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Abstract

In the series of homo-leptic trinuclear complexes \{[Ln_3(L)_3Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)]·Cl\} (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4) self-assembled from the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL (4-allyl-2-(1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenol) and LnCl_3·6H_2O, the suitable energy level match endows the efficient green luminescence (Φ_{overall} = 72%) of the Tb_3-arrayed complex 3. The copolymerization between each of these complex monomers 1-4 with C=C-containing MMA (methyl methacrylate) or NBE (norborene) shows that the degradative chain transfer of the terminal four flexible ally groups within restrains their radical polymerization with MMA while does not hinder their effective ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) with NBE. Thus, two kinds of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) are obtained, respectively. Especially for both 3@PMMA and Poly(NBE-3) with high color-purity characteristic green emission of Tb^{3+} ion, the improved physical properties including the significantly enhanced luminescence (Φ_{overall} = 76 % or 83%) are observed, and the covalently-bonding endows the higher-concentration self-quenching as compared to the physical doping.

Keyword: PMMA-supported doping or PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid material; Tb_3-arrayed benzimidazole-type complex; Radical polymerization or ROMP; Energy transfer
1. Introduction

Due to the large Stokes shift, ms-grade long lifetime and characteristic narrow line-like emission of Eu$^{3+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$ ion, there has been a growing interest on the development of new kinds of high color-purity Eu$^{3+}$- (red) or Tb$^{3+}$-based (green) optical materials with potential applications in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), white light-emitting devices, color-tuning pigments or biological fluoro-immunoassay. However, limited by the forbidden parity from f-f transitions of these two inorganic Ln$^{3+}$ ions, the molar absorption coefficients are normally very low ($\varepsilon = 0.01$-$10$ M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$). From the viewpoint of effective sensitization, suitable chromophore is required to allow for indirect population of those Ln$^{3+}$ ions’ emissive excited states through ligand excitation ("antenna effect"). For this purpose, the compatibility between the excited state level of the chromophore and the accepting level of the corresponding Ln$^{3+}$ ion should be realized in these luminescent Ln$^{3+}$-complexes besides the avoidance of the non-radiative deactivation by OH-, NH- or CH-oscillators around the Ln$^{3+}$ ion. Nevertheless, the Ln$^{3+}$-complexes generally present low thermal stability and poor mechanical property, giving rise to another parallel challenge.

In order to overcome these deficiencies and simultaneously improve the photo-physical property of these Ln$^{3+}$-complexes, one of simple while effective solutions is through physical doping into a host inorganic or organic polymeric matrix. On the other hand, an alternative approach is to trapping the Ln$^{3+}$-complex into a silica-based host or a polymer backbone with covalent bonds for the formation of grafting-type inorganic-organic hybrid materials. By contrast, Ln$^{3+}$-containing metallopolymers, as a unique class of grafted hybrid materials, possess both the beneficial property of the inorganic Ln$^{3+}$ ions and the attractive features...
including mechanical strength, flexibility, ease of processing and low cost of organic polymers. This advantage, especially compared with Ln$^{3+}$-containing doping hybrid materials, endows the ability to effectively resolve the high homogeneity and clustering of emitters and makes them more ideal as optoelectronic materials. Till now, to the best of our knowledge, the developed Ln$^{3+}$-containing metallopolymers are usually limited from the mononuclear Ln$^{3+}$-complexes through coupling,\textsuperscript{12} ring-opening polymerization,\textsuperscript{12} radical polymerization\textsuperscript{14} or electro-polymerization,\textsuperscript{15} and few systems fabricated from d-f heterometallic\textsuperscript{16} or f-f polynmetallic complexes.\textsuperscript{17} In our recent reports, through the radical copolymerization of the divinyl-modified ZnLn or Zn$_2$Ln (Ln = Nd, Yb or Er) Salen-type Schiff-base complex monomer and another C=C-containing monomer, two examples of near-infrared (NIR) luminescent ZnLn\textsuperscript{16b,16c} or Zn$_2$Ln-containing\textsuperscript{16d} metallopolymers are constructed. Moreover, another example of NIR luminescent metallopolymers based on allyl-modified Ln$_4$(Salen)$_4$ (Ln = Nd or Yb) complexes is successfully built by the controlled ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) with norborene (NBE).\textsuperscript{17} In the two kinds of metallopolymers, the additive electronic communication between the homo- or hetero-multiple metal centers actually induces more efficient energy transfer. In consideration of the relatively higher first excited state (17286 cm$^{-1}$, $^5$D$_0$ for Eu$^{3+}$; 20545 cm$^{-1}$, $^5$D$_4$ for Tb$^{3+}$) of visible luminescent Eu$^{3+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$ ion than that (11257 cm$^{-1}$, $^4$F$_{3/2}$ for Nd$^{3+}$; 10400 cm$^{-1}$, $^2$F$_{5/2}$ for Yb$^{3+}$, 6610 cm$^{-1}$, $^4$I$_{13/2}$ for Er$^{3+}$) of NIR luminescent Nd$^{3+}$, Yb$^{3+}$ or Er$^{3+}$ ion,\textsuperscript{18} new organic ligands also with unsaturated C=C functions while not vinyl- or allyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base ligands need to be designed, where the polymerizable multi-metallic Eu$^{3+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$ complex monomer with higher $^3\pi-\pi^*$ energy level matched well with the first excited state of Eu$^{3+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$ ion
can also be copolymerized with other C=C-containing monomers. Thus, new polymetallic-containing metallopolymers with Eu$^{3+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$-based high color-purity visible emission are expected. Herein, through the self-assembly of the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL and LnCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (Ln = La, Eu, Tb or Gd), a series of homo-leptic trinuclear complexes \{[Ln$_3$(L)$_4$Cl$_4$(MeOH)(H$_2$O)]·Cl\} (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4) are obtained, respectively. The series of Ln$_3$-arrayed (Ln = Eu or Tb) complex monomers with four terminal allyl groups should be copolymerized with MMA or NBE, and thus, the first example of high color-purity Eu$^{3+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$-based trimetallic emissive metallopolymers could be anticipated.

2. Experimental

Materials and methods

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from Fisher Scientific and purified over solvent columns. Other solvents were used as received from Sigma Aldrich and stored over 3 Å activated molecule sieves. Methyl methacrylate (MMA) was dried over CaH$_2$, distilled and stored under dried N$_2$ prior to use. Azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN) was purified by recrystallization twice from absolute MeOH prior to use. Other chemicals containing Hoveyda-Grubbs II (H-Grubbs II) and norbornene (NBE) were commercial products of reagent grade and were used without further purification.

All manipulations of air and water sensitive compounds were carried out under dried N$_2$ using the standard Schlenk line techniques. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 240C elemental analyzer. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Nagna-IR 550
spectrophotometer in the region 4000-400 cm\(^{-1}\) using KBr pellets. \(^1\)H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL EX 400 spectrometer with SiMe\(_4\) as internal standard in CD\(_2\)CN, CDCl\(_3\) and/or DMSO-\(\delta_6\) at room temperature. ESI-MS was performed on a Finnigan LCQ\(^{DECA}\) XP HPLC-MS\(_n\) mass spectrometer with a mass to charge (m/z) range of 4000 using a standard electrospray ion source and MeCN as solvent. Electronic absorption spectra in the UV/Visible region and diffuse reflection (DR) spectra were recorded with a Cary 300 UV spectrophotometer, steady-state visible fluorescence and PL excitation spectra were recorded on a Photon Technology International (PTI) Alpha scan spectrofluorometer, and visible decay spectra were recorded on a pico-N\(_2\) laser system (PTI Time Master). The quantum yield (\(\Phi_{\text{overall}}\)) of visible luminescence for each sample in solution was determined by the relative comparison procedure, using a reference of a known quantum yield (quinine sulfate in dilute H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution, \(\Phi_r = 0.546\)). The quantum yield (\(\Phi_{\text{overall}}\)) of visible luminescence for each solid state sample was determined by absolute method using an integrating sphere\(^{19}\) (150 nm diameter, BaSO\(_4\) coating) on Edingburgh Instrument FLS928. Three parallel measurements were carried out for each sample, so that the presented \(\Phi_{\text{overall}}\) value corresponds to the arithmetic mean value with the estimated errors of 10%. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analyses of the polymers were performed using a Waters 1525 binary pump coupled to a Waters 2414 refractive index detector with HPLC THF as the eluant on American Polymer Standard 10 \(\mu\)m particle size, linear mixed bed packing columns. The GPC was calibrated using polystyrene standards. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded on a D/Max-IIIAX diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 1.5418\) Å). Thermogravimetric (TG) analyses were carried out on a NETZSCH TG 209 instrument under
flowing nitrogen by heating the samples from 25 to 600 °C.

**Synthesis of 5-ally-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde**

5- Ally-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde was obtained by the Williamson synthesis from o-vanillin (6.08 g, 40 mmol) and allyl bromide (12.0 mL, 140 mmol) as the starting materials in the presence of anhydrous K$_2$CO$_3$ (11.06 g, 80 mmol) and the subsequent para Claisen rearrangement according to a well-established procedure from the literature.\(^ {17}\) Yield: 3.92 g, 51%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{12}$O$_3$ (192.21): C, 68.74; H, 6.29. Found: C, 68.72; H, 6.34. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$):  0 ppm) 11.10 (s, 1H, -OH), 9.90 (s, 1H, -CHO), 6.99 (s, 1H, -Ph), 6.95 (s, 1H, -Ph), 5.97 (m, 1H, -CH=C), 5.13 (m, 2H, =CH$_2$), 3.91 (s, 3H, -OMe), 3.38 (s, 2H, -CH$_2$).

**Synthesis of diallyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base precursor H$_2$L$^0$ (H$_2$L$^0$ = N,N$^\prime$-bis(5-allyl-3-methoxy-salicylidene)phenylene-1,2-diamine)**

To a stirred solution o-phenylenediamine (0.55 g, 5 mmol) in absolute EtOH (20 mL), 5-ally-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde (1.92 g, 10 mmol) was added, and the resultant mixture was continuously stirred under N$_2$ atmosphere at room temperature for 12 h. The insoluble red precipitate was filtered and washed with cold diethyl ether to give a red polycrystalline solid. Yield: 1.46 g, 64%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C$_{28}$H$_{28}$N$_2$O$_4$ (456.64): C, 73.66; H, 6.18; N 6.14. Found: C, 73.75; H, 6.15; N, 6.06. FT-IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3320 (b), 3070 (w), 2993 (w), 2943 (w), 2898 (w), 2839 (w), 1620 (s), 1581 (m), 1475 (s), 1394 (m), 1344 (w), 1267 (vs), 1230 (w), 1209 (w), 1161 (m), 993 (s), 966 (w), 908 (m), 840
(w), 800 (w), 746 (w), 686 (w), 600 (w), 545 (w), 503 (w), 480 (w). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN): $\delta$ (ppm) 13.16 (s, 2H, COH), 8.71 (s, 2H, -CH=N), 7.37 (m, 4H, -Ph), 6.94 (s, 4H, -Ph), 6.01 (m, 2H, -CH=C), 5.10 (m, 4H, =CH$_2$), 3.86 (s, 6H, -OMe), 3.36 (m, 4H, -CH$_2$).

Synthesis of allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL (HL = 4-allyl-2-(1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenol)

The solution of the diallyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base precursor $H_2L^0$ (4.56 g, 10 mmol) in absolute MeOH (20 mL) was refluxed under N$_2$ atmosphere for 36 h, where the color of the solution changed from dark red to brown and white. After cooling to room temperature, the volatile materials were removed under vacuum, and the colorless solid residue (HL) remained was washed with absolute EtOH and dried under vacuum. Yield: 1.96 g, 70%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{16}$N$_2$O$_2$ (280.33): C, 72.84; H, 5.75; N, 9.99. Found: C, 72.76; H, 5.87; N, 9.91. FT-IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3651 (w), 3524 (w), 3464 (w), 3067 (w), 2970 (w), 2928 (w), 2907 (w), 2837 (w), 2766 (w), 2731 (w), 2654 (w), 2596 (w), 2531 (w), 2486 (w), 2293 (w), 2259 (w), 2212 (w), 2116 (w), 2021 (w), 1977 (w), 1933 (w), 1894 (w), 1846 (w), 1827 (w), 1776 (w), 1722 (w), 1624 (s), 1599 (m), 1499 (s), 1454 (s), 1398 (m), 1342 (w), 1254 (vs), 1146 (s), 1063 (s), 997 (s), 964 (w), 914 (m), 851 (m), 800 (m), 748 (m), 681 (w), 615 (m), 548 (w), 494 (w), 434 (w). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN): $\delta$ (ppm) 12.99 (s, 1H, CNH), 11.09 (s, 1H, COH), 7.72 (d, 1H, -Ph), 7.60 (d, 1H, -Ph), 7.31 (m, 3H, -Ph), 6.94 (s, 1H, -Ph), 6.09 (m, 1H, -CH=C), 5.17 (m, 2H, =CH$_2$), 3.90 (s, 3H, -OMe), 3.44 (d, 2H, -CH$_2$).

Synthesis of homo-leptic trinuclear complexes $\{[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)]\cdotCl\}$ (Ln = La,
The CH$_2$Cl$_2$-MeOH solution (10 mL, V/V = 1/1) containing HL (168 mg, 0.6 mmol) and Et$_3$N (84 µL, 0.6 mmol) was stirred for 30 min at RT, then a solution of LnCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.6 mmol, Ln = La, 212 mg; Ln = Eu, 220 mg; Ln = Tb, 224 mg or Ln = Gd, 223 mg) in absolute MeOH (5 mL) was added and the mixture was refluxed under N$_2$ atmosphere for 3 h, respectively. After cooling to RT, the resultant respective clear yellow solution was filtered, and diethyl ether was allowed to diffuse slowly into the filtrate at RT. Pale yellow microcrystalline products were obtained in about two weeks, respectively.

For 1: Yield: 193 mg, 73%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C$_{69}$H$_{66}$N$_8$O$_{10}$Cl$_5$La$_3$ (1761.31): C, 47.05; H, 3.78; N, 6.36. Found: C, 47.15; H, 3.94; N, 6.28. FT-IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3380 (b), 3220 (s), 2977 (s), 2940 (s), 2740 (m), 2679 (s), 2491 (w), 1620 (s), 1570 (s), 1507 (vs), 1465 (s), 1430 (s), 1399 (m), 1351 (m), 1276 (m), 1246 (s), 1211 (m), 1171 (w), 1133 (m), 1057 (s), 1039 (w), 1010 (w), 994 (s), 917 (w), 851 (m), 820 (w), 770 (s), 748 (s), 683 (w), 654 (w), 623 (w), 585 (w), 569 (w), 530 (w), 498 (w). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$\delta_6$): $\delta$ (ppm) 13.17 (s, 4H, CNH), 8.24 (m, 1H, COH), 7.79 (m, 2H, CPh), 7.71 (m, 4H, CPh), 7.61 (m, 2H, CPh), 7.49 (m, 4H, CPh), 7.39 (m, 3H, CPh), 7.27 (m, 3H, CPh), 7.22 (m, 3H, CPh), 7.18 (m, 6H, CPh), 6.03 (m, 4H, -CH=C), 5.13 (m, 8H, -CH$_2$), 3.87 (s, 3H, MeOH), 3.83 (s, 12H, -OMe), 3.33 (s, 8H, -CH$_2$). ESI-MS (in MeCN) m/z: 1725.86 (100%), [M-Cl]$^+$; 1762.32 (18%), [M+H]$^+$. 

For 2: Yield: 192 mg, 71%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C$_{69}$H$_{66}$N$_8$O$_{10}$Cl$_5$Eu$_3$ (1800.48): C, 46.03; H, 3.69; N, 6.22. Found: C, 46.06; H, 3.82; N, 6.15. FT-IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3373 (b), 3210 (m), 2945 (m), 2841 (m), 1622 (s), 1571 (s), 1522 (m), 1487 (vs), 1463 (s), 1445 (s), 1424 (s), 1345 (m), 1307 (w), 1280 (m), 1243 (s), 1202 (m), 1140 (m), 1057 (s), 1012 (w),
1000 (s), 957 (w), 910 (m), 865 (w), 850 (w), 817 (m), 766 (s), 748 (s), 686 (w), 654 (w), 624 (w), 574 (w), 499 (w). ESI-MS (in MeCN) m/z: 1765.02 (100%), [M-Cl]^+; 1801.48 (16%), [M+H]^+.

For 3: Yield: 189 mg, 69%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C_{69}H_{66}N_{8}O_{10}Cl_{5}Tb_{3} (1821.37): C, 45.50; H, 3.65; N, 6.15. Found: C, 45.47; H, 3.74; N, 6.10. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3390 (b), 3272 (m), 2975 (m), 2941 (m), 2737 (m), 2677 (m), 2490 (w), 1621 (s), 1570 (s), 1507 (s), 1495 (vs), 1464 (s), 1431 (m), 1398 (m), 1350 (w), 1278 (w), 1245 (s), 1211 (w), 1171 (w), 1133 (w), 1057 (m), 1037 (w), 995 (s), 917 (w), 825 (w), 821 (w), 770 (s), 747 (m), 688 (w), 655 (w), 623 (w), 589 (w), 502 (w). ESI-MS (in MeCN) m/z: 1785.92 (100%), [M-Cl]^+; 1822.38 (13%), [M+H]^+.

For 4: Yield: 185 mg, 68%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C_{69}H_{66}N_{8}O_{10}Cl_{5}Gd_{3} (1816.35): C, 45.63; H, 3.66; N, 6.17. Found: C, 45.97; H, 3.81; N, 6.08. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3378 (b), 3219 (m), 2977 (m), 2941 (m), 2739 (m), 2679 (m), 2492 (w), 1620 (s), 1571 (s), 1507 (s), 1465 (vs), 1434 (s), 1399 (m), 1352 (m), 1278 (m), 1246 (s), 1211 (m), 1170 (w), 1133 (m), 1057 (s), 1037 (w), 1010 (w), 995 (s), 917 (w), 852 (m), 820 (w), 771 (s), 748 (s), 687 (w), 654 (w), 623 (w), 589 (w), 569 (w), 531 (w), 499 (w). ESI-MS (in MeCN) m/z: 1780.89 (100%), [M-Cl]^+; 1817.35 (14%), [M+H]^+.

**Determination of the crystal structure**

Single crystals for 3⋅2MeOH⋅4H₂O of suitable dimensions were mounted onto thin glass fibers. All the intensity datas were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer (Mo-Kα radiation and λ = 0.71073 Å) in Φ and ω scan modes. Structures were solved by
Direct methods followed by difference Fourier syntheses, and then refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques against $F^2$ using SHELXTL. All other non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Absorption corrections were applied using SADABS. All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined isotropically using a riding model. Crystallographic data and refinement parameters for the complexes are presented in Table 1. Relevant atomic distances and bond angles are collected in Table 1S. CCDC reference number 1035177 is for 3-2MeOH·4H₂O.

**Synthesis of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA**

The homogeneous polymerization of MMA and each of complexes \([\text{Ln}_3(L)_4\text{Cl}_4(\text{MeOH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\cdot\text{Cl} \) \((\text{Ln} = \text{La}, 1; \text{Ln} = \text{Eu}, 2; \text{Ln} = \text{Tb}, 3 \text{ or } \text{Ln} = \text{Gd}, 4)\) with a stipulated feeding molar ratio (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 or 800:1) in activation with AIBN was carried out in a Fisher-Porter glass reactor and protected by dried $N_2$ according to the typical procedure. A mixture of MMA (2.7 mL, 25.3 mmol) in activation with AIBN (37.6 mg, 1.5 mol % of MMA) and \([\text{Ln}_3(L)_4\text{Cl}_4(\text{MeOH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\cdot\text{Cl} \) \((0.063 \text{ mmol}; \text{Ln} = \text{La} (1), 111 \text{ mg}; \text{Ln} = \text{Eu} (2), 114 \text{ mg}; \text{Ln} = \text{Tb} (3), 115 \text{ mg or } \text{Ln} = \text{Gd} (4), 116 \text{ mg}) was dissolved in dry xylene (30 mL), and the resultant homogeneous solution was purged with $N_2$ for 10 min and sealed under a reduced $N_2$ atmosphere. The solution was heated to 60 $^\circ$C with continuous stirring for 24 h. The viscous mixture was diluted with dry THF (20 mL) and precipitated with absolute diethyl ether (50 mL) for three times. The resulting solid products were collected by filtration and dried at 45$^\circ$C under vacuum to constant weight, respectively.
For $1@PMMA$ (400:1): Yield: 91%. FT-IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3631 (w), 3381 (m), 3221 (w), 3300 (w), 2996 (m), 2949 (m), 2844 (w), 1732 (vs), 1625 (m), 1570 (w), 1505 (w), 1460 (m), 1390 (s), 1348 (w), 1309 (w), 1255 (w), 1201 (w), 1058 (w), 1045 (w), 1018 (w), 1002 (w), 960 (w), 867 (w), 823 (w), 767 (m), 746 (m), 684 (w), 658 (w), 585 (w), 555 (w), 514 (w), 466 (w). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$-CDCl$_3$, v/v = 10:1): $\delta$ (ppm) 13.12 (s, 4H, CNH), 8.20 (m, 1H, COH), 7.78 (m, 2H, -Ph), 7.70 (m, 4H, -Ph), 7.61 (m, 2H, -Ph), 7.48 (m, 4H, -Ph), 7.38 (m, 3H, -Ph), 7.27 (m, 3H, -Ph), 7.17 (m, 6H, -Ph), 6.01 (m, 4H, -CH=C), 5.11 (m, 8H, =CH$_2$), 3.86 (s, 3H, MeOH), 3.83 (s, 12H, COMe), 3.56 (s, 330H, CCOOMe), 3.32 (s, 8H, -CH$_2$), 1.85 (b, 220H, -CH$_2$), 0.91 (m, 330H, -CH$_3$).

For $2@PMMA$ (400:1): Yield: 90%. FT-IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3624 (w), 3383 (m), 3219 (w), 3301 (w), 3070 (w), 2996 (m), 2950 (m), 2841 (w), 1731 (vs), 1624 (m), 1570 (w), 1501 (w), 1466 (w), 1391 (s), 1345 (w), 1308 (w), 1252 (w), 1200 (w), 1059 (w), 1041 (w), 1017 (w), 1000 (w), 966 (w), 868 (w), 820 (w), 765 (m), 746 (m), 681 (w), 650 (w), 580 (w), 551 (w), 510 (w), 461 (w).

For $3@PMMA$ (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 and 800:1): Yield: 83% (200:1); 89% (400:1); 91% (600:1); 92% (800:1). FT-IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3620 (w), 3379 (m), 3222 (w), 3301 (w), 2998 (m), 2948 (m), 2841 (w), 1731 (vs), 1621 (m), 1570 (w), 1507 (w), 1460 (m), 1391 (s), 1345 (w), 1309 (w), 1256 (w), 1201 (w), 1056 (w), 1048 (w), 1017 (w), 1002 (w), 962 (w), 867 (w), 823 (w), 764 (m), 746 (m), 686 (w), 658 (w), 581 (w), 551 (w), 514 (w), 462 (w).

For $4@PMMA$ (400:1): Yield: 88%. FT-IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3627 (w), 3380 (m), 3220 (w), 3305 (w), 3070 (w), 2997 (m), 2949 (m), 2842 (w), 1732 (vs), 1625 (m), 1571 (w), 1505 (w), 1460 (w), 1392 (s), 1347 (w), 1309 (w), 1254 (w), 1201 (w), 1057 (w), 1046 (w), 1018 (w),
Synthesis of PNBEM-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4)

The homogeneous copolymerization of NBE and each of complexes 1-4 with a stipulated feeding molar ratio (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 or 800:1) in activation with H-Grubbs II was carried out in a Fisher-Porter glass reactor and protected by dried N₂. To a solution of the complex [[Ln₃(L)₄Cl₄(MeOH)(H₂O)]·Cl] (0.02 mmol, Ln = La (1), 35.4 mg; Ln = Eu (2), 35.8 mg; Ln = Tb (3), 36.2 mg or Ln = Gd (4), 36.4 mg) in dry CHCl₃ (30 mL), NBE (8 mmol, 752 mg) and H-Grubbs II (1.5 mol-% of NBE; 11.3 mg, added in three times: 7 mg, 2.3 mg and 2 mg, respectively) were added, and the resultant homogeneous solution was purged with N₂ for 10 min and sealed under a reduced N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at RT for 72 h. Subsequently, ethyl vinyl ether (1 mL) was added to quench the reaction. Each of the obtained products was precipitated as viscous substance by slow dropping into cold EtOH. The resulting solids were collected by filtration and dried at 45 °C under vacuum to constant weight, respectively.

For Poly(NBE-1) (400:1): Yield: 79%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3313 (b), 2943 (m), 2862 (s), 2733 (w), 2603 (m), 2530 (w), 2495 (w), 1994 (w), 1772 (m), 1710 (m), 1662 (w), 1616 (w), 1490 (s), 1448 (s), 1348 (w), 1257 (s), 1205 (m), 1145 (w), 1037 (s), 966 (vs), 912 (w), 864 (w), 821 (s), 744 (w), 698 (vs), 671 (w), 580 (w), 497 (w), 439 (w).¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-δ₆-CDCl₃, v/v = 10:1): δ (ppm) 13.17 (s, 4H, -NH), 8.28 (m, 1H, -OH), 7.60 (b, 8H,
1.53 (br, 2H, -Ph), 7.46 (br, 2H, -Ph), 7.25 (br, 8H, -Ph), 7.06 (br, 1H, -Ph), 6.86 (br, 2H, -Ph), 6.78 (br, 1H, -Ph), 5.72 (m, 4H, -Ph), 5.54 (br, 4H, -CH=CH-Z), 5.27 (s, 15H, -CH=CH-E), 5.14 (s, 150H, -CH=CH- (E)), 4.90 (d, 4H, -CH=CH, 4.79 (d, 4H, -CH=CH, 3.94 (m, 3H, MeOH), 3.93 (m, 12H, -Oe), 3.36 (m, 8H, -CH2-C=)), 2.72 (s, 150H, -CH), 2.37 (s, 150H, -CH), 1.73 (m, 450H, -CH2), 1.28 (s, 300H, -CH2), 0.95 (m, 150H, -CH2).

For Poly(NBE-2) (400:1): Yield: 74%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3310 (b), 2941 (m), 2862 (s), 2735 (w), 2600 (m), 2534 (w), 2492 (w), 1990 (w), 1773 (m), 1713 (m), 1660 (w), 1614 (w), 1495 (s), 1447 (s), 1345 (m), 1255 (s), 1204 (m), 1149 (w), 1036 (s), 967 (vs), 910 (w), 865 (w), 818 (w), 747 (w), 674 (w), 580 (w), 493 (w), 431 (w).

For Poly(NBE-3) (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 or 800:1): Yield: 55% (200:1); 77% (400:1); 82% (600:1); 85% (800:1). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3313 (b), 2945 (m), 2862 (s), 2734 (w), 2603 (m), 2530 (w), 2496 (w), 1994 (w), 1773 (m), 1710 (m), 1660 (w), 1616 (w), 1491 (s), 1448 (s), 1347 (m), 1257 (s), 1206 (m), 1145 (w), 1036 (s), 968 (vs), 912 (w), 864 (w), 820 (w), 743 (w), 670 (w), 580 (w), 497 (w), 438 (w).

For Poly(NBE-4) (400:1): Yield: 73%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3311 (b), 2943 (m), 2860 (s), 2733 (w), 2601 (m), 2530 (w), 2490 (w), 1994 (w), 1772 (m), 1711 (m), 1662 (w), 1616 (w), 1491 (s), 1448 (s), 1347 (m), 1257 (s), 1205 (m), 1148 (w), 1037 (s), 965 (vs), 912 (w), 864 (w), 820 (w), 745 (w), 671 (w), 582 (w), 497 (w), 438 (w).

3. Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of the ligand HL and its series of complex monomers

\{[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)]·Cl\} (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4)
As shown in Scheme 1, 5-allyl-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde was synthesized by the Williamson synthesis and the subsequent para Claisen rearrangement with o-vanillin and allyl bromide as the starting materials in the presence of anhydrous K$_2$CO$_3$ as the literature. The diallyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base precursor H$_2$L$^\theta$ was obtained from the condensation reaction of o-phenylenediamine with 5-allyl-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde in a 1:2 molar ratio, while in refluxing absolute MeOH, the precursor H$_2$L$^\theta$ was successfully converted into the off-white benzimidazole-type ligand HL in a good yield of 70%. Furthermore, reaction of the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL and LnCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (Ln = La, Eu, Tb or Gd) in different metal to ligand molar ratios (1:1, 4:3 1:2 or 1:4) afforded the similar series of homo-leptic trinuclear complexes \{[Ln$_3$(L)$_4$Cl$_4$(MeOH)(H$_2$O)]·Cl$^-$\} (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4), respectively, despite the slight difference of yields. Similar to the good solubility of the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL in common organic solvents except for water, complexes 1-4 are also soluble in slightly-polar organic solvents due to the introduction of flexible allyl groups together with the charge of the two components (the cationic [Ln$_3$(L)$_4$Cl$_4$(MeOH)(H$_2$O)]$^+$ part and one free Cl$^-$ anion) in each of the four complexes.

[Scheme 1 inserted here]

The Schiff-base precursor H$_2$L$^\theta$, the benzimidazole-type ligand HL and its series of complexes 1-4 were well characterized by EA, FT-IR, $^1$H NMR and ESI-MS. In the FT-IR spectra, two characteristic strong absorptions at 1620-1624 and 993-1000 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to the $\nu$ vibration and the $\omega$ vibration of the terminal active =CH$_2$ groups from allyl substituents were observed for the precursor H$_2$L$^\theta$, the ligand HL and complexes 1-4, respectively. As to
the $^1$H NMR spectrum identification of the precursor $\text{H}_2\text{L}^\theta$ or the ligand $\text{HL}$, the similar intra-molecular resonance-assisted hydrogen bonded (RAHB) O-H⋯N proton resonance ($\delta = 13.16$ ppm for $\text{H}_2\text{L}^\theta$ or $\delta = 11.09$ ppm for $\text{HL}$) and the well-kept proton resonances ($\delta = 6.01$, 5.10 and 3.36 ppm for $\text{H}_2\text{L}^\theta$ or $\delta = 6.09$, 5.17 and 3.44 ppm for $\text{HL}$) of the functional allyl groups were exhibited. Nevertheless, the replacement of the typical -CH=N- proton resonance ($\delta = 8.71$ ppm) for the precursor $\text{H}_2\text{L}^\theta$ with the typical -NH proton resonance ($\delta = 12.99$ ppm) for the ligand $\text{HL}$ endowed their distinctive difference between. The $^1$H NMR spectrum (shown in Figure 1) of the anti-ferromagnetic trinuclear $\text{La}_3$-arrayed complex 1, due to the coordination of $\text{La}^{3+}$ ions, showed a slightly spread shift ($\delta$ from 13.17 to 3.33 ppm) of the proton resonances of the ligands relative to that of the free ligand $\text{HL}$ ($\delta$ from 12.99 to 3.44 ppm). Moreover, besides the persistence of proton resonances ($\delta = 6.03$, 5.13 and 3.33 ppm) for the terminal allyl groups of complex 1, the presence of new proton resonances ($\delta = 8.24$ and 3.87 ppm) from one coordinated MeOH molecule, together with the slight shift of the proton resonances of four coordinated ligands also confirmed the formation of La$_3$-arrayed complex 1. The ESI-MS spectra of the series of complexes 1-4 displayed the similar patterns and exhibited the strong mass peak at m/z 1725.86 (1), 1765.02 (2), 1785.92 (3) or 1780.89 (4) assigned to the major species of $[\text{Ln}_3(\text{L})_4\text{Cl}_4\text{MeOH}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^+$ of complexes 1-4, respectively. These observations further indicate that each of discrete homo-leptic Ln$_3$-arrayed units is retained in the corresponding dilute MeCN solution.

[Figure 1 inserted here]

The solid state structure of $\text{3}\cdot\text{2MeOH}\cdot\text{4H}_2\text{O}$ as the representative of complexes 1-4 was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, and its crystallographic data and
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selected bond parameters are presented in Tables 1 and 1S, respectively. Complex \(3 \cdot 2\text{MeOH} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}\) crystallizes in the triclinic space group \(P\overline{1}\). For \(3 \cdot 2\text{MeOH} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}\), the smallest symmetric unit is composed of one cation \([\text{Tb}_3(\text{L})_4\text{Cl}_4(\text{MeOH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^+\), one free \(\text{Cl}^-\) anion, two solvates MeOH and four solvates \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\). As shown in Figure 2, four deprotonated \((\text{L})^-\) ligands chelate to three \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) ions with a non-linear linkage (Tb1-Tb2-Tb3 angle of 144.51(5)°), where the central \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) ion (Tb2) is bridged by two phenolic O atoms (O1 and O5 or O3 and O7) from the corresponding two deprotonated \((\text{L})^-\) ligands to the two terminal \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) ions (Tb1 or Tb3), respectively. The three \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) ions exhibit three kinds of different coordination environments, where the unique central \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) ion (Tb2) is eight-coordinate and bound by two sets of the phenolic O atom (O5 or O7) and the methoxy O atom (O6 or O8) from two different deprotonated \((\text{L})^-\) ligands and two sets of the imidazole N (N2 or N4) and the phenolic O atom (O1 or O3) from the other two different deprotonated \((\text{L})^-\) ligands. However, both of the two terminal \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) ions (Tb1 and Tb3) with the slightly different coordination environments are seven-coordinate: in addition to the imidazole N (N6 or N8) and the phenolic O atom (O5 or O7) from one deprotonated \((\text{L})^-\) ligand and the phenolic O atom (O1 or O3) and the methoxy O atom (O2 or O4) from another deprotonated \((\text{L})^-\) ligand, it saturate its coordination sphere from two coordinated \(\text{Cl}^-\) anions (Cl1 and Cl2 or Cl3 and Cl4) and one O10 atom from the coordinated MeOH molecule, respectively. Two unique Tb···Tb distances of 3.8563(35) and 3.8368(37) Å are between the central \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) ion (Tb2) and the two terminal \(\text{Tb}^{3+}\) ions (Tb1 and Tb3), respectively. In addition to the weak N1-H1···Cl5 H-bonding (3.045(2) Å, shown in Figure 1S) between the free \(\text{Cl}^-\) anion (Cl5) for charge balance with one deprotonated \((\text{L})^-\) ligand,
free solvates MeOH and H$_2$O are not bound to the homo-leptic framework, and they exhibit no observed interactions with the host structure. It is worth noting that the homo-leptic trinuclear host structure in 3·2MeOH·4H$_2$O is distinctively different from the reported structures of mononuclear Ln-arrayed or homo-leptic binuclear Ln$_2$-arrayed complexes while comparable to Cl-dependent homo-leptic Ln$_3$-arrayed structure based on the analogue Br-modified benzimidazole-type ligand. This result shows that the discrete homo-leptic Ln$_3$-arrayed host structure of complex 3·2MeOH·4H$_2$O is stable and not strictly relied on the stoichiometries as the literature, especially with the involvement of electron-donating allyl while not electron-withdrawing Br group. Moreover, all the four functional allyl groups with the C=C bond lengths of 1.297(10)-1.304(19) Å for the typical C=C bonds in complex 3·2MeOH·4H$_2$O are well retained.

**Photophysical property and energy transfer of the series of complexes**

$\{[\text{Ln}_3(L)_4\text{Cl}_4(\text{MeOH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\cdot\text{Cl}] \ (\text{Ln} = \text{Eu, 2; Ln} = \text{Tb, 3 or Ln} = \text{Gd, 4})$ in solution

The photophysical property of the ligand HL and its complexes 2-4 has been examined in dilute MeCN solution at RT or 77 K, and summarized in Table 2 and Figures 3-4. As shown in Figure 3, similar ligand-centered solution absorptions (224-226, 296-300 and 330-332 nm) of complexes 2-4 in the UV-visible region are observed, where all the intra-ligand low-energy $\pi-\pi^*$ transition absorptions are red-shifted upon coordination of Ln$^{3+}$ ions compared to that (324 nm) of the free ligand HL. For complex 2, photo excitation ($\lambda_{ex} = 359$ nm) of the chromophore just gives rise to weak residual visible emission ($\lambda_{em} = 428$ nm) assigned to the
π-π* ligand transition, and no characteristic Eu³⁺-based line-like emissions are observed. In contrast, for complex 3, as shown in Figure 4, the ligand-centered emission is not detectable in the emission spectrum, while the strong characteristic ligand-field splitting emissions of Tb³⁺ ion from the emitting level (⁷D₄) to the ground multiple ⁷F₉ (J = 6, 5, 4, 3) are exhibited, respectively. The strongest emission (λₑm = 543 nm) based on the hyper-sensitive ⁵D₄ → ⁷F₅ transition, together with the second maximum peak at 488 nm corresponding to the ⁵D₄ → ⁷F₆ transition, endows the bright green solution luminescence with a CIE (Commission International De L’Eclairage) chromatic coordinate x = 0.304 and y = 0.599 for complex 3.

[Figures 3-4 inserted here]

It is of special interest to explore the detailed mechanism of energy transfer process for the two complexes 2-3. As a suitable reference compound, the Gd³⁺-arrayed complex 4 allows the further study of the chromophore luminescence in the absence of energy transfer, because the Gd³⁺ ion has no energy levels below 32150 cm⁻¹, and therefore cannot accept any energy from the excited state of the chromophore.²⁵ Different from the typical fluorescence (λₑm = 426 and τ = 1.51 ns) at RT, as shown in Figure 2S, complex 4 exhibits the phosphorescence (λₑm = 433 and τ = 29.4 µs) at 77 K, from which the triplet (³π-π*) energy level at 23095 cm⁻¹ is obtained. With regard to the singlet (¹π-π*) energy level (28736 cm⁻¹) estimated by the lower wavelength of its UV-visible absorbance edge, so the larger energy gap ΔE₁ (5641 cm⁻¹, ¹π-π* - ³π-π*) than 5000 cm⁻¹, as shown in Figure 5, endows the effective inter-system crossing process according to Reinhoudt’s empirical rule.²⁶ Further through checking the energy level match between the ligand-based ³π-π* energy level (23095 cm⁻¹) and the first excited state level of Eu³⁺ or Tb³⁺ ion, in spite of the energy gap (ΔE₂ = 5809 nm) for complex...
2, it is actually large enough to go beyond the reasonable range of 2500-4000 cm⁻¹ from Latva’s empirical rule.²⁷ The possible heavy non-radiative deactivation results in the complete quenching of the characteristic Eu³⁺-based emissions. As to complex 3, the relatively smaller energy gap (ΔE₂ = 2550 nm) within the ideal 2500-4500 cm⁻¹ range²⁷ confirms the suitability of the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL as a sensitizer for Tb³⁺ ion. The Tb³⁺ ion (⁷D₄) lifetime of complex 3 (Figure 6) under excitation of 346 nm is measured to be τₗ = 104.54 µs, which is not one of the longest so for reported Tb³⁺-complexes,²⁸ while the attractive high quantum yield (Φoverall, 72%) for complex 3 in solution is obtained. This should be mainly attributed to the suitable energy level match and the additive electronic communication between three Tb³⁺ centers in complex 3 despite the vibrationic quenching from OH-containing oscillators (MeOH and H₂O) in the inner coordination spheres of the two terminal Tb³⁺ ions.

[Figures 5-6 inserted here]

Synthesis and characterization of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4)

In consideration of the excellent performance of PMMA as one of the popular polymer matrices with low cost, low optical absorbance and good mechanical property,²⁹ it is of interest to explore the radical polymerization behaviors of the series of Ln₃-arrayed complexes 1-4 containing four active allyl groups as the complex monomers like those¹⁶
containing active vinyl groups with MMA in activation with AIBN. To our dismay, the copolymerization does not effectively occur as expected, where each of complexes 1-4 is just physically doped into the *in situ* formed PMMA matrix from homo-polymerization of MMA, exclusively giving the series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA, as shown in Scheme 2, respectively. As to the isolated hybrid materials, in the FT-IR spectra from the simple combination of characteristic absorptions from each of 1-4 and PMMA, the intense absorption band at 1731-1732 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to the \(\nu(C=O)\) vibration of PMMA appears, and the characteristic absorptions at 1621-1625 and 1000-1002 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to the \(\nu(\text{allyl})\) vibration and the \(\omega(\text{allyl})\) vibration of complexes 1-4 are weakened while well kept due to the low concentration doping. Nevertheless, the absence of the band absorptions in the range of 3373-3390 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to the coordinated MeOH and H\(_2\)O in complexes 1-4, together with the slight blue-shift by 3-4 cm\(^{-1}\) of \(\nu(C=O)\) vibrations (1731-1732 cm\(^{-1}\)) of 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA than that (1728 cm\(^{-1}\)) of pure PMMA indicates that the two coordinated MeOH and H\(_2\)O should be replaced by carbonyl groups from PMMA through physical doping (also shown in Scheme 2). Especially based on the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum (also shown in Figure 1) of *anti*-ferromagnetic 1@PMMA, the well combined and almost no shifted proton resonances of the coordinated benzimidazole-type ligand (L)\(^-\) and PMMA are unambiguously identical to those of complex 1 and PMMA, respectively. The results indicate that the series of complexes 1-4 with active allyl groups can not be copolymerized with MMA in presence of AIBN through the free-radical mechanism,\(^{16}\) probably due to the degradative chain transfer\(^{30}\) of the flexible allyl groups, from which lower reactivity of the resonance-stabilized monomeric
radical formed from those complex monomers than that of MMA leads much less tendency to initiate a new polymer chain. It is of special notice that the quantitative $^1$H NMR analysis of \textbf{1@PMMA} further shows the distinctively lower molar ratio of 110:1 than the initial feed molar ratio (400:1) of MMA with \textbf{1}, which should be due to the loss of MMA from oligometric PMMA.

\textbf{[Scheme 2 inserted here]}

Assisted by the success of homo-polymerization of NBE or its derivatives\textsuperscript{31} and their copolymerization\textsuperscript{17,32} with many other C=C-containing (including allyl groups) functional monomers using the typical ROMP in activation with Grubbs-type catalysts, the copolymerization of the series of Ln$_3$-arrayed complexes \textbf{1-4} containing four active allyl groups with NBE were carried out in absolute CHCl$_3$ at RT in activation with H-Grubbs II (also in Scheme 2). Undoubtedly, all the copolymerizations were complete after 72 h as expected, from which each of the benzimidazole-based Ln$_3$-arrayed unit with four functional allyl groups is covalently bonded to the PNBE polymeric backbone, thus the first example of metallopolymers based on the homo-trinuclear Ln$_3$-arrayed units is constructed to date. The isolated metallopolymer-type hybrid materials \textbf{Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3)} and \textbf{Poly(NBE-4)} with Wolf Type II fashion\textsuperscript{33} are also well characterized by FT-IR, $^1$H NMR and GPC. In the FT-IR spectra, the characteristic absorptions of unsaturated C=C bonds from allyl groups of complexes \textbf{1-4} completely disappear. The $^1$H NMR spectrum analysis of the \textit{anti-}ferromagnetic \textbf{Poly(NBE-1)} (also shown in Figure 1) shows the combined proton resonances ($\delta = 13.17$ to 0.95 ppm) of the coordinated benzimidazole-type ligand (L) and NBE, where the proton resonances of new -CH=CH- within at 5.54 ppm and the terminal
-C=CH₂ at 4.90 and 4.79 ppm from NBE-ring-opening groups of 1, together with those of the Z-mode -CH=CH₂ at 5.27 ppm and the E-mode -CH=CH₂ at 5.14 ppm from PNBE, are displayed, respectively. As shown in Table 2S, the number-average molecular weights (Mₙ) and polydispersity indexes (PDI = Mₘ/Mₙ) of the series of PNBE-supported grafting hybrid materials from different feeding molar ratios are in the range of 20020-25592 g/mol and 2.11-2.58, respectively, where those relatively lower molecular weights in the 10⁴ g/mol magnitude could endow the good solubility and the facilitated film-forming. Especially for the obtained hybrid materials Poly(NBE-3) from different feeding molar ratios (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 and 800:1) with NBE to 3, there has an almost linear relationship between the molecular weights and the feeding molar ratios, suggesting a random-bonding while homogeneous distribution of 3 alone the polymeric backbone.³⁴

PXRD patterns of the two series of \{[Ln₃(L)₄Cl₄(MeOH)(H₂O)]·Cl\}-containing doping and grafting hybrid materials (as shown in Figures 7a and 7b) show only amorphous peaks of PMMA and PNBE, respectively. It suggests that in the two kinds of hybrid materials with the good film-forming property, the Ln₃-arrayed benzimidazole-type complex unit is homogeneously distributed into the PMMA matrix with complicated weak interactions or the PNBE matrix with strong covalently-bonds between, respectively, especially on the condition of low concentrations. Moreover, TG analysis of the series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials shows an increase of about 25 °C for the T_onset in comparison with the pure PMMA, as shown in Figure 8, and a relatively slight increase (15 °C) is observed for another series of PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials as compared with pure PNBE. However, the decomposition with maxima around much higher temperature interval
(452-458 °C) for the PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials than that
(366-372 °C) for the PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials is exhibited, and both are
distinctively higher than that (278 °C) of the representative complex 3. These observations
further demonstrate that the thermal stabilities of the two kinds of hybrid materials are
essentially improved by doping or grafting, and the metallopolymer-type hybrid materials are
more stable in relative to those physical doping hybrid materials.

[Figures 7 and 8 inserted here]

Photophysical properties of the two series of hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA
and 4@PMMA and Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) in solid state

For the two series of hybrid materials, their photophysical properties in solid state at RT or 77
K have been examined, and also summarized in Table 2 and Figures 9-12 and 2S. As shown
in Figure 9, the DR spectra of both the PMMA-supported doping and PNBE-supported
metallopolymer-type hybrid materials from complexes 2-4 exhibit the relatively broader
absorption bands than those of complexes 2-4 in solution, where the absorptions at 212-214,
298-304 and 347-350 nm or 208-210, 222-224, 303-304 and 341-344 nm in the UV-visible
region should be assigned to electronic transitions from the organic moieties of both the
coordinated benzimidazole-type ligands and PMMA or PNBE, respectively. Due to the
characteristic absorption bands of Eu³⁺, Tb³⁺ or Gd³⁺ ion commonly appearing above 1000
nm, they are not discerned in the corresponding samples. Upon excitation of the
chromophore’s absorption band (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 346 nm for 2@PMMA or λ<sub>ex</sub> = 343 nm for
4@PMMA), both 2@PMMA and 4@PMMA in solid state also exhibit the ligand-based
emissions shown in Figure 10, and 3@PMMA also gives rise to the strong characteristic ligand-field splitting while also high color-purity green emissions ($^5D_4 \rightarrow ^7F_J$, $J = 6, 5, 4, 3$ shown in Figure 11a and a CIE coordinate of $x = 0.302$ and $y = 0.598$ shown in Figure 12) of Tb$^{3+}$ ion. Especially for the solid 3@PMMA, the lifetime value ($\tau = 291.50 \mu s$ also shown in Figure 6) is found to distinctively increase in relative to that of complex 3 in solution, which should be assigned to the newly coupling interactions between -C=O of PMMA with the OH-containing oscillators around Tb$^{3+}$ ions to suppress the multiphonon relaxation.\textsuperscript{36}

Similarly, for the PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid material Poly(NBE-3), the ligand-centered emission is also completely quenched, and the stronger high color-purity green emission with a CIE coordinate of $x = 0.301$ and $y = 0.600$ (also shown in Figure 12) of Tb$^{3+}$ ion is observed, as shown in Figure 11b.

[Figures 9-12 inserted here]

It is worth noting that the maximum excitation positions ($\lambda_{ex} = 383$ nm for Poly(NBE-2), $\lambda_{ex} = 395$ nm for Poly(NBE-3) or $\lambda_{ex} = 381$ nm for Poly(NBE-4)) for the PNBE-supported grafting hybrid materials in solid state are all distinctively red-shifted as compared to the solution spectra of complex monomers 2-4 or the solid spectra of the PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials. This result, together with the slight red-shift of the ligand-centered emission for Poly(NBE-2) or Poly(NBE-4), suggests the increased conjugation from the formation of covalently-bonded metallopolymers.\textsuperscript{37} Moreover, the extension of the PNBE-based backbone for Poly(NBE-3), changes the ligand electric fields around the Tb$^{3+}$ ions, leading to the further increase of lifetime value ($\tau = 451.04 \mu s$ also shown in Figure 6). From the viewpoint of energy transfer, the weak coupling interactions in 3@PMMA or the
strong covalently-bonding in Poly(NBE-3) probably could recombine the charge carrier at 
Tb$^{3+}$-related trap site in the corresponding polymer matrix, endowing the effective decrease 
of the $^3\pi-\pi^*$ energy level (22222 cm$^{-1}$ or 21978 cm$^{-1}$) of the chromophore to strengthen the 
ligands-to-metal energy transfer. These results based on more suitable energy match (also 
shown in Figure 5) are well in accordance with the relatively larger quantum yields ($\Phi_{overall}$ 
76% for 3@PMMA or 83% for Poly(NBE-3)). Noticeably, the emission spectra of both 
3@PMMA ($\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm) and Poly(NBE-3) ($\lambda_{ex} = 395$ nm) from the Tb$_3$-arrayed complex 3 
at a variety of feeding molar ratios (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 and 800:1), exhibit the same 
well-defined emission peaks characteristic of the $^5D_{4} \rightarrow ^7F_{J}$ ($J = 6, 5, 4, 3$) transitions of Tb$^{3+}$ 
ion, as shown in Figure 11. Although there has an almost linear relationship between the 
hyper-sensitive emission intensity and the feeding molar ratio, the concentration 
self-quenching for the series of doping hybrid materials 3@PMMA at 400:1 is effectively 
prevented from the formation of uniform grafting hybrid materials Poly(NBE-3).

4. Conclusion

Through the self-assembly from the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL and 
LnCl$_3$·6H$_2$O, a series of homo-leptic Ln$_3$-arrayed complexes {[Ln$_3$(L)$_4$Cl$_4$(MeOH)(H$_2$O)]·Cl} 
($Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3$ or $Ln = Gd, 4$) are obtained, respectively, in which energy 
transfer mechanism reveals the suitable energy level match for the Tb$_3$-arrayed complex 3 
with efficient green luminescence ($\Phi_{overall} = 72\%$). Moreover, each of these complex 
monomers 1-4 cannot be copolymerized with MMA from the radical polymerization, while 
can be effectively copolymerized with NBE through ROMP, giving two kinds of
PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials $1_{@PMMA}$, $2_{@PMMA}$, $3_{@PMMA}$ and $4_{@PMMA}$ and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) respectively. For both $3_{@PMMA}$ and Poly(NBE-3) with high color-purity characteristic emissions of Tb$^{3+}$ ion, the significantly improved physical properties, such as thermal stability, film-forming property and the luminescent property, are exhibited, and the covalently-bonding endows the higher-concentration (up to 400:1) self-quenching. This result suggests that these hybrid materials especially the metallopolymer-type hybrid materials can be used as green luminescent optical fibers for practical applications of high color-purity polymer-based OLEDs.

**Supporting information**

The synthesis and characterization of PMMA in activation with AIBN and PNBE in activation with H-Grubbs II in Supporting information; the selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for $3\cdot2$MeOH-$4$H$_2$O and GPC data of the samples of PMMA, PNBE and the series of metallopolymers Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) in Tables 1-2S; Perspective drawing of the weak N1-H1···Cl5 H-bonding (3.045(2) Å) interaction between the host framework and the free Cl5 in complex $3\cdot2$MeOH-$4$H$_2$O and the visible emission and excitation spectra of complex 4 in MeCN solution at $1 \times 10^{-5}$ M and the hybrid materials $4_{@PMMA}$ and Poly(NBE-4) with the feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state at 77 K in Figures 1-2S, respectively.
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Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 3·2MeOH·4H₂O

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>3·2MeOH·4H₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C₇₁H₈₂Cl₅N₈O₁₆Tb₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1957.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm</td>
<td>0.26 × 0.23 × 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/K</td>
<td>296(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ/Å</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>16.089(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>17.155(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>18.684(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>71.523(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>80.787(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>62.199(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å³</td>
<td>4326(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ/g cm⁻³</td>
<td>1.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>2.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>15042/53/908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality-of-fit indicator</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Final R indices [I>2σ(I)] | R₁ = 0.0975  
|               | μR₂ = 0.2480 |
| R indices (all data) | R₁ = 0.1798  
|               | μR₂ = 0.3223 |
Table 2: The photophysical properties of the ligand HL and its complexes 2-4 at 1×10⁻⁵ M in absolute MeCN solution, the PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA, the PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) from the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state at RT or 77 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Absorption</th>
<th>Excitation</th>
<th>Emission</th>
<th>( \lambda_{ab} )/nm [log(e/cm²mol⁻¹cm⁻¹)]</th>
<th>( \lambda_{ex} )/nm</th>
<th>( \lambda_{em} )/nm</th>
<th>( \tau )</th>
<th>( \Phi )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| HL       | 228 (0.57), 300 (0.53), 324(0.36) | 326        | 405(s)   | 1.67 ns | C
| 2 (Ln³⁺ – Eu³⁺) | 226(1.55), 298(0.97), 330(0.59) | 272, 317, 359 | 428(w) | < 1 ns | < 10⁻⁵ C
| 3 (Ln³⁺ – Tb³⁺) | 226(1.61), 296(0.95), 332(0.58) | 273, 346 | 488, 543, 583, 622 | 104.54 µs | 0.72 |
| 4 (Ln³⁺ – Gd³⁺) | 224(1.75), 300(1.00), 330(0.61) | 274, 317, 359 | 426(m) | 1.51 ns | C
| 2@PMMA   | 212, 304, 347 | 282(sh), 346 | 430(w) | \( \Phi \)
| 3@PMMA   | 214, 298, 350 | 303, 370 | 492, 548, 588, 623 | 291.50 µs | 0.76 |
| 4@PMMA   | 212, 304, 348 | 281(sh), 343 | 426(m) | 1.38 ns | C
| Poly(NBE-2) | 208, 222, 304, 341 | 304, 361 | 450(s) | 89.0 µs (77 K) | \( \Phi \)
| Poly(NBE-3) | 210, 223, 304, 342 | 325, 395 | 491, 547, 586, 624 | 451.04 µs | 0.83 |
| Poly(NBE-4) | 209, 224, 303, 344 | 318, 381 | 440(m) | 0.92 ns | \( \Phi \)

\( \Phi \)The lifetime or the quantum yield of the emission is too weak or unnecessary to be determined.
Captions to Schemes and Figures

Scheme 1 Reaction scheme for the synthesis of the diallyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base precursor $H_2L^0$, the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand $HL$ and its series of homo-leptic $Ln_3$-arrayed complexes 1-4.

Scheme 2 Reaction scheme for the synthesis of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials $1@PMMA$, $2@PMMA$, $3@PMMA$ and $4@PMMA$ and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials $Poly(NBE-1)$, $Poly(NBE-2)$, $Poly(NBE-3)$ and $Poly(NBE-4)$.

Figure 1 $^1$H NMR spectra for $PMMA$, $PNBE$, 1, $1@PMMA$ and $Poly(NBE-1)$ from the feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in DMSO-$\delta_6$ and/or CDCl$_3$ at RT.

Figure 2 Perspective drawing of the cationic part in complex 3·2MeOH·4H$_2$O; H atoms, free anion Cl$^-$ and solvates are omitted for clarity.

Figure 3 UV-visible absorption spectra of the ally-modified benzimidazole-type ligand $HL$ and its $Ln_3$-arrayed complexes 2-4 in MeCN solution at $1 \times 10^{-5}$ M at RT.

Figure 4 Visible emission and excitation spectra of the ligand $HL$ and its complexes 2-4 in MeCN solution at $1 \times 10^{-5}$ M at RT.
**Figure 5** Schematic energy level diagram and energy transfer process of Eu$^{3+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$ for complex 2-3 in solution and the PMMA-supported doping or PNBE-supported metallopolymertype hybrid materials based on complexes 2-3 with the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state.

**Figure 6** Luminescence decay profiles for complex 3 in solution and the hybrid materials 3@PMMA and Poly(NBE-3) from the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state with emission monitored at approximate 545 nm.

**Figure 7** PXRD patterns of PMMA and its series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA (7a), PNBE and its series of PNBE-supported metallopolymertype hybrid materials Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) (7b) from the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state.

**Figure 8** TG curves of complex 3, PMMA, PNBE, and the two series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymertype hybrid materials Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) from the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state.

**Figure 9** DR spectra of the two series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymertype hybrid materials Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) from the same feeding molar ratio of
400:1 in solid state.

**Figure 10** Visible emission and excitation spectra of PMMA@2 and PMMA@4, or Poly(NBE-2) and Poly(NBE-4) with the feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state at RT.

**Figure 11** Emission and excitation spectra of the hybrid materials 3@PMMA (11a) or Poly(NBE-3) (11b) from different feeding molar ratios (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 and 800:1) in solid state at RT.

**Figure 12** CIE chromaticity graphs with the same color coordinate (x = 0.30, y = 0.60) for the emissions of 3@PMMA and Poly(NBE-3) with the feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state.
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In the obtained Tb\textsuperscript{3+}-based efficient (\(\Phi_{\text{overall}} = 76\text{-}83\%\)) and high color-purity green luminescent 3@PMMA from doping and metallopolymer-type Poly(NBE-3), the grafting endows the higher-concentration self-quenching as compared to the physical doping.