Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

2-Phosphino-1,3-diphosphonium Ions

Paul. A. Gray,¹ Yuen-ying Carpenter,² Neil Burford*^{1,2} and Robert McDonald³

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3V6, Canada; ²Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4J3, Canada ³X-ray Crystallography Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G2, Canada;

Abstract

A series of 2-phosphino-1,3-diphosphonium trifluoromethanesulfonate salts has been prepared and comprehensively characterized. The compounds represent rare examples of salts containing triphosphorus dications and establish important structural and spectroscopic parameters and trends for catenated phosphorus chains.

Introduction

A variety of analogies between the chemistry of carbon and phosphorus are often related to their diagonal relationship in the Periodic Table,¹ which also indicates the potential for phosphorus to adopt catenated frameworks that exhibit the extent and diversity established for hydrocarbons. However, development of hydrophosphorus frameworks is likely impeded by the relatively low P-P bond enthalpy (P-P = 201 kJ/mol, cf. C-C = 346 kJ/mol)² in neutral compounds, and the facile reactivity of P-H bonds, relative to C-H bonds. We have recently demonstrated that P-P bonded molecules possessing a cationic charge have a P-P bond enthalpy that is comparable to that of the C-C bond in neutral hydrocarbon frameworks.^{3,4} While a variety of cationic catenated phosphorus frameworks have been systematically synthesized and

comprehensively characterized,⁵ the extent and diversity of frameworks is still limited, even for short chain systems. Various derivatives of the prototypical diphosphorus cationic frameworks $1,^6$ and diphosphorus dicationic frameworks $5,^{7,8}$ are known, but examples of triphosphorus cations are rare. Preliminary reports for each of frameworks $2,^9$ $3,^{10,11}$ and $6,^{12}$ include one structurally characterized derivative, although cyclic derivatives of 3 and 6 involving a hydrocarbon backbone have been studied.^{13–16} We have now applied our versatile synthetic methods for *catena*-phosphorus cationic frameworks^{5,17–21} to prepare and comprehensively characterize a series of 2-phosphino-1,3-diphosphonium (6) trifluoromethanesulfonate (triflate) salts, including the solid state structures of four derivatives. The new compounds establish important structural and spectroscopic parameters and trends for catenated phosphorus chains.



Experimental

All reactions were carried out in an IT or MBraun glove box under an N₂ atmosphere. Solvents were dried on an MBraun solvent purification system and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves unless otherwise specified. MeCN was purchased anhydrous from Aldrich and dried over CaH₂ prior to use. Deuterated solvents were purchased from Aldrich or Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, and stored over molecular sieves for 24 hours prior to use. MePCl₂ was purchased from Strem and used as received. ⁱPrPCl₂, ⁱPr₂NPCl₂, ^tBuPCl₂, Et₃P, CyPCl₂, Et₃P, and Me₃P (neat and 1.0 M solution in toluene) were purchased from either Aldrich or Strem Chemicals and

used as received. PhPCl₂, EtPCl₂, and Me₃SiO₃SCF₃ (TMSOTf) were purchased from Aldrich and purified by vacuum distillation prior to use.

Solution ¹H. ¹³C. and ³¹P NMR spectra were collected at room temperature on Bruker AC-250 (5.9 T), Bruker Avance 360 (8.4 T) and Bruker Avance 500 (11.7 T) NMR spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to trace protonated solvent (¹H), perdeuterated solvent (¹³C), or an external reference standard (³¹P, 85% H₃PO₄). NMR spectra of reaction mixtures were obtained by transferring an aliquot of the bulk solution to a 5 mm NMR tube, which was then capped and sealed with Teflon tape. All reported ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR parameters for second-order spin systems were derived by iterative simulation of experimental data at 101.3 MHz using gNMR, version 5.0.6.0, and are listed in Table 1. The signs of the P-P coupling constants have been established by assigning the ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ coupling constants as negative.^{22,23} Infrared spectra were collected on samples prepared as nujol mulls between CsI plates using a Bruker Vector FT-IR spectrometer, or by ATR using a Perkin-Elmer Frontier FT-IR spectrometer. Peaks are reported in wavenumbers (cm⁻¹) with intensities (strong, medium, weak) in parentheses, relative to the most intense peak. Melting points were obtained on samples flamesealed in glass capillaries under dry nitrogen using an Electrothermal apparatus. Elemental analyses were performed on selected compounds by Canadian Microanalytical Services Ltd., Delta, British Columbia, Canada.

Unless otherwise stated, crystals for single crystal X-ray diffraction studies were obtained by vapor diffusion at room temperature or from slow diffusion of a layered non-solvent into a saturated solution of the compound. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker D8/APEX II CCD diffractometer at 173 K. All structures except [6-^tBu-Me][OTf]₂ were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares on F^2 (SHELXL-97 or

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

SHELXL-2013).²⁴ The compound [6-^tBu-Me][OTf]₂ was solved using the DIRDIF-2008 program suite.²⁵ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically while hydrogen atoms were assigned positions based on the sp^2 or sp^3 hybridization geometries of their attached carbons, and were given thermal parameters 20% greater than those of their parent atoms.

Synthesis of $[Me_3P-P^tBu-PMe_3][OTf]_2$, $[6^{-t}Bu-Me][OTf]_2$: A solution of tBuPCl_2 (32 mg, 0.2 mmol) and TMSOTf (79.6 µL, 0.44 mmol) in 0.5 mL MeCN was stirred for 5 min in a glass vial. A solution of Me₃P in 0.5 mL MeCN (45.5µL, 0.44 mmol) was added to the stirring solution by syringe. Once the reaction ceased to visibly fume (*ca*. 5 min), the reaction was capped and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Vapor diffusion of Et₂O or CH₂Cl₂ into the reaction solution at room temperature after 24-48 h yielded long, needle-like colorless crystals.

Yield: 83mg (0.15 mmol, 77%); Melting Point: 114°C-117°C (decomp.); ¹H{³¹P} NMR (500.1 MHz, CD₃CN, 298K): δ (ppm) = 1.59 (s, 9H, -C(CH₃)₃), 2.32 ppm (s, 18H, -P(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K): δ = 13.7-14.3 (m, -<u>P(CH₃)₃)</u>, 32.2 (dt [²J_{CP} = 14 Hz, ³J_{CP} = 5 Hz], -<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 41.5 ppm (dt [¹J_{CP} = 33 Hz, ²J_{CP} = too broad to determine], -<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃); FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹, [relative intensities]): 1308 (w), 1248 (s), 1224 (m), 1151 (s), 1028 (s), 977 (w), 951 (s), 765 (w), 756 (w), 634 (s), 572 (w), 516 (m). E.A. for C₁₂H₂₇F₆O₆P₃S₂ actual (calculated): C 26.65% (26.77%), H 5.44% (5.05%).

General procedure for the synthesis of $[R'_{3}P-PR-PR'_{3}][OTf]_{2}$, $[6-R-R'][OTf]_{2}$ (R = Me, Cy, ⁱPr, ⁱPr₂N, Ph; R' = Me, Et) : RPCl₂ (0.4 mmol) and R'₃P (82.4 µL, 0.8 mmol) were sequentially added to a solution of TMSOTf (2 x 72.4 µL, 0.8 mmol) in 1.6 mL of CH₂Cl₂, with stirring, resulting in fuming and immediate generation of a white precipitate. After stirring for 1 h, the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. Isolated solids were redissolved in MeCN and crystallized by layering with CH₂Cl₂ or Et₂O. The isolated solids for R = NⁱPr₂, Cy, Me and R' = Me were

crystalline, and the solution was decanted from the crystals, which were subsequently dried *in vacuo* and washed with 5 mL hexanes, and 3 x 1 mL CH_2Cl_2 . The solids for R' = Et were characterized by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy and the relevant data for these compounds is presented in Table 1.

Characterization data for [Me₃P-P(N¹Pr₂)-PMe₃][OTf]₂, [**6**-N¹Pr-Me][OTf]₂: Yield: 156 mg (0.27 mmol, 67%); Melting Point: 189-190°C (decomp); ¹H{³¹P} NMR (500.1 MHz, *CD*₃*CN*, 298K): δ (ppm) = 1.24 (d, slightly broad, 6H, -N(CH(CH₃)₂)₂) and 1.28 (d, 6H, -N(CH(C<u>H</u>₃)₂)₂), 2.22 (s, 18H, -P(CH₃)₃), 3.49 ppm (septet, 1H, -N(C<u>H</u>(CH₃)₂)₂); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, *CDCN*, 298 K): δ = 25.9 and 26.0 (s, -N(CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂)₂), 48.3 (d (¹J_{CP} = 30 Hz), -P(<u>C</u>H₃)₃), 61.6 ppm (d (²J_{CP} = 11 Hz), -N(<u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂)₂); ¹⁹F NMR (376.50 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ (ppm) = -79.1 (s). FT-IR (nujol mull, cm⁻¹, [relative intensities]): 2288 (w), 1457 (bs), 1376 (m), 1351 (m), 1263 (bs), 1161 (bs), 1031 (s), 955 (m), 875 (m), 765 (w), 755 (m), 671 (m), 637 (m), 573 (m), 518 (m); E.A. for C₁₄H₃₂F₆NO₆P₃S₂ actual (calculated): C 28.60% (28.91%), H 5.51% (5.55%), N 2.93% (2.40%).

Characterization data for $[Me_3P-PCy-PMe_3][OTf]_2$, $[6-Cy-Me]OTf]_2$: Yield: 168 mg (0.298 mmol, 75%); Melting Point: 224-226°C (decomp); ¹H{³¹P} NMR (500.1 MHz, *CD₃CN*, 298K): δ (ppm) = 1.34 (qt, 1H, H_f), 1.49 (qt, 2H, H_d), 1.67 (qd/td, 2H, H_b), 1.71 (broad d, 1H, H_g), 1.85 (dt, 2H, H_e), 2.06 (broad d, 2H, H_c), 2.27 (s, 18H, -P(C<u>H</u>₃)₃), 2.82 ppm (tt, 1H, H_a); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, *CD₃CN*, 298 K): δ = 13.0-13.5 (m, -P(<u>CH</u>₃)₃), 25.4 (s, CH₂), 27.8 (d, ³J_{CP} = 13 Hz], CH₂), 34.0-34.2 (m, CH₂), 35.6 ppm (d [¹J_{CP} = 29 Hz], CH_a); FT-IR (nujol mull, cm⁻¹, [relative intensities]): 1457 (bs), 1309 (w), 1260 (bs), 1226 (s), 1159 (s), 1028 (s), 954 (m), 757 (w), 634

(m), 572 (w), 517 (w); E.A. for $C_{14}H_{29}F_6O_6P_3S_2 \cdot 0.5CH_2Cl_2$ actual (calculated): C 28.60% (28.70%), H 5.51% (4.98%)

Characterization data for $[Me_3P-PMe-PMe_3][OTf]_2$, $[6-Me-Me][OTf]_2$: Yield (crystalline): 145 mg (0.29 mmol, 73%); M.p. 147-149°C; ${}^{1}H{}^{31}P{}$ NMR (300.1 MHz, CD_3CN , 298K): δ (ppm) = 1.91 (s, 3H, -PCH₃), 2.27 (s, 18H, -P(C<u>H</u>₃)₃); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (125.8 MHz, CD_3CN , 298 K): 9.8 ppm; FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹, [relative intensities]): 1427 (w), 1309 (m), 1266 (shoulder, s), 1250 (s), 1222 (s), 1155 (s), 1027 (s), 959 (s), 908 (m), 756 (w), 673 (w), 632 (s), 573 (m), 516 (s); E.A. for C₉H₂₁F₆O₆P₃S₂·CH₂Cl₂ actual (calculated): C 20.48% (20.69%), H 4.59% (3.99%).

Results and Discussion

Salts of triphosphorus dications **6** were originally prepared by alkylation of triphosphenium (**3**) salts (according to Scheme 1a) or the reaction of a dihalophosphine with two equivalents of an alkyl phosphine and two equivalents of $AlCl_3$ (according to Scheme 1b).¹² We have now applied TMSOTf as an alternative halide abstraction agent (according to Scheme 1c) to obtain a series of derivatives of [**6**][OTf]₂, four of which have been structurally characterized in the solid state.

a) [Ph ₃ P-P-Ph ₃][AlCl ₄] + RCl + AlCl ₃ 3		[Ph ₃ P-P(R)-PPh ₃][AlCl ₄] ₂ 6 -R-Ph
b) $2Ph_3P$ + $RPCl_2$ + $2AICl_3$		[Ph ₃ P-P(R)-PPh ₃][AlCl ₄] ₂ 6 -R-Ph
c) $2R'_{3}P$ + $RPCl_{2}$ + $2Me_{3}SiO_{3}SCF_{3}$	>	[R' ₃ P-P(R)-PR' ₃][O ₃ SCF ₃] ₂ 6 -R-R'

Scheme 1. Synthetic routes to 2-phosphino-1,3-diphosphonium salts A colourless precipitate is formed immediately when two equivalents of either trimethyl- or triethyl-phosphine are added to solutions of alkyl- or aryldichlorophosphine (RPCl₂) with two

equivalents of TMSOTf in CH₂Cl₂. The precipitates were identified spectroscopically and crystallograhically as bis-triflate salts of 2-phosphino-1,3-diphosphonium cations **6**. In MeCN, ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures show the respective derivative of [**6**][OTf]₂ as the only phosphorus containing species (Table 1). Broad ³¹P{¹H} NMR signals are observed for the reaction mixture of MePCl₂ with TMSOTf and PMe₃. Nevertheless, crystals of [**6**-Me-Me][OTf]₂ redissolved in EtCN show sharp signals interpreted as an AXX' spin system (Figure 1c).



Figure 1. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra for selected derivatives of [6-R-Me][OTf]₂, showing the variations in spin system imposed by substitution at the central phosphorus atom: (a) [Me₃P-P(NⁱPr₂)-PMe₃][OTf]₂, [6-NⁱPr₂-Me][OTf]₂, A₂B, (b) [Me₃P-P^tBu-PMe₃][OTf]₂, [6-^tBu-Me][OTf]₂, AB₂ (asterisk indicates a minor impurity), and (c) [Me₃P-PMe-PMe₃][OTf]₂, [6-Me-Me][OTf]₂, AXX'.

Table 1. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR parameters at 101.3 MHz for derivatives of 2-phosphino-1,3-diphosphonium triflates, [6][OTf]₂. Derivatives of [6-R-Ph][AlCl₄]₂ were previously reported.¹² Coupling constants are derived by iterative fitting of spectra at 298 K and 101.3 MHz.

Compound [R' ₃ P-PR-PR' ₃][A] ₂		Chemical Shift (ppm)		${}^{1}J_{PP}$	Spin	Cation label	
R'	R	Α	$\delta_{PR'3}$	δ_{PR}	(пz)	system	
Me	^t Bu	OTf	22.3	-9.5	-333	AB_2	[6 - ^t Bu-Me]
	N ⁱ Pr ₂		19.3	31.2	-350	A ₂ B	[6 -N ⁱ Pr ₂ -Me]
	Су		22.7	-30.8	-307 -326	AXX'	[6 -Cy-Me]
	Me		25.0	-62.6	-285 -295	AXX'	[6 -Me-Me]
	ⁱ Pr		23.0	-27.3	-305 -325	AXX'	[6 - ⁱ Pr-Me]
	Ph		24.7	-46.3	-286 -298	AXX'	[6-Ph-Me]
Et	N ⁱ Pr ₂	OTf	39.6	22.0	-364	AB_2	[6 -N ⁱ Pr ₂ -Et]
	Су		42.4	-47.1	-326 -338	AXX'	[6 -Cy-Et]
	Me		41.7	-74.4	-300 -308	AXX'	[6 -Me-Et]
	ⁱ Pr		42.5	-42.9	-324 -337	AXX'	[6 - ⁱ Pr-Me]
	Ph		42	-60	a	AXX'	[6 -Ph-Me]
Ph	Н	AlCl ₄	23	-120	-286	AX_2	[6 -H-Ph] ^b
	Me		23	-48	-330		[6 -Me-Ph] ^b
	ⁱ Pr		21	-23	-354		$[6^{-i}\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{Ph}]^b$

^{*a*} signal overlaps with those of diphosphinodiphosphonium b ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ previously reported as positive values. ¹² Reported as negative values for convention.

The ³¹P NMR chemical shifts assigned to the central phosphorus atom in derivatives of [6][OTf]₂ span a broad range ($\delta_{PR} = -63$ to 31 ppm), likely due to the variation in the geometry at the central phosphorus atom.¹⁸ Derivatives of 6 can be considered as complexes of two phosphine ligands on a [PR]²⁺ acceptor, analogous to the recently reported biscarbene derivatives [(NHC)₂PR]²⁺ and [(NHC)₂PCI]^{2+,26,27} In this context, the chemical shifts of the 4-coordinate (terminal) phosphorus centres (δ -PR'₃) are essentially independent of the substituent at the central phosphine, with the exception of R = NⁱPr₂, in which N=P π -bonding is envisaged to mediate the Lewis acidity of [PNⁱPr₂]²⁺. Additionally, the σ -electron withdrawing amido-substituent effects a downfield ³¹P NMR chemical shift, resulting in an A₂B spin system (Figure 1a).

For all derivatives of **6**, the ¹J_{PP} coupling constants are within the range for phosphinophosphonium cations. The largest ¹J_{PP} value is observed for [**6**-NⁱPr₂-Me][OTf]₂, consistent with experimental and computational studies, which show a relationship between electronegativity of the substituent and ¹J_{PP},^{22,23} although electronic effects on ¹J_{PP} are expected to be small (< *ca*. 5 Hz).^{28,29} The steric influences on ¹J_{PP} are evidenced by the large ¹J_{PP} values observed for **6**-^tBu-Me, **6**-ⁱPr-Me and **6**-Cy-Me.

The solid state structures of [6-Me-Me][OTf]₂, [6-Cy-Me][OTf]₂, [6-^tBu-Me][OTf]₂ and [6-NⁱPr₂-Me][OTf]₂ were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and selected structural parameters are compared with those of [6-H-Ph][AlCl₄]₂ in Table 2.¹² Figure 3 shows two perspectives of each dication in the solid state structures of [6-Me-Me][OTf]₂, [6-Cy-Me][OTf]₂, [6-Cy-Me][OTf]₂, [6-tBu-Me][OTf]₂ and [6-NⁱPr₂-Me][OTf]₂. The images in the right column illustrate the conformation adopted by each compound that minimizes steric interactions between the alkyl groups on the terminal phosphorus atoms and that on the central phosphorus atom. It is apparent

that in all compounds except [6-Me-Me][OTf]₂, one of the methyl groups on the terminal phosphorus atoms is eclipsed with the plane defined by the three P atoms. The P-P bonds in derivatives of [6-R-Me][OTf]₂ are significantly longer than those in [3-Ph][AlCl₄] (avg 2.1311(12) Å),¹⁰ consistent with a single P-P bond in derivatives of **6** and a degree of multiple-bonding in [3-Ph][AlCl₄].



Figure 2. Representative examples of previously described cyclic 2-phosphino-1,3diphosphonium ions

All four crystallographically characterized derivatives of [6-R-Me][OTf]₂ display a larger P-P-P angle than in the previously reported cyclic derivatives [8-R-R'] (R = Et, ⁱPr; R' = Et, Ph; P-P-P avg = 89.0°)^{15,30} and 9 (P-P-P = 96.6°),³⁰ and also adopt a less pyramidal geometry at the central phosphorus atom ($\Sigma_{angles} = 310-318^\circ$).¹⁵ The C-P-C angles in ^tBu₃P (107°-108°, $\Sigma_{angles} = 322^\circ$)³¹ are comparable to the C-P-P and P-P-P angles in derivatives of 6 ($\Sigma_{angles} = 323^\circ-325^\circ$).

Table 2.	Selected bond lengths and angles in the solid state structures of [6-R-Me][OTf] ₂ ,
in comparison	with parameters for [Ph ₃ P-PH-PPh ₃][AlCl ₄] ₂ , [6-H-Ph][AlCl ₄] ₂ .

	R = Me	R = Cy	$R = {}^{t}Bu$	$R = N^{i}Pr_{2}^{a}$	[6-H-Ph][AlCl ₄] ₂ ¹²
P-P (Å)	2.2262(5) 2.2273(5)	2.1979(5) 2.1976(6)	2.2391(15) 2.2354(16)	2.2181(9) <i>to</i> 2.2271(9)	2.205(1) 2.224(1)
P-P-P (°)	109.49(2)	103.11(2)	100.97(5)	104.26(3) <i>to</i> 105.48(3)	106.39(4)
P2-E (Å) E = H, C, N	1.842(1)	1.858(1)	1.925(3)	1.655(2) <i>to</i> 1.660(2)	1.13(2)
Σ _{angles} (at P2)	310	318	317	318 to 319	287

^{*a*} Asymmetric unit contains three crystallographically independent molecules of $[6^{-i}NPr_2-Me][OTf]_2$. Range and standard deviation (σ) of the mean value are given. ^{*b*} Only one of the three independent cations in the asymmetric unit displays a close contact with an associate triflate anion.



Figure 3. Two perspectives of each dication in the solid state structures of (a) [6-Me-Me][OTf]₂, (b) [6-Cy-Me][OTf]₂, (c) [6-^tBu-Me][OTf]₂ and (d) [6-ⁱNPr₂-Me][OTf]₂. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level.

The reaction of a dichlorophosphine with a trialkylphosphine and TMSOTf has multiple potential outcomes depending on the stoichiometric association of dichlorophosphine and trialkylphosphine, which are illustrated in Scheme 2. We have previously reported that derivatives of [1][OTf] are readily isolated from equimolar reaction mixtures. In the presence of

excess Ph₃P, NMR spectra indicate the intermediate formation of the triphosphorus cations [4-R-

Ph]⁺, from which the tetraphosphorus dications [10-R-Ph]²⁺ are formed, and a variety of derivatives of [10-R-R'][OTf]₂ have been isolated and comprehensively characterized.²⁰ The formation of both [6-R-R'][OTf]₂ and [10-R-R'][OTf]₂ has been previously noted by NMR spectroscopy of reactions involving P₂biphen (2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-biphenyl) or dppe with EtPCl₂ in the presence of SnCl₂.³² This report now confirms that for some derivatives, formation of the triphosphorus dications [6-R-R']²⁺ and [10-R-R'][OTf]₂ can be considered competitive processes. Depending on the reaction stoichiometry, derivatives of [6-R-R'][OTf]₂ are formed quantitatively when R' = Me, as shown by ³¹P NMR spectra of reaction mixtures. When R = Ph, mixtures of [6-Ph-R'][OTf]₂ and [10-Ph-R'][OTf]₂ are observed, and when R' =Et, analogous mixtures are formed, with a preference for [10-R-Et][OTf]₂. Derivatives of [1][OTf] are formed immediately (< 5 min) upon combination of the reagents. Transformation to [10-R-R'][OTf]₂ requires that [1][OTf] and RPCl₂ are P-P coupled by a redox process effected by R'₃P as the reducing agent and activated by TMSOTf as an abstracting agent. The resulting intermediate [4-R-R'][OTf] reacts further with TMSOTf to effect a second chloride abstraction and addition of a second phosphine to give [10-R-R'][OTf]₂. In contrast, formation of [6-R-R'][OTf]₂ precludes the redox process with abstraction of the chloride anion to facilitate interaction of a second phosphine ligand on the central phosphorus acceptor.

Scheme 2. Formation of phosphinodiphosphonium or diphosphinodiphosphonium salts from reaction of a dichlorophosphine with a trialkylphosphine and TMSOTf.

Summary

A series of 2-phosphino-1,3-diphosphonium bis-trifluoromethanesulfonate salts have been synthesized and comprehensively characterized, and ³¹P NMR parameters have been obtained for a range of derivatives, examples of which were originally identified by Schmidpeter.¹² The compounds are formed in reactions of dichlorophosphines, tertiary phosphines and a halide abstracting agent. Depending on the substitution pattern and stoichiometry, formation of 2-phosphino-1,3-diphosphonium cations is competitive with formation of 2,3-diphosphino-1,4-

diphosphonium²⁰ cations, involving a redox process. This series of compounds augments the developing chemistry of *catena*-phosphorus cations.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Killam Foundation, the Canada Research Chairs Program, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, and the Nova Scotia Research and Innovation Trust Fund. YYC would like to thank the Walter C. Sumner Foundation, and the Eliza Ritchie Scholarship for funding. PAG would like to thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for a PGS D3 scholarship.

Supporting Information Available

- 1 K. B. Dillon, F. Mathey and J. F. Nixon, *Phosphorus: The Carbon Copy: From Organophosphorus to Phospha-organic Chemistry*, Wiley, 1998.
- 2 J. E. Huheey, E. A. Keiter and R. L. Keiter, *Inorganic Chemistry: Principles of Stucture and Reactivity*, Harper Collins, 4th Edition., 1993.
- 3 S. S. Chitnis, J. M. Whalen and N. Burford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 12498–12506.
- 4 S. S. Chitnis, E. MacDonald, N. Burford, U. Werner-Zwanziger and R. McDonald, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 7359.
- 5 J. J. Weigand and N. Burford, eds. J. Reedijk and K. Poeppelmeier, Elsevier, 2013, vol. 1, pp. 119–149.

- N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald and M. J. Ferguson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003,
 125, 14404–14410.
- J. J. Weigand, S. D. Riegel, N. Burford and A. Decken, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, 129, 7969–7976.
- V. G. Nenajdenko, N. E. Shevchenko, E. S. Balenkova and I. V. Alabugin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, 103, 229–282.
- 9 N. Burford, C. A. Dyker and A. Decken, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 2364–2367.
- A. Schmidpeter, S. Lochschmidt and W. S. Sheldrick, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.w*, 1985, 24, 226–227.
- A. Schmidpeter, S. Lochschmidt and W. S. Sheldrick, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1982, 21, 63–64.
- 12 A. Schmidpeter, S. Lochschmidt, K. Karaghiosoff and W. S. Sheldrick, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1985, 1447–1448.
- 13 K. B. Dillon and R. J. Olivey, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 2004, **15**, 150–154.
- 14 J. D. Burton, R. M. K. Deng, K. B. Dillon, P. K. Monks and R. J. Olivey, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 2005, 16, 447–452.
- K. B. Dillon, A. E. Goeta, J. A. K. Howard, P. K. Monks, H. J. Shepherd and A. L. Thompson, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 1144–1149.

- 16 P. K. Coffer and K. B. Dillon, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, 257, 910–923.
- A. P. M. Robertson, C. A. Dyker, P. A. Gray, B. O. Patrick, A. Decken and N. Burford, J.
 Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 14941–14950.
- Y. Carpenter, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden and R. McDonald, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 50, 3342–3353.
- J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, R. J. Davidson, T. S. Cameron and P. Seelheim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 17943–17953.
- 20 Y. Carpenter, C. A. Dyker, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden and A. Decken, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 15732–15741.
- 21 C. A. Dyker and N. Burford, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2008, **3**, 28–36.
- E. G. Finer and R. K. Harris, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc., 1970, 6, 61–118.
- M. A. M. Forgeron, M. Gee and R. E. Wasylishen, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 4895–4908.
- G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A Found. Crystallogr., 2007, 64, 112–122.
- P. T. Beurskens, G. Beurskens, R. de Gelder, J. M. M. Smits, S. Garcia-Granda, S, R. O.
 Gould, (2008). The DIRDIF-2008 program system. Crystallography Laboratory, Radboud
 University Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

- 26 K. Schwedtmann, S. Schulz, F. Hennersdorf, T. Strassner, E. Dmitrieva and J. J. Weigand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 11054–11058.
- K. Schwedtmann, M. H. Holthausen, K.-O. Feldmann and J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 14204–14208.
- H. C. E. McFarlane and W. McFarlane, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1975, 582.
- H. C. E. McFarlane, W. McFarlane and J. A. Nash, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans*, 1980, 240.
- R. Bashforth, A. J. Boyall, P. K. Coffer (née Monks), K. B. Dillon, A. E. Goeta, J. A. K. Howard, A. M. Kenwright, M. R. Probert, H. J. Shepherd and A. L. Thompson, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 1165.
- J. Bruckmann and C. Krüger, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1995, 51, 1152–1155.
- 32 A. J. Boyall, K. B. Dillon, J. a K. Howard, P. K. Monks and A. L. Thompson, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 1374–1376.