Lab on a Chip

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/loc

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

Lab on a Chip

TECHNICAL INNOVATION

Efficient cell pairing in droplets using dual-color sorting

Hongxing Hu¹, David Eustace¹ & Christoph A. Merten^{*}

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

The use of microfluidic droplets has become a powerful tool for the screening and manipulation of cells. However, currently this is restricted to assays involving a single cell type. Studies on the interaction of different cells (e.g. in immunology) as well as the screening of antibody-secreting cells in assays requiring an additional reporter cell, have not yet been successfully demonstrated. Based on Poisson statistics, the probability for the generation of droplets hosting exactly one cell of two different types is just 13.5%. To overcome this limitation, we have developed an approach in which different cell types are stained with different fluorescent dyes. Subsequent to encapsulation into droplets, the resulting emulsion is injected into a very compact sorting device allowing for analysis at high magnification and fixation of the cells close to the focal plane. By applying dual-color sorting, this furthermore enables the specific collection and analysis of droplets with exactly two different cells. Our approach shows an efficiency of up to 86.7% (more than 97% when also considering droplets hosting one or more cells of each type), and, hence, should pave the way for a variety of cell-based assays in droplets.

Introduction

- 1 Droplet-based microfluidics holds great potential for high
- 2 throughput screening (HTS) applications involving cells.
- 3 For example, the technology has been successfully used 4 for the detection of low abundant cell-surface markers ¹, cytotoxicity screens ², antibody selections ³, directed 5 6 evolution approaches ⁴ and single-cell genomic 7 applications ⁵⁻⁷. However, assays involving two different 8 cell types, e.g. to screen the effect of a cell-secreted antibody on a reporter cell,⁸⁻¹⁰ or assays studying the 9 interactions of different immune cells¹¹ have not yet 10 been performed in droplets. This is due to the fact that 11 12 the cell occupancy in each droplet cannot be precisely controlled ¹², which prevents screens with immense 13 14 biomedical potential from being carried out in a high-15 throughput droplet-based format. Deterministic cell-16 encapsulation modules have been described previously¹³, 17 ¹⁴, but their adaptation towards co-encapsulation of two 18 different cell types has shown limited efficiency of only about 29%¹⁵. Similarly, droplets have been successfully 19 sorted for different cell occupancies by active flow 20 deflection, but only while using a single cell type ¹⁶. This 21 22 is technically simpler than sorting for the presence of two
- 23 different cells, which requires discrimination between

European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL), Genome Biology Unit,

available

(ESI)

should

be

available: [details of

included here].

- 24 the two cell types. Furthermore, the maximal probability
- 25 for encapsulating two identical cells is twice that of 26 encapsulating two different cells. To overcome these
- 27 limitations, we present a novel approach, based on the
- 28 staining of cells with two different fluorescent dyes and
- 29 subsequent dual-color sorting. Using a sorting chip
- 30 customized for this application we demonstrate the
- 31 specific collection of droplets with exactly one cell of
- 32 each type; however the system could also be used for the
- 33 sorting of droplets with other desired cell occupancies.

34 Results

35 Our approach involved several steps: i) establishment of 36 a generic cell labelling strategy ii) design of customized 37 sorting and analysis chips and iii) development of control 38 software with the ability to process complex multi-39 channel signals (Fig S1). As a model system for our 40 studies, we used Her2 hybridoma cells stained with 41 either Calcein-AM (green viability stain) or Calcein Violet 42 (violet viability stain).



Meyerhofstrasse 1, Heidelberg, Germany ¹ these authors contributed equally

*Correspondence: merten@embl.de Electronic Supplementary Information

supplementary information

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

anv

See

44 Fig. 1. Dual-color droplet sorting. Collection of droplets hosting
45 exactly one cell of each type can be achieved by staining with
46 fluorescent dyes. Prior to encapsulation, each cell type is stained
47 with a different fluorescence dye (e.g. Calcein-AM and Calcein

48 Violet). Subsequent to the formation of droplets, dielectrophoretic

- 49 sorting for samples showing double positive fluorescence signals is
- 50 carried out.

ARTICLE

51 These dyes can be applied to any mammalian cells and 52 enable two populations to be distinguishable without any 53 genetic modification (Fig. 1). Furthermore, many 54 derivatives of these dyes are available, thus enabling 55 colors that fit with a particular optical setup or a given 56 biological question to be chosen (e.g. leaving room for 57 another readout in a third color, as indicated in Fig. S2). 58 However, even though these dyes are strongly 59 fluorescent, sensitive detection of stained cells within 60 droplets big enough for the cultivation of cells (\sim 100 μ m 61 in diameter ¹²) is challenging. This is because cells can be 62 at any position within the droplet meaning the peak 63 values of the emitted light show high variation. For 64 example, the cells can be closer or further away from the 65 focal plane and/or the centre of the laser spot, resulting 66 in variable excitation and emission intensities. 67 Consequently, sorting of droplets according to the 68 number of encapsulated cells becomes difficult. To 69 overcome this limitation, we have used a sorting device 70 in which the detection channel is not only narrower (as described previously by Cao et al. ¹⁶), but also shallower 71 compared to the rest of the chip (Fig. 2B-E). Hence, the 72 73 droplets are converted to plugs and the encapsulated 74 cells have less spatial freedom in both the y-dimension 75 (in which the cell can be closer or further apart from the 76 centre of the laser spot) and the z-dimension (in which 77 the cell can be closer or further apart from the focal 78 plane). It should be noted that designing the entire chip 79 as a narrow and shallow channel is not feasible, as this 80 would increase the back pressure and promote clogging 81 at the cell inlet.



82

83 Fig. 2. Microfluidic devices. (A) Design of the droplet generation 84 chip. The generator nozzle is 100 µm in width and 75 µm in height. 85 (B and C) The sorting chip features a narrow and shallow (40 μm x 40 86 $\mu m)$ detection channel and a sorting divider rotated by 45°. (D) 3D 87 view of the detection channel and sorting divider. (E) Zoom in of the 88 detection channel and sorting divider. (F) The collection chip consists 89 of a continuous channel (40 um height) from which a total of 824 90 droplet traps (100 µm in diameter and height) branch off in the z-91 dimension. (G) Collection chip with trapped droplets at 10-92 magnification. (H) 3D view of the mold of the collection chip.

93 The sensitivity for detecting cells in droplets can also be 94 increased by using high magnification objectives. 95 However, for sorting devices with the ability to handle 96 droplets large enough for the cultivation of mammalian 97 cells it becomes very difficult to fit the detection point 98 and the sorting divider into the same field of view (as 99 required for monitoring the sorting process while optimizing all of the sorting parameters) when using a 100 101 40x objective. This is due to the fact that large droplets 102 require large channels, but also because of the significant 103 space requirements of the electrodes used for 104 dieletrophoretic sorting. We have designed a very 105 compact sorting chip in which the analysis point and the 106 sorting divider are in the same field of view, even when 107 using a 40x objective. This was also achieved by a 45° 108 rotation of the electrodes relative to the channel. 109 Furthermore, we have included additional oil inlets 110 downstream of the constriction, but upstream of the 111 sorting divider, to fine tune the trajectory of the droplets 112 (Fig. 2B-E and Supplementary movie S1).

113 Starting with a mixed population of Calcein-AM and 114 Calcein Violet-stained cells, we then recorded the output 115 signals of droplets passing the detection point and 116 optimized the sorting software. A particular challenge 117 was the implementation of an algorithm capable of 118 precisely determining the number of green and violet 119 peaks within each droplet. The signals are noisy and 120 always fluctuate a bit (Fig. S3), meaning that a simple 121 determination of the inflection point in order to detect 122 peaks would cause many false positive results. We have 123 overcome this problem by using two different thresholds, 124 separated by the maximum noise observed in the output 125 signals. When the signal crosses the higher threshold, 126 this indicates that a cell has been detected and, in order 127 to avoid a single peak being recognized as multiple cells 128 due to noise, the lower threshold is used to signify the 129 end of a peak (Fig. 3A-B). However, an issue with this 130 technique involves the case when two cells of a particular 131 dye are extremely close within a single droplet. When 132 this occurs, the signal does not fall below the lower 133 threshold as the laser spot passes between the cells and 134 the signal is processed as a single cell/peak (Fig. S4). 135 Therefore, active thresholds were required which had 136 the ability to detect a percentage drop in the output 137 signal allowing small decreases in the output signal to be 138 detected that exceeded the maximum noise in the signal, 139 although this is still a limitation when cells are clumped 140 together. This allows for the number of local maxima of a

² | J. Name., 2012, **00**, 1-3

141 number of different colors to be detected and counted. 142 This information, combined with user input data

142 This information, combined with user input data 143 specifying the expected width and spacing of single

144 droplets (based on the input flow rates), the range of the

145 output signal peaks as well as the number of cells of

146 different types that are desired to be sorted, allows for

147 effective control of the sorting process.

148 To verify this, we performed a sorting experiment 149 starting with the encapsulation of a 1:1 mixture of the 150 two differently stained hybridoma cell populations using 151 a density of 1.5×10^6 cells, each (Fig. 1). The resulting 152 emulsion was reinjected into the sorting device, and the 153 droplets were analysed for green and violet signals (Fig.

154 3A).

155



156 Fig. 3 Fluorescence analysis and sorting of the droplets. (A) 157 Fluorescence intensity of individual droplets detected during sorting. 158 (B) Zoom in of a dual color droplet with two cells. Two thresholds 159 were applied for sorting: the low threshold was set at 0.05 relative 160 fluorescence units (RFU) to exclude the PMT noise while the high 161 threshold was set at 0.15 RFU to define peaks of cells. The program 162 starts to count the peak when the fluorescent signal exceeds the 163 high threshold and stops to count when the fluorescent signal drops 164 below the low threshold.

165 Since Calcein Violet tends to slowly leak from the stained 166 cells, the entire droplet became visible in the respective 167 channel. Nonetheless, the fluorescence intensity of the 168 stained cells strongly exceeds that of the surrounding 169 media for several hours (Fig. S5, S6 and Movie S3), thus 170 enabling their reliable detection. Generally, the width of 171 a droplet (defined as the time required to pass the laser 172 $spot^{12}$) was about 4-4.5 ms, while the width of a cell peak 173 was about 0.15-0.3 ms (Fig. 3B) corresponding to 174 approximately 327 µm for the deformed droplet passing 175 through the restricted channel and a cell diameter of ~15 176 um. Based on their random location inside the droplet, 177 cells showed considerably varying signal intensities. 178 However, the spatial constraints imposed by the narrow 179 and shallow detection channel at least ensured that all 180 cell signals were significantly above background, thus 181 enabling their reliable detection. Sorting gates were 182 applied, including the information that peaks separated 183 by less than 1000 µm should be assigned to the same 184 droplet (based on a droplet spacing of at least 3000 µm) 185 and the droplets were sorted at a maximal rate of ~40 Hz 186 (Supplementary movie S2). For determining the sorting 187 efficiency, samples were injected into a third microfluidic 188 chip comprising hundreds of individual droplet traps and 189 analysed microscopically (Fig. 2F-H). While before the 190 sort only 2.2% of the droplets contained exactly one cell 191 of each type, they could be enriched to 76.7% after 192 sorting (Table S1 , Fig. 4 and Fig. S7). Similarly, the 193 percentage of droplets containing at least one cell of 194 each type could be increased from 3.5% to 97.6%.



195

Fig. 4 Efficiency of the sorting process for droplets hosting
differently stained Her2 Hybridoma cells. Merged blue, green and
bright field images before (A) and after (B) sorting. Zoom in of the
droplets before (C) and after (D) sorting. (E) Droplet occupancies in

200 the collection chip before (top) and after (bottom) sorting.

201 To determine the limitations of the technology, we also 202 analysed the PMT data during detection of the non-203 sorted droplets. This data is restricted to occupied 204 droplets, but it allows the relative distribution between 205 the differently occupied droplets to be compared. A close 206 look reveals that the PMT data tends to underestimate 207 the fraction of droplets hosting more than one cell (Fig. 208 S8). To test if this is due to the formation of cell clumps, 209 we repeated the experiments with less sticky Jurkat 210 suspension cells, added 0.2% Pluronic F68 and indeed 211 obtained higher enrichment: the number of droplets 212 hosting exactly one cell of each population (stained green 213 and violet as for the previous experiments) could be 214 increased from 2.8% before sorting to 86.7% after sorting 215 (Table S1 and Fig. S9). Furthermore, the percentage of 216 droplets containing at least one cell of each type could be 217 increased from 4.9% to 93.5%. This clearly shows that cell 218 individualization, rather than the sorting technology, is a 219 limiting factor of our approach. Cell separation could 220 probably be improved for adherent cells, too, either by

ARTICLE

addition of detergents such as Pluronic F68 or by using
 optimized cell separation protocols.¹⁷

223 A further limitation of the sorting efficiency is droplet

stability: we occasionally observed the presence of fused 224 225 droplets, which can get split in the detection channel. In 226 this case, multiple insufficiently spaced droplets with 227 different droplet occupancies arrive at the sorting 228 junction, collide and cause sorting errors (e.g. the sorting 229 of empty droplets as shown in table 1), either by the 230 collection of more than one droplet during a single 231 electric pulse sent to the electrodes, or by direct 232 displacement of individual droplets into the collection 233 channel. This can potentially be limited further by using

234 other surfactants¹⁸, such as Pico-Surf 2 (Sphere Fluidics),

- $235\,$ for which we observed increased droplet stability in
- 236 other experiments.
- 237 Conclusions

238 We have established a method for the specific selection 239 of droplets hosting two different cell types. This should 240 be of special interest for antibody screens involving 241 assays with more than one cell and/or immunology 242 studies. For example, the approach should enable the 243 reliable co-encapsulation of an antibody-secreting cell 244 and one or more reporter cells mediating a change in 245 fluorescence upon the desired effect of an antibody. This 246 is of particular interest for loss of function screens (e.g. 247 the fluorescence signal of the reporter cell is lost upon 248 inhibition of a surface receptor) in which a droplet with 249 the desired assay outcome, as well as a droplet simply 250 lacking a reporter cell, show the same readout signal, 251 thus generating many false positives. This can be 252 overcome by sorting for droplets with at least one 253 reporter cell. The throughput of the system described 254 here (up to ~40Hz) is almost identical to that of 255 previously published antibody screening platforms³ and 256 should hence not be a limiting factor. Furthermore, the 257 assay readout could be directly performed in parallel, 258 using a third color (e.g. red when using the cell staining 259 procedure shown here). This is feasible as long as the 260 assay duration is only a few hours and hence shorter 261 than the time required for complete leakage of the 262 marker dye from the stained cells. Long-term studies are 263 possible too, but require a separate sorting for 264 occupancy (e.g. directly upon droplet generation as 265 shown here) and assay readout (e.g. upon reinjection 266 after several days¹²). Taken together, this should open 267 the way for the screening of antibodies modulating G 268 protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) comprising the 269 targets of most best-selling drugs and about 40% of all prescription pharmaceuticals ¹⁹. 270 271 Furthermore, the system described here can be used for 272 microscopic analysis of pairwise cell-cell interactions.

These are fundamental for a variety of biological
processes including tissue formation, immune system
maturation, immune defence against cancer cells and
pathogens, and bacteria communications ²⁰. A
microfluidic droplet, loaded with two types of cells in an

278 isolated space, mimics a niche environment enabling 279 detailed studies on pair-wise cell-cell interactions on the 280 single-cell level, including studies on heterogeneity. 281 When trapping the sorted droplets in a specific imaging 282 chip, the cells sediment and all align within the same 283 focal plane, thus facilitating high content imaging. The 284 imaging chip used here can host a total of 824 droplets, 285 but this could be easily scaled up to thousands of 286 droplets using standard 3- or 4-inch wafers during the 287 lithography process. Very powerful microfluidic platforms 288 for the analysis of pairwise cell-cell interactions have been described previously ^{11, 21, 22}, but the system shown 289 290 here offers some particular advantages: first of all, the 291 compartmentalization in droplets results in high 292 concentrations of factors secreted by single cells, which are highly relevant in cell communication ²⁰. Second, our 293 approach does not require specific solutions for cells of 294 295 different sizes (as long as they fit into the droplet). This 296 could even allow the co-encapsulation of single bacteria 297 and human cells into the same droplet, thus facilitating 298 studies on host pathogen interactions or studies on synergistic systems such as the human gut microbiome.²³ 299 300 Taken together, we envisage many possible applications

301 of the technology presented here, paving the way for 302 detailed analysis of cell-cell interactions at large scale.

303 References

- - -

304		
305	1.	H. N. Joensson, M. L. Samuels, E. R. Brouzes, M.
306		Medkova, M. Uhlen, D. R. Link and H. Andersson-
307		Svahn, Angewandte Chemie-International Edition,
308		2009, 48 , 2518-2521.
309	2.	E. Brouzes, M. Medkova, N. Savenelli, D. Marran, M.
310		Twardowski, J. B. Hutchison, J. M. Rothberg, D. R.
311		Link, N. Perrimon and M. L. Samuels, Proceedings of
312		the National Academy of Sciences of the United
313		States of America, 2009, 106 , 14195-14200.
314	3.	B. El Debs, R. Utharala, I. V. Balyasnikova, A. D.
315		Griffiths and C. A. Merten, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,
316		2012, 109 , 11570-11575.
317	4.	M. Najah, R. Calbrix, I. P. Mahendra-Wijaya, T.
318		Beneyton, A. D. Griffiths and A. Drevelle, Chemistry
319		& biology, 2014, 21 , 1722-1732.
320	5.	D. J. Eastburn, A. Sciambi and A. R. Abate, Analytical
321		Chemistry, 2013, 85 , 8016-8021.
322	6.	K. Leung, H. Zahn, T. Leaver, K. M. Konwar, N. W.
323		Hanson, A. P. Page, C. C. Lo, P. S. Chain, S. J. Hallam
324		and C. L. Hansen, Proceedings of the National
325		Academy of Sciences of the United States of
326		America, 2012, 109 , 7665-7670.
327	7.	A. M. Klein, L. Mazutis, I. Akartuna, N. Tallapragada,
328		A. Veres, V. Li, L. Peshkin, D. A. Weitz and M. W.
329		Kirschner, <i>Cell</i> , 2015, 161 , 1187-1201.
330	8.	E. Traggiai, S. Becker, K. Subbarao, L. Kolesnikova, Y.
331		Uematsu, M. R. Gismondo, B. R. Murphy, R.
332		Rappuoli and A. Lanzavecchia, Nat Med, 2004, 10,
333		871-875.

- 334
 9.
 H. Hu, J. Voss, G. Zhang, P. Buchy, T. Zuo, L. Wang, F.

 335
 Wang, F. Zhou, G. Wang, C. Tsai, L. Calder, S. J.

 336
 Gamblin, L. Zhang, V. Deubel, B. Zhou, J. J. Skehel

 337
 and P. Zhou, Journal of virology, 2012, 86, 2978

 338
 2989.

 339
 10.
 S. N. Christo, K. R. Diener, R. E. Nordon, M. P.
- Brown, H. J. Griesser, K. Vasilev, F. C. Christo and J.
 D. Hayball, *Scientific reports*, 2015, 5, 7760.
- 34211.B. Dura, S. K. Dougan, M. Barisa, M. M. Hoehl, C. T.343Lo, H. L. Ploegh and J. Voldman, Nature344Communications, 2015, 6.
- 34512.J. Clausell-Tormos, D. Lieber, J. C. Baret, A. El-346Harrak, O. J. Miller, L. Frenz, J. Blouwolff, K. J.347Humphry, S. Koster, H. Duan, C. Holtze, D. A. Weitz,348A. D. Griffiths and C. A. Merten, Chem Biol, 2008,34915, 427-437.
- 350
 13.
 E. W. M. Kemna, R. M. Schoeman, F. Wolbers, I.

 351
 Vermes, D. A. Weitz and A. van den Berg, Lab on a

 352
 Chip, 2012, 12, 2881-2887.
- 353
 14.
 A. R. Abate, C. H. Chen, J. J. Agresti and D. A. Weitz,

 354
 Lab on a Chip, 2009, 9, 2628-2631.
- 355
 15.
 T. P. Lagus and J. F. Edd, RSC advances, 2013, 3,
 356
 20512-20522.
- 357
 16.
 Z. N. Cao, F. Y. Chen, N. Bao, H. C. He, P. S. Xu, S.

 358
 Jana, S. H. Jung, H. Z. Lian and C. Lu, Lab on a Chip,

 359
 2013, 13, 171-178.
- 36017.C. M. Edwards, S. Heptinstall and K. C. Lowe,361Artificial cells, blood substitutes, and immobilization362biotechnology, 1997, 25, 493-499.
- 363 18. J. C. Baret, *Lab Chip*, 2012, **12**, 422-433.
- 364
 19.
 S. P. Andrews, G. A. Brown and J. A. Christopher,

 365
 Chemmedchem, 2014, **9**, 256-275.
- 366
 20.
 S. A. Voloshin and A. S. Kaprelyants, Biochemistry.

 367
 Biokhimiia, 2004, 69, 1268-1275.
- 368 21. C. Ma, R. Fan, H. Ahmad, Q. H. Shi, B. Comin-Anduix,
 369 T. Chodon, R. C. Koya, C. C. Liu, G. A. Kwong, C. G.
 370 Radu, A. Ribas and J. R. Heath, *Nature Medicine*,
 371 2011, **17**, 738-U133.
- 372 22. M. Abonnenc, M. Borgatti, E. Fabbri, R. Gavioli, C.
 373 Fortini, F. Destro, L. Altomare, N. Manaresi, G.
 374 Medoro, A. Romani, M. Tartagni, E. Lo Monaco, P.
 375 Giacomini, R. Guerrieri and R. Gambari, *Journal of*376 *Immunology*, 2013, **191**, 3545-3552.
- 377 23. A. Zelezniak, S. Andrejev, O. Ponomarova, D. R.
 378 Mende, P. Bork and K. R. Patil, *Proceedings of the*379 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States*380 *of America*, 2015, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1421834112.

381