Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

# ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx,

Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



# Spectroscopic Investigations into the Binding of Hydrogen Sulfide to Synthetic Picket-Fence Porphyrins

Matthew D. Hartle,<sup>a</sup> James S. Prell,<sup>a</sup> and Michael D. Pluth<sup>a,\*</sup>

The reversible binding of hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) to hemeprotein sites has been attributed to several factors, likely working in concert, including the protected binding pocket environment, proximal hydrogen bond interactions, and iron ligation environment. To investigate the importance of a sterically-constrained, protected environment on sulfide reactivity with heme centers, we report here the reactivity of H<sub>2</sub>S and HS<sup>-</sup> with the picket-fence porphyrin system. Our results indicate that the picket-fence porphyrin does not bind H<sub>2</sub>S in the ferric or ferrous state. By contrast, reaction of the ferric scaffold with HS<sup>-</sup> results in reduction to the ferrous species, followed by ligation of one equivalent of HS<sup>-</sup>, as evidenced by UV-vis, NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry studies. Measurement of the HS<sup>-</sup> binding affinities in the picket-fence or tetraphenyl porphyrin systems revealed identical binding. Taken together, these results suggest that the protected, sterically-constrained binding pocket alone is not the primary contributor for stabilization of ferric H<sub>2</sub>S/HS<sup>-</sup> species in model systems, but that other interactions, such as hydrogen bonding, must play a critical role in facilitation of reversible interactions in ferric hemes.

## Introduction

Interactions between heme-containing metalloproteins and gas molecules play important roles in biological systems. For example, dioxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) ligation to Myoglobin (Mb) and Hemoglobin (Hb) constitutes a critical mechanism of oxygen transport associated with aerobic respiration.<sup>1</sup> Consistent with the importance of a protected binding pocket, not only to provide protection for the bound O<sub>2</sub> but also to prevent unwanted  $\mu$ -oxo bridged dimer formation, preparation and use of the all-*cis*-tetra-pivaloylamide-*ortho*-substituted phenyl porphyrin ("picket-fence porphyrin") by Collman and coworkers provided the first example of reversible O<sub>2</sub> binding to a synthetic heme model almost 40 years ago.<sup>2, 3</sup>

In addition to  $O_2$ , the last few decades have witnessed the acceptance of other small molecule gases, namely carbon monoxide (CO), nitric oxide (NO), and hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), as important endogenously-produced gaseous signalling molecules involved in physiological functions including smooth muscle relaxation, neurotransmission, and vasoregulation.<sup>4, 5</sup> Extending the use of the picket-fence porphyrin model beyond  $O_2$ -binding investigations, treatment with CO leads to irreversible heme-CO formation and inhibition of  $O_2$  binding, both of which are consistent with the effects of CO poisoning.<sup>3</sup>

Similarly, the reaction of NO and related oxidized species including nitrite ( $NO_2^{-}$ ) has also been investigated in the picket-fence porphyrin, leading to an greater understanding of interactions involved in the heme-mediated oxidation of NO to  $NO_2^{-.6-9}$  For example, reaction of atmospheric oxygen in pyridine with heme-nitrosyl produces a stable bis-ligated  $NO_2^{-}$  adduct in which the pocket-bound  $NO_2^{-}$  is stabilized by a weak hydrogen bond to one of the amides in the porphyrin scaffold.<sup>9, 10</sup> Despite the important insights gained from use of the picket-fence porphyrin model to investigate  $O_2$ , CO, and NO interactions with heme centers, analogous investigations with H<sub>2</sub>S remain absent.

Hydrogen sulfide is the most recently discovered endogenously produced gasotransmitter, with identified roles in diverse aspects of biological signalling and human health.<sup>11,</sup> <sup>12</sup> Adding to the challenges of unravelling this important biomolecule, H<sub>2</sub>S exhibits more complex reactivity than that of NO or CO. In addition to being a good reductant, highly metallophilic, and oxygen sensitive, H<sub>2</sub>S exists in various protonation states at biological pH, thus complicating whether  $H_2S$  or  $HS^-$  is the active species when reacting with bioinorganic centers.<sup>13-15</sup> One of the first recognized reactions of sulfide with heme iron was sulfheme formation, in which the periphery of the porphyrin is irreversibly modified by sulfide in the presence of an oxidant, such as  $H_2O_2$ , iron hydroperoxo, or iron(IV) oxo species.<sup>16-20</sup> The resulting compound is characterized by a green color and dominant absorption band near 620 nm in ferrous Mb.17, 21, 22 Sulfheme formation reduces the affinity for other gasses at the heme center, as evidenced by a 2500- and 1500-fold reduction in O<sub>2</sub> and CO affinity, respectively.<sup>16-18</sup> By contrast to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Materials Science Institute, Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403-1253.

Email: pluth@uoregon.edu

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Synthetic schemes, spectroscopic characterization data, full <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra for NBu<sub>4</sub>SH addition to **1** and **3**, representative plot of data fit to a 1:1 model, mass spectrometry data, tabulated spectroscopic properties. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### ARTICLE

detrimental effects of sulfheme formation,  $H_2S/HS^-$  binds reversibly to heme centers in many organisms that live in sulfide-rich environments, such as the Hbl center of the *Lucina Pectinata* clam found in sulfide-rich mangrove swamps.<sup>23, 24</sup> The reversible binding of sulfur to hemeprotein sites has been attributed to several factors, likely working in concert, including the protected binding pocket environment and proximal glutamate residue forming a hydrogen bond with bound sulfide, and iron ligation environment.<sup>20, 23, 24</sup>

As a whole, investigations of sulfide with synthetic hemes have focused on relatively simple porphyrin platforms. In one of the first such studies, Scheidt and co-workers reported the structure of an iron hydrosulfido complex from a tetra(methoxyphenyl)porphyrin system in 1984.25 Studies by Collman and co-workers aimed at reactions of sulfide with a cytochrome c oxidase model renewed the field in 2012. $^{26,\ 27}$ Recent investigations into heme/sulfide interactions have included work on the binding of HS<sup>-</sup> to open-faced ferrous porphyrin complexes<sup>28</sup> and use of silyl-protected sulfur species to overcome Fe-SH instabilities.<sup>29</sup> The importance of distal histidine/pyridine coordination has also been investigated using a microperoxidase and a cyclodextrin-functionalized porphyrin system; both of which suggest that distal ligation is important for stable ferric hydrosulfido formation.<sup>30, 31</sup> As a whole, these investigations have focused on open-faced synthetic porphyrins, which do not probe effects such as a protected binding site or the importance of proximal hydrogen bonding interactions. Motivated by the utility of the picketfence porphyrin in investigating and understanding  $O_{2,3}$  CO,<sup>3,</sup> <sup>32, 33</sup> and NO<sup>34-37</sup> reactivity with synthetic heme systems, we sought to extend the utility of this model compound to investigate whether the presence of a protected binding pocket alone would be sufficient to bind H<sub>2</sub>S or HS<sup>-</sup>. In addition, we hypothesized that the protected pocket in the picket fence porphyrin system could provide useful insight into the different factors impacting bound sulfide stability in synthetic heme centers.

#### **Results and Discussion**

To investigate the chemistry of  $H_2S$  with synthetic heme platforms and to determine whether protected axial binding environments affect  $H_2S/HS^-$  binding, we chose to use the "picket-fence porphyrin" (PFP) model because of its protected axial cavity. Furthermore, the PFP model allows for direct sulfide binding comparison in both low and high polarity solvents, as well as with the parent tetraphenyl porphyrin (TPP) complexes, which lack the axial pocket.

We prepared the picket-fence porphyrin as described in the literature using minor modifications (see Experimental Section) to afford isomerically-pure all-cis ligand (Figure 1). To compare the charge and the importance of axial ligands, we prepared Fe<sup>III</sup>(TPivPP)Br (1), imidazole-free Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPivPP) (2), and Fe<sup>III</sup>(TPivPP)(N-MeIm)<sub>2</sub> (3).<sup>3, 38, 39</sup> To compare the results of these protected systems, we also used the parent TPP complexes Fe<sup>III</sup>(TPP)CI (4), Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPP) (5), and Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPP)(N-MeIm)<sub>2</sub> (6). Although such model compounds are only soluble in



Figure 1. Model Fe(II) and Fe(III) scaffolds used to study sulfide binding. The protected pocket in picket-fence porphyrin systems (1-3) provides a distinct ligand environment from the parent tetraphenyl porphyrin systems (4-6).

organic solvents, the broad solubility in solvents ranging from toluene to DMF provides the unique opportunity to investigate the role of solvent polarity in concert with the protected PFP pocket. Additionally, the ability to isolate different protonation states of sulfide using H<sub>2</sub>S gas or tetrabutylammonium hydrosulfide (NBu<sub>4</sub>SH) allows for differentiation of H<sub>2</sub>S versus HS<sup>-</sup> reactivity, which is otherwise not possible in aqueous systems.<sup>13, 40, 41, 42</sup>

Reaction of Fe"(TPivPP)(N-Melm)<sub>2</sub> with sulfide. To determine the reactivity of different sulfide sources with Fe(II) picket-fence systems, we first treated toluene solutions of 3 with stoichiometric as well as saturated solutions of H<sub>2</sub>S gas. In all experiments, excess N-methylimidazole (N-MeIm) was present to ensure blockage of the bottom face of 3. No changes in the UV-Vis or NMR spectra of 3 were observed upon treatment with H<sub>2</sub>S, suggesting that H<sub>2</sub>S does not bind to the ferrous system. Similarly, treatment with elemental sulfur (S<sub>8</sub>) failed to change the UV-Vis spectrum of 3. Treatment of 3 in toluene (Figure 2a) with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH, an organic-soluble source of HS<sup>-,43</sup> results in clean formation of [Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPivPP)(SH)]<sup>-</sup> (7), as evidenced by a bathochromic shift of the Soret band from 429 to 419 nm with a concomitant reduction in intensity and appearance of a shoulder at 455 nm. Additionally, the principle Q-band absorbance of 3 at 534 nm decreased in intensity with the associated formation of new bands at 534, 578, and 620 nm (Figure 2a, inset). Similar spectroscopic changes in the Soret band, including the shoulder near 450 nm, have been observed previously in the binding of HSto

Fell(octaethylporphyrinate)(Fell(OEP)),Fell(tetra-p-<br/>methoxyphenylporphyrinate)(Fell(p-MeOPP)),andFell(tetramesitylpophyrinate)(Fell(TMP)).28

Because both the binding pocket of **3** and toluene (2.38) have low dielectric constants we also investigated whether a solvent with a higher dielectric, such as DMF (38.25), would lead to similar observed reactivity with HS<sup>-</sup>. Much like in toluene, treatment of a DMF solution of  ${\bf 3}$  with  $H_2S$  gas or  $S_8$ failed to produce spectral changes, however treatment with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH resulted in a bathochromic shift of the Soret band from 443 to 419 nm, with concurrent appearance of a shoulder at 455 nm (Figure 2b). The Q-band region of the spectrum also parallels the observed reactivity in toluene with new absorbances at 538, 575, and 621 nm corresponding to 7. As observed in toluene, conversion of 3 to 7 proceeds cleanly, with well-anchored isosbestic points at 428 and 457 nm. The identical reactivity between NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in toluene and DMF suggests that the sulfide bound in the PFP cavity of 3 is unaffected by changes to bulk solvent polarity.



**Figure 2.** (a) Titration of **3** (black) with 0.25 equiv. increments of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH leads to clean conversion to [Fe(TPivPP)(SH)]<sup>-</sup>, **7**, (red). Conditions: MePh solution of 10.8  $\mu$ M **3** with 367  $\mu$ M *N*-methylimidazole titrated with 10 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in 1:9 MeCN:MePh. (b) Titration of **3** (black) with 0.25 equiv. increments of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH leads to clean conversion to **7** (red). Conditions: DMF solution of 5.2  $\mu$ M **3** with 36.5  $\mu$ M *N*-methylimidazole titrated with 10 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in DMF. All titrations were performed under anaerobic conditions with sufficient time to allow for complete reaction between aliquot additions.

To confirm that excess imidazole was not impacting HS<sup>-</sup> binding, we prepared imidazole-free Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPivPP) (**2**). Addition of 0.25 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH to **2** in toluene in the absence of Nmethylimidazole resulted in splitting of the Soret band from 414 nm to new peaks at 445 and 419 nm (Figure 3), which is consistent with disaggregation of the porphyrin.<sup>44</sup> Further addition of HS<sup>-</sup> cleanly converts the split Soret band to a final absorbance at 419 nm and results in three Q-bands at 534, 577, and 621 nm, all of which match the spectrum generated from reaction of **3** with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH (Figure 2a), suggesting that the



Figure 3. Titration of 2 (black) with 0.25 equiv. increments of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH leads to conversion to 7 (red) after disaggregation of the porphyrin (blue). Conditions: MePh solution of 5.7  $\mu$ M 2 titrated with 5 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in a 1:9 MeCN:MePh solution. All titrations were performed under anaerobic conditions with sufficient time to allow for complete reaction between aliquot additions.

imidazole is not bound in the final product. Formation of a five-coordinate, SH-ligated product is also consistent with previous work with Fe<sup>II</sup>(OEP), Fe<sup>II</sup>(p-MeOPP), and Fe<sup>II</sup>(TMP).<sup>28</sup> In addition, no reaction was observed when N-methylimidazole-free **2** was treated with H<sub>2</sub>S and S<sub>8</sub>, suggesting that N-methylimidazole does not out-compete sulfide binding. When taken together with the previous experiments using **3**, as well as the observation that addition of N-methylimidazole at the end of the titration does not significantly alter the spectrum, these results suggest that the presence of N-methylimidazole does not interfere with sulfide binding to the iron center.<sup>3, 45</sup>

Reactivity of Fe<sup>III</sup>(TPivPP)Br with sulfide. Based on our results with 2 and 3, we expected that treatment of ferric 1 with HS<sup>-</sup> would result in initial reduction from Fe<sup>III</sup> to Fe<sup>II</sup> producing various oxidized polysulfide species, followed by HS<sup>-</sup> binding to form 7. As expected, titration of 1 in toluene with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH initially produces a spectrum similar to that of **3** with an increase in intensity of the Soret band and a bathochromic shift from 419 nm to 429 nm, and also a shift in the Q-band from 510 to 534 nm (Figure 4a). Further addition of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH produces a spectrum identical to that of 7, consistent with initial reduction of  $Fe^{III}$  to  $Fe^{II}$ , followed by binding of  $HS^-$  to form [Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPivPP)(SH)]<sup>-</sup>. Identical reactivity was observed in DMF solution, which upon titration of 1 with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH (Figure 4b) produced a spectrum similar to that of 3 with Q-band peaks at 536, 567, and 609 nm. Further addition of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH produces an identical spectrum to 7 with a Soret band at 419 nm, a prominent shoulder at 455 nm, and Q-band peaks at 538, 575, and 621 nm. Similar to the ferrous system, treatment of 1 in MePh or DMF, in the presence or absence of Nmethylimidazole, with S<sub>8</sub> failed to produce any changes in the UV-Vis spectrum. In addition to reaction with HS-, we reasoned that the protected binding pocket in the PFP could potentially allow for observation of bound H<sub>2</sub>S to the ferric scaffold; however, addition of stoichiometric H<sub>2</sub>S or a saturated H<sub>2</sub>S solution to 1 in the presence or absence of Nmethylimidazole failed to produce any changes in the UV-vis

ARTICLE

ARTICLE



Figure 4. (a) Titration of 1 (black) with 0.25 equiv. increments of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH leads to reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) (blue trace shows 0.75 equiv. NBu<sub>4</sub>SH) followed by binding of HS<sup>-</sup> to form 7 (red). Conditions: MePh solution of 20  $\mu$ M 1 with 69  $\mu$ M *N*-methylimidazole titrated with 3 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in 1:9 MeCN:MePh. (b) Titration of 1 (black) with 0.25 equiv. increments of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH leads to reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(III) to trace shows 0.5 equiv. NBu<sub>4</sub>SH) followed by binding of HS<sup>-</sup> to form 7 (red). Conditions: DMF solution of 12  $\mu$ M 1 with 80  $\mu$ M *N*-methylimidazole titrated with 5 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH.

Oxygen sensitivity of [Fe"(TPivPP)(SH)]-. Unlike the TPP systems, the Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPivPP)(N-MeIm)<sub>2</sub> scaffolds can bind O<sub>2</sub> reversibly, thus providing a unique opportunity to directly investigate the interaction of  $HS^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$  and  $O_2$  at the heme center. Compound 3 readily binds O<sub>2</sub>, either by exposure of 3 to the atmosphere or by direct injection of O<sub>2</sub>, and the presence of  $Fe(TPivPP)(O_2)(N-MeIm)$  (9) is characterized by a decrease in intensity and hypsochromic shift of the Soret band from 429 to 427 nm with a concomitant attenuation and bathochromic shift of the Q-band from 534 to 540 nm (Figure 5).<sup>3</sup> To remove any excess O<sub>2</sub>, the headspace of the cuvette was purged with dry  $N_2$  prior to  $NBu_4SH$  addition. Upon treatment of **9** with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in toluene, the intensity of the porphyrin absorbances are attenuated with an increased baseline absorbance, suggesting the formation of particulates in the solution. Additionally, an increase in absorbance at 320 nm is observed, which is consistent with formation of sulfur oxidation and polysulfide formation.<sup>46-49</sup> Treatment of **3** with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH to form 7, followed by exposure to the atmosphere afforded the same reactivity. These data suggest that the porphyrin ring is irreversibly oxidized in the presence of oxygen and HS<sup>-</sup>, matching earlier observations of irreversible oxidation O2bound PFP compounds in the presence of acids.<sup>3</sup> Mass spectrometric data of the oxidized product showed a peak at 1160.4010 m/z, which matches the exact mass (1160.4097 m/z) and isotope pattern of addition of three O2 molecules to the PFP system, consistent with porphyrin oxidation.<sup>50</sup>



Figure 5. Exposure of 3 to atmospheric oxygen leads to conversion to 7. Titration of 7 (blue) with 0.25 equiv. increments of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH leads to irreversible oxidation (red, 20 equiv. and green, 220 equiv.). Conditions: MePh solution of 5.6  $\mu$ M 3 and 192  $\mu$ M *N*-methylimidazole, exposed to atmosphere for 5 minutes, followed by purging headspace for 10 minutes with N<sub>2</sub>, and titrated with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in a 1:39 MeCN-MePh solution.

NMR reactivity of picket-fence complexes with sulfide. To complement the UV-Vis spectroscopic studies, and to determine any changes in the iron spin state upon HS<sup>-</sup> binding, we used <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy to probe the reaction of **3** with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **3** in toluene- $d_8$  exhibits sharp features consistent with an Fe<sup>II</sup> complex and allow for its reactivity to be monitored by NMR spectroscopy.51, 52 Treatment of **3** in toluene- $d_8$  with 5 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in CD<sub>3</sub>CN results in a clean downfield shift of the pyrrole protons to 63 ppm, consistent with formation of a five-coordinate high-spin Fe<sup>II</sup> complex ligated by a sulfur (Figure 6).<sup>53</sup> The loss of the resonance at -14 ppm is consistent with dissociation of Nmethylimidazole from the complex (Figures S26 and S33).53, 54 These spectral changes, as well as the upfield shift of the phenyl protons to the 7-12 ppm region are all consistent with formation of 7. Similarly, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy of 1 with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH also confirmed the reduction to 2, followed by binding of HS<sup>-</sup> to form 7. The 80 ppm pyrrole resonance, indicative of a high spin Fe<sup>III</sup> complex,<sup>54</sup> shifts upon treatment of **1** with 5 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH, consistent with reduction to Fe<sup>II</sup> followed by formation of 7.53



Figure 6. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, toluene-d<sub>8</sub>) spectra of 1 (4 mM, black), 3 (4 mM, blue) and 7 (red) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN. The spectrum of 7 was recorded after addition of 5 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH to either 1 or 3.

To confirm the spin and charge change from 1 to 7, we measured the magnetic susceptibility of both complexes using the Evan's method.<sup>55, 56</sup> The magnetic susceptibility of 1 was

**Dalton Transactions** 

measured to be  $\mu_{eff} = 5.6 \,\mu_B$ , supporting a high-spin (S = 5/2) Fe<sup>III</sup> complex, which is consistent with solid state measurements.<sup>38</sup> Upon treatment of **1** with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH, the magnetic susceptibility changes to  $\mu_{eff} = 5.0 \,\mu_B$ , supporting the formation of a high-spin (S = 2) Fe<sup>II</sup> complex, matching previously reported Fe-SH bound structures.<sup>53, 57, 58</sup> Taken together, the NMR data supports the reaction sequence in which HS<sup>-</sup> initially reduces Fe<sup>III</sup> to Fe<sup>II</sup>, after which an additional equivalent of HS<sup>-</sup> can bind to the metal center forming a ferrous hydrosulfide product.

Reaction of Fe(TPP) with sulfide. Based on the identical reactivity of 1 and 3 in toluene and DMF, we reasoned that the protected axial binding pocket of the PFP compounds does not provide additional thermodynamic stability or protection from solvent over the un-protected systems. To provide a direct comparison for the experimental sulfide reactivity reactions of the picket-fence heme analogues, we performed analogous with the parent tetraphenylporphyrin experiments (Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPP)(N-MeIm)<sub>2</sub>, 6). Upon treatment of 6 with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH (Figure 7a), similar spectral changes to those observed with 3 were observed, which are consistent with formation of [Fe<sup>II</sup>(TPP)(SH)]<sup>-</sup> (8). The Soret band decreases in intensity and shifts to 418 nm with concomitant formation of a prominent shoulder at 463 nm with a well-anchored isosbestic point at 444 nm. The Q-band shows characteristic change from a prominent peak at 532 nm to three peaks at 532, 573, and 622 nm. Similarly, Treatment of Fe<sup>III</sup>(TPP)Cl (4) with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH (Figure 7b) in DMF shows an initial change in the spectrum consistent with the formation of 6 with features at 432 and 563 nm. Further addition of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH results in clean conversion to 8 with an associated Soret band shift from 432 nm to 418 nm



**Figure 7.** (a) Titration of **6** (black) with 0.25 equivalent increments of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH to form **8** (red). Conditions: MePh solution of 3.8  $\mu$ M **6** with 35  $\mu$ M *N*-methylimidazole titrated with 10 equivalents of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH. (b) Titration of **4** (black) with 0.25 increments of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH leads to reduction of Fe<sup>III</sup> to Fe<sup>III</sup> (**6**) (blue trace shows 0.75 equiv. NBu<sub>4</sub>SH) followed by binding of HS<sup>-</sup> to form **8** (red). Conditions: DMF solution of 4.5  $\mu$ M **4** with 30  $\mu$ M *N*-methylimidazole titrated with 10.

and shoulder at 463 nm. The Q-bands adopt characteristic peaks at 532, 573, and 622 nm, decreasing in intensity with lower energy (Figure 7b, inset). Similar to **1**, **2**, and **3**, treatment of **4**, **5**, or **6** in either DMF or toluene, in the presence or absence of N-methylimidazole, with  $S_8$  or  $H_2S$  fails to perturb the UV-vis spectrum of the iron complexes.

Sulfide binding affinities. To confirm a 1:1 Fe:SH binding stoichiometry, we constructed a competitive continuous variation (CCV) plot of **3** and NBu<sub>4</sub>SH by varying the molar ratios of **3** and HS<sup>-</sup> while keeping the N-methylimidazole concentration constant. These experiments resulted in a plot with a clean break centered at 0.5, which is consistent with a 1:1 binding stoichiometry (Figure 8).<sup>59-61</sup> Based on this binding stoichiometry, we titrated solutions of 2 and 3 with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH to determine the apparent association constants of sulfide and fit all titration data to a 1:1 Fe:SH model using the Thordarson method.<sup>62, 63</sup> The magnitude of these measurements matches binding constants associated with Lucina Pectinata HbI, and several synthetic porphyrin compounds including a cyclodextrin pyridine coordinated porphyrin, Fe(OEP), Fe(p-MeOPP), and Fe(TMP) (Table 1).<sup>28, 30, 40</sup> Furthermore, the observed  $K_a$  values show that the binding affinity of HS<sup>-</sup> for the porphyrin complexes are similar in DMF and toluene, and that the presence or absence of N-methylimidazole does not appreciably impact the observed sulfide binding affinity. Comparison of the picket-fence 3 with the parent 6 reveals that the presence of the protected binding pocket does not provide a significant thermodynamic stabilization of sulfide binding.



**Figure 8.** A competitive continuous variation plot of **3** and NBu<sub>4</sub>SH supports 1:1 binding. Conditions: Total concentration: 11.1  $\mu$ M in 1:9 MePh:MeCN solution with 0.33 mM *N*-methylimidazole. The molar ratio of Fe was varied from 0.1 to 1 and the absorbance was corrected for the concentration of *N*-methylimidazole.

 Table 1. Comparison of HS<sup>-</sup> binding constants for Fe-porphyrin systems.

Species	Solvent	log(K₂)	Source
Fe(TPivPP)(N-MeIm) <sub>2</sub>	MePh	4.5 ± 0.1	This work
Fe(TPivPP)(N-MeIm) <sub>2</sub>	DMF	$5.0 \pm 0.1$	This work
Fe(TPivPP)	MePh	4.2 ± 0.1	This work
Fe(TPP)(N-MeIm)₂	MePh	4.8 ± 0.2	This work
L. Pectinata Hbl	Water	4.7	30, 40
Fe(OEP)	PhCl	5.0 ± 0.2	28
Fe(p-MeOPP)	PhCl	4.7 ± 0.4	28
Fe(TMP)	PhCl	4.6 ± 0.7	28
Met-hemoCD3	Buffer	4.9	30



**Figure 9.** (a) Mass spectrum of **3** with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH added in THF results in formation of **2** (blue) and **7** (red),  $[Fe^{II}(TPivPP) - H^+]^-$  and  $[Fe(TPivPP)(SH)]^-$  respectively. (b) Mass spectrum of **1** with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH added in THF results in the formation of **2** (blue) and **7** (red),  $[Fe^{II}(TPivPP) - H^+]^-$  and  $[Fe(TPivPP)(SH)]^-$  respectively. (c) Mass spectrum of **6** with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH added in THF results in formation of **5** (blue) and **8** (red), which ionize as  $[Fe^{II}(TPP) - H^+]^-$  and  $[Fe^{II}(TPP)(SH) - H^+]^-$  respectively. (d) Mass spectrum of **4** with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH added in THF results in formation of **5** (blue) and **8** (red), which ionize as  $[Fe^{II}(TPP) - H^+]^-$  and  $[Fe^{II}(TPP)(SH) - H^+]^-$  respectively. (d) Mass spectrum of **4** with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH added in THF results in formation of **5** (blue) and **8** (red), which ionize as  $[Fe^{II}(TPP) - H^+]^-$  and  $[Fe^{II}(TPP)(SH) - H^+]^-$  respectively. (d) Mass spectrum of **4** with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH added in THF results in formation of **5** (blue) and **8** (red), which ionize as  $[Fe^{II}(TPP) - H^+]^-$  and  $[Fe^{II}(TPP)(SH) - H^+]^-$  respectively. (d) Mass spectrum of **4** with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH added in THF results in formation of **5** (blue) and **8** (red), which ionize as  $[Fe^{II}(TPP) - H^+]^-$  and  $[Fe^{II}(TPP)(SH) - H^+]^-$  respectively. Conditions: electrospray in negative ion mode with [Fe] = 1 mM in THF with 15 equiv. NBu<sub>4</sub>SH added in 1:1 THF-acetonitrile.

Mass spectrometry. To gain further insight into sulfide binding, and to determine whether the picket-fence system provides a kinetic barrier to sulfide dissociation, we used HRMS to investigate HS<sup>-</sup> binding and dissociation in the gas phase. Based on the 1:1 Fe:SH stoichiometry determined from the CCV plot, we expected to only observe ligation of one HS<sup>-</sup> ligated to the metal center. Mass spectrometric analysis of ferrous 3 treated with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH confirmed this expectation with the appearance of a parent ion peak at 1097.4294 m/z, which matches the expected mass (1097.4122 m/z) and isotope pattern of anionic 7 (Figure 9a). We also observed a mass peak at 1063.4426 m/z corresponding to  $[2 - H^+]^-$  (calculated 1063.4402 m/z), which we attribute to the loss of HS<sup>-</sup> as well as H<sup>+</sup> (likely from the amide NH in the PFP scaffold) from 7. No peaks corresponding to ligation of two HS<sup>-</sup> ligands were observed (Figure S34). Consistent with the results obtained from 3, treatment of ferric 1 with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in THF produces an identical mass spectrum as experiments with the ferrous species, which supports initial reduction followed by HS<sup>-</sup> ligation (Figure 9b). Taken with the CCV plot, this is consistent with a 1:1 binding ratio of Fe:SH.

Similar to **1** and **3**, treatment of ferrous **6** in THF with 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH resulted in formation of mono-sulfur ligated **8** at 700.1522 m/z (ionized as  $[\mathbf{8} - H^{\bullet}]^{-}$ , calculated 700.1385 m/z) (Figure 9c). As observed in the PFP system, the second major peak at 668.1656 m/z corresponds with unligated **5** (calculated m/z 668.1664). Treatment of ferric **4** under identical conditions affords an identical spectrum as the reaction of the ferrous TPP **6** with NBu<sub>4</sub>SH (Figure 9d).

Interestingly, the  $[M - H_2S]^-$  peak observed in the PFP system was not observed in the TPP system ([M - SH\*]- was observed), suggesting that the proximity of the acidic N-H groups on the PFP ligand were involved in the H<sub>2</sub>S ionization. A second notable difference between the PFP and parent TPP system is the relative intensity of the HS<sup>-</sup> ligated and unligated species. With both mass spectrometric experiments measured under identical instrumental conditions, these relative stabilities suggest that the protective pocket in the PFP system provides additional stability to the bound hydrosulfide ligand. Based on the similar binding thermodynamics measured in solution, this increased stability is likely due to an increased kinetic barrier for sulfide dissociation from the protected pocket in the PFP system rather than a thermodynamic ground state stabilization of bound sulfide. Variation of the collisional energy and comparison to the Fe(TPP) scaffold showed kinetic stabilization of the bound sulfide, suggesting it is bound inside the porphyrin pocket (See Supporting Information).64,65

#### Conclusions

Motivated to extend the utility of synthetic heme structures we used the picket-fence porphyrin as a model to investigate sulfide binding. Our spectroscopic investigations revealed that the Fe<sup>III</sup> or Fe<sup>II</sup> PFP scaffolds do not react with H<sub>2</sub>S, but rather with HS<sup>-</sup>. Upon treatment with HS<sup>-</sup>, Fe<sup>III</sup>(TPivPP)Br is reduced to its Fe<sup>II</sup> form (Scheme

ARTICLE

1), after which a single HS<sup>-</sup> ligand binds to the heme iron. This binding stoichiometry was confirmed by UV-Vis and NMR spectroscopic studies as well as mass spectrometric investigations. Comparison of the sulfide binding affinity to Fe<sup>II</sup> in the PFP system to that observed in the less hindered TPP system revealed similar sulfide association constants, suggesting that the protected axial pocket of the PFP system did not provide significant thermodynamic stabilization of the bound sulfide. Mass spectrometric studies using variable collisional energy experiments established that sulfide dissociation from the PFP system had a larger kinetic barrier than ionization of sulfide from the TPP system, which is consistent with a kinetic, rather than thermodynamic, stabilization of bound sulfide within sterically constrained PFP scaffold. Taken together, these results suggest that the sterically-constraining environment alone is not the primary contributor for stabilization of ferric  $H_2S/HS^-$  species in model systems, but that other interactions, such as hydrogen bonding or the interplay between hydrogen-bonding an a protected binding pocket must play a critical role. We are currently investigating other systems that probe these different interactions, which will be reported in due course.

Scheme 1. Overall reactivity of the  $\mathsf{Fe}^{\text{III}/\text{II}}(\mathsf{TPivPP})$  scaffold with  $\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{S},\,\mathsf{HS}^{\text{-}},\,\mathsf{and}$   $\mathsf{O}_2.$ 



#### **Experimental Details**

Materials and Methods. All manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or an Innovative Atmospheres N<sub>2</sub>-filled glove box unless otherwise noted. All chemicals were used as received unless otherwise noted. Pyrrole, 2nitrobenzaldehyde, and N-methylimidazole were purchased from TCI Chemicals. Pivaloyl chloride, 1.2dimethoxyethane, tetrabutylammonium chloride, and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. SnCl<sub>2</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O was purchased from Strem chemicals. FeBr<sub>2</sub> (anhydrous) and NaSH (anhydrous) were purchased from Strem chemicals and handled under nitrogen. Hydrogen sulfide gas was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and transferred through a custom-built stainless steel transfer line into a glass storage bulb prior to use. Tetrabutylammonium hydrosulfide (NBu₄SH) was

synthesized as previously reported.43 Note: Hydrogen sulfide and its salts are highly toxic and should be handled carefully to avoid exposure. Spectroscopic grade toluene, acetonitrile, and tetrahydrofuran were degassed by sparging with argon followed by passage through a Pure Process Technologies solvent purification system to remove water and stored over 4Å molecular sieves in an inert atmosphere glove box. Heptane was passed through an alumina column, dried and distilled over calcium hydride then deoxygenated by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stored in an inert atmosphere glove box over 4Å molecular sieves. Spectroscopic grade *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide was dried and distilled over calcium hydride, deoxygenated by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and stored in an inert atmosphere glove box over 4Å molecular sieves. Toluene- $d_8$ and acetonitrile- $d_3$  were purchased from Cambridge Isotope laboratories and distilled from calcium hydride, deoxygenated by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and stored in an inert atmosphere glove box. Porphyrin stock solutions were prepared in dry toluene or DMF and stored in an inert atmosphere glove box until immediately prior to use.

Spectroscopic Methods. UV-Vis measurements were acquired on an Agilent Cary 100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a QNW dual cuvette temperature controller at 25.00 ± 0.05 °C. All spectroscopic samples were prepared under an inert atmosphere in septum-sealed cuvettes obtained from Starna Scientific. NMR spectra were acquired on a Brüker Avance-III-HD 600 spectrometer with a Prodigy multinuclear broadband cryoProbe at 25.0 °C. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) and are referenced to residual solvent resonances. IR spectra were acquired on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet 6700 spectrometer equipped with a diamond crystal Smart ATR Attachment. Mass spectra were acquired on a Synapt G2-Si from Waters Corporation and introduced by nanoelectrospray using a platinum wire at 0.4 kV potential. All data were acquired with an ESI voltage of 500 V using "resolution" mode.

General procedure for UV-Vis spectroscopic studies. In a glove box, the porphyrin stock solutions were diluted to the desired concentration by addition to 3.0 mL of solvent in a septum-sealed cuvette and removed from the glove box. Non-porphyrin reagents were prepared approximately  $10^5$  times more concentrate than the porphyrin to provide minimal dilution during addition. NBu<sub>4</sub>SH, H<sub>2</sub>S, and other reagents were added to the septum-sealed cuvettes by gastight syringe. Titration studies were performed using 0.25 equiv. increments unless otherwise noted. Binding constants ( $K_{assoc}$ ) were determined from UV-Vis titration data and fit to a 1:1 model.<sup>62, 63</sup>

General procedure for NMR studies. In a glove box, 1.6  $\mu$ mol of the different Fe(TPivPP) species were added to ~0.4 mL of toluene- $d_8$  in a septum-capped NMR tube. Stock solution of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH (0.688 M in acetonitrile- $d_3$ ) was prepared, and ~5 equiv. was added to the NMR tube using a gas-tight syringe.

ARTICLE

#### ARTICLE

General procedure for mass spectrometry studies. Solutions of Fe species (1 mM) containing 1.5 mM *N*methylimidazole were prepared in THF. To this solution, 15 equiv. of NBu<sub>4</sub>SH in 1:1 THF-acetonitrile were added, and negative ion mode nano-electrospray mass spectra were acquired with a Synapt G2-Si quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (under identical conditions for each species).

General procedure for Evans' method magnetic susceptibility measurements. A stock solution containing 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (20.2 mg, 120 µmol) in 500 µL of toluene-d<sub>8</sub> and 100 μL of CD<sub>3</sub>CN was prepared. Fe(TPivPP)Br (2.7 mg, 2.3  $\mu$ mol) was added to 450  $\mu$ L of the 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene stock solution. NBu<sub>4</sub>SH (36.5 mg, 132  $\mu$ mol) was added to 100  $\mu$ L of the stock solution and an additional 100  $\mu$ L of CD<sub>3</sub>CN was added to ensure complete solubility. The remaining 50 µL of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene stock solution was added to a capillary tube. A septumsealed NMR tube was charged with 400  $\mu\text{L}$  of the Fe(TPivPP)Br stock solution and the capillary tube containing the 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene standard. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was recorded, after which 16 µL (5 equiv.) of the NBu<sub>4</sub>SH stock solution was added. The NMR tube was sonication for 20 minutes to ensure complete mixing, after which another <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was recorded. The chemical shift difference between the 1.3.5trimethoxybenzene resonances in the capillary tube and the Fe(TPivPP)Br solution were measured and corrected using standard diamagnetic corrections.55

Synthesis of Cr(OAc)<sub>2</sub> and Cr(acac)<sub>2</sub>. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (2.0 g, 6.8 mmol), powdered zinc (5 g, 76 mmol), and 5 mL of water under nitrogen. Concentrated hydrochloric acid (20 mL) was then added drop wise to the stirred reaction mixture for approximately 20 minutes, and the solution was stirred until the color of the reaction mixture changed from yellow to green and finally to blue. The supernatant was transferred by filter cannula to a 100 mL flask containing 20 mL of a saturated NaOAc solution, which resulted in formation of a red precipitate. The solid product was filtered, washed with EtOH and Et<sub>2</sub>O, and then dried under vacuum overnight to yield the desired product (1.06 g, 41%).  $Cr(acac)_2$  was prepared from the crude Cr(OAc)<sub>2</sub> product in a glove box as described in the literature.  $^{66}\ \textit{Note:}\ Cr(acac)_2$  is a pyrophoric powder and should be handled carefully under an inert atmosphere.

**Synthesis of iron porphyrin complexes.** The synthesis of the iron porphyrin complexes was conducted according to published procedures using the modifications noted below.<sup>3, 38, 39</sup> Spectroscopic properties of the isolated product are provided to aid future preparations of these complexes.

**5,10,15,20-tetrakis(2-nitrophenyl)porphyrin (H**<sub>2</sub>**TNPP).** 2-Nitrobenzaldehyde (25.0 g, 165 mmol) was dissolved in 500 mL of glacial acetic acid in a 3-neck 1-L round bottom flask fitted with a reflux condenser and a syringe pump inlet. The solution was then heated to reflux and stirred vigorously. Pyrrole (12 mL, 170 mmol) was added drop-wise via the syringe pump over 30 minutes. (Note: This is a highly exothermic reaction and care is needed to keep the reaction under control. We have found that uniform addition of pyrrole using a syringe pump facilitates maintaining a vigorous, but controllable, reaction and increases the reproducibility). After the addition of pyrrole was completed, the solution had turned black and was refluxed for 30 minutes. The oil bath was then allowed to cool to 35 °C and CHCl<sub>3</sub> (65 mL) was added to prevent tar formation. (Note: Fast cooling or cooling below 35 °C can lead to intractable tar formation, as noted previously.)<sup>38</sup> After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through an extra course frit, and the collected solid was washed with 5 x 100 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Additional product can be obtained by pouring the filtrate through a medium porosity frit and washing the collected solid with 5 x 50 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The purple solids obtained by filtration were combined and dried overnight at 100 °C under vacuum to yield the desired product (2.89 g, 8.7%). FTIR (ATR, neat) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3316 (w, C-H), 1518 (s, NO<sub>2</sub>), 1341 (s, NO<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ: 8.98-7.95 (m, 24H), -2.80 (s, 2H);  $\lambda_{max}$  (DMF): 420, 516, 550, 593, 650 nm.

#### 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(2-aminophenyl)porphyrin

(H<sub>2</sub>TAPP). A 1-L round bottom flask was charged with H<sub>2</sub>TNPP (2.5 g, 3.14 mmol) and 125 mL of concentrated HCl. Reagent grade SnCl<sub>2</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O (10.6 g, 47.0 mmol, 15 equiv.) dissolved in 10 mL of HCl was added to the H<sub>2</sub>TNPP solution and stirred for 1 h at room temperature, after which the flask was transferred to an oil bath that had been preheated to 65 °C. (Note: The activity of the SnCl<sub>2</sub> can be tested by mixing 1 g of SnCl<sub>2</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O in 1.5 mL of HCl with 0.25 g of 3-nitrobenzaldehyde. The mixture should become warm and turn red-orange within 10 minutes.)<sup>38</sup> After heating with vigorous stirring for 25 min, the flask was removed from the oil bath and cooled to room temperature in an ice bath. The reaction mixture was then neutralized carefully with 250 mL concentrated NH<sub>4</sub>OH over 25 minutes. After the pH was adjusted to > 10 with NH<sub>4</sub>OH, 200 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> was added and the biphasic solution was stirred for at least 12 hours, after which the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was transferred to a 1-L separatory funnel, diluted with water, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 x 150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with 400 mL of 10% NH<sub>4</sub>OH and concentrated to ~50 mL and filtered through celite to remove any remaining tin compounds. The celite was washed with CHCl<sub>3</sub> until the filtrate had faded in color, and the combined filtrates were concentrated to ~50 mL. EtOH (34 mL) and NH<sub>4</sub>OH (2 mL) were added, and the solution was further concentrated to ~40 mL, after which an additional 20 mL of EtOH was added and the solution was concentrated to ~16 mL. The resultant solution was filtered through a medium porosity frit and the purple-black crystals were rinsed with small amounts of ethanol and dried in a 140 °C oven for 1 h to afford 1.65 g (78%) of the desired product. FTIR (ATR, neat) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3350 (w, N-H), 3312 (w, N-H), 3023 (w); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.91 (s, 8H), 7.91-7.81 (m, 4H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 4H), 7.16 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 4H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 3.51 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 8H), -2.67 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 146.9, 134.9, 131.4, 129.8, 127.0, 117.7, 116.0, 115.4;  $\lambda_{max}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 420, 515, 550, 590, 650 nm.

Separation of all-cis-H2TAPP. The separation was carried out in a one-column procedure that enriches the desired all-cis isomer as described in the literature.<sup>39</sup> To separate the mixture, 45 g of silica, 100 mL of benzene, and a stir bar were added to a 250 mL 3-neck round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser and a benzenesaturated nitrogen stream. After heating at 75 °C for 2 h, 1.25 g of H<sub>2</sub>TAPP in 6 mL of benzene was added via syringe and the resultant mixture was stirred at 75 °C for 20 h. The resultant dark slurry was cooled to room temperature and poured into a 50 mm OD column. The undesired isomers were eluted with 1:1 C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>:Et<sub>2</sub>O until the eluent became a pale red color (~400 mL). The solvent was then switched to 1:1 Et<sub>2</sub>O:acetone and the desired isomer was eluted. The collected fractions were checked for purity by TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 4:1 CHCl<sub>3</sub>:Et<sub>2</sub>O, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.57) and stored at 4 °C for no longer than 12 hours prior to use. Isolated fractions were not reduced in volume in order to minimize isomerization.

(all-cis)-5,10,15,20-tetrakis[2-(2,2-

dimethylpropionamido)phenyl]porphyrin (H2TPivPP). All of the pure collected fractions of the all-cis isomer were placed in a 1-L round bottom flask under N2. Pyridine (3 mL) and pivaloyl chloride (3 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 h, after which 5 mL of MeOH was added to quench any residual acid chloride. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness, dissolved in 125 mL CHCl<sub>3</sub>, and washed with 80 mL of 10% NH<sub>4</sub>OH and 2 x 80 mL of water. The combined aqueous washes were combined and extracted with 2 x 30 mL of  $CHCl_3$ . The combined organic layers were dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatograph (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 4:1 CHCl<sub>3</sub>:Et<sub>2</sub>O). Further purification was achieved by dissolving the product in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, adding EtOH and heptane, reducing the volume under reduced pressure, and filtering the purple crystalline product. The crystals were dried overnight under vacuum to yield 0.72 g (39%) of the desired isomerically-pure product. FTIR (ATR, neat) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3430 (m, N-H), 3315 (m, N-H), 3060 (w, C-H), 2956 (m, C-H), 2867 (m, C-H), 1686 (s, C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.83 (s, 8H), 8.73 (d, J = 8.45 Hz, 4H), 7.90 (d, J = 6.43 Hz, 4H), 7.85 (t, J = 8.59 Hz, 4H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.84, 4H), 7.21 (s, 4H), 0.07 (s, 36H), -2.59 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 175.6, 138.6, 134.4, 131.0, 130.3, 123.2, 121.0, 115.0, 39.1, 26.6;  $\lambda_{max}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 418, 512, 545, 588, 641 nm.

Bromo{(all-cis)-5,10,15,20-tetrakis-[2-(2,2-

**dimethylpropionamido)phenyl]porphyrinato(2-)}-iron(III)** (**Fe(TPivPP)Br).** In an inert atmosphere glove box, H<sub>2</sub>TPivPP (0.54 g, 0.53 mmol), anhydrous FeBr<sub>2</sub> (0.54 g, 2.5 mmol) 1,2-dimethoxyethane (35 mL), pyridine (0.25 mL) and a stir bar were added to a 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser. The apparatus was then removed ARTICLE

from the glove box, placed under positive pressure of N<sub>2</sub>, and refluxed at a bath temperature of 100 °C for 1 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by UV-Vis spectroscopy by removing small aliquots, exposing them to the atmosphere and acidifying the solution with a few drops of concentrated HBr. Any remaining free base porphyrin is readily detected by its characteristic absorption at 450 nm (Figure S19). When no remaining free porphyrin was detected, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, exposed to the atmosphere, and brought to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude residue was dissolved in 16 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and purified by column chromatograph (basic Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). The black residue obtained after removing the solvent from the combined fractions was suspended in 10 mL of MeOH and 0.1 mL of HBr. The resultant reaction mixture was heated to 70 °C for 5 minutes and cooled to room temperature. CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) was added to dissolve any remaining solid while warm, and the flask was placed in a 20 °C refrigerator overnight to afford a crystalline product. The crystals were filtered, washed with MeOH, and dried at 70 °C under vacuum overnight. A second crop of crystals was obtained from the filtrate, total: 0.15 g (24%). FTIR (ATR, neat) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3430 (m, N-H), 3315 (m, N-H), 3060 (w, C-H), 2956 (m, C-H), 2867 (m, C-H), 1686 (s, C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, toluened<sub>8</sub>) δ: 80.09, 16.45, 12.13, 8.68, 1.35, 0.93, 0.28, -0.16; λ<sub>max</sub> (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 359(sh), 391(sh), 419, 509, 584, 655, 685 nm.

Bis(N-methylimidazole)[all-cis)-5,10,15,20-tetrakis-[2-(2,2-dimethylpropionamido)phenyl]porphyrinato(2-)]iron(II) (Fe(TPivPP)(N-MeIm)<sub>2</sub>). In a glove box, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with Fe(TPivPP)Br (30 mg, 26 μmol), Cr(acac)<sub>2</sub> (19.7 mg, 110 μmol), THF (10 mL), Nmethylimidazole (21 µL, 260 µmol), and a stir bar. The stirred reaction mixture was heated to boiling for 5 minutes, filtered hot, then cooled to room temperature, while layering with heptane, and cooled to -25 °C overnight. The resultant microcrystalline solution was filtered through a glass wool filter, washed with heptane, extracted from the filter with THF, and evaporated to dryness under vacuum to yield the desired product (7.1 mg, 54%). FTIR (ATR, neat) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3425 (m, N-H), 2658 (m, C-H), 2868 (m, C-H), 1686 (s, C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, toluene-d<sub>8</sub>) δ: 19.60, 18.75, 14.40, 12.94, 12.04, 3.35, 1.35, 0.29, -14.01;  $\lambda_{max}$ (toluene): 333, 429, 535, 564, 606 nm.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Oregon Medical Research Foundation, the National Science Foundation (CHE-1454747), and the Sloan Foundation. The NMR facilities at the University of Oregon are supported by NSF/ARRA (CHE-0923589).

#### Notes and references

- J. M. Berg, J. L. Tymoczko and S. Lubert, *Biochemistry*, W.
   H. Freeman and Company, 41 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10010, 6th edn., 2007.
- 2 J. P. Collman, R. R. Gagne, T. R. Halbert, J. C. Marchon and C. A. Reed, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 7868-7870.
- 3 J. P. Collman, R. R. Gagne, C. A. Reed, T. R. Halbert, G. Lang and W. T. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 1427-1439.
- 4 A. K. Mustafa, M. M. Gadalla and S. H. Snyder, *Sci. Signal.*, 2009, **2**, re2.
- 5 K. Abe and H. Kimura, J. Neurosci., 1996, 16, 1066-1071.
- 6 J. Heinecke and P. C. Ford, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 235-247.
- 7 M. D. Lim, I. M. Lorkovic and P. C. Ford, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2005, 99, 151-165.
- 8 N. Lehnert, W. R. Scheidt and M. W. Wolf, 2013, **154**, 155-223.
- 9 L. Cheng, M. A. Khan, G. B. Richter-Addo and D. R. Powell, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 2301-2302.
- M. K. Ellison, C. E. Schulz and W. R. Scheidt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 100-108.
- 11 R. Wang, Physiol. Rev., 2012, 92, 791-896.
- J. L. Wallace and R. Wang, Nat Rev Drug Discov, 2015, 14, 329-345.
- 13 M. D. Hartle, S. K. Sommer, S. R. Dietrich and M. D. Pluth, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 7800-7802.
- 14 R. Pietri, E. Roman-Morales and J. Lopez-Garriga, Antioxid Redox Signal, 2011, **15**, 393-404.
- 15 C. C. Tsou, W. C. Chiu, C. H. Ke, J. C. Tsai, Y. M. Wang, M. H. Chiang and W. F. Liaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 9424-9433.
- J. A. Berzofsky, J. Peisach and J. O. Alben, J. Biol. Chem., 1972, 247, 3774-3782.
- 17 J. A. Berzofsky, J. Peisach and W. E. Blumberg, J. Biol. Chem., 1971, **246**, 3367-3377.
- 18 J. A. Berzofsky, J. Peisach and W. E. Blumberg, J. Biol. Chem., 1971, 246, 7366-7372.
- R. Pietri, A. Lewis, R. G. Leon, G. Casabona, L. Kiger, S. R. Yeh, S. Fernandez-Alberti, M. C. Marden, C. L. Cadilla and J. Lopez-Garriga, *Biochemistry*, 2009, **48**, 4881-4894.
- 20 B. B. Rios-Gonzalez, E. M. Roman-Morales, R. Pietri and J. Lopez-Garriga, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2014, **133**, 78-86.
- 21 R. O. Beauchamp, Jr., J. S. Bus, J. A. Popp, C. J. Boreiko and D. A. Andjelkovich, *Crit Rev Toxicol*, 1984, **13**, 25-97.
- 22 T. J. Walsh and C. Beehler, *Arch Intern Med*, 1969, **124**, 377-378.
- 23 M. Rizzi, J. B. Wittenberg, A. Coda, M. Fasano, P. Ascenzi and M. Bolognesi, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1994, **244**, 86-99.
- 24 M. Rizzi, J. B. Wittenberg, A. Coda, P. Ascenzi and M. Bolognesi, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1996, **258**, 1-5.
- 25 D. R. English, D. N. Hendrickson, K. S. Suslick, C. W. Eigenbrot and W. R. Scheidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 7258-7259.
- 26 E. Blackstone, M. Morrison and M. B. Roth, *Science*, 2005, **308**, 518.
- 27 J. P. Collman, S. Ghosh, A. Dey and R. A. Decreau, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**, 22090-22095.

- 28 J. W. Pavlik, B. C. Noll, A. G. Oliver, C. E. Schulz and W. R. Scheidt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 1017-1026.
- 29 D. J. Meininger, J. D. Caranto, H. D. Arman and Z. J. Tonzetich, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 12468-12476.
- 30 K. Watanabe, T. Suzuki, H. Kitagishi and K. Kano, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 4059-4061.
- S. A. Bieza, F. Boubeta, A. Feis, G. Smulevich, D. A. Estrin,
   L. Boechi and S. E. Bari, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **54**, 527-533.
- 32 J. P. Collman, L. Fu, A. Zingg and F. Diederich, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 193-194.
- E. A. Kerr, H. C. Mackin and N. T. Yu, *Biochemistry*, 1983,
   22, 4373-4379.
- 34 H. Nasri, K. J. Haller, Y. Wang, H. Huynh Boi and W. R. Scheidt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 3459-3467.
- J. L. Heinecke, C. Khin, J. C. Pereira, S. A. Suarez, A. V. Iretskii, F. Doctorovich and P. C. Ford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 4007-4017.
- 36 L. Cheng, D. R. Powell, M. A. Khan and G. B. Richter-Addo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 2301-2302.
- 37 J. Conradie and A. Ghosh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 4902-4909.
- 38 T. N. Sorrell, C. M. Bump and J. Jordan, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1980, **20**, 161-169.
- 39 J. Lindsey, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 5215-5215.
- 40 D. W. Kraus and J. B. Wittenberg, J. Biol. Chem., 1990, 265, 16043-16053.
- 41 T. Brittain, Y. Yosaatmadja and K. Henty, *IUBMB Life*, 2008, **60**, 135-138.
- 42 Although most protein-based H<sub>2</sub>S/heme investigations have assumed that HS<sup>-</sup> is the active sulfide species at physiological pH, sulfide binding in Mb decreases in alkaline solution. This observation suggests that H<sub>2</sub>S may be the active species or that pH changes significantly influence the protonation state of important residues that stabilize sulfide binding interactions. (Kraus, D. W.; Wittenberg, J. B. J. Biol. Chem. 1990, **265**, 16043-16053 and Brittain, T.; Yosaatmadja, Y.; Henty, K. *IUBMB Life* 2008, **60**, 135-138.)
- 43 M. D. Hartle, D. J. Meininger, L. N. Zakharov, Z. J. Tonzetich and M. D. Pluth, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 19782-19785.
- An alternative possibility is that two porphyrins are coming together to form a μ-sulfido bridged complex through initial sulfide binding to the bottom face of the porphyrin. Although we are unaware of μ-sulfido species in similar systems, the μ-oxo bridged Fe(III) PFP exhibits a single, well-resolved band at 408 nm. (J. P. Collman, R. R. Gagne, T. R. Halbert, J. C. Marchon and C. A. Reed, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 7868-7870.) In addition, the parent Fe(II)(TPP) (Cheng, L.; Powell, D. R.; Khan, M. A.; Richter-Addo, G. B. Chem. Commun. 2000, 2301-2302.) exhibits a split Soret band at 418 and 443 nm for the unligated species, and single absorbance at 409 nm is associated with the μ-oxo bridged species. (Conradie, J.; Ghosh, A. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 4902-4909.)
- 45 J. P. Collman, F. Basolo, E. Bunnenberg, T. J. Collins, J. H. Dawson, P. E. Ellis, M. L. Marrocco, A. Moscowitz, J. L.

Sessler and T. Szymanski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 5636-5648.

- 46 K. Y. Chen and S. K. Gupta, *Environ. Lett.*, 1973, **4**, 187-200.
- 47 D. J. O'Brien and F. B. Birkner, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1977, **11**, 1114-1120.
- 48 G. Schwarzenbach and A. Fischer, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1960, **43**, 1365-1390.
- 49 F. Seel, H. J. Guttler, G. Simon and A. Wieckowski, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1977, **49**, 45-54.
- 50 This mass increase also suggests against the formation of verdoheme derivatives, which are another form of oxidized porphryins in which the meso position of the porphyrin ring is oxidized. Y. Du, G. Liu, Y. Yan, D. Huang, W. Luo, M. Martinkova, P. Man and T. Shimizu, *BioMetals*, 2013, **26**, 839-852. F. Yan, V. Fojtikova, P. Man, M. Stranava, M. Martinkova, Y. Du, D. Huang and T. Shimizu, BioMetals, 2015, **28**, 637-652.
- 51 L. Latos-Grazynski, R. J. Cheng, G. N. La Mar and A. L. Balch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 5992-6000.
- 52 G. N. Sinyakov and A. M. Shulga, J. Mol. Struct., 1993, 295, 1-14.
- 53 R. C. Parmely and H. M. Goff, J. Inorg. Biochem., 1980, 12, 269-280.
- 54 F. A. Walker, J. Buchler, J. T. West and J. L. Hinds, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 6923-6929.
- 55 G. A. Bain and J. F. Berry, J. Chem. Educ., 2008, 85, 532.
- 56 D. F. Evans, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, **1959**, 2003-2005.
- 57 M. Dhifet, M. S. Belkhiria, J. C. Daran and H. Nasri, *Acta Cryst. E*, 2009, **65**, m967-968.
- 58 M. Schappacher, L. Ricard, J. Fischer, R. Weiss, R. Montiel-Montoya, E. Bill and A. X. Trautwein, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1989, 28, 4639-4645.
- 59 D. Brault and M. Rougee, *Biochemistry*, 1974, **13**, 4591-4597.
- D. Landy, F. Tetart, E. Truant, P. Blach, S. Fourmentin and
   G. Surpateanu, J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem., 2007, 57, 409-413.
- 61 C. J. Martin and M. A. Marini, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1967, **242**, 5736-5743.
- 62 *MATLAB and Statistics Tollbox Release 2014b*, The MathWorks Inc.; Natick, Massachusettes, United States, 2014.
- 63 P. Thordarson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1305-1323.
- 64 R. Schäfer and P. C. Schmidt, *Methods in Physical Chemistry (2nd Edition)*, John Wiley & Sons, Somerset, NJ, USA, 2012.
- 65 Schröder, D. (2012) Mass Spectrometry for Ion Chemistry and Links from the Gas Phase to "Real" Processes, in Methods in Physical Chemistry (eds R. Schäfer and P. C. Schmidt), Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany..
- 66 L. R. Ocone, B. P. Block, J. P. Collman and D. A. Buckingham, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1966, **8**, 125-132.

#### ARTICLE

### **Graphical Abstract**



The picket-fence porphyrin system is used a model for a sterically-constrained, protected binding environment to study  $\rm H_2S$  and  $\rm HS^-$  ligation.