

Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Intramolecular C–H oxidative addition to iridium(I) triggered by trimethyl phosphite in *N,N'*-diphosphanesilanediamine complexes^{‡,†}

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Vincenzo Passarelli,^{a,b,*} Jesús J. Pérez-Torrente^b and Luis A. Oro^b

The reaction of [Ir(SiNP)(cod)][PF₆] ([1][PF₆]) and of IrCl(SiNP)(cod) (**5**) (SiNP = SiMe₂{N(4-C₆H₄CH₃)PPh₂})₂) with trimethyl phosphite affords the iridium(III) derivatives of formula [IrHCl_x(SiNP–H)(P(OMe)₃)_{2–x}]^{(1–x)+} (*x* = 0, **3**⁺; *x* = 1, **6**) containing the κ³C,*P,P'*-coordinated SiNP–H ligand (SiNP–H = Si(CH₂)(CH₃){N(4-C₆H₄CH₃)PPh₂})₂). The thermally unstable pentacoordinated cation [Ir(SiNP){P(OMe)₃}(cod)]⁺ (**2**⁺) has been detected as an intermediate of the reaction and has been fully characterised in solution. Also, the mechanism of the C–H oxidative addition has been elucidated by DFT calculations showing that the square planar iridium(I) complexes of formula [IrCl_x(SiNP)(P(OMe)₃)_{2–x}]^{(1–x)+} (*x* = 0, **4**⁺; *x* = 1, **7**) should be firstly obtained from **2**⁺ and finally should undergo the C–H oxidative addition to iridium(III) *via* a concerted intramolecular mechanism. The influence of the counterion of **2**⁺ on the outcome of the C–H oxidative addition reaction has also been investigated.


Introduction

In the last years amino-phosphanes have attracted lots of interest due to its easy functionalisation and its ability to afford metal complexes active both in stoichiometric and catalytic reactions.¹ Motivated by the scarce number of complexes with *N,N'*-diphosphanesilanediamino ligands, we prepared the ligand SiMe₂{N(4-C₆H₄CH₃)PPh₂})₂ (SiNP, Scheme 1A) and explored the synthesis and reactivity of its rhodium² and iridium³ derivatives. As a result of our investigation, we reported that the κ²*P,P'* coordination mode is the most frequent in the rhodium and iridium complexes prepared so far. Nevertheless, we also described the unprecedented κ³C,*P,P'* coordination mode. Indeed, as a consequence of the cyclometalation reaction in the square planar complex IrCl(SiNP)(CO), the hydride derivative IrHCl(SiNP–H)(CO), containing two fused five member IrPNSiC rings, is obtained (Scheme 1A).³

Despite the large number of cyclometalation reactions involving transition metals,⁴ to the best of our knowledge, the oxidative addition of a methyl C–H bond from a diphosphano ligand to rhodium or iridium has been described only for complexes containing the *trans* spanning ligand

1,3-(CH₂P^tBu₂)-2,4,6-(CH₃)₃(C₆H)₅.⁵ In this case, as a consequence of the rigidity of the ligand backbone, the C–H is brought close to the metal centre and consequently the C–H bond activation takes place (Scheme 1B).

Conversely, our preliminary study on the cyclometalation reaction in IrCl(SiNP)(CO) showed that the two phosphorus atoms occupy *cis* positions and that the six member IrP₂N₂Si ring adopts a boat conformation.³ Consequently, the flag-pole SiCH₃ is directed toward the iridium(I) centre allowing the oxidative addition of the SiCH₂–H bond



Scheme 1

^a Centro Universitario de la Defensa, Ctra. Huesca s/n, ES-50090 Zaragoza, Spain.

^b Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Instituto de Síntesis Química y Catálisis Homogénea (ISQCH), Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC, C/ Pedro Cerbuna 12, ES-50009 Zaragoza, Spain.

[‡] In honour of Prof. Guido Pampaloni, Università di Pisa (Italia), on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

[†] The following abbreviations are used: cod, 1,5-cyclooctadiene; SiNP, SiMe₂{N(4-C₆H₄CH₃)PPh₂})₂; SiNP–H, Si(CH₂)(CH₃){N(4-C₆H₄CH₃)PPh₂})₂.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Selected NMR data, kinetic data and atomic coordinates of the DFT calculated structures. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

to the metal centre (Scheme 1A).


On this background we decided to study the influence of the ancillary ligands on the course and the outcome of the intramolecular C–H oxidative addition of the SiNP ligand coordinated to iridium and eventually on the structure of the resulting products. Thus we started investigating the reactivity of $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{cod})][\text{PF}_6]$ ($[\mathbf{1}][\text{PF}_6]$) and $\text{IrCl}(\text{SiNP})(\text{cod})$ ($\mathbf{5}$) towards different P-donor ligands, namely triphenylphosphane, triphenyl phosphite and trimethyl phosphite. Surprisingly, when the bulkier triphenylphosphane and triphenyl phosphite are used, no reaction is observed even using ligand excess and prolonged reaction times. Reasonably, the steric hindrance of the incoming ligand prevents the coordination to the metal centre. Nevertheless, the less sterically demanding trimethyl phosphite smoothly reacts with both $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{cod})][\text{PF}_6]$ ($[\mathbf{1}][\text{PF}_6]$) and $\text{IrCl}(\text{SiNP})(\text{cod})$ ($\mathbf{5}$) at room temperature. Herein, we report the synthesis and characterisation of the resulting complexes along with the elucidation of the reaction pathway.

Results and discussion

Reaction of $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{cod})][\text{PF}_6]$ with $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$

The reaction of $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{cod})]^+$ ($\mathbf{1}^+$) (as the hexafluorophosphate salt) with trimethyl phosphite (1:1 molar ratio) readily and quantitatively affords the pentacoordinate cation $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}(\text{cod})]^+$ ($\mathbf{2}^+$). At room temperature this complex is thermally unstable in solution and readily undergoes the intramolecular oxidative addition of the $\text{SiCH}_2\text{--H}$ bond (100% conversion after approx. 60 min), affording the hydride derivative $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP--H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]^+$ ($\mathbf{3}^+$) along with equimolar quantities of $\mathbf{1}^+$ and cod (Scheme 2, path A). On this basis, the reaction between $\mathbf{1}^+$ and $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ affording $\mathbf{2}^+$ should be reversible and $\mathbf{3}^+$ should form *via* the irreversible reaction of free $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ with $\mathbf{2}^+$. As a confirmation, when the starting molar ratio $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3:\mathbf{1}^+$ is 2:1, $\mathbf{2}^+$ readily forms and further reacts with $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ excess yielding cleanly and quantitatively $\mathbf{3}^+$ (Scheme 2, path B) and $\mathbf{1}^+$ is not observed in the final mixture (^{31}P NMR).

Molecular structure and fluxional behaviour of $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}(\text{cod})]^+$ ($\mathbf{2}^+$) in solution. As mentioned before, the cation $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}(\text{cod})]^+$ ($\mathbf{2}^+$) is thermally



Scheme 2




Fig. 1. Selected regions of the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ (A) and ^1H NMR spectra (B) of $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}(\text{cod})]^+$ ($\mathbf{2}^+$) at different temperatures (CD_2Cl_2). See Figure 3 for the assignment. *sine bell apodization.




Fig. 2. Definition of the *left* and *right* and the *up* and *down* semispaces at the coordinated SiNP (A) and the coordinated cod ligands (B).

unstable and could be characterised only in CD_2Cl_2 solution at 243 K. Its $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum shows one doublet for the phosphorus atoms of SiNP and one triplet for the phosphite ligand (243 K, Figure 1A). Thus both SiNP phosphorus atoms are coordinated to iridium and the *left* and *right* semispaces at the SiNP ligand are equivalent (Figure 2A), that is the phosphorus atoms of SiNP should be either equivalent or averaged by a fluxional process. Also, the two tolyl groups are equivalent (^1H , ^{13}C) confirming this hypothesis (*cf.* Experimental). Further, the ^1H NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}^+$ at 243 K shows two non-equivalent SiCH_3 groups suggesting that at that temperature the *up* and *down* semispaces at the SiNP are non-equivalent (Figure 2A). On these bases, a variable temperature NMR study was undertaken in order to assess if $\mathbf{2}^+$ undergoes a fluxional process in solution.

At 183 K (CD_2Cl_2) the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum shows three doublets-of-doublets (Figure 1, SiNP: $\delta_{\text{p}} = 40.2$ ppm, $^2J_{\text{pp}} = 41.0, 36.2$ Hz, P¹; $\delta_{\text{p}} = 42.3$ ppm, $^2J_{\text{pp}} = 41.0, 5.0$ Hz, P²; P(OMe)₃: $\delta_{\text{p}} = 88.1$ ppm, $^2J_{\text{pp}} = 36.2, 5.0$ Hz, P³) indicating that the two phosphorus atoms of the SiNP ligand are non-equivalent and occupy two mutually *cis* positions ($^2J_{\text{pp}} = 41.0$). The line shape analysis of the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of **2**⁺ in the range 188–228 K afforded the kinetic constants for the *left-right* exchange of the SiNP ligand and the activation parameters were obtained from the Eyring plot ($\Delta H^\ddagger = 53.6 \pm 0.4$ kJ·mol⁻¹; $\Delta S^\ddagger = 71.2 \pm 2.0$ J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹, cf. ESI-Table S1 and Figure S1). Despite the positive ΔS^\ddagger value, the small value of ΔH^\ddagger could be considered a clue of that a

dissociative pathway entailing the reversible dissociation of one iridium-phosphorus bond should be ruled out. As a confirmation, such a dissociative mechanism would average the two SiMe₂ methyl groups, which are non-equivalent even at room temperature. Thus, reasonably the *left-right* exchange should be non-dissociative.

With respect to the cod ligand, one broad ¹H resonance is observed at 243 K for the olefinic hydrogen atoms ($\delta_{1-4} = 3.34$ ppm, Figure 1B). Thus, reasonably both the *up* and *down* and the *left* and *right* semispaces (Figure 2B) at the cod ligand are exchanging. Indeed at 183 K (CD_2Cl_2) four non-equivalent C^{sp2}H hydrogen atoms are observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum ($\delta_4 = 3.54$, $\delta_2 = 3.35$, $\delta_1 = 3.06$, $\delta_3 = 2.75$ ppm, Figure 1B) and at about 193 K the H¹ and H³ signals and the H² and H⁴ signals coalesce finally affording two signals at 213 K ($\delta_{2,4} = 3.48$; $\delta_{1,3} = 3.03$ ppm, Figure 1B). On their turn, these two ¹H signals coalesce at about 233 K affording the above mentioned ¹H signal at 3.34 ppm (δ_{1-4} , 243 K, Figure 1B).

In order to throw light on the overall fluxional behaviour of **2**⁺, its molecular structure was calculated at the DFT-B3LYP level and it was determined to be a distorted square pyramid with a SPY-5-13⁶ configuration at the metal centre (Figure 3). In this respect, it is worth mentioning that this configuration at iridium has already been observed in the solid state structure of the cations $[\text{Ir}(\text{PMe}_3)_3(\text{cod})]^+$ ^{7a} and $[\text{Ir}(\text{PTA})_3(\text{cod})]^+$ ^{7b} (PTA = 1,3,5-triaza-7-phosphaadamantane).

The presence of the apical trimethyl phosphite ligand makes non-equivalent the *up* and *down* semispaces at both the cod and the SiNP ligands and the distorted conformation of the Ir₂N₂Si ring should be responsible for the *left-right* non-equivalence at both the cod and SiNP ligands at 183 K. In support of the proposed SPY-5-13 structure, selected experimental and calculated NMR data are given in the caption of Figure 3.

On this basis, the non-dissociative process claimed before for the *left-right* exchange at the SiNP ligand should be the conformational equilibrium shown in Scheme 3A. Further, this process exchanges also the *left-right* semispaces at the cod ligand and therefore should account for the coalescence of the ¹H signals at 3.54 (H⁴) and 3.35 ppm (H²) and at 3.06 (H¹) and 2.75 ppm (H³) observed at 193 K, as well.

When dealing with the coalescence observed at about 233 K (*vide supra*), also the TBPY-5-13⁶ structure (Figure 3) was found to be a minimum energy structure at +28.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ (free energy) with respect to the SPY-5-13 structure. In this regard, it should be noted that the TBPY-5-13 configuration at iridium have already been described in the solid state structure of several cations of general formula $[\text{Ir}(\text{P-donor})_3(\text{cod})]^+$ ^{7a,8}.

Given that the TBPY-5-13 structure features equivalent *up* and *down* semispaces at the cod ligand, the equilibrium SPY-5-13 ⇌ TBPY-5-13 shown in Scheme 3B exchanges the *up* and *down* semispaces at cod ligand in the SPY-5-13 structure and thus should account for the coalescence of the ¹H signals at 3.48 ($\delta_{2,4}$) and 3.03 ppm ($\delta_{1,3}$) (Figure 1B). As a confirmation, the kinetic constants of the *up-down* exchange process for the cod ligand were calculated from the ¹H-¹H EXSY spectra in the range 203–223 K, and the





Fig. 3. Views of the DFT-calculated SPY-5-13 and TBPY-5-13 structures for $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3)(\text{cod})]^+$ (**2**⁺). Most hydrogen atoms are omitted and only *ipso* carbon atoms of the PPh groups are shown for clarity. Selected experimental (normal type, 183 K, CD_2Cl_2) and calculated data for the SPY-5-13 structure (italic type) are in order: $\delta_{\text{p}} = 88.1$ (87.8, P³), 42.3 (43.3, P²), 40.2 (37.9, P¹); $\delta_{\text{H}} = 3.54$ (3.56, H⁴), 3.35 (3.39, H²), 3.06 (3.01, H¹), 2.75 (1.90, H³), 1.11 (1.06, SiC⁴H₃), -0.67 (-0.65, SiC⁴H₃).



Scheme 3. Left-right (A) and up-down (B) exchange at the cod ligand of $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}(\text{cod})]^+(\mathbf{2}^+)$.

activation parameters obtained from the Eyring plot validate the proposed concerted exchange mechanism ($\Delta H^\ddagger = 42.7 \pm 1.7 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$; $\Delta S^\ddagger = 19.6 \pm 7.1 \text{ J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$, cf. ESI-Table S2 and Figure S2).

For the sake of comparison, it is worth mentioning that the related carbonyl derivative $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{CO})(\text{cod})]^+(\mathbf{2}^+)$ exhibits a distinct solution molecular structure (*vide infra*) and a different fluxional behaviour thus indicating a subtle influence of the ancillary ligand L on the structure of the pentacoordinate $\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{L})(\text{cod})^+$ cations.

Molecular structure of $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP}-\text{H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]^+(\mathbf{3}^+)$. Single crystals of $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP}-\text{H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2][\text{PF}_6]$ ($[\mathbf{3}][\text{PF}_6]$) were obtained and the solid state structure determination was carried out. The molecular structure of the cation $\mathbf{3}^+$ (Figure 4)




Fig. 4. ORTEP view of the cation $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP}-\text{H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]^+(\mathbf{3}^+)$ in $[\mathbf{3}][\text{PF}_6]$ with the numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids are at the 50% of probability. For clarity only *ipso* carbon atoms are shown and most hydrogen atoms are omitted.

Table 1. Selected angles (deg) and bond lengths (Å) for $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP}-\text{H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2][\text{PF}_6]$ ($[\mathbf{3}][\text{PF}_6]$).


C(11)–Si(1)	1.818(4)	C(11)–Ir(1)–P(2)	84.51(9)
C(11)–Ir(1)	2.196(4)	P(3)–Ir(1)–P(2)	96.69(4)
C(12)–Si(1)	1.847(4)	P(4)–Ir(1)–P(2)	165.30(4)
N(1)–P(1)	1.693(3)	P(1)–Ir(1)–P(2)	96.02(3)
N(1)–Si(1)	1.763(3)	N(1)–Si(1)–N(2)	110.67(15)
N(2)–P(2)	1.685(3)	N(1)–Si(1)–C(11)	104.35(16)
N(2)–Si(1)	1.768(3)	N(2)–Si(1)–C(11)	102.62(16)
P(1)–Ir(1)	2.3876(10)	N(1)–Si(1)–C(12)	108.82(16)
P(2)–Ir(1)	2.3909(9)	N(2)–Si(1)–C(12)	106.75(16)
P(3)–Ir(1)	2.2529(10)	C(11)–Si(1)–C(12)	123.27(17)
P(4)–Ir(1)	2.2653(10)	Si(1)–C(11)–Ir(1)	100.85(16)
C(11)–Ir(1)–P(3)	171.33(10)	C(111)–N(1)–P(1)	125.2(2)
C(11)–Ir(1)–P(4)	88.01(9)	C(111)–N(1)–Si(1)	120.6(2)
P(3)–Ir(1)–P(4)	88.86(4)	P(1)–N(1)–Si(1)	114.20(17)
C(11)–Ir(1)–P(1)	82.72(10)	C(211)–N(2)–P(2)	124.7(2)
P(3)–Ir(1)–P(1)	105.64(4)	C(211)–N(2)–Si(1)	120.7(2)
P(4)–Ir(1)–P(1)	95.56(3)	P(2)–N(2)–Si(1)	113.16(16)

shows the iridium centre in an octahedral environment in which the deprotonated SiNP–H ligand displays a $\kappa^3\text{C},\text{P},\text{P}'$ coordination mode with a facial arrangement at the metal centre (C(11)–Ir(1)–P(1), 82.72(10); C(11)–Ir(1)–P(2), 84.51(9); P(1)–Ir(1)–P(2), 96.02(3) deg).

The coordination sphere is completed by two κP -phosphite ligands, one *trans* (P(3)–Ir(1)–C(11), 171.33(10) deg) and the other *cis* (P(4)–Ir(1)–C(11), 88.01(9) deg) to the carbon atom C(11) of the deprotonated SiNP–H ligand. The hydride ligand occupies the remaining coordination site *cis* to P(2) and P(4) and *trans* to P(1). The carbon–iridium and phosphorus–iridium bond lengths are in the range observed for related iridium complexes⁹ and the silicon–carbon bond lengths are similar to those reported for $\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP}-\text{H})(\text{CO})_2$ (1.830(4), 1.842(4) Å)³ and $\text{RhCl}_2(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5)(\text{SiNP})$ (1.839(7); 1.854(6) Å).² Also, the C(11)–Si(1)–C(12) angle (123.27(17) deg) is similar to that observed in $\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP}-\text{H})(\text{CO})_2$ (120.59(17) deg)³ and significantly wider than that reported for $\text{RhCl}_2(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5)(\text{SiNP})$ (108.1(3) deg),² reasonably as a consequence of the formation of the Ir–CH₂Si bond which forces the C(11)–Si(1)–C(12) angle to open up. Additionally, the P(1)–Ir(1)–P(2) angle is smaller in $\mathbf{2}^+$ (96.02(3) deg) than in $\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP}-\text{H})(\text{CO})_2$ (106.61(7) deg) as a consequence of the different coordination polyhedron at the metal centre.

The solid state structure of $\mathbf{3}^+$ should be preserved in solution. Indeed its ¹H NMR spectrum clearly indicates the presence of the hydride ligand ($\delta_{\text{H}} = -12.0$ ppm) with three phosphorus atoms in the *cis* positions ($^2J_{\text{HP}} = 18.0$ Hz) and one in the *trans* position ($^2J_{\text{HP}} = 128.2$ Hz). Moreover the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows a AMXY system corresponding to four phosphorus atoms with a sawhorse-like arrangement at the metal centre (cf. Experimental and ESI-Figure S3).

As far as the IrCH₂ moiety is concerned, its ¹³C{¹H} signal is a doublet at –26.2 ppm ($^2J_{\text{CP}} = 64.6$ Hz) in agreement with the presence of a phosphorus atom in the *trans* position. In addition, relevant to the elucidation of the solution structure of $\mathbf{3}^+$, two non-equivalent methylene hydrogen atoms are observed (0.36 ppm, H^a, and 0.68 ppm, H^b, Scheme 2) and



Scheme 4. (A) Proposed mechanism for the transformation of 2^+ into 3^+ . (B) Formation of $\text{IrHCl}(\text{SiNP-H})(\text{CO})$.³

their $^1\text{H}\{^{31}\text{P}\}$ signals are a doublet (H^b , $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 12.3$ Hz) and a doublet-of-doublets (H^a , $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 12.3$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$ Hz, *cf.* ESI–Figure S3).[‡] These patterns indicate that the H–Ir–CH₂ fragment features a locked conformation similar to that observed in the solid state.^{‡‡}

Mechanism of the formation of $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP-H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]^+ (3^+)$. In order to elucidate the pathway leading to $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP-H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]^+ (3^+)$, a solution of $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}(\text{cod})]^+ (2^+)$ initially at 243 K was allowed to warm up to room temperature and the evolution of the mixture was monitored by $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy, showing that 3^+ is formed in a clean and direct way, and no intermediates are detected. Based on this observation, the energy profile for the reaction $2^+ + \text{P}(\text{OMe})_3 \rightarrow 3^+ + \text{cod}$ was calculated at the DFT–B3LYP level (Figure 5A). The square planar iridium(I) cation $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]^+ (4^+)$ should form as an intermediate from the substitution of the cod ligand of 2^+ by $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ (Scheme 4A). In this respect, it should be noted that square planar cations of general formula $[\text{Ir}(\text{P-donor})_4]^+$ have already been structurally characterised in the solid state.¹⁰

Relevant to the outcome of the reaction, the boat conformation of the $\text{IrP}_2\text{N}_2\text{Si}$ ring in 4^+ directs the flag–pole SiCH_3 group towards the metal centre. In the following step, the oxidative addition of the C–H bond should take place *via* a concerted mechanism in which the SiCH_3 group approaches

the metal centre, and in a synchronous way the C–H bond cleaves, the Ir–H and Ir–CH₂ bonds form, and one trimethyl phosphito ligand shifts from the equatorial plane of $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]^+ (4^+)$ to the final axial




Fig. 5. Energy profiles (G, kJ mol^{-1}) of (A) the reaction $2^+ + \text{P}(\text{OMe})_3 \rightarrow 3^+ + \text{cod}$, and (B) the reaction $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{CO})(\text{cod})]^+ + \text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{IrHCl}(\text{SiNP-H})(\text{CO}) + \text{cod}$. (C) Selected interatomic distances and angles for TS_{CO} and $\text{TS}_{4^+3^+}$. The molecular structures shown have been optimised at the DFT–B3LYP level in CH_2Cl_2 at 298 K and 1 atm. Most hydrogen atoms are omitted and only *ipso* carbon atoms in 2^+ and $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})(\text{CO})(\text{cod})]^+$ are shown for clarity.


position in 3^+ (see $TS_{4^+3^+}$, Figure 5A, C).

For the sake of comparison, Scheme 4B shows the already described reactions leading to $IrHCl(SiNP-H)(CO)_3$ and Figure 5B displays the corresponding energy profile calculated herein at the DFT-B3LYP level. Interestingly the two transition states TS_{CO} and $TS_{4^+3^+}$ feature similar conformations of the SiNP ligand although subtle differences are observed in the $C\cdots H$, $Ir\cdots H$ and $Ir\cdots CH_2$ lengths and the $C-Si-C$ angle (Figure 5C). Indeed, shorter $Ir\cdots H$ and $Ir\cdots CH_2$ and a longer $C\cdots H$ lengths along with a slightly wider $C-Si-C$ angle are observed in TS_{CO} suggesting that the transition state TS_{CO} is later than $TS_{4^+3^+}$.

As a concluding remark, it is worth mentioning that electronic factors should be mainly responsible for the lower calculated barrier for the oxidative addition to $Ir(SiNP)\{P(OMe)_3\}_2^+$ (4^+) with respect to $IrCl(SiNP)(CO)$ and for the consequent observed longer reaction time (6 h)³ for the formation of $IrHCl(SiNP-H)(CO)$. Indeed, if the steric congestion at iridium were decisive the oxidative addition of the $SiCH_2-H$ bond should be faster in the less hindered $IrCl(SiNP)(CO)$ than in $Ir(SiNP)\{P(OMe)_3\}_2^+$ (4^+). Moreover, the stronger π -acceptor character of CO when compared with that of $P(OMe)_3$,¹¹ and the consequent calculated atomic charges at iridium ($-0.020 e$ in $IrCl(SiNP)(CO)$; $-0.130 e$ in 4^+) fairly parallels the observed faster C-H oxidative addition in 4^+ .⁸


Reaction of $IrCl(SiNP)(cod)$ with $P(OMe)_3$

The reaction of $IrCl(SiNP)(cod)$ (**5**) with $P(OMe)_3$ (1:1 molar ratio) results in the ready formation of the thermally unstable cation 2^+ , as well (Scheme 5). However, after approximately 1 h at room temperature, a mixture of **5**, $[IrH(SiNP-H)\{P(OMe)_3\}_2]^+$ (3^+), and $IrHCl(SiNP-H)\{P(OMe)_3\}^+$ (**6**) is obtained (³¹P) with a molar ratio $3^+ : 5 : 6 = 1.0 : 1.0 : 1.6$ (Scheme 5, path A, cf. ESI-Figures S4–6). On the other hand, when **5**: $P(OMe)_3$ molar ratio is ≥ 2 (Scheme 5, path B) the final mixture of products only contains 3^+ , **6** (approx. 1.0:1.6) and unreacted $P(OMe)_3$ (³¹P). Further, once 3^+ and **6** have formed, the molar ratio does not change even if either $P(OMe)_3$ or chloride (as the bis(triphenylphosphane)iminium salt) are added. On these bases, the transformation of 2^+ in either 3^+ or **6** should take place *via* two independent and irreversible paths (*vide infra*).



Scheme 5.

On the other hand, the final $3^+ : 6$ molar ratio *does* depend on the *initial* iridium:chloride ratio. Indeed when chloride (as the



Scheme 6. Proposed mechanism for the formation of $[IrH(SiNP-H)\{P(OMe)_3\}_2]Cl$ (**[3]Cl**) and $IrHCl(SiNP-H)\{P(OMe)_3\}$ (**6**) from $[Ir(SiNP)\{P(OMe)_3\}(cod)]Cl$ (**[2]Cl**).




Fig. 6. Energy profile (G, $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$, gas phase, 298 K, 1 atm) for the formation of $IrHCl(SiNP-H)\{P(OMe)_3\}$ (**6**) showing the DFT calculated structures of the complexes. * in CH_2Cl_2 (298 K, 1 atm)

bis(triphenylphosphane)iminium salt) is added to **5** before adding $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ ($5:\text{PPNCl}:\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3 = 1:1:2$ molar ratio) the $3^+:\mathbf{6}$ molar ratio in the final mixture is approximately 1:10.

On a preparative scale, **6** can be separated efficiently from 3^+ by extraction with hexane and it is finally obtained with satisfactory yields as a pure material (*cf.* Experimental).


The solution structure of **6** was elucidated by NMR spectroscopy and was found to exhibit the OC–6–43⁶ configuration at the metal centre (Scheme 5). Indeed, the hydride ¹H signal is observed at –9.45 ppm as a doublet-of–triplets (²J_{HP} = 147.0, 15.5 Hz) indicating that the hydride ligand and the three phosphorus atoms feature a square planar arrangement at the metal center. As a confirmation, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows a AXY spin systems with ²J_{PP} values confirming the presence of a T-shaped IrP₃ moiety (*cf.* Experimental). The coordination sphere of the iridium centre is completed by the chlorido ligand and the methylene group ($\delta_{\text{C}} = -32.3$ ppm). Similar arrangements at iridium(III) has already been described in the solid state.¹²

Like in 3^+ , the hydrogen atoms of the IrCH₂Si moiety are non-equivalent ($\delta_{\text{H}} = 1.15$, H^a; 1.22 ppm, H^b, Scheme 5) pointing out the locked conformation of the CH₂–Ir–H fragment in the non-symmetric iridium environment.

The formation of 3^+ and **6** from $[2]^+$ was monitored by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy (298 K) but no intermediates could be detected (*cf.* ESI–Figure S4–S6). Thus, given that the transformation of 2^+ into 3^+ and **6** should take place *via* two independent and irreversible reaction paths (*vide supra*) and in view of the reaction pathways shown in Scheme 4, the formation of the square planar complexes Ir(SiNP){P(OMe)₃}₂⁺ (4^+) and IrCl(SiNP){P(OMe)₃} (**7**) could be envisaged as the result of the reactions of 2^+ with P(OMe)₃ and chloride ion, respectively (Scheme 6). Consequently 3^+ and **6** should be obtained as the final products after the SiCH₂–H oxidative addition to the iridium(I) centre of 4^+ and **7**, respectively (Scheme 6). When dealing with **7**, the solid state structure of square planar complexes of formula IrCl(P–donor)₃ has already been described.¹³

As a confirmation, similar to 4^+ , the DFT–calculated structure of **7** (Figure 6) features a boat conformation at the IrP₂N₂Si ring that directs the flag–pole SiCH₃ moiety towards the metal centre and makes it susceptible of undergoing a concerted C–H oxidative addition. In this respect, it should be noted that when SiCH₂–H adds to iridium in **7**, two isomers can be obtained, namely **6** (OC–6–43, chloride *trans* to CH₂) and **6'** (OC–6–34, phosphite *trans* to CH₂) (Scheme 6, Figure 6). Nevertheless, only **6** was detected in the course of the reaction (see ESI–Figure S5–S6) and was finally isolated. Accordingly the isomer **6'** was calculated to be 6.7 kJ·mol^{–1} less stable than **6**, but, on the other hand, the activation barrier leading to **6'** was calculated to be smaller than that leading to **6**. On these bases, first **6'** should form from **7** and afterwards **6'** should isomerise yielding the more stable OC–6–43 isomer **6** (Scheme 6, Figure 6).

Given that the putative intermediate IrCl(SiNP){P(OMe)₃} (**7**) was not directly observed in solution, for the sake of



Scheme 7. (A) Synthesis of $\text{RhCl}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}$ (**11**). (B) Proposed conformational equilibrium of the $\text{RhP}_2\text{N}_2\text{Ir}$ ring.

comparison, the rhodium analogue $\text{RhCl}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}$ (**8**) was prepared (Scheme 7A).[†] It is worth mentioning that no oxidative addition of the SiCH₂–H bond to rhodium was observed even after refluxing a toluene solution of **8** for 24 h. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **8** shows two non-equivalent SiNP phosphorus atoms occupying two *cis* positions (²J_{PP} = 39.9 Hz). Consequently, the two tolyl groups are non-equivalent (¹H, ¹³C, *cf.* Experimental). The ³¹P{¹H} signal of the coordinated P(OMe)₃ moiety is observed at 132.6 ppm as a doublet-of–doublets-of–doublets (²J_{PP} = 526.1, 49.4 Hz, ¹J_{PRh} = 220.3 Hz) in agreement with the proposed T-shaped arrangement of the RhP₃ moiety. Further, the two SiMe₂ methyl groups are equivalent (¹H, ¹³C) even at 200 K (toluene–d₈) thus pointing out that the *up* and *down* semispaces at the SiNP ligand should be equivalent or eventually exchanging due to a rapid fluxional process. In this respect, it should be noted that the DFT calculated structure of $\text{RhCl}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}$ (**8**) features a boat conformation of the RhP₂N₂Si ring. Thus the two SiMe₂ methyls are non-equivalent and, reasonably, the rapid inversion of the RhP₂N₂Si ring (Scheme 7B) should be responsible for the observed equivalence of the two SiMe₂ methyl groups.

Conclusions

The formation of the Ir^{III}(κ³C,P,P′–SiNP–H) scaffold takes place *via* the oxidative addition of the SiCH₂–H bond to iridium in square planar iridium(I) complexes containing a κ²P,P′–coordinated SiNP ligand. Starting from either [Ir(SiNP)(cod)][PF₆] ([1][PF₆]) or IrCl(SiNP)(cod) (**5**), the C–H oxidative addition is triggered by the π–acceptor ligand P(OMe)₃. Indeed, with both the iridium complexes, the fluxional and thermally unstable pentacoordinate intermediate [Ir(SiNP){P(OMe)₃} (cod)]⁺ (2^+) firstly forms. Nevertheless the outcome of the reaction depends on the nature of the counterion of 2^+ , namely chloride or hexafluorophosphate. When the counterion is the non-coordinating anion hexafluorophosphate, 2^+ further reacts with P(OMe)₃ eliminating the cod ligand and affords the putative square planar complex [Ir(SiNP){P(OMe)₃}₂]⁺ (4^+). This species exhibits a boat conformation of the IrP₂N₂Si six member ring, which

directs the flag-pole SiCH₃ group towards the iridium atom and makes it susceptible of undergoing a concerted C–H oxidative addition. As a result the hydride complex [IrH(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃}₂]⁺ (**3**⁺) is obtained.

On the other hand, when chloride ion is present in solution, **2**⁺ affords a mixture of [IrH(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃}₂]⁺ (**3**⁺) and IrHCl(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃} (**6**). Indeed, besides the reaction of **2**⁺ with P(OMe)₃ affording **3**⁺, a parallel reaction between **2**⁺ and chloride takes place yielding the putative square planar intermediate IrCl(SiNP){P(OMe)₃} (**7**). Similar to **4**⁺, the boat conformation of the IrP₂N₂Si ring in **7** directs one SiCH₃ moiety towards iridium making this moiety prone to undergoing the C–H oxidative addition to the metal.

For both [IrH(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃}₂]⁺ (**3**⁺) and IrHCl(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃} (**6**) the CH₂–Ir–H moiety is stable in solution and features a locked conformation similar to that observed in the solid state structure of [IrH(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃}₂][PF₆] (**[3][PF₆]**).

Experimental

General section. All the operations were carried out using standard schlenk-tube techniques under an atmosphere of prepurified argon or in a Braun glove-box under dinitrogen or argon. The solvent were dried and purified according to standard procedures. Bis(triphenylphosphane)iminium chloride (PPNCl, Aldrich) and trimethyl phosphite (P(OMe)₃, Aldrich) were commercially available and were used as received. The compounds [IrCl(SiNP)(cod)][PF₆]³ (**[1][PF₆]**), IrCl(SiNP)(cod)³ (**5**) and [RhCl(SiNP)]₂² were prepared as previously described. NMR spectra were measured with Bruker spectrometers (AV300 and AV400) and are referred to SiMe₄ (¹H, ¹³C) and H₃PO₄ (³¹P). The ¹³C NMR signals were assigned according to the ¹H–¹³C HSQC (non-quaternary carbon atoms) and ¹H–¹³C HMBC spectra (quaternary carbon atoms). For clarity the ¹³C chemical shift (δ_C) of non-quaternary carbon atoms are given along with the ¹H NMR data and those of the quaternary atoms afterwards. When dealing with quaternary carbon atoms, it should be noted that only the signals of the C¹ and C⁴ atoms of the tolyl groups have been observed in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra and could be assigned reliably. The diffusion experiments were performed using the stimulated echo pulse sequence without spinning and the collected data were treated as previously described.¹⁴ The hydrodynamic radius (R_h) was calculated using the equation of Stokes–Einstein for a spherical diffusing species¹⁴ and the radius of gyration (R_g) was calculated according to the literature,¹⁵ using DFT calculated molecular structures. Elemental analyses were performed by using a Perkin–Elmer 2400 microanalyzer.

Formation of [Ir(SiNP)(cod){P(OMe)₃}₂][PF₆] ([2][PF₆]**).** A deep orange solution of [Ir(SiNP)(cod)][PF₆] (18.0 mg, 16.6 μ mol, 1084.17 g/mol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was prepared in a standard 5–mm NMR tube. The solution was cooled at about 243 K and added with P(OMe)₃ (2.0 μ L, 17 μ mol, 124.08 g/mol, 1.052 g/mL). As soon as P(OMe)₃ mixed, the solution readily turned pale yellow and the NMR tube was transferred to the NMR spectrometer already equilibrated at 243 K. The solution

only contained the new compound [Ir(SiNP)(cod){P(OMe)₃}₂][PF₆] (**[2][PF₆]**) which was fully characterized *in situ* by NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 243 K): δ = 7.57–7.19 (20H, PPh, δ_C = 133.8, 134.4, *o*-PPh, 127.0, 127.4, *m*-PPh, 130.7, 130.3, *p*-PPh), 6.78 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8.3 Hz, C³H^{tol,d}, δ_C = 129.0), 6.72 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8.3 Hz, C²H^{tol,d}, δ_C = 130.4), 6.62 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, C³H^{tol,u}, δ_C = 128.8), 6.17 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, C²H^{tol,u}, δ_C = 131.7), 3.87 (d, 9H, ³J_{HP} = 10.4 Hz, P(OMe)₃, δ_C = 54.8), 3.34 (br, 4H, C^{sp2}H^{cod}, δ_C not observed), 2.34 (br, 4H, C^{sp3}H^{endo}H^{exo}, δ_C = 32.4), 2.12 (s, 6H, CH₃^{tol}, δ_C = 20.6), 1.92 (br, 4H, C^{sp3}H^{endo}H^{exo}), 0.88 (s, 3H, SiC^uH₃, δ_C = 3.3), –0.50 (s, 3H, SiC^dH₃, δ_C = 4.0). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 243 K): δ = 140.0 (C^{1, tol-P2}), 139.7 (C^{1, tol-P1}), 136.7 (C^{4, tol-P2}), 135.9 (C^{4, tol-P1}). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 243 K): δ = 87.3 (t, 1P, ²J_{PP} = 14.8 Hz, P(OMe)₃), 41.3 (d, 2P, ²J_{PP} = 14.8 Hz, SiNP), –144.7 (sp, 1P, ¹J_{PF} = 711.2 Hz, PF₆[–]).

Synthesis of [IrH(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃}₂][PF₆] ([3][PF₆]**).** A dichloromethane solution (5 mL) of [Ir(SiNP)(cod)][PF₆] (130 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1084.17 g/mol) was added with P(OMe)₃ (29.0 μ L, mmol, 246 μ mol, 124.08 g/mol, 1.052 g/mL). The almost colorless resulting solution was stirred for 12 h, partially evaporated and added with hexane, affording a colourless solid which was filtered off, dried *in vacuo* and finally identified as [IrH(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃}₂][PF₆] (**[3][PF₆]**, 120 mg, 82% yield). Found: C, 45.20; H, 4.77; N, 2.33. Calcd for C₄₆H₅₈F₆IrN₂O₆P₅Si (1224.14): C, 45.13; H, 4.78; N, 2.29. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 298 K):^{§§} δ = 7.72 (m, 2H, *o*-P¹Ph, δ_C = 134.2), 7.65 (m, 2H, *o*-P¹Ph, δ_C = 134.6), 7.53–7.30 (12H tot: 2H, *o*-P²Ph, δ_C = 132.2; 4H, *p*-PPh, δ_C = 131.2, 131.1, 130.4, 129.7, 6H, *m*-PPh, δ_C = 127.8, 126.83, 126.77 Hz), 7.04 (m, 2H, *o*-P²Ph, δ_C = 126.4), 6.90–6.87 (4H tot: 2H, C³H^{tol-P2}, δ_C = 129.1; 2H, *m*-PPh, δ_C not observed), 6.69 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, C²H^{tol-P2}, δ_C = 129.9), 6.62 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, C³H^{tol-P1}, δ_C = 128.67), 6.15 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, C²H^{tol-P1}, δ_C = 128.65), 3.41 (d, 9H, ³J_{HP} = 17.0 Hz, P³OCH₃, δ_C = 53.0), 3.38 (d, 9H, ³J_{HP} = 17.1 Hz, P⁴OCH₃, δ_C = 52.8), 2.24 (s, 3H, CH₃^{tol-P2}, δ_C = 20.7), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃^{tol-P1}, δ_C = 20.4), 0.68 (dq, 1H, ²J_{HH} = 12.3, ²J_{HP} = 10.8, SiCH^aH^bIr, δ_C = –26.2, ²J_{CPtrans} = 64.6 Hz, d), 0.36 (dtd, 1H, ²J_{HH} = 12.3, ²J_{HP} = 11.7, ²J_{HH} = 2.4 Hz, SiCH^aH^bIr), –0.11 (s, 3H, SiCH₃, δ_C = –0.03), –12.0 (dq, 1H, ²J_{HPtrans} = 128.2 Hz, ²J_{HPcis} = 18.0 Hz, Ir–H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 139.2 (C^{1, tol-P2}), 139.1 (C^{1, tol-P1}), 135.2 (C^{4, tol-P2}), 134.2 (C^{4, tol-P1}). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 89.7 (ddd, 1P, ²J_{PPcis} = 42.1, 23.5, 19.9 Hz, P⁴(OMe)₃), 73.0 (ddd, 1P, ²J_{PPtrans} = 519.2 Hz, ²J_{PPcis} = 41.9, 21.2 Hz, P³(OMe)₃), 39.1 (dt, 1P, ²J_{PPtrans} = 519.2 Hz, ²J_{PPcis} = 23.8 Hz, P^{1-SiNP}), 38.2 (dt, ²J_{PPcis} = 23.8, 20.9 Hz, 1P, P^{2-SiNP}), –144.4 (sp, 1P, ¹J_{PF} = 711.8 Hz, PF₆[–]). D = 6.88·10^{–10} m²/s (CDCl₃, 298 K), R_h = 5.56 Å, R_g = 6.10 Å.

Synthesis of IrHCl(SiNP–H){P(OMe)₃} (6**).** A CH₂Cl₂ solution (5 mL) of IrCl(SiNP)(cod) (105.0 mg, 0.1077 mmol, 974.66 g/mol) was added with PPNCl (61.5 mg, 0.107 mmol, 574.04 g/mol) and then with P(OMe)₃ (32 μ L, 0.27 mmol, 124.08 g/mol, 1.052 g/mL) affording a pale yellow solution. After 3 h stirring all the volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the residue was extracted with hexane (3 x 10 mL). The hexane extracts were combined and evaporated to dryness, and the resulting pale yellow solid was identified

as $\text{IrHCl}(\text{SiNP-H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}$ (**6**, 72.8 mg, 68% yield). When no PPNCl was added, $\text{IrHCl}(\text{SiNP-H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}$ (**6**) was obtained after the same workup with a 45% yield. Found: C, 52.50; H, 5.35; N, 2.45. Calcd for $\text{C}_{43}\text{H}_{49}\text{ClIrN}_2\text{O}_3\text{P}_3\text{Si}$ (990.55): C, 52.14; H, 4.99; N, 2.83. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = 8.33\text{--}8.20$ (4H, $o\text{-P}^1\text{Ph}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 134.2, 135.3$), 7.85 (m, 2H, $o\text{-P}^2\text{Ph}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 136.8$), 7.63 (m, 2H, $o\text{-P}^2\text{Ph}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 132.7$), 7.19–7.10 (10H, $p\text{-PPh}$ and $m\text{-P}^1\text{Ph}$), 6.94 (m, 2H, $m\text{-P}^2\text{Ph}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 126.8$), 7.26 (d, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^2}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 128.1$), 6.90 (d, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^2}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 126.8$), 6.75 (d, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^1}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 130.1$), 6.67 (d, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^1}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 129.1$), 3.63 (d, 9H, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 11.3$ Hz, POMe , $\delta_{\text{C}} = 52.5$), 1.22 (m, 1H, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 12.4$, $\text{SiCH}^{\text{a,b}}\text{Ir}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = -32.3$), 1.15 (m, 1H, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 12.4$ Hz, $\text{SiCH}^{\text{a,b}}\text{Ir}$), 0.10 (s, 3H, SiCH_3 , $\delta_{\text{C}} = -0.5$), -9.45 (dt, 1H, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 147.0, 15.5$ Hz, IrH). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = 140.0$ ($\text{C}^1, \text{tol-P}^2$), 139.7 ($\text{C}^1, \text{tol-P}^1$), 136.7 ($\text{C}^4, \text{tol-P}^2$), 135.9 ($\text{C}^4, \text{tol-P}^1$). ^{31}P NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): 94.1 (dd, 1P, $^2J_{\text{PP}} = 562.6, 21.7$ Hz, $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$), 46.5 (dd, 1P, $^2J_{\text{PP}} = 562.6, 21.7$ Hz, $\text{P}^{1\text{-SiNP}}$), 32.0 (t, 1P, $^2J_{\text{PP}} = 21.7, \text{P}^{2\text{-SiNP}}$). $D = 6.99 \cdot 10^{-10}$ m²/s (CDCl_3 , 298 K), $R_{\text{h}} = 5.48$ Å, $R_{\text{g}} = 6.06$ Å.

Synthesis of $\text{RhCl}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}$ (8**).** A toluene suspension (5 mL) of $[\text{RhCl}(\text{SiNP})]_2$ (68.5 mg, 44.1 μmol , 1554.32 g/mol) was treated with $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ (10.5 μL , 89.0 μmol , 124.08 g/mol, 1.052 g/mL). After 30 min stirring, the resulting deep orange solution was partially evaporated (approx. 2 mL left) and added with hexane (5 mL). The resulting suspension was filtered affording a deep orange solid which was dried under vacuum and identified as $\text{RhCl}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}$ (**8**, 67.5 mg, 85%). Found: C, 57.44; H, 5.55; N, 3.02. Calcd for $\text{C}_{43}\text{H}_{49}\text{ClRhN}_2\text{O}_3\text{P}_3$ (901.24): C, 57.31; H, 5.48; N, 3.11. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = 8.20$ (m, 2H, $o\text{-P}^1\text{Ph}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 135.2$), 8.04 (m, 2H, $o\text{-P}^2\text{Ph}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 134.6$), 7.30–7.16 (6H tot: 4H, $m\text{-PPh}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 126.9$, $m\text{-P}^1\text{Ph}$; 126.4, $m\text{-P}^2\text{Ph}$; 2H, $p\text{-PPh}$, 128.9, $p\text{-PPh}$; 128.2, $p\text{-PPh}$), 6.76 (d, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.2$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^1}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 130.8$), 6.73 (s, 4H, $\text{C}^2\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^2}$ and $\text{C}^3\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^2}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 131.6, \text{C}^2\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^2}$; 128.7, $\text{C}^3\text{H}^{\text{tol-P}^2}$), 6.68 (d, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.2$ Hz, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 129.0$), 3.46 (d, 9H, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 11.7$ Hz, POCH_3 , $\delta_{\text{C}} = 51.2$), 2.03 (s, 3H, $\text{CH}_3^{\text{tol-P}^1}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 20.4$), 2.00 (s, 3H, $\text{CH}_3^{\text{tol-P}^2}$, $\delta_{\text{C}} = 20.5$), 0.65 (s, 6H, SiCH_3 , $\delta_{\text{C}} = 2.8$). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = 132.6$ (ddd, 1P, $^2J_{\text{PPcis}} = 49.4$; $^1J_{\text{PRh}} = 220.3$; $^2J_{\text{PPtrans}} = 526.1$, $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$), 86.8 (ddd, 1P, $^2J_{\text{PPcis}} = 39.9$; $^2J_{\text{PPcis}} = 49.4$; $^1J_{\text{PRh}} = 190.8$, $\text{P}^{2\text{-SiNP}}$), 72.0 (ddd, 1P, $^2J_{\text{PPcis}} = 39.9$; $^1J_{\text{PRh}} = 134.1$; $^2J_{\text{PPtrans}} = 526.1$, $\text{P}^{1\text{-SiNP}}$). $D = 5.83 \cdot 10^{-10}$ m²/s (C_6D_6 , 298 K), $R_{\text{h}} = 5.42$ Å, $R_{\text{g}} = 6.07$ Å.

Solid state structure determination of $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP-H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2][\text{PF}_6]$ (3**) $[\text{PF}_6]$.** Single crystals of $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP-H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2][\text{PF}_6]$ (**3**) $[\text{PF}_6]$ suitable for a X-ray diffraction study were obtained by slow diffusion of diethylether into a CH_2Cl_2 solution of the compound. Intensities were collected at 100 K using a Bruker SMART APEX diffractometer with graphite-monochromated $\text{Mo K}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) following standard procedures. Intensities were integrated and corrected for absorption effects using the SAINT¹⁶ and SADABS¹⁷ programs, included in the APEX2 package. The structure was solved by the Patterson's method. All non-hydrogen atoms were located in the subsequent Fourier maps. Refinement was carried out by full-matrix least-square procedure (based on F_0^2) using

anisotropic temperature factors for all non-hydrogen atoms. The C–H hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions with fixed isotropic thermal parameters ($1.2 \times U_{\text{equiv}}$) of the parent carbon atom. The coordinates of the Ir–H hydrogen atom was calculated using the XHYDEX¹⁸ program implemented in the WingGX¹⁹ package and the hydrogen was finally refined using restraints (DFIX). Calculations were performed with SHELX-97²⁰ program implemented in the WinGX package.¹⁹

Crystal data for $[\text{IrH}(\text{SiNP-H})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2][\text{PF}_6] \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$, **7**) $[\text{PF}_6] \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$: $\text{C}_{46}\text{H}_{58}\text{F}_6\text{IrN}_2\text{O}_6\text{P}_5\text{Si} \cdot \text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$, $M = 1298.20$ g·mol⁻¹; colorless prism, 0.30 x 0.20 x 0.06 mm; monoclinic, $P2_1/n$; $a = 11.9408(9)$ Å, $b = 31.183(2)$ Å, $c = 14.8638(11)$ Å, $\beta = 93.5760(10)^\circ$; $Z = 4$; $V = 5523(7)$ Å³; $D_{\text{calc}} = 1.561$ g·cm⁻³; $\mu = 2.654$ mm⁻¹, $T_{\text{min}} = 0.628$; $T_{\text{max}} = 0.853$; 79012 collected reflections ($1.306^\circ \leq \theta \leq 27.998^\circ$), 13146 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0548$); 13146/6/662 data/restraints/parameters; GOF = 1.049; $R_1 = 0.0354$ ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), 0.0513 (all data); $wR^2 = 0.0787$ ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), 0.0872 (all data). CCDC deposit number 1413967.

DFT geometry optimization. The molecular structures were optimized at the DFT–BP3LYP level (298 K, 1 atm) using Gaussian09 program.²¹ The LanL2TZ(f) basis²² and pseudo potential were used for rhodium and iridium and the 6–31G(d,p) basis set for the remaining atoms. Stationary points were characterised by vibrational analysis (one imaginary frequency for transition states, only positive frequencies for minimum energy molecular structures). All the structures were optimized in the gas phase and in selected cases also in CH_2Cl_2 using the CPCM method. The NMR data were calculated using the GIAO method in CH_2Cl_2 (CPCM method) and the atomic charge at iridium in $\text{IrCl}(\text{SiNP})(\text{CO})$ and $[\text{Ir}(\text{SiNP})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]^+$ (**4**⁺) were obtained from the Hirshfeld population analysis.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from Spanish “Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad” (CTQ2013–42532–P), “Diputación General de Aragón” (Group E07) and University of Zaragoza (UZCUD2014–CIE–13) is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

‡ The $\Delta\nu_{1/2}$ of the $^1\text{H}\{^31\text{P}\}$ signal of the hydride is about 5.7 Hz, thus preventing the direct observation of the scalar coupling constant between the hydride and the H^{β} hydrogen ($^3J_{\text{HH}} = 2.4$ Hz, cf. ESI–Figure S3).

‡‡ As a confirmation of this hypothesis, it is worth mentioning that the Karplus curve for the H–X–Y–H system generally shows a local maximum at the dihedral angle H–X–Y–H of 0 deg and a local minimum, i.e. $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ close to 0 Hz, at near 90 deg (see M. J. Minch, *Concepts in Magn. Reson.*, 1994, **6**, 41). Accordingly, the solid state H(1)–Ir(1)–C(11)–H(11a) and H(1)–Ir(1)–C(11)–H(11b) dihedral angles are -8.2 and 114.7 deg, respectively, and the DFT–calculated structure for **3**⁺ features H–Ir–C–H^x angles of -13.1 ($x = a$) and 107.0 deg ($x = b$), respectively.

✕ Additionally, based on the difference $\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger$ (2.9 kJ mol^{-1}) between the activation barriers of the C–H oxidative addition in $\text{IrCl}(\text{SiNP})(\text{CO})$ and $\mathbf{4}^\ddagger$, a ratio of 3.3 between the corresponding rate constants, $k_{4^\ddagger}/k_{\text{IrCl}(\text{SiNP})(\text{CO})} = e^{\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger/RT}$, has been calculated by the Eyring equation. This result fairly matches the fact that the reaction leading to $\mathbf{3}^\ddagger$ is six-fold faster than that leading to $\text{IrHCl}(\text{SiNP}-\text{H})(\text{CO})$.

† In support of the proposed mononuclear structure for $\mathbf{8}$, it should be noted that its diffusion coefficient is similar to that measured for the mononuclear complex $\text{Rh}(\text{acac})(\text{SiNP})^2$ and the hydrodynamic radius of $\mathbf{8}$ (5.42 \AA) is close to the gyration radius (6.07 \AA) of the DFT calculated structure.

§ The coordinated $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ is supposed to be in the *up* semispace of the SiNP ligand and the superscript *d*, *down*, and *u*, *up*, are used accordingly, Figure 2A.

§§ The superscript tol^{X} -PX is used to designate the tolyl group of the $\text{P}^{\text{X}}\text{-N-tolyl}$ moiety.

- (a) E. Carter, K. Cavell, W. F. Gabrielli, M. J. Hanton, A. J. Hallett, L. McDyre, J. A. Platts, D. M. Smith and D. M. Murphy, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 1924; (b) N. Cloete, H. G. Visser, I. Engelbrecht, M. J. Overett, W. F. Gabrielli and A. Roodt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 2268; (c) T. Mayer and H.-C. Boettcher, *Polyhedron*, 2013, **50**, 507; (d) T. Ogawa, Y. Kajita, Y. Wasada-Tsutsui, H. Wasada and H. Masuda, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 182; (e) I. Pernik, J. F. Hooper, A. B. Chaplin, A. S. Weller and M. C. Willis, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 2779; (f) S. Todisco, V. Gallo, P. Mastrorilli, M. Latronico, N. Re, F. Creati and P. Braunstein, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 11549; (g) L. H. Do, J. A. Labinger and J. E. Bercaw, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 5143; (h) F. Trentin, A. M. Chapman, A. Scarso, P. Sgarbossa, R. A. Michelin, G. Strukul and D. F. Wass, *Adv. Synth. Cat.*, 2012, **354**, 1095; (i) L. E. Bowen, M. Charernsuk, T. W. Hey, C. L. McMullin, A. G. Orpen and D. F. Wass, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **39**, 560; (j) B. Aluri, N. Peulecke, B. H. Muller, S. Peitz, A. Spannenberg, M. Hapke and U. Rosenthal, *Organometallics*, 2012, **29**, 226; (k) H. W. Cheung, C. M. So, K. H. Pun, Z. Zhou and C. P. Lau, *Adv. Synth. Cat.*, 2011, **353**, 411; (l) J. Gopalakrishnan, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2009, **23**, 291; (m) O. V. Ozerov, C. Guo and B. M. Foxman, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2006, **691**, 4802; (n) A. Bollmann, K. Blann, J. T. Dixon, F. M. Hess, E. Killian, H. Maumel, D. S. McGuinness, D. H. Morgan, A. Neveling, S. Otto, M. Overett, A. M. Z. Slawin, P. Wasserscheid and S. Kuhlmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 14712; (o) A. M. Z. Slawin, M. Wainwright and J. D. Woolins, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 513.
- V. Passarelli and F. Benetollo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 9958.
- V. Passarelli, J. J. Pérez-Torrente and L. A. Oro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 972.
- M. Albrecht, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 576.
- (a) A. Sundermann, O. Uzan, D. Milstein and J. M. L. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 7095; (b) B. Rybtchinski and D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 4528; (c) M. E. van der Boom, S.-Y. Liou, Y. Ben-David, M. Gozin and D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 13415; (d) B. Rybtchinski, A. Vigalok, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 12406.
- Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry. IUPAC Recommendations 2005; Connely, N. G., Damhus, T., Eds.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 2005.
- (a) J. F. Frazier and J. S. Merola, *Polyhedron*, 1992, **11**, 2917; (b) D. A. Krogstad, A. J. De Boer, W. J. Ortmeier, J. W. Rudolf and J. A. Halfen, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2005, **8**, 1141.
- (a) A. M. Gull, P. E. Fanwick and C. P. Kubiak, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 2121; (b) X. Sava, N. Mezailles, L. Ricard, F. Mathey and P. Le Floch, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 807.
- (a) D. L. Thorn and T. H. Tulip, *Organometallics*, 1982, **1**, 1580; (b) J. D. Feldman, J. C. Peters and T. D. Tilley, *Organometallics*, 2002, **21**, 4050; (c) L. Dahlenburg and R. Hache, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2003, **350**, 77; (d) Y. Gloaguen, L. M. Jongens, J. N. H. Reek, M. Lutz, B. De Bruin and J. I. Van der Vlugt, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 4284.
- (a) G. R. Clark, B. W. Skelton and T. N. Waters, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1975, **85**, 375; (b) G. R. Clark, B. W. Skelton, T. N. Waters and J. E. Davies, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 1987, **43**, 1708; (c) O. Blum, J. C. Calabrese, F. Frolow and D. Milstein, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1990, **174**, 149; (d) U. Casellato, B. Corain, R. Graziani, B. Longato, G. Pilloni, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 1193; (e) S. S. Oster, W. D. Jones, *Polyhedron*, 2004, **23**, 2959; (f) M. G. Crestani, A. Steffen, A. M. Kenwright, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard and T. B. Marder, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 2904; (g) J. Langer, W. Imhof, M. J. Fabra, P. Garcia-Orduna, H. Gorls, F. J. Lahoz, L. A. Oro and M. Westerhausen, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 1642; (h) M. J. Geier, C. M. Vogels, A. Decken and S. A. Westcott, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 4602.
- C. A. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.*, 1977, **77**, 313.
- (a) D. Milstein, J. C. Calabrese, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 3773; (b) D. Milstein, W. C. Fultz, J. C. Calabrese, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 1336; (c) H. E. Selnau, J. S. Merola, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 1583; (d) M. Ciclosi, F. Estevan, P. Lahuerta, V. Passarelli, J. Perez-Prieto, M. Sanau, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 234.
- (a) L. Hintermann, M. Perseghini, P. Barbaro and A. Togni, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 601; (b) R. S. Simons, M. J. Panzner, C. A. Tessier and W. J. Youngs, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2003, **681**, 1; (c) A. G. Orpen, P. G. Pringle, M. B. Smith and K. Worboys, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1998, **550**, 255; (d) K. Tani, K. Nakajima, A. Iseki and T. Yamagata, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1630; (e) J. Goodman, V. V. Grushin, R. B. Larichev, S. A. Macgregor, W. J. Marshall, D. C. Roe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 12013; (f) H.-C. Bottcher, M. Graf and K. Merzweiler, *Polyhedron*, 1997, **16**, 341.
- (a) P. Stilbs, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 1987, **19**, 1; (b) W. S. Price, *Concepts Magn. Reson.*, 1997, **9**, 299; (c) W. S. Price, *Concepts Magn. Reson.*, 1998, **10**, 197; (d) C. S. Johnson, Jr., *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 1999, **34**, 203; (e) Y. Cohen, L. Avram and L. Frish, *Angew. Chem.*, 2005, **117**, 524; (f) Y. Cohen, L. Avram and L. Frish, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 520; (g) P. S. Pregosin, P. G. A. Kumar and I. Fernandez, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2977; (h) A. Macchioni, G. Ciancaleoni, C. Zuccaccia and D. Zuccaccia, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 479.
- A. Ortega, D. Amorós and J. García de la Torre, *Biophys. J.*, 2011, **101**, 892.
- SAINT+, version 6.01; Bruker AXS, Inc.; Madison, WI, 2001.
- G. M. Sheldrick, *SABADS*; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1999.
- A. G. Orpen, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1980, 2509.
- L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1999, **32**, 837.
- (a) G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL-97*; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.* 2008, **A64**, 112.
- M. J. Frisch et al. Gaussian 09 (Revision A.02); Gaussian, Inc. Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- (a) P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **82**, 270; (b) W. R. Wadt and P. J. Hay, *Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **82**, 84; (c) L. E. Roy, P. J. Hay and R. L. Martin, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2008, **4**, 1029.

Graphical abstract

Intramolecular C–H oxidative addition to iridium(I) triggered by trimethyl phosphite in *N,N'*-diphosphanesilanediamine complexes

Vincenzo Passarelli, Jesús J. Pérez-Torrente and Luis A. Oro

The intramolecular C–H oxidative addition in the $\text{Ir}^{\text{I}}(\kappa^2P,P'-\text{SiNP})$ moiety is triggered by trimethyl phosphite and yields the $\text{Ir}^{\text{III}}\text{H}(\kappa^3C,P,P'-\{\text{SiNP-H}\})$ scaffold.

