



Synthesis of a series of new platinum organometallic complexes derived from bidentate Schiff-base ligands and their catalytic activity in the hydrogenative and dehydrogenative silylation of styrene

Journal:	<i>Dalton Transactions</i>
Manuscript ID:	DT-ART-04-2015-001407.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-May-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Lachachi, Belhadj; University of Sciences and Technology of Oran, Chemistry Benabdallah, Tayeb; University of Sciences and Technology of Oran, Chemistry Aguiar, Pedro; University of York, Department of Chemistry Yucef, Hadj; University of Sciences and Technology of Oran, Chemistry Whitwood, Adrian; University of York, Department of Chemistry Lynam, Jason; University of York,

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Synthesis of a series of new platinum organometallic complexes derived from bidentate Schiff-base ligands and their catalytic activity in the hydrogenative and dehydrogenative silylation of styrene.

M. Belhadj Lachachi,^{a,b} Tayeb Benabdallah,^b Pedro M. Aguiar,^a M. Hadj Youcef,^b Adrian C. Whitwood^a and Jason M. Lynam^{*a}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The synthesis and properties of a novel class of platinum complexes containing Schiff bases as O,N-bidentate ligands is described as are the solution and solid state properties of the uncomplexed ligands. The platinum complexes were prepared from [PtBr₂(COD)] (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) and *N*-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthalidene)aniline derivatives in the presence of base (NaOBu^t). Instead of a substitution reaction to afford cationic species, the addition of the Schiff base ligands results in both the formal loss of two equivalents of bromide and addition of hydroxide to the COD ligand of the complexes. It is proposed that this reaction proceeds through a cationic platinum complex [Pt(N-O)(COD)]Br which then undergoes addition of water and loss of HBr. An example of a dinuclear platinum complex in which two cyclo-octene ligands are bridged by an ether linkage is also reported. The platinum complexes were evaluated as catalysts for the hydrogenative and dehydrogenative silylation of styrene, the resulting behaviour is substituent, time and temperature dependent.

20

Introduction

Schiff bases represent a versatile and diverse class of ligands for transition metal compounds. The ligands are typically prepared from the condensation reaction of either an aldehyde or ketone with a primary amine and, as such, it is possible to introduce a wide variety of steric and electronic features into their structure.¹ Traditional Schiff bases act as bidentate N-O donors to a single metal centre, however, there are additional examples in which the ligand may act as a tridentate N₂O-, N₂O₂-, N₂O₃- or tetradentate N₂N₂O₂-donor ligand permitting the synthesis of multinuclear complexes, including one- two- and three-dimensional metal organic frameworks.² Therefore, Schiff base ligands have found widespread application in catalysis,³ electrochemistry⁴, nanotechnology⁵, materials science⁶ and as potential therapeutic agents.⁷ Schiff base ligands typically coordinate to transition metals through hard nitrogen and oxygen donors and as such have principally found application with first row and/or early transition metals.⁸ However, there are a number of complexes reported in which these ligands bind to softer metals such as ruthenium(II), rhodium(I), palladium(II) and platinum (II).⁹


As expected from their hard nature, Schiff base ligands have found their principal application in oxidation/reductive reactions as well as catalysts for hydrolysis.¹⁰ However, including hard donor ligands into the coordination sphere of soft metal centres

raises the possibility of introducing unusual electronic features at a given metal and thus imparting novel catalytic properties when compared to more traditional ligands for low oxidation state metals such as phosphines, alkenes and carbon monoxide. In addition to creating such a hard/soft mismatch, Schiff base ligands are attractive as it is relatively straightforward to prepare a library of related ligands, thus allowing structure/activity relationships to be readily probed.


The synthesis of some new platinum complexes which contain hard Schiff base ligands using [PtBr₂(COD)] as a precursor is now described. The coordination of the Schiff base promotes an unusual hydration reaction of the cyclo-octadiene ligand. The new platinum complexes are catalysts for hydrogenative and dehydrogenative silylation of styrene and the activity depends upon the nature of the substituents on the Schiff base.

Results and Discussion

Five naphthyl-substituted Schiff-base ligands, **3**, were prepared in good yield from the condensation of 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde, **1**, with 4-substituted anilines **2** (Scheme 1) using the method described by Salman and co-workers.¹¹ In addition to characterisation by NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and mass spectrometry, the structures of **3a** (Figure 1), **3c** (Figure 2) and **3d** (Figure 3) were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction.



Scheme 1 (i) EtOH, 2 hours, reflux.



Scheme 2 Keto-enol tautomerisation in compounds **3**.

Compounds **3** exhibit a keto-enol tautomerisation¹² (Scheme 2) and the effects of the different substituents present was probed by a number of different techniques. The UV-visible spectra of the organic ligands were studied in a series of solvents with different polarity ranging from protic, polar aprotic and non-polar.¹³ The results indicate that the studied Schiff bases are characterized by the presence of two tautomers, a phenol-imine form and a keto-amine form. It was also observed that the Schiff bases show two bands in the visible region located above 400 nm (see ESI) which were assigned to the keto-amine form.¹² The phenol-imine form has no appreciable absorbance in this region. The UV-visible spectra for the five Schiff base ligands indicates an increase in the proportion of the keto-amine form as the solvent polarity increases. It was concluded that the phenol-imine form predominates in non-polar aprotic solvents such as toluene and cyclohexane, while the keto-amine form is predominant in polar solvents, such as chloroform and ethanol. Indeed, in a related system the keto-amine form has been shown to be highly polar and a charge-density study supports a contribution to the bonding from the proposed Lewis structure.¹⁴

A comparison between the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data in both solution and solid state is given in Table 1.¹⁵ In the ¹³C CPMAS NMR spectrum the chemical shifts of C-2 and C-α (see Scheme 2 for labelling) are sensitive to the proton position and gives an indication of the relative population of both tautomers. The chemical shift of the C-2 carbon of **3a** (δ 189.9) suggests that the keto-amine form dominates in this structure. The data from a

single crystal X-ray diffraction study support this argument with the observation of short C(1)—O(1), 1.2683(14) Å, and long C(11)—N(1), 1.3321(14) Å, bonds. In **3d**, the chemical shift of C-2 in the solid state ¹³C NMR is δ 172.9 suggesting a greater contribution from the phenol-imine form and analysis of the X-ray data of this compound, shows a longer C(9)—O(1) 1.3026(16) and a shorter C(7)—N(1) 1.3060(16) bond. Unfortunately, the correlation between the chemical shift of C-2 in the solid state ¹³C NMR spectrum and the structure obtained by X-ray diffraction does not hold for **3c**, the latter technique predicts that the phenol-imine form should dominate (C(1)—O(1) 1.3427(16) Å, C(11)—N(1) 1.2932(14) Å), but the resonance of C-2 is actually less-shielded than that for **3d**. However, it should be noted that the two experiments report on the nature of the chemical environment at two very different temperatures, ca. 300 K for NMR versus 110 K for X-ray. In any event, it is clear that the substituent on the phenyl ring and the solvent has a significant effect on the keto-enol tautomerisation.

Table 2 Comparison between the solution and the solid state NMR for the five bidentate Schiff bases (selected ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts).

Entry	NH/OH (ppm)		C-2 (ppm)		C-α (ppm)	
	CD ₂ Cl ₂	Solid	CD ₂ Cl ₂	Solid	CD ₂ Cl ₂	Solid
3a	14.88	11.9	193.9/168.8 ^a	189.9	158.2	141.7
3b	15.62	16.3	167.8	169.9	154.9	149.5
3c	15.46	14.2	169.4	180	155.5	145.1
3d	15.2	15.2	167.5	172.9	157.3	148.2
3e	15.59	15.2	167.9	170.1	155.2	148.9

^a slow exchange between tautomers occurring.




Fig. 1 Solid state structure of **3a**, thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50 % probability level, selected hydrogen atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C(1)—O(1) 1.2683(14), C(11)—N(1) 1.3321(14), C(12)—N(1) 1.3922(14), C(15)—N(2) 1.4500(14); N(2)—O(2) 1.2305(13), N(2)—O(3) 1.2289(14); C(11)—N(1)—C(12) 127.54(10), O(1)—C(1)—C(10) 122.69(11).




Fig. 2 Solid state structure of **3c**, thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50 % probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C(11)-N(1) 1.2932(14), C(12)-N(1) 1.4143(14), C(1)-O(1) 1.3427(16); C(11)-N(1)-C(12) 119.71(10), O(1)-C(1)-C(10) 122.30(10).





Fig. 3 Solid state structure of **3d**, thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50 % probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C(1)-Cl(1) 1.7354(12), C(4)-N(1) 1.4039(16), C(7)-N(1) 1.3060(16), C(9)-O(1) 1.3026(16); C(7)-N(1)-C(4) 124.16(11), O(1)-C(9)-C(8) 122.14(12).

In order to explore the coordination chemistry of ligands **3**, a platinum-based precursor was employed. Reaction of [PtBr₂(COD)] with ligands **3** in the presence of NaO^tBu resulted in the formation of platinum complexes **4** (Scheme 3). Analysis of the products by ¹H NMR spectroscopy revealed that two products in approximately a 3.5:1 ratio were present, each with an imine proton that exhibited platinum satellites, confirming that the Schiff base ligand was coordinated to the metal. Evidence for a coordinated C₈ ligand was also present, although the number of resonances indicated that the complexes did not contain a mirror plane.

The identity of complexes **4** was confirmed by a single crystal X-ray diffraction study on **4c** (Figure 4). This study demonstrated that the Schiff-base ligand was coordinated to the platinum through its nitrogen and oxygen atoms. However, the structure determination demonstrated that the COD ligand had been functionalised when compared to the precursor and an extra peak of electron density was present which was modelled as a hydroxyl group. The hydroxyl was disordered over two positions and thus explained the appearance in the NMR spectra of two imine resonances which were assigned to the two geometric isomers of **4**. The bond lengths to the three metal bound carbons are consistent with C(1) (2.136(7) Å), and C(2) (2.149(7) Å) binding as a π-bound alkene, whereas C(6) (2.011(6) Å) is a typical platinum-carbon single bond.



Scheme 3 (i) + NaO^tBu, +H₂O, -NaBr, -HBr, -HO^tBu, thf, 24 hrs.





Fig. 4 Solid state structure of **4c** (a) major component (79 %) and (b) minor component (21 %). Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50 % probability level, selected hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in major form. Pt(1)-N(1) 2.106(4), Pt(1)-O(1) 2.028(4), Pt(1)-C(1) 2.136(7), Pt(1)-C(2) 2.149(7), Pt(1)-C(6) 2.011(6), C(1)-C(2) 1.413(10), C(5)-C(6) 1.540(12), O(2)-C(5) 1.435(12); N(1)-Pt(1)-C(1) 103.1(3), N(1)-Pt(1)-C(2) 95.7(2), O(1)-Pt(1)-N(1) 87.79(15), O(1)-Pt(1)-C(1) 154.9(3), C(6)-Pt(1)-N(1) 175.3(2), C(6)-Pt(1)-O(1) 87.9(2), C(6)-Pt(1)-C(1) 81.5(3), C(6)-Pt(1)-C(2) 88.0(3). Selected bond lengths in minor form (Å) Pt(1)-C(1A) 2.206(17), Pt(1)-C(2A) 2.144(19), Pt(1)-C(6A) 1.982(19).


A possible pathway explaining the formation of complexes **4** is shown in Scheme 4. It is proposed that NaO^tBu acts to deprotonate the -OH group of **3** which then reacts with [PtBr₂(COD)] to give cationic intermediate [5]⁺. Nucleophilic attack of adventitious water onto the coordinated COD ligand will then be enhanced by the cationic nature of [5]⁺. Formal loss of HBr will then afford the observed product. Furthermore, attempts to record mass spectra of complexes **4** exhibited a peak with a mass-to-charge ratio corresponding to [5]⁺. This may indicate that (at least in the mass spectrometer) loss of OH⁻ from **4** may occur.

Nucleophilic attack at COD ligands within the coordination sphere of both neutral¹⁶ and cationic¹⁷ d⁸-metal complexes is a well-established process. Attack at neutral complexes with alkoxide or malonate-based nucleophiles occurs directly at the COD ligand, whereas in the case of Grignard reagents an initial M-C bond formation is followed by migration of the organic group to COD.^{16d} Given that water is much poorer nucleophile than many of those previously studied, we favour a pathway involving the cationic intermediate with the *exo* attack indicated in the structure of **4c** indicating that direct addition to the COD has occurred.^{16d}




Scheme 4 (i) +NaO^tBu, -NaBr -HO^tBu, (ii) +H₂O, -HBr, thf, 24 hrs.

On one occasion a further complex was obtained from an attempt to re-crystallise complex 4e. In this instance, a single crystal X-ray diffraction study demonstrated that a dimeric species was obtained in which two complexes are bridged by a single oxygen atom (Figure 5).



(a)




(b)

Fig. 5 (a) Solid state structure of 6e, pentane of crystallisation omitted for clarity (b) coordination environment of the two platinum atoms. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50 % probability level, selected hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) N(1)-Pt(1) 2.116(4), N(2)-Pt(2) 2.051(4), O(1)-Pt(1) 2.017(4), O(4)-Pt(2) 2.091(3), C(22)-Pt(1) 2.103(5), C(23)-C(24) 1.474(7), C(23)-Pt(1) 2.120(5), C(19)-Pt(1) 2.048(4), C(30)-Pt(2) 2.148(4), C(31)-C(32) 1.504(7), C(31)-Pt(2) 2.133(4), C(26)-O(3) 1.429(5), C(27)-O(3) 1.446(5), C(34)-Pt(2) 2.058(4); O(1)-Pt(1)-N(1) 88.43(15), N(2)-Pt(2)-O(4) 89.09(13), C(19)-Pt(1)-N(1) 175.69(17), C(34)-Pt(2)-O(4) 173.07(15).

The structural determination demonstrated that each platinum was in an approximately square-planar environment and that in the case of Pt(1) the nitrogen atom was *trans* to the alkyl-part of

the modified COD ligands and the oxygen *trans* to the carbon-carbon double bond. At Pt(2) the relative position of the nitrogen and oxygen atoms with respect to the organic ligand are interchanged. The bond metrics within the coordination environment of each platinum reflect these changes, for example, when the heteroatom is *trans* to the hard alkyl group the Pt-X bonds are lengthened, whereas the metal-heteroatom bonds are shortened when *trans* to the softer, π -accepting, alkene group. The formation of 6e may be explained on the basis of the initial mechanistic hypothesis. Here, the hydroxyl-group of a complex 4e undergoes a further reaction with putative 5 to give 6e (Scheme 5). Alternatively, complexes 6 could be formed from the dehydration of two equivalents of 4. In any event, the *exo* attack indicated in the structure of 6e indicates that direct combination of the COD ligands is occurring.



Scheme 5 (i) thf, -H⁺.

The ability of the platinum complexes to promote hydrosilylation was then explored. The formation of Si-C bonds remains a key industrial process and platinum-based systems, such as Speier's and Karstedt's catalysts played an important role in the development of transition metal mediated hydrosilylation.¹⁸ More recently a number of other metal-based systems have been shown to be active catalysts.¹⁹ Furthermore, there are number of different competing pathways in a given hydrosilylation reaction, including different regiochemical outcomes and processes such as dehydrosilylation.²⁰ Access to a number of related catalyst structures with various different functional groups would allow for the effects of these substituents on the outcome of the reaction to be readily probed.

Our experiments focused on the ability of complexes 4 to promote the addition of HSiEt₃, 8, to styrene, 7. When the resulting reaction was monitored by GC-MS it was clear that in addition to hydrosilylation occurring to give 9 (Scheme 6), dehydrosilylation was competing to give 10. With this in mind, the effects of a number of variables in the reaction such as the nature of the catalyst, time and temperature on the outcome of the reaction were explored. In order to achieve this, a number of reactions were performed in NMR tubes using d⁸-toluene as solvent, 5 mol % of platinum catalyst²¹ and a 1:1 ratio of 7 to 8. In addition to ¹H NMR, reaction progress was monitored by gas chromatography (GC), following the conversion of 7 to products.

At this stage it is not clear what precise influence the substituent on the ligand is having on the catalytic cycle or indeed if it is simply controlling the release of different catalytically-active metal species. For example, the ligand effect may be due to either a traditional structure-activity relationship influencing the relative rates with a homogenous catalytic cycle. Alternatively (or additionally) the nature of the ligand might be controlling the formation of the rate, extent and nature of catalytically active nanoparticles.²² On the basis of the catalytic data it is evident that the substituent on the ligand has an effect on both the efficiency and selectivity of the reaction

Conclusions

The incorporation of Schiff-bases based on the *N*-(2-hydroxynaphthalideneniline) framework into the coordination sphere of platinum may be readily achieved, even when a range of different functional groups are present on the ligand. Coordination of these ligands appears to promote nucleophilic attack by water onto a coordinated COD ligand, presumably *via* a cationic intermediate. The complexes are competent catalysts for the hydrosilylation of styrene and the nature of the ligand affects both the rate of the reaction and the distribution of products between hydrogenative and dehydrogenative silylation.


Experimental

All experimental procedures were performed under an atmosphere of dinitrogen using standard Schlenk Line and Glove Box techniques. Dichloromethane, acetonitrile, pentane and hexane were purified with the aid of an Innovative Technologies anhydrous solvent engineering system. Diethyl ether was dried over sodium and CD₂Cl₂ used for NMR experiments was dried over CaH₂ and degassed with three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The solvent was then vacuum transferred into NMR tubes fitted with PTFE J. Young's taps. All other solvents were used as received from Sigma-Aldrich UK. NMR spectra were acquired on either a Jeol 400 (Operating Frequencies ¹H 399.78 MHz, ¹³C 100.53 MHz) or a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer (¹H 500.23 MHz, ¹³C 125.78 MHz) at 295 K. ¹³C spectra were recorded with proton decoupling. In some cases, the resonances for the coordinated COD ligands were complex multiplets that could not be assigned with certainty. Solid state NMR spectra were acquired using a Bruker 4mm HXY MAS probe on an AvanceIII HD 400 spectrometer equipped with a shielded widebore 9.4 T magnet. All spectra were acquired at a set temperature of 285K, which corresponds to sample temps of ca. 298-300K at the spinning rates employed. ¹H MAS spectra (400.25 MHz) were acquired at 14 kHz spinning rates using a Bloch decay experiment (1 μs, 30 degree pulse), a recycle delay of 20 s and are the sum of 8 co-added transients. Chemical shifts are reported with respect to TMS and were calibrated using adamantane as an external secondary reference (δ = 1.8 ppm). ¹³C{¹H} CPMAS echo spectra (100.64 MHz) were acquired at 12.5 kHz spinning rates with and without a dipolar-dephasing period (450 μs) and employing a ¹H flip-back pulse. Experiments employed a 1.5ms contact pulse (linearly-ramped on ¹H), recycle delay of 30-60 seconds, spinal-64 heteronuclear decoupling (at ν_{ir} = 85 kHz) and consist of 64-448 co-added transients. Chemical shifts are reported with respect to TMS, and were referenced using adamantane (δ(CH₂) = 29.5 ppm) as an external secondary reference. High resolution mass spectrometry was performed by the University of York mass spectrometry service using the ESI

technique on a Thermo-Electron Corp LCQ Classic (ESI) instrument or Waters GCT Premier Acceleration TOF MS (LIFDI). IR spectra were acquired on a Thermo-Nicolet Avatar 370 FTIR spectrometer using either CsCl solution cells or as KBr discs. CHN measurements were performed using an Exeter Analytical Inc. CE-440 analyser. Single Crystal X-ray diffraction was carried out on an Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer with Mo-Kα radiation (λ=0.71073 Å) using an EOS CCD camera. The crystal was cooled with an Oxford Instruments Cryojet and were kept at 110.00(10) K during data collection. Using Olex2,²³ the structures were solved with the Superflip²⁴ structure solution program using Charge Flipping except for 3a which was solved by direct methods²⁵ and refined with the ShelXL²⁵ refinement package using least squares minimisation.

75 Preparation of the bidentate Schiff base ligands

The *N*-(2-Hydroxy-1-naphthalidene)*para*-substituted anilines ligands were prepared following the literature,¹¹ by addition of equimolar quantities of the amine (aniline) in ethanol to a warm solution of 2-Hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde in ethanol and the mixture was heated at reflux for two hours. After cooling, the precipitated products were collected, recrystallized twice from ethanol and dried at 25°C. They were obtained in a yield approaching 80 %.



85 **Fig. 9** Structure and molecule numbering of the bidentate Schiff bases derived from 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde and *p*-substituted anilines.

3a ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 7.15 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **3**), 7.41 (t, 1H, 7.6 Hz, **6**), 7.16 (d, 2H, 9.1 Hz, **11,15**), 7.59 (t, 1H, 7.8 Hz, **7**), 7.78 (dd, 1H, 7.8 Hz, **5**), 7.90 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **4**), 8.18 (d, 1H, 8.2 Hz, **8**), 8.33 (d, 2H, 9.2 Hz, **12,14**), 9.45 (d, 1H, 2.5 Hz, **α**), 14.89 (d, 1H, 2.6 Hz, **β**). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: (CD₂Cl₂) δ 119.1 (**8**), 121.2 (**3**), 124.6 (**11,15**), 125.4 (**6**), 126.3 (**12,14**), 129.2 (**7**), 129.6 (**5**), 139.2 (**4**), 158.2 (**α**), 193.9 (**2**). ESI-MS, positive ion: m/z 293.0918 (calculated for C₁₇H₁₃N₂O₃ = 293.0921, Δ = 0.2 mDa); Elemental Analysis: for C₁₇H₁₂N₂O₃ Calc. C 69.86; H 4.14; N 9.58; Rest 16.42% Found C 69.88; H 4.38; N 9.56; Rest 16.18 %; IR (KBr) / cm⁻¹ 1621 (C=N), 3431 (X-H)(X = O; N), 1289 (C-O phenolic).

3b ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 1.42 (t, 3H, 7.0 Hz, **λ**), 4.06 (q, 2H, 7.0 Hz, **μ**), 6.98 (d, 2H, 9.0 Hz, **11,15**), 7.10 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **3**), 7.35 (td, 1H, 7.5 Hz, **6**), 7.37 (d, 2H, 9.0 Hz, **12,14**), 7.54 (t, 1H, 7.7 Hz, **7**), 7.75 (dd, 1H, 7.8 Hz, **5**), 7.81 (d, 1H, 9.1 Hz, **4**), 8.17 (d, 1H, 8.3 Hz, **8**), 9.4 (d, 1H, 3.0 Hz, **α**), 15.64 (d, 1H, 3.1 Hz, **β**). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: (CD₂Cl₂) δ 15.0 (**λ**), 64.2 (**μ**), 119.4 (**8**), 121.7 (**3**), 122.1 (**12,14**), 123.7 (**6**), 128.2 (**7**), 129.6 (**5**), 135.8 (**4**), 154.9 (**α**), 167.8 (**2**); ESI-MS, positive ion: m/z 292.1318 (calculated for C₁₉H₁₈NO₂ = 292.1332, Δ = 1.4 mDa); Elemental Analysis: for C₁₉H₁₇NO₂ Calc. C 78.33; H 5.88; N

4.81; Rest 10.98% Found C 78.17; H 5.83; N 4.73; Rest 11.27 %; IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1616 (C=N), 3430 (X-H)(X = O; N), 1248 (C-O phenolic).

3c ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 7.08 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **3**), 7.31 (tt, 1H, 7.4 Hz, **13**), 7.36 (td, 1H, 7.7 Hz, **6**), 7.41 (dd, 2H, 7.9 Hz, **11,15**), 7.49 (t, 2H, 7.9 Hz, **12,14**), 7.55 (td, 1H, 7.7 Hz, **7**), 7.75 (dd, 1H, 8.2 Hz, **5**), 7.84 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **4**), 8.17 (d, 1H, 8.3 Hz, **8**), 9.42 (s, 1H, α), 15.46 (s, 1H, β). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: (CD_2Cl_2) δ 119.1 (**8**), 120.5 (**11,15**), 121.9 (**3**), 123.6 (**6**), 126.6 (**13**), 128.2 (**7**), 129.7 (**5**), 133.3 (**12,14**), 136.5 (**4**), 155.5 (α), 169.4 (**2**). ESI-MS, positive ion: m/z 248.1062 (calculated for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}^+$ = 248.1070, Δ = 0.8 mDa); Elemental Analysis: for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}$ Calc. C 82.57; H 5.30; N 5.66; Rest 6.47% Found C 82.42; H 5.39; N 5.13; Rest 7.06 %; IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1621 (C=N), 3409 (X-H)(X = O; N), 1179 (C-O phenolic).

3d ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 7.12 (d, 1H, 9.1 Hz, **3**), 7.35 (d, 2H, 8.9 Hz, **11,15**), 7.38 (t, 1H, 7.7 Hz, **6**), 7.45 (d, 2H, 9.0 Hz, **12,14**), 7.56 (t, 1H, 7.8 Hz, **7**), 7.77 (dt, 1H, 8.0 Hz, **5**), 7.86 (d, 1H, 9.1 Hz, **4**), 8.17 (d, 1H, 8.2 Hz, **8**), 9.43 (d, 1H, 2.7 Hz, α), 15.22 (d, 1H, 2.7 Hz, β). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: (CD_2Cl_2) δ 119.4 (**8**), 121.3 (**3**), 122.4 (**11,15**), 124.0 (**6**), 128.5 (**7**), 129.9 (**5**), 132.2 (**12,14**), 136.5 (**4**), 157.3 (α), 167.5 (**2**); ESI-MS, positive ion: m/z 282.0674 (calculated for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{13}\text{ClNO}^+$ = 282.0680, Δ = 0.6 mDa); Elemental Analysis: for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{12}\text{NOCl}$ Calc. C 72.47; H 4.29; N 4.97; Rest 18.26% Found C 72.32; H 4.25; N 4.88; Rest 18.55 % IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1618 (C=N), 3507 (X-H)(X = O; N), 1181 (C-O phenolic).

3e ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 3.85 (s, 3H, σ), 7.01 (d, 2H, 9.0 Hz, **11,15**), 7.10 (d, 1H, 9.1 Hz, **3**), 7.36 (td, 1H, 7.7 Hz, **6**), 7.39 (d, 2H, 9.0 Hz, **12,14**), 7.54 (t, 1H, 7.8 Hz, **7**), 7.76 (dt, 1H, 8.0 Hz, **5**), 7.82 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **4**), 8.18 (d, 1H, 8.3 Hz, **8**), 9.42 (d, 1H, 2.8 Hz, α), 15.62 (d, 1H, 3.1 Hz, β); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: (CD_2Cl_2) δ 56.1 (σ), 119.5 (**8**), 121.3 (**3**), 122.3 (**11,15**), 124.1 (**6**), 128.5 (**7**), 130.0 (**5**), 133.5 (**12,14**), 136.5 (**4**), 155.2 (α), 167.9 (**2**); ESI-MS, positive ion: m/z 278.1165 (calculated for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_2^+$ = 278.1176, Δ = 1.1 mDa); Elemental Analysis: for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{15}\text{NO}_2$ Calc. C 77.96; H 5.45; N 5.05; Rest 11.54% Found C 77.71; H 5.41; N 4.97; Rest 11.91 %; IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1620 (C=N), 3488 (X-H)(X = O; N), 1246 (C-O phenolic).

Synthesis of Dibromo (1,5-cyclooctadiene) platinum (II)

Dibromo(1,5-cyclooctadiene)platinum(II) (2.16 g, 4.6 mmol, 95% yield) was obtained as green crystals from potassium tetrabromoplatinate (2.5g, 6.0 mmol), glacial acetic acid (60mL) and 1,5-cyclooctadiene (2.5mL, 20mmol) in distilled water (40 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred and heated to about 90 °C over 30 min. The deep red solution slowly became pale yellow and fine crystals were deposited, washed in 50 ml portions of water, ethanol and ether and then dried at 100°C for 60 min.²⁶




Figure 10 Structure and molecule numbering of the platinum(II) organometallic complexes derived from 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde and 1,5-cyclooctadiene.

Synthesis of 4a. Dibromo(1,5-cyclooctadiene)platinum(II) (50 mg, 0.11 mmol), *N*-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthalidene)-*p*-nitroaniline (31 mg, 0.11 mmol) and sodium tert-butoxide (24 mg, 0.22 mmol) were stirred in THF (30 ml) for 16 hours under a nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was then filtered under nitrogen, and the filtrate dried in vacuum for 4 hours. Complex **4a** was obtained as red crystals (70 mg, 0.13 mmol, 60% yield).

4a-major isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): δ_{H} 1.81 (m, 2H), 5.02 (m, 2H, **21** or **22**), 6.91 (d, 1H, 9.6 Hz, **3**), 7.10 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **6**), 7.35 (dd, 2H, 8.3 Hz, **12, 16**), 7.46 (dd, 2H, 8.6 Hz, **13, 15**), 7.64 (td, 1H, 7.8 Hz, **7**), 7.72 (d, 1H, 8.2 Hz, **5**); 7.84 (d, 1H, 9.1 Hz, **4**), 8.13 (d, 1H, 8.7 Hz, **8**), 9.02 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 76.2$ Hz, Pt-N=CH). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: (CD_2Cl_2) δ 26.1 (**19**), 28.6 (**20**), 31.5 (**23**), 32.4 (**24**), 68.2 (**17**), 73.8 (**18**), 75.8 (**21, 22**), 111.9 (**1**), 119.3 (**8**), 123.5 (**3**), 124.3 (**6**), 124.9 (**13, 15**), 126.0 (**9**), 126.9 (**12, 16**), 127.8 (**14**), 128.6 (**7**), 129.1 (**5**), 135.3 (**10**), 138.4 (**4**), 140.3 (**11**), 153.2 ($\#$), 169.7 (**2**).

4a-minor isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 9.21 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 25.4$ Hz, Pt-N=CH). ESI-MS, positive ion: m/z 594.1329 (calculated for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{Pt}^+$ [M]-O = 594.1353, Δ = 2.2 mDa); Elemental analysis: for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{Pt}$ calc. C 49.10, H 3.96, N 4.58, rest 42.36 %, found C 49.45; H 3.99; N 4.84, rest 41.72 % IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1579 (C=N), 3431 (O-H), 1262 (C-O phenolic).

Synthesis of 4b. Dibromo(1,5-cyclooctadiene)platinum(II) (100 mg, 0.22 mmol), *N*-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthalidene)-*p*-ethoxyaniline (63 mg, 0.22 mmol) and sodium tert-butoxide (50 mg, 0.43 mmol) were stirred in THF (30 ml). The reaction mixture was left in a Schlenk tube under nitrogen and stirring overnight. The solution was then filtered under nitrogen, and dried in vacuum for 4 hours. The complex **4b** was obtained as yellow crystals (70 mg, 0.13 mmol, 60% yield).

4b-major isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 1.43 (t, 3H, 7.0 Hz, **26**), 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.43 (m, 2H), 2.70 (m, 2H), 3.61 (m, 1H), 4.08 (q, 2H, 7.2 Hz, **25**), 4.96 (m, 2H, **21** and **22**), 6.88 (t, 2H, 7.2 Hz, **12,16**), 7.13 (d, 1H, 8.7 Hz, **3**), 7.22 (td, 1H, 8.3 Hz, **6**), 7.48 (m, 1H, 7.6 Hz, **7**), 7.87 (d, 1H, 8.7 Hz, **5**), 7.97 (d, 1H, 8.6 Hz, **4**), 8.11 (d, 1H, 9.5 Hz, **8**), 9.05 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 77.8$ Hz, Pt-N=CH). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: (CD_2Cl_2) δ 15.1 (**26**), 26.0 (**19**), 27.5 (**20**), 28.6 (**23**), 31.5 (**24**), 64.3 (**25**), 68.3 (**17**), 73.9 (**18**), 84.6 (**21, 22**), 109.3 (**1**), 114.4 (**12, 16**), 119.4 (**8**), 121.6 (**3**), 125.3 (**6**), 126.9 (**13, 15**), 127.7 (**9**), 128.3 (**7**), 129.1 (**10**), 129.7 (**5**), 135.8 (**4**), 137.0 (**11**), 155.0 (**14**), 157.8 ($\#$), 195.5 (**2**).

4b-minor isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 9.24 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 25.8$ Hz, Pt-N=CH)

ESI-MS, positive ion: m/z 593.1767 (calculated for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{28}\text{NO}_2\text{Pt}$ [M]-OH = 593.1765, $\Delta = -0.2$ mDa); Elemental Analysis: for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{29}\text{NO}_3\text{Pt}$ Calc. C 53.11; H 4.79; N 2.29; Rest 39.81 % Found C 53.57; H 4.81; N 2.75; Rest 38.87% IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1580 (C=N), 3433 (O-H), 1427 (C-O ether aromatic), 1261 (C-O phenolic)

Synthesis of 4c. Dibromo(1,5-cyclooctadiene)platinum(II) (50 mg, 0.11 mmol), *N*-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthalidene)aniline (26 mg, 0.11 mmol) and sodium tert-butoxide (10 mg, 0.22 mmol) were stirred in THF (30 ml). The reaction mixture was left in a Schlenk tube under Nitrogen and stirring overnight. The solution was then filtered under nitrogen, and dried in vacuum for 4 hours. The complex **4c** was obtained as yellow crystals (70 mg, 0.13 mmol, 60% yield).

4c-major isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): δ_{H} 1.69 (m, 2H), 3.84 (m, 1H), 4.08 (td, 1H, 8.8 Hz), 4.98 (m, 2H, **21** and **22**), 6.92 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **3**), 7.07 (dd, 1H, 8.4 Hz, **6**), 7.23 (td, 1H, 7.5 Hz, **14**), 7.29 (dd, 2H, 8.4 Hz, **12**, **16**), 7.46 (dd, 2H, 7.1 Hz, **13**, **15**), 7.65 (dd, 1H, 8.1 Hz, **7**), 7.76 (d, 1H, 9.2 Hz, **5**), 7.88 (d, 1H, 8.6 Hz, **4**), 7.93 (d, 1H, 8.6 Hz, **8**), 9.08 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 79.2$ Hz, Pt-N=CH). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: (CD_2Cl_2) δ 26.0 (**23**), 28.7 (**19**), 30.1 (**20**), 31.9 (**24**), 68.1 (**17**), 73.8 (**18**), 85.3 (**21**, **22**), 110.6 (**1**), 119.5 (**8**), 123.3 (**6**), 123.3 (**12**, **16**), 125.9 (**14**), 128.0 (**7**), 128.2 (**9**), 129.2 (**5**), 129.8 (**13**, **15**), 132.3 (**10**), 136.5 (**4**), 137.6 (**11**), 150.2 (**3**), 157.5 (#), 169.3 (**2**).

4c-minor isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 9.24 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 25.2$ Hz, Pt-N=CH)

LIFDI-MS, m/z 549.13 (calculated for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{24}\text{NOPt}$ [M]-OH = 549.15) Elemental Analysis: for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{25}\text{NO}_2\text{Pt}$ Calc. C 53.00; H 4.45; N 2.47; Rest 40.08 % Found C 53.19; H 4.48; N 2.72; Rest 39.61% IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1620 (C=N), 3498 (O-H), 1261 (C-O phenolic).

Synthesis of 4d. Dibromo(1,5-cyclooctadiene)platinum(II) (50 mg, 0.108 mmol), *N*-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthalidene)-*p*-chloroaniline (30 mg, 0.11 mmol) and sodium tert-butoxide (24 mg, 0.22 mmol) were stirred in THF (30 ml). The reaction mixture was left in a Schlenk tube under Nitrogen and stirring overnight. The solution was then filtered under Nitrogen, and dried in vacuum for 4 hours. The complex **4d** was obtained as yellow crystals (70 mg, 0.13 mmol, 60% yield).

4d-major isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): δ_{H} 1.71 (m, 1H), 2.18 (m, 2H), 2.61 (m, 2H), 2.90 (m, 1H), 3.80 (m, 1H), 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.98 (m, 2H, **21** and **22**), 6.91 (d, 1H, 9.3 Hz, **3**), 7.03 (dd, 1H, 8.6 Hz, **6**), 7.25 (dd, 2H, 8.6 Hz, **12**, **16**), 7.44 (dd, 2H, 8.9 Hz, **13**, **15**), 7.65 (dd, 1H, 8.1 Hz, **7**), 7.77 (d, 1H, 9.4 Hz, **5**), 7.86 (d, 1H, 8.5 Hz, **4**), 7.91 (dd, 1H, 9.1 Hz, **8**), 9.03 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 69.4$ Hz, Pt-N=CH). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: (CD_2Cl_2) δ 27.6 (**24**), 28.4 (**23**), 31.3 (**19**), 33.8 (**20**), 68.2 (**17**), 73.8 (**18**), 85.6 (**21**, **22**), 110.2 (**1**), 119.5 (**8**), 123.1 (**3**), 123.1 (**6**), 125.1 (**13**, **15**), 126.0 (**12**, **16**), 126.4 (**9**), 127.3 (**14**), 128.0 (**7**), 129.2 (**5**), 132.3 (**10**), 136.7 (**4**), 137.6 (**11**), 157.6 (#), 169.4 (**2**).

4d-minor isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 9.21 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 20.8$ Hz, Pt-N=CH).

ESI-MS, positive ion, m/z 584.1181 (calculated for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{23}\text{ClNOPt}$ [M]-OH = 584.1191, $\Delta = 0.7$ mDa). Elemental Analysis: for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{24}\text{NO}_2\text{ClPt}$ Calc. C 49.96; H 4.03; N 2.33;

Rest 43.68% Found C 50.08; H 4.08; N 2.42; Rest 43.42%; IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1580 (C=N), 3473 (O-H), 1261 (C-O phenolic).

Synthesis of 4e. Dibromo(1,5-cyclooctadiene)platinum(II) (50 mg, 0.11 mmol), *N*-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthalidene)-*p*-methoxyaniline (30 mg, 0.11 mmol) and sodium tert-butoxide (24 mg, 0.22 mmol) in THF (30 ml). The reaction mixture was left in a Schlenk tube under Nitrogen and stirring overnight. The solution was then filtered under Nitrogen, and dried in vacuum for 4 hours. Complex **4e** was obtained as yellow crystals (70 mg, 0.13 mmol, 60% yield).

4e-major isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): δ_{H} 1.81 (m, 1H), 2.15 (m, 2H), 2.60 (m, 2H), 2.90 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H, **27**), 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.98 (m, 2H, **21** and **22**), 6.91 (d, 1H, 9.4 Hz, **3**), 7.03 (dd, 1H, 8.5 Hz, **6**), 7.25 (dd, 2H, 8.6 Hz, **12**, **16**), 7.44 (dd, 2H, 8.9 Hz, **13**, **15**), 7.65 (dd, 1H, 8.1 Hz, **7**), 7.77 (d, 1H, 9.3 Hz, **5**), 7.86 (d, 1H, 8.5 Hz, **4**), 7.91 (d, 1H, 8.9 Hz, **8**), 9.06 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 78.4$ Hz, Pt-N=CH). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: (CD_2Cl_2) δ 26.0 (**19**), 27.3 (**20**), 28.6 (**23**), 31.2 (**24**), 55.9 (**27**), 68.2 (**17**), 73.9 (**18**), 84.8 (**21**, **22**), 109.3 (**1**), 114.0 (**12**, **16**), 119.6 (**8**), 122.1 (**3**), 123.0 (**12**), 123.8 (**6**), 124.4 (**9**), 124.5 (**13**, **15**), 128.1 (**7**), 129.4 (**5**), 136.0 (**10**), 137.1 (**4**), 147.4 (**11**), 157.9 (#), 158.4 (**14**), 169.0 (**2**).

4e-minor isomer ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 399.8 MHz, 293 K): 9.24 (s, 1H, $^3J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 23.6$ Hz, Pt-N=CH).

LIFDI-MS, m/z 579.16 (calculated for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{26}\text{NO}_2\text{Pt}$ [M]-OH = 579.16). Elemental Analysis: for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{27}\text{NO}_3\text{Pt}$ Calc. C 52.35; H 4.56; N 2.35; Rest 40.74% Found C 52.46; H 4.59; N 2.54; Rest 40.41% IR (KBr) / cm^{-1} 1580 (C=N), 3458 (O-H), 1256 (C-O phenolic), 1428 (C-O ether aromatic).

General method for the hydrogenative and dehydrogenative silylation of alkenes

Reaction with styrene

The appropriate complex **4**, (5 mol%) was dissolved in d^8 -toluene (0.5ml) and removed from the glove-box. HSiEt_3 (0.18 mmol, 27 μl) and styrene (0.18 mmol, 20 μl) were added in a 1:1 molar ratio. The reaction mixture was kept at the stated temperature for 12 hours. The reactions were performed in a range of different temperatures going from 50 $^\circ\text{C}$, 70 $^\circ\text{C}$, 90 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 110 $^\circ\text{C}$. The conversion to products and the ratio of the product isomers was determined by integration of the ^1H NMR spectra, and confirmed by GC-MS.

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK. Tel +44(0)1904322534; E-mail: Jason.lynam@york.ac.uk

^b Laboratory of Chemistry and Electrochemistry of Metallic Complexes,

¹⁰⁵ Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Sciences and Technology of Oran, BP 1505 El M'Naouar, Oran, 31000, Algeria.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: details of X-ray diffraction experiments, UV-vis, MS and NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

1 (a) P. Guerriero, S. Tamburini and P.A. Vigato, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **139**, 17; (b) P.A. Vigato and S. Tamburini, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **248**, 1717. (c) A.D. Garnovskii, A.L. Nivorozhkin and V.I.

- Minkin, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **126**, 1; (d) R. Ziessel, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **216–217**, 195.
- 2 (a) J.-J. Zhang, H.-J. Zhou and A. Lachgar, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4995; (b) B. Kaitner, E. Mestrovic and G. Pavlovic, *J. Chem. Crystallogr.*, 1998, **28**, 77; (c) A. Blagus and B. Kaitner, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 2009, **C65**, m455; (d) H.-D. Bian, X.-E. Yang, Q. Yu, Z.-L. Chen, H. Liang, S.-P. Yan and D.-Z. Liao, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2008, **872**, 40; (e) W.-X. Zhang, C.-Q. Ma and S.-F. Si, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 2001, **26**, 380; (f) M. Dey, C. P. Rao, P. K. Saarenketo and K. Rissanen, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2002, **5**, 924; (g) S. M. El-Madani, O. A. M. Ali and R. M. Ramadan, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2005, **738**, 171; (h) M. Hosseini, S. F. L. Mertens, M. Ghorbani and M.R. Arshadi, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **78**, 800; (i) M.-L. Tong, X.-M. Chen, B.-H. Ye and L.N. Ji, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 2237.
- 3 (a) M. S. Sigman and E. N. Jacobsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 4901; (b) P. G. Cozzi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2004, **33**, 410; (c) B. N. Shelimov, *Russ. Khim. Journ.* 2000, **44**, 57; (d) K. C. Gupta and A.K. Sutar, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **252**, 1420; (e) T. Katsuki, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2004, **33**, 437; (f) P.G. Gozzi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2004, **33**, 410; (g) F. Blank and C. Janiak, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 827.
- 4 Y.J. Hamada, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 1997, **44**, 1208.
- 5 (a) F. Faridbod, M.R. Ganjali, R. Dinarvand, P. Norouzi and S. Riahi, *Sensors*, 2008, **8**, 1645. (b) H-C Wu, P. Thanasekaran, C-H Tsai, J-Y Wu, S.-M. Huang, Y.-S. Wen and K.-L. Lu, *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, **45**, 295; (c) C. Lordeiro, F. Pina, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2009, **253**, 1353; (d) S. Khatua, S. H. Chol, J. Lee, J. O. Huh, Y. Do and D. G. Churchill, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 1799.
- 6 (a) A. K. Sutar, Y. Das, S. Pattnaik, N. Nath, P. Rath and T. Maharana, *Internat. Journ. of Mat.Sci. and App.*, 2013, **2**, 136. (b) P.G. Cozzi, L.S. Dolci, A. Garelli, M. Montalti, L. Prodi and N. Zaccheroni, *New J. Chem.* 2003, **27**, 692; (c) A.V. Metelitsa, A.S. Burlov, S.O. Bezuglii, I.G. Borodkina, V.A. Bren, A.D. Garnovskii and V.I. Minkin, *Russ. J. Coord. Chem.*, 2006, **32**, 858
- 7 (a) A. Garoufis, S.K. Hadjikakou and N. Hadjiliadis, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 1384; (b) H.Q. Chen, S. Hall, B. Zheng, J. Rhodes, *Biodrugs*, 1997, **7**, 217; (c) R. Ramesh and M. Sivagamasundari, *Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem.*, 2003, **33**, 899.
- 8 (a) A. Sasmal, E. Garribba, C.J. Gómez-García, C. Desplanches and S. Mitra, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 15958; (b) M. Padmaja, J. Pragathi and C. G. Kumari, *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.*, 2011, **3**, 602.
- 9 (a) B. De Clercq and F. Verpoort, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2002, **344**, 639; (b) C. Janiak, A.C. Chamayou, A. K. M. Royhan Uddin, M. Uddin, K. S. Hagen and M. Enamullah, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, **19**, 3698.
- 10 (a) S. S. Djebbar, B. O. Benali and J. P. Deloume, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 1998, **23**, 443. (b) M. H Lee, T. V. Giap, S. H. Kim, Y.H. Lee, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1407.
- 11 S. R. Salman, S. H. Shawkat and F. S. Kamounah, *Can. J. Applied Spectrosc.*, 1992, **37**, 46.
- 12 F. S. Kamounah and S. R. Salman, *Spectrosc. Lett.*, 1996, **29**, 659.
- 13 L. Antonov, M. F. Fabian, D. Nedeltcheva and F.S Kamounah, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.*, 2000, **2**, 1173.
- 14 B. M. Drašković, G. A. Bogdanović, M. A. Neelakantan, A.-C. Chamayou, S. Thalamuthu, Y. S. Avadhut, J. S. auf der Günne, S. Banerjee and C. Janiak, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2010, **4**, 1665.
- 15 Z. Rozwadowski, W. Schilf and B. Kamiński, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 2005, **43**, 573.
- 16 (a) B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis and M. S. Subramanian, *Chem. Commun.*, 1966, 117; (b) H. Takahashi and J. Tsuji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 2387; (c) B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis and M. S. Subramanian, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **8**, 1993; (d) G. R. Hoel, R. A. Stockland, G. K. Anderson, F. T. Ladipo, J. Braddock-Wilking, N. P. Rath and J. C. Mareque-Rivas, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 1155; (e) J. Vicente, M. T. Chicote, C. MacBeath, J. Fernández-Baeza and D. Bautista, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 2677.
- 17 E. Guiu, C. Claver and S. Castillón, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, 2004, **689**, 1911.
- 18 (a) J. L. Speier, J. A. Webster, G. H. Barnes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1957, **79**, 974; (b) J. L. Speier, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*; Stone, F. G. A., West, R., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, **1979**; Vol. 17, pp 407-447.
- 19 (a) B. J. Truscott, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 270; (b) D. Troegel and J. Stohrer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 1440.
- 20 B. Marciniak, *Advances In Silicon Science*, 2009, **1**, pp 3-51.
- 21 A reaction with 2 mol% catalyst was unsuccessful.
- 22 D. Marquardt, J. Barthel, M. Braun, C. Gantera and C. Janiak, *Cryst. Eng. Comm.*, 2012, **14**, 7607.
- 23 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2009, **42**, 339.
- 24 L. Palatinus and G. Chapuis, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2007, **40**, 786; L. Palatinus and A. Van der Lee, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2008, **41**, 975; L. Palatinus, S. J. Prathapa and S. Van Smaalen, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2012, **45**, 575.
- 25 G.M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.*, 2008, **A64**, 112.
- 26 R. J. Angelici, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1990, **28**, 347.

Synthesis of a series of new platinum organometallic complexes derived from bidentate Schiff-base ligands and their catalytic activity in the hydrogenative and dehydrogenative silylation of styrene.

M. Belhadj Lachachi,^{a,b} Tayeb Benabdallah,^b Pedro M. Aguiar,^a M. Hadj Youcef,^b Adrian C. Whitwood^a and Jason Lynam^{*a}

Reaction of a Schiff base ligand with $[\text{PtBr}_2(\text{COD})]$ results in both ligand substitution and modification of the coordinated COD ligand. The resulting complexes are catalysts for the hydrogenative and dehydrogenative silylation of styrene.

Graphical Abstract

