (*6a, 6b, 7f* and *7l*) and PSZ (*12a, 12b, 13f* and *13l*) compounds, were carried out in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells, using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT) bromide assay (Figure 6). In these assays, PTZ compounds *6a, 6b, 7f* and *7l* exhibited moderate to good cell viability (63.3–71.6% viability at 50 µM). In contrast, PSZ compounds *12a, 13f* and *13l*, exhibited good to excellent cell viability (76.0–100% viability at 50 µM) and were superior to the selenium-containing reference compound ebselen (53.9% viability at 50 µM). The C-2 chloro substituted PSZ compound *12b*, exhibited toxicity compared to other compounds tested (43.0% viability at 50 µM). These studies indicate that PTZ and PSZ templates are viable scaffolds to design small molecules with multi-targeting activity.

3. Conclusion

We have developed a novel class of fused tricyclic PTZ and PSZ based molecules as multi-targeting agents to treat AD. Among the PTZ and PSZ derivatives with *N*-10 acyl substitution, a 4-methoxyphenyl group provided good cholinesterase inhibition. However, *N*-10 acyl substitution was detrimental to anti-Aβ aggregation and antioxidant properties. In contrast, *N*-10 non-acylated derivatives (*6a, 6b, 12a* and *12b*), were able to (i) target and inhibit both AChE and BuChE enzymes; (ii) prevent Aβ-aggregation and (iii) scavenge DPPH radicals. It is anticipated that our work will provide design strategies to develop novel disease-modifying therapies for AD.
4. Experiments

4.1. Chemistry

All solvents, reagents and compounds 6a (10H-phenothiazine) and 6b (2-chloro-10H-phenothiazine) were purchased from various commercial vendors (Acros Organics, Sigma Aldrich, and Alfa Aesar, USA) with minimum purity of 95% and were used without further purification. Melting points were determined using a Fisher-Johns apparatus and are uncorrected. $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were performed on a Bruker Avance (300 and 75 MHz respectively) series spectrometer using CDCl$_3$ or DMSO-d$_6$ as the solvent. Coupling constants ($J$-values) were recorded in hertz (Hz) and the following abbreviations were used to represent multiplets of NMR signals: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet, br = broad. Carbon multiplicities (C, CH, CH$_2$, CH$_3$) were assigned by DEPT 90/135 experiments. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analysis was done through positive ion electrospray ionization (ESI) using a Thermo Scientific Q-Exactive™ mass spectrometer, Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo. The mass spectrometry data for PSZ and PSZ derivatives are reported based on the most stable selenium isotope ($^{80}$Se). Combustion analysis was carried out by Analest, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto and were within ± 0.4% of theoretical values for C, H and N elements. Crude product purification was done using flash chromatography with Merck 230-400 mesh silica gel. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on a Merck 60F254 silica gel plates (0.2 mm) using three different solvent systems (5:1 EtOAc:MeOH, DCM, 5:1 hexane:EtOAc) and spots were visualized at UV 254 nm. The purity of final compounds were confirmed (> 95%) by running Agilent HPLC (1200 Infinity series) using an analytical column (Agilent Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C8 column with 4.6 x 150 mm
dimensions and 5 µm particle size) with 50:50 ACN/water in 0.1% v/v TFA as eluent and a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min (detection at UV 254 nm).

4.2. General method for the preparation of PTZ derivatives (7a–l)

To a mixture of phenothiazine (6a) or 2-Cl phenothiazine (6b) (0.40 g, 2.01 mmol) in 7 mL of anhydrous toluene at room temperature, the desired acyl chloride (R = phenyl, benzyl, phenethyl, 3-methoxyphenyl, 4-methoxyphenyl or 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl) was added (1.5 eq). The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight at 110 °C and was monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the excess toluene was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified via flash chromatography using DCM as the solvent. Final compound yields ranged from 70–95%. Analytical data for PTZ derivatives 7a–l is given below.

4.2.1. 10H-Phenothiazin-10-yl-(phenyl)methanone (7a): White solid (80%). mp: 175–177°C. 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 7.63–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.19 (m, 8H).

4.2.2. 1-(10H-Phenothiazin-10-yl)-2-phenylethanone (7b): Yellow solid (94%). mp = 153–155 °C. 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 7.54 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.31 (m, 5H), 7.24–7.18 (m, 4H), 7.11–7.06 (m, 2H), 3.83 (s, 2H).

4.2.3. 1-(10H-Phenothiazin-10-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1-one (7c): Yellow solid (77%). mp = 98–100 °C. 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 7.57 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (dd, J = 7.7 Hz, 1.3, 2H), 7.35 (td, J = 7.7, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.00 (m, 5H), 2.85–2.63 (br s, 4H).
4.2.4. (4-Methoxyphenyl)-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl-methanone (7d): White solid (71%). mp = 170–175 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ 7.58–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.18 (m, 6H), 6.78 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.69 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$NO$_2$S [$M + H]^+$ 334.0902, found 334.0895.

4.2.5. (3-Methoxyphenyl)-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl-methanone (7e): White solid (83%). mp = 155–157 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ 7.58–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.18 (m, 4H), 7.14 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.84–6.77 (m, 2H), 3.60 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$NO$_2$S [$M + H]^+$ 334.0902. Found 334.0895.

4.2.6. (3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl-methanone (7f): White solid (70%). mp = 176–178 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ 7.58–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.19 (m, 4H), 6.91–6.86 (m, 1H), 6.84–6.76 (m, 2H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.50 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ 167.4, 150.5, 147.7, 139.4, 131.3, 127.7, 127.2, 126.8, 126.6, 121.2, 112.0, 110.7, 55.5, 55.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{18}$NO$_3$S [$M + H]^+$ 364.1007, found 364.1003.

4.2.7. 2-Chloro-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl (phenyl)methanone (7g): Yellow solid (80%) mp: 157-160°C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ 7.64 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.38 (td, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 3, 2H), 7.31–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.18–7.13 (m, 2H), 6.97–6.90 (m, 2H).

4.2.8. 1-(2-Chloro-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)-2-phenylethanone (7h): Yellow solid (94%). mp = 90–92 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, $J = 7.81$, 1H), 7.52–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.22 (m, 3H), 7.21–7.12 (m, 3H), 6.98–6.90 (m, 2H), 3.82 (s, 2H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{15}$ClNOS ([M + H]$^+$ 352.0562, found 352.0558.
4.2.9. 1-(2-Chloro-10H-phenotheniazin-10-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1-one (7i): Yellow oil (95%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.61–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.41–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.14 (m, 2H), 7.11 (d, $J$ = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08–7.00 (m, 2H), 2.83–2.65 (br s, 4H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{17}$ClNOS $[M + H]^+$ 366.0719, found 366.0717.

4.2.10. 2-Chloro-10H-phenotheniazin-10-yl-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone (7j): Yellow solid (94%). mp = 153–155 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.60–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.30 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.14 (m, 5H), 6.81 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.70 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{15}$ClNO$_2$S $[M + H]^+$ 368.0512, found 368.0510.

4.2.11. 2-Chloro-10H-phenotheniazin-10-yl-(3-methoxyphenyl)methanone (7k): White solid (92%). mp = 140–142 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.56 (t, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.13 (m, 3H), 6.91 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.86–6.77 (m, 2H), 3.62 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{15}$ClNO$_2$S $[M + H]^+$ 368.0512, found 368.0509.

4.2.12. 2-Chloro-10H-phenotheniazin-10-yl-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanone (7l): Yellow solid (73%). mp = 155–158 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.60–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.15 (m, 3H), 6.92–6.77 (m, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.51 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{17}$ClNO$_3$S $[M + H]^+$ 398.0617, found 398.0613.

4.3. Synthesis of α-iodinated 2-cyclohex-2-enone (9)

To a mixture of cyclohex-2-enone 8 (1 mL, 10.33 mmol) in 50 mL of 1:1 THF:H$_2$O, iodine (1.5 eq), DMAP (1 eq) and potassium carbonate (1.2 eq) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 min. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate and 10%
HCl. The organic layer was separated and evaporated in vacuo and the crude product was purified via flash chromatography using DCM as the solvent to afford 9.

**4.3.1. 2-Iodocyclohex-2-enone (9):** Yellow solid (70%). mp = 49–50 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.78 (t, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.63–2.51 (m, 2H), 2.43–2.34 (m, 2H), 1.93 (quin, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H).

**4.4. General method for the preparation of diphenylamines (11a and 11b)**

To a mixture of 10 (aniline or 3-chloroaniline, 4.8 mmol) in 10 mL EtOH, 9 (1.2 eq) and p-TsOH (0.2 eq) were added. The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight at 75 °C. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with 20% sodium bicarbonate and saturated brine solution. The organic layer was separated and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified via flash chromatography using DCM as the solvent. Final compound yield ranged between 40–50%. Analytical data for 11a and 11b is given below.

**4.4.2. Diphenylamine (11a):** Yellow solid (50%). mp = 50–52 °C $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.18 (t, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 4H), 7.02 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 4H), 6.77 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 143.4, 129.1, 119.6, 116.7.

**4.4.3. 3-Chloro-N-phenylaniline (11b):** Brown oil (40%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.19 (t, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.01–6.93 (m, 2H), 6.88 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H).

**4.5. General method for the preparation of PSZ compounds 12a and 12b**

To a mixture of selenium (1.00 g, 12.79 mmol) in 5 mL of sulfolane, the appropriate diphenylamine (11a or 11b) (2 eq), selenium dioxide (1.20 eq), and iodine (0.1 eq) were added.
The reaction mixture was sealed in a pressure vial with a Teflon bushing and placed in an oil bath at 150 °C for 5 hours. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and was filtered through Celite plug using dichloromethane (DCM). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and recrystallized using EtOH and then subsequently purified twice or thrice by flash chromatography using 5:1 hexanes:EtOAc as the eluent. Final compound yield ranged from 20–25%. Analytical data for 12a and 12b are given below.

4.5.1. 10H-Phenoselenazine (12a): Yellow solid (20%). mp = 195–197 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 8.56 (s, 1H), 7.10–6.95 (m, 4H), 6.78–6.68 (m, 4H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 142.1, 128.8, 127.8, 122.1, 115.1, 111.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{10}$N$_8$Se [M + H]$^+$ 246.9900, found 246.9895. Anal. calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{10}$NSe (246.16): C 58.55, H 3.69, N 5.69; found: C 58.61, H 3.73, N 5.64.

4.5.2. 2-Chloro-10H-phenoselenazine (12b): Purple solid (26%) mp = 199–200 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 8.74 (s, 1H), 7.14–6.97 (m, 3H), 6.83–6.66 (m, 4H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_9$ClN$_8$Se [M + H]$^+$ 281.9588, found 281.9500.

4.6. General method for the preparation of PSZ derivatives 13a–l

To a mixture of 12a or 12b (0.356 mmol) in 7 mL of anhydrous toluene, the desired acyl chloride (R = phenyl, benzyl, phenethyl, 3-methoxyphenyl, 4-methoxyphenyl or 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl) was added (1.5 eq). The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight at 110 °C. Upon completion, the excess toluene was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified via flash chromatography using DCM as the solvent. Some compounds required two columns to purify (13f and 13l). Final compound yields ranged from 20–80%. Analytical data for compounds 13a–l are given below.
4.6.1. 10H-Phenoselenazin-10-yl-(phenyl)methanone (13a): Yellow solid (87%). mp = 157–159 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.73–7.65 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.13 (m, 9H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{19}$H$_{14}$NO$_8$Se [M + H]$^+$ 352.0240, found 352.0235. Anal. calcd. for C$_{19}$H$_{13}$NOSe (350.27): C 65.15, H 3.74, N 4.00; found: C 65.55, H 3.92, N 4.01.

4.6.2. 1-(10H-Phenoselenazin-10-yl)-2-phenylethanone (13b): Yellow solid (91%). mp = 111–113 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.66 (d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.40 (t, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.13 (m, 3H), 6.99–6.90 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 2H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$NO$_8$Se [M + H]$^+$ 366.0397, found 366.0393. Anal. calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{15}$NOSe $\cdot$0.1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (372.79): C 64.76, H 4.11, N 3.76; found: C 64.96, H 3.76, N 3.82.

4.6.3. 1-(10H-Phenoselenazin-10-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1-one (13c): White solid (87%). mp = 184–186 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.68 (d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.06 (m, 5H), 7.02 (d, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (s, 2H), 2.61 (br s, 2H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{18}$NO$_8$Se [M + H]$^+$ 380.0553, found 380.0546. Anal. calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{17}$NOSe $\cdot$0.1 H$_2$O (378.32): C 66.29, H 4.57, N 3.68; found: C 65.90, H 4.17, N 3.68.

4.6.4. (4-Methoxyphenyl)-10H-phenoselenazin-10-yl-methanone (13d): White solid (79%). mp = 184–186 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.72–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.39 (d, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.13 (m, 6H), 6.76 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$NO$_8$Se [M + H]$^+$ 382.0346, found 382.0339. Anal. calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{15}$NO$_2$Se $\cdot$0.5 H$_2$O (389.30): C 61.70, H 4.14, N 3.60; found: C 61.82, H 4.09, N 3.57.

4.6.5. (3-Methoxyphenyl)-10H-phenoselenazin-10-yl-methanone (13e): White solid (84%). mp = 157–159 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.71 (dd, $J$ = 7.1, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.44
(d, \( J = 7.5 \) Hz, 2\( H \)), 7.29–7.09 (m, 5\( H \)), 6.92–6.77 (m, 3\( H \)), 3.60 (s, 3\( H \)); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C_{20}H_{16}NO_{2}Se \[M + H\]^+ 382.0346, found 382.0338. Anal. calcd. for C_{20}H_{15}NO_{2}Se•0.2 H_{2}O (383.90): C 62.57, H 4.04, N 3.65; found: C 62.43, H 4.16, N 3.64.

4.6.6. \((3,4\text{-Dimethoxyphenyl})-10H\text{-phenoselenazin-10-yl-methanone (13f)}\): Yellow solid (73%). mp = 185–187 °C. \( ^1 \)H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}): \( \delta \) 7.71 (dd, \( J = 7.3, 1.7 \) Hz, 2\( H \)), 7.44 (dd, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 1.4, 2\( H \)), 7.14 (m, 4\( H \)), 6.93–6.87 (m, 1\( H \)), 6.84–6.73 (m, 2\( H \)), 3.69 (s, 3\( H \)), 3.49 (s, 3\( H \)); \( ^{13} \)C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}): \( \delta \) 167.3, 150.4, 147.6, 139.6, 130.3, 129.2, 127.8, 127.4, 126.8, 126.7, 122.1, 112.0, 110.7, 55.4, 55.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C_{21}H_{18}NO_{3}Se \[M + H\]^+ 412.0451. Found 412.0445. Anal. calcd. for C_{21}H_{17}NO_{3}Se•0.5 H_{2}O (410.32): C 60.15, H 4.33, N 3.34; found: C 60.45, H 4.05, N 3.27.

4.6.7. \(2\text{-Chloro-10H\text{-phenoselenazin-10-yl-(phenyl)methanone (13g)}}\): Yellow solid (79%). mp =155–158. \( ^1 \)H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}): \( \delta \) 7.78–7.68 (m, 3\( H \)), 7.37–7.13 (m, 9\( H \)); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C_{10}H_{13}ClNO_{80}Se \[M + H\]^+ 385.9850, found 385.9841.

4.6.8. \((1-(2\text{-Chloro-10H\text{-phenoselenazin-10-yl})-2-phenylethanone (13h)})\): Yellow oil (73%). \( ^1 \)H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}): \( \delta \) 7.75 ( br s, 1\( H \)), 7.67 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 3\( H \)), 7.42 (t, \( J = 7.9 \) Hz, 2\( H \)), 7.37–7.23 (m, 2\( H \)), 7.23–7.12 (m, 2\( H \)), 6.95–6.88 (m, 2\( H \)), 3.74 (s, 2\( H \)); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C_{20}H_{15}ClNO_{80}Se \[M + H\]^+ 400.0007, found 399.9998.

4.6.9. \((1-(2\text{-Chloro-10H\text{-phenoselenazin-10-yl})-3-phenylpropan-1-one (13i)})\): Yellow solid (84%). mp = 90–92 °C. \( ^1 \)H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}): \( \delta \) 7.74 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 3\( H \)), 7.45–7.10 (m, 9\( H \)), 2.87–2.69 (br s, 4\( H \)); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C_{21}H_{17}ClNO_{80}Se \[M + H\]^+ 414.0163, found 414.0155.
4.6.10. **2-Chloro-10H-phenoselenazin-10-yl-(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone (13j):**

White solid (47%). mp = 155–156 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.78–7.64 (m, 3H), 7.31 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.16 (m, 4H), 6.80 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.70 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{15}$ClNO$_2$Se [M + H]$^+$; 415.9956, found 415.9947.

4.6.11. **2-Chloro-10H-phenoselenazin-10-yl-(3-methoxyphenyl)methanone (13k):**

Yellow solid (52%). mp = 135–137 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.80–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.38–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.11 (m, 3H), 6.90 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.86–6.78 (m, 2H), 3.61 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{15}$ClNO$_2$Se [M + H]$^+$ 415.9956, found 415.9947.

4.6.12. **2-Chloro-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanone (13l):**

Yellow solid (28%). mp = 138–140 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.78–7.65 (m, 3H), 7.35–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.24–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.96–6.73 (m, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.50 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{17}$ClNO$_3$Se [M + H]$^+$ 446.0062, found 446.0054.

### 4.7. Cholinesterase inhibition studies

Human AChE and BuChE enzymes were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA (AChE product number C0663 and BuChE product number B4186 respectively). The inhibition profile of PTZ (6a, 6b and 7a–l) and PSZ (12a, 12b and 13a–l) series was evaluated using Ellman’s reagent.$^{34}$ The cholinesterase inhibitors tacrine (item number 70240, Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI), donepezil (product number D6821, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and galantamine (product number G1660, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) were used as reference agents. Test compounds were prepared as stock solutions in DMSO (maximum 1% v/v in final wells) and diluted in buffer solution (50 mM Tris.HCl, pH 8.0, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.02 M MgCl$_2$,6H$_2$O). Then in 96-well plates, 160 µL of 5,5’-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (1.5 mm
DTNB), 50 µL of hAChE (0.22 U/mL in 50 mm Tris.HCl, pH 8.0, 0.1% w/v bovine serum albumin, BSA) or 50 µL of hBuChE (0.12 U/mL in 50 mM Tris.HCl, pH 8.0, 0.1% w/v BSA) were incubated with 10 µL of test compounds (1, 5, 10, 25, 50 and 100 µM final concentrations) at room temperature for 5 min followed by the addition of 30 µL of either acetylthiocholine iodide (15 mM ATCl prepared in ultra pure water) or S-butyrylthiocholine iodide (15 mM BTCl prepared in ultra pure water). Then the absorbance was measured at various time intervals (0, 60, 120, 180, 240 and 300 s) at 412 nm. The inhibitory concentration (IC\textsubscript{50} values) were calculated from the concentration–inhibition dose response curve on a logarithmic scale based on two independent experiments (n = 3).

### 4.8. Molecular modeling studies of PTZ and PSZ compounds in cholinesterases

Molecular docking experiments were performed using Discovery Studio (DS) Structure-Based-Design software program (version 4.0) from BIOVIA/Accelrys Inc. San Diego, USA. The coordinates for the X-ray crystal structures of human AChE was obtained from the protein data bank (pdb id – 4EY7). The protein was prepared using the \textit{macromolecule} module in DS and active site was defined by selecting a 12 Å sphere around the ligand donepezil after which it was deleted. PTZ (6\textit{a} and 7\textit{j}) and PSZ (12\textit{a} and 13\textit{j}) compounds were built in 3D using the \textit{small molecule} module in DS. The ligands were docked to AChE active site using CDOCKER algorithm using CHARMm force field. Docking steps include simulated annealing with 2000 heating steps, 700K heating target temperature and 5000 cooling steps, 300K cooling target temperature to generate 10 docked ligand poses. The enzyme-ligand complex obtained was ranked based on CDOCKER energy and CDOCKER interaction energy in kcal/mol. Polar and nonpolar contacts of ligands with cholinesterase enzymes were evaluated. A similar modeling
experiments were carried out to investigate the binding of ligands with human BuChE enzyme (pdb code = 2XQJ) after deleting the ligand VX 150.

4.9. Self-induced Aβ1-42 aggregation inhibition studies

The anti-Aβ aggregation activity of test compounds (6a, 6b, 7f, 7l, 12a, 12b, 13f and 13l), were evaluated using the ThT-based fluorescence assay. The Aβ1-42 hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) (rPeptide, Georgia, USA) stock solution was prepared by dissolving in 1% NH₄OH solution, to a 1mg/mL stock solution, followed by dilution in phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) to 500 µM. Stock solutions of test compounds were prepared in DMSO solution, diluted in phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), and were sonicated for 30 min. The final DMSO concentration per each well was 1% v/v or lower. The ThT fluorescent dye stock solution (15 µM) was prepared in 50 mM glycine buffer (pH 8.5). The aggregation kinetics assay was carried out using a Corning® 96-well flat, clear bottom black plates. Each well contains 110 µl of ThT, 50 µl of phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), 20 µl of test compounds in different concentrations (1, 5, 10 and 25 µM, final concentration) and 20 µl of Aβ1-42 (5 µM final concentration). The plate was incubated at 37 °C with a plate cover under shaking and fluorescence was measured every 5 min. using a SpectraMax M5 multimode plate reader (excitation = 440 nm and emission = 490 nm) over a period of 16 h. Appropriate control experiments that contain Aβ1-42 and test compound alone were evaluated as well. The known Aβ aggregation inhibitor orange G (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was used as a reference compound. The percentage inhibition was calculated using the equation 100% control – [(IFi – IFo)] where 100% control indicates no inhibitor, IFi and IFo are the fluorescence intensities in the presence and absence of ThT. The results were expressed as percentage inhibition of two separate experiments of triplicate measurements.
5.0. Antioxidant activity evaluation

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of test compounds (6a, 6b, 7f, 7l, 12a, 12b, 13f and 13l), were tested in a 96-well plate format. The antioxidants, trolox and ebselen were used as reference compounds. The test compound and DPPH (0.09 mM) stock solutions were prepared in methanol. The final test compound concentration was 50 µM. Each well contained 50 µL of test compound and 200 µL of DPPH solution. Appropriate controls with no DPPH and no test compounds were included. The 96-well plate was protected from light and incubated at room temperature with shaking for 60 minutes and then absorbance was measured at 517 nm. The percentage DPPH scavenging activity was calculated by subtracting the absorbance of the DPPH control by the difference in absorbance value of the test compounds and blank which was divided by the absorbance of the DPPH control. The value obtained was converted to percent inhibition. The results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of two separate experiments (n = 3).

5.1. Cell death assay studies in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells

The cell viability assay for test compounds (6a, 6b, 7f, 7l, 12a, 12b, 13f and 13l) were carried out using SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. They were plated at a density of 4 x 10^5 per mL in 96-well plates with complete growth media consisting of DMEM and Ham’s F12 in a 1:1 ratio, supplemented with 2.5 mM glutamate and 10% fetal bovine serum at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. The cells were incubated overnight and treated with the test compounds and ebselen (50 µM) for 24 h at 37 °C in triplicates (n = 3). The MTT reagent was added in 10% of the culture medium volume to each well and the cells were cultured for an additional 3 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. After incubation, the resulting formazan crystals were solubilized with MTT reagent solution in each well and the
absorbance was taken at 570 nm. All results were expressed as a relative percent of MTT to untreated controls.
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Fig. 1 Chemical structure of some fused tricyclic compounds 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5
Scheme 1  

a) Synthesis of compounds 7a–l. Reaction conditions: (i) RCOCl (R = Phenyl, benzyl, phenethyl, 3- and 4-methoxyphenyl, and 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl), toluene, 110 °C reflux overnight; b) synthesis of compounds 12a, 12b and 13a–l. Reaction conditions: (i) I₂, DMAP, K₂CO₃, THF:MeOH (1:1), r.t., 30 min; (ii) p-TsOH, ethanol, 75 °C reflux, 5 h; (iii) Se, SeO₂, I₂, sulfolane, 150 °C, pressure vial, 5 h; (iv) RCOCl (R = Phenyl, benzyl, phenethyl, 3- or 4- or 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl), toluene, 110 °C reflux overnight.
Fig. 2 Binding mode of PTZ compound 6a (ball and stick cartoon) in the active sites of human AChE, CDOCKER interaction energy = −24.37 kcal/mol (a) and BuChE, CDOCKER interaction energy = −24.67 kcal/mol (b); Binding mode of PTZ compound 7j (ball and stick cartoon) in the active sites of human AChE, CDOCKER interaction energy = −42.47 kcal/mol (c) and BuChE, CDOCKER interaction energy = −40.08 kcal/mol (d). Hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity.
Fig. 3 Binding mode of PSZ compound 12a (ball and stick cartoon) in the active sites of human AChE, CDOCKER interaction energy = −24.43 kcal/mol (a) and BuChE, CDOCKER interaction energy = −24.35 kcal/mol (b); Binding mode of PSZ compound 13j (ball and stick cartoon) in the active sites of human AChE, CDOCKER interaction energy = −43.11 kcal/mol (c) and BuChE, CDOCKER interaction energy = −36.02 kcal/mol (d). Hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity.
Fig. 4 (a) Time dependent, ThT based, self-induced, Aβ_{1-42} aggregation kinetics assay profile at 37 °C (phosphate buffer pH 8.0) in the presence of PTZ compound 6a; (b) Time dependent, ThT based, self-induced, Aβ_{1-42} aggregation kinetics assay profile at 37 °C (phosphate buffer pH 8.0) in the presence of PSZ compound 12a. 100% Control = Aβ only. Results are based on two independent experiments in triplicate measurements.
Fig. 5 Proposed antioxidant species of PTZ and PSZ based molecules
Fig. 6 Percent viability of SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line after treatment with PTZ (6a, 6b, 7f and 7l) and PSZ (12a, 12b, 13f and 13l) compounds. aPercent inhibition values are average of two independent experiments (n = 3) with deviation <10% of mean value. * p < 0.05 compared to the control group (one-way ANOVA).
**Table 1.** Cholinesterase inhibition data, Selectivity Index and ClogP values of PTZ compounds 6a, 6b and 7a–l

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compd</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM)$^a$</th>
<th>Selectivity</th>
<th>ClogP$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AChE</td>
<td>BuChE</td>
<td>Index (SI)$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>38.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>51.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7d</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>53.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7e</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>25.5 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7f</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>45.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>19.2 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7g</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7h</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7i</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7j</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7k</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>30.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7l</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>32.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacrine</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.001</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donepezil</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.002</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galantamine</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>66.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\(^a\)IC\textsubscript{50} values are average of two independent experiments (n = 3). \(^b\)SI = hAChE/hBuChE IC\textsubscript{50}.

\(^c\)ClogP was determined using ChemDraw Ultra version 11.0 Cambridge Software Company.
Table 2 Cholinesterase inhibition data, Selectivity Index and ClogP values of PSZ compounds
12a, 12b and 13a–l

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compd</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Selectivity</th>
<th>ClogP&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AChE</td>
<td>BuChE</td>
<td>Index (SI)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>22.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13b</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13c</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>38.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13d</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13e</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13f</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12b</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13g</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13h</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13i</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>40.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13j</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13k</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>19.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13l</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacrine</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.001</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donepezil</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.002</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galantamine</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>66.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)IC\(_{50}\) values are average of two independent experiments (n = 3). \(^{b}\)SI = \(h\text{AChE}/h\text{BuChE} \) IC\(_{50}\).  
\(^{c}\)ClogP was determined using ChemDraw Ultra version 11.0 Cambridge Software Company.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compd</th>
<th>Percent Inhibition of Aggregation at (^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (\mu)M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>18.3 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7f</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7l</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13f</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12b</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13l</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange G</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Percent Aβ aggregation inhibition. Values are average percentage ± SD (n = 3) for two independent experiments. NA – Not active.
**Table 4:** DPPH radical scavenging activity of PTZ (6a, 6b, 7f and 7l) and PSZ (12a, 12b, 13f and 13l) compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>% DPPH scavenging at 50 µM&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>92.1 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7f</td>
<td>44.3 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>76.4 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7l</td>
<td>46.5 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>84.4 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13f</td>
<td>39.0 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12b</td>
<td>73.2 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13l</td>
<td>38.3 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebselen</td>
<td>34.7 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolox</td>
<td>99.2 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>DPPH scavenging values are expressed as average percentage ± SD for two independent experiments (n = 3)