Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

Journal Name



COMMUNICATION

Rhodium(II) Dimers Without Metal-Metal Bonds

Di Zhu, *^a Arzoo Z. Sharma,^c Christopher R. Wiebe^b and Peter H.M. Budzelaar^c

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Oxidation of (^{Me}BDI)Rh(cyclooctene) (^{Me}BDI = [2,6-Me₂C₆H₃NCMe]₂CH) with Br₂ or I₂ produces paramagnetic halidebridged Rh^{II} dimers [(^{Me}BDI)Rh]₂(μ -X)₂ without a direct Rh-Rh bond. Steric factors are proposed to play a key role in preventing formation of Rh-Rh bonded alternative structures.

Unlike the intensely studied diamagnetic complexes of Rh^I and Rh^{III}, complexes of Rh^{II} have received a rather limited amount of attention. True paramagnetic mononuclear complexes of Rh^{II} are relatively rare.¹⁻⁸ Much more common are metal-metal bonded dimers, where the designation of formal oxidation state +II is somewhat artificial and a consequence of the formal oxidation state counting rules. Well-known examples are quadruply carboxylate⁹ and amidinate¹⁰ bridged systems as well as A-frame complexes.¹¹⁻¹⁴ In the present paper, we report on what we believe are the first examples of paramagnetic, dimeric true Rh^{III} complexes which do not contain metal-metal bonds.

In earlier work, we^{15, 16} and others¹⁷⁻¹⁹ have reported on the oxidative addition of C-X, P-P, C-H, Si-H and Si-C bonds to the Rh atom of (^RBDI)Rh^I fragments, in which ^RBDI is the β -diiminate [2,6-R₂C₆H₃NCMe]₂CH (R = Me, Et, ^{*i*}Pr). Such reactions invariably produced (BDI)Rh^{III} complexes as products or intermediates. However, elegant work by the group of Heyduk^{20, 21} demonstrated that for (BDI)Rh complexes also bearing a redox-active α -diimine (ADI) ligand, reaction with I₂ can get "blocked" at the end-on I₂ coordination stage, presumably due to non-innocent behaviour of the ADI ligand. Inspired by this, we decided to investigate the reaction of (BDI)Rh^I complexes with halogens in the absence of the complicating factor of a redox-active ligand.



^{b.} Department of Chemistry, University of Winnipeg, 515 Portage Ave, Winnipeg, MB R3B 2E9, Canada.



Scheme 1. Reaction of (^{Me}BDI)Rh(COE) with halogens.

We have commented recently on the analogy between Cp* and BDI ligands.²² Since Cp*RhX₂ complexes show interesting reactivity, such as tandem C-H bond activation of arenes or heteroarenes bearing directing groups and further cyclization with alkynes, as well as C-C and C-heteroatom bond-forming reactions,²³⁻²⁷ it was hoped that (BDI)RhX₂ analogues would do the same. I₂ oxidation of (BDI)Rh(COE) was expected to yield (BDI)RhI₂ or more likely a halide-bridged dimer [(I)(BDI)Rh]₂(μ -I)₂ (c.f. [(I)(Cp*)Rh]₂(μ -I)₂ ²⁸). However, treatment of (^{Me}BDI)Rh(COE) with 1 equivalent of I₂ invariably led to insoluble, ill-defined materials and free ligand (^{Me}BDI)H. In contrast, use of only half an equivalent of I₂ produced intensely green solutions from which nearly black crystals of $[(MeBDI)Rh]_2(\mu-I)_2$ (1a) could be obtained in 24% yield (Scheme 1).

The crystal structure (Figure 1A) shows a halide-bridged dimer with a nearly square Rh_2I_2 core.²⁹ For comparison, Table 1 lists a number of representative examples of non-bonded ($Rh^{I}-Rh^{I}$ and $Rh^{III}-Rh^{III}$) as well as metal-metal bonded ($Rh^{I}-Rh^{II}$) distances.



Figure 1. X-ray structures of (A) **1a** and (B) **1b** (one disordered toluene molecule and all hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity). Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): **1a**: Rh1-Rh1' 4.057(1), Rh1-11 2.6420(11), Rh1-11' 2.6449(9), Rh1-N1 2.003(4), Rh1-N2 2.008(4), I1-Rh1-I1' 79.756(19); **1b**: Rh1-Rh1' 3.819(2), Rh1-Br1 2.4921(9), Rh1-Br1' 2.4939(11), Rh1-N1 1.993(4), Rh1-N2 1.996(4), Br1-Rh1-Br1' 80.02(4).

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

^{c.} Department of Chemistry, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada.

⁺ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Details of synthesis and calculations, and an xyz archive of all calculated structures. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

Table 1. Representative Rh-Rh distances

Ox State	Compound	Rh-Rh (Å)	Ref
	[(COD)Rh] ₂ (μ-Cl) ₂	3.50(1)	30
	(chloride-bridged non-bonded)		
I	[(COD)Rh] ₂ (μ-Br) ₂	3.565(1)	31
	(bromide-bridged non-bonded)		
I	[(CO) ₂ Rh] ₂ (μ-I) ₂	3.637(2)	32
	(iodide-bridged non-bonded)		
П	[(Cl)(^t BuNC)Rh] ₂ (µ-P ^t Bu ₂) ₂	2.7583(6)	33
	(phosphide bridged)		
П	[Cp*Rh]₂(μ−Cl)₂	2.617(1)	34
	(chloride bridged)	2.628(1)	
П	$[CIRh]_{2}[\mu-CN(CH_{2})_{3}NC]_{4}^{2+}$	2.837 (1)	35
	(diisocyanide bridged)		
П	[CIRh(CNCy) ₄] ₂ ²⁺	2.6944(3)	36
	(unsupported Rh-Rh)		
П	$[(CO)_2 RhCl_2 Rh(\kappa^2-C(=O)Quin)]_2$	2.671(1)	37
	(unsupported Rh-Rh)		
П	Rh₂[μ-PhNC(Ph)NPh]₄	2.389(1)	10
	(amidinate bridged)		
П	$[(H_2O)Rh]_2(\mu$ -MeCOO) ₄	2.3855(5)	9
	(carboxylate bridged)		
11	[(^{Me} BDI)Rh]₂(μ-Br)₂	3.819(2)	this
	(bromide-bridged non-bonded)		work
11	[(^{Me} BDI)Rh] ₂ (μ-I) ₂	4.057(1)	this
	(iodide-bridged non-bonded)		work
Ш	[(Cl)(Cp*)Rh]₂(μ-Cl)₂	3.7191(6)	38
	(chloride-bridged non-bonded)		
Ш	[(Br)(Cp*)Rh]₂(μ-Br)₂	3.854(1)	39
	(bromide-bridged non-bonded)	3.841(1)	
III	[(I)(Cp*)Rh] ₂ (μ-I) ₂	4.132(1)	28
	(iodide-bridged non-bonded)		
III	[(Ph)(^{Me} BDI)Rh] ₂ (µ-Br) ₂	3.827(1)	16
	(bromide-bridged non-bonded)		

Typically, non-bonded distances of $Rh_2(\mu-X)_2$ moieties tend to be > 3.5 Å, whereas metal-metal bonded situations have Rh-Rh < 3 Å. In the case of 1a, the Rh-Rh distance of 4.057(1) Å is a clear indication of the absence of a direct metal-metal bond.

The extreme solution ¹H NMR chemical shifts (ranging from -153 to +24 ppm, see Figure S1) indicate that 1a is paramagnetic, and solution measurements by the Evans method⁴⁰⁻ 42 indicate a magnetic moment of 2.2(2) μ_{B} per Rh. For comparison, Dunbar reported a μ_{eff} of 2.1 μ_B for mononuclear [(TMPP)₂Rh]²⁺ $(TMPP = 2,4,6-(MeO)_{3}C_{6}H_{2})_{3}P)$,⁸ while Bergman and Tilley obtained values of 1.87 and 1.98 μ_B for two [bis(oxazoline)pincer]₂Rh complexes.⁵ In the case of complex **1a**, we consider dissociation into three-coordinate mononuclear Rh^{II} fragments unlikely given the strength of the halide bridges and the similarity between ¹H NMR spectra recorded in different solvents. Therefore the observed magnetic moment is more likely to represent one of three possibilities: a triplet ground state for the dimer, a thermally populated mixture of singlet and triplet, or two effectively independent Rh-centred radicals.⁴³ Unfortunately, low-temperature EPR measurements were inconclusive (observation of integer-spin complexes by EPR is often problematic⁴⁴).



18 = 0.8 (1) µ_{_}/Rh 15 θ_{CW}= +0.19 (1) K 12 x^{_1} (emu/mol)⁻¹ 9 6 3 5 6 2 ³ T (K) 1

Figure 2. Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility.

The DC magnetic susceptibility was measured with an applied field of 0.1 T over the temperature range 1.8 K - 300 K. The magnetization data were fit to a Curie-Weiss law at low temperature (1.8 - 5 K, Figure 2), producing a moment of 0.8(1) μ_B per rhodium atom. The lower moment at low temperature might be due to significant zero-field splitting and/or a lower thermal population of the triplet state. Magnetic interactions are very weak, with θ_{CW} = 0.19(10) K, indicative of net ferromagnetic interactions between the clusters. No magnetic ordering was observed down to 1.8 K, which is consistent with the weak magnetism.

DFT calculations confirm the absence of a metal-metal bond and indicate that the energy difference between singlet and triplet states is close to zero. The spin density in the triplet state (Figure 3) is concentrated in Rh d_{xz} orbitals, which explains the weak interaction between the two Rh centres. Compared to any reasonable Rh¹ reference structure (low spin, closed shell), this chemical oxidation has occurred predominantly at the metal centre (spin density 0.79) although a small contribution from the BDI ligand (spin density 0.18 e) is also evident.^{45, 46} Presumably, the presence of the bulky BDI ligand is a key factor in preventing shorter Rh-Rh distances or a rearrangement to the more "normal" metal-metal bonded isomer 2a (Scheme 1) which is calculated to be 19.1 kcal/mol higher in energy (see also Tables S5-S7).

In the work of Heyduk mentioned above,^{20, 21} oxidation of (BDI)Rh(ADI) by bromine (instead of iodine) did proceed to the expected Rh^{III} complex. We also explored bromine oxidation, but again only obtained poorly defined products when aiming for Rh^{III}. However, with 0.5 equiv of Br₂, deep green $[(^{Me}BDI)Rh]_2(\mu-Br)_2$ (**1b**) was obtained in fair yield and purity; the X-ray structure, shown in Figure 1B, is rather similar to that of 1a, and again indicates the absence of a metal-metal bond (Rh-Rh = 3.819 Å).

Journal Name

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION



Figure 3. Calculated (Turbomole: b3-lyp/TZVP) spin density plot (Molden⁴⁷) for triplet state of **1a**. with spin density values for each Rh and I atom and ^{Me}BDI ligand.

In order to understand the reason why the reaction of (BDI)Rh(COE) with iodine leads only to $[(BDI)Rh]_2(\mu-I)_2$ instead of (BDI)RhI₂ or its dimer, DFT calculation were further used to explore possible reaction paths. Several trials produced a mechanism which seems reasonable (Scheme 2; see also Tables S8 and S9). The added iodine first coordinates end-on to starting material A to form complex B, which easily releases COE to generate complex C. From there, further intramolecular oxidation to form D is quite favourable. Attempts to locate a transition state for this step were unsuccessful: the barrier appears to be extremely low (in contrast, Renz et al found a substantial barrier for the Br-Br bond breaking step in the reaction of Ni(Ar)(Br)(picoline) with Br_2^{48}). Since **D** was never observed, we propose that it reacts quickly with A remaining in solution to form the observed final product F. It is still not entirely clear why treatment of this complex with another half equivalent of I₂ leads to decomposition rather than to the expected Rh^{III} complex **E**.

In summary, the coordinatively unsaturated complex (BDI)Rh(COE) reacts with halogens (I_2 or Br_2) to generate paramagnetic halide-bridged Rh^{II} dimers, characterized by X-ray diffraction, NMR and magnetic measurements. DFT calculations support the surprising absence of metal-metal bonding, leading to the expectation that related complexes with less steric protection might exhibit interesting biradical chemistry.

This work was supported by the Science Foundation of China University of Petroleum, Beijing (No. 2462013YJRC019), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21404118), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI).



Scheme 2. Possible mechanism for the generation of $[(^{Me}BDI)Rh]_2(\mu-I)_2.$ Free energies (Turbomole: b3-lyp/TZVP, 298K) in kcal/mol.

Notes and references

- W. J. McCarty, X. Yang and R. A. Jones, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 12164-12166.
- F. M. Dixon, M. S. Masar, P. E. Doan, J. R. Farrell, F. P. Arnold, C. A. Mirkin, C. D. Incarvito, L. N. Zakharov and A. L. Rheingold, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 42, 3245-3255.
- F. M. Dixon, J. R. Farrell, P. E. Doan, A. Williamson, D. A. Weinberger, C. A. Mirkin, C. Stern, C. D. Incarvito, L. M. Liable-Sands, L. N. Zakharov and A. L. Rheingold, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 3091-3093.
- S. T. H. Willems, J. C. Russcher, P. H. M. Budzelaar, B. de Bruin, R. de Gelder, J. M. M. Smits and A. W. Gal, *Chem Commun.*, 2002, 148-149.
- M. Gerisch, J. R. Krumper, R. G. Bergman and T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 5818-5819.
- E. T. Singewald, C. S. Slone, C. L. Stern, C. A. Mirkin, G. P. A. Yap, L. M. Liable-Sands and A. L. Rheingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 3048-3056.
- M. P. Garcia, M. V. Jimenez, L. A. Oro, F. J. Lahoz, J. M. Casas and P. J. Alonso, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 3257-3263.
- K. R. Dunbar, S. C. Haefner and L. E. Pence, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5504-5506.
- F. A. Cotton, B. G. Deboer, M. D. Laprade, J. R. Pipal and D. A. Ucko, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., 1971, B 27, 1664-1671.
- L. P. He, C. L. Yao, M. Naris, J. C. Lee, J. D. Korp and J. L. Bear, Inorg. Chem., 1992, **31**, 620-625.
- 11. M. Cowie and R. S. Dickson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1981, **20**, 2682-2688.
- L. A. Oro, D. Carmona, P. L. Perez, M. Esteban, A. Tiripicchio and M. Tiripicchio-Camellini, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 973-979.

COMMUNICATION

- M. E. Slaney, D. J. Anderson, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald and M. Cowie, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 2286-2301.
- 14. T. S. Teets, T. R. Cook, B. D. McCarthy and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 5223-5233.
- 15. D. Zhu, D. J. Kozera, K. D. Enns and P. H. M. Budzelaar, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, **51**, 12211-12214.
- S. T. H. Willems, P. H. M. Budzelaar, N. N. P. Moonen, R. de Gelder, J. M. M. Smits and A. W. Gal, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 1310-1320.
- 17. S. J. Geier and D. W. Stephan, Chem. Commun., 2008, 99-101.
- 18. S. J. Geier and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2779-2781.
- 19. G. Meier, V. Steck, B. Braun, A. Eiler, R. Herrmann, M. Ahrens, R. Laubenstein and T. Braun, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **2014**, 2793-2808.
- 20. D. W. Shaffer, S. A. Ryken, R. A. Zarkesh and A. F. Heyduk, Inorg. Chem., 2012, **51**, 12122-12131.
- 21. D. W. Shaffer, S. A. Ryken, R. A. Zarkesh and A. F. Heyduk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 13-21.
- 22. D. Zhu and P. H. M. Budzelaar, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 11343-11354.
- 23. S. H. Cho, J. Y. Kim, J. Kwak and S. Chang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5068-5083.
- 24. G. Song, F. Wang and X. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3651-3678.
- 25. D. A. Colby, A. S. Tsai, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, **45**, 814-825.
- 26. N. Kuhl, N. Schroeder and F. Glorius, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 1443-1460.
- 27. M. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Jie, H. Zhao, G. Li and W. Su, Organic Chemistry Frontiers, 2014, 1, 843.
- 28. M. R. Churchill and S. A. Julis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **18**, 2918-2920.
- 29. For more examples of BDI dimers with bridging halides, see Table S3.
- 30. J. A. Ibers and R. G. Snyder, *Acta Crystallographica*, 1962, **15**, 923-930.
- C. Pettinari, F. Marchetti, A. Cingolani, G. Bianchini, A. Drozdov, V. Vertlib and S. Troyanov, J. Organomet. Chem., 2002, 651, 5-14.
- 32. S. Burger, B. Therrien and G. Suss-Fink, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online, 2003, **59**, 153-154.
- C. Tejel, M. Sommovigo, M. A. Ciriano, J. A. Lopez, F. J. Lahoz and L. A. Oro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 2336-2339.
- 34. P. R. Sharp, D. W. Hoard and C. L. Barnes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, **112**, 2024-2026.
- 35. K. R. Mann, R. A. Bell and H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **18**, 2671-2673.
- N. T. Tran, J. R. Stork, D. Pham, C. J. Chancellor, M. M.
 Olmstead, J. C. Fettinger and A. L. Balch, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 7998-8007.
- 37. J. W. Suggs, M. J. Wovkulich, P. G. Williard and K. S. Lee, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, **307**, 71-82.
- 38. M. R. Churchill, S. A. Julis and F. J. Rotella, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1977, **16**, 1137-1141.

- 39. M. R. Churchill and S. A. Julis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17**, 3011-3019.
- 40. D. F. Evans, *Journal of the Chemical Society*, 1959, 2003-2005.
- 41. E. M. Schubert, J. Chem. Educ., 1992, 69, 62-62.
- 42. S. K. Sur, J. Magn. Reson., 1989, 82, 169-173.43. "Two independent radicals" is equivalent to a vanishing
- singlet-triplet separation.
- 44. J. Telser, L. A. Pardi, J. Krzystek and L. C. Brunel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 5769-5775.
- 45. M. P. Marshak, M. B. Chambers and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 11190-11197.
- M. M. Khusniyarov, E. Bill, T. Weyhermueller, E. Bothe and K. Wieghardt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 1652-1655.
- G. Schaftenaar and J. H. Noordik, J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Des., 2000, 14, 123-134.
- A. L. Renz, L. M. Perez and M. B. Hall, *Organometallics*, 2011, 30, 6365-6371.

A table of contents entry

Oxidation of $({}^{Me}BDI)Rh(cyclooctene)$ $({}^{Me}BDI = [2,6-Me_2C_6H_3NCMe]_2CH)$ with Br₂ or I₂ produces paramagnetic halide-bridged Rh^{II} dimers $[({}^{Me}BDI)Rh]_2(\mu-X)_2$ without a direct Rh-Rh bond.

