Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





Versatile ternary organic solar cells: a critical review

Qiaoshi An,^a Fujun Zhang,^a⁺ Jian Zhang,^b Weihua Tang,^c Zhenbo Deng^a and Bin Hu^a⁺

COYAL SOCIETY

Energy & Environmental Science

ARTICLE



Received 00th January 2015, Accepted 00th January 2015

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

The power conversion efficiency (PCE) of organic solar cells has been constantly refreshed in the past ten years from 4% up to 11% due to the contribution from the chemist on novel materials and the physicists on device engineering. For the practical application, single bulk heterojunction structure may be best candidate due to the cell with high PCE, easy fabrication and low cost. Recently, ternary solar cells have attracted more and more attention due to enhanced photon harvesting by using absorption spectral or energy level complementary materials as the second donor or acceptor based on single bulk heterojunction structure. For better promoting the development of ternary solar cells, we summarize the recent progress of ternary solar cells and try our best to concise out the scientific issues in preparing high performance

1 Introduction

Due to the excessive exploitation and consumption of mineral materials, energy shortage and environmental pollution have become the major problems in the human development. The development of photovoltaic technology has brought a series of clean and renewable energy resources to solve energy and environmental problems. The organic solar cell (OSC) as one of the most potential photovoltaic technologies has attracted enormous attention because of its easy fabrication, large-area, light weight, flexibility and abundant raw materials.¹⁻⁵ In particular, OSCs have the advantage over all photovoltaic technologies that the possible manufacturing speed is very high and the thermal budget is low as no high temperatures are needed.^{6,7} OSCs have the smallest ecological footprint and the shortest energy payback time possible, it means that OSCs need the shortest time required to produce the energy invested during its life cycle.8,9 In recent years, power conversion efficiency (PCE) of bulk heterojunction (BHJ) OSCs has been improved to over 10% based on novel low bandgap materials for the better match with solar spectrum to increase short circuit density (J_{SC}) and with low the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level to enhance open circuit voltage (V_{OC}) .¹⁰⁻¹⁴ The BHJ structure is considered as the most effective strategy for obtaining high performance of solar cells due to the bi-continuous interpenetrating network for the better excitons dissociation and charge carrier transport in the active layers.¹⁵⁻²⁰ The key processes determining the performance of solar cells can be summarized as: i) photons harvesting ability and range of the active layers to generate more excitons;²¹⁻²⁵ ii) appropriate

ternary solar cells.

donor/acceptor phase separation trend for efficient exciton dissociation and charge transport (low recombination loss);²⁶⁻³⁰ iii) interfacial engineering for charge carrier collection by individual electrodes.³¹⁻³⁴ Therefore, chemical and physical engineering should be simultaneously carried out for PCE improvement. The PCE of solar cells is proportional to the J_{SC} , V_{OC} and the fill factor (FF), as given by equation 1:

$$PCE = \frac{J_{sc} \times V_{oc} \times FF}{P_{in}} \times 100\%$$

(1)

where P_{in} is incident light power. The J_{SC} is defined as the current density during without external load. The V_{OC} is defined as the voltage which compensates the current flow through the external circuit. The FF characterizes how "square" the current density–voltage (*J-V*) curve is and it represents how "difficult" or how "easy" the photogenerated carriers can be extracted out from a photovoltaic device.³⁵ For the high efficient OSCs, FF is usually larger than 60% exhibiting an efficient charge carrier transport and collection. Meanwhile, the FF exhibits a rapidly decreased trend along with the increase of active area due to insufficient charge carrier collection by individual electrode. The PCE improvement of OSCs strongly depends on the simultaneous enhancement of V_{OC} , *J_{SC}* and FF by employing appropriate materials, films fabrication and post-treatment technology and interfacial engineering.

It is generally believed that the J_{SC} is proportional to the number of absorbed photons, which strongly depends on the absorption intensity and absorption range of the active layer materials. A series of excellent low bandgap materials were successfully synthesized to improve the performance of solar cells by using benzodithiophene (BDT), dithienosilole (DTS), or indacenodithiophene (IDT) thienothiophene (TT), benzodithiophene (BDT), as well as BDTand N-alkylthieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6-dione (TPD) donor units and benzothiadiazole (BT), thienopyrroledione (TPD), or thiazolothiazole (TTz) acceptor units.³⁶⁻⁴¹ The optimized morphology, phase separation and crystalline of active layer also

^a Key Laboratory of Luminescence and Optical Information, Ministry of Education, Beijing Jiaotong University, 100044, China.

^{b.} Department of Material Science and Technology, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Information Materials, Guilin University of Electronic Technology, 541004, China.
^c Key Laboratory of Soft Chemistry and Functional Materials, Ministry of Education,

Nanjing University of Science and Technology, 210094, China. † Corresponding author: <u>fizhang@bjtu.edu.cn</u> (Fujun), <u>bhu@utk.edu</u> (Bin)

should play the key role in obtaining high performance of solar cells. The effective strategy on adjusting properties of active layers can be summarized as: thermal annealing treatment, solvent additive, solvent vapor treatment, mixed solvents, hot solvents and casting solvent.⁴²⁻⁵² Ma et al. first reported thermal annealing treatment on active layer to improve the PCE up to 5% for OSCs with poly(3hexylthiophene) (P3HT) and [6,6]-phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM) as active layer, which is attributed to the improved nanoscale morphology and crystalline of active layers.⁵³ Hou et al. reported that optimized PCE 7.4% of OSCs based on P3HT as donor and indene-C-70 bisadduct (IC70BA) as acceptor was obtained by using 3 vol% high boiling point solvent additive of 1chloronaphthalene (CN), N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP), 1,8octanedithiol (OT) or 1,8-diiodooctane (DIO) with solvent annealing, which is due to the optimized P3HT/IC71BA interpenetrating network and enhanced absorption of the active layer by using solvent additive treatment.¹⁸ Zhao et al. reported the enhanced PCE of P3HT:PCBM-based OSCs via 1,2dichlorobenzene (DCB) vapor treatment and thermal annealing treatment, which is beneficial to P3HT self-organizing assisted by DCB vapor treatment to enhance absorption and hole mobility.54 During the solvent vapor treatment, PCBM molecules begin to diffuse into aggregates and together with the ordered P3HT phase form bicontinuous pathways in the entire layer for efficient charge separation and transport. Ye et al. reported a two-steps strategy to improve the performance of OSCs, i) tuning the volume ratio of binary solvents (DCB and CF); ii) adding DIO solvent into the optimized binary solvents.55 Xiao et al. developed a method using solvent fluxing to form graded bulk heterojunction active layers with more uniform PCBM distribution, resulting in higher charge carrier collection efficiency.⁵⁶ For the better charge carrier collection, ultrathin interfacial buffer layer was commonly inserted between active layer and electrode to form quasi Ohmic contact. Poly (styrene sulfonic acid) doped poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT:PSS) is the commonly used conducting polymer as anode buffer layer.⁵⁷ As the substitution of PEDOT:PSS, metal oxidations were selected due to their proper work function, good holetransporting and electron-blocking prosperity, such as MoO₃, NiO, V2O5 and WO3.58-60 For the cathode buffer layers, some low work function metal or inorganic materials were adapted to modify Al cathode to improve the electron extraction from active layers, such as Ca, LiF, TiO_x, ZnO, Cs₂CO₃, and a-ZrAcac.⁶¹⁻⁶⁵ Recently, crosslinkable water or alcohol soluble conjugated polymers were used as cathode buffer layer, such as polyethylenimine (PEI), polyethylenimine ethoxylated (PEIE) and poly[(9,9-bis(3'-(N,Ndimethylamino)propyl)-2,7-fluorene)-alt-2,7-(9,9-dioctylfluorene)] (PFN) and poly[3-(6-trimethylammoniumhexyl)thiophene] (PTMAHT), which can avoid intermixing between the active layer and the subsequently deposited interlayer.^{66, 67} Zhang et al. reported the PCE improvement by using poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) as the cathode interfacial layer mainly due to an increase in V_{OC} .⁶⁸ Sun et al. reported that the PCE of OSCs with PTB7-Th:PCBM as the active layers was increased from 6.13% to 8.69% by using a twostep strategy preparing PFN interfacial layers, 4 min methanol soaking the active layers and then directly spin-coating PFN methanol solution on the active layers.⁶⁹

Energy & Environmental Science

Over the past ten years, the significant PCE improvement of OSCs has been achieved by developing novel low bandgap materials, adopting the diversified strategy on phase separation and using interfacial layers for charge carrier collection. However, further improvement of performance for single-junction OSCs is still limited by insufficient photon harvesting of active layers containing one donor and one acceptor material due to the relatively narrow absorption window (~ 100 nm) of organic materials.⁷⁰ Furthermore, another limitation was recognized by Shockley and Queisser, showed that the trade-off between photocurrent and photovoltage in single-junction OSCs, with the efficiency of broad spectrum absorbers being reduced by the thermalization of above-bandgap excitations, named as thermalization loss.⁷¹ The efficient strategies for performance improvement of OSCs should rely on full utilization of solar light in a broad coverage and circumventing the standard single-junction Shockley-Queisser efficiency limit. Compared with the single-junction OSCs, tandem configuration solar cells can not only improve light absorption but also reduce thermalization loss of photonic energy by stacking two or more sub-cells with complementary absorption range, harvesting high and low energy photons in the separated sub-cells.⁷²⁻⁷⁶ The sub-cells in tandem solar cells work independently without any energy or charge carrier transfer between each other, which can be connected either in series or in parallel by adjusting the interconnecting scheme. The series connection is the most widely adopted model with two terminals. The intermediate connection layer should allow the recombination of holes coming from one sub-cell with electrons coming from the other cell.77, 78 To maximize utilization of solar light, the high and low bandgap polymers based sub-cells are usually used as front and rear cell, respectively. The active layer thickness of sub-cells should be optimized according to their absorption coefficient and bandgap to obtain the maximum J_{SC} because the J_{SC} of tandem solar cells is determined by the minimum J_{SC} of sub-cells. The V_{OC} of the tandem solar cell is the sum of the two sub-cells.^{79, 80} The simulation proposed by Brabec et al.'s indicates that one cell donor should have a high bandgap of 1.6 eV and the other cell donor should have a low bandgap of 1.3 eV to achieve 15% PCE in a tandem solar cell, both cells have a flat external quantum efficiency (EOE) of 65% under this assumption.⁸¹ In fact, the wide applicability of tandem solar cells may be hampered due to the complex technology, such as using solution processing to accurately control the thickness of active layers for achieving photocurrent balance between the front and back cells, designing and optimizing the intermediate layers between the sub-cells to realize efficient charge carriers collection. Furthermore, processing tandem solar cells with two or more stacked sub-cells may increase the cost and decrease the yield, which is contrary to the attractive simplicity of the single-step solution processing for inexpensive solar cells. The great challenge is to fabricate the middle terminal for in parallel tandem solar cells with three terminals.^{82, 83}

Recently, ternary solar cells as one of the promising candidates exhibit great potential to obtain high performance, which enjoy both the enhanced photon harvesting by incorporating multiple organic materials in tandem solar cells and simplicity of fabrication conditions that used in single bulk heterojunction solar cells. Meanwhile, all the strategies for optimizing performance of single bulk heterojunction solar cells can be also effectively applied in ternary solar cells. The key parameters, V_{OC} , J_{SC} and FF, can be

simultaneously or individually optimized to their maximum values by adjusting the donors or acceptors doping ratios, selecting materials for the optimized absorption complementary, adjusting phase separation and adopting interfacial layers. Inspiringly, the PCE values of ternary solar cells have exceed 10%, showing great potential for obtaining high performance ternary solar cells.84,85 For further improvement, some fundamental physical issues are needed to be clarified for the further investigation, as there is no clear set of structure-function relationships for component selection and the operating mechanism for ternary solar cells. It should be highlighted that several excellent reviews by Brabec's group,⁸⁶ Yu's group,⁸⁷ Thompson's group,⁸⁸ Lin's group,⁸⁹ You's group,⁹⁰ and Zhan's group⁹¹ have summarized the progress in ternary solar cells based on their unique insights. The authors have great chemistry background, especially in the synthesis of efficient photovoltaic materials. In this review, based on our research experiences in organic optoelectronics device physics, we will try our best to present a comprehensive view of the recent progress and argument on ternary solar cells from different perspectives compared with the early excellent reviews. We first pay more attention on the fundamental physical principles that govern the photovoltaic process in ternary solar cells and the corresponding characterization techniques, such as charge transfer, energy transfer, and parallel-linkage or alloy model. We then discuss and summarize the advantages of ternary solar cells according to the latest literatures, like the efficient strategies for the enhanced photon harvesting, phase and morphology adjustment, crystallinity forming and device stability. The current challenges and further prospects on ternary solar cells are also briefly analyzed in the last section.

nergy & Environmental Science Accepted Manuscript

2 Fundamental principles of ternary solar cells

In generally, ternary solar cells contain three components in the active layers: the dominating donor:acceptor (D:A) system and the third component, where the third component can be polymer, small molecule, dye, nanoparticle.^{87, 92-97} Ternary solar cells can also be classified into three categories according to the function of the third component: two donors/one acceptor $(D_1/D_2/A)$,98-101 one donor/two acceptors (D/A₂/A₁),¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁵ donor/nonvolatile additive/acceptor (D/NA/A).¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁹ The ternary solar cells inherit the major advantages of single junction binary solar cells and tandem configuration solar cells. As shown in Fig. 1, the ternary solar cells based on $D_1/D_2/A$ system show the advantages of simple device structure and wide photon harvesting range. The mechanism that governs the photovoltaic process in ternary solar cells are very different from that of the conventional binary solar cells, which is more than a simple superposition of the photovoltaic process of the individual solar cells. There are four fundamental principles in ternary solar cells: charge transfer, energy transfer, and parallel-linkage or alloy structure, which are closely related to the location of the third component in the ternary active layer (Fig. 1(c)). The third component could: i) be fully embedded in donor; ii) be fully embedded in acceptor; iii) locate at the donor/acceptor interface; or iv) form its own channels. The interactions and intermixing among the three components, the correlation between the location of the third component and fundamental principles, and the relevant methods will be discussed in characterization detail in the following sections according to the latest literatures.



Fig. 1 The schematic configuration of the (a) conventional binary OSCs, (b) tandem OSCs, and (c) ternary OSCs with four possible active layer morphologies according to location of the third component.

2.1 Charge transfer

The charge transfer and transport in ternary solar cells are different with those of in binary solar cells, which are governed by various mechanisms, such as the content of the third component, electronic energy levels and bandgap of the three components, the third component location in the active layer as well as final microstructure of the active layers. In the case of charge transfer mechanism, since

Page 4 of 45

Energy & Environmental Science

the third component depends on the dominating D:A system to form percolating pathways for charge transfer and transport, the third component molecule should be located at interface between that of dominating donor and acceptor (Fig. 1(c)). The selection of the third component is also required that third component should has suitable energy levels to avoid forming exciton and charge traps in the active layers. For instance, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels of the third component molecule are properly located between the corresponding energy levels of dominating donor and acceptor to form the cascade energy level alignment, respectively.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹² As shown in Fig. 2(a), the excitons generated in donors (D₁ or D₂) can be dissociated into charge carriers at the D₁/A or D₂/A interfaces in the ternary solar cells based on two donors, respectively. These holes can effectively travel to the anode mainly through the channel formed by one of donors, and electrons only transport via the acceptor domain to the cathode. Furthermore, the charge transfer may occur between donors due to the different energy level alignment. For D/A₂/A₁ ternary solar cells (Fig. 2(b)), the electrons on the LUMO energy levels of A₂ can be transferred to the LUMO energy levels of A₁ and then collected by the cathode. Meanwhile, hole can transport via the donor channels to the anode.



Fig. 2 Schematic of the charge transfer in ternary solar cell based on (a) $D_1/D_2/A$ and (b) $D/A_1/A_2$ system, the arrows indicts possible charge carrier transfer and transport pathway.

The location of the third component in the ternary active layer is a crucial factor for charge transfer mechanism. Only when the third component located at interface between donor and acceptor, the holes or electrons generated in third component can be efficiently collected by the corresponding electrode through the dominating charge carrier transport channels, as described in Fig. 2. Ohkita et al. reported that the third component location in ternary blends is strongly dependent on the surface energy and crystallinity of the dominating system.^{113, 114} For the P3HT:PCBM matrix with silicon phthalocyanine bis(trihexylsilyloxide) (SiPc) as the third component, the SiPc molecule are likely to be located at the P3HT:PCBM interface due to the different surface energy of the three components and crystallization of the P3HT phases (Fig. 3(a)). The surface energy of SiPc is 23 mJ m⁻² estimated from the contact angle of pure water on SiPc films, which close to that of P3HT (20 mJ m⁻²) rather than that of PCBM (29 mJ m⁻²). This result suggests that SiPc molecules tend to locate in disordered P3HT domains at the interfaces. Furthermore, the increased crystallinity of P3HT after thermal or solvent annealing can push SiPc from P3HT domains to D/A interfaces. Taylor et al. also studied the surface energy of P3HT, PCBM and 2,4-bis[4-(N,N-diisobutylamino)-2,6-dihydroxyphenyl] squaraine (SQ) to verify the location of SQ in the ternary system.¹¹⁵ The surface energy of SQ shows an intermediate value (41.5 mJ cm⁻²) between those of P3HT (27.5 mJ m⁻²) and PCBM (47.9 mJ m⁻²), which is similar to the phenomenon reported by Ohkita et al. The SQ location was further affirmed by measuring the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) energy dispersive x-ray elemental mapping of SQ in P3HT:PCBM films. As shown in Fig. 3 (b)-(c), the green and red dots indicate sulphur and nitrogen signals, representing P3HT and SQ, respectively. The sulphur map clearly reveals the interconnecting network of P3HT, while the nitrogen map indicates the SQ scattered distribution in the ternary films. Interestingly, the

nitrogen signals almost appear adjacent to the sulphur ones, showing that most SQ molecules are situated next to P3HT.



Fig. 3 (a) Schematic of the SiPc at P3HT:PCBM interfaces, highlighted by the dashed rectangles. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 114. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society. TEM energy dispersive x-ray elemental mapping of (b) sulphur indicating P3HT, (c) nitrogen indicating SQ, and (d) sulphur and nitrogen. Reprinted with permission from ref. 115. Copyright 2013, Nature Publishing Group.

The charge transfer between donor and acceptor in ternary solar cells is the same with that in binary solar cells, while the charge transfer between two donors or two acceptors need to be further investigated to clarify the contribution on performance of ternary solar cells. Photoluminescence (PL) measurement is a convenient tool to probe charge transfer or energy transfer between different

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

materials. Generally, if energy transfer exists between two different

bandgap donors, one would expect increased emission intensity for

the relatively low bandgap donor with decreased emission intensity

for the other donor, considering that the quantum yields of the two

donors are similar. On the other hand, if charge transfer occurs

between two donors, the emission intensity of one donor would be

quenched without the increased emission intensity for the other

donor. The PL spectra of P3HT:SMPV1 blend films with different

SMPV1 doping ratios were investigated to further clarify the charge

transfer or energy transfer between P3HT and SMPV1.¹¹⁶ As shown

in Fig. 4(a), the P3HT and SMPV1 emission intensity are

substantially reduced along with the increase of SMPV1 doping

ratios in donors, which indicates that charge carrier transfer occurs

P3HT:SMPV1 blend solutions exhibit a similar varying tendency compared with PL spectra of blend films with different doping ratios. Zhang et al. firstly proposed another convenient way to verify the charge transfer between two donors by investigating the *J-V* curves of solar cells with two donors as active layer (without acceptor). The J_{SC} of the solar cells with P3HT:SMPV1 (1:1) as the active layers is much larger than that of P3HT-based or SMPV1based cells, which should be attributed to the effective charge carrier transfer between P3HT and SMPV1 (Fig. 4(b)). Yu et al. also fabricated a solar cell using only two donors to prove the charge transfer at the donors interfaces, exhibiting a relatively low PCE of 0.051%, and the corresponding low $J_{SC} = 0.192$ mA cm⁻², $V_{OC} = 0.65$ V and FF = 40.8%.¹¹⁷

ARTICLE



Fig. 4 (a) PL spectra of P3HT:SMPV1 films with different SMPV1 doping ratios under 490 nm wavelength light excitation; (b) J-V curves of OSCs with P3HT, SMPV1, and P3HT:SMPV1 (1:1) as active layers (without PC₇₁BM) under AM1.5 G illumination at 100 mW/cm². Reprinted with permission from Ref. 116. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society

Koppe et al. employed steady-state photoinduced absorption (PIA) measurement to investigate the charge carrier dynamics in ternary solar cells with P3HT and low bandgap polymer poly[2,6-(4,4-bis-(2-ethylhexyl)-4H-cyclopenta[2,1-b;3,4-b']-dithiophene)-alt-4,7-(2,1,3-benzothiadiazole)] (PCPDTBT) as donors and PCBM as acceptor.¹¹⁸ Fig. 5(a) shows the PIA spectra of binary blend P3HT/PCBM (1/1) PCPDTBT/PCBM (1/1) and ternary blend P3HT/PCBM (1/1) PCPDTBT/PCBM (1/1) and ternary blend P3HT/PCDTBT/PCBM (0.8/0.2/1) films with different pump energy. The PCPDTBT/PCBM films under the pump energy 1.59 eV excitation results in the formation of PCPDTBT radical cation. The P3HT/PCBM films cannot be excited by 1.59 eV, while exciting the

film at 2.33 eV yields the typical polaron peak of P3HT at 1.25 eV. Interestingly, the P3HT/PCPDTBT/PCBM (0.8/0.2/1) films exhibit a pronounced absorption peak around 1.25 eV under the excitation of 1.59 eV, which suggests that a significant density of P3HT polaron is generated by photoexcitation of PCPDTBT. In addition, the intensity of PCPDTBT polaron peak in the ternary films is much lower than that of P3HT polaron peak and PCPDTBT polaron peak in PCPDTBT/PCBM films. These observations indicate that positive polaron in PCPDTBT can be transferred onto P3HT in ternary solar cells. Xu et al. also observed a similar phenomenon in ternary solar cells based on the same materials, as described in Fig. 5(b).¹¹⁹



Fig. 5 PIA spectra of annealed thin films of (a) P3HT/PCPDTBT/PCBM (0.8/0.2/1), PCPDTBT/PCBM (1/1) and P3HT/PCBM (1/1), which were all excited at 1.59 eV. P3HT/PCBM (1/1), excited at 2.33 eV, serves as a reference for the spectroscopic position of the P3HT polaron. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 118. Copyright 2010 WILEY-VCH. (b) PIA spectra of thin films of P3HT/DTDCTB/PCBM (0.8:0.2:1) and DTDCTB/PCBM (1:1) which were all excited with the pump wavelength of 780 nm and P3HT/PCBM (1:1) excited with the pump wavelength

Energy & Environmental Science

of 532 nm serving as a reference for the spectroscopic position of the P3HT polaron. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 119. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

Furthermore, the charge dynamics in ternary solar cells based on P3HT:PCBM as dominating system and low bandgap polymer poly[(4,4'-bis(2-ethylhexyl)dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-dsilole) -2,6-diyl-alt-(4,7-bis(2-thienyl)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole)-5,5'-diyl] (Si-PCPDTBT) as third component was also systemically studied by Koppe and co-workers.¹¹² Fig. 6 shows the pump-probe spectra of binary blend Si-PCPDTBT/PCBM (1/1) and ternary blend P3HT/Si-PCPDTBT/PCBM (0.7/0.3/1) films during the first 4 picoseconds after selective photoexcitation of Si-PCPDTBT at 785 nm. The pump-probe spectra of binary blend films. In pump-probe spectra of binary and the ternary blend films. In pump-probe spectra of binary and the ternary blend films, the PIA band at 1400 nm and the positive band at 900 nm disappear within 4 ps. Both pump-probe spectra of blend films show a PIA band around 1300 nm after 4 ps. In addition, an additional smaller PIA band around 1000 nm can be

observed form the pump-probe spectra of ternary blend films, which is well-known as the $D_2 \leftarrow D_0$ polaron absorption of P3HT films. Those observations indicate that the quenching of the Si-PCPDTBT exciton occurs within approximately one picosecond in the both blends films to form polaron. A significant portion of P3HT and Si-PCPDTBT polaron is formed in even less than 0.2 ps. Subsequently, the positive polaron on Si-PCPDTBT rapidly transfer to P3HT, leading to the depletion of the hole population on the Si-PCPDTBT within few hundreds of picoseconds after the pump pulse. At excitation densities corresponding to steady state conditions under one sun, the efficiency of hole transfer (predicted by model) from the lower bandgap polymer to the higher bandgap polymer exceeds 90%, which well explains why the J_{SC} monotonously increases upon the addition of Si-PCPDTBT while the V_{OC} remains constant.



Fig. 6 Pump-probe spectra of (a) binary blend Si-PCPDTBT/PCBM (1/1) and (b) ternary blend P3HT/Si-PCPDTBT/PCBM (0.7/0.3/1) films after pumping at 785 nm. The spectra in the NIR spectral region were obtained by pumping with pulse energies of 7 μ /cm². The spectra in the visible region were excited using pulse energies of 70 μ /cm². They are normalized to the NIR traces in the overlap region. Inset of (a): time traces at 920 nm and 1400 nm. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 112. Copyright 2013 WILEY-VCH.

Ameri et al. investigated the charge dynamic process in ternary solar cells through the transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy experiments.¹²⁰ There are two possible models of charge generation in P3HT:PCPDTBT:PCBM-based ternary solar cells upon exciting PCPDTBT. Fig. 7(a) shows the proposed mechanism of charge separation in ternary films with diffusion in PCPDTBT domains. Initially, the excitons are generated in PCPDTBT, and then the electrons are transferred from PCPDTBT to PCBM, followed by a diffusion of holes in the PCPDTBT domains to PCPDTBT/P3HT interfaces, finally, holes in PCPDTBT are transferred to P3HT, as described in Fig. 7(b). In Fig. 7(c), no diffusion of holes in the

PCPDTBT domains is involved in the charge-generation process. In this model, the electrons are transferred from PCPDTBT to PCBM and the holes in the PCPDTBT domains are directly transferred to P3HT without the process of holes diffusion to the PCPDTBT/P3HT interfaces. The two proposed mechanism of charge separation are confirmed by the results of TAS experiments. One the one hand, holes transfer starts at time faster than 1 ps, which supports on the mechanism of direct holes transfer. On the other hand, holes transfer extends, nevertheless, throughout the investigated timescale of 7500 ps containing a dominant component of 140 ps. This observation is consistent with the mechanism of holes transfer upon diffusion.



This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

Fig. 7 (a) Schematic diagram of charge dynamic process in ternary solar cells with P3HT:PCPDTBT:PCBM matrix; (b) proposed mechanism of charge separation in ternary films with diffusion in PCPDTBT domains; (c) proposed mechanism of charge separation in ternary films without diffusion in PCPDTBT domains. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 120. Copyright 2013 WILEY-VCH.

2.2 Energy transfer

The energy transfer in ternary blend films should be a competing process compared with the charge transfer among the materials.¹¹⁸ It is known that energy transfer mechanism involved either a dipoleinduced coulombic interaction between materials (Förster resonance energy transfer for electrofluorescence) or an electron-exchange interaction between them (Dexter energy transfer for electrophosphorescence).¹²¹ Substantial overlapping of the one material emission spectrum and the other materials absorption spectrum was desirable for an efficient energy transfer. The third component could be the "energy donor" or the "energy acceptor" in the ternary solar cells. When the third component only act as the "energy donor", all holes are only generated in dominating donor and the third component work just as a sunlight absorber. The additional absorbers can harvest more photons and transfer these extra photogenerated excited states to the "energy acceptor", which has been well explained and summarized by You and co-workers.90 The location of the "energy donor" only needs to be close to "energy acceptor" due to the limited radius of energy transfer. However, when the third component work as "energy acceptor", the third component not only should be located near "energy donor" but also contact with a donor or an acceptor to dissociate exciton generated in the "energy acceptor" Fig. 8 shows the schematic of the energy transfer in ternary solar cell for third component (D2 or A2) as

"energy acceptor", the arrows indicts possible charge transfer and transport pathway, and the lightning bolts indicate the potential energy transfer pathway. For ternary solar cells based on $D_1/D_2/A$ system, exciton energy in D_1 can be transferred to D_2 via the Förster or Dexter energy transfer, and excitons generated in D_2 can be dissociated into charge carriers at the interfaces of D_2/A (Fig. 8(a)). The electrons transport to the cathode through the channels formed by acceptor and the holes at D_2 can directly transport to anode or transfer to D_1 and then transport to anode through the channels formed by D_1 .

The energy transfer can also occur between two acceptors, as reported by Cnops et al.¹²² A series of planar heterojunction solar cells were fabricated with a-sexithiophene (a-6T) as donor and boron subnaphthalocyanine chloride (SubNc) and boron subphthalocyanine chloride (SubPc) as acceptors. Fig. 8(b) presents the pathway of energy transfer, charge transfer and transport for the a-6T/SubNc/SubPc ternary solar cells. Excitons generated in the SubPc acceptor can be transferred to the middle SubNc layer and consequently dissociated at the interface of a-6T/SubNc. The holes transfer from SubNc to a-6T and then travel to the anode through a-6T channels. Meanwhile, the electrons in SubNc transfer to SubPc and then are collected by cathode. In this system, the SubNc layer simultaneously serves as an energy acceptor at the interface with a-6T.



Fig. 8 Schematic of the energy transfer in ternary solar cell based on (a) $D_1/D_2/A$ and (b) $D/A_1/A_2$ system, the arrows indicts possible charge transfer and transport pathway, and the lightning bolts indicate the potential energy transfer pathway.

As aforementioned, the energy transfer and charge transfer between two materials can be distinguished through measuring PL spectra of their blend solutions or individual neat solution. As shown in Fig. 9(a), PL spectra of P3HT:SQ blend solutions are measured to verify the energy transfer between P3HT and SQ molecules.¹²³ The emission intensity of P3HT significantly decreases while SQ emission intensity continuously increases along with the increase SQ doping ratios. The emission intensity of neat SQ solution is weak under 490 nm light excitation, indicating that the direct photoexcitation of SQ molecules is hardly occurred. The relatively strong emission intensity of SQ should be attributed to the efficient energy transfer from P3HT to SQ molecules. A similar phenomenon was also observed in the PL spectra of SMPV1:SQ blend solutions and individual neat solutions (Fig. 9(b)).¹²⁴ The neat SMPV1 solution has strong emission intensity with a relatively wide PL emission spectral range from 600 nm to 750 nm, corresponding to an emission peak at 670 nm. The neat SQ solution shows a narrow PL emission spectral range from 660 nm to 690 nm with emission peak at 680 nm. According to the PL spectra of blend solutions, SMPV1 emission intensity in the range from 600 nm to 650 nm is markedly quenched and emission intensity at 680 nm emission is enhanced along with the increase of SQ doping ratios in donors. The 680 nm

emission intensity of blend solutions is much stronger than that of neat SQ solution under the same excitation conditions, suggesting an efficient energy transfer from SMPV1 to SQ molecules. A series of cells were also fabricated with SMPV1, SQ or SMPV1:SQ as the active layers (without acceptor), respectively. The J_{SC} of SMPV1:SQ-based solar cells is rather low, even lower than that of SMPV1-based solar cells, suggesting that charge transfer between SMPV1 and SQ should be negligible.



Fig. 9 (a) PL spectra of neat P3HT solution, neat SQ solution and P3HT:SQ co-solutions with different SQ doping ratio under 490 nm light excitation. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 123. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society. (b) PL spectra of SMPV1:SQ solutions with different SQ doping ratio under 500 nm light excitation. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 124. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.

The theory of Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) predicts a decrease in the excited-state lifetime of the FRET donor with increasing FRET acceptor concentrations, as FRET introduces an additional relaxation pathway for the donor excited-states in the ternary blends. The efficiency (η) of energy transfer can be calculated using the formula¹²⁵:

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{\tau_{DA}}{\tau} \tag{2}$$

where τ_{DA} and τ are the lifetime of the donor in the absence and presence of acceptor, respectively. The energy transfer between P3HT and SQ was also studied by Taylor and co-workers through the femtosecond fluorescence upconversion technique to measure the lifetime of P3HT and P3HT:SQ blend films (Fig. 10(a)).¹¹⁵ The average lifetime of P3HT was 223 ps for a neat P3HT film and was decreased to 52.4 ps for the P3HT:SQ blend films with the addition

of 1 wt% SQ, and further to 9.9 ps for the P3HT:SQ blend films with 5 wt% SQ. According to the formula (2), the energy transfer efficiency reaches up to 77% for the blend films with 1 wt% SQ and 96% for the blend films with 5 wt% SQ. The time-resolved transient photoluminescence (TRTPL) spectra of SMPV1:SQ blend films

Energy & Environmental Science

were also measured by Zhang and co-workers (Fig. 10(b)). The energy transfer efficiency is about 56% or 67% for blend films SMPV1:SQ with weight ratio of 9:1 or 1:1, respectively. The spectral overlap between SMPV1 emission and SQ absorption also indicates that energy transfer from SMPV1 to SQ should be favourable (Fig. 10(b), insert). The efficient energy transfer can well support the increased EQE values in short wavelength range for the ternary solar cells with low SQ doping ratios in donors.



Fig. 10 (a) Time-resolved transient photoluminescence (TRTPL) spectra of neat P3HT film, and P3HT:SQ blend films with 1 and 5 wt% SQ. Reprinted with permission from ref. 115. Copyright 2013, Nature Publishing Group. The TRTPL spectra of (b) SMPV1:SQ films with different SMPV1 doping ratios (monitored at 705 nm), insert: absorption spectrum of SQ film and emission spectrum of SMPV1 film. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 124. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Taylor et al. further employed TA spectroscopy to simultaneously probe photophysical processes of P3HT and SQ. Fig. 11(a) shows the TA spectra of neat P3HT, P3HT:SQ with 1 wt% SQ and 5wt% SQ films. For neat P3HT film, the negative signal represents the ground-state bleach (GSB) of P3HT which contains three peaks at 520, 560 and 610 nm at early times (< 10 ps), corresponding to P3HT absorption with <0-2>, <0-1> and <0-0> vibrational transitions, respectively. The positive signal (blue in color) at 650 nm represents the photoinduced absorption of the excited states in the P3HT. Another negative signal beyond 700 nm should be associated with stimulated emission of P3HT. For the TA spectra of P3HT:SQ blend films with 1 or 5 wt% SQ, the color at 665 nm is changed from blue to green, suggesting a sign change of the TA

ARTICLE

signal. This negative signal is identified as the GSB of SQ, indicating that the SQ is being excited. Because the SQ absorption at 500 nm is very week, the excitation of SQ is almost certainly not caused by the pumping wavelength but by the excited P3HT. Meanwhile, signals of the photoinduced absorption and stimulated emission in P3HT both substantially decayed to zero. These observations suggest that the excitation energy is successfully transferred from P3HT to SQ molecules. A similar phenomenon was also observed in co-evaporated films with 2,4-bis-[(N,N-diisobutylamino)-2,6-dihydroxyphenyl]-4-(4-diphenyliminio)

squaraine (ASSQ) and SQ as active layer.¹²⁶ The GSB of SQ can be observed almost immediately after excitation (~ 1 ps), which reveals

that the energy transfer of excitons from P3HT to SQ is very rapid. This was further confirmed by evaluating the TA dynamics with a global fitting procedure via singular-value decomposition (Fig. 11(b)). At early times, both samples with SQ show positive amplitude coefficients (at 2.8 ps for 1 wt% SQ and at 0.6 ps for 5 wt% SQ) at 665 nm, indicating a rise of the SQ GSB feature. The negative values in the region from 500 to 620 nm indicate the decay in the P3HT excited-state population. This result is also linked to energy transfer from P3HT to SQ molecules. Gupta also reported a similar phenomenon in ternary solar cells with two polymers as donors through measuring TA spectra of neat and blend films.¹²⁷



Fig. 11 (a) Transient absorption (TA) spectra of neat P3HT, P3HT:SQ with 1 wt% SQ and 5wt% SQ, the pumping wavelength was 500 nm with a fluence of 8 mJ/cm²; (b) amplitude coefficients spectra from global fit of TA spectra of P3HT:SQ films. Reprinted with permission from ref. 115. Copyright 2013, Nature Publishing Group.

Zhang et al. also proposed an efficient strategy to clarify the energy transfer from SMPV1 to SQ molecules based on three kinds of planar heterojunction solar cells,¹²⁴ as the following:

Cells A: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/SMPV1/PCBM/Al;

Cells B: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/SQ/PCBM/Al;

Cells C: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/SMPV1/SQ/PCBM/Al.

The thickness of SMPV1 film prepared by spin-coating method, SQ and PCBM films prepared by thermal evaporation is about 40 nm, 20 nm and 20 nm, respectively. The elaborate control of each layer thickness is to investigate the energy or charge transfer between SMPV1 and SQ due to the limited exciton diffusion distance (~10 nm). The excitons in Cells A or B can be dissociated at the SMPV1/PCBM interface or SQ/PCBM interface, respectively. For the Cells C, excitons generated in SQ can be directly dissociated at the interfaces of SQ/PCBM. Whereas, excitons generated in SMPV1 only can be transferred to SQ by FRET and then dissociated at SQ/PCBM interfaces because of exciton dissociation at SMPV1/SQ interfaces can be negligible, as marked in Fig. 12(a). The energy transfer from SMPV1 to SQ molecules can be further confirmed by comparing the EQE spectra of three kinds of cells. The EQE values in range from 300 nm to 500 nm are mainly attributed to the contribution of SMPV1 and PCBM, which can be certified by the absorption spectra of each material, as shown in Fig. 12(b). The EQE values of Cells C are relatively larger than that of Cells B in this short wavelength range from 300 nm to 500 nm, suggesting the efficient energy transfer from SMPV1 to SQ molecules and then further exciton dissociation at the interfaces between SQ and PCBM molecules.

ARTICLE



Fig. 12 (a) Schematic diagram of planar heterojunction solar cells; (b) the EQE spectra of planar heterojunction solar cells and the absorption spectra of neat PCBM, SMPV1 and SQ films. Reprinted with permission from Ref.124. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Based on the planar heterojunction ternary solar cells, Cnops et al. presented a strategy with three-layer structure based on a-6T/SubNc/SubPc to certify the energy transfer from SubPc to SubNc.¹²² A high bandgap material 4,40-Bis(N-carbazolyl)-1,10biphenyl (CBP) was used as the spacer layer to spatially separate the donor and acceptor. The exciton quenching caused by direct charge transfer at the interface should be suppressed due to the existence of spacer layer, while the long-range energy transfer across the transparent spacer layer is still possible. The energy transfer efficiency can be evaluated from the PL quenching for different spacer thicknesses. Fig. 13 shows the PL spectra of organic layer stacks with different spacer layer thicknesses. The emission spectrum of SubPc consists of two distinct peaks centered at 620 nm and 710 nm. The small molecule C₆₀ is used as quenching layer, the energy transfer from SubPc to C_{60} is negligible due to the limited overlap between the C₆₀ absorption and SubPc emission, which is used as the expected control structure. It is obvious that SubPc PL signal can be substantially quenched by SubNc layer compared with a C₆₀ quenching layer. This result suggests that the energy transfer from SubPc to SubNc is very efficient and long-range interlayer exciton transfer is also possible. Furthermore, the PL signal can be still effectively quenched by SubNc for the spacer layers up to 35 nm.



Energy & Environmental Science

Fig. 13 PL spectra of organic layer stacks deposited on quartz substrates for different spacer layer thicknesses (x nm BCP). (a) 20 nm SubPc/x nm CBP, (b) 20 nm SubPc/x nm CBP/10 nm C₆₀, and (c) 20 nm SubPc/x nm CBP/10 nm SubNc. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 122. Copyright 2013, Nature Publishing Group.

2.3 Parallel-linkage or Alloy model

The parallel-linkage mechanism of ternary solar cells is significantly different from the charge transfer and energy transfer mechanisms, which does not require accurate engineering of locations, energy levels and band gaps of all involved materials (including dominating donor and acceptor, as well as the third component), to ensure efficient charge transfer or energy transfer. For $D_1/D_2/A$ ternary solar cells with parallel-linkage mechanism, the excitons generated in each individual donor domain would migrate to the respective donor/acceptor interface and then dissociate into free electrons and holes. As shown in Fig. 14(a), these generated holes are transported through their corresponding donor channels to the anode and electrons still transport via the acceptor channels to the cathode as in normal binary solar cells. For the ternary solar cells with two acceptors (Fig. 14(b)), the excitons generated in donor domain can be dissociated into free electrons and holes at the D/A1 or D/A2 interfaces. The holes should be transported only via the donor channels to the anode and electrons should be transported to the cathode through the two parallel channels formed by the two acceptors. In the parallel-linkage ternary solar cells, either energy transfer or charge transfer is absent between two donors or two acceptors, equivalent to a parallel connection of two individual binary solar cells.

10 | Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 00, 1-42



Fig. 14 Schematic of the parallel-linkage mechanism in ternary solar cells based on (a) $D_1/D_2/A$ and (b) $D/A_1/A_2$ system, the arrows indicts possible charge transfer and transport pathway.

ARTICLE

The parallel-linkage mechanism in ternary solar cells can also be verified from the PL spectra of the corresponding neat films or blend films. Fig. 15(a) presents the PL spectra of poly{4,8-bis[(2-ethylhexyl)-oxy]benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b0]dithiophene-2,6-diyl-alt-3-

fluoro-2-[(2-ethylhexyl)carbonyl]thieno[3,4-b]thiophene-4,6-diyl} (PTB7) and PBDT-TS1 blend films with different PBDT-TS1 doping ratios (excited at 660 nm). Emission intensity of PTB7:PBDT-TS1 blend films can be gradually enhanced in the range from 750 nm to 850 nm along with the increase of PBDT-TS1 doping ratios in donors. The emission peak of the blend films is located at between that of neat PTB7 and PBDT-TS1 film, which indicates neither charge transfer nor energy transfer exist between PTB7 and PBDT-TS molecules. Fig. 15(b) shows the J-V curves of solar cells with PTB7, PBDT-TS1 or PTB7:PBDT-TS1 (1:1) as active layers (without acceptors). The J_{SC} of PTB7:PBDT-TS1 is in the between that of PTB7-based or PBDT-TS1-based solar cells, suggesting that the charge transfer between PTB7 and PBDT-TS1 molecules should be negligible. The limited spectral overlap between PTB7 emission and PBDT-TS1 absorption (or PTB7 absorption and PBDT-TS1 emission) further indicates the energy transfer between PTB7 and PBDT-TS1 molecules can be negligible.



Fig. 15 (a) PL spectra of PTB7:PBDT-TS1 films with different PBDT-TS1 doping ratios under 660 nm wavelength light excitation; (b) *J-V* curves of solar cells with PTB7, PBDT-TS1, and PTB7:PBDT-TS1 (1:1) as active layers (without PC₇₁BM) under AM1.5 G illumination at 100 mW/cm².

The parallel-linkage ternary solar cells was firstly reported by You and co-workers.¹²⁸ Two groups of polymers were selected to demonstrate the parallel-linkage mechanism of ternary solar cells: (1) poly(benzodithiophene - dithienylbenzotriazole) (TAZ) and poly(benzodithiophene - dithienylbenzothiadiazole) (DTBT), (2) poly(benzodithiophene_dithienylthiadiazolopyridine) (DTPyT) and poly-(benzodithiophene _ dithienyldifluorobenzothiadiazole) (DTffBT). Each group contains two polymers with different bandgap and energy levels. For the purpose of comparison, the thicknesses of active layers were fixed on 100 nm for all ternary solar cells (TAZ/DTBT/PCBM = 0.5:0.5:1 and DTffBT/DTPyT/PCBM = 0.5:0.5:1) and 50 nm for all binary solar cells (polymer/PCBM = 1:1). It is obvious that the absorption spectra of ternary films are essentially linear combinations of the spectra of their corresponding two binary solar cells (Fig. 16(a) and 16(b)). For the better understanding, 50 nm thick binary solar cells were named as "subcells". Interestingly, the ternary films exhibit much broader

absorption width than the absorption of high bandgap donor-based "sub-cells" and significantly increased absorption strength in the low-wavelength regions relative to the low bandgap donor-based "sub-cells". As shown in Fig. 16(c) and 16(d), the EQE spectra of the ternary solar cells are approximately the sum of the individual "sub-cells" in the short wavelength range, where both donors contribute to the formation of excitons as well as photocurrent. This result suggests that most of free charge carriers generated in each "sub-cell" of the ternary solar cells can be successfully collected by the respective electrodes. In the long-wavelength range, the EQE of ternary solar cells is higher than the sum EQE of the larger bandgap "subcell" and the lower bandgap "subcell", which should attribute to additional charge transport channel served by the larger bandgap donor in ternary solar cells. The EQE spectra of both ternary solar cells are markedly enhanced (over 40% across a width of over 400 nm) compared with their corresponding single "sub-cells". As a result, the J_{SC} values of the ternary solar cells are significantly

increased and almost equal to the sum of those for the two single "sub-cells" (Fig. 16(e) and 16(f)). The V_{OC} values of the parallellinkage ternary solar cells are in the between the measured value for the individual "sub-cells", which are much different from that in ternary solar cells with charge transfer or energy transfer mechanism, where the observed V_{OC} is close to the smaller V_{OC} of the corresponding "sub-cells". In the ternary solar cells with charge transfer or energy transfer mechanism, the hole transport and collection mainly through the channels formed by donor with the highest HOMO level. Therefore, VOC of parallel-linkage ternary solar cells is determined by the highest HOMO level. For parallellinkage ternary solar cells, neither the energy transfer nor the charge transfer is dominant between different donor materials. The holes generated from individual donor mainly travel to the anode via their corresponding channels, similar to the parallel connection of two single-junction binary solar cells. Consequently, the observed J_{SC} combines those from each "sub-cells", whereas the V_{OC} is in the between that of the single "sub-cells", both of which clearly indicate parallel-linkage ternary solar cells.



Fig. 16 Absorption spectra of the parallel-linkage ternary solar cells based on (a) TAZ/DTBT and (b) DTffBT/DTPyT, and their "sub-cells"; EQEs of the parallel-linkage ternary solar cells based on (c)

Energy & Environmental Science

TAZ/DTBT and (d) DTffBT/DTPyT, and their "sub-cells"; Characteristic J–V curves of the parallel-linkage ternary solar cells based on (e) TAZ/DTBT and (f) DTffBT/DTPyT, and their "sub-cells". Reprinted with permission from Ref. 128. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.

Yang et al. reported a series of ternary solar cells based on a pool of donors with different absorption ranges, including P3HT, PTB7, poly[4,8-bis-substitutedbenzo[1,2-b:4,5-b]dithiophene-2,6-diyl-alt-4-substituted-thieno[3,4-b]thiophene-2,6-diyl] (PBDTTT-C), poly{2,6 -4,8-di(5-ethylhexylthienyl)benzo[1,2-b;3,4-b]dithiophenealt-5-dibutyloctyl-3,6-bis(5-bromothiophene-2-yl) pyrrole [3,4c]pyrrole-1,4-dione} (PBDTT-DPP) and (poly{2,6'-4,8-di(5ethylhexylthienyl)benzo[1,2-b;3,4-b]dithiophenealt-2,5-bis(2-butylo -ctyl)-3,6-bis(selenophene-2-yl)pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1,4-dione} (PBDTT-SeDPP).¹²⁹ The study clearly shows that the compatible donors (similar molecular orientation to the substrate, crystallinity and domain size) can coexist harmoniously in ternary solar cells and contribute to an improved performance, but use of two blended donors with incompatible physical natures leads to severe molecular disorder and the limited device performance. For instance, the ternary solar cells with P3HT:PBDTT-DPP:PC71BM or P3HT:PBDTT-SeDPP:PC71BM as active layer present much broader photocurrent response, but do not produce an overall enhanced photocurrent compared with that of the corresponding binary solar cells. Additionally, the FF values of the ternary solar cells are much lower than that of binary solar cells. In other words, additional absorption has no contribution to the performance of ternary solar cells. These results mainly ascribe to the incompatible physical natures between P3HT and PBDTT-DPP or P3HT and PBDTT-SeDPP. It is well known that the P3HT tends to form edge-on lamellae in P3HT:PCBM blend films and the low bandgap donors, PBDTTT-C, PBDTT-DPP, PTB7 and PBDTT-SeDPP, generally show face-on molecular orientation due to their similar molecular structures. As shown in Fig. 17, when two donors with different molecular orientation are mixed, such as P3HT:PBDTT-DPP blend system, the non-conductive side chain of one donor prefers to be close to the conductive conjugated backbone of the other donor. This unfavourable molecular pattern produces 'morphological traps', and then reduces the crystalline length, disrupts long-range charge transport and lowers the charge carrier mobility of the blended film. The compatible donors show the same molecular orientation and can coexist harmoniously. Expectedly, the ternary solar cells based on two compatible donors can provide excellent device performance even under relatively large doping ratios for donors.

Page 12 of 45

ARTICLE



Fig. 17 Schematic diagram of local molecular packing in different polymer blending systems. Reprinted with permission from ref. 129. Copyright 2015, Nature Publishing Group.

The grazing incidence wide angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) was employed by Yang and co-workers to explore the correlation between electronic properties and structural information of the compatible donors. The GIWAXS is sensitive to the crystalline parts and provide unique insights on the crystal structure and the orientation of the crystalline regions in the active layers.¹³⁰ As shown in Fig. 18, the compatible donors, PBDTTT-C, PBDTT-DPP, PTB7 and PBDTT-SeDPP, show face-on molecular orientation and P3HT show edge-on orientation. When two compatible donors are mixed together, such as PBDTTT-C:PBDTT-DPP blend films, the molecular ordering can be well maintained in the blend films. However, the molecular ordering both in-plane direction and the vertical direction may be significantly disturbed for the blend films (P3HT:PBDTT-DPP with incompatible donors or P3HT:PBDTTSeDPP). The molecular disorder introduced by mixing of incompatible polymers is very likely one of the key physical origins of electronic traps and recombination centres, and then limits the device performance of ternary solar cells. The resonant soft Xray scattering (RSoXS) profiles of compatible and incompatible ternary blend films were measured to clarify the influence of

molecular crystals and aggregates on device performance. As indicated in Fig. 18(j) and 18(k), the compatible ternary blend films (P3HT:PBDTT-DPP:PC71BM and P3HT:PBDTT-SeDPP:PC71BM) exhibit much larger domain sizes than that of in incompatible blend films, which correlates with their unsatisfactory device performance, especially their low J_{SC}. The best performing PTB7:PBDTT-SeDPP:PC71BM-based ternary solar cells show the smallest domains than those of in other ternary blended films, which has directly relation to the enhanced exciton dissociation and photocurrent. Taken together, the GIWAXS and RSoXS results suggest that mixing of compatible donors has no significant effect on the molecular stacking preferences, and even the crystallite size and domain structure can be well retained. The electronic properties of the donors can be well preserved in the ternary solar cells and the two different donors can coexist in harmony and contribute to an improved photovoltaic performance by broadening the photon harvesting range and enhancing the photon harvesting ability of ternary active layers.

ARTICLE



Fig. 18 GIWAXS patterns for (a) PBDTTT-C, (b) PBDTTT-C:PBDTT-DPP, (c) PBDTT-DPP, (d) P3HT:PBDTT-DPP, (e) P3HT, (f) PTB7, (g) PTB7:PBDTT-SeDPP, (h) PBDTT-SeDPP, (i) P3HT:PBDTT-SeDPP. Each color scale is a measure of intensity, in units of counts. (j) RSoXS profiles (open symbols) and calculated I(q) (solid lines). (k) Corresponding P(r) of PBDTTT-C:PBDTT-DPP:PC₇₁BM, PTB7:PBDTT-SeDPP:PC₇₁BM, Reprinted with permission from Ref. 129. Copyright 2015, Nature Publishing Group.

Thompson et al. proposed another model to explain the phenomenon that observed in parallel-linkage ternary solar cells (improved J_{SC} and tunable V_{OC}), named as alloy model.¹³¹⁻¹³⁵ Instead of each component form its own independent charge carrier transport network illustrated by parallel-linkage mechanism, the alloy model means that two electronically similar components in the ternary active layers (two donors or two acceptors) form an electronic alloy with the same frontier orbital (HOMO and LUMO) energies based on the average composition of these two components, similar to the variation of valence and conduction band energies with composition in inorganic semiconductor alloys (Fig 19). However, the optical absorption of the exciton states cannot reflect the average composition in the ternary films, but retains the individual molecular properties of the two donors or two acceptors across the blend composition. This difference mainly results from the highly localized molecular nature of the exciton and the more delocalized intermolecular nature of electrons and holes that reflect the average composition of the alloy states between two donors or two acceptors. As a result, the Voc of the ternary solar cells varies gradually as the composition of donors or acceptors changes, while the J_{SC} can be

increased due to the complementary absorption of the used donors or acceptors in the ternary active layers. The alloy model generally requires the donors or acceptors with well miscibility and compatibility for obtaining high efficiency ternary solar cells, which will be discussed in more detail in the Chapter 3.2: Adjusting open circuit voltage.





Fig. 19 Schematic of the alloy model in ternary solar cells based on (a) $D_1/D_2/A$ and (b) $D/A_1/A_2$ system, the rectangle included sparse diagonal represents new charge transfer state and the arrows indicts possible charge transfer and transport pathway.

As the discussed above, the fundamentally mechanisms of ternary solar cells, charge transfer, energy transfer, and parallel-linkage or alloy model, are distinctly different from each other. There may be one or more mechanisms that govern the photovoltaic process in one ternary solar cell. More importantly, each of mechanism has its own advantages and limitations. For charge transfer mechanism, a cascade energy level alignment is necessary to reduce the charge transfer barrier within the active layer, and to ensure efficient exciton dissociation and charge transport to the corresponding electrodes. The charge transport mainly relies on the pathways formed by dominating system, the amount of the third components is generally less than 20% in most systems to avoid forming exciton or charge traps. Thus, the third components should have high absorption coefficient to ensure harvest enough photons with limited content. The energy transfer may occur between different bandgap materials due to their energy level alignment. The third component can be act as the "energy donor" or the "energy acceptor" in the ternary solar cells dominated by the energy transfer mechanism. The exciton energy generated in large bandgap materials can be transferred to the low bandgap materials and exciton dissociate at low bandgap donor/acceptor interface. The charge transport in ternary solar cells governed by energy transfer also relies on the dominating system. The efficient energy transfer between two components generally requires substantial overlap of the one material emission spectrum and another materials absorption spectrum, while the overlap of two kinds of spectra is not the sufficient condition for energy transfer occurring. For example, Lu et al. demonstrated that although the emission of the third component matches well with the absorption of the primary donor polymer, the dominating mechanism is charge transfer but not energy transfer for the ternary solar cells.¹¹⁷ For the parallel-linkage or alloy model, the ternary solar cells may realize an increased J_{SC} and an intermediate, composition-dependent V_{OC} compared with the corresponding binary solar cells.^{136, 137} The third component doping ratios can arrive to relatively high levels for efficiently harvesting different energy photons, regardless of their energy level alignment. The trade-off photon harvesting in the whole spectral range is the key issue for performance improvement of ternary solar cells according to the absorption coefficient and absorption range of materials. In order to form parallel-linkage or alloy ternary solar cells, the two donors or

two acceptors should have similar chemical and physical natures for well compatibility and miscibility.

3 Key functions of the third component

As aforementioned, there are mainly five fundamental physical processes occurring in OSCs: (i) photon harvesting and exciton generation; (ii) exciton diffusion to the D/A interface; (iii) exciton dissociation at the D/A interface to from free charge carriers; (iv) charge carrier transport; (v) charge carriers collection by the individual electrode. The number of photons harvested by the active layer is mainly determined by the absorption coefficient and absorption range of the used materials and the thickness of the active layers. Typical organic semiconducting materials generally have higher absorption coefficient (ca. 10⁻⁴-10⁻⁵ cm⁻¹) than that of the conventional inorganic semiconducting materials.¹³⁸ Whereas, the narrow molecular orbital energy level of organic semiconductors makes it very difficult to realize broad plateau absorption coverage with a single organic semiconductor. Normally, the full width at half maximum of absorption spectrum is about 100 nm for the common organic materials. It is known that the broad and strong absorption spectrum of the active layers should be beneficial to harvesting more photons from the sunlight. Only the photons with energies higher than the bandgap of organic semiconducting materials can be harvested by the materials and then an oppositely charged electronhole pair is created. Because of a relatively small dielectric constant of the organic semiconducting materials, the electron-hole pairs are strongly bound as a result of their mutual Coulomb interaction, and termed as excitons. The binding energy of the excitons is substantially larger than the thermal energy (about 26 meV at room temperature), implying the spontaneous dissociation of the exciton could not be occured under room temperature conditions. The exciton can be dissociated at donor/acceptor interfaces by energetic driving force which is attributed from the difference between the LUMO or the HOMO energy levels of the donor and acceptor. In fact, excitons have a diffusion process in the active layers. However, the exciton diffusion length is rather short (about 10nm), if excitons can't diffuse to donor/acceptor interfaces, which will not make any contribution on the performance of solar cells.¹³⁹ The exciton diffusion length, D/A interface area and energy level offset are of great significance for exciton dissociation efficiency. After exciton dissociation at the D/A interface, electrons are transferred into the acceptor phase and then transported to cathode via the channels formed by acceptors through hopping mechanism. The holes remain in the donor domains and then transport to anode through the channels formed by donors. The transport of charge carriers in the active layers strongly depends on phase separation degree, trap density and domain size, the difference between work function of anode and cathode provides the driving force for the charge carrier transport in the active layers. The charge carrier collection strongly relies on the properties of contact between the active layers and electrodes. Thus, the surface topography of the active layers and interfacial layers also plays a vital role in obtaining high performance OSCs. The interfacial engineering is one of the key physical issues in thin film organic electronics devices.

Each of fundamental processes occurred in OSCs could become a bottleneck to limit the efficiency of solar cells. Thus elegant

strategies need to be developed to optimize these processes for developing high performance OSCs. It has been commonly demonstrated that the ternary strategy plays an essential role in achieving high performance OSCs (Table 1), which combines the benefits of extended light absorption range in tandem solar cells and simplicity of processing technology used in single-junction OSCs. In addition, the cascade energy level alignment in ternary solar cells can facilitate charge transport and collection, as well as nanomorphology of the active layer also can be optimized by ternary strategy with a rational design. Among the successful cases, the selection of the third component is rather wide open (Fig. 20), which offer considerable opportunities for solar cells optimization. The more detailed discussion about the effect of ternary strategy on the performance of the OSCs cells will be presented in the following sections.

Energy & Environmental Science

Table 1. Non exhaustive survey of reports about the ternary solar cells, and summary of the key photovoltaic parameters of representative	e
ternary and binary solar cells under AM1.5G illumination at 100 mW/cm ² .	

B: 11 1	Photovoltaic parameters (Binary)						Photovol				
Binary blend	J_{SC}	Voc	FF	PCE	The third component	Ratio	J_{SC}	Voc	FF	PCE	Ref.
DTD7 Th.DC DM	[mA/cm ²]	[V]	[%]	[%]	D DTS/EPTTU)	0.95.0.15.1.1	[mA/cm ²]	[V]	[%]	[%]	
D DTS(EDTTH), DC DM	17.55	0.003	50.74	5.40	1-D13(FB1111 ₂) ₂	0.05.0.15.1.1	10.44	0.755	13.21	10.5	85
P-DIS(FBITH ₂) ₂ :PC ₇₁ BM	12.65	0.711	59.74	5.40	BDI/T 10	1 0 005 1 5	10.52	0 700	(0.0	10.00	
P1B/-EF1:PC ₇₁ BM	17.11	0.786	65.1	8.75	PDV1-10	1:0.005:1.5	18.73	0.780	69.0	10.08	84
PTB7-Th:PC71BM	14.92	0.75	70.3	7.88	PID2	0.8:0.2:1.5	16.68	0.78	70.8	9.20	140
PID2:PC ₇₁ BM	5.29	0.86	44.3	2.01							
PTB7:PC71BM	14.7	0.731	63.5	6.8	PCDTBT	0.7:0.3:2	17.1	0.795	65.4	8.9	127
PTB7:PC71BM	14.99	0.701	68.8	7.35	ICBA	1:0.225:1.275	16.68	0.735	71.2	8.88	141
PTB7:ICBA	10.84	0.873	50.1	4.83							
PTB7:PC71BM	15.1	0.72	66.3	7.2	PBDTT-SeDPP	0.5:0.5:2	18.7	0.69	67.4	8.7	129
PBDTT-SeTDP:PC71BM	16.9	0.68	62.9	7.2							
a-6T/SubPc	7.46	1.09	57.9	4.69	SubNc		14.55	0.96	61.0	8.40	122
a-6T/SubNc	12.04	0.94	53.9	6.02							122
PBDTTPD-HT:PC71BM	11.79	0.990	58.14	6.85	BDT-3TCNCOO	0.6:0.4:1	12.17	0.969	71.23	8.40	
BDT-3TCNCOO:PC71BM	10.11	0.968	72.63	7.48							142
PTB7:PC71BM	15.0	0.72	67.1	7.25	PID2	0.9:0.1:1.5	16.8	0.72	68.7	8.22	
PID2:PC71BM	5.27	0.86	44.4	2.01							117
LP2:PC71BM	11.80	0.97	0.64	7.32	LP4	0.5:0.5:3	13.88	0.94	0.62	8.09	
LP4:PC71BM	13.15	0.90	0.56	6.63							70
SMPV1:PC71BM	9.22	0.92	69	5.85	SQ	0.9:0.1:1	11.18	0.92	72	7.40	
SQ:PC71BM	4.50	0.90	28	1.13							124
DTffBT:PCBM	12.2	0.91	56.5	6.26	DTPvT	0.5:0.5:1	13.7	0.87	58.9	7.02	
DTPvT:PCBM	12.8	0.85	58.1	6.30	2						128
ASSO/C.a	7.46	0.97	58.3	4.22	80	1:2/Ca	10.05	0.87	70.3	6.15	
SO/C60	9.05	0.81	63.5	4 65		112) 0 60	10100	0.07	1010	0110	126
P3HT75-co-FHT25-PCBM	7.96	0.675	59	3.16	P3HTT_DPP_10%	0.0.0.1.1.1	15.05	0.603	61	5 51	
D211TT DDB 100/.BCDM	14.29	0.073	55	5.10	1 3111 1-D1 1-10 /0	0.7.0.1.1.1	15.05	0.005	01	5.51	132
PDT/DV DG DM	14.56	0.57	02	5.07					.		
PBTADN:PC71BM	6.9	0.83	53.1	3.0	BantHBI	1:0.2:4	11	0.91	56.4	5.0	143
РЗНТ:РСВМ	7.1	0.57	63	2.5	PCPDTBT	0.8:0.2:1	8.02	0.62	55.4	2.8	118
P3HT:PCBM	8.6	0.57	63.6	3.1	Si-PCPDTBT	0.6:0.4:1	11	0.59	62.1	4.0	144
P3HT:ICBA	7.9	0.82	60.1	3.9	Si-PCPDTBT	0.8:0.2:1	10	0.79	64.9	5.1	145
P3HT:PCBM	10.3	0.59	53	3.27	SQ	1:0.01:1	11.6	0.60	64.8	4.51	115

Energy & Environmental Science										ARTICLE			
	РЗНТ:РСВМ	9.69	0.55	66	3.5	SiPc	1:0.048:1	11.1	0.58	65	4.2	113	
	PCDTBT:PC71BM	10.79	0.86	68	6.3	Ag NPs (40 nm)	1:0.01:4	11.61	0.88	69	7.1	146	
	PTB7:PC71BM	16.71	0.732	68.03	8.31	Au:BCNT	-	18.50	0.743	72.61	9.98	147	
	CH3NH3Pb _{3-x} Cl _x	19.3	0.98	72.9	13.8	DOR3T-TBDT: PC71BM	-	21.2	0.99	67.9	14.3	149	
	CH3NH3Pb _{3-x} Cl _x	18.1	0.89	60.4	9.7	PBDTT-SeDPP: PC71BM	-	20.6	0.94	62.0	12.0	140	
	CH3NH ₃ PbI ₃	13.09	0.90	80.33	9.46	PDPP3T:PCBM	-	13.93	0.88	71.78	8.80	140	
	PDPP3T:PCBM	8.82	0.67	67.07	3.96		-					147	



Fig. 20 Chemical structures of representative organic materials used in ternary solar cells.

3.1 Enhancing Short Circuit Current (Jsc)

ARTICLE

A broader and stronger absorption of the active layer is beneficial to harvest more photons from the solar light, which is a crucial prerequisite for obtaining high efficiency OSCs. Minnaert et al. reported that the single-junction OSCs have potential to reach a PCE of 15.2% by expanding the absorption spectrum to an optimistic width of 400 nm.¹⁵⁰ However, the intrinsic narrow absorption width

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

of the organic materials limits the improvement on the efficiency of OSCs. A wide absorption spectrum of the active layer could be achieved by employing ternary strategy with absorption spectral complementary materials. The J_{SC} can be calculated from the EQE spectrum and the AM 1.5G solar spectrum using equation formula:

$$J_{sc} = \frac{q}{hc} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} EQE(\lambda) \cdot P_{in}(\lambda) \cdot \lambda d\lambda$$
(3)

where q is the elementary charge, h is Plank's constant, c is the speed of light, λ_1 and λ_2 are wavelength limits of EQE spectrum, EQE(λ) is the external quantum efficiency as a function of wavelength (λ), $P_{in}(\lambda)$ is spectral irradiance under standard AM 1.5G solar illumination. The J_{SC} values that calculated from the EQE and the *J-V* results support each other in the same experiments. Furthermore, the contribution of the enhanced photon harvesting by the third component to the photocurrent can be further confirmed from the EQE spectra of ternary solar cells.

In 2010, Koppe et al. incorporated low bandgap polymer PCPDTBT into the dominating P3HT:PCBM system to extend the spectroscopic response into near infrared region (NIR).¹¹⁸ The intensity of the absorption spectra in the region from 650 nm to 900 nm was gradually enhanced with the concentration of PCPDTBT increasing, whereas, the absorption of the P3HT does not change obviously upon the dilution with PCPDTBT. In the region between 650 nm and 900 nm, the EOE was significantly enhanced due to the PCPDTBT sensitization of the NIR photoresponse, which have similar varying tendency with the absorption spectra. Ameri et al. also carried out a series of excellent researches on the ternary solar cells.^{86, 144, 145, 151} the low bandgap polymer Si-PCPDTBT was used as the complementary material for the binary dominating system of P3HT/PCBM at different doping ratios. The EQE spectra of P3HT/PCBM and ternary solar cells with 10 wt%, 20 wt %, 30 wt % and 40 wt% Si-PCPDTBT in donors, as show in Fig. 21(a), indicate that the response region of the absorption spectra was expended to 850 nm by adding Si-PCPDTBT. Adding 40% Si-PCPDTBT into the P3HT/PCBM, the average EQE was improved to 35% in the range from 650 nm to 800 nm (Si-PCPDTBT absorption) and the average EQE from the P3HT absorbing region is still maintain at 50%. As a result, the J_{SC} of the P3HT/PCBM cells was improved from 8.6 mA/cm² to 11 mA/cm² and 13 mA/cm² by adding 40 wt% and 70 wt% Si-PCPDTBT respectively. Compared with the binary solar cells, the PCE of the ternary solar cells arrived to 4% with adding 30 wt% - 40 wt% Si-PCPDTBT, while the FF is keep still higher than 60 wt%. The high FF values of the ternary solar cells demonstrated that the dominating system P3HT/PCBM is not destroyed by addition of Si-PCPDTBT, as proved by the AFM images. To simultaneously overcome the two main limitations of OSCs (narrow absorption window of polymers and low Voc of PCBM-based cells), Ameri et al. introduced Si-PCPDTBT into the dominating system of P3HT and indene-C60 bisadduct (ICBA) to increase the lower energy photon harvesting of active layer. In this work, the donors (Si-PCPDTBT and P3HT) to the ICBA ratio were maintained at 1:1 (w/w). The EQE values of the ternary solar cells with 20 wt% Si-PCPDTBT in donors, presented in Fig. 21(b), show an obvious enhancement in the range of 650 nm to 850 nm compared

with those of the binary cells. At the same time, the EQE values of ternary solar cells between 350nm and 660nm are more or less identical to the P3HT/ICBA cells. It is indicated that the absorption spectra of the P3HT/ICBA active layer could be expanded to 850 nm by adding Si-PCPDTBT, which is also demonstrated by the absorption spectra. Upon addition of 20 wt% Si-PCPDTBT into the binary system, the J_{SC} increased from 7.9 mA/cm² to 10 mA/cm², which corresponds to 26% J_{SC} enhancement. The champion PCE of 5.1% with a $J_{SC} = 10$ mA/cm², $V_{OC} = 0.79$ V and FF =66% was achieved for P3HT/Si-PCPDTBT/ICBA ternary cell, which is much higher than the PCE values of the P3HT/ICBA cell (3.9%), Si-PCPDTBT/ICBA cell (1.6%) and Si-PCPDTBT/PCBM cell (4.4%).

ARTICLE



Fig. 21 The EQE spectra of the (a) P3HT:PCBM (1:1) and ternary cells with concentration of 10 wt%, 20 wt %, 30 wt % and 40 wt% Si-PCPDTBT in donors. Reproduced with the permission from Ref. 144. Copyright 2012 WILEY-VCH. (b) The EQE spectra of the P3HT:ICBA (1:1) and ternary cell with concentration of 20 wt% Si-PCPDTBT in donors. Reproduced with the permission from Ref. 145. Copyright 2013 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Before 2014, most of the researches on the ternary solar cells were based on P3HT as the dominating donor, resulting in a relatively low PCE compared with binary solar cells based on low bandgap materials.^{16, 152-158} Recently, a series of parallel-linkage ternary solar cells with compatible polymers as donors were reported by Yang et al.¹²⁹ The used polymers in this study, PBDTTT-C, PBDTT-DPP, PTB7 and PBDTT-SeDPP, all have the rigid planar BDT unit in their backbone and their preferred orientation is face-on with respect to the substrate in the deposited active layers. Fig. 22 presents the EQE of the PDBTTT-C:PBDTT-DPP:PC₇₁BM-based and PTB7:PBDTT-SeDPP:PC₇₁BM-based ternary solar cells as well as their corresponding binary solar cells. The EQE spectrum of the ternary solar cells based on PBDTTT-C:PBDTT-DPP:PC₇₁BM

distinctively shows a wider photoresponse than that of PBDTTT-C:PC₇₁BM-based solar cells and a higher photoresponse in the range from 300 nm to 700 nm than that of PBDTT-DPP:PC₇₁BM-based solar cells. As a result, the J_{SC} of ternary solar cells was increased to 15.7 mA/cm², surpassing that of the individual binary solar cells. The EQE spectrum of PTB7:PBDTT-SeDPP:PC₇₁BM-based ternary solar cells also shows the combined photoresponse of PTB7 and PBDTT-SeDPP, corresponding J_{SC} is as high as 18.7 mA/cm². The PCE of the ternary solar cells (8.7%) is much higher that of PTB7:PC₇₁BM-based (7.8%) and PBDTT-SeDPP:PC₇₁BM-based (7.7%) solar cells, which mainly attributes to the increased J_{SC} . The

ternary solar cells with PBDTTT-C:PBDTT-DPP:PC₇₁BM or PTB7:PBDTT-SeDPP:PC₇₁BM as active layer also show reasonably good performances compared to their binary solar cells. All of the ternary solar cells were found to outperform the binary cells at certain blending ratios (1:1). Furthermore, the solar cells with four polymers as donors (PBDTTT-C, PBDTT-DPP, PTB7 and PBDTT-SeDPP) shows a PCE of 7.8%, with EQE values close to those of the constituent polymers. These results conceptually indicate that the compatibility of the materials used in the ternary solar cells is a decisive factor for achieving high performance.



Fig. 22 EQE of (a) PDBTTT-C:PBDTT-DPP:PC₇₁BM and (b) PTB7:PBDTT-SeDPP:PC₇₁BM ternary solar cells. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 129. Copyright 2015, Nature Publishing Group.

Interestingly, Yu et al. incorporated a high bandgap polymer, poly-3-oxothieno[3,4-d]isothiazole-1,1-dioxide/benzodithiophene (PID2), as complementary donor material into PTB7:PC71BM dominating system for improving the utilization efficiency of photon energy in short wavelength coverage.¹¹⁷ As presented in Fig. 23(a), the absorption is gradually enhanced in the range from 450 nm to 650 nm along with the increase of PID2 content while absorption from 650 nm to 750 nm is simultaneously decreased, consistent with the fact that the absorption maximum of PID2 is at 610 nm and PTB7 has its maximum absorption at 683 nm. The EQE spectra of PTB7:PID2:PC71BM ternary solar cells with various PID2 content are shown in Fig. 23(b). It is obvious that the EQE values were enhanced over the whole wavelength region by incorporating 10 wt% PID2 into PTB7:PC₇₁BM matrix, resulting in an increased J_{SC} of 16.8 mA/cm² and a PCE of 8.2% for ternary solar cells. The enhancement in EQE was not entirely same trend with the observed in the absorption spectra because of the ternary film with 10 wt% PID2 only show a better absorption from 500 nm to 620 nm while the most enhanced EQE is in the spectral range from 400 nm to 550 nm. The enhancement of EQE in the range from 400 nm to 550 nm for low PID2 content should be attributed to the effective extraction of holes from PC71BM because of the cascade HOMO energy levels formed by PID2 doping into PTB7. The high bandgap polymer PID2 was also incorporated into poly[4,8-bis(5-(2-ethylhexyl)thiophen-2yl)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene-co-3-fluorothieno[3,4-b]-

thiophene-2-carboxylate] (PTB7-Th) and PC₇₁BM blend system.¹⁴⁰ The optimized ternary solar cells achieve a PCE as high as 9.20% by incorporating 20 wt% PID2 into PTB7-Th:PC₇₁BM matrix without further device engineering. These results demonstrate that the use of ternary strategy helps to re-evaluate the potential of many low-

performance donor polymers, which may provide a promising way towards developing high performance ternary solar cells.



Fig. 23 (a) Absorption spectra of PTB7:PID2:PC₇₁BM films with various blend ratios of donors. (b) EQE of PTB7:PID2:PC₇₁BM ternary solar cells with various blend ratios of donors. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 117. Copyright 2014, Nature Publishing Group.

ARTICLE

The small molecule materials as the third component have been also investigated in the ternary solar cells by many groups.¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶¹ In the early stages, the small molecules were also incorporated into P3HT:PCBM matrix, exhibiting a relatively low PCE of ternary solar cells.¹⁶²⁻¹⁶⁵ Wei et al. combined the advantages of conjugated polymer and small molecules by ternary strategy. The ternary solar cell contains a D-A polymer (PBDTTPD-HT) and a novel small molecule (BDT-3T-CNCOO) as donors and PC₇₁BM as acceptor.¹⁴² Selecting PBDTTPD-HT and BDT-3T-CNCOO as donors is because PBDTTPD-HT-based solar cells exhibit a high V_{OC} (~1.0 V) and BDT-3T-CNCOO show high crystallinity in blend films. Furthermore, the three used materials exhibit a complementary

absorption in the range from 300 nm to 700 nm. As shown in Fig. 24(a), the absorption intensity of optimized PBDTTPD-HT:BDT-3T-CNCOO:PC₇₁BM (0.6:0.4:1) film is higher than that of BDT-3T-CNCOO-based binary blend film at the short wavelength range and higher than that of PBDTTPD-HT-based binary blend film at the long wavelength range. Accordingly, the EQE spectra of the ternary solar cells are consistent with the corresponding absorption spectra (Fig. 24(b)). The J_{SC} of optimized ternary solar cell is higher than that of corresponding binary solar cells, and the V_{OC} and FF of optimized ternary solar cell are close to the higher values between two binary solar cells, resulting in a high PCE of 8.40%.



Fig. 24 (a) Absorption spectra of the ternary and binary blend films, (b) EQE spectra of the ternary and binary solar cells. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 142. Copyright 2015 WILEY-VCH.

Recently, Zhang et al. reported an efficient ternary solar cell based on three small molecules which contain SMPV1 and SQ as donors as well as $PC_{71}BM$ as acceptor. Fig. 25(a) presents the absorption spectra of SMPV1:SQ blend films with different SQ doping ratios. The absorption spectra of SMPV1 and SQ films exhibit an apparent complementary absorption in the range from 400 nm to 800 nm. The SQ has a strong absorption in the range from 550 nm to 800 nm, which can be considered as an excellent complementary donor material to harvest more photons in the longer wavelength region for SMPV1-based solar cells. For the blend films, the absorption intensity is gradually enhanced in the range from 600 nm to 700 nm along with the increase of SQ doping ratios, while the absorption intensity of blend films is reduced from 400 nm to 600 nm due to the relatively decreased SMPV1 content. The EQE spectra of the ternary cells with different SQ doping ratios are shown in Fig. 25(b). There are two interesting phenomena in EQE spectra comparing with the corresponding absorption spectra. The EQE values of ternary solar cells are markedly increased in the long wavelength range for the SQ doping ratios up to 15 wt%, which should be attributed to the increase of photon harvesting by SQ molecules. However, the EQE values in this spectral range are clearly decreased for further increase of SQ doping ratios, suggesting the inefficient exciton dissociation, charge carrier transport and collection although the increase of photon harvesting by the more SQ content in the blend films. For the ternary solar cells with SO doping ratios less than 15 wt%, the relatively high EQE values in long wavelength range suggests an efficient hole (generated from exciton dissociation at SQ/PC71BM interface) transfer from SQ to SMPV1 and then transport along the

channels formed by SMPV1 molecules. However, the efficient hole transport channels formed by SMPV1 will be disrupted along with the increase of SQ doping ratios, which can be demonstrated from the decreased J_{SC} and FF of ternary solar cells with high SQ doping ratio. Another interesting phenomenon should be highlighted that the EQE values of ternary solar cells with lower SQ doping ratios (≤ 10 wt%) are slightly increased in the spectral range from 400 nm to 650 nm where SMPV1 exhibits lower absorption than that of the neat SMPV1 film. The EQE improvement in this spectral range is mainly attributed to the efficient energy transfer from SMPV1 to SQ, which provide a new route to increase SMPV1 exciton dissociation efficiency. The optimized ternary solar cells showed the higher PCE and J_{SC} than that of the binary system, resulting from the synergistic effect of the enhanced photon harvesting and exciton dissociation efficiency.





Fig. 25 (a) Absorption spectra of SMPV1:SQ blend films with different SQ doping ratio; (b) EQE spectra of binary or ternary solar cells with different SQ doping ratio in donors. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 124. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Cnops et al. presented a simple planar heterojunction ternary solar cell with two non-fullerene acceptors (SubNc and SubPc) and α-6T as donor.¹²² The absorption spectra of the three used materials are shown in Fig. 26(a). The three materials have a high absorption coefficient of 3.5×10^5 cm⁻¹ at their peak wavelength and show complementary absorption in the broad spectral range from 300 nm to 800 nm. It is apparent that both layers contribute to the photocurrent due to their different photon harvesting range, which can be confirmed from the measured EQE spectra of the planar heterojunction ternary solar cells (Fig. 24(b)). In the planar ternary solar cell, the excitons generated in acceptors only can be dissociated at the D/A interface. The excitons generated in the outer SubPc acceptor can be transferred to the middle SubNc layer and consequently dissociated at the interface of a-6T/SubNc. Therefore, the EQE of ternary show high values in the range from 450 nm to 650 nm where SubNc have strong absorption. The J_{SC} of the ternary solar cells is 14.55 mA/cm², which is much higher than that of α -6T/SubNc-based (12.04 mA/cm²) and α -6T/SubPc-based (7.46 mA/cm²) solar cells. The PCE of ternary solar cells is as high as 8.4%, surpassing the 4.69% and 6.02% of the individual α -6T:SubPc-based and α-6T:SubNc-based solar cells. Taylor et al. also reported a planar heterojunction ternary solar cell by co-evaporating two different squaraine SQ and ASSQ as donor layers as well as C60 as acceptor layer.¹²⁶ The ASSQ show strong absorption in the range from 450 nm to 600 nm and its absorption peak locates at about 543 nm, which exhibits good complementary with the absorption spectrum of SQ (peak at 695 nm). Thus, the ASSQ/SQ blend films show a broader absorption range compared with the individual ASSQ or SQ films. At the optimized doping ratio of ASSQ to SQ (1:2), the ternary solar cells achieve a PCE of 6.15% due to the increased J_{SC} compared with the corresponding binary solar cells. The improvement of J_{SC} is mainly attributed to the broader absorption range of the ternary active layer and enhanced exciton dissociation efficiency through energy transfer, meanwhile the charge carrier recombination can be effectively limited in the planar heterojunction active layers.

Energy & Environmental Science



Fig. 26 (a) Absorption spectra of the three active materials complement each other to effectively harvest solar light. (b) The measured EQE (solid lines) and IQE (dashed lines) spectra show efficient photocurrent generation by all three absorbing materials. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 122. Copyright 2014 Nature Publishing Group.

Low bandgap organic dyes also have been used for the more photon harvesting of the active layers in ternary solar cells.99, 113, 166 Ohkita et al. selected the dye SiPc, as complementary material to incorporate with the P3HT:PCBM matrix for harvesting more photons from the long wavelength range. The SiPc has a narrow absorption from 650 nm to 700 nm and the absorption peak is located at 676 nm for the solvent-annealed ternary blend films. The contribution of SiPc to the photocurrent of ternary solar cells can be confirmed from the EQE spectra (Fig. 27(a)). The EQE spectrum of ternary solar cells with 4.8 wt% SiPc shows higher values in the range from 400 nm to 800 nm than those of the binary solar cells. The improvement of EQE values in the range from 400 nm to 600 nm (P3HT absorption) is ascribed to the efficient energy transfer from P3HT to SiPc, and the improvement from 600 nm to 800 nm is ascribed to the direct absorption of SiPc. When the doping ratio of SiPc is 17 wt%, EQE values slightly decreased in the range from 400 nm to 600 nm and remained the constant from 600 nm to 800 nm for the solvent-annealed ternary solar cells. The excess SiPc molecules could overflow into P3HT domains and thus quench P3HT excitons, resulting in EOE decrease at absorption band of P3HT. Consequently, the optimized ternary solar cells with 4.8 wt% SiPc exhibited an increase of 15% in J_{SC} (from 9.69 mA/cm² to 11.1 mA/cm²) and ~ 5% increase in V_{OC} (from 0.55 V to 0.58V), resulting in the increase of PCE from 3.5% to 4.2%. Furthermore, Ohkita et al. reported a quaternary solar cell with two dyes as complementary donors.¹⁶⁶ The response range of EQE spectra for P3HT:PCBM:SiPc ternary solar cells was further extended by the dyes silicon naphthalocyanine bis(trihexylsilyloxide) (SiNc). As shown in Fig. 27b, the EQE of the quaternary solar cell exhibited sharp and intense absorption bands at around 670 nm and 780 nm, which is mainly attributed the direct photon harvesting by SiPc and SiNc molecules. Owing to the enhanced photon harvesting, the quaternary solar cells based on P3HT:PCBM:SiPc:SiNc as the active layers achieve the highest PCE (4.3%) than that of ternary or binary solar cells.

Page 22 of 45



Fig. 27 (a) EQE spectra of P3HT:PCBM:SiPc ternary blend with 4.8 wt% SiPc (solid lines) and 17 wt% SiPc (dashed-dotted lines) and P3HT:PCBM (1:1) binary blend solar cells (broken lines) after solvent annealing. Reprinted with permission from Ref. ¹¹³. Copyright 2011 WILEY-VCH. (b) EQE spectra of the P3HT/PCBM/SiPc/SiNc-based (solid lines) and the P3HT/PCBM-based (broken lines) solar cells. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 166. Copyright 2010 Royal Society of Chemistry.

The perovskite solar cells are attracting global attention and interest due to their outstanding performance. The perovskite materials have many unique advantages as light absorbers for high performance solar cells, such as direct bandgap, long exciton diffusion lengths, high absorption coefficient, low exciton binding energy and high charge carrier mobility.^{92, 167-169} The most efficient perovskite absorbers, such as CH₃NH₃PbI₃ and CH₃NH₃Pb_{3-x}Cl_x, show onset light response at ~ 800 nm due to their optical bandgap of 1.55 eV, which limits the quantity of photon harvesting and further improvement of PCE. Making the most of solar light below 800 nm and broadening the light absorption range into NIR should be effective strategies to further enhance the performance of perovskite solar cells. Recently, Yang et al. designed and fabricated an integrated perovskite/BHJ solar cell with CH3NH3Pb3-xClx as perovskite absorber and DOR3T-TBDT:PC71BM as BHJ layer.148 Fig. 28(a) presents the absorption spectra of perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT and perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT:PC71BM films and EQE spectra of the perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT-based and perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT:PC71BM-based solar cells. Both perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT-based and perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT:PC₇₁BM-based solar cells show photocurrent response in the range from 300 to 800 nm, which is consistent with their absorption spectra. The integrated solar cell based on perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT:PC71BM shows higher EQE values than that of perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT-based solar cells between 520 to 740 nm, indicating the efficient photoresponse of DOR3TTBDT:PC71BM film. As a result, the integrated perovskite solar cell shows J_{SC} of 21.2 mA/cm², which is higher than that of the perovskite/DOR3T-TBDT-based device (19.3 mA/cm²), resulting in a higher PCE of 14.3%. In order to investigate the origin of enhanced photocurrent, a low bandgap polymer PBDTT-SeDPP as hole transporting layer (HTL) for perovskite solar cells and donor in BHJ film are further studied by the authors. As show in Fig. 28(b), in addition to the characteristic absorption band of perovskite, both perovskite/PBDTT-SeDPP and perovskite/PBDTT-SeDPP:PC71BM films show good absorption in the range from 800 nm to 900 nm, which is attributed to the low bandgap polymer PBDTT-SeDPP. Interestingly, there is no photocurrent response in the range from 800 nm to 900 nm for photovoltaic solar cell with PBDTT-SeDPP as HTL, while the integrated perovskite/BHJ solar cells with PBDTT-SeDPP as donor not only shows improved photoresponse from 500 nm to 800 nm but also a notable EQE response up to 900 nm. These results indicate that the HTL in traditional perovskite solar cell, even with good light absorption capability, have no contribution to the overall device photocurrent, unless this HTL becomes a BHJ layer (by adding acceptor material like PCBM). Ding et al. also reported a integrated perovskite/BHJ solar cells with CH3NH3PbI3 as perovskite absorber and PBDP3T:PCBM as BHJ layer.149 It is absorption obvious that the spectrum of CH₃NH₃PbI₃/PDPP3T:PCBM film shows a good accumulation of the respective absorption of CH₃NH₃PbI₃ film and PDPP3T:PCBM film (Fig. 26(c)). As described in Fig. 26(d), the EQE spectra of integrated perovskite/BHJ solar cells show a broader response range than that of the CH₃NH₃PbI₃-based solar cells. When the thickness of the BHJ layer is 80 nm, the perovskite/BHJ solar cell gives a J_{SC} of 13.93 mA/cm², which is higher than the that of CH₃NH₃PbI₃based solar cells due to the additional photocurrent contribution from the PDPP3T:PCBM layer. According to the examples, integrating the perovskite and BHJ solar cells may be an efficient way to further improve the device performance.



Fig. 28 Absorption spectra of HTL and BHJ film on glass/TiO2/perovskite and EQE spectra of the perovskite/HTL and

integrated perovskite/BHJ solar cells. (a) DOR3T-TBDT as HTL and DOR3T-TBDT:PC₇₁BM as BHJ layer, (b) PBDTT-SeDPP as HTL and PBDTT-SeDPP:PC₇₁BM as BHJ layer. Reprinted with permission from Ref. ¹⁴⁸. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society. (c) Absorption spectra of PDPP3T:PCBM (1:2) blend film, CH₃NH₃PbI₃ film and CH₃NH₃PbI₃/PDPP3T:PCBM (1:2) bilayer film. (d) EQE spectra for perovskite solar cell with 80 nm PCBM and the integrated perovskite/BHJ solar cells. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 149. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.

The photon harvesting also can be enhanced by incorporating inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) with the dominating system.¹⁷⁰ Among NPs, noble metal NPs, such as Au and Ag NPs, generally can enhance the light absorption of the active layer due to their localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR).¹⁷¹⁻¹⁷⁹ LSPR interact strongly with the incident light and thus enhance the local absorption within the vicinity of the plasmonic structure. Furthermore, the incident light can be reflected and scattered by the embedded metal NPs, thereby increasing the optical path length in the active layer.

Heeger et al. demonstrated the positive effects of Ag NPs on the performance of the poly[N-9"-hepta-decanyl-2,7-carbazole-alt-5,5-(4',7'-di-2-thienyl-2',1',3'-benzothiadiazole) (PCDTBT):PC71BM solar cells.¹⁴⁶ As shown in Fig. 29(a), the ternary film with 1 wt% 40 nm-sized Ag NPs presents increased absorption at around 350 nm to 550 nm compared with that of binary film, which originates in the light scattering of the Ag clusters. The schematic of the light trapping and optical reflection caused by the scattering from the Ag clusters is described in inset of Fig. 29(a). The EQE spectra of the binary and ternary solar cells are shown in the inset of Fig. 29(b). The ternary solar cell shows the relatively high EQE values even up to 75% near 450 nm. Moreover, the EQE enhancement can be apparently observed in the range from 350 nm to 550 nm for the ternary active layers, which is consistent with the tendency of the corresponding absorption spectra of used materials. Consequently, the PCE was increased from 6.3 % to 7.1 %, which should be mainly attributed to the increase of J_{SC} from 10.79 mA/cm² to 11.61 mA/cm^2 by doping appropriate metal NPs in the active layers.

Energy & Environmental Science



Fig. 29 (a) Absorption spectra of PCDTBT:PC₇₁BM binary film and the ternary film based on PCDTBT:PC₇₁BM:AgNPs with 40 nm-sized NPs based Ag clusters (1 wt%). The inset schematic figures show the light trapping and optical reflection by the scattering and excitation of localized surface plasmons. (b) EQE enhancement spectra of the devices from ternary film with 40 nm-sized NPs based Ag clusters (1 wt%). The inset figure shows measured EQE of the binary and the ternary solar cells (with Ag clusters). Reprinted with permission from Ref. 146. Copyright 2011 WILEY-VCH.

3.2 Adjusting open circuit voltage (Voc)

The second advantage of third component is to obtain continuously tunable V_{OC} of ternary solar cells for the optimized performance, which have been confirmed experimentally by many independent studies^{88, 116, 180, 181}. As we known, the V_{OC} is determined by the quasi-Fermi levels for electrons and holes as the fowling equation:

$$V_{oc} = \frac{E_{fn} - E_{fp}}{q} \tag{4}$$

where q is the elementary charge, E_{fn} and E_{fp} are electron and hole quasi-Fermi level under steady-state illumination at open-circuit condition, respectively. In conventional binary solar cells with ohmic contacts, the quasi-Fermi levels are limited by the HOMO level of donor and the LUMO level of acceptor. It is generally recognized that the V_{OC} of the binary solar cell is mainly determined by the difference between the HOMO energy level of donor and the LUMO energy level of acceptor:

$$V_{oc} = \frac{1}{q} \left(E^{A}_{LUMO} - E^{D}_{HOMO} - \Delta E \right)$$
(5)

where E_{LUMO}^{A} is the LUMO energy level of acceptor, and E_{HOMO}^{D} is

HOMO of donor. ΔE represents an empirical factor, which normally owns a value of about 0.3 eV. If the ΔE is larger than empirical value, excitons can be effectively dissociated into free charge carriers at the D/A interfaces. In the ternary system with two donors or two acceptors, the V_{OC} open-circuit voltage becomes increasingly complicated and cannot be predicted simply by Eq. (5). The tunable V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells was dominantly interpreted by the more favourable ratios of charge generation to charge recombination. It is well known that V_{OC} can be expressed as:

$$V_{oc} = \frac{nkT}{q} \ln \left(\frac{J_{sc}}{J_{so}} \right) + \frac{\Delta E_{DA}}{2q}$$
(6)

here, n is the diode ideality factor, k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the Kelvin temperature, and ΔE_{DA} is the interfacial energy gap between the donor and acceptor. The magnitude of J_{SO} depends on a number of material properties that determine the carrier generation and recombination rate, independent of the energy barrier, ΔE_{DA} .^{143,}

In 2011, Thompson et al. firstly demonstrated that the V_{OC} of the ternary solar cells with P3HT/ICBA/PCBM as active layer could be tuned across the full composition range of the components by varying the proportion of ICBA in acceptors as well as high FF and J_{SC} were maintained.¹³¹ In this ternary system, the overall proportion of donor (P3HT) to acceptor (ICBA and PCBM) was maintained at 1:1, while the proportion of the ICBA and PCBM in acceptors was varied. The chemical structures and corresponding HOMO and LUMO energy levels of used materials are shown in Fig. 30(a). The two acceptors have similar chemical structure and excellent miscibility with P3HT, resulting in similar donor/acceptor ratios and processing conditions for achieving optimized performance. As shown in Fig. 30(b), the V_{OC} of the ternary solar cells increased from 0.605 V to 0.844 V with increasing of ICBA doping ratios in acceptors. The ternary solar cells with various proportion of ICBA in acceptors have high FF values, which is attributed to favorable morphology and charge carrier transport. The J_{SC} of ternary solar cells showed a slightly decreased trend along with the increase of ICBA doping ratios in acceptors because the absorption strength of ICBA is significantly less than that of PCBM in the visible light range. These results indicate that the V_{OC} of ternary solar cells is not limited to the smaller V_{OC} value but can be adjusted between the extreme V_{OC} values of the corresponding binary blend solar cells without significant effect on the J_{SC} or FF.



Fig. 30 (a) Chemical structures and corresponding HOMO and LUMO energy levels of P3HT, ICBA, and PCBM; (b) V_{OC} of the ternary cells as a function of the proportion of ICBA in acceptors. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 131. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society.

Wang et al. also reported a ternary solar cell with P3HT as donor and mixed PCBM and ICBA acceptor by using layer-by-layer (LL) process.¹⁸³ The *J-V* characteristics of the ternary solar cells with various PCBM/ICBA doping weight ratios are show in Fig. 31(a) (active layers with annealed treatment) and Fig. 31(b) (active layers without annealed treatment). An interesting finding is that both V_{OC} values of ternary solar cells with and without annealing treatment exhibit similar tendency with the change of ICBA proportions in acceptors. The V_{OC} was gradully increased from 0.667 V to 0.887 V along with the increased proportion of ICBA, while the changes of

 J_{SC} and FF were not significant. The V_{OC} dependence on the

proportions of ICBA in acceptors was described in Fig. 31(c). It is

apparent that with increasing proportion of ICBA in acceptors, V_{OC}

increase slowly at the beginning. Only when the proportion of ICBA

in acceptors was more than 70%, increase of V_{OC} speeds up. This

indicates that the Voc is mainly dominated by PCBM rather than by

ICBA. Such as in the ternary solar cells with annealed treatment, the V_{OC} is significantly reduced from 0.887 to 0.834 V with 10 wt%

PCBM in the acceptors, while the V_{OC} only increases from 0.667 to

0.679 V with 10 wt% ICBA in the acceptors. These results indicate

that the ternary solar cells should include two types of binary solar

cells: P3HT/PCBM and P3HT/ICBA cells. In the ternary solar cells,

P3HT collect photo-generated holes and transport them to the anode.

The acceptors, PCBM and ICBA, collect the photo-generated

electrons and transport them to the cathode. Although the two kinds

of cells are parallel in the ternary system, they are not completely

independent. The photo-generated electrons transported by ICBA

could drop onto PCBM when they are near PCBM/ICBA interface due to LUMO of ICBA is about 0.2 eV higher than that of PCBM.

However, electrons transported by PCBM hardly jump onto the LUMO level of ICBA because of this 0.2 eV LUMO barrier between

A three-diode model was proposed to analyze the tunable

behavior of V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells, as shown in Fig. 31(d),

which may be applied to all ternary systems. The third diode model

indicates that photocurrent of P3HT/ICBA solar cell could leak into P3HT/PCBM cell, while not the other way around. The leak current

of e ternary solar cells generally was ascribed to energy loss, such as

each electron flows from LUMO of ICBA to LUMO of PCBM, the

corresponding energy loss is 0.2 eV. When an exciton dissociates at

interface of P3HT/PCBM, the electron on the LUMO of the P3HT drops to the LUMO of PCBM, and there is an energy loss of 1.1 eV.

However, the lost energy is 0.9 eV if the electron from exciton

dissociates at P3HT/ICBA interface drops from the P3HT to the

ICBA. Compared to the lower-LUMO level of PCBM, the relatively

high-LUMO level of ICBA could reduce energy loss by collecting

electrons from P3HT. The ICBA plays a key role for saving energy in the ternary solar cells. The more ICBA, the more energy will be

saved and then more energy will be gathered by the solar cells,

which is conducive to enhance the V_{OC} . It is difficult to ignore that a

portion of saved energy will be hand in because the electrons flowed from ICBA to PCBM, this might be the reason for the V_{OC} increases

slowly when the proportions of ICBA in acceptors are small. In this

case, P3HT/PCBM diode dominates the ternary system, most energy

saved by ICBA eventually lost again through the third diode. When

proportions of ICBA in acceptors are enough large and P3HT/ICBA

diode dominates the ternary system, energy loss will be significantly suppressed and then the V_{OC} becomes increase faster, as shown in

Fig. 29(c). The similar phenomenon was also reported by Zhan and

co-workers in the ternary solar cells based on PTB7:ICBA:PC71BM

PCBM and ICBA molecules .

ARTICLE

Energy & Environmental Science Accepted Manuscript

matrix.184





Fig. 31 *J-V* curves of the solar cells with (a) and without (b) annealed treatment; Dependences of V_{OC} (c) on the proportion of ICBA in acceptors; (d) three-diode model of the ternary solar cells. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 183. Copyright 2012 American Institute of Physics

Kim et al. observed a different tunable behavior of V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells with P3HT and an o-xylenyl C60 mono-adduct (OXCMA) as the dominating system, and either the o-xylenyl C60 bisadduct (OXCBA) or the o-xylenyl C60 trisadduct (OXCTA) as the second acceptor.¹⁸⁵ In the ternary solar cells, W_{OXCBA} is the doping ratio of OXCBA in acceptors (OXCMA:OXCBA). The V_{OC} of the P3HT:OXCMA:OXCBA-based ternary solar cells can be continuously increased from 0.634 to 0.843 V along with increase of W_{OXCBA} doping ratios in acceptors, which is consistent with that of the P3HT:PCBM:ICBA ternary solar cells (Fig. 32(a)). Unlike the observation in the previous section, as the W_{OXCTA} doping ratios in acceptors increased, the V_{OC} values show a nonlinear transformation trend in the ternary solar cells with P3HT:OXCMA:OXCTA as active layers. The V_{OC} can be increased by incorporating a small amount of OXCTA into the P3HT:OXCMA-based binary solar cells ($W_{OXCTA} < 0.3$), while the V_{OC} of ternary solar cells is dramatically decreased when the W_{OXCTA} values are over 0.7 in acceptors. For instance, the V_{OC} value increased from 0.634 to 0.674 V when the ternary solar cell at $W_{OXCTA} = 0.3$, which mainly results from the higher LUMO level of OXCTA. Consequently, the ternary solar cells at $W_{OXCTA} = 0.3$ show a higher PCE (4.03%) than that of the corresponding P3HT:OXCMA-based (3.61%) and P3HT:OXCTAbased (1.31%) binary solar cells (Fig. 32(b)). In contrast, the V_{OC} and PCE values of the ternary solar cells are lower than these of the two binary solar cells when OXCTA is the major fullerene component in the ternary device (W_{OXCTA}= 0.8-0.9). These results indicate that the OXCTA can enhance the V_{OC} and PCE values in the P3HT:OXCMA:OXCTA-based ternary solar cells by forming synergistic bridges between the P3HT and OXCMA domains (Fig. 30(c)) when W_{OXCTA} doping ratios in acceptors are small (<0.3). As shown in Fig. 30(d), OXCMA act as charge-trapping sites in the ternary solar cells when $W_{OXCTA} > 0.7$ in acceptors, thus preventing efficient charge transport and promoting trap-assisted recombination. For the ternary solar cells with P3HT:OXCMA:OXCBA as active

Energy & Environmental Science

layer, this trapping does not occur and the V_{OC} gradually increases with composition but more steeply for a higher OXCBA ratio. The different change trends in V_{OC} of the two ternary solar cells are likely related to the larger offset of the LUMO levels of OXCMA and OXCTA (0.33 eV) than between OXCMA and OXCBA (0.17 eV).



Fig. 32 The variation of (a) PCE and (b) V_{OC} as a function of the W_{OXCBA} or W_{OXCTA} in ternary solar cells. The energy levels (eV) of electrodes and used materials in the ternary solar cells. The LUMO energy levels of the fullerene multiadducts (OXCMA: -3.83 eV, OXCBA: -3.66 eV, and OXCTA: -3.50 eV) were estimated by CV measurements. Two different bridging and trapping effects on the performance of the P3HT:OXCMA:OXCTA-based solar cells were observed to be dependent on the W_{OXCTA} values: (c) bridging effect of OXCTA for the case of WOXCTA = 0.1–0.3 and (d) trapping effect by OXCMA for W_{OXCTA} = 0.7–0.9. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 185. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

The tunable behavior of V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells based on $D_1/D_2/A$ system was also demonstrated by Thompson et al. The V_{OC} of the ternary solar cells with two donors (P3HT75-co-EHT25 and P3HTT-DPP-10%) could be tuned between the limited V_{OC} values of the corresponding P3HT75-co-EHT25:PCBM and P3HTT-DPP-10%:PCBM solar cells, as was shown for the case of the ternary solar cells with two acceptors.^{132, 134} As shown in Fig. 33(a), the three used materials have a broad and strong absorption in the region from 300 nm to 830 nm, which should provide a higher J_{SC} of ternary solar cell than that of either binary blend solar cell. Meanwhile, the different HOMO energy levels of P3HT75-co-EHT25 (5.4 eV) and P3HTT-DPP-10% (5.2 eV) should enable V_{OC} tunability in the ternary blend solar cells at different polymer doping ratios. The Fig. 33(b) shows $V_{\alpha c}$ of ternary solar cells as a function of the proportion of P3HT75-co-EHT25 in donors. It can be observed that incorporating P3HT75-co-EHT25 into P3HTT-DPP-10%/PCBM matrix, the V_{OC} rapidly increased by 29 mV. With the proportion of P3HT75-co-EHT25 increased in the donors, the V_{OC} of the ternary solar cells with individually optimized increased linearly from 0.603 V to 0.646 V. When the overall donor/acceptor proportion in the ternary system was not individually optimized but rather kept constant, the V_{OC} decreased significantly and deviated

Page 26 of 45

from linearity. When proportion of the donors:PCBM was kept in 1:1.1 in the ternary system, the V_{OC} increased linearly with proportion of P3HT75-co-EHT25 increased from 20% to 90%, which was the optimal overall D:A proportion. It is obvious that V_{OC} values of ternary solar cells with donors:PCBM remaining 1:1.1 were lower than that of the individually optimized ternary solar cells. A nonlinear dependence of V_{OC} on the proportion of P3HT75-co-EHT25 was observed for the ratio of donors:PCBM remaining 1:1.1 In this case, the V_{OC} increased linearly with proportion of P3HT75-

co-EHT25 below 50%, which was followed by almost constant V_{OC} with proportion of P3HT75-co-EHT25 up to 80% and then the an increased V_{OC} at higher proportion of P3HT75-co-EHT25. The authors emphasized that it is necessary to individually optimize the overall composition at each donor/donor proportion for achieving maximum performance of ternary solar cells and the V_{OC} of the solar cells could be significantly increased by introducing even small amounts of a donor with a lower HOMO energy level.



Fig. 33 (a) Chemical structures, HOMO energy levels and absorption spectra of PCBM, P3HT75-co-EHT25, and P3HTT-DPP-10%; (b) the V_{oc} of the ternary solar cells with individually optimized (\Box) and cells with fixed overall donor/PCBM ratios of 1/1.1 (blue \bigstar) and 1/1.0 (green \blacktriangle). Reprinted with permission from Ref. 132. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.

The alloy model was proposed by Thompson and co-workers to explain how the tunable V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells occurs.¹³³ The V_{OC} could be adjusted continuously with various compositions of donors or acceptors, which has been described in the previous section. The V_{OC} of OSCs is determined by the energy of interface bandgap (E_{GI}) minus the quasi-Fermi energies, where the E_{GI} is the energy difference value between the HOMO energy level of donor and the LUMO energy level of acceptor. The reason of continuous change in V_{OC} is the corresponding change of HOMO or LUMO energy level, it is possible that the effect reflects the change in the quasi-Fermi energies. The photocurrent spectral response (PSR) of the ternary solar was measured to explore the electronic states and then to confirm the continuous change of HOMO or LUMO energy level (Fig. 34). The PSR experimental results provide some information about the electronic states by measuring the optical absorption of active layer transitions that generate charge carriers in the solar cells. It was reported that when the energy below the optical bandgap of the each material, the PSR spectrum results from direct excitation at the interface from the donor HOMO to the acceptor LUMO (Fig. 34(a) inset) and measures the optical absorption of the heterojunction interface. The excitation is generally considered as the charge-transfer (CT) state. Fig. 34(a) shows the PSR spectrum of the P3HT/ICBA/PCBM ternary solar cells. The previous investigations confirm that the EGI corresponds to a photocurrent of $\sim 10^{-4}$ of the peak value, and where the shape of PSR spectrum changes from an exponential at lower energy to a broader band at higher energy.¹⁸⁶ The E_{GI} energy at photocurrent values of 0.1 and 0.01 (dashed lines in Fig. 34(a)) and the V_{OC} of ternary solar cells were summarized in Fig. 34(b). It is evident that the V_{OC} is ~ 0.55 V smaller than the E_{GI}, which is similar with the expected quasi-Fermi energies. The results indicate that the change in the E_{GI} was accurately measured by V_{OC} and that E_{GI} changes continuously

with the composition of two-component acceptors. The composition dependence of the bandgap for an alloy is often described by the following equation,

$$E_{GI}(x) = (1 - x)E_{G1} + xE_{G2} - bx(1 - x)$$
(8)

Here, b is the bowing factor, E_{G1} and E_{G2} are the bandgaps of the corresponding materials. This model fits the measured data very well, as shown in Fig. 33(b). The analogous data for the ternary solar cells based on P3HT75-co-EHT25/P3HTT-DPP-10%/PCBM system are shown in Fig. 33(c) and 33(d). The HOMO energy levels of two donors are slightly different (5.2 eV for P3HTT-DPP-10% and 5.4 eV for P3HT75-co-EHT25) but optical bandgaps have big difference (1.5 and 1.9 eV, respectively). In the two donors system, the V_{OC} also can be continuously changed along with the different proportions of two donors, but the change is smaller than that of the system with two acceptors. These results indicate that either two donors or two acceptors form an electronic alloy with HOMO and LUMO energies based on the average composition of twocomponent donors or acceptors, resulting in variation of V_{OC} with composition in these two components. The same group further studied the effect of different miscibility between the used materials on the alloy behavior. The polymer PCDTBT as the third component was incorporated with the P3HTT-DPP-10%:PCBM system. The HOMO energy levels of P3HTT-DPP-10% and PCDTBT are 5.2 eV and 5.4 eV, respectively, which translates to the Voc of 0.582 V for P3HTT-DPP-10%:PCBM-based and 0.924 V for PCDTBT:PCBM-based binary solar cells. Unlike the continuous change of the V_{OC} with composition that was observed in the P3HT:PCBM:ICBA system and P3HTT-DPP-10%:P3HT75-co-EHT25:PCBM system, V_{OC} of P3HTT-DPPthe 10%:PCDTBT:PCBM-based solar cells changes by only 23 mV going (from 0.582 V to 0.605 V) along with the increase of

PCDTBT from 0 to 95%. Thus, only 5% of P3HTT-DPP-10% in ternary solar cells pins the V_{OC} to the smallest V_{OC} value of the two limiting binary blend solar cells. These results indicate that alloy formation between polymers does not occur in the P3HTT-DPP-10%:PCDTBT:PCBM system, as even 95% of PCDTBT in the polymer fraction has little effect on the V_{OC} , which is demonstrated by the PSR and EQE measurements. Furthermore, the ternary solar cells with high PCDTBT content show lower J_{SC} FF, and PCE than the corresponding binary solar cells. These results mainly ascribe to the lack of miscibility between the polymers, which facilitates preferential location of the P3HTT-DPP-10% away from the PCBM interface with high PCDTBT contents and does not allow CT state averaging or effective hole transport. The authors further proposed

the use of the surface energy of the used materials in the ternary solar cells as a figure of merit to predict the formation of an organic alloy and compositional dependence of the V_{OC} of ternary solar cells. Similar surface energy should facilitate materials mixing and formation of an alloy state, while large difference in the surface energy should result in demixing, preventing alloying state formation and causing significant performance decrease of the ternary solar cell. As such, miscibility among materials is a necessary attribution for forming the alloy state between different materials. However, it is not clear that if miscibility is sufficient for alloy formation and future work need to focus on the other effects, such as HOMO energy, relative crystallinity, and compatibility of the used materials.



Fig. 34 (a) PSR spectrum of the ternary solar cells based on P3HT/ICBA/PCBM system with various proportions of ICBA in acceptors. The inset represents the E_{GI} or CT transition; (b) the estimated E_{GI} energy defined by PSR at photocurrent values of 0.1 and 0.01 compared to the values of V_{OC} for P3HT/ICBA/PCBM ternary solar cells. The solid lines are the model of equation 8 with the same bowing parameter b; (c) PSR spectrum of the ternary solar cells based on P3HTT-DPP-10%/P3HT75-co-EHT25/PCBM with various proportions of P3HT75-co-EHT25 in donors. The inset represents the photocurrent signal at 1.6 eV with a proportion of P3HT75-co-EHT25 in donors; (d) Plot of the estimated E_{GI} energy defined by PSR at photocurrent values of 0.1 and 0.01 compared to the values of V_{OC} (open triangles), as a function of P3HT75-co-EHT25 fraction for the mixed donor system. Solid lines are linear fits to the data with the same slope (b = 0). Reprinted with permission from Ref. 133. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

Zhang and co-workers also reported the tunable behaviour of V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells with P3HT:SMPV1:PC₇₁BM as active layers.¹¹⁶ The V_{OC} of the ternary solar cells can be monotonically improved along with the increase of SMPV1 doping ratios in donors. The photoemission spectroscopy (UPS) was employed to investigate the HOMO energy levels of P3HT, SMPV1 and P3HT:SMPV1 films due to the direct relationship between V_{OC} and the alloy HOMO energy levels of electron donors, and then to clarify the effect of SMPV1 doping ratios on V_{OC} of ternary solar cells. The HOMO energy level can be calculated according to the following equation,

$$E_{HOMO} = h \upsilon - (E_{onset} - E_{cutoff})$$
(7)

where hv is the incident photon energy of 36 eV; E_{cutoff} is defined as the lowest kinetic energy of the measured electrons and E_{onset} is the

HOMO energy onset, generally referred to as the high kinetic energy onset.^{187, 188} The E_{cutoff} and E_{onset} locations of P3HT, P3HT:SMPV1 (1:1), SMPV1 films are marked in Fig. 35(a) and 35(b), respectively. According to Fig. 35 and the above equation, the calculated HOMO energy levels are -4.82 eV for P3HT, -4.97 eV for P3HT:SMPV1 and -5.26 for SMPV1. The V_{OC} of the OSCs is increased from 0.59 V to 0.64 V for the cells with 15 wt% SMPV1 and even to 0.73 V for the cells with 50 wt% SMPV1. The monotonically increased V_{OC} of ternary solar cells can be well explained by the decreased alloyed HOMO energy levels of P3HT:SMPV1 along with the increase of SMPV1 doping ratios in donors. This result suggests that P3HT and SMPV1 should have excellent miscibility to form an electronic alloy with the varied HOMO energy levels along with the different SMPV1 doping ratios in donors.

Energy & Environmental Science



Fig. 35 UPS spectra of the inelastic cutoff region (a) and HOMO region (b) of P3HT, P3HT:SMPV1 (1:1) and SMPV1 films (photon energy is 36 eV), measured in ultrahigh vacuum $(10^9 Pa)$ conditions. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 116. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.

Instead of the alloy model demonstrated by Thompson and coworkers, You et al. proposed the parallel-linkage mechanism to explain the tunable behaviour of V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells. In this scenario, the holes generated in different donors mainly transport through their corresponding donor-linked channels to the anode, while electrons still transport via the acceptor domain to the cathode, equivalent to a parallel connection of two binary solar cells. It means that two donors can independent work with acceptor. Consequently, the V_{OC} is co-determined by the two HOMO energy levels of the donors. ⁹⁰ Janssen et al. further proposed a two-state CT model to explain the tunable behaviour of V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells with a semiconducting biphenyl-dithienyldiketopyrrolopyrrole copolymer (PDPP2TBP) as donor and two different fullerene (PCBM and ICBA) acceptors.¹⁸⁰ The ternary solar cells show a gradual increase in V_{OC} from 0.81 to 1.07 V with increasing doping ratios of ICBA, which mainly originates from the changing electronic structure of the ternary blend with various ratios. To study the tunable V_{OC} as the function of PCBM/ICBA ratios, the EQE spectra were measured and performed in a semilogarithmic plot as a function of the photon energy (Fig. 36(a)). It is obvious that the contribution of the CT-state absorption to the EQE mainly located at the range from 1.35 to 1.65 eV (950 nm to 750 nm) that below the onset of PDPP2TBP absorption. The low-energy shoulder gradually disappears along with the increase of ICBA doping ratios, which indicates that the CT-state contribution shifts to higher energies in the EQE spectra of the solar cells. The EQE data are modeled in two different ways by the authors for further understanding the changes in the EQE data as a function of composition: alloy CT-state model and two CT-state model. The modeled EQE curves of the alloy CT-state model and the two CT-state model are shown in Fig. 36(b) and Fig. 36(c), respectively. By comparing two models with the experimental EQE data, the two CT-state model fit better with the experiment than the alloy CT-state model, which can be better seen from the Fig. 36(d) that plots the photon energy at a normalized EQE=10⁻³. The authors further modeled the J-V curves of the ternary solar cells as a parallel bulk heterojunction that consistent with the two CT-state model. The modeled V_{OC} is more sublinear than the measured values, while the two-state CT model still does not explain the sub-linear behaviour of the V_{OC} as a function of the blend composition.

Page 30 of 45

Energy & Environmental Science

Fig. 36 (a) Normalized EQE as function of photon energy for experimental data. Modeled EQE curves for different relative ratios of ICBA (x): (b) the alloy CT-state model and (c) the two CT-state model. (d) Photon energy at a normalized EQE= 10^{-3} for PDPP2TBP:PCBM:ICBA ternary solar cells as function of the relative ratio of ICBA (x). The experimental data (open squares) are compared to two models: the alloy CT-state model (solid squares) and the two CT-state model (solid circles). Reprinted with permission from Ref. 180. Copyright 2015 SPIE.

There is another controversy whether the tunable V_{OC} relies on the blend composition of donors for parallel-linkage ternary solar cells. As mentioned above, many groups have experimentally confirmed that the V_{OC} of ternary solar cells can be continuously tuned between the V_{OC} values of corresponding binary solar cells as an approximately linear function of the blend composition of each donor (x_i):

$$V_{OC} = \frac{X_1}{X_1 + X_2} V_{OC1} + \frac{X_2}{X_1 + X_2} V_{OC2}$$
(9)

where V_{OC1} and V_{OC2} refer to the open circuit voltages of the binary solar cells with D1:A and D2:A as active layer, respectively. The linear dependence of densities of states (DOS) on the weight fraction of each component can be accurately captured by the equation 9, while it fails to account for the possibility of differential light absorption in the two materials, which will generally affect the occupation probabilities in each band. For example, a ternary solar cell contains a low bandgap donor (1.0 eV), a high bandgap donor (3.0 eV), and a typical acceptor. In this blend, assuming the high bandgap donor has a negligible absorption coefficient, virtually all of the light would be absorbed by the low bandgap donor, yet equation 9 would predict the V_{OC} as though the high bandgap donor valence band possessed half the photogenerated holes. Thus equation 9 generally overestimates V_{OC} in cases where a low-voltage strongly absorbing D₁:A combination is paired with a high-voltage weakly absorbing D₂:A blend. This incorrect limiting behavior can be corrected by weighting each component's voltage contribution by its current production:

$$V_{oc} = \frac{J_1}{J_1 + J_2} V_{oc1} + \frac{J_2}{J_1 + J_2} V_{oc2}$$
(10)

where $J_i = \frac{q}{hc} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} EQE(\lambda) \cdot P_{in}(\lambda) \cdot \lambda d\lambda$ is the current generated

from an equal thickness reference binary solar cells composed of D_i :A, at short circuit condition.¹⁸¹ Equation 10 reproduces the linear dependence observed experimentally for donors that absorb similar amounts of light, while also recovering the appropriate limiting behavior when the two donors have disparate absorption features.

Given the above discussion, the V_{OC} of the ternary solar cells can be continuously tuned with composition of donors or acceptors changes, which allows a higher V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells than that of the corresponding binary solar cells, providing the ternary strategy as a platform for highly efficient next generation organic solar cells. However, there are still some arguments about the tunable V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells. Therefore, further investigation on the intriguing V_{OC} is certainly required to verify the underlying mechanisms for high efficient ternary solar cells.

3.3 Versatile function of the third component

In addition to enhanced photon harvesting and tunable energy level, the ternary solar cells also show more efficient exciton dissociation, charge transport and extraction, as well as better stability, phase separation and crystallinity of the active films by optimizing the morphology. The V_{OC} of the OSCs can be increased by incorporating second donor with a lower HOMO energy level (D₂) or second acceptor with a higher LUMO energy level (A₂) as the third component. The J_{SC} has tightly relationship with photon harvesting, exciton dissociation, charge carriers transport and extraction, which can be well optimized by the versatile ternary strategy. The FF is a very sensitive parameter, which is determined by the competition between sweep-out of the photoinduced charge carriers and the recombination of charge carriers to the ground state. The ternary strategy can increase FF by balancing charge carriers transport and reducing charge carriers recombination. In the following section, we mainly focus on the multiple advantages of the ternary solar cells.

Wei et al. demonstrated a high efficient ternary solar cell by employing high-performance polymer PTB7-Th and high-crystalline small molecule 7,7-(4,4-bis(2-Ethylhexyl)-4Hsilolo[3,2-b:4,5b']dithiophene-2,6-diyl)bis(6-fluoro-4-(5'-hexyl-[2,2'-bithiophen]-5yl)benzo-[c] [1,2,5]thiadiazole) (p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂) as two donors, and PC71BM as acceptor.85 The optimized ternary solar cells with 15 wt% p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂ achieved a higher average PCE of 10.5% due to the substantial improvement FF and a slight J_{SC} increase. The FF (75.27%) of the optimized ternary solar cells is much higher than that of the PTB7-Th:PC71BM-based (65.26%) and p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂:PC₇₁BM-based (59.74%) binary solar cells, which have a close connection with crystallinity and molecular orientation of the active layer. The molecular stacking and orientation of the active layer was probed and studied by the GIWAXS. As described in Fig. 37, the PTB7-Th molecules have a preferential face-on orientation and the p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂ molecules show a edge-on orientation with respect to the substrate. The face-on orientation is beneficial to reduce the recombination of charge carrier during the transport and collection process. Compared with the PTB7-Th:PC₇₁BM blend film, the face-on π - π stacking peak of the ternary blend film with low p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂ content (≤ 15 wt%) becomes more remarkable in the 2D images and sharper in out-of-plane direction, thus indicating an increased ratio of face-on oriented molecules. Furthermore, there is no aggregation of small molecules either on top surface or the bottom of the ternary blend films when p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂ weight ratios less than 15%. This result indicates that the small amount of p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂ can be well mixed in PTB7-Th rich phase and has almost no influence on the bicontinuous phase separation in ternary solar cells. As shown in Fig. 37(i), the correlation length of the π - π stacking is increased from ~ 8 Å to ~ 23 Å along with the increase of p-DTS-(FBTTH2)2 from 0 wt% to 15 wt%, which helps to enhance charge carrier mobility and charge collection efficiency. The presence of p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂ further is beneficial to purify PTB7-Th domains, which facilitates the charge transport and reduces charge carrier recombination in the ternary solar cells. Thus, the high FF of the ternary solar cells mainly results from the high degree of ordering, face-on π - π stacking, short π - π stacking distance, and relatively pure domains.

Fig. 37 Two-dimensional GIWAXS patterns of PTB7-Th:p-DTS(FBTTH2)2:PC₇₁BM active layer films. The weight ratio of p-DTS(FBTTH₂)₂ in donors is (a) 0, (b) 5%, (c) 10%, (d) 15%, (e) 100%, and (f) 15% (without PC₇₁BM). The out-of-plane (g) and in-plane (h) cuts of the corresponding 2D GIWAXS patterns. (010) diffraction peak is enlarged in the inset profile. (i) Peak full-width half-maximum (FWHM) of the (010) peak (black symbols) and the correlation length of the π - π stacking (red symbols). Reprinted with permission from Ref. 85. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.

Yan et al. investigated the effect of high-mobility conjugate polymers as third component on the performance of the ternary solar cells.⁸⁴ The ternary solar cells with PTB7:PC71BM as dominating system and high-mobility polymer alkyldiketopyrrolopyrrole and dithienylthieno[3,2-b]thiophene (DPP-DTT) as additive achieve a average PCE of 8.33%, which is much higher than the PTB7:PC71BM-based (7.58%) and DPP-DTT:PC71BM-based (2.3%) solar cells. The improvement of the performance is mainly attributed to the increased hole mobility. As shown in Fig. 38(a), the hole mobility is gradually increased along with the increase of DPP-DTT ratio, which is reasonable due to the high hole mobility of DPP-DTT. However, the electron mobility decreases with the increase of the DPP-DTT percentage and shows a close value of hole mobility when the DPP-DTT percentage is around 1wt% (Fig. 38(c)). It is well know that more balance of carrier mobility between electrons and holes is favourable for charge carrier transport and collection of OSCs, which well accords with the highest PCE obtained at 1 wt% DPP-DTT. Furthermore, choosing a suitable high-mobility polymer with a similar HOMO levels to the dominating donor as complementary materials is a key issue for improving the performance of ternary solar cells. The PCE of ternary solar cells is promoted to 10.08% by employing PBDTTT-EFT:PC71BM as dominating system and high mobility polymer poly[2,5bis(alkyl)pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1,4(2H,5H)-dione-alt-5,50-di(thioph ene-2-yl)-2,20-(E)-2-(2-(thiophen-2-yl)vinyl)thiophene] (PDVT-10) as the third component.

Fig. 38 (a) Square root of hole current densities versus bias voltage of the ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PTB7:DPP-DTT:PC₇₁BM/Au devices with various DPP-DTT percentage. (b) Square root of electron current densities versus bias voltage of the ITO/ZnO/PTB7:DPP-DTT:PC₇₁BM/AI devices with various DPP-DTT percentage. (c) Electron and hole mobilities calculated from the SCLCs. Reprinted

with permission from Ref. 84. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.

The nonvolatile, crystalline and conducting P3HT, as an effective morphology control agent, was incorporated in to PCPDTBT:PCBM matrix by Chang and co-workers.¹⁸⁹ As shown in Fig. 39, the rootmean-square (RMS) roughness of surface is about 4.6 nm for PCPDTBT:PCBM thin films, increased to 5.4 nm for ternary blend films with 1 wt% P3HT, and then further increased to 26.7 nm by adding 3 vol% DIO into the ternary blend films. This result indicates that P3HT truly acts as a morphology modulator to control the morphology of the active layers. The ternary blend films with 1 wt% P3HT shows larger and longer domain size of the separated phase than that of PCPDTBT:PCBM-based films, as can be seen from the TEM images. The size and length of the separated domains of the ternary blend films is further increased by adding 3 vol% DIO, which is beneficial to the charge transport in the active layers. The ternary blend films with 1 wt% P3HT also shows increased scattering intensity than the binary films, which indicates an improved phase separation for the better exciton dissociation and charge transport (Fig. 39(b)). The PCE of PCPDTBT:PCBM-based solar cells can be improved 20% by adding 1 wt% P3HT into the blend films. Moreover, the17% improvement of PCE can be further obtained by incorporation of 3 vol% DIO into the ternary blend films. The increased performance mainly attributes to the favourable bi-continuous phase separation and appropriate domain size of each phase in the ternary active layers.

Fig. 39 AFM images of (a) PCPDTBT/PCBM and (b) PCPDTBT/PCBM/P3HT (1 wt%), (c) PCPDTBT/PCBM with 3 vol% DIO and (d) PCPDTBT/PCBM/P3HT (1 wt%) with 3 vol% DIO films. (e) The corresponding out-of-plane GIXRD profiles of the four thin films. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 189. Copyright 2013 Royal Society of Chemistry.

In addition to increase the phase separation, ternary strategy also can prevent the clustering of crystalline molecules. It is well known that the highly symmetric molecule ICBA generally results in serious horizontal phase separation of the active layer, which reduces the V_{OC} and J_{SC} of solar cells. Lin et al. also employed a conjugate polymer PVK with high carrier mobility as an additive to disperse the ICBA clustering in P3HT:ICBA matrix.¹⁹⁰ As shown in Fig. 40, PVK can be effective to avoid the ICBA aggregation into the island and shunt path, which was also demonstrated by surface morphology according to the AFM images. The active layer film shows uniform morphology by adding 13 wt% PVK in P3HT:ICBA, resulting in simultaneously optimized J_{SC} and V_{OC} of ternary solar cells. The PCE of ternary solar cells is further improved to 4.5% by selecting CuO_x as hole transport layer. Zhang et al. incorporated a low bandgap polymer PBDTTT-C into P3HT:ICBA matrix to increase the photon harvesting of the active layer and tailor the miscibility between P3HT and ICBA.¹⁰⁰ The ternary solar cell with 1 minute 150 \square annealing treatment shows a PCE of 4.38%, which is much higher than that of P3HT:ICBA-based solar cell (3.32%).

Energy & Environmental Science

Fig. 40 Schematic structure of molecular chain distribution in the active layer is blended with the following (a) 0%; and (b) 13% PVK, which dispersed ICBA aggregation cluster by the PVK molecular chain. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 190.Copyright 2013 Elsevier.

In order to study the effect of the third component on the microstructure of the ternary active layers, Sellinger et al. utilized GIWAXS technology to clarify the role of a tert-butyl-functionalized silicon 2,3-naphthalocyanine bis(trihexylsilyloxide) (t-butyl SiNc) in enabling higher the dye doping ratios in P3HT:PCBM to harvest more photon in the longer wavelength range.¹⁹¹ Fig. 41 shows X-ray scattering images for P3HT:PCBM films, both as-cast and annealed

(Fig. 41(a) and 41(b)), along with images for ternary blends of P3HT:PCBM with 20 wt % t-butyl SiNc (unannealed in Fig. 41(c) and annealed in Fig. 41(d)) and 20 wt % unfunctionalized SiNc (unannealed in Fig. 41(e) and annealed in Fig. 41(f)). The GIWAXS images of ternary films containing the t-butyl SiNc closely resemble the binary P3HT:PCBM blend films, where the typical (100) and (010) peaks of the P3HT and characteristic ring of the PC60BM are clearly visible along with a few rings of moderate intensity that are likely due to scattering from the t-butyl SiNc molecules. This would be a scattering pattern that is commensurate with either a lightly disordered t-butyl SiNc phase or mixing of t-butyl SiNc molecules within a disordered P3HT, disordered PCBM, or mixed P3HT:PCBM amorphous phase. In contrast, the ternary blends containing the unfunctionalized SiNc show significant scattering intensity from peaks not associated with either the P3HT or PCBM, indicating a highly ordered and textured SiNc phase within the ternary blend film. This pattern would be commensurate with a film morphology that has relatively large volumes of ordered SiNc phase and low fractions of SiNc molecules contained within an amorphous mixed phase between the P3HT and PCBM. These data, taken with the efficiency and EQE data, suggest that the morphological differences are the key reasons behind the capability to incorporate higher volumes of t-butyl SiNc into the P3HT:PCBM blend film without disruption of the optimal BHJ microstructure and resulting in the decrease of PCE of ternary solar cells.

Fig. 41 Two-dimensional X-ray scattering patterns for P3HT:PCBM films with the following dye additions and thermal treatments: (a) no dye, unannealed; (b) no dye, annealed; (c) 20 wt % t-butyl SiNc, unannealed; (d) 20 wt % t-butyl SiNc, annealed; (e) 20 wt % unfunctionalized SiNc, unannealed; (f) 20 wt % unfunctionalized SiNc, annealed. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 191. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

Brabec et al. also reported that the effect of low bandgap polymer analogues of C-and Si-bridged PCPDTBT as near IR sensitizers on the morphology of ternary active layers based on the main systems of P3HT:PCBM or P3HT:ICBA.^{192, 193} The binary blend system contains crystalline P3HT and PCBM parts embedded in an amorphous matrix of both components.¹⁹⁴ Addition of 20 wt% C-PCPDTBT decreases the crystallinity of PCBM as observed from GIWAXS patterns. Since the impact on P3HT crystallinity is relatively small, it is concluded that C-PCPDTBT is dominantly embedded in the amorphous part of P3HT. With the higher amounts of C-PCPDTBT, the crystallinity of PCBM is strongly damaged and hardly recognizable with GiWAXS measurements. Furthermore, the P3HT crystallinity is diminished as compared to that of the binary blend. At this high C-PCPDTBT concentration, the amorphous part from all three materials becomes the main phase with small inclusions of P3HT and PCBM crystals. Adding high content of C-PCPDTBT mainly influences the semi-crystallinity (aggregation) of the PCBM and reduces the electron mobility, but Si-PCPDTBT impacts mainly the P3HT ordering and, in turn, deteriorates the hole mobility. These findings show that the disruption of the fullerene semi-crystalline domains is more detrimental to the device performance than the disruption of the polymer domains. The GIWAXS experimental results provide further investigation on the device performance dependence on the morphology or microstructure of the ternary active layers.

The ternary strategy has been proven a viable strategy for not only accommodating the phase separation of the active layer, but also optimizing photoconductivity in the OSCs by choosing appropriate third component. According to the conducting properties, semiconductors can be classified as P-type or N-type materials exhibiting different charge carrier transport ability. The balance of charge carrier transport in the active layers is one of the key issues for obtaining high performance solar cells. P-type or N-type materials have been selected as the third component to balance the charge carrier transport and simultaneously increase the photon harvesting. Nguyen et al. reported a high performance ternary solar cells with a widely-used fluorinated molecular p-type dopant, 2,3,5,6-tetrafl uoro-7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (F4-TCNQ) as the third component and PCDTBT:PC71BM as the dominating system.¹⁹⁵ By controlling the doping concentration of F4-TCNQ/PCDTBT at 1/250, the ternary solar cells achieve a PCE of 7.94%, which is much higher than that of the PCDTBT:PC71BMbased solar cells (6.41%). The performance improvement mainly attribute to the increase of charge carriers due to background carriers induced by F4-TCNQ and the enhancement of tail absorption by the active layer. The transient photoconductivity measurements were performed to study how the molecular doping influenced the charge transport kinetics. As presented in Fig. 42(a), the ternary solar cells exhibit a greater number of initial mobile carriers than the binary solar cell, which is beneficial to the efficient charge carrier collection. Moreover, the normalized curves of the ternary solar cells are identical to each other, suggesting that the different concentration of F4-TCNO in the ternary solar cells have the predominant effect of altering the absorption spectrum, and not the rates of charge carrier sweep-out/recombination in the ternary active layers. This result is evident that the increase in dark carrier density does not significantly increase charge carrier recombination. Fig. 42(b) shows a 20-25% increase of the total extracted charge carrier, which is consistent with the performance improvement of the ternary solar cells.

Fig. 42 (a) Decays of the photocurrent density J_L (upper panel) and normalized J_L (lower panel) of PCDBT:PC₇₁BM solar cells without and with F4-TCNQ when measured at zero bias under 590 nm excitation. (b) Total swept-out charge carrier density (ρ_L) as a function of decay time for PCDTBT:PC₇₁BM solar cells. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 195. Copyright 2013 WILEY-VCH.

It has been demonstrated that the electrical properties of the semiconducting polymers can be modified via molecular doping with charge transfer between the host material and dopant molecules.¹⁹⁶ However, the choices of the dopant molecules used in polymeric materials are limited, as it requires good compatibility in solubility and efficient charge transfer. The tetrafluorotetracyanoquinodimethane (F4-TCNQ) has been confirmed as an effective p-type molecular dopant contributing to an increased photoconductivity and a resultant improved PCE.^{197, 198} Recently, a new dopant bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)amide (TFSA) has also been reported as a p-type dopant for carbonaceous materials (carbon nanotube, graphene) due to its strong electron affinity. A graphenebased Schottky junction solar cells doped with TFSA exhibited a PCE of 8.6 %.¹⁹⁹ Xu et al. reported that hole mobility was increased with the reduced series resistance in ternary solar cells based on PCDTBT:PCBM matrices doping appropriate TFSA.²⁰⁰ The pdoping effect of TFSA was confirmed by photoemission spectroscopy that the Fermi level of doped PCDTBT shifts downward to the HOMO level and it results in a larger internal electrical field at the donor/acceptor interface for more efficient charge transfer. By controlling the doping concentration (0.2~0.8 wt%, TFSA to PCDTBT), the V_{OC} was increased from 0.85 V to 0.91 V, FF was increased from 60.7% to 67.3% and an enhanced PCE from 5.39% to 6.46% was further achieved by doping 0.4 wt% TFSA. Molecular doping with extra carriers would lead to lower resistance in PV devices. Fig. 43(a) shows the Nyquist curves of the impedance spectra for undoped and doped PCDTBT:PC71BM cells measured at the open circuit voltage condition. An apparent change is observed in the doped devices. The real impedance is decreased from 1011 Ω to 918 Ω , 352 Ω and 701 Ω at doping concentrations are increased from 0.2 wt% to 0.6 wt%, respectively. This can be attributed to the contribution of both carrier concentration and carrier

mobility. To explore the doping effect of TFSA on PCDTBT, the UPS measurements were carried out at various concentrations and the results are shown in Fig. 43(b). The cut-off energy level of TFSA doped PCDTBT shifts from 15.90 eV to lower binding energy level of 15.85 eV at 0.2 % and saturates at 15.73 eV for the rest of the doping concentrations (0.4 % to 0.8 %), suggesting an increase of work function from 5.32 to 5.37 eV and 5.49 eV, respectively. Because the HOMO level of PCDTBT is around 5.5 eV, it clearly shows that after doping with TFSA, the Fermi level of PCDTBT shifts downward effectively and leads to a larger effective bandgap at the donor/acceptor interface, and the enlarged effective bandgap should be one of the reasons for the increased open circuit voltage.

Fig. 43 (a) Nyquist plots of impedance spectra for PCDTBT:PC₇₁BM solar cells with various TFSA concentrations and measured at the V_{oc} condition under dark. Inset shows the equivalent circuits of the devices, where R_{bulk} is the bulk resistance and C_{μ} the chemical capacitance. (b) UPS spectra of pristine and TFSA-doped PCDTBT film as concentrations vary from 0.2% to 0.8%. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 200. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.

N-doped multiwall carbon nanotubes (N-MCNTs) as complementary materials were incorporated into PTB7:PC₇₁BM matrix by Yu and co-workers, achieving an improved performance of 8.6%.²⁰¹ The incorporation of N-MCNTs leads to not only increased nanocrystallite sizes but also smaller phase-separated domain sizes of both PTB7 and PC₇₁BM, which can be demonstrated by the X-ray scattering techniques. As shown in 2D GIWAXS patterns, an in-plane arc-like scattering is observed at q = 0.3-0.4Å⁻¹ which arises from the Bragg diffraction of periodic PTB7 lamellae, suggesting that PTB7 molecules mainly remain in the faceon orientation with respect to substrate. The molecular stacking of PTB7 molecules are enhanced after incorporating N-MCNTs into

Page 34 of 45

PTB7:PC₇₁BM matrix. In addition, compared with the binary blend film, the ternary blend film shows stronger scattering intensities of both PTB7 and PC71BM. According to the Scherrer equation, the narrower FWHMs of both the scattering peaks for PTB7 and PC₇₁BM in ternary blend film than that in binary film indicates the increase in nanocrystallite sizes of both PTB7 and PC71BM in the ternary film, implying the enhancement of local ordering and thus the increase in crystallinity. The RSoXS profiles of the binary and ternary blend films are shown in Fig. 44(e). The profile of binary blend film exhibits a diffuse scattering at $q \sim 0.003-0.02$ Å⁻¹, while that of the ternary blend film shows a well-defined peak within the same q range but centered a larger q value of ~ 0.006 Å⁻¹. This illustrates that the ternary blend film has smaller domain sizes than these of binary blend film. These smaller domains would increase the area of interfaces between PTB7 and PC71BM, thereby facilitating exciton dissociation. The effects of NMCNTs in the ternary solar cells can be summarized as: i) enhance light absorption of the active layer; (ii) facilitate exciton dissociation in the active layer; and (iii) improve charge collection efficiency of ternary solar cells.

Fig. 44 (a-d) 2D GIWAXS patterns of PTB7:PC₇₁BM blend films without (a) and with (b) N-MCNTs on PEDOT:PSS-modified Si substrates and their in-plane (qr) (c) and out-of-plane (qz) (d) line cuts. (e) RSoXS profiles of the PTB7:PC₇₁BM blend films without (black) and with (red) N-MCNTs. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 201. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

As the above mentioned, the LSPR of noble metal NPs, such as Au and Ag NPs, is an effective route to improve the performance of OSCs. Kim et al. merged the advantages of metal NPs and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) in ternary solar cells, achieving synergistic enhancements of charge generation, dissociation, and transport.¹⁴⁷ As described in Fig. 45(a), these functional groups mediate strong electrostatic forces or coordinate interaction with N- or B-doped sites at CNTs. The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy

(HR-TEM) image (Fig. 45(b)) of Au:BCNTs film presents the lattice fringes for the (111) planes of Au NP (0.23 nm) and graphite stacking of BCNT (0.34 nm). The SEM image of Au:BCNTs film in Fig. 45(c) demonstrates that Au NPs are substantially bound along BCNT strands. The incorporation of CNTs with Au NPs does not influence the LSPR behavior of NPs since the ternary films with Au NPs show stronger absorption in the range from 400 to 600 nm than other films, as can be seen in Fig. 45(d). The multiple effect of Au:CNTs for the performance enhancement of solar cells can be summarized in Fig. 45(e): i) LSPR effect enhance additional exciton generation; ii) NP-exciton coupling promote exciton dissociation; iii) heteroatom-doped CNTs encourage charge selective transport and avoid charge recombination; iv) crystallinity enhancement of ternary films for improved exciton dissociation and charge transport. By incorporating Au NP-decorated nitrogen (N)-doped CNTs (Au:NCNTs) into PTB7:PC71BM matrix, the ternary solar cells achieved a higher PCE (9.45%) than that of PTB7:PC71BM-based solar cells (8.31%). The ternary solar cells with Au NP-decorated boron (B)-doped CNTs (Au:BCNTs) also show an increased PCE of 9.81%. Introducing Au NPs into the hole transport layer, the performance of the ternary solar cells with Au:BCNTs was further improved to 9.98%.

Fig. 45 (a) Schematic chemical interactions for Au:NCNT (left) and Au:BCNT (right) hybrids. (b) HR-TEM image of a Au:BCNT with interwall distance of the BCNT (0.34 nm) and (111) lattice spacing of Au NPs (0.23 nm). (c) Nanometer-scale morphology of Au:BCNTs by SEM. (b) UV-vis absorption spectra of PTB7:PC₇₁BM films with NCNT, BCNT, Au:NCNT and Au:BCNT. (e) Schematic summary of synergistic effects of Au:CNTs: i) additional exciton generation by LSPR; ii) promoted exciton dissociation by NP-exciton coupling; iii) charge-selective fast charge transport along CNT. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 147. Copyright 2015 WILEY-VCH.

In addition to the efficiency improvement of OSCs, the device stability is also a key evaluation criterion for its commercialization, such as the 10-10 targets (10% PCE and 10 years of stability) proposed by Krebs et al.²⁰²⁻²⁰⁴ Crosslinking is a promising approach

ARTICLE

to maintain long-term thermal stability for the OSCs by "locking" the as-cast morphology. Furthermore, parts of crosslinkers also show positive additive effect to boost the efficiency of solar cells by optimizing the microstructure of the active layer film. Rumer et al. exploited the advantages of both the small molecule crosslinking and additive concepts to simultaneously enhancement of PCE and stability of OSCs by incorporating small molecule cross linker bisazide 1,6-diazidohexane (DAZH) into Polysilaindacenodithiophenebenzothiadiazole (with N-octyl side-chains) (SiIDT-BT) and PC71BM matrix.205 The ternary solar cells exhibited PCE of 6.8% and 7.0%, for 5 wt% and 10 wt% DAZH, respectively, both which are higher than the efficient of SiIDT-BT:PC71BM-based solar cells. The efficient improvement with DAZH as the third component is attributed to the improved FF, which may result from the optimized morphology yielding increased exciton dissociation and improved charge carrier transport. Under 85 °C inert atmosphere condition (industry standard for realistic solar cell testing), the ternary solar cells show better stability, achieving up to > 80% retention of PCE after ageing for 130 h (compared to < 60% for the binary solar cells). The effects of crosslinking and additive can be proved through Fig. 46. It is obvious that the fullerene crystallization is greatly suppressed by adding bis-azide DAZH, even with omission of the UV curing step, due to thermal activation of the crosslinker. In addition, the ternary film exhibits more of a fibrillar character as observed by AFM, indicating improved ordering and packing of the polymer backbones. It is concluded that the DAZH acts not only as a stabilizing cross-linker but also as an additive in the ternary solar cells, resulting in the improved device performance and stability.

Fig. 46 Crosslinking and thermal ageing morphology: small molecule additives confer macrostructure thermal stability, through suppressed fullerene crystallization (central optical microscopy images), with the bis-azide DAZH additionally enhancing the microstructure through fibril formation (flanking AFM images). Such a "double" picture of morphology highlights the various donor-acceptor phases in BHJ and the microstructure basis of stability. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 205. Copyright 2014 WILEY-VCH.

The cascade energy level alignment has shown unique effects for achieving high performance ternary solar cells, which has been demonstrated by many groups based on various systems. Groves et al. employed kinetic Monte Carlo model to examine the effect of cascade energy level alignment on geminate charge separation in

Energy & Environmental Science

OSCs.²⁰⁶ It has been demonstrated that the energy cascades can lead to substantial improvements of exciton dissociation efficiency and reductions in charge recombination, resulting in the performance improvement of the solar cells. Zhan et al. utilized the advantages of cascade energy level alignment to achieve a high efficient ternary solar cell with PTB7:ICBA:PC71BM matrix.184 Compared to the charge transfer (one route) in binary PTB7:PC71BM solar cells, there are three routes for charge transfer in ternary solar cells. As presented in Fig 2b, the excitons generated in PTB7 can be dissociated at both the PTB7/PC71BM and PTB7/ICBA interfaces, and then electrons transport to the cathode through the channels of PC71BM or ICBA, respectively. Meanwhile, the electrons on the LUMO of ICBA can be transferred to the LUMO of PC71BM due to lower energy orbital of PC71BM, which can facilitate charge transfer from PTB7 to ICBA. The PCE of ternary solar cells with 15 wt% ICBA in acceptors reaches 8.24%, which is the highest reported value for ICBA-based ternary solar cells. Recently, the same group improved the PCE to 8.88% by employing the method of diluting concentrated solution.¹⁴¹ Huang et al. also reported a ternary solar cell with ICBA and $PC_{71}BM$ as acceptors and small molecule (2Z,2'E)-dioctyl3,3'-(5",5""'-(4,8-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-

yl)benzo[1,2-b:5,4-b']dithiophen e-2,6-diyl)bis(3,4',4"-trioctyl-[2,2':5',2"-terthiophene]-5",5-diyl))bis (2-cyanoacrylate) (BDT6T) as donor.¹⁰⁴ Similarly, the ternary solar cell reached a PCE of 6.36% by incorporating a 15 wt% ICBA relative to $PC_{71}BM$, which is much higher than both that of BDT6T:PC₇₁BM-based (5.74%) and BDT6T:ICBA-based (2.97%) solar cells.

4 Challenges and outlooks for ternary solar cells

According to the above discussion, ternary strategy should be a simple, effective and promising way to further improve the performance of OSCs. However, there are still some challenges and limitations for the development of ternary solar cell. The fundamental working mechanisms of ternary solar cells are much more complicated due to the incorporation of the third component than the traditional binary device, which are need further investigation for better selection of the active layer materials. The arguments about the tunable V_{OC} in the ternary solar cells are required to further verification for better utilization of ternary strategy, such as alloy CT-state model and the two CT-state model. The thickness of active layer is still a problem for the ternary solar cells, which are commonly limited to the same thickness as the corresponding binary devices. The organic photovoltaic materials with long exciton diffusion length and high charge carrier mobility need further research and development. The understanding and controlling the morphology and crystallinity of the ternary film are also big challenges for ternary solar cells, which are closely related to the compatibility and miscibility. It should be noted that the physical compatibility of the used materials is not the sole decisive factor for well morphology of ternary blend film. For example, the PTB7:PID2:PC71BM-based solar cells reported by Yu and coworkers, although preferential orientations of PTB7 and PID2 are different (edge-on for PID2 and face-on for PTB7), the morphology of the ternary blend film was actually improved.¹¹⁷ The photophysical interactions between two donors or acceptors play important roles in determining the performance of the ternary solar

cells, which is required to better understand and utilize. Moreover, the competition of the overlap absorption for the photons in the active layer is also a meaningful subject need to be addressed.

According to the fundamental mechanisms, advantages and complementary absorption challenges, the spectra, well compatibility and miscibility, high absorption coefficient, high charge carrier mobility and cascade energy level alignment of active materials are needed to fabricate high efficient ternary solar cells. Furthermore, the V_{OC} values of these ternary solar cells are essentially located at between the V_{OC} of their corresponding binary cells. To get a high V_{OC} in ternary solar cells, the two donors in D₁/D₂/A system should have similar HOMO energy levels or similar LUMO energy levels in D/A₂/A₁ system. It should be highlighted that enhancing photon harvesting not only need to improve the light absorption in the NIR, the photon energy in the short wavelength also should be fully utilized. It is encouraging that a series of excellent high bandgap materials have been reported in very recently. For instance, poly{dithieno[2,3-d:2',3'-d']benzo[1,2-b:4,5b']dithiophene-co-1,3-bis(thiophen-2-yl)-benzo-[1,2-c:4,5-

c']dithiophene-4,8-dione} (PDBT-T1), PPDT2FBT, PM6 and so on, which show high performance (PCE > 9%) with narrow absorption range (300-700 nm).²⁰⁷⁻²¹⁰ It is reasonable to believe that the

realization of a PCE beyond 12% or even 15% might be possible by employing suitable materials in ternary solar cells, as well as in tandem solar cells. Savoie et al. made a significative prediction about the efficiency for the different types of ternary solar cells.¹⁸¹ As described in Fig. 47, the predicted efficiencies of parallel-linkage or alloy ternary solar cells are several percentage points above those of other types of devices due to the unique capability of simultaneously collecting carriers from each individual "sub-cells", resulting in reduced thermalization losses and broad spectral absorption. However in practice, the thickness (~100 nm) of active layers has restricted in the potential of parallel-linkage or alloy ternary solar cells for improving the performance. It is predicted that sensitized and parallel-linkage architectures are capable of efficiency increases of $\approx 40\%$ and $\approx 20\%$, respectively, over equal thickness solar cells. If parallel-linkage ternary solar cells are to significantly exceed the performance of their binary sub-cells, means of fabricating thicker devices with well charge carrier transport and collection must be developed, like poly[(5,6-difluoro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazol-4,7-diyl)alt-(3,3"'-di(2-octyldodecyl)-2,2';5', 2";5",2"'-quaterthiophen-5,5"'diyl)] (PffBT4T-2OD), poly[(2,5-bis (2-hexyldecyloxy)phenylene)alt-(5,6-difluoro-4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl) benzo[c]-[1,2,5]thiadiazole)] (PPDT2FBT), DT-PDPP2T-TT and so on.^{14, 211, 212}

Fig. 47 Predicted PCE in organic photovoltaic D:A blends as a function of the donor bandgap and (a) the donor sensitizer bandgap, (b) acceptor sensitizer, (c) interfacial sensitizer, (d) second donor in the parallel-linkage architecture. The parallel-linkage architecture possesses the highest potential efficiency and also the sharpest efficiency maximum. This behavior arises in part from the voltage gains returned by the third percolating component. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 181. Copyright 2014 WILEY-VCH.

In addition to the optimal complementary materials for ternary solar cells, the performance improvement of ternary solar cells strongly depends on the further understand of the working mechanism, especially for the morphology of ternary active layers. Now, the commonly used characterizations on surface or bulk morphology of active layers include AFM, SEM, TEM and Water contact angle technologies. Very recently, a combination of synchrotron techniques are employed including surface-sensitive near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectroscopy, bulk-sensitive GIWAXS and RSoXS to investigate the phase separation and domain size of active layers in OSCs.²¹³⁻²¹⁶ NEXAFS spectroscopy was employed to study the molecular orientation and chemical composition of the blend interfaces which measures X-ray absorption due to the resonant transitions from core states to antibonding states. The intensity of the resonant transition is strongly dependent on the orientation of the transition dipole moment (TDM) relative to the polarization of the incident X-ray beam.^{217, 218} By acquiring NEXAFS spectra as a function of X-ray angle of incidence the orientation of π bonds (and hence orientation of the conjugated backbone) can be determined. Three different signals can be recorded during each NEXAFS scan. Partial electron yield (PEY) data (recorded using a Channeltron) has a surface sensitivity of ≈ 1

ARTICLE

nm while total electron yield (TEY) data (measured by recording the drain current to the sample) has a surface sensitivity of \approx 3 nm.²¹⁹ Fluorescence yield (FY) data was also recorded by measuring the X-5 Conclusions ray fluorescence from the sample and is bulk sensitive. GIWAXS

pattern provides complementary information regarding crystalline portions of the film. X-rays at an incident angle very slightly above the critical angle of the polymer film but below the critical angle of the silicon substrate optimize the scattering signal from the thin film. The scattered X-rays are detected by critical angle of the silicon substrate optimize the scattering signal from the thin film. The scattered X-rays are detected by relative crystallinity, polymer orientation and coherence length are straightforward to extract from the 2D scattering pattern via the peak area, peak position and FWHM, respectively.²²⁰ The investigations making use of NEXAFS, GISAXS and/or GIWAXS on materials and films used in OSCs research are increasing. According to the experimental results from NEXAFS, GISAXS and GIWAXS, valuable information for a deeper understanding of the complex morphologies and their effects in organic solar cells has already been achieved, although the methods are still developing in the characterization on organic films. It is foreseeable that the use of these new technologies will further increase for further investigation on the effect of phase separation on the performance of OSCs, which is extremely helpful for correlating the structure and function of these devices.¹³⁰ Since the structures are very sensitive to the actual preparation protocol and the used materials in case of conjugated polymer based solar cells, the new technologies will become the essential tools for structure characterization and should be routinely applied for structure determination. With the latest generation of powerful laboratory Xray sources, GISAXS and GIWAXS on OSCs relevant structures have become feasible in laboratory experiments. These new technologies should provide efficient and deep analysis to clarify the working mechanism of ternary solar cells.

Along with the deeper investigation on working mechanism of ternary solar cells, the PCE values of OSCs should be constantly improved due to the unremitting efforts from chemists, physicist and engineers. From practical application in solving the problem of energy and environment, the key parameter, energy payback time, should be further shortened for better satisfying the sustainable development. Krebs et al. has analyzed the short energy payback time due to the material inventory and lower process energy associated with low-temperature roll-to-roll processing and flexible choice in plastic substrates. The large requirements on energy and monetary cost in the ITO sputtering were clearly identified as dominating materials cost for OSCs. It is reasonable believed that the energy payback time can be shortened using ITO free plastic substrates. Darling et al. also reported the long-term sustainability advantages of organics when compared to silicon and other photovoltaic technologies in terms of energy payback time and global warming potential.8 It was preliminarily reported that energy payback times of OSCs is about from 1.35 to 2.02 years corresponding to 3% or 2% PCE, respectively.²¹⁷ The state-of-the-art efficiencies of ternary cells have already been comparable to the best results for either binary or tandem cells. The bright prospects of binary or ternary bulk heterojunction solar cells have appeared in our minds, which inspires the scientists and engineers to make the most

of their creativity from chemistry and device physics to promote the development of organic solar cells, especially for ternary solar cells.

Energy & Environmental Science

Ternary organic solar cells enjoy the advantages of enhanced photon harvesting by incorporating multiple organic materials in tandem solar cells and simplicity of processing conditions that used in single bulk heterojunction solar cells. An appropriate design can offer multiple benefits and synergistic effects, such as optimizing modifying film morphology, crystallinity and improving charge carrier mobility as well as the device stability, to obtain high efficient ternary solar cells. Moreover, all of strategies for optimizing performance of single bulk heterojunction solar cells can also be effectively applied in ternary solar cells. There is no doubt that parallel-linkage or alloy model has tremendous potential to improve the performance of OSCs due to unique capability of simultaneously collecting carriers from each sub-component at different chemical potentials, which can be used to reduce thermalization losses while achieving broad spectral coverage. It should also be highlighted that the advantages of charge transfer and energy transfer mechanisms cannot be ignored, one of the donors as the primary charge (hole) transport channel while both donors serve as light absorbers, which could full utilize the advantages of one donor (high V_{OC} and high charge carrier mobility) and circumvent the disadvantages in another donor. The PCE values of ternary solar cells have been improved to over 10%, showing great potential for obtaining high performance and realizing industrialization production. However, there are still some fundamental physical issues and arguments need to be further clarified for selecting the third component. Based on the research and analysis of the previous reports in the literature, some fundamental issues and development direction probably deserve further investigation: i) synthesizing novel materials with high absorption coefficient and charge carrier mobility to fully utilize the solar light, such as PDBT-T1, PPDT2FBT, PffBT4T-2OD, DT-PDPP2T-TT; ii) investigating molecular interactions and photovoltaic process in ternary solar cells to further understand and utilize the third component functions; iii) employing the optimization techniques in the ternary solar cells to further improve the performance; iv) improving the stability of ternary solar cells for its potential application. Though the detailed specific working mechanism and process remain to be further investigated, ternary solar cells provide a new avenue to develop high-performance OSCs with bright application prospect.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2015YJS178); National Natural Science Foundation of China (61377029); Beijing Natural Science Foundation (2122050).

Notes and references

1. J. S. Wu, S. W. Cheng, Y. J. Cheng and C. S. Hsu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 1113-1154.

2. A. J. Heeger, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 10-27.

3. W. R. Cao and J. G. Xue, Energy Environ. Sci., 2014, 7, 2123-2144.

Page 38 of 45

ergy & Environmental Science Accepted Manuscrip

Energy & Environmental Science

4. L. Lu, T. Zheng, Q. Wu, A. M. Schneider, D. Zhao and L. Yu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00098.

5. Y. Lin, Y. Li and X. Zhan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 4245-4272.

6. N. Espinosa, M. Hosel, D. Angmo and F. C. Krebs, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 5117-5132.

7. N. Espinosa, M. Hosel, M. Jorgensen and F. C. Krebs, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 855-866.

8. S. B. Darling and F. Q. You, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 17633-17648.

9. B. Azzopardi, C. J. M. Emmott, A. Urbina, F. C. Krebs, J. Mutale and J. Nelson, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 3741-3753.

10. Y. Liu, C. C. Chen, Z. Hong, J. Gao, Y. M. Yang, H. Zhou, L. Dou, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 3356-3363.

11. J. You, L. Dou, K. Yoshimura, T. Kato, K. Ohya, T. Moriarty, K. Emery, C. C. Chen, J. Gao, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1446-1455.

12. J. You, C. C. Chen, Z. Hong, K. Yoshimura, K. Ohya, R. Xu, S. Ye, J. Gao, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 3973-3978.

13. Z. C. He, B. Xiao, F. Liu, H. B. Wu, Y. L. Yang, S. Xiao, C. Wang, T. P. Russell and Y. Cao, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, **9**, 174-179.

14. Y. Liu, J. Zhao, Z. Li, C. Mu, W. Ma, H. Hu, K. Jiang, H. Lin, H. Ade and H. Yan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 5293.

15. Z. He, C. Zhong, X. Huang, W. Y. Wong, H. Wu, L. Chen, S. Su and Y. Cao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 4636-4643.

16. L. Bian, E. Zhu, J. Tang, W. Tang and F. Zhang, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2012, **37**, 1292-1331.

17. F. Zhang, X. Xu, W. Tang, J. Zhang, Z. Zhuo, J. Wang, J. Wang, Z. Xu and Y. Wang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2011, **95**, 1785-1799.

18. X. Guo, C. Cui, M. Zhang, L. Huo, Y. Huang, J. Hou and Y. Li, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 7943-7949.

19. G. Li, R. Zhu and Y. Yang, Nat. Photonics, 2012, 6, 153-161.

20. G. Yu, J. Gao, J. Hummelen, F. Wudl and A. Heeger, *Science*, 1995, **270**, 1789-1790.

21. Y. Liu, F. Zhang, H. Dai, W. Tang, Z. Wang, J. Wang, A. Tang, H. Peng, Z. Xu and Y. Wang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2013, **118**, 135-140.

22. X. Guo, N. Zhou, S. J. Lou, J. Smith, D. B. Tice, J. W. Hennek, R. P. Ortiz, J. T. L. Navarrete, S. Li and J. Strzalka, *Nat. Photonics*, 2013, **7**, 825-833.

23. J. B. You, L. T. Dou, Z. R. Hong, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **38**, 1909-1928.

24. J. B. You, C. C. Chen, Z. R. Hong, K. Yoshimura, K. Ohya, R. Xu, S. L. Ye, J. Gao, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 3973-3978.

25. X. Fan, S. S. Guo, G. J. Fang, C. M. Zhan, H. Wang, Z. G. Zhang and Y. F. Li, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2013, **113**, 135-139.

26. Z. Guo, D. Lee, R. D. Schaller, X. B. Zuo, B. Lee, T. F. Luo, H. F. Gao and L. B. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10024-10032.

27. J. Kim, M. H. Yun, G. H. Kim, J. Lee, S. M. Lee, S. J. Ko, Y. Kim, G. K. Dutta, M. Moon, S. Y. Park, D. S. Kim, J. Y. Kim and C. Yang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 7523-7534.

28. T. K. An, I. Kang, H. J. Yun, H. Cha, J. Hwang, S. Park, J. Kim, Y. J. Kim, D. S. Chung, S. K. Kwon, Y. H. Kim and C. E. Park, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 7003-7009.

29. Z. Q. Guan, J. S. Yu, J. Huang and L. Zhang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2013, **109**, 262-269.

30. H. Y. Chen, S. H. Lin, J. Y. Sun, C. H. Hsu, S. Lan and C. F. Lin, *Nanotechnology*, 2013, **24**, 484009.

31. L. Y. Lu and L. P. Yu, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4413-4430.

32. M. J. Tan, S. Zhong, J. Li, Z. K. Chen and W. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, **5**, 4696-4701.

33. N. K. Elumalai, C. Vijila, R. Jose, K. Z. Ming, A. Saha and S. Ramakrishna, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 19057-19064.

34. Z. A. Tan, S. S. Li, F. Z. Wang, D. P. Qian, J. Lin, J. H. Hou and Y. F. Li, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 4691.

35. B. Y. Qi and J. Z. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 8972-8982.

36. Y. Y. Liang and L. P. Yu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2010, 43, 1227-1236.

37. Y. F. Li, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 723-733.

38. Y. Y. Liang, Y. Wu, D. Q. Feng, S. T. Tsai, H. J. Son, G. Li and L. P. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 56-57.

39. C. Piliego, T. W. Holcombe, J. D. Douglas, C. H. Woo, P. M. Beaujuge and J. M. J. Frechet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*, 2010, **132**, 7595-7596.

40. Y. Y. Liang, D. Q. Feng, Y. Wu, S. T. Tsai, G. Li, C. Ray and L. P. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*, 2009, **131**, 7792-7799.

41. J. H. Hou, H. Y. Chen, S. Q. Zhang, R. I. Chen, Y. Yang, Y. Wu and G. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 15586-15587.

42. S. Alem, J. P. Lu, R. Movileanu, T. Kololuoma, A. Dadvand and Y. Tao, *Org. Electron.*, 2014, **15**, 1035-1042.

43. X. Liu, Q. D. Li, Y. C. Li, X. Gong, S. J. Su and Y. Cao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 4004-4013.

44. N. Shin, L. J. Richter, A. A. Herzing, R. J. Kline and D. M. DeLongchamp, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2013, **3**, 938-948.

45. E. J. Zhou, J. Z. Cong, K. Hashimoto and K. Tajima, *Macromolecules.*, 2013, **46**, 763-768.

46. B. Gholamkhass and P. Servati, *Org. Electron.*, 2013, **14**, 2278-2283.

47. D. J. Kim, B. M. Lee and S. Y. Nam, *Thin Solid Films*, 2013, **546**, 431-435.

48. X. N. Yang, J. Loos, S. C. Veenstra, W. J. H. Verhees, M. M. Wienk, J. M. Kroon, M. A. J. Michels and R. A. J. Janssen, *Nano Lett.*, 2005, **5**, 579-583.

49. G. Li, Y. Yao, H. Yang, V. Shrotriya, G. Yang and Y. Yang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2007, **17**, 1636-1644.

50. F. C. Chen, H. C. Tseng and C. J. Ko, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **92**, 103316.

51. F. C. Chen, C.-J. Ko, J.-L. Wu and W.-C. Chen, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2010, **94**, 2426-2430.

52. Y. F. Wang, X. G. Zhao and X. W. Zhan, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2015, 3, 447-452.

53. W. Ma, C. Yang, X. Gong, K. Lee and A. J. Heeger, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 1617-1622.

54. Y. Zhao, Z. Xie, Y. Qu, Y. Geng and L. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **90**, 043504-043506.

55. L. Ye, S. Zhang, W. Ma, B. Fan, X. Guo, Y. Huang, H. Ade and J. Hou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 6335-6341.

56. Z. Xiao, Y. Yuan, B. Yang, J. VanDerslice, J. Chen, O. Dyck, G. Duscher and J. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 3068-3075.

57. M. De Jong, L. Van Ijzendoorn and M. De Voigt, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2000, **77**, 2255-2257.

58. D. Zhao, S. Tan, L. Ke, P. Liu, A. Kyaw, X. Sun, G. Lo and D. Kwong, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2010, **94**, 985-991.

59. F. Zhang, D. Zhao, Z. Zhuo, H. Wang, Z. Xu and Y. Wang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2010, **94**, 2416-2421.

60. X. Tu, F. Wang, C. Li, Z. a. Tan and Y. Li, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014.

61. M. F. Xu, Y. J. Liao, F. S. Zu, J. Liang, D. X. Yuan, Z. K. Wang and L. S. Liao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 9400-9404.

62. S. S. Li, M. Lei, M. L. Lv, S. E. Watkins, Z. A. Tan, J. Zhu, J. H. Hou, X. W. Chen and Y. F. Li, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2013, **3**, 1569-1574.

63. Q. Xu, F. Z. Wang, Z. A. Tan, L. J. Li, S. S. Li, X. L. Hou, G. Sun, X. H. Tu, J. H. Hou and Y. F. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 10658-10664.

64. Z. A. Tan, L. J. Li, C. H. Cui, Y. Q. Ding, Q. Xu, S. S. Li, D. P. Qian and Y. F. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 18626-18632.

65. Z. A. Tan, W. Q. Zhang, D. P. Qian, C. H. Cui, Q. Xu, L. J. Li, S. S. Li and Y. F. Li, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 14217-14223.

66. K. Zhang, Z. C. Hu, C. H. Duan, L. Ying, F. Huang and Y. Cao, *Nanotechnology*, 2013, **24**, 484003.

67. Y.-M. Chang and C.-Y. Leu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 6446-6451.

68. F. Zhang, M. Ceder and O. Inganäs, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 1835-1838.

69. Q. Q. Sun, F. J. Zhang, J. Wang, Q. Q. An, Z. C., L. L. Li, F. Teng and B. Hu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 18432-18441.

70. K. Yao, Y.-X. Xu, F. Li, X. Wang and L. Zhou, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2015, **3**, 321-327.

71. W. Shockley and H. J. Queisser, J. Appl. Phys., 1961, **32**, 510-519.

72. Z. M. Beiley, M. G. Christoforo, P. Gratia, A. R. Bowring, P. Eberspacher, G. Y. Margulis, C. Cabanetos, P. M. Beaujuge, A. Salleo and M. D. McGehee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 7020-7026.

73. T. Kim, H. Kim, J. Park, H. Kim, Y. Yoon, S. M. Kim, C. Shin, H. Jung, I. Kim, D. S. Jeong, H. Kim, J. Y. Kim, B. Kim, M. J. Ko, H. J. Son, C. Kim, J. Yi, S. Han and D. K. Lee, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 7154.

74. H. P. Lu, A. N. Bartynski, M. J. Greaney, M. E. Thompson and R. L. Brutchey, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 18306-18311.

75. O. Adebanjo, P. P. Maharjan, P. Adhikary, M. T. Wang, S. F. Yang and Q. Q. Qiao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 3150-3170.

76. T. Ameri, G. Dennler, C. Lungenschmied and C. J. Brabec, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2009, **2**, 347-363.

77. D. Zhao, X. Sun, C. Jiang, A. Kyaw, G. Lo and D. Kwong, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **93**, 083305.

78. X. Sun, D. Zhao, L. Ke, A. Kyaw, G. Lo and D. Kwong, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **97**, 053303.

79. J. You, L. Dou, Z. Hong, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **38**, 1909-1928.

80. K. S. Chen, H. L. Yip, J. F. Salinas, Y. X. Xu, C. C. Chueh and A. K. Y. Jen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 3349-3354.

81. G. Dennler, M. C. Scharber, T. Ameri, P. Denk, K. Forberich, C. Waldauf and C. J. Brabec, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 579-583.

82. A. B. Yusoff, D. Kim, H. P. Kim, F. K. Shneider, W. J. da Silva and J. Jang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 303-316.

83. C. C. Chen, W. H. Chang, K. Yoshimura, K. Ohya, J. You, J. Gao, Z. Hong and Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 5670-5677.

84. S. Liu, P. You, J. Li, J. Li, C.-S. Lee, B. S. Ong, C. Surya and F. Yan, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 1463-1470.

85. J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Fang, K. Lu, Z. Wang, W. Ma and Z. Wei, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 8176-8183.

86. T. Ameri, P. Khoram, J. Min and C. J. Brabec, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 4245-4266.

87. L. Lu, M. A. Kelly, W. You and L. Yu, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, **9**, 491- *Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 11306-11317. 500.

88. P. P. Khlyabich, B. Burkhart, A. E. Rudenko and B. C. Thompson, *Polymer*, 2013, **54**, 5267-5298.

Energy & Environmental Science

89. Y. C. Chen, C. Y. Hsu, R. Y. Lin, K. C. Ho and J. T. Lin, ChemSusChem, 2013, **6**, 20-35.

90. L. Yang, L. Yan and W. You, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013, 4, 1802-1810.

91. P. Cheng and X. W. Zhan, Mater. Horiz., 2015, 2, 462-485.

92. Z. Hu, S. Tang, A. Ahlvers, S. I. Khondaker and A. J. Gesquiere, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **101**, 053308.

93. M. Zhang, F. Zhang, Q. An, Q. Sun, J. Wang, L. Li, W. Wang and J. Zhang, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2015, **141**, 154-161.

94. B. H. Lessard, J. D. Dang, T. M. Grant, D. Gao, D. S. Seferos and T. P. Bender, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 15040-15051.

95. M. M. Mandoc, F. B. Kooistra, J. C. Hummelen, B. de Boer and P. W. M. Blom, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **91**, 263505.

96. Y. G. Zhen, H. Tanaka, K. Harano, S. Okada, Y. Matsuo and E. Nakamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2247-2252.

97. C.-H. Chen, C.-H. Hsieh, M. Dubosc, Y.-J. Cheng and C.-S. Hsu, *Macromolecules.*, 2010, **43**, 697-708.

98. H. C. Hesse, J. Weickert, C. Hundschell, X. Feng, K. Müllen, B. Nickel, A. J. Mozer and L. Schmidt-Mende, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, **1**, 861-869.

99. S. Honda, T. Nogami, H. Ohkita, H. Benten and S. Ito, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2009, 1, 804-810.

100. Q. An, F. Zhang, L. Li, Z. Zhuo, J. Zhang, W. Tang and F. Teng, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 16103-16109.

101. Q. Sun, F. Zhang, J. Hai, J. Yu, H. Huang, F. Teng and W. Tang, *Electron. Mater. Lett.*, 2015, **11**, 236-240.

102. S.-J. Ko, W. Lee, H. Choi, B. Walker, S. Yum, S. Kim, T. J. Shin, H. Y. Woo and J. Y. Kim, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, **5**, 1401687.

103. Y. Santo, I. Jeon, K. Sheng Yeo, T. Nakagawa and Y. Matsuo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **103**, 073306.

104. T.-Y. Huang, D. Patra, Y.-S. Hsiao, S. H. Chang, C.-G. Wu, K.-C. Ho and C.-W. Chu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 10512-10518.

105. W. L. Leong, S. R. Cowan and A. J. Heeger, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, **1**, 517-522.

106. Y.-J. Cheng, C.-H. Hsieh, P.-J. Li and C.-S. Hsu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 1723-1732.

107. Q. Wei, T. Nishizawa, K. Tajima and K. Hashimoto, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 2211-2216.

108. F. Bonaccorso, N. Balis, M. M. Stylianakis, M. Savarese, C. Adamo, M. Gemmi, V. Pellegrini, E. Stratakis and E. Kymakis, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 3870-3880.

109. Z. Zhuo, F. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Wang, X. Xu, Z. Xu, Y. Wang and W. Tang, *Solid-State Electron.*, 2011, **68**, 83-88..

110. Q. An, F. Zhang, J. Zhang, W. Tang, Z. Wang, L. Li, Z. Xu, F. Teng and Y. Wang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2013, **118**, 30-35.

111. J.-H. Huang, M. Velusamy, K.-C. Ho, J.-T. Lin and C.-W. Chu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 2820-2825.

112. M. Koppe, H.-J. Egelhaaf, E. Clodic, M. Morana, L. Lüer, A. Troeger, V. Sgobba, D. M. Guldi, T. Ameri and C. J. Brabec, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2013, **3**, 949-958.

113. S. Honda, H. Ohkita, H. Benten and S. Ito, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, **1**, 588-598.

114. S. Honda, S. Yokoya, H. Ohkita, H. Benten and S. Ito, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 11306-11317.

40 | Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 00, 1-42

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

115. J. S. Huang, T. Goh, X. K. Li, M. Y. Sfeir, E. A. Bielinski, S. Tomasulo, M. L. Lee, N. Hazari and A. D. Taylor, *Nat. Photonics*, 2013, **7**, 480-486.

116. Q. S. An, F. J. Zhang, L. L. Li, J. Wang, Q. Q. Sun, J. Zhang, W. H. Tang and Z. B. Deng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 3691-3698.

117. L. Y. Lu, T. Xu, W. Chen, E. S. Landry and L. P. Yui, *Nat. Photonics*, 2014, **8**, 716-722.

118. M. Koppe, H.-J. Egelhaaf, G. Dennler, M. C. Scharber, C. J. Brabec, P. Schilinsky and C. N. Hoth, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2010, **20**, 338-346.

119. L. Ye, H.-H. Xu, H. Yu, W.-Y. Xu, H. Li, H. Wang, N. Zhao and J.-B. Xu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 20094-20099.

120. H. Loslein, T. Ameri, G. J. Matt, M. Koppe, H. J. Egelhaaf, A. Troeger, V. Sgobba, D. M. Guldi and C. J. Brabec, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2013, **34**, 1090-1097.

121. F. J. Zhang, Z. Xu, S. L. Zhao, L. Liu, W. W. Jiang, B. Sun, D. Liu, J. Z. Huang and J. Pei, *J. Lumin.*, 2007, **122**, 727-729.

122. K. Cnops, B. P. Rand, D. Cheyns, B. Verreet, M. A. Empl and P. Heremans, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 3406.

123. Q. An, F. Zhang, L. Li, J. Wang, J. Zhang, L. Zhou and W. Tang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, **6**, 6537-6544.

124. Q. An, F. Zhang, Q. Sun, J. Wang, L. Li, J. Zhang, W. Tang and Z. Deng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 16653-16662.

125. J. R. Lakowicz, *Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.

126. T. Goh, J.-S. Huang, E. A. Bielinski, B. A. Thompson, S. Tomasulo, M. L. Lee, M. Y. Sfeir, N. Hazari and A. D. Taylor, *ACS Photonics*, 2015, **2**, 86-95.

127. V. Gupta, V. Bharti, M. Kumar, S. Chand and A. J. Heeger, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4398-4404.

128. L. Yang, H. Zhou, S. C. Price and W. You, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 5432-5435.

129. Y. Yang, W. Chen, L. Dou, W.-H. Chang, H.-S. Duan, B. Bob and G. Li, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, **9**, 190-198.

130. P. Muller-Buschbaum, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 7692-7709.

131. P. P. Khlyabich, B. Burkhart and B. C. Thompson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, **133**, 14534-14537.

132. P. P. Khlyabich, B. Burkhart and B. C. Thompson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 9074-9077.

133. R. A. Street, D. Davies, P. P. Khlyabich, B. Burkhart and B. C. Thompson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 986-989.

134. P. P. Khlyabich, A. E. Rudenko, B. C. Thompson and Y.-L. Loo, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201502287.

135. P. P. Khlyabich, A. E. Rudenko, R. A. Street and B. C. Thompson, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 9913-9919.

136. H. J. Chun, E. S. Kim and B. R. Cho, *Scanning*, 2014, **36**, 462-464.

137. L. Q. Yang, H. X. Zhou, S. C. Price and W. You, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 5432-5435.

138. B. Xiao, H. Wu and Y. Cao, Materials Today, 2015.

139. F. Liu, Y. Gu, X. B. Shen, S. Ferdous, H. W. Wang and T. P. Russell, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **38**, 1990-2052.

140. L. Lu, W. Chen, T. Xu and L. Yu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 7327.

141. P. Cheng, W. Ma, C. Yan, Y. Li and X. Zhan, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, 2357-2364.

142. Y. Zhang, D. Deng, K. Lu, J. Zhang, B. Xia, Y. Zhao, J. Fang and Z. Wei, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 1071-1076.

143. H. Cha, D. S. Chung, S. Y. Bae, M.-J. Lee, T. K. An, J. Hwang, K. H. Kim, Y.-H. Kim, D. H. Choi and C. E. Park, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 1556-1565.

144. T. Ameri, J. Min, N. Li, F. Machui, D. Baran, M. Forster, K. J. Schottler, D. Dolfen, U. Scherf and C. J. Brabec, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2012, **2**, 1198-1202.

145. T. Ameri, T. Heumüller, J. Min, N. Li, G. Matt, U. Scherf and C. J. Brabec, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 1796-1801.

146. D. H. Wang, K. H. Park, J. H. Seo, J. Seifter, J. H. Jeon, J. K. Kim, J. H. Park, O. O. Park and A. J. Heeger, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, **1**, 766-770.

147. J. M. Lee, J. Lim, N. Lee, H. I. Park, K. E. Lee, T. Jeon, S. A. Nam, J. Kim, J. Shin and S. O. Kim, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 1519-1525.

148. Y. Liu, Z. Hong, Q. Chen, W. Chang, H. Zhou, T. B. Song, E. Young, Y. M. Yang, J. You, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 662-668.

149. C. Zuo and L. Ding, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 9063-9066.

150. B. Minnaert and M. Burgelman, *Prog. Photovoltaics.*, 2007, **15**, 741-748.

151. N. Li, T. Stubhan, N. A. Luechinger, S. C. Halim, G. J. Matt, T. Ameri and C. J. Brabec, *Org. Electron.*, 2012, **13**, 2479-2484.

152. A. Kokil, A. M. Poe, Y. Bae, A. M. Della Pelle, P. J. Homnick, P. M. Lahti, J. Kumar and S. Thayumanavan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 9920-9924.

153. C.-S. Ho, W.-C. Hsu, Y.-N. Lai, C.-S. Lee, W.-M. Chen, E. L. Huang, E.-P. Yao and C.-W. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Express*, 2013, **6**, 042301.

154. Y. Lou, Z. Wang, S. Naka and H. Okada, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2011, **99**, 033305.

155. G. Adam, A. Pivrikas, A. M. Ramil, S. Tadesse, T. Yohannes, N. S. Sariciftci and D. A. M. Egbe, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 2594-2600.

156. P. Cheng, Q. Q. Shi and X. W. Zhan, Acta Chim. Sinica., 2015, 73, 252-256.

157. W. Liu, H. Shi, W. Fu, L. Zuo, L. Wang and H. Chen, *Org. Electron.*, 2015, **25**, 219-224.

158. M. C. Chen, D. J. Liaw, Y. C. Huang, H. Y. Wu and Y. Tai, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2011, **95**, 2621-2627.

159. X. Xiao, G. Wei, S. Wang, J. D. Zimmerman, C. K. Renshaw, M. E. Thompson and S. R. Forrest, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 1956-1960.

160. B. Ananda Rao, M. Sasi Kumar, G. Sivakumar, S. P. Singh, K. Bhanuprakash, V. J. Rao and G. D. Sharma, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2014, **2**, 1743-1751.

161. L. Ye, H. Xia, Y. Xiao, J. Xu and Q. Miao, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 1087-1092.

162. Y. J. Cho, J. Y. Lee, B. D. Chin and S. R. Forrest, *Org. Electron.*, 2013, **14**, 1081-1085.

163. S. S. Sharma, G. D. Sharma and J. A. Mikroyannidis, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2011, **95**, 1219-1223.

164. J. Peet, A. B. Tamayo, X. D. Dang, J. H. Seo and T. Q. Nguyen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **93**, 163306.

165. J. Lee, M. H. Yun, J. Kim, J. Y. Kim and C. Yang, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2012, **33**, 140-145..

166. S. Honda, H. Ohkita, H. Benten and S. Ito, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 6596-6598.

ergy & Environmental Science Accepted Manuscrip

ARTICLE

167. S. D. Stranks and H. J. Snaith, *Nature Nanotechnology*, 2015, **10**, 391-402.

168. K. Wang, C. Liu, P. C. Du, J. Zheng and X. Gong, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 1245-1255.

169. D. Zhao, M. Sexton, H.-Y. Park, G. Baure, J. C. Nino and F. So, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, **5**, 1401855.

170. J. M. Lee, B. H. Kwon, H. I. Park, H. Kim, M. G. Kim, J. S. Park, E.

S. Kim, S. Yoo, D. Y. Jeon and S. O. Kim, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 2011-2017.

171. F.-X. Xie, W. C. H. Choy, C. C. D. Wang, W. E. I. Sha and D. D. S. Fung, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2011, **99**, 153304.

172. X. Li, W. C. H. Choy, H. Lu, W. E. I. Sha and A. H. P. Ho, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 2728-2735.

173. H. A. Atwater and A. Polman, Nat. Mater., 2010, 9, 205-213.

174. X. Li, W. C. Choy, L. Huo, F. Xie, W. E. Sha, B. Ding, X. Guo, Y. Li, J. Hou, J. You and Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 3046-3052.

175. F. C. Chen, J. L. Wu, C. L. Lee, Y. Hong, C. H. Kuo and M. H. Huang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **95**, 013305.

176. J. L. Wu, F. C. Chen, Y. S. Hsiao, F. C. Chien, P. L. Chen, C. H. Kuo, M. H. Huang and C. S. Hsu, *Acs Nano*, 2011, **5**, 959-967.

177. Q. Q. Gan, F. J. Bartoli and Z. H. Kafafi, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 2385-2396.

178. E. Stratakis and E. Kymakis, Mater. Today, 2013, 16, 133-146.

179. C. H. Chou and F. C. Chen, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 8444-8458.

180. S. Kouijzer, W. Li, M. M. Wienk and R. A. J. Janssen, *J. Photonics Energy*, 2014, **5**, 057203.

181. B. M. Savoie, S. Dunaisky, T. J. Marks and M. A. Ratner, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, **5**, 1400891.

182. M. D. Perez, C. Borek, S. R. Forrest and M. E. Thompson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, **131**, 9281-9286.

183. H. Li, Z.-G. Zhang, Y. Li and J. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **101**, 163302.

184. P. Cheng, Y. Li and X. Zhan, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 2005-2011.

185. H. Kang, K. H. Kim, T. E. Kang, C. H. Cho, S. Park, S. C. Yoon and B. J. Kim, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 4401-4408.

186. R. Street, K. Song, J. Northrup and S. Cowan, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2011, **83**, 165207.

187. T. B. Yang, M. Wang, C. H. Duan, X. W. Hu, L. Huang, J. B. Peng, F. Huang and X. Gong, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 8208-8214.

188. F. J. Zhang, A. Vollmer, J. Zhang, Z. Xu, J. P. Rabe and N. Koch, *Org. Electron.*, 2007, **8**, 606-614.

189. S.-Y. Chang, H.-C. Liao, Y.-T. Shao, Y.-M. Sung, S.-H. Hsu, C.-C. Ho, W.-F. Su and Y.-F. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 2447-2452.

190. C. Hsin-Yi, S. Lan, P.-C. Yang, S.-H. Lin, J.-Y. Sun and C.-F. Lin, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2013, **113**, 90-95.

191. B. Lim, J. T. Bloking, A. Ponec, M. D. McGehee and A. Sellinger, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 6905-6913.

192. T. Ameri, P. Khoram, T. Heumuller, D. Baran, F. Machui, A. Troeger, V. Sgobba, D. M. Guldi, M. Halik, S. Rathgeber, U. Scherf and C. J. Brabec, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 19461-19472.

193. F. Machui, S. Rathgeber, N. Li, T. Ameri and C. J. Brabec, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, **22**, 15570-15577.

194. C. Muller, T. A. M. Ferenczi, M. Campoy-Quiles, J. M. Frost, D. D. C. Bradley, P. Smith, N. Stingelin-Stutzmann and J. Nelson, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 3510-3515.

195. Y. Zhang, H. Zhou, J. Seifter, L. Ying, A. Mikhailovsky, A. J. Heeger, G. C. Bazan and T. Q. Nguyen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 7038-7044.

Energy & Environmental Science

196. Y. H. Zhou, C. Fuentes-Hernandez, J. Shim, J. Meyer, A. J. Giordano, H. Li, P. Winget, T. Papadopoulos, H. Cheun, J. Kim, M. Fenoll, A. Dindar, W. Haske, E. Najafabadi, T. M. Khan, H. Sojoudi, S. Barlow, S. Graham, J. L. Bredas, S. R. Marder, A. Kahn and B. Kippelen, *Science*, 2012, **336**, 327-332.

197. X. Y. Han, Z. W. Wu and B. Q. Sun, Org. Electron., 2013, 14, 1116-1121.

198. A. V. Tunc, A. De Sio, D. Riedel, F. Deschler, E. Da Como, J. Parisi and E. von Hauff, *Org. Electron.*, 2012, **13**, 290-296.

199. X. C. Miao, S. Tongay, M. K. Petterson, K. Berke, A. G. Rinzler, B. R. Appleton and A. F. Hebard, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 2745-2750.

200. Y. B. Xiao, H. Wang, S. Zhou, K. Y. Yan, Z. Q. Guan, S. W. Tsang and J. B. Xu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, **7**, 13415-13421.

201. L. Y. Lu, T. Xu, W. Chen, J. M. Lee, Z. Q. Luo, I. H. Jung, H. I. Park, S. O. Kim and L. P. Yu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2365-2369.

202. F. C. Krebs, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2009, **93**, 1636-1641.
203. F. C. Krebs, J. E. Carlé, N. Cruys-Bagger, M. Andersen, M. R. Lilliedal, M. A. Hammond and S. Hvidt, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2005, **86**, 499-516.

204. F. C. Krebs, N. Espinosa, M. Hösel, R. R. Søndergaard and M. Jørgensen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 29-39.

205. L. Derue, O. Dautel, A. Tournebize, M. Drees, H. Pan, S. Berthumeyrie, B. Pavageau, E. Cloutet, S. Chambon, L. Hirsch, A. Rivaton, P. Hudhomme, A. Facchetti and G. Wantz, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 5831-5838.

206. C. Groves, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 1546.

207. B. Kan, M. Li, Q. Zhang, F. Liu, X. Wan, Y. Wang, W. Ni, G. Long, X. Yang, H. Feng, Y. Zuo, M. Zhang, F. Huang, Y. Cao, T. P. Russell and Y. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 3886-3893.

208. L. Huo, T. Liu, X. Sun, Y. Cai, A. J. Heeger and Y. Sun, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 2938-2944.

209. M. Zhang, X. Guo, W. Ma, H. Ade and J. Hou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4655-4660.

210. H. Li, J. Cao, Q. Zhou, L. Ding and J. Wang, *Nano Energy*, 2015, **15**, 125-134.

211. W. Li, K. H. Hendriks, W. S. Roelofs, Y. Kim, M. M. Wienk and R. A. Janssen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 3182-3186.

212. T. L. Nguyen, H. Choi, S. J. Ko, M. A. Uddin, B. Walker, S. Yum, J. E. Jeong, M. H. Yun, T. J. Shin, S. Hwang, J. Y. Kim and H. Y. Woo, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 3040-3051.

213. W. C. Huang, E. Gann, L. Thomsen, C. K. Dong, Y. B. Cheng and C. R. McNeill, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, **5**.

214. H. Y. Wang, Y. M. Ding, Y. B. Lai, Z. W. Sun, Y. Liu, B. Jiang, M. Chen, J. Yao, F. Liu and T. P. Russell, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 12972-12981.

215. C. Guo, Y.-H. Lin, M. D. Witman, K. A. Smith, C. Wang, A. Hexemer, J. Strzalka, E. D. Gomez and R. Verduzco, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2957-2963.

216. C. Guo, D. R. Kozub, S. V. Kesava, C. Wang, A. Hexemer and E. D. Gomez, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2013, **2**, 185-189.

217. N. Espinosa, R. Garcia-Valverde, A. Urbina and F. C. Krebs, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2011, **95**, 1293-1302.

218. D. M. DeLongchamp, R. J. Kline, D. A. Fischer, L. J. Richter and M. F. Toney, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 319-337.

219. L. L. Chua, M. Dipankar, S. Sivaramakrishnan, X. Y. Gao, D. C. Qi, A. T. S. Wee and P. K. H. Ho, *Langmuir.*, 2006, **22**, 8587-8594.
220. J. Rivnay, S. C. B. Mannsfeld, C. E. Miller, A. Salleo and M. F. Toney, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 5488-5519.

nergy & Environmental Science Accepted Manuscript

Graphical contents entry

Ternary organic solar cells enjoy both the enhanced light absorption by incorporating multiple organic materials in tandem solar cells and simplicity of processing conditions that used in single bulk heterojunction solar cells.

44 | Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 00, 1-42

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

Broader context

The fast growth of global energy demand and environment pollution caused by the excessive exploitation and consumption of mineral materials require ideal clean and renewable energy resources to meet the needs of economic and social development. Organic solar cells (OSCs), as one promising energy conversion technology, have attracted much attention due to their unique properties of low cost, easy fabrication, large scale, light weight, flexibility and abundant raw materials. Over the past ten years, the significant improvement of OSCs has been achieved by developing low bandgap materials with high charge carrier mobility and adopting the diversified strategies on the active layers and interfacial layers. However, further improvement of performance for single-junction OSCs is still limited by insufficient photon harvesting of active layers due to the relatively narrow absorption window (~ 100 nm) of organic semiconductors. The ternary solar cells combine the advantages of improved photon harvesting in tandem solar cells and simple fabrication technology of single bulk heterojunction OSCs, showing great potential for obtaining high-performance OSCs with bright application prospect.