JAAS

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/jaas

2	identification and quantification of seleno-proteins
3	2-DE-SR-XRF in selenium-enriched yeasts
4	
5	Jiating Zhao ^{a,#} , Yunxia Pu ^{b,#} , Yuxi Gao ^a , Xiaomin Peng ^a , Yunyun Li ^a , Xiaohan Xu ^a , Bai L
6	Zhu ^c , Juncai Dong ^a , Gang Wu ^{b,*} , Yu-Feng Li ^{a,*}
7	
8	^a Key Laboratory for Biomedical Effects of Nanomaterials and Nanosafety, and Laborat
9	Metallomics and Metalloproteomics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Acade
0	Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
1	^b Department of Basic Medicine, Baotou Medical College, Baotou 014010, China
2	^c Laboratory of Proteomics, Protein Science Core Facility Center, Institute of Biophysics, C
3	Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
4	[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.
15	

^{*} Corresponding author

Email: liyf@ihep.ac.cn, Fax: +86-10-88235294, Tel: +86-10-88233195

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscrip

16 Abstract

A comprehensive approach that can identify and quantify selenium (Se) in seleno-proteins in Se-enriched yeast was developed. The Se-containing compounds in Se-enriched yeast were first extracted, then the fraction of Se-containing proteins in the supernatant was analyzed by 2-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) and synchrotron radiation X-ray fluorescence (SR-XRF). The detection limits (DL) of SR-XRF analysis for Se quantification in Se-containing proteins after 2-DE separation was calculated to be 0.20 μ g g⁻¹, which is suitable for Se quantification in the Se-containing spots exhibited on the 2-D gel. After scanned by SR-XRF, Only spots with a mean Se content exceeding twice the *DL* of SR-XRF were considered to be seleno-proteins. In this way, a total of 157 Se-containing spots in the gel were visually distinguished. Se contents in all the Se-containing proteins of different molecular weight were quantified. The total Se content on the 2-D gel was calculated to be 126.56 μ g g⁻¹, which covered most of the seleno-proteins on the 2-D gel.

30 Keywords: SR-XRF; 2-DE; Se-enriched yeast

32 Introduction

Selenium (Se) is an essential micronutrient for living organisms but is toxic at high concentration.^{1, 2} The deficiency of Se can lead to serious health problems, such as Keshan disease and Kashin Beck disease.³ Various Se-enriched food and supplement, like Se-enriched rice, yeast, and mushroom are commercially available. The bioavailability and toxicity of Se are closely correlated with its chemical form.^{4,5} Speciation analysis of Se in the supplement are necessary and has been carried out by many researchers.⁶⁻⁹ Se-enriched veast is an attractive Se supplementary source due to its ability to act as a precursor for selenoproteins synthesis and its high content of selenomethionine (SeMet), which is a highly bioavailable Se form with low toxicity. Significant efforts have been made in the development of analytical methods for the speciation of Se in biological samples in recent years.¹⁰⁻¹²

The separation of Se-containing compounds can be achieved using HPLC, CE or GC, of which the HPLC is the most frequently used because of its better reproducibility and higher efficiency.^{6, 13, 14} The HPLC combining with ICP-MS is mostly used to analyze Se content and speciation in Se-containing compounds, which can identify Se species at µg g⁻¹ level.^{8, 15, 16} However, the HPLC also has its limitations. For example, reversed phase HPLC (RP-HPLC) can only separate compounds with no or little polarity and cannot analyze macromolecular compounds like proteins and polypeptide.

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

Electrophoresis has a high resolution for the separation of macromolecular compounds. One-dimensional (1D) electrophoresis is a suitable tool for separation of macromolecular compounds like proteins and polypeptide, but cannot separate compounds with molecular weight difference less than 10%. Two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis, which has higher resolution, better intensity and lower detection limits than 1-D gel electrophoresis, is a perfect approach to analyze Se-containing compounds in organisms.^{17, 18}

59 The detection and quantification of metal containing macromolecules in 60 biological samples has received increasing attentions. In comparison with analysis of 61 liquid samples by chromatographic technique, the direct analysis of metalloproteins

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscrip

on solid samples, like electrophoresis gels, requires specific analytical methods able to detect metals in situ. Many methods like laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS), particle induced X-ray emission (PIXE), and synchrotron radiation X-ray fluorescence (SR-XRF), as well as X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) which can provide the local structural environment of metal ions were suitable to be applied to analysis metals in biomacromolecules on solid samples.¹⁹⁻²² Thereinto, SR-micro (u)-XRF which has lower detection limit than PIXE and smaller spatial resolution and less damage to the samples than LA-ICP-MS should be a potentially promising technique for metal identification after electrophoresis separation. Gao et al. ever studied the metalloproteins in human liver cytosol by SR-XRF combined with gel filtration chromatography and isoelectric focusing separation, and 2 Zn-containing bands, 11 Fe-containing bands and some Cu-containing bands with specific pI from $4 \sim 7$ were successfully detected.²³ Finney L. et al.^{24,25} have ever identified a novel periplasmic zinc protein and some other metal-containing proteins through XRF mapping and mass spectrometry after native 2D gel separation, while without in situ quantification of the metal/metalloid in specific metal-containing proteins/peptides.

Synchrotron radiation X-ray fluorescence (SR-XRF) is a highly specific and sensitive method for identification, characterization and distribution analysis of metals and nonmetals in a biological samples.²⁶⁻³⁰ SR-XRF is capable of microscopic analysis and can supply information of 2-D distribution of trace element.³¹ With the advance of the third generation synchrotron radiation sources, the SR-XRF technique can probe trace elements in biological specimens with high sensitivities (sub-mg kg⁻¹).³⁰ Hard X-rays can be focused to submicron, even to nanometer spot size. This makes it possible and ideal to study the spatial distribution and relative concentration of trace elements in specific proteins with SR-XRF after electrophoresis separation.

Se, as a trace element in the majority of case, play an important role in the biological activities by the way of embedding into some critical proteases, like GSH-Px, Selenoprotein P, etc. The expression of Se-containing proteins as well as Se contents in specific biomolecules may be quite variable between normal and

pathological biological tissues. Therefore, identification of Se containing proteins and precise quantification of Se content in specific biomolecules by effective methods is beneficial for the diagnosis and prevention of Se or other metal and metalloid related diseases.³²⁻³⁴ In the present study, we took Se-enriched yeast as a simple model organism to identify Se-containing proteins and quantify Se contents in these biomolecules with 2DE-SR-XRF. The Se-containing proteins in Se-enriched yeast were extracted first, then separated by 2-DE and scanned with XR-XRF. Using SR-XRF technique, Se contents in Se-binding proteins can be readily detected and quantified with high sensitivity in 2-DE gels with less damage to the primary separated macromolecules.

102 Materials and Methods

103 Reagents and Standards

SelenoPrecise[®] veast (S. cerevisiae, Se-enriched veast) was supplied by Pharma Nord (Denmark). 3-[(3-holamidopropyl) dimethylammonio]-1-propanesuifonate (CHAPS), dithioerythritol (DTT), 4-(2-Aminoethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride (Pefabloc®SC), DNase I and RNase A, and sodium dodecvl sulfate (SDS) were purchased form Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Pronase E from Merk (Germany); Methanol from Dikmanpure (USA); Heptafluorobutyric acid from Fluka (Germany). Nitric acid (65%), hydrogen peroxide (30%) and other reagents are all of analytical reagent grade and purchased from Sinopharm (China). All samples and solution were prepared in deionized water obtained from Milli-Q (Millipore, UK).

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

Determination of the total Se by ICP-MS

The yeast and extracts were digested with a mixture of nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide (4:1, v/v) at 160°C for 12 h. The resulting solutions were volatilized at temperature below 90°C to a volume of 0.1-0.2 mL, and then diluted with 2% nitric acid up to 2 mL for Se determination by ICP-MS (Thermo Elemental X 7). A collision cell technology (CCT) was employed for avoid the ⁴⁰Ar⁴⁰Ar interference. Indium (SpexCertiprep Corp. USA, 10 μ g L⁻¹) was used as the internal standard for all sample solutions. The calibrating standards was prepared by diluting the Se standard stock solution (100 mg L⁻¹ Quality Control Standard 21, SpexCertiprep Co. USA) with 2%

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscrip

122 (v/v) nitric acid and then measured in the same manner with the supernatant.^{26,33} 123 Deionized water was used as reagent blank and a certified reference, bovine liver 124 CRM (1577a, NBS, USA) with a certified Se value of $0.56 \pm 0.07 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ was used to 125 check the validity of the method.

126 Extraction of proteins from Se-enriched yeast

Three replicates of 0.4 g Se-enriched yeast were added to 5 mL of extracting buffer which contains 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% w/v CHAPS, 1% w/v DTT, 2% v/v carrier ampholytes pH 3-10, 10 mM Pefabloc®sc proteinase inhibitor, 20 µgmL⁻¹DNaseI, and 25 µg mL⁻¹RNase A) and ultrasonicated for 30 min in an ice bath. The mixture was centrifuged (Hitachi CP 80MX, Japan) at $16000 \times g$ for 30 min at 4° C.^{14, 35} The supernatants were collected and then stored at -20 °C until analyzed. The solid residue was digested with nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide (4:1, v/v) for total Se analysis by ICP-MS.

The protein contents in the supernatants were quantified by the Bradford method.
Se content in the supernatants and ultimate residue were measured with ICP-MS after
digestion for evaluation of the extracting efficiency and Se recovery.

138 Separation of Se-containing proteins by 2-D gel electrophoresis

Isoelectric focusing (IF) gel electrophoresis was performed with a Protean II IEF Cell system (Bio-Rad, USA). The protein content of the macromolecular fraction was adjusted to 0.2-0.5 mg mL⁻¹ with IPG strip rehydration solution (8 M urea, 4% CHAPS, 65 mM DTT, 0.001% bromophenol blue). Each of 125 µL protein solution was loaded to the sample channel. Immobilized pH gradient (IPG) gel strips (7cm) with a linear pH range (3-10) were soaked in the solution for 12 h. Afterwards, IEF run at 20°C and 0.05 mA per strip up to 32375V.h, by a stepped manner of an initial voltage of 250 V for 30 min, and then 500V for 30min, and finally 4000V for 8h.²⁹

After focusing, the strips were soaked in the equilibration buffer containing 6 M urea, 0.375M Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 20% v/v glycerol and 2% w/v SDS, with the addition of 2% w/v DTT) for 15min, followed by the same buffer without DTT but with the 2.5% w/v iodoacetamide (ICA) for 15 min. In the second dimension, the strips were applied to 12% SDS-PAGE gels ($20 \times 16 \times 1$ mm) and electrophoresis was performed

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry

in a Protean II Xi (Bio-Rad, USA) apparatus. The electrophoresis run at 5 mA for 1 h,
then at 20 mA until the dye front reached to the edge of the gel. The separated
proteins were stained by SimplyBlue[™]SafeStain, Coomassie R-250 (Sigma) and
dried at 80°C with vacuum gel drying system (583 type, Bio-Rad, USA).²⁹

156 Analysis of Se-containing proteins in the gels by SR-XRF

Se-containing proteins imaging in the unstained 2-DE gel was carried out at BL-4A in Photon Factory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Japan. The storage ring runs at energy of 2.5 GeV with current intensity of $350 \sim 450$ mA. A monochromatic SR with photon energy of 13.5 keV and spot size of 1×1 mm² was used to excite the samples. The dried gel was moved along the horizontal and vertical direction at an interval of 1 mm for each step. The XRF signals were collected with a Si (Li) detector (PGT Inc. LS 30143-DS) up to 10s for each point. The fluorescence intensity of Se, Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn and Compton scattering was recorded and analyzed by 6 SCAs (single channel analyzers, Ortec 550), respectively. The calibration curve was obtained by preparing 0 (control gel), 0.5, 1, 5 and 10 mg L^{-1} Se-containing gel, of which each was prepared by adding a certain amount of Se to 5.5 mL 12% SDS-PAGE gel ($8.6 \times 6.4 \times 1$ mm). All the standard gels were dried at 80° C with a vacuum gel drying system (Model 583, Bio-Rad, USA), then they were analyzed according to the same procedure for SR-XRF analysis of the 2-DE gel of the Se-containing yeast sample. The element signal intensity of each point in the Se-containing gel was corrected by subtracting the signal intensity of the control gel (without Se addition).

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

In order to correct the effect of the SR beam flux variation on the signal intensity, the intensity of each pixel (*i.e.*, element) in the gel was calibrated by Compton scattering, and then used for calculating the Se content according to the calibration curve. In addition, amounts of Se-containing spots exhibited on the gel are bigger than 1×1 mm² (the beam spot size) in fact, in other words, each protein spots may be covered by several beam spots. To avoid the determination biases due to unmatched size between the protein spots and the beam spots as well as inhomogeneous distribution of Se within the protein, we use the sum of the values of several beam spots which can roughly cover the protein spot to obtain the Se content in each proteinspot.

Results and discussion

185 Extraction of Se-containing proteins from Se-enriched yeast

To evaluate the extract efficiency of the sample preparation procedure, Se contents in the supernatant and residue were measured with ICP-MS. In a linear rang of 0-100 μ g L⁻¹, the regression coefficient (R^2) is 0.9997. The Se content in the CRM (1577a, NBS, USA) determined is 0.55 \pm 0.05 μ g g⁻¹, which is corresponding to a recovery of 98.2%.

191 The contents of Se in each fractions obtained, along with the Se recovery, were 192 listed in Table 1. The extracted Se in the supernatant was about $376.5 \pm 4.3 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$, 193 with a recovery of about 30%. The low Se recovery of Se-enriched yeast may be 194 ascribed to the insufficient sonication time for this distinct specimen.

195 Detection limits for Se quantification in Se-containing spots by SR-XRF

The calibration curve for Se quantification using SR-XRF was shown in Fig. 1. The detection limits (*DL*) in SR-XRF analysis for Se quantification in the Se-containing proteins after 2-DE separation was calculated according to the following equation $^{36, 37}$:

$$200 \qquad DL = \frac{3\sqrt{Nb}}{Ni} Ci$$

Where DL is the detection limits of element *i*: Nb is the background count of the sample with no element *i*; *Ni* is the net count of element *i* in a standard sample; *Ci* is the concentration of element i in the standard sample with element i. From the equation, the decrease of background count and increase of the net count, as well as the increasing counting time, are all beneficial for improving the sensitivity and decrease the *DL* for element *i* measurement by this technique. In present study, duo to the low background count value, enough counting time (10s) for each point, the high luminous flux and collimation of X-ray source of SR, the ratio of signal to noise can be quite high, and the high sensitivity for Se measure in Se-containing spots can be

achieved by XRF. The *DL* of Se exhibited on the gel in this study was about 0.20 μ g g⁻¹ (*Nb* = 23, *Ni* = 36, *Ci* = 0.5; mean values of each ten points of the background and the standard sample), which was ideal for the quantification of Se in the Se-containing spots exhibited on the 2-DE gel.

In addition, as described in our previous report³⁸ the experimental analytical precision (*EAP*) of XRF was represented by the standard deviation of 10 times measurements of the net intensity of the control analyte in the same analytical context for a 95% confidence level.

$$EAP = \frac{2}{m_i} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{m=1}^{n} (I_m - \bar{I})^2}{n - 1}}$$

where I_m is the *m*-th measurement of the net intensity of element *i*; \overline{I} is the mean value of the n measured values of the net intensity. The EAP enable us to estimate the random errors due to the instrument and counting statistics. The uncertainty introduced by the error source may considerably limit the accuracy and precision of the analytical results. A procedure was suggested by Rousseau³⁹ for evaluating the uncertainty introduced by the systematic and random errors due to the sample preparation, which mainly includes: 1) Prepare the control specimens using the same preparation method as a single sample; 2) Measure the element of interest in each of 10 specimens once using the same analytical program; 3) Measure the element of interest in one of the specimens 10 times using the same analytical program; 4) Calculate the relative standard deviation of both series of measurements. If the relative standard deviation obtained in step 2 is sufficiently low, the sample preparation method is suitable. For the specific element, if the obtained relative standard deviation is quite high, the result of step 2 can be compared with that of step 3 for the purpose of determining whether the deviation is introduced by the sample preparation or by the instrument and counting statistics.

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

235 Protein profiles of 2-DE gel and analysis of Se in gel spots with SR-XRF

Proteins in the extract were separated using 2-DE gel electrophoresis. The protein profile of 2-DE was shown in Fig. 3a, and numerous protein spots could be

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscrip

visually exhibited on the gel.

Se in the protein spots on the 2-DE gel were identified and quantified by SR-XRF. The typical SR-XRF spectra of the control spot and the Se-containing spot in the 2-DE gels were shown in Fig. 2. From Fig. 2, there was a substantial strengthened Se-K peak of the Se-containing spot in the 2-DE gels than that of the control spot. The intensity of each point in the gel was calibrated with I_0 and the Compton scattering. The patterns of Se counts of se-containing protein spots on 2-DE gel scanned by SR-XRF were shown in Fig. 3b. After calculated to the *DL* of the XRF, only spots with a mean Se content exceeding twice the detection limit value of SR-XRF were considered to be Se-containing spots.^{40,41} In this way, numbers of Se-containing protein spots and the corresponding Se contents with different molecular mass were analyzed and shown in Table 2. About a total of 157 Se-containing protein spots in the 2-DE gel can be detected (Fig. 3b). From Table 2, 34 Se-containing protein spots with total Se contents of 23.22 μ g g⁻¹ with molecular mass weight range from 60 kDa to 70 kDa, 30 Se-containing spots with total 24.43 µg g^{-1} Se of molecular mass weight range from 30 ~ 40 kDa, 11 and 10 Se-containing protein spots with less than 20 μ g g⁻¹ Se of which molecular mass weigh > 80 kDa and < 25 kDa were identified. This indicates that most of the Se-containing proteins have molecular weight between $40 \sim 70$ kDa in Se-enriched yeast, with less in > 80 kDa and < 25 kDa range. The total Se in all Se-containing proteins in the Se-enriched yeast was calculated to be 126.56 μ g g⁻¹ according to the calibration curve (Fig. 1). This value is lower than the total Se content of 376.54 μ g g⁻¹ in the extract determined by ICP-MS. This may be ascribed to the losses of the water-soluble small molecular Se species during the 2-DE procedure, as well as some of the missing Se-containing proteins when analyzing the Se-containing spots on the 2-DE gel. However, the quantification of trace element carried out by XRF combining with 2-DE can provide element content at sub-ug g⁻¹ level and high resolution in specific spots in situ of the electrophoresis gel with less damage to the specimen than LA-ICP-MS, which is unavailable for other common techniques.

267 Conclusions

The Se-containing proteins extracted from the Se-enriched yeast were successfully separated by 2-DE, and quantified by SR-XRF technique at a high resolution and sensitivity. SR-XRF combined with 2DE is an advanced method for identify and quantify multi-element analysis *in situ* with space resolution of several μ m and sensitivity at sub- μ g g⁻¹ level of element concentration. 2-DE coupled with SR-XRF analysis (off line) was successfully used to detect Se in Se-containing proteins in the present study. After separated by 2-DE, Se in the protein spots was analyzed in situ by SR-XRF scanning with minor damage to the original samples at sub-ug g⁻¹ resolution level. In other words, based on the in situ imaging of specific metal-containing proteins by SR-XRF, further identification of the metal-containing proteins/peptide by the electrospray ionization MS (ESI-MS) or other techniques should be more feasible in a parallel 2D gel.

280 Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 21407150, 21377129, 11205168, U1432241). SR-XRF analysis was carried out at BL-4A in Photon Factory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Japan, and BL 4W1B in Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF), Beijing. We thank all the staff in BL4A (KEK-PF) and BL 4W1B (BSRF) for their assistance during the SR-XRF measurement and the data processing. Y-F Li gratefully acknowledges the support from K. C. Wong Education Foundation, Hong Kong and the CAS Youth Innovation Association, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

270	Nele	rences
291	1	K. Brown and J. Arthur, Public Health Nutr., 2001, 4, 593-600.
292	2	R. F. Clark, E. Strukle, S. R. Williams and A. S. Manoguerra, JAMA, 1996, 275, 1087-1088.
293	3	C. D. Thomson, Eur. J. Clin.Nutr., 2004, 58, 391-402.
294	4	J. Falandysz, J. Environ. Sci. Health C, 2008, 26, 256-299.
295	5	J. Moreda-Pineiro, A. Moreda-Pineiro, V. Romaris-Hortas, R. Dominguez-Gonzalez, E
296		Alonso-Rodriguez, P. Lopez-Mahia, S. Muniategui-Lorenzo, D. Prada-Rodriguez and P
297		Bermejo-Barrera, Food Chem., 2013, 139, 872-877.
298	6	L. Bendahl and B. Gammelgaard, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2004, 19, 143-148.
299	7	Y. Fang, Y. F. Zhang, B. Catron, Q. L. Chan, Q. H. Hu and J. A. Caruso, J. Anal. At. Spectrom.
300		2009, 24 , 1657-1664.
301	8	E. G. da Silva, L. R. V. Mataveli and M. A. Z. Arruda, Talanta, 2013, 110, 53-57.
302	9	J. Zembrzuska, H. Matusiewicz, H. Polkowska-Motrenko and E. Chajduk, Food Chem., 2014
303		142 , 178-187.
304	10	L. Shi, W. B. Yue, C. X. Zhang, Y. S. Ren, X. M. Zhu, Q. Wang, L. G. Shi and F. L. Lei, Anim.
305		Reprod. Sci., 2010, 119, 212-218.
306	11	R. Sinha, I. Sinha, N. Facompre, S. Russell, R. I. Somiari, J. P. Richie and K. El-Bayoumy
307		Cancer Epidem. Biomar., 2010, 19, 2332-2340.
308	12	G. N. Schrauzer, J. Nutr., 2002, 132, 1653-1656.
309	13	L. Yang, Z. Mester and R. E. Sturgeon, Anal. Chem., 2004, 76, 5149-5156.
310	14	J. R. Encinar, L. Ouerdane, W. Buchmann, J. Tortajada, R. Lobinski and J. Szpunar, Anal
311		Chem., 2003, 75 , 3765-3774.
312	15	M. Kotrebai, M. Birringer, J. F. Tyson, E. Block and P. C. Uden, Analyst, 2000, 125, 71-78.
313 314	16	YF. Li, C. Chen, B. Li, Q. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Gao, Y. Zhao and Z. Chai, J. Anal. At Spectrum 2007 22 925-927
315	17	7 Pedrero V Madrid C Camara E Schram I B Luten I Feldmann I Waentig H Haver
316	17	and N. Jakubowski, J. Anal. At. Spectrom, 2009 24, 775-784
317	18	H Chassaigne C C Chery G Bordin F Vanhaecke and A R Rodriguez <i>J Anal At</i>
318	10	Spectrom 2004 19 85-95
319	19	J. E. Penner-Hahn. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005. 249 , 161-177.
320	20	M. Bertrand, G. Weber and B. Schoefs. <i>Trends Anal. Chem.</i> , 2003, 22 , 254-262.
321	21	S. Chevreux, S. Roudeau, A. Fravsse, A. Carmona, G. Deves, P. L. Solari, T. C. Weng and R
322		Ortega. J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2008. 23, 1117-1120.
323	22	R. Ortega. <i>Metallomics</i> , 2009, 1 , 137-141.
324	23	Y. Gao, C. Chen, Z. Chai, J. Zhao, J. Liu, P. Zhang, W. He and Y. Huang, <i>Analyst</i> , 2002, 127
325		1700-1704.
326	24	D. Raimunda, T. Khare, C. Giometti, S. Vogt, J. Argüello and L. Finney, Metallomics, 2012, 4
327		921-927.
328	25	T. Khare, Y. Chishti, L. Finney, Methods Mol. Biol. 2012, 869, 533-542.
329	26	J. Zhao, Y. Gao, YF. Li, Y. Hu, X. Peng, Y. Dong, B. Li, C. Chen and Z. Chai, Environ. Res.
330		2013, 125 , 75-81.
331	27	J. Zhao, Y. Li, YF. Li, Y. Gao, B. Li, Y. Hu, Y. Zhao, Z. Chai, Metallomics, 2014, 6
332		1951-1957.

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry

334	29	Y. Gao, C. Chen and Z. Chai, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2007, 22, 856-860.
335	30	Y. Gao, N. Liu, C. Chen, Y. Luo, Y. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhao, B. Zhao, A. Iida and Z. Chai, J.
336		Anal. At. Spectrom., 2008, 23, 1121-1123.
337	31	Y. Gao, X. Peng, J. Zhang, J. Zhao, Y. Li, B. Li, Y. Hu and Z. Chai, Metallomics, 2013, 5,
338		913-919.
339	32	Y. Gao, Y. Liu, G. Deng and Z. Wang. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 2004, 100, 105-115.
340	33	H. Ganther: Carcinogenesis, 1999, 20, 1657-1666.
341	34	L. Papp, J. Lu, A. Holmgren, K. Khanna. Antioxid. Redox Sign. 2007, 9, 775-806.
342	35	YF. Li, L. Hu, B. Li, X. Huang, E. Larsen, Y. Gao, Z. Chai and C. Chen, J. Anal. At.
343		Spectrom., 2011, 26, 224-226.
344	36	B. K. Beckhoff, N. Langhoff, R. Wedell and H. Wolff, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006, 7,
345		398-399.
346	37	H. Wang, M. Wang, B. Wang, X. Meng, Y. Wang, M. Li, W. Feng, Y. Zhao and Z. Chai, J.
347		Anal. At. Spectrom., 2010, 25, 328-330.
348	38	C. Chen, Z. Chai, Y. Gao, Advanced Nuclear Analytical Techniques for Metallomics and
349		Metalloproteomics, RSC Publishing, 2010, Chapter 3, pp 71-74.
350	39	D. Richard, M. Rigaku J., 2001, 18, 33-47.
351	40	Y. Gao, Z. Wang, Fresenius J. Anal. Chem., 2000, 367, 60-64
352	41	C. Chen, Y. Gao, Z. Chai, RSC Publishing, 2010, 79-88.

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuse

Table 1 Contents of the proteins and Se in extracted fractions from Se-enriched y	/east
--	-------

Sample Preparation	protein content(mg)	Se extracted($\mu g g^{-1}$)	Se recovery (%)
Supernatant	20.42±0.32	376. 54±4.28	26.68
Solid residue	_	879.83±5.32	62.34
Total		1256.37	89.02*

*The total Se content in the yeast is $1411.42\pm10.75 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ measured by ICP-MS.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
1	
0	
9 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34 25	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
40 17	
47	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
5/	
58 50	
59 60	
00	

Mr (kD)	> 80	70-80	60-70	50-60	40-50	30-40	< 30	total
number of								
selenoprotein spots	11	17	34	24	19	30	20	157
Se contents in								
Se-containing spots $(\mu g g^{-1})$	6.71	10.49	23.22	21.13	22.97	24.43	17.61	126.56
0								
1								

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscr







Fig. 2 The typical SR-XRF spectra of the control spot and Se-containing spot in 2-DE
gels. The black line was the measurement of the control spot. The red line was the
measurement of the Se-containing spot.



Fig. 3 Profiles of 2-D gel electrophoresis of Se-enriched yeast proteins (Fig. 3a);
Patterns of Se counts of se-containing protein spots on 2-D gel scanned by SR-XRF
(Fig. 3b).

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscr