# Dalton Transactions

## Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

## **Dalton Transactions**

# Journal Name

## RSCPublishing

## ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

## Diverse Coordination Modes in Tin Analogues of Cyclopentadienyl Anion Depending on the Substituents on the Tin Atom

T. Kuwabara,<sup>a</sup> M. Nakada,<sup>a</sup> J. D. Guo,<sup>b</sup> S. Nagase<sup>b</sup> and M. Saito<sup>a,\*</sup>

The reactions of an anionic heavy ruthenocene with CCl<sub>4</sub>, MeI, EtBr and Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl afforded the first stannole monoanion complexes. Surprisingly, coordination modes of the stannole rings are highly dependent on the substituents on the tin atom. The chloro derivative exhibits an  $\eta^4$ -fashion-like coordination mode with a bent stannole ring, whereas the trimethylsilyl derivative adopts the conventional  $\eta^5$ -coordination mode. Coordination modes of the alkyl derivatives are in between the two types. Cyclic voltamograms for these complexes reveal that an electron donating character of the stannole ligand becomes stronger as the stannole ring becomes planar. Theoretical calculations elucidate that the different coordination modes originate from both electronegativity of an adjacent atom to the tin atom and bulkiness of a substituent on the tin atom.

## Introduction

Substitution of skeletal carbon atoms in organic molecules by heavy group 14 elements has been one of the main issues in organometallic and heteroatom chemistry since the first synthesis of a heavier analogue of ethylene, disilene, by West and co-workers.<sup>1</sup> This heavy element chemistry always surprises both experimental and theoretical chemists because the structures and reactivity of carbon-based compounds and their heavier analogues are drastically different.<sup>2</sup> For example, double and triple bonds between C–C are planar and linear, respectively, whereas the corresponding bonds between E–E (E = Si, Ge, Sn, Pb) are non-planar/-linear and trans-bent (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Differences of double- and triple bonds between carbon-based compounds and their heavy analogues. Substituents were abbreviated for clarity.

The investigation on heavy analogues of cyclopentadienyl anions (Cp<sup>-</sup>), one of the most important ligands in organometallic chemistry, reveals that heavy Cp<sup>-</sup>s also exhibit remarkable different characterisites (Fig. 2).<sup>3</sup> First, metallole monoanions (EC4R5<sup>-</sup>, E = Si, Ge, Sn, Pb) have highly

pyramidalized metal centers because of their non-aromatic nature,<sup>4</sup> in sharp contrast to the aromatic Cp<sup>-</sup>, and the degree of the pyramidalization depends on the substituent on the metal.<sup>4b,</sup> <sup>4c</sup> Second, metalloles can form further-reduced species than Cp<sup>-</sup>, metallole dianions (EC4R4<sup>2–</sup>, E = Si,<sup>4b, 5</sup> Ge,<sup>6</sup> Sn,<sup>7</sup> Pb<sup>8</sup>), in which the anionic charges delocalize over the metallole ring, leading to their aromatic nature. On the other hand, coordination chemistry of heavy Cp<sup>-</sup>s is similar to that of the lighter analogues (Cp<sup>-</sup>s).<sup>9</sup> In other words, it is believed that heavy Cp<sup>-</sup>s always coordinate transition metals in η<sup>5</sup>-fashions, as do Cp<sup>-</sup>s, clarified by Tilley and Sekiguchi's pioneering research on this field. However, our findings reported here defy this common knowledge: coordination modes of tin analogues of Cp<sup>-</sup> are highly dependent on a substituent on the tin atom.



Fig. 2. Chemistry of heavy Cp $\mbox{-}s$  and selected examples of their transition-metal complexes.

## **Results and Discussion**

#### Synthesis and Structures

## Journal Name

Page 2 of 6

## ARTICLE



Fig. 3. Solid state structures of 2 (left), 4 (center) and 5 (right) (50% probability). All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.





Since an anionic heavy ruthenocene bearing stannole dianion ligand 1 is expected to be a good precursor for neutral stannole complexes, the reactions of anionic heavy ruthenocene 1 with various organic halides (CCl4, MeI, EtBr and Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl) were examined (Scheme 1).<sup>10</sup> Each of the reactions gave a single product, as judged from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude product. Chloro derivative 2 was isolated as green crystals, while alkyl and silvl derivatives 3-5 were obtained as red crystals. The different reaction mode of 1 toward CCl<sub>4</sub> and other reagents might be explained by a steric effect: the bulkiness of CCl3 group could induce the reaction of a stannole and a chlorine moieties instead of a CCl<sub>3</sub> group. The solid-state structures of 2, 4 and 5 were determined by X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 3, S1 and Table 1).<sup>10, †</sup> Surprisingly, the coordination modes of the stannole rings are highly dependent on the substituents on the tin atom. The stannole ring in 2 deviates from planarity with the bent angle of 41.2°,11 and the tin atom is remarkably pyramidalized with the sum of the angles around the tin atom of 306.31°. The distance between Sn and Ru is 2.9323(5) Å, larger than those found in  $\eta^{5}$ stannole dianion complexes (approximately 2.73 Å),<sup>12</sup> suggesting that the interaction between Sn and Ru in complex 2 is weak. Thus the coordination mode in 2 is not the conventional

 $\eta^5$ -fashion but an  $\eta^4$ -like fashion. A similar coordination mode was reported in the complexes bearing  $P_5$  ligands.  $^{13}$  This is in sharp contrast to the fact that all the metallole rings in the Si and Ge analogues of  $Cp^-$  reported to date are almost planar and coordinate the transition metals in  $\eta^5$ -fashions. We hypothesized that there is a borderline between

Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles for 1-5.

	2	4	5
Ru–Sn (Å)	2.9323(5)	2.7969(6)	2.6903(5)
Sn–Cα (Å)	2.255(4), 2.254(4)	2.155(5)	2.125(3), 2.123(4)
$C_{\alpha}\!\!-\!\!C_{\beta}(\mathring{A})$	1.412(5), 1.419(6)	1.429(6)	1.422(5), 1.430(5)
$C_{\beta}$ – $C_{\beta}$ (Å)	1.474(6)	1.458(9)	1.453(5)
Sum of the internal angles of SnC <sub>4</sub> (°)	520.21	533.09	538.26
Sum of the angles around Sn atom (°)	306.31	332.15	357.49

Ge and Sn: Si and Ge congeners of Cp<sup>-</sup> coordinate transition metals in  $\eta^5$ -fashions, while the corresponding tin analogue induces a different coordination mode. However, the molecular structure of trimethylsilyl derivative **5** reveals that the tin congener can also exhibit a similar structure: the stannole ring is nearly planar with the sum of the internal angles of 538.26° and no pyramidalization was found on the tin atom (Fig. 3), which is opposed to the highly pyramidalized tin center found in a lithium salt of silyl-substituted stannole anion.<sup>4c</sup> The Sn–Ru bond length is 2.6903(5) Å, shorter than those in  $\eta^5$ -stannole dianion complexes.<sup>12</sup> These structural features suggest that the stannole ring in **5** coordinates the ruthenium atom in an  $\eta^5$ -fashion. Interestingly, the molecular structure of ethyl derivative **4** has structural similarities to both **2** and **5**: the stannole ring is bent (24.4°) and the distance between Sn and Ru is slightly larger but

Journal Name

much smaller than those in **1** and **2**, respectively (2.7969(6), 2.7361(5) and 2.9323(5) Å for **4**, **1** and **2**, respectively). The Sn–C bonds in **2** (av. 2.255 Å) are longer than those in other stannole complexes **1**, **4** and **5** (about 2.15 Å), suggesting that s-character of the Sn–C bonds in **2** are small. The degree of C–C bond alternation in the stannole ring in **2** (0.06 Å) is slightly larger than those in **4** and **5** (0.03 Å). The Cp\* ring and the stannole ring in these complexes are oriented in staggered forms (Fig. S1), which is in the same trend as other heavy ruthenocenes.<sup>9d, 9g, 12</sup>

#### NMR Study

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals for  $C_{\alpha}$  and  $C_{\beta}$ , and the <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR signals of **1-5** are summarized in Table 2. The signals derived from  $C_{\beta}$ are found in a similar region, while those assigned to  $C_{\alpha}$  are in a different area: the  $C_{\alpha}$  signals of **2** and **5** were found in the lowest and the highest field, respectively, and those of alkyl derivatives **3** and **4** are observed between those of **2** and **5**. The <sup>119</sup>Sn nucleus in chloro derivative **2** resonates in a high-field region of –697.5 ppm, which suggests that the tin atom in **2** is also pyramidalized in solution.<sup>14</sup> The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR signal of silyl derivative **5** was observed in a region lower than those of **3** and **4** bearing carbon substituents, which is an opposite trend in the case of the lithium salts of the stannole anions.<sup>4c</sup> The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR chemical shifts for complexes **2-5** is closely related to the coordination mode of the stannole ligands: as the bent angle of the stannole ligand becomes smaller, the <sup>119</sup>Sn signal shifts to the downfield.

Table 2. Comparison of the NMR data for 1-5.

	1	2	3	4	5
$\delta(^{13}C)$ for $C_{\alpha}$	110.32	140.78	112.18	109.98	91.28
$\delta(^{13}C)$ for $C_{\beta}$	113.38	108.25	107.24	107.29	109.82
δ( <sup>119</sup> Sn)	83.8	-697.5	-372.3	-343.3	-170.7

#### **Electrochemical Study**

Cyclic voltammograms were next measured to estimate electrondonating ability of the stannole anion ligands. Irreversible oxidation waves (Epa vs Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> ([Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>/THF) were observed at 0.48, 0.04, -0.04 V for 2, 4, 5, respectively, revealing that silvl derivative 5 is easiest oxidized, while Cl derivative 2 is the most difficult to oxidize. The different oxidation potentials of these complexes are reasonably explained by considering the difference of Ru–Sn distances in the complexes. In complex 5, because of the shortest Ru-Sn bond, the stannole anion ligand donates its electrons on the tin atom to the ruthenium atom most effectively, which causes the most easily oxidizable character of 5. In contrast, the corresponding bond in 2 is the longest, leading to a weak interaction between Ru and Sn. The oxidation wave  $(E_{1/2})$  of decamethylruthenocene (Cp\*<sub>2</sub>Ru) was found at 0.25 V under the same conditions. Comparison of the difference of the oxidation potentials between heavy ruthenocenes and ( $\eta^{5}$ -Cp\*)<sub>2</sub>Ru ( $\Delta(E)$ = [ $E_{1/2}$  of ( $\eta^{5}$ -Cp\*)<sub>2</sub>Ru] – [ $E_{pa}$  of heavy ruthenocene]) is worthy of note because this value is a good index for electron-donating ability of heavier Cp<sup>-</sup> ligands. The  $\Delta(E)$  for Tilley's heavy ruthenocene bearing a germole ligand is 0.17 V, <sup>9a</sup> while those for **2**, **4** and **5** are -0.24, 0.21 and 0.29 V, respectively, indicating that the stannole anion (SnC<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>) in **4** and 5 are more electron-donating ligands than the germole anion  $(GeC_4^-)$ , whereas that in **2** is no longer a strong electron-donating ligand, which is supported by the following theoretical calculations.

### Theoretical Study

To understand the coordination modes in these stannole complexes, theoretical calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 program.<sup>15</sup> The molecular geometries of **2**, **4** and **5** were optimized with hybrid density functional theory at the B3PW91 level using the [4333111/433111/43] basis set augmented by two d polarization functions (d exponents 0.253) and 0.078) for Sn<sup>16</sup>, the LANL2DZ<sup>17</sup> basis set augmented by an f polarization function (f exponent 1.235) for Ru, and 6-31G(d) for Si, C, H, and Cl.18 The optimized structures are in good agreement with the experimental data (Table S1). The molecular orbital mainly composed of a lone pair on the tin atom in 2 is HOMO-1, while those in 4 and 5 are HOMOs (Fig. 4). These MOs clearly show the difference of the coordination modes among these complexes. In compound 2, the lone pair is found at the side opposite to the ruthenium atom with high s-character. which implies that the lone pair on the tin atom cannot interact with the ruthenium atom. In contrast, the lone pair in 5 has pcharacter and an interaction between Sn and Ru is clearly observed. Although a lone pair similar to that in the chloro derivative 2 is found in the HOMO of 4, Sn-Ru interaction was also observed. It is therefore considered that the HOMO in 4 has both natures of HOMO-1 in 2 and HOMO in 5. Notably, the HOMO levels of 4 (-4.8 eV) and 5 (-4.7 eV) are higher than HOMO-1 (-5.44 eV) and even HOMO (-5.40 eV) levels in 2, these being in good agreement with the results of the cyclic voltammetry. Natural charges of the ruthenium atoms were calculated to be -0.22, -0.32 and -0.37 for 2, 4 and 5, respectively. The least negatively charged Ru in 2 indicates weak electron-donating character of the bent stannole ligand, whereas the most negatively charged Ru in 5 indicates that the planar stannole is the strongest electron-donating ligand among these three complexes. Wiberg bond indices<sup>19</sup> for Ru-Sn in these complexes were next calculated. As expected, the index for 5 was calculated to be the largest (0.45) among the three, while that for chloro derivative 2 was the smallest (0.31). The index for 4is in between the two (0.38) and the same as that of the tripledecker type ruthenocene bearing a  $\mu - \eta^5: \eta^5$ -stannole dianion ligand reported by us (0.38).<sup>12</sup>



**Fig. 4.** HOMO-1 for **2** (upper left), HOMOs for **4** and **5** (upper right and lower, respectively) (isovalue = 0.05).

To gain further insight into the origin of different coordination modes, the structures of model complexes bearing H, 'Bu and SiH<sub>3</sub> on the tin atom were optimized. Bent angles (°) and Sn–Ru distances (Å) for each case are summarized in Table 3. The stannole ring in the H derivative is less bent than that in Cl derivative **2** (24.1° vs 36.8°), whereas the bent angle of the stannole ring in the SiH<sub>3</sub> derivative (18.3°) is larger than those in 'Bu (12.3°) and SiMe<sub>3</sub> (9.7°) derivatives. It is therefore concluded that bent angle of a stannole ring is dependent on not only electronegativity of an adjacent atom to the tin atom but also bulkiness of a substituent on the tin atom.

Table 3. Relationship between bent angles of the stannole ring, Sn–Ru bond lengths and substituents on the tin atom.

R	bent angle (°)		Sn–Ru (Å)	
	exp.	calc.	exp.	calc.
CI	41.2	36.8	2.932	2.929
н	-	24.1	-	2.820
Et	24.4	20.0	2.797	2.794
SiH₃	-	18.3	_	2.774
<i>"</i> Bu	-	12.3	_	2.738
SiMe <sub>3</sub>	12.0	9.7	2.690	2.713

#### **Photophysical Study**

The results of UV-vis absorption measurements for 2-5 in hexane were shown in Fig. 5 and Table S2. A characteristic absorption at 590 nm was found only for 2, which causes the difference in colour of these complexes (2: green, 3-5: red). Absorptions at around 430 nm were commonly observed for 2-5, as was found in the triple decker ruthenocene bearing a stannole dianion ligand.<sup>12</sup> To understand the origins of these absorptions, TD-DFT calculations were performed at the same level as that for optimization. For chloro derivative 2, the calculated absorption at 586 and 430 nm (experimental: 590 and 432 nm) can be mainly assigned to HOMO-JLUMO and HOMO-1-JLUMO transitions, respectively (Chart S1). For alkyl derivative 4, the absorption maximum was calculated to be 409 nm (experimental: 429 nm), which mainly originate from HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO and HOMO-2-LUMO transitions (Chart S2). A similar trend was found in the silvl derivative, as shown in Chart S3.



Fig. 5. UV-vis spectra for 2-5 in hexane.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, the reactions of anionic heavy ruthenocene 1 with various halides yielded chloro, alkyl, and silyl derivatives 2-5. It is a great surprise that the coordination mode of stannole monoanion ligand is highly dependent on the types of substituents on the tin atom. In contrast to the conventional  $\eta^5$ coordination mode in silvl derivative 5, different coordination modes, which are between  $\eta^4$  and  $\eta^5$  with the bent stannole rings, were adopted for chloro and alkyl derivatives 2-4. The cyclic voltammetry revealed that the oxidation potentials of the heavy ruthenocenes become higher as the stannole rings are bent. The theoretical calculations elucidated that the lone pair on the tin atom in chloro derivative 2 has high s-character, which causes less effective interaction between Sn and Ru. On the other hand, the corresponding lone pair in 5 has more *p*-character, leading to an efficient Sn-Ru interaction. The reason for the different coordination modes of stannole anions is attributed to both electronegativity of an adjacent atom to the tin atom and bulkiness of a substituent on the tin atom, as evidenced by the theoretical calculations. The stannole ring intrinsically favours a bent structure with an  $\eta^4$ -like coordination mode. However, as a substituent on the tin atom becomes larger,  $\eta^5$ -coordination is more preferable because of steric repulsion between the substituent and the Cp\* ligand. These findings, which defy the common knowledge that heavy Cp-s coordinates transition metals in η<sup>5</sup>-fashion, as do Cp<sup>-</sup>s, are of fundamental importance to give deep insight into the coordination chemistry.

#### Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to Professor Keiji Morokuma on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

This work was partially supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Stimuli-responsive Chemical Species for the Creation of Functional Molecules" (No. 24109013 for M.S.) and " $\pi$ -System Figuration, Control of Electron and Structural Dynamism for Innovative Functions" (No. 26102006 for M.S.) and Specially Promoted Research Grant (No. 22000009 for S.N.) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan. M.S. acknowledges a research grant from the Mitsubishi Foundation. T.K. acknowledges the JSPS for a Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

## Notes and references

Journal Name

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Saitama University, Shimo-okubo, Sakura-ku, Saitama-city, Saitama, 338-8570, Japan.

E-mail: masaichi @ chem.saitama-u.ac.jp

<sup>b</sup> Fukui Institute for Fundamental Chemistry, Kyoto University Takano-Nishihiraki-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8103, Japan

Crystal data for 2: Formula, C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>55</sub>ClRuSi<sub>2</sub>Sn, FW=823.21, Crystal Dimension 0.20  $\times$  0.15  $\times$  0.10, monoclinic, space group P2<sub>1</sub>/n, a = 9.6555(12) Å, b = 18.470(2) Å, c = 21.490(3) Å,  $\beta = 95.698(3)^{\circ}$ , V =3813.7(8) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_c$ =1.434 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 100 K, Mo-K<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å) for Z = 4. Least-squares refinement based on 6400 reflections with I > $2.0\sigma(I)$  (out of 7894 unique reflections) led to a final value of R (w $R_2$ ) = 0.045 (0.101). CCDC 1049297. Crystal data for 4: Formula,  $C_{40}H_{60}RuSi_2Sn$ , FW=816.84, Crystal Dimension 0.20 × 0.20 × 0.15, orthorhombic, space group Pnma, a = 17.7751(13) Å, b = 21.1737(16) Å, c = 10.5846(8) Å, V = 3983.7(5) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_c = 1.362$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 100 K, Mo-K<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) for Z = 4. Least-squares refinement based on 3959 reflections with  $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$  (out of 4013 unique reflections) led to a final value of  $R(wR_2) = 0.050 (0.123)$ . CCDC 1049354. Crystal data for 5: Formula, C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>64</sub>RuSi<sub>3</sub>Sn, FW=860.97, Crystal Dimension  $0.10 \times 0.10 \times$ 0.10, monoclinic, space group  $P2_{1/n}$ , a = 11.8804(14) Å, b = 21.679(3) Å, c = 16.7980(18) Å,  $\beta = 103.113(3)^{\circ}$ , V = 4213.6(8) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_c = 1.357$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 100 K, Mo-K<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) for Z = 4. Least-squares refinement based on 7789 reflections with  $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$  (out of 9198 unique reflections) led to a final value of  $R(wR_2) = 0.047 (0.103)$ . CCDC 1049295. Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

1. R. West, M. Fink and J. Michl, Science, 1981, 214, 1343-1344.

- (a) R. West, Science, 1984, 225, 1109-1114; (b) P. P. Power, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 3463-3504; (c) M. Weidenbruch, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1999, 1999, 373-381; (d) E. Rivard and P. P. Power, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 10047-10064; (e) V. Y. Lee and A. Sekiguchi, in Organometallic Compounds of Low-Coordinate Si, Ge, Sn and Pb, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010, pp. 199-334; (f) P. P. Power, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 627-637; (g) M. Asay and A. Sekiguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2012, 85, 1245-1261; (h) C. A. Caputo and P. P. Power, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 2278-2286; (i) T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2013, 86, 1005-1021.
- (a) M. Saito and M. Yoshioka, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 249, 765-780; (b) M. Saito, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 256, 627-636.
- (a) J.-H. Hong, Y. Pan and P. Boudjouk, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 186-188; (b) W. P. Freeman, T. D. Tilley, L. M. Liable-Sands and A. L. Rheingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 10457-10468; (c) M. Saito, T. Kuwabara, K. Ishimura and S. Nagase, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2010, **83**, 825-827.
- (a) J.-H. Hong, P. Boudjouk and S. Castellino, *Organometallics*, 1994, 13, 3387-3389; (b) R. West, H. Sohn, U. Bankwitz, J. Calabrese, Y. Apeloig and T. Mueller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, 117, 11608-11609; (c) W. P. Freeman, T. D. Tilley, G. P. A. Yap and A. L. Rheingold, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, 35, 882-884.
- (a) R. West, H. Sohn, D. R. Powell, T. Müller and Y. Apeloig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 1002-1004; (b) S.-B. Choi, P. Boudjouk and J.-H. Hong, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 2919-2921.

- (a) M. Saito, R. Haga, M. Yoshioka, K. Ishimura and S. Nagase, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 6553-6556; (b) M. Saito, T. Kuwabara, C. Kambayashi, M. Yoshioka, K. Ishimura and S. Nagase, *Chem. Lett.*, 2010, 39, 700-701; (c) T. Kuwabara, J.-D. Guo, S. Nagase, M. Minoura, R. H. Herber and M. Saito, *Organometallics*, 2014, 33, 2910-2913.
- (a) M. Saito, M. Sakaguchi, T. Tajima, K. Ishimura, S. Nagase and M. Hada, *Science*, 2010, **328**, 339-342;
  (b) M. Saito, M. Nakada, T. Kuwabara and M. Minoura, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 4674-4676.
- (a) W. P. Freeman, T. D. Tilley, A. L. Rheingold and R. L. Ostrander, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1993, **32**, 1744-1745; (b) J. M. Dysard and T. D. Tilley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 8245-8246; (c) J. M. Dysard and T. D. Tilley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 3097-3105; (d) W. P. Freeman, J. M. Dysard, T. D. Tilley and A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics*, 2002, **21**, 1734-1738; (e) V. Y. Lee, R. Kato, A. Sekiguchi, A. Krapp and G. Frenking, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 10340-10341; (f) H. Yasuda, V. Y. Lee and A. Sekiguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9902-9903; (g) V. Y. Lee, R. Kato and A. Sekiguchi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2013, **86**, 1466-1471.
- 10. , For details, see supporting information.
- Bent angles were defined as 180°-{the angle between Sn-(midpoint of C<sub>α</sub>)-(midpoint of C<sub>β</sub>)}.
- T. Kuwabara, J.-D. Guo, S. Nagase, T. Sasamori, N. Tokitoh and M. Saito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 13059-13064.
- 13. M. Detzel, T. Mohr, O. J. Scherer and G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1994, **33**, 1110-1112.
- M. Saito, T. Kuwabara, K. Ishimura and S. Nagase, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2011, 6, 2907-2910.
- 15. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez and J. A. Pople, GAUSSIAN 03, Revision E.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- S. Huzinaga, J. Andzelm, M. Klobukowski, E. Radzio-andzerm, Y. Sakai and H. Tatewaki, *Gaussian Basis Sets for Molecular Calculations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984.
- 17. P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 270-283.
- M. M. Francl, W. J. Pietro, W. J. Hehre, J. S. Binkley, M. S. Gordon, D. J. DeFrees and J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1982, **77**, 3654-3665.
- 19. K. B. Wiberg, Tetrahedron, 1968, 24, 1083-1096.

## Diverse Coordination Modes in Tin Analogues of Cyclopentadienyl Anion Depending on the Substituents on the Tin Atom

T. Kuwabara, M. Nakada, J. D. Guo, S. Nagase and M. Saito\*

The coordination mode of stannole monoanion ligands is highly dependent on the types of substituents on the tin atom.



n<sup>4</sup>-fashion-like



conventional n<sup>5</sup>-fashion