# Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

# **Dalton Transactions**

# ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/dalton



Yumiko Nakajima,\*<sup>a</sup> Takahiro Tsuchimoto,<sup>b</sup> Yung-Hung Chang,<sup>b</sup> Katsuhiko Takeuchi<sup>b</sup> and Fumiyuki Ozawa\*<sup>b</sup>

Bond activation of silyl compounds, assisted by cooperative action of non-coordinating anions, is achieved using Cu(I) complexes coordinated with a PNP-pincer type phosphaalkene ligand, [Cu(X)(BPEP-Ph)] (X = PF<sub>6</sub> (1a), SbF<sub>6</sub> (1b); BPEP-Ph = 2,6-bis[1-phenyl-2-(2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylphenyl)-2-phosphaethenyl]pyridine). Complexes 1a and 1b react with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN to form Me<sub>3</sub>SiF and Cu(I) cyanide complexes of the formula  $[Cu(CN-EF_5)(BPEP-Ph)]$  (E = P (2a), Sb (2b)), in which the CN ligand is associated with the EF<sub>5</sub> group arising from EF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>. Formation of the intermediary isonitrile complex  $[Cu(CNSiMe_3)(BPEP-Ph)]^+SbF_6^-$  (3b) is confirmed by its isolation. Thus, the two-step reaction process involving coordination of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN, followed by nucleophilic attack of SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> on the silicon atom of 3b is established for the conversion of 1b to 2b. Complex 1b cleaves the H–Si bond of PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiH as well. The isolation and structural identification of  $[Cu(BPEP-Ph)]^+BArF_4^-$  (1c)  $(BArF_4 = B\{3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}_4)$  as a rare example of a T-shaped, three-coordinated Cu(I) complex is reported.

# Introduction

Phosphaalkenes with a P=C bond possess an extremely lowlying  $\pi^*$  orbital and exhibit strong  $\pi$ -acceptor properties towards transition metals.<sup>1</sup> We have documented that this particular ligand property often provides interesting structures and reactivities in late transition metal complexes.<sup>2–4</sup>

Our current interest is focused on PNP-pincer complexes supported by 2,6-bis[1-phenyl-2-(2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylphenyl)-2phosphaethenyl]pyridine (BPEP-Ph), in which two P=C bonds are linked to 2,6-positions of pyridine. We have demonstrated that this novel tridentate ligand with a highly delocalized  $\pi$ electron system successfully stabilizes 3d metal complexes in low oxidation states. Representative examples include Fe(I) complexes with a 15-electron configuration,<sup>5</sup> which exhibit unique redox behavior.<sup>6</sup> We have also communicated that Cu(I) centers in [Cu(X)(BPEP-Ph)] (X = PF<sub>6</sub> (**1a**), SbF<sub>6</sub> (**1b**)) establish strong bonding interactions with PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> and SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> as noncoordinating anions in nonpolar solvents as well as in the solid state (Scheme 1).<sup>7</sup> DFT calculations have revealed that BPEP-Ph





This paper describes bond activation of silyl compounds induced by **1a** and **1b**. We anticipated that the highly electrondeficient Cu(I) center in these complexes could enhance the electrophilicity of silyl substrates to a considerable extent and facilitate external attack of a nucleophile. Actually, we found that **1a** and **1b** cause N–Si bond cleavage of Me<sub>3</sub>SiNC under mild conditions, where PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> and SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> as "non-coordinating anions" act as nucleophiles. We also present the crystal structure of a rare

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Interdisciplinary Research Center for Catalytic Chemistry, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8565, Japan. E-mail: yumiko-nakajima@aist.go.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>. International Research Center for Elements Science (IRCELS), Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan. E-mail: ozawa@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp

<sup>†</sup>Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Details of crystal structure determination and DFT calculations. CCDC 1417449 and 1417450. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### ARTICLE

example of a T-shaped, three-coordinated Cu(I) complex,  $[Cu(BPEP-Ph)]^{+}BAr^{F_{4}-}(1c) (BAr^{F_{4}} = B\{3,5-(CF_{3})_{2}C_{6}H_{3}\}_{4}).$ 

## Results and discussion

#### Solution structures of [Cu(X)(BPEP-Ph)]

While **1a** and **1b** are isolated as crystalline compounds with tight bonding interactions between Cu and X, they show the same NMR spectra, except X, in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as a polar solvent.<sup>7</sup> Thus, we considered that both complexes change into the T-shaped species  $[Cu(BPEP-Ph)]^+$  in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> by ionic dissociation. However, because a structually well-defined Cu(I) complex with a Tshaped geometry is very rare,<sup>8</sup> we attempted to isolate  $[Cu(BPEP-Ph)]^+$  using bulky BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> as a counter anion.

Following the synthetic procedures for **1a** and **1b**, the complex  $[Cu(BPEP-Ph)]^+BAr^{F_4-}$  (**1c**) was prepared by anionic exchange of [CuBr(BPEP-Ph)] with NaBAr<sup>F<sub>4</sub></sup> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. A single crystal suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis was grown from a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution layered with hexane. Fig. 1 presents the X-ray structure of **1c**, adopting a three-coordinated, T-shaped configuration around Cu. The Cu atom is coordinated only with BPEP-Ph. The interatomic distance between Cu and the nearest F atom of BAr<sup>F<sub>4</sub></sup> was 4.46 Å; this value is much larger than the sum of the van der Waals radii of Cu and F (2.52 Å).<sup>9</sup>



**Fig. 1** ORTEP drawing of **1c** with 50% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms, BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub> anion and a crystal solvent (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Cu–P1 = 2.206(1), Cu–P2 = 2.216(1), Cu–N = 2.074(3), P1–C1 = 1.691(4), P2–C2 = 1.711(4), P1–Cu–N = 84.5(1), P2–Cu–N = 84.5(1), P1–Cu–P2 = 167.09(5).

The lengths of Cu–P1 (2.206(1) Å), Cu–P2 (2.216(1) Å), and Cu–N (2.074(3) Å) are in a normal range of dative covalent bonds found in PNP-pincer complexes of Cu(I).<sup>7,8,10</sup> The P1–Cu–P2 angle is 167.11(5)°, whereas the P1–Cu–N and P2–Cu–N angles are 84.5(1) and 84.5(1)°, respectively. The sum of the three angles around Cu is 361.9°, the value of which is almost the same as that previously reported for a T-shaped Cu(I) complex (362.3°).<sup>8</sup> Hence, the three-coordinated, T-shaped structure of **1c** was confirmed.

Complex **1c** exhibited a singlet signal assignable to free  $BAr^{F_4-}(\delta - 62.9)$  in the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR signal was observed at  $\delta$  214.4 in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and this value was very close to that of **1a** and **1b** ( $\delta$  213.3). Moreover, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the [Cu(BPEP-Ph)]<sup>+</sup> moiety was almost identical to that of **1a** and **1b**. Thus, the ionic dissociation of **1a** and **1b** to form the T-shaped species in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was evidenced.

#### Bond activation of silyl compounds by 1a and 1b

We previously reported that the Si–N bond of Me<sub>3</sub>SiN<sub>3</sub> is activated by **1a** and **1b** to form  $[Cu_2(BPEP-Ph)_2(\mu-N_3)]^+X^-(X^-$ = PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> and SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>), respectively (Eq. 1).<sup>7</sup> These reactions very probably involve by-production of Me<sub>3</sub>SiF and EF<sub>5</sub> (E = P and Sb). However, while the formation of Me<sub>3</sub>SiF was confirmed by NMR spectroscopy, EF<sub>5</sub> could not be detected in the reaction systems. On the other hand, we noticed that the reactions with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN present all product components including EF<sub>5</sub>, which is found in an associated form with a cyanido ligand arising from the activation of Me<sub>3</sub>Si–CN bond (Eq. 2).



Complex **1a** rapidly reacted with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at ambient temperature to give **2a** quantitatively, as confirmed by NMR spectroscopy. The by-production of Me<sub>3</sub>SiF ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.23,  $\delta_{\rm F}$  – 157.9) was observed as well. Complex **2a** was isolated as a light yellow solid in 68% yield. Complex **1b** was less reactive than **1a**; however, the reaction with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN proceeded at 50 °C for 1 h, and cyanide complex **2b** was obtained in 43% yield, along with Me<sub>3</sub>SiF. Although complexes **2a** and **2b** did not give a satisfactory elemental analysis, they were unequivocally characterized by IR and NMR spectroscopy. The v(CN) absorption bands of **2a** and **2b** were observed at 2196 and 2158 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These wavenumbers are clearly higher than those of common Cu(I) cyanides (ca. 2100 cm<sup>-1</sup>),<sup>11</sup> reflecting the association with PF<sub>5</sub> and SbF<sub>5</sub> as strong Lewis acids.<sup>12</sup>

The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **2a** exhibited two sets of signals at  $\delta$  264.1 and –151.6. The former signal due to BPEP-Ph appeared in a typical region of phosphaalkene ligands. On the other hand, the latter signal assignable to the PF<sub>5</sub> group associated with the CN ligand was split into a doublet of quintets, due to the coupling with fluorine nuclei (<sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PF</sub> = 774 and 745 Hz). This coupling pattern was consistent with the octahedral configuration around phosphorus, linked to one fluorine atom at the apical position and four fluorine atoms at the equatorial positions. Indeed, the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum of **2a** displayed two sets of signals at  $\delta$  –83.6 (dq, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PF</sub> = 774 Hz, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>FF</sub> = 62Hz) and – 53.1 (dd, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PF</sub> = 745 Hz, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>FF</sub> = 62 Hz) in the intensity ratio of 1:4,

Journal Name

which are assigned to the apical and equatorial fluorine atoms, respectively.

The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR signal of **2b** ( $\delta$  262.3) was observed in a normal range of phosphaalkene complexes as well. Although the <sup>19</sup>F NMR signals at  $\delta$  –131.0 and –108.0 were significantly broadened due to the quadrupole moment of antimony (<sup>121</sup>Sb, *I* = 5/2, <sup>123</sup>Sb, *I* = 7/2) and therefore unavailable for structural assignment, the structure associated with an SbF<sub>5</sub> group could be confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis (vide infra).

Complex **1b** cleaved the Si–H bond of hydrosilanes. For instance, the reaction with PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiH at 40 °C formed a bis(phosphaethenyl)pyridinium (87%) and PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiF (78%), along with insoluble materials (Eq. 3). It is likely that the pyridinium salt is eliminated from a Cu(I) hydride of the formula  $[CuH(BPEP-Ph)]^+SbF_6^-$ , generated by Si–H bond cleavage. It was also confirmed that the reaction of **1b** with PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiD produces the pyridinium salt deuterated at the nitrogen atom selectively.



#### X-ray crystal structure of 2b

Fig. 2 shows the X-ray structure of  $[Cu(CN-SbF_5)(BPEP-Ph)]$ (**2b**), which adopts a distorted tetrahedral configuration around Cu. A similar structure has been observed for four-coordinated Cu(I) complexes with a pincer ligand.<sup>10</sup> The Cu–N2 length (2.021(5) Å) is in a typical range of Cu(I) complexes. The Cu– P1 and Cu–P2 bonds (2.321(2) and 2.310(2) Å) are slightly longer than those in PNP-pincer analogues so far reported.<sup>7,8,10</sup> The Cu–C1 and C1–N1 bond lengths (1.886(7) and 1.180(8) Å) are ordinary for Cu(I) complexes,<sup>13</sup> and the Cu–C1–N1 bond (178.5(6)°) retains the linearity. On the other hand, the N1–Sb bond (2.043(6) Å) is clearly shorter than that of C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>-SbF<sub>5</sub> (2.213(5) Å) and pyrazine-SbF<sub>5</sub> (2.172(5) Å).<sup>14</sup> The Sb atom adopts a distorted octahedral configuration, and the Sb–F bond lengths (1.843(4)–1.861(5) Å) are in a typical range of nitrogencoordinated SbF<sub>5</sub> groups.<sup>14</sup>



**Fig. 2** ORTEP drawing of **2b** with 50% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Cu–C1 = 1.886(7), Cu–P1 = 2.321(2), Cu–P2 = 2.310(2), Cu–N2 = 2.021(5), C1–N1 = 1.80(8), N1–Sb = 2.043(6), Sb–F1 = 1.843(4), Sb–F2 = 1.858(4), Sb–F3 = 1.849(4), Sb–F4 = 1.847(4), Sb–F5 = 1.861(5), P1–C2 = 1.690(6), P2–C3 = 1.705(6), N–Cu–C1 = 126.2(2), P1–Cu–P2 = 135.95(7), Cu–C1–N1 = 178.5(6), C1–N1–Sb = 174.5(6), N1–Sb–F1 = 88.3(2), N1–Sb–F2 = 90.2(2), N1–Sb–F3 = 89.1(2), N1–Sb–F4 = 87.4(2), N1–Sb–F5 = 178.6(2).

#### Bond activation process of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN

Scheme 2 illustrates a plausible process for the formation of **2a** and **2b** from **1a** and **1b**, respectively. The first step is the coordination of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN to **1a** and **1b**. Since Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN are known to undergo 1,2-silyl group migration on transition metals,<sup>15</sup> the formation of isonitrile intermediates **3a** and **3b**, instead of nitrile homologues, are presumed. Actually, complex **3b** could be prepared by the treatment of **1b** with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN at room temperature, and isolated as a light orange compound in 83% yield. The IR spectrum exhibited the v(CN) absorption band at 2156 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and this value is consistent with the isonitrile coordination. Complex **3b** was also examined by X-ray diffraction analysis. While the data quality was low (*R*1 = 0.1713), the core structure of **3b** could be confirmed (see ESI<sup>+</sup>).



Isonitrile complexes 3a and 3b then undergo nucleophilic attack of PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> and SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> ions, respectively, on the silicon atom (Aa and Ab), leading to N–Si bond cleavage of the Me<sub>3</sub>SiNC

ligand. Finally, [Cu(CN)(BPEP-Ph)] thus generated combines with PF<sub>5</sub> and SbF<sub>5</sub> to form **2a** and **2b**, respectively.

The differences in relative stability between **3a** and [**2a** + Me<sub>3</sub>SiF] and **3b** and [**2b** + Me<sub>3</sub>SiF] were evaluated by DFT calculations. The conversion of **3a** to [**2a** + Me<sub>3</sub>SiF] was an exothermic process by 4.6 kcal/mol, whereas that of **3b** to [**2b** + Me<sub>3</sub>SiF] was exothermic by 9.2 kcal/mol. Principally, the exothermicity observed for both systems must be due to the formation of Me<sub>3</sub>SiF with an extremely strong Si–F bond (158 kcal/mol).<sup>16</sup> On the other hand, the additional stability energy for the conversion of **3b** would be derived from higher association energy of SbF<sub>5</sub> than PF<sub>5</sub> to the CN ligand in [Cu(CN)(BPEP-Ph)], which is caused by higher Lewis acidity of SbF<sub>5</sub>.<sup>12</sup>

It should be noted that the thermodynamic features associated with the conversion of isonitrile complexes (**3a** and **3b**) to cyanide complexes (**2a** and **2b**) are inconsistent with the reactivity order of the starting complexes (**1a** and **1b**). Thus, it is reasonable that the much higher reactivity of **1a** than **1b** towards Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN is cause by a kinetic reason. A plausible explanation may be found in a large difference in the fluoride ion affinity between PF<sub>5</sub> (pF<sup>-</sup> = 9.49) and SbF<sub>5</sub> (pF<sup>-</sup> = 12.03);<sup>12</sup> namely, SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> is reluctant to eliminate F<sup>-</sup>, compared with PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>, and therefore **1b** with SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> is much less reactive than **1a** with PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>.

## Conclusions

ARTICLE

We have demonstrated that [Cu(X)(BPEP-Ph)] (X = PF<sub>6</sub> (1a), SbF<sub>6</sub> (1b)) bearing a PNP-pincer type phosphaalkene ligand (BPEP-PH) lead to bond activation of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, to give Cu(I) cyanides of the formula [Cu(CN-EF5)(BPEP-Ph)] (E = P(2a), Sb (2b)), along with by-production of Me<sub>3</sub>SiF. The reactions proceed via coordination of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN to give the isocyanide complexes [Cu(CNSiMe<sub>3</sub>)(BPEP-Ph)]X (X = PF<sub>6</sub> (3a), SbF6 (3b)), followed by Si-N bond cleavage caused by nucleophilic attack of PF6<sup>-</sup> and SbF6<sup>-</sup> on the silicon atom. Although PF6<sup>-</sup> and SbF6<sup>-</sup> as non-coordinating anions are known to be poorly nucleophilic, complexes 1a and 1b smoothly react with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN under mild conditions. This is probably because the strong  $\pi$ -accepting ability of BPEP-Ph reduces electron density of the Cu-CN-SiMe3 moiety, and thus facilitates the novel bond activation process involving cooperative action of the non-coordinating anions.

## Experimental

All manipulations were performed under a dry and oxygen-free dinitrogen atmosphere using Schlenk techniques or a glove box. Solvents were dried over sodium/benzophenone kethyl (toluene, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) or CaH<sub>2</sub> (hexane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and distilled. CuBr, NaBAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>, AgPF<sub>6</sub>, Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN, PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiH and trimethoxybenzene were obtained from commercial sources and used without purification. BPEP-Ph and [CuBr(BPEP-Ph)] were prepared as previously reported.<sup>5,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on a Bruker AVANCE 400 spectrometer (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, 400.13 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 100.62 MHz; <sup>19</sup>F NMR, 376.46 MHz; <sup>31</sup>P NMR, 161.98 MHz). Chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  (ppm), referenced to <sup>1</sup>H (of residual solvent signals) and <sup>13</sup>C signals of deuterated solvents as internal standards or to the <sup>19</sup>F signal of C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>6</sub> ( $\delta$  163.0) and to the <sup>31</sup>P signal of 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> ( $\delta$  0.0) as external standards. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-4100 spectrometer. Elemental analysis was performed by the ICR Analytical Laboratory, Kyoto University.

**Preparation of 1c.** A suspension of NaBAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub> (28.0 mg, 0.032 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL) was added to a solution of [CuBr(BPEP-Ph)] (30.0 mg, 0.032 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, and concentrated to dryness under vacuum. The residue was extracted with toluene (1 mL) and filtered through a Celite pad to remove the precipitate of NaBr formed in the system. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure, dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane, and stored at -35 °C to give brown crystals of **1c** (52.0 mg, 0.030 mmol, 94%).

**1c:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 1.33 (s, 18H, 'Bu), 1.57 (s, 36H, 'Bu), 6.75 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.10 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.23-7.21 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.27–7.25 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.39 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.56 (s, BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>, 4H), 7.66 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.73 (s, 8H, BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 31.5, 34.6, 35.6, 39.2, 118.0, 122.1, 124.1, 125.2, 125.6, 128.8, 129.0, 129.4, 130.2, 135.4, 137.0, 140.9, 155.5, 157.5, 157.7, 162.3, 181.2. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ -62.9 (s). Anal. calcd for C<sub>87</sub>H<sub>83</sub>BCuF<sub>24</sub>NP<sub>2</sub>: C, 60.23; H, 4.82; N, 0.81. Found: C, 60.11; H, 5.11; N, 0.80.

**Reaction of 1a with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN.** A toluene of **1a** was prepared from [CuBr(BPEP)] (15.0 mg, 0.016 mmol) and AgPF<sub>6</sub> (4.7 mg, 0.019 mmol) at room temperature.<sup>7</sup> The solution was filtered through a Celite pad to remove AgBr and unreacted AgPF<sub>6</sub>. Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN (4  $\mu$ L, 0.032 mmol) was added to the filtrate. The solution turned light orange immediately. The solution was concentrated to dryness, and the residue was washed with hexane, extracted with toluene, and filtered through a Celite pad. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness under vacuum to give **2a** as a light yellow solid (11.0 mg, 0.011 mmol, 68%).

**2a:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  1.34 (s, 18H, 'Bu), 1.48 (s, 36H, 'Bu), 6.66 (brs, 4H, Ar), 7.08 (br, 4H, Ar), 7.14 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.27 (d, 2H, *J* = 6.8 Hz, Ar), 7.36 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.63 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.8 Hz, Ar). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  31.7, 34.5, 35.7, 39.1, 123.2, 128.3, 129.0, 129.7, 129.9, 137.9, 138.3, 153.9, 157.2, 157.6, 158.6, 174.9. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR signal of CN was obscure due to low signal intensity. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  -151.6 (dq, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PF</sub> = 745, 774 Hz, PF<sub>5</sub>), 264.1 (brs, P=C). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  -53.1 (dd, 4F, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PF</sub> = 745 Hz, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>FF</sub> = 62 Hz), -83.6 (dq, 1F, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PF</sub> = 774 Hz, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>FF</sub> = 62Hz). IR (ATR): 2196 cm<sup>-1</sup> (v<sub>CN</sub>).

**Reaction of 1b with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN.** To an NMR sample tube were charged a CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (0.4 mL) of **1b** (7.1 mg, 0.0064 mmol) and then Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN (2  $\mu$ L, 0.016 mmol). The solution was allowed to stand at 50 °C for 1 h, and then examined by NMR spectroscopy. The formation of Me<sub>3</sub>SiF ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.23,  $\delta_{\rm F}$  –157.9) was observed. The solution was concentrated to dryness, and the residue was extracted with toluene (1 mL) and filtered through a Celite pad. The filtrate was concentrated and stored at –35 °C to give a light yellow crystalline solid of **2b** (3.1 mg, 0.0028 mmol, 43%).

#### Journal Name

**2b:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  1.33 (s, 18H, 'Bu), 1.48 (s, 36H, 'Bu), 6.67 (brs, 4H, Ar), 7.08 (brs, 4H, Ar), 7.15 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.23 (d, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz, Ar), 7.36 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.64 (t, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz, Ar). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  31.6 (s, 'Bu), 34.6 (s, 'Bu), 35.6 (s, 'Bu), 39.2 (s, 'Bu), 123.7 (br, Ar), 125.8 (s, Ar), 128.4 (s, Ar), 128.7 (s, Ar), 129.5 (s, Ar), 129.8 (s, Ar), 138.3 (s, Ar), 138.6 (s, Ar), 154.4 (s, Ar), 157.1 (s, Ar), 157.7 (br, P=C). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR signal of CN was obscure due to low signal intensity. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  263.5 (brs). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  –108.0 (br, 4F), –131.0 (br, 1F). IR (ATR): 2158 cm<sup>-1</sup> (v<sub>CN</sub>).

Reaction of 1b with PhMe2SiH. To an NMR sample tube was charged a CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (0.4 mL) of 1b (12.0 mg, 0.011 mmol). PhMe2SiH (20 µL, 0.013 mmol) was added, and the solution was allowed to stand at 40 °C for 24 h. The formation of PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiF (0.0086 mmol, 78%) was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis using trimethoxybenzene (1.2 mg, 0.0071 mmol) as an external standard. The solution was concentrated to dryness, and the residue was washed with hexane (2 mL  $\times$  3), extracted with toluene, and filtered through a Celite pad. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness to give [H-BPEP-Ph]+SbF6- (10.0 mg, 0.0096 mmol, 87%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 1.24 (s, 18H, <sup>*t*</sup>Bu), 1.36 (s, 36H, 'Bu), 6.45 (d, 4H, J = 7.2 Hz, Ar), 6.96 (t, 4H, J = 7.8 H, Ar), 7.07 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, Ar), 7.21 (s, 4H, Ar), 8.22 (br, 2H, Ar), 8.31 (t, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, Ar), 10.74 (br, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 31.3, 34.4, 35.4, 38.7, 123.1, 123.2, 129.3,  $129.4,\ 129.6,\ 130.1,\ 136.0,\ 146.3,\ 153.5,\ 154.7,\ 155.9,\ 166.9.$ Anal. calcd for C55H72NP2SbF6: C, 63.22; H, 6.95; N, 1.34. Found: C, 62.80; H, 6.85; N, 1.36.

**Reaction of 1b with PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiD.** Complex **1b** (12.7 mg, 0.012 mmol) was similarly treated with PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiD (2.4 mg, 0.016 mmol) instead of PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiH, and [D-BPEP-Ph]<sup>+</sup>SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> (10.4 mg, 0.0099 mmol, 83%) was isolated. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed the disappearance of the pyridinium proton signal ( $\delta$  10.74). Instead, the <sup>2</sup>H NMR spectrum revealed the formation of the deuterated product [D-BPEP-Ph]<sup>+</sup>SbF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>.

**Preparation of 3b.** A CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (0.4 mL) of **1b** (8.5 mg, 0.0076 mmol) was charged to an NMR sample tube, and Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN (5.0  $\mu$ L, 0.040 mmol) was added. The solution turned light orange immediately. The solution was concentrated to dryness to give a solid product of **3b** (7.6 mg, 0.0063 mmol, 83%).

**3b:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  0.48 (s, 9H, Me<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 (s, 18H, 'Bu), 1.46 (s, 36H, 'Bu), 6.65 (brs, 4H, Ar), 7.11 (t, 4H, *J* = 7.0 Hz, Ar), 7.21 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.30 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.6 Hz, Ar), 7.37 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.68 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.8 Hz, Ar). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  -1.69, 31.4, 34.6, 35.5, 39.0, 123.4, 123.9, 126.4, 128.3, 128.5, 129.7, 137.6, 138.2, 154.3, 156.7, 157.4, 175.5. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR signal of CN was obscure due to low signal intensity. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, RT):  $\delta$  262.3 (brs). IR (ATR): 2156 cm<sup>-1</sup> (v<sub>CN</sub>).

**X-ray crystal structure determination.** The intensity data for **1c** and **3b** were collected on a Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71070$  Å). For **2b**, synchrotron radiation experiment ( $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å) was carried out at the BL38B1 of SPring-8 with the approval of the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (JASRI) (Proposal No. 2010B1488). The data sets were

corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and absorption. The structures were solved by direct method (SHELXS-97)<sup>17</sup> and refined on  $F^2$  for all reflections (SHELXL-97)<sup>18</sup>. Anisotropic refinement was applied to all non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were placed at calculated positions. The crystallographic data and the summary of solution and refinement are listed in Table S1 (see ESI<sup>†</sup>). Further information has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC reference numbers 1417449 for **1c** and 1417450 for **2b**). The structure of **3b** could not be fully refined due to poor quality of the diffraction data.

**DFT calculations.** All calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 09 program package.<sup>19</sup> Geometries optimization was performed with the DFT method without any symmetry constraints, where the B3PW91 functional was employed, using the model compounds [Cu(CNSiMe<sub>3</sub>)(BPEP-Ph')], [Cu(CN-PF<sub>5</sub>)(BPEP-Ph')] and [Cu(CN-SbF<sub>5</sub>)(BPEP-Ph')] (BPEP-Ph' = 2,6-bis(2-mesityl-2-phosphaethenyl)pyridine). The Cu and Sb atoms were described with the SDD basis set and the LANL2DZdp basis set, respectively. The 6-311G(d) basis sets were applied to P, N, Si and the C atom of CN. The 6-311G basis sets were applied to other C and H atoms. The core electrons of Cu and Sb were replaced with effective core potentials (ECPs).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Stimuli-responsive Chemical Species" (nos. 15H00967 (Y.N.) and 24109010 (F.O.)) and by KAKENHI (no. 26288050 (F.O.)) from JSPS. We are grateful to Professor Shigeyoshi Sakaki (Kyoto University) for helpful discussions on DFT calculations.

## Notes and references

- 1 J. Dugal-Tessier, E. D. Conrad, G. R. Dake and D. P. Gates, in *Phosphorus(III) Ligands in Homogeneous Catalysis: Design and Synthesis*, ed. P. C. J. Kamer and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2012; Chapter 10.
- 2 F. Ozawa and M. Yoshifuji, Dalton Trans., 2006, 4987.
- 3 For recent examples, see: Y.-H. Chang, Y. Nakajima, H. Tanaka, K. Yoshizawa and F. Ozawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11791; Y. Nakajima, Y. Okamoto, Y.-H. Chang and F. Ozawa, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 2918; Y.-H., Chang, Y. Nakajima, M. Tanaka, K. Yoshizawa and F. Ozawa, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 715; K. Takeuchi, A. Minami, Y. Nakajima and F. Ozawa, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 5365;
- For related studies using phosphaalkene ligands, see: (a) A. Jouaiti, M. Geoffroy, G. Bernardinelli, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, 33, 5071; A. S. Ionkin, W. J. Marshall, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 2002, 13, 662; S. Ito, M. Nanko, K. Mikami, *ChemCatChem*, 2014, 6, 2292.
- 5 Y. Nakajima, Y. Nakao, S. Sakaki, Y. Tamada, T. Ono and F. Ozawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 9934; Y. Nakajima and F. Ozawa, Organometallics 2012, 31, 2009.
- 6 Y.-F. Lin, Y. Nakajima and F. Ozawa, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, 43, 9032; Y.-F. Lin, N. Ichihara, Y. Nakajima and F. Ozawa, *Organometallics*, 2014, 33, 6700.
- 7 Y. Nakajima, Y. Shiraishi, T. Tsuchimoto and F. Ozawa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 6332.

- 8 J. I. van der Vlugt, E. A. Pidko, D. Vogt, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek and A. Meetsma, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 4442.
- 9 J. Emsley, The Elements 3rd Ed, Oxford, New York, 1998.
- A. Hayashi, M. Okazaki, F. Ozawa and R. Tanaka, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 5246; C. Müller, E. A. Pidko, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek and D. Vogt, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, 14, 8803; J. I. van der Vlugt, E. A. Pidko, D. Vogt, M. Lutz and A. L. Spek. *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 7513;; J. I. van der Vlugt, E. A. Pidko, R. C. Bauer, Y. Gloaguen, M. K. Rong and M. Lutz, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 3850.
- 11 Y. L. Xiao, Q.-H. Jin, Y.-H. Deng, Z.-F. Li, W. Yang, M.-H. Wu and C.-L. Zhang, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **15**, 146; D. Saravanabharathi, Monika, P. Venugopalan and A. G. Samuelson, *Polyhedron*, 2002, **21**, 2433; J. Ellermann, F. A. Knoch and K. J. Meier, M. Moll, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1992, **428**, C44.
- 12 K. O. Christea, D. A. Dixonc, D. McLemorec, W. W. Wilsonb, J. A. Sheehyb and J. A. Boatz, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2000, **101**, 151.
- 13 G. C. Fortman, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, S. P. Organometallics, 2010, **29**, 3966; M. P. Coles and P. B. Hitchcock, *Polyhedron*, 2001, **20**, 3027.
- 14 I. C. Tornieporth-Oetting, T. M. Klapötke, T. S. Cameron, J. Valkonen, P. Rademacher and K. J. Kowski, *Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 1992, 537; D. Mootz and K. Bartmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng.*, 1988, **27**, 391.
- 15 M. Ma, A. Stasch and C. Jones, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 10669; H. Nakazawa, K. Kamata, and M. Itazaki, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 4004; M. F. C. Guedes da Silva, M. A. N. D. A. Lemos, J. J. R. F. da Silva, A. J. L. Pombeiro, M. A. Pellinghelli and A. Tiripicchio, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2000, 373; J. S. Thayer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, **12**, 2599.
- 16 R. Walsh, in *The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds*, ed. S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1989; Chapter 5.
- 17 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97; University of Göttingen: Germany, 1997
- 18 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97; University of Göttingen: Germany, 1997
- 19 Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2010.



# **Dalton Transactions**

# ARTICLE

#### Graphical and textual abstract for the Table of Contents

Bond activation of silyl compounds, assisted by cooperative action of non-coordinating anions, is achieved using Cu(I) complexes coordinated with a PNP-pincer type phosphaalkene ligand,  $[Cu(X)(BPEP-Ph)] (X = PF_6, SbF_6)$ .



involving non-coordinating anions

