

Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Journal Name

ARTICLE

Formation mechanism of iron oxide nanoparticles within the microwave-assisted solvothermal synthesis and its correlation with the structural and magnetic properties

*Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Z. Kozakova^{a†}, I. Kuritka^a, N. E. Kazantseva^a, V. Babayan^a, M. Pastorek^a, M. Machovsky^a, P. Bazant^a and P. Saha^a.

Magnetic nanoparticles on the base of Fe₃O₄ were prepared by a facile and rapid one-pot solvothermal synthesis using FeCl₃·6H₂O as a source of iron ions, ethylene glycol as a solvent and NH₄Ac, (NH₄)₂CO₃, NH₄HCO₃ or aqueous NH₃ as precipitating and nucleating agents. In contrast to previous reports we reduce the synthesis time to 30 minutes using pressurized microwave reactor without requirement of further post-treatment such as calcination. Dramatically reduced synthesis time prevents particles growth via Ostwald ripening thus the obtained particles have dimension in range of 20 to 130 nm, they are uniform in shape and exhibit magnetic properties with saturation magnetization ranging from 8 to 76 emu·g⁻¹. Suggested method allows simple particle size and crystallinity tuning resulting in improved magnetic properties by changing the synthesis parameters, i.e. temperature and nucleating agent. Moreover, efficiency of conversion of raw material into the product is almost 100 %.

Introduction

Recently, the interest in preparation of nano-sized magnetic particles has risen due to their potential utilization in many areas of use. The most ambitious applications are in medicine and pharmacy for magnetic resonance imaging, biomaterials diagnostics, and drug delivery and in cancer treatment: magnetically mediated hyperthermia. Furthermore, they are used for preparation of functional magnetic materials, high recording media, coloured pigments and ferrofluids.¹⁻⁶ All of these applications require defined nanoparticles features together with high magnetization. Therefore, the development of synthetic method enabling the preparation of tailor-made product with truly wide range of requesting properties gets great attention. Lots of methods have been introduced for preparation of magnetite nanoparticles, by using both, dry and wet chemistry. Thanks to the simplicity of solvothermal method it became one of the most popular among them. It is based on the principle of heating of solution of a metallic salt in a suitable solvent in the Teflon-lined stainless autoclave in the presence of other substances, such as nucleating or reducing agents and surfactants. Recently, several teams have described relatively simple preparation of well-crystallized magnetic nanoparticles where ferric chloride is the source of iron cations and ethylene glycol serves both as a solvent and reducing agent. Moreover, other substances used in synthesis

were ethylenediamine serving as both, coordinating agent and quasi-surfactant, urea or different ammonium salts used as nucleating agents, sodium acetate or other chemicals.⁷⁻¹⁰ However, tardiness of all of these methods (synthesis time at least 12 hours) is their main disadvantage which should be solved in order to improve efficiency and applicability of solvothermal syntheses. Microwave heating, using the transformation of electromagnetic energy to heat, seems to be the proper alternative to conventional heating leading to acceleration of synthetic procedure. Common microwave reactors use radiation of frequency 2.45 GHz and the wavelength 12.2 cm. Microwave synthesis is used in both organic and inorganic chemistry as a rapid method durable usually several minutes and therefore it is also energy saving. It is possible to operate with a reflux system at atmospheric pressure that provides preparation of particles of various sizes and shapes, such as spheres, sheets, rods, tubes and others as it was demonstrated in recently.¹¹⁻¹³ Several microwave-assisted methods utilizing the reflux system have been used for synthesis of magnetic particles. However, these methods are relatively tedious and time-consuming as it turned out. As an example, two hours are required for preparation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles by MW heating of product obtained by mixing of solutions of FeCl₃ and FeSO₄ under argon protection with the addition of aqueous NH₃.¹⁴ In another case, magnetite and maghemite nanoparticles were synthesized by the fast and simple method based on MW heating of precursor obtained from the mixture of FeCl₃ and polyethylene glycol (Mw = 20000) in distilled water with the addition of hydrazine hydrate; however, the as-obtained particles have relatively wide size distribution and irregular shapes.¹⁵ According to our

^a Centre of Polymer Systems, Tomas Bata University in Zlin, tr. Tomase Bati 5678, 760 01 Zlin, Czech Republic

[†] Corresponding author
Email: zkozakova@cps.utb.cz

experience, heating limited by boiling temperature, intense mass flows accompanying the evaporation and subsequent condensation of the solvent in external coolers and foaming of the reaction mixture are strong limitations for these systems. Although a pressurized system for solvothermal synthesis offers relatively simple control over the morphology and particle size, examples of microwave pressurized reactors are reported very rarely in this field. Caillot et al. used microwave reactor connected with an autoclave (RAMO system, Reacteur Autoclave Micro Onde) for the synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles using $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and sodium ethoxide as starting material.¹⁶ The resulting products were Fe_2O_3 , Fe_3O_4 or their mixtures depending on the concentration of sodium ethoxide. Microwave-assisted syntheses using pressurized system are based on exposure of reaction mixtures to MW radiation in sealed vessels made of PTFE (Teflon) or even glass in microwave ovens. Products of such synthesis are influenced by the initial setting of synthetic parameters, namely concentration of metallic salts and type of solvent. On the other hand, a programmable MW system with precise control of temperature and pressure may offer full control over the synthesis conditions.¹⁷ In many cases, temperature increase is needed for initiation of nucleation in nanoparticles synthesis. If using the conventional heating, reaction mixture is heated through the wall of reaction vessel via conduction and convection of heat, and thus created temperature gradient causes non-uniform nucleation and growth conditions. Microwave heating can solve this problem, since the energy is directly transferred to the microwave absorbing materials and the total volume of reactant is therefore heated, while the uniformity of heating is assured by the positioning of reaction vessels on a rotating carousel overcoming thus main drawback of multimode MW ovens.¹⁸ There are two MW heating effects that determine the quality of final substance: thermal and non-thermal effects. The thermal effect is considered as a fast and effective heating of reaction mixture providing uniform nucleation and growth conditions thus leads to preparation of uniform nanoparticles with small sizes and high crystallinity. Non thermal effects include the creation of hot spots and hot surfaces within the heating of solid materials on the liquid-solid surfaces which support the reduction of metal precursors, nucleation and formation of metal clusters.¹¹ These effects are still a matter of intensive discussion and controversies in synthetic chemistry. Moreover, the mechanisms of microwave effects playing the role in solvothermal synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles remain uncovered in recent literature. The goal of this work is threefold. First is to establish simple, fast and highly efficient method of magnetic nanoparticles synthesis with a view to the scientific and commercial uses. Towards this purpose we decided to combine the simplicity of solvothermal methods and efficiency of microwave heating into novel, environmental friendly method providing the product of fine quality in high yields. In next, this work contributes to the elucidation of effects of microwave heating on the processes and reactions that occur during the synthesis. Understanding the correlation among the reaction mechanism, conditions and properties of resulting particles enables to fulfil the third goal – development of a simple method with possibility to tailor the properties of product.

Materials and methods

Solvothermal synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles. Nano- and submicro-sized Fe_3O_4 particles were prepared by a simple solvothermal method in ethylene glycol solution with the help of microwave irradiation. All reagents used within the synthesis were purchased from Penta Ltd. (Czech Republic) in analytical grade and used without further purification. In a standard experiment, 5 mmol of $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.352 g) was dissolved in 60 mL of ethylene glycol, followed by the addition of 50 mmol of ammonium acetate - NH_4Ac (3.854 g). This mixture was placed in a 100 mL Teflon reaction vessel (XP-1500 Plus), heated in a CEM Mars 5 microwave oven to the required temperature (200 °C, 210 °C, 220 °C) and maintained at this temperature for 30 minutes. After the reaction, the vessel was cooled to a room temperature and black precipitate was collected with the help of permanent magnet. Subsequently, the as-obtained product was washed with distilled water and ethanol for several times and dried naturally on air. Furthermore, in similar experiments, 25 mmol of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$ (2.403 g), 50 mmol of NH_4HCO_3 (3.953 g) or 50 mmol of NH_3 in the form of aqueous solution (3.8 mL of 25% water solution) were used as a nucleating agent instead of NH_4Ac . Further experiments involved the addition of 2 to 4 mL of demineralized water to the reaction system while rest of parameters remained unchanged. In all of the experiments, Fe(III) was used as the only source of iron since there is no necessity to work under Ar (or other inert atmosphere) to prevent Fe(II) from oxidation into Fe(III) and no need to work at high pH to obtain uniform particles. Moreover, reduction agent used – ethylene glycol reduces Fe(III) into Fe(II) thus both oxidation states are present in reaction system.

Characterization methods

First of all, macroscopic appearance of prepared powder was observed by naked eye and by digital microscope DVM 2500 (Leica Microsystems, Germany). The structure of the final product was characterized by the X-ray Diffraction (PANalytical X'Pert PRO) with Cu $\text{K}\alpha_1$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.540598 \text{ \AA}$). Phase composition and crystallites sizes were determined according to Rietveld analysis. Particle size and morphology were preliminary investigated with the help of Scanning Electron Microscopy (VEGA\\LMU, Tescan). Particles shape, organization and proportions were further specified by Transmission Electron Microscopy (JEOL 1200, JEOL). Image analysis was used for estimation of particle size distribution. Magnetic properties were measured by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM 7400, Lake Shore).

Results and discussion

Correlation of particle properties with reaction conditions. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of samples exemplified on materials prepared with NH_4Ac at 200, 210 and 220 °C for 30 minutes can be seen in Fig. 1. Diffraction pattern of material prepared at 220 °C is clearly attributed to the cubic crystal structure of Fe_3O_4 , however, presence of peaks that do not belong to the magnetite are observed in patterns of materials prepared at 200 and 210 °C, indicating creation of other crystalline phases at lower synthesis temperatures. In addition, although the diffraction pattern of product of synthesis proceeding at

200 °C includes broaden regions mostly seen between 30 and 40° 2θ that indicate presence of amorphous portion and broad peaks characteristic for very small particles, these features gradually




Fig. 1 XRD patterns of magnetic particles prepared with NH_4Ac at various temperatures for 30 minutes.

disappear while the synthesis temperature increases, and at 220 °C are even absent. Therefore we can consider this system very sensitive within the range of a few tens of degrees centigrade and exploit elevated temperature to accelerate the nucleation and growth of the nanoparticles of required crystalline phase. Demonstrated development of sample crystallinity with the temperature increment is also followed by

the set of the samples nucleated by other ammonium salts used in our experiments; however, it is not shown here for the sake of brevity. Calculation according to the Rietveld analysis showed

that the crystallites size of magnetite phase depends on the used nucleating agent and varies from 20 to 80 nm. Phase composition also differs with the manner of nucleation. While the product prepared with aqueous ammonia is composed of pure Fe_3O_4 without other crystalline impurities, the presence of minor ferric compounds such as $\text{FeO}(\text{OH})$ and $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ is evident in samples nucleated by ammonium carbonate and ammonium bicarbonate and utilization of ammonium acetate leads to the formation of product with $\text{FeO}(\text{OH})$ in bigger portions.

It is well known that maghemite always accompanies magnetite in all materials due to the slow oxidation of magnetite into the maghemite by air oxygen and results in the formation of the maghemite layer on the surface. In nanoscaled materials, this effect becomes considerable due to the large surface area and can be observed even in single crystals.¹⁹⁻²¹ Moreover, reactants and mechanism (will be discussed later) of presented synthesis allows formation of maghemite and magnetite. Usually, maghemite phase can be

determined by Mössbauer spectrometry.^{22,23} However, the differentiation between non-stoichiometric magnetite and magnetite–maghemite mixture is considerably challenging and was even claimed to be almost impossible.^{24,25} The same spinel structure and almost identical lattice parameters makes identification of magnetite ($\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{Fe}(\text{III})_2\text{O}_4$) and maghemite ($\gamma\text{-Fe}(\text{III})_2\text{O}_3$) by XRD technique complicated. However, a deep detailed analysis of (511) Bragg




Fig. 2 Distinguishing between the magnetite and maghemite by the detailed analysis of the diffraction peaks localized in angle range 56.5-57.5° 2θ.

peak at 2θ range 56.5-57.5° and (440) peak at 62-63.5° 2θ provide insight in this issue.²⁶ Pure magnetite has the central position of the diffraction peak at 57.0 while maghemite has this peak slightly shifted to higher values, i.e. 57.3. Under the certain conditions, observed peaks can be analysed by deconvolution with the use of proper magnetite and maghemite standards. A detailed analysis of XRD patterns obtained for our samples are shown in Fig. 2. It is evident that all peaks are composed of more than one Gaussian contribution which can be attributed to the presence of both magnetite and maghemite phases in all samples. On the other hand, the peak positions at X-axes can be slightly shifted because the used diffractometer is not equipped with the Göbel mirror. Without the use of high resolution XRD and pure magnetite and maghemite nanoparticulate standards,




Fig. 3 SEM figure of material prepared with NH_4Ac for 30 minutes.




Fig. 4 TEM images of materials prepared at 220 °C for 30 minutes with $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$ (a), NH_4HCO_3 (b), aq. NH_3 (c) and NH_4Ac (d).

the deconvolution cannot be successfully performed and the ratio between the phases cannot be exactly estimated. Scanning electron microscopy image (SEM) of particles prepared with ammonium acetate is shown in Fig. 3. The particles have quasi spherical shape and occur in a form of clusters. Similarly, SEM study of all types of prepared products provides analogous results, which can be influenced by the resolution of the used method. Images of better resolution were obtained by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 4). Analyses of the TEM images show that the importance of nucleation agent on the particle size, shape and organization is

evident. The use of aqueous NH_3 as well as NH_4HCO_3 provides single-crystalline material composed of uniform-shaped polyhedral nanoparticles with narrow size distribution and dimension below 30 nm. However, the use of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$ and NH_4Ac for nucleation lead to the creation of clusters consisted of nano-sized grains and ranging to several hundred nanometers. The hierarchical structure of clusters affects the magnetic properties of materials obtained and therefore we further studied the reason for their formation within the microwave-assisted solvothermal process. The size of clusters obtained with the use of ammonium acetate on the base of

TEM analysis is 60 nm. Since the size of grains of the magnetite phase calculated by Rietveld analysis is about 40 nm, these clusters seems to be formed by the binding of the small crystallites of nonmagnetic phase together with the magnetite crystals, as can be seen in the TEM image at high magnification (Fig. 4d). While the ammonium carbonate is used, particles with diameter of about 120 nm are formed due to the twinning of crystallites of magnetite with the diameter of 80 nm induced by the incorporation of crystalline impurities (such as FeO(OH) and α -Fe₂O₃) produced during the synthesis. Nanoparticles sizes are confirmed by calculations on the base of Rietveld analysis as described above.

Information on particle sizes obtained by TEM image analyses were further used for creation of histograms which give us conception of particle size distribution in prepared materials (Fig. 5).

Magnetostatic properties of samples were investigated by using of vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at the room

temperature. Fig. 6 (left) demonstrates magnetization curves of material prepared with ammonium acetate synthesized at 200, 210 and 220 °C. Saturation magnetization (M_s) of nanoparticles obtained with NH₄Ac varies from 13.8 to 67.7 emu.g⁻¹ with regard to the increase of temperature. The increase of M_s can be explained on the one hand to the crystallinity improvement seen in Fig. 1, and on the other hand probably due to the phase transition at higher temperature which led to formation of material with major magnetite (maghemite) fraction. Similar results were obtained for the samples nucleated by (NH₄)₂CO₃ with saturation magnetization ranging from 8.4 to 46.2 emu.g⁻¹, as well as for NH₄HCO₃ with M_s in a range of 33.2 to 75.2 emu.g⁻¹ and, also for aqueous NH₃ with the highest saturation magnetization among the forenamed materials that reaches 44.5 to 76.3 emu.g⁻¹. Lower values of M_s of prepared materials than that of the bulk magnetite (92–100 emu.g⁻¹)²⁷ are common




Fig. 5 Size distribution histograms of materials prepared at 220 °C for 30 minutes with various nucleating agents.

for nanoparticulate systems and can be attributed to the canted spins on the surfaces.²⁸ On the other hand, maghemite phase present on the surface of nanoparticles could also lead to the decrease of M_s . For better understanding of effects influencing the magnetic properties of prepared materials, the magnetization curves obtained with the use of different nucleating agents were plotted together in Fig. 6 (right). Obviously, particles prepared with aqueous ammonia and ammonium bicarbonate have the highest saturation magnetization; particles prepared with ammonium carbonate have the lowest one. It is well known that coercivity is determined by effective magnetic anisotropy and thus depends on the material composition as well as the grain size and shape. Fig. 6 shows the rise of the coercivity depending on the synthesis temperature. It can be attributed to the already mentioned presence of the magnetite phase in the sample at the expense of other less magnetic phases such as hematite or non-magnetic goethite and the growth of the particles to the larger dimensions. However, despite of variations of particle

size with the use of different nucleating agents, the coercivity of the materials prepared by different way of nucleation is very similar and reaches about 60 Oe. Nevertheless, material prepared with the use of ammonium carbonate is the only exception with nearly zero value of coercivity. The squeezed shape of hysteresis loop (see the inset graph in Fig. 6) indicates pronounced influence of the clusters formation on the hysteresis and confirms presence of two magnetic phases that differs in magnetic properties.²⁹

The mechanism of nanoparticle formation by MW-assisted synthesis. As the starting point for the description of the growth of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles can be adopted the two-stage growth model of nanoparticles in supersaturated solutions.³⁰ In the first stage, nucleation of primary nanocrystals occurs what is followed by their aggregation into the secondary nanoparticles and, especially, this second step has to be reconsidered for







Fig. 6 Magnetization curves of materials prepared with NH_4Ac at various temperatures for 30 minutes (left) and at $220\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 minutes with various nucleating agents (right).

specific microwave conditions. We used NH_4Ac , $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$, NH_4HCO_3 and aqueous NH_3 as nucleating agents. NH_4Ac is weak-acid-weak-base salt that can be hydrolysed at high temperature in the presence of trace amount of water coming from $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as follows:⁷




Although $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ is used in reference⁷, we do not expect the formation of solid ammonium hydrates in the system and we consider this formula to be an expression of presence of ammonia and water ($\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$) as it was most likely author's original intention.

Similarly, $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$ and NH_4HCO_3 can be hydrolysed into NH_3 :



NH_3 , either as the product of above reactions or added as aqueous solution yields hydroxide anions.



In our case, Fe (III) salt was used as the precursor. Precipitation of its hydroxide in form of colloidal solution proceeds as follows:





In next, formation of Fe_2O_3 particles may continue according to:




Hence maghemite phase can be formed in this way.

Under the presence of a mild reduction agent (e.g. ethylene glycol), Fe (II) may appear in the system. Ethylene glycol can undergoes dehydration and so-formed acetaldehyde^{31,32} reduces Fe (III) into Fe (II) and gives ferrous hydroxide in form of a green colloid:




Most likely, both ferric and ferrous oxidation states of iron coexist in the reaction mixture thus enabling magnetite formation:



A minor phase, goethite, was observed and its presence in prepared materials can be explained by partial dehydration:



or by following equation in presence of oxygen:



The first step of nucleation starts with the first appearance of heterogeneity in the system similarly, as in common solvothermal methods. Hu et al.⁷ proposed the mechanism with the key role of $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$. Aqueous ammonia evaporates and forms gaseous bubbles that provide heterogeneous nucleation centers for new-formed nanoparticles, which can then aggregate around the gas-liquid interface. In our case, we used various precipitation agents and all of them lead to nanocrystalline products in the same reaction time; however, we did not obtain any evidence of hollow structures. Moreover, other authors used ammonia-less techniques with sodium acetate and obtained similar results, albeit this was achieved without MW assistance.^{30,33} Lou et al. in their review³⁴ consider gas templating mechanism that use soluble gases especially that originated from decomposition of organic molecules such as urea, as highly speculative. Therefore we propose that the

critical size corresponding to single-domain state, another mechanism of MW absorption is assumed to take the main role. Microwave energy is transformed into the heat by magnetic moment rotation and after some time in bigger multi-domain particles also by magnetic domain wall motion. This sequence of several mechanisms can explain the sudden formation of particles in the reaction mixture as well as the very short synthesis time. Time required for the formation of nanoparticles with magnetic properties exceeds 12 hours for common solvothermal synthesis. Assuming simply just the fast heating of reaction mixture and no non-thermal effects supporting the transformation of raw material into the product we reduced synthesis time firstly to 20 minutes. However, the time of microwave treatment was not sufficient for the precipitate formation and only opaque yellow colloid was obtained with no magnetically separable fraction. Prolongation of the exposure to microwaves to 30 minutes provides formation of black precipitate and, moreover, the conversion of starting material into the product runs with almost 100% efficiency. This fact refers to the sudden formation of final product, the relatively narrow particle size distribution and support the concept of sequential action of MW absorption mechanisms as a non-thermal effect.

The role of solvent has to be reconsidered in comparison to conventional processes as well. Ethylene glycol is an exceptionally good microwave absorbing solvent at 2.45 GHz ($\tan \delta = 1.350$)³⁶ which assures very fast increase of temperature at the beginning of the synthesis together with fast achievement of quasi-stable reaction condition in the pressurized reaction vessel. On the other hand, it is not directly involved in chemistry of ferric hydroxide or oxide formation. EG can disproportionate according to equation (7) but the equilibrium must be shifted to the left side of the reaction, however the acetaldehyde enters the redox reaction (8) and yields Fe^{2+} cations. Liberation of one H_2O molecule per each Fe^{2+} cation occurs according to equations (7) and (8). Stoichiometric amount of water is delivered to the reaction system with $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The water plays crucial role in cation solvation, nucleation agent hydrolysis, see equations (1-4), and in formation of ferric and ferrous hydroxides, equations (5) and (9). Hence, the water is not present in excess but in stoichiometric amount as one of the reactants. Moreover, it has the highest dielectric constant among

reaction starts with formation of colloid according to the equations (5) and (9) rather than with formation of nanobubbles.

In the second step, it is generally accepted for conventional solvothermal methods that the fresh formed nanocrystals are unstable

due to high surface energy so they tend to aggregate. The driving force for this aggregation is pursuit of reducing the surface energy by both, attachment among the primary nanocrystals and their rotation caused by various interactions including Brownian motion or short-range interactions.^{30,35} In our case of MW heating, the solvent at a high temperature (above 200 °C) becomes less absorbing due to decrease of dielectric constant and loss factor thus the solvent becomes virtually more transparent for microwaves, which means that the ionic mechanism of microwave absorption may be prevailing over dipoles.¹² After the solid particles occur in the solution, another mechanism becomes active due to particles surface polarization that might selectively influence their growth. Moreover, as the heat is generated at the surface of nanoparticles, the local temperature gradient can contribute to the hydroxide-to-oxide transformation described in equations (6) and (10). Once the oxide particle reaches common solvents although its loss factor ($\tan \delta = 0.123$)³⁶ is approximately eleven times lower than that of EG.

In contrast to fast nucleation in aqueous solutions, aggregation in ethylene glycol is kinetically slower due to fewer hydroxyl groups on the particle surface and higher viscosity, what allows adequate rotation of nanocrystals to form low-energy configuration of interface and perfect organized assemblies. Subsequently, these aggregates further crystallize and form compact crystals that exhibit features of single-crystal particles.⁹ As it was possible to see in Fig. 3, particles obtained with the use of aqueous NH_3 are single crystals with polyhedral shape, the second most perfectly developed crystallites were observed for NH_4HCO_3 then followed by less developed spherical multi-grains obtained by the use of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$, whereas nucleation by NH_4Ac led to the biggest and polycrystalline particles with spherical shape. The same trend was observed for phase composition of prepared materials. As can be seen in Tab. 1, the use of aqueous ammonia yielded single phase material while NH_4Ac gave the highest fraction of goethite in product. The other nucleation agents NH_4HCO_3 and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$ gave moderate results. Observed trend of perfection of crystalline structure development correlates well with the virtually hidden presence of water in nucleating agents. Hydrolysis of NH_4Ac does not provide any extra water molecule per each NH_3 in equation (1). Hydrolysis of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$ yields one extra H_2O molecule per two NH_3 and hydrolysis of NH_4HCO_3 yields two extra water molecules per each NH_3 , see equations (2, 3). About 2,5 mL of water gets to the reaction system with addition of aqueous ammonia (25 % water solution), which means approximately 3 molecules of H_2O per each NH_3 . Since the synthesis conditions such as temperature and duration are the same in all four cases, the influence of composition of reaction mixture on particle shape and organization was further investigated. Similar system was described by Cao et al.³⁵ and denominated as (EG)- H_2O system. By the addition of different amounts of water (1-3 mL) into the reaction system, Fe_3O_4 polyhedral particles with different size are formed whereas samples prepared without water addition led to the formation of product consisted of spherical particles assembled by smaller particles. If water is added to reaction system, coordinated EG molecules will be substituted by water molecules since the coordination of water molecules to metal ions is stronger than that

Tab. 1 Variations of properties of materials prepared with different nucleating agents.

| Type of nucleating agent | NH ₃ to H ₂ O molecules ratio | Crystalline impurities | Fe ₃ O ₄ crystallites size by XRD (nm) | Particle Size by TEM (nm) | M _s (emu.g ⁻¹) | H _c (Oe) |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| (NH ₄) ₂ CO ₃ | 1:0,5 | minor Fe ₂ O ₃ .H ₂ O, Fe ₂ O ₃ | 84 | 130 | 46 | 2 |
| NH ₄ HCO ₃ | 1:1 | minor Fe ₂ O ₃ .H ₂ O | 32 | 40 | 75 | 65 |
| NH ₃ aq. | 1:3 | - | 17 | 20 | 76 | 61 |
| NH ₄ Ac | 1:0 | minor α-FeO(OH) | 42 | 60 | 68 | 67 |

of EG molecules. Selecting the amount of added water, particle size can be influenced in agreement with Cao et al. We considered the role of addition of deionized water as one of the most important factors in the control of particle size and size distribution, too. For this purpose we also performed experiments with the addition of water into the reaction mixture. According to the TEM figures showed above, sample nucleated by NH₄HCO₃ was chosen due to the narrow size distribution and shape uniformity of particles. Apparently from TEM image seen in Fig. 7, addition of small amount of demineralized water causes reduction of particles from 30 to 10 nm, even if the crystalline composition and design of the as-prepared particles remains unchanged and they also possess single-crystalline character with polyhedral shape. Size of particles dropped to the value under which particles are not more in a single-domain state and we obtained superparamagnetic particles. This magnetic behaviour is desired for many application, however, in many cases such also in our case, this transition led also to the decrease of M_s value (Fig. 7 (right)). Next to the synthetic conditions that can be directly controlled such as the synthetic temperature and composition of reaction mixture, pressure in reaction vessels can be influenced only indirectly, through the setting the level of the vessel filling, type of solvent and temperature (reaction temperature below or above the boiling point of solvent) and also by the type of used reactants. Since the used solvent and the level of vessel filling was almost the same in our experiments, the pressure in reaction vessels during the synthesis depended on the used nucleation agent and varied from 900 to 3800 kPa. When aqueous ammonia and ammonium acetate were used within the synthesis, the lowest pressure (800-1000 kPa) was obtained. On the other hand, the decomposition of ammonium carbonate was accompanied with the increasing the pressure up to 3800 kPa due to the significant change of mole ratio of reaction (see equation (2)). The use of ammonium bicarbonate leads to medium value of pressure about 2000 kPa, since smaller change of mole ratio of reaction accompanying the decomposition reaction.

The importance of the use of pressurized reactor lies in the possibility to superheat the solvent what means that the synthesis can be performed above the boiling point. Moreover, above the boiling point, ethylene glycol becomes virtually transparent for microwaves thus the reaction mixture behaves similarly as ionic liquids that are consisted entirely of ions and ionic effect becomes prevailing reaction mechanism. The ionic effect is considered to be much stronger than the dipolar rotation mechanism and therefore the conversion of raw material into the solid product in the reaction system can be significantly enhanced. Moreover, the use of pressurized microwave reactors with sealed vessels ensure limited amount of oxygen from the ambient to be involved in the reaction system thus preventing the complete oxidation of Fe (II) into the Fe (III) cations that lead to the formation of undesired byproducts such as α-Fe₂O₃.

Conclusions

Simple and effective method for preparation of magnetic material based on Fe₃O₄ in nano- and submicron-dimension has been proposed. Efficiency of this method is to provide the transformation of common solvothermal method using conventional heating into the microwave-assisted method. The change of heating manner causes considerable acceleration of the reaction due to the direct heating of absorbing material and non-thermal effects supporting the transformation of raw material into the final product. Thanks to the use of pressurized system enabling the control of the synthesis temperature and time as well as indirectly total pressure in the reaction vessel, materials with various properties that reflect the requirements of the specific applications were prepared. Particles ranging from 20 to more than 100 nm based on single-crystals or crystalline assemblies were prepared selecting the type of nucleating agent.




Fig. 7 TEM image of material prepared with NH_4HCO_3 with the addition of water (left) and magnetization curves of the same material with and without the water addition (right).

It is established that appropriate composition of the starting reaction mixture leads to the formation of the pure one-phase or multiphase materials. Magnetic properties of materials obtained by proposed microwave-assisted method can be tailored as a consequence of the changes in the phase composition, crystalline structure, particles size, shape and their self-organization into clusters. Beside the change of reaction mixture by the variation of nucleating agents, reaction medium represented by ethylene glycol can be modified by the addition of demineralized water. Small portion of demineralized water in the reaction medium results in the reduction of nanoparticles sizes to the one third of original size that significantly reflects in the magnetic properties and can lead to the formation of single domain nanoparticles in superparamagnetic and ferrimagnetic states. Even the addition of minor amount of demineralized water to the reaction system can serve as the tool for tailoring the magnetic properties of the final product and makes this microwave-assisted solvothermal method more flexible to the current requirements. Consequently, simplicity, low cost and variability and reproducibility of this method makes it proper candidate for the routine preparation of ferrimagnetic and superparamagnetic material in nano-dimensions.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic – Program NPU I (LO1504).

Notes and references

- 1 A. K. Gupta and M. Gupta, *Biomaterials*, 2005, **26**, 3995-4021.
- 2 D. K. Kim, Y. Zhang, J. Kehr, T. Klason, B. Bjelke and M. Muhammed, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 2001, **225**, 256-261.
- 3 A. S. Lubbe, C. Alexiou and C. Bergemann, *Journal of Surgical Research*, 2001, **95**, 200-206.
- 4 S. W. Cao, Y. J. Zhu, M. Y. Ma, L. Li and L. Zhang, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2008, **112**, 1851-1856.
- 5 J. Jestin, F. Cousin, I. Dubois, C. Menager, R. Schweins, J. Oberdisse and F. Boue, *Advanced Materials*, 2008, **20**, 2533-2540.
- 6 C. Scherer and A. M. F. Neto, *Brazilian Journal of Physics*, 2005, **35**, 718-727.
- 7 P. Hu, L. J. Yu, A. H. Zuo, C. Y. Guo and F. L. Yuan, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2009, **113**, 900-906.
- 8 X. Y. Chen, Z. J. Zhang, X. X. Li and C. W. Shi, *Chemical Physics Letters*, 2006, **422**, 294-298.
- 9 L. P. Zhu, H. M. Xiao, W. D. Zhang, G. Yang and S. Y. Fu, *Crystal Growth & Design*, 2008, **8**, 957-963.
- 10 H. Deng, X. L. Li, Q. Peng, X. Wang, J. P. Chen and Y. D. Li, *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, 2005, **44**, 2782-2785.
- 11 M. Tsuji, M. Hashimoto, Y. Nishizawa, M. Kubokawa and T. Tsuji, *Chemistry-a European Journal*, 2005, **11**, 440-452.
- 12 A. Loupy, *Microwaves in organic synthesis – a review*, Wiley VHC, Weinheim, 2002.
- 13 P. Lidstrom, J. Tierney, B. Wathey and J. Westman, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 9225-9283.

- 14 R. Y. Hong, T. T. Pan and H. Z. Li, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 2006, **303**, 60-68.
- 15 W. W. Wang, Y. J. Zhu and M. L. Ruan, *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 2007, **9**, 419-426.
- 16 T. Caillot, D. Aymes, D. Stuerger, N. Viart and G. Pourroy, *Journal of Materials Science*, 2002, **37**, 5153-5158.
- 17 Z. Kozakova, P. Bazant, M. Machovsky, V. Babayan and I. Kuritka, *Acta Physica Polonica A*, 2010, **118**, 948-949.
- 18 J. A. Gerbec, D. Magana, A. Washington and G. F. Strouse, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2005, **127**, 15791-15800.
- 19 A. P. Grosvenor, B. A. Kobe and N. S. McIntyre, *Surface Science*, 2004, **565**, 151-162.
- 20 P. Guardia, A. Labarta and X. Batlle, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2011, **115**, 390-396.
- 21 J. Tang, M. Myers, K. A. Bosnick and L. E. Brus, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2003, **107**, 7501-7506.
- 22 A. G. Roca, J. F. Marco, M. D. Morales and C. J. Serna, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2007, **111**, 18577-18584.
- 23 A. C. Doriguetto, N. G. Fernandes, A. I. C. Persiano, E. Nunes, J. M. Greneche and J. D. Fabris, *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 2003, **30**, 249-255.
- 24 R. E. Vandenberghe, C. A. Barrero, G. M. da Costa, E. Van San and E. De Grave, *Hyperfine Interactions*, 2000, **126**, 247-259.
- 25 C. A. Gorski and M. M. Scherer, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2009, **43**, 3675-3680.
- 26 W. Kim, C. Y. Suh, S. W. Cho, K. M. Roh, H. Kwon, K. Song and I. J. Shon, *Talanta*, 2012, **94**, 348-352.
- 27 R. M. Cornell and U. Schwertmann, *The iron oxides: structure, properties, reactions occurrences, and uses*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2003.
- 28 M. P. Morales, S. Veintemillas-Verdaguer, M. I. Montero, C. J. Serna, A. Roig, L. Casas, B. Martinez and F. Sandiumenge, *Chemistry of Materials*, 1999, **11**, 3058-3064.
- 29 R. Skomski, *Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter*, 2003, **15**, R841-R896.
- 30 S. H. Xuan, Y. X. J. Wang, J. C. Yu and K. C. F. Leung, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2009, **21**, 5079-5087.
- 31 S. E. Skrabalak, B. J. Wiley, M. Kim, E. V. Formo and Y. N. Xia, *Nano Letters*, 2008, **8**, 2077-2081.
- 32 W. B. Smith, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 2091-2094.
- 33 A. Yan, X. H. Liu, G. Qiu, N. Zhang, R. R. Shi, R. Yi, M. Tang and R. C. Che, *Solid State Communications*, 2007, **144**, 315-318.
- 34 X. W. Lou, L. A. Archer and Z. C. Yang, *Advanced Materials*, 2008, **20**, 3987-4019.
- 35 S. W. Cao, Y. J. Zhu and J. Chang, *New Journal of Chemistry*, 2008, **32**, 1526-1530.
- 36 N. E. Leadbeater, *Microwave heating as a tool for sustainable chemistry*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2012.

Iron oxide nanoparticles were prepared by MW assisted solvothermal synthesis. Reaction mechanism was elucidated what allowed us to tailor the properties of particles.

