

PCCP

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xxxxxxxxxx

Equation of state for water and its line of density maxima down to -120 MPa[†]

Gaël Pallares,^a Miguel A. Gonzalez,^b Jose Luis F. Abascal,^b Chantal Valeriani,^b and Frédéric Caupin^{*a}

Received Date

Accepted Date

DOI: 10.1039/xxxxxxxxxx

www.rsc.org/journalname

As water is involved in countless natural and industrial processes, its thermodynamic properties have been measured in a wide temperature and pressure range. Data on supercooled water are also available down to -73°C and up to 400 MPa. In contrast, data at negative pressure are extremely scarce. Here we provide an experimental equation of state for water down to -120 MPa . In particular, we obtain the line of density maxima (LDM) of water down to a pressure six times more negative than previously available. As temperature increases from 4 up to 18°C , the pressure $P_{\text{LDM}}(T)$ along the LDM decreases monotonically from 0 down to -120 MPa , while the slope dP_{LDM}/dT becomes more negative. The experimental results are compared with molecular dynamic simulations of TIP4P/2005 water and a two-state model. We discuss the possibility to observe extrema in compressibility and heat capacity at negative pressure, features that have remained elusive at positive pressure.

Liquid water can exist below the melting point of ice, in a metastable, supercooled state. Supercooled water exhibits numerous thermodynamic and dynamic anomalies^{1,2}, involved in phenomena such as crystallization and vitrification both of water and of aqueous solutions³. Several scenarios have been proposed so far to explain the origin of water anomalies⁴⁻⁶, but experiments have not yet allowed to select the most convincing one.

When water is stretched at a density lower than the stable liquid, it becomes metastable with respect to the vapor phase and its pressure may even become negative. Negative pressures are possible because of cohesion between water molecules⁷. They are used by trees to pull sap up their top⁸, and thought to be relevant for water confined in nanopores⁹.

The most famous anomaly of water is arguably its density maximum near 4°C at ambient pressure, a macroscopic manifestation of hydrogen bonding. The temperature T at which the maximum occurs as a function of pressure P defines the line of density maxima (LDM). The LDM has been measured far in the supercooled liquid at 120 MPa down to around -40°C ¹⁰, but only at moderate negative pressure, the current record being -20.3 MPa at 7.7°C ¹¹. In this pressure range, the slope dP/dT of the LDM is negative. Theoretical scenarios proposed to explain water anomalies give conflicting predictions for the shape of the LDM at larger negative pressure: it might keep a negative slope^{4,12}, or reach a turning point^{5,6}. Previous measurements of the LDM in stretched water were limited to -20 MPa because of nucleation of the vapor phase. There is only one experimental method presently able to significantly exceed this limit¹³: the microscopic Berthelot tube (MBT)¹⁴⁻¹⁷. However, previous MBT studies estimated the negative pressure from extrapolations of positive pressure data. In this work we analyse sound velocity data at $P < 0$ generated by the MBT method¹⁸ to obtain an experimental equation of state (EoS) for water down to -15°C and -120 MPa . This exceeds by far any previous study (such as one that reached -26 MPa at ambient temperature¹⁹), allowing via direct calibration of the pressure in the MBT method to determine the LDM down to -120 MPa .

Another relevant issue one should consider is the fate of the isothermal compressibility κ_T in supercooled water, since it may diverge^{1,4} or go through a maximum^{6,20,21}. Numerous experiments have addressed this question (see Ref.² for a review), but crystallization (which irremediably occurs around -40°C) always prevented to reach a definite answer. In this Communication, we consider whether applying negative pressure may allow the ob-

^a Institut Lumière Matière, UMR5306 Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1-CNRS, Université de Lyon and Institut Universitaire de France, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France.

^b Departamento de Química Física I, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain.

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: materials and methods, choice of the interpolating function for sound velocity data, construction of an equation of state from sound velocity data along isochores, correction due to quartz compliance, propagation of errors, results and sensitivity to the interpolation function, and details about the two state model for water, and about the calculations of the lines of density maxima from numerical simulations of TIP4P/2005. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/




Fig. 1 Sound velocity c in stretched water. $c(T)$ is shown along the isochore at $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ (black curve), and for sample 1 (red discs) and 2 (green squares) with nominal densities $\rho_1 = 933.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ and $\rho_2 = 951.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, respectively¹⁸. To generate an EoS, the data are interpolated with simple functions (red and green curves) (see ESI). The thinner section of the green curve below -12°C shows the region involving an extrapolation of the sample 2 data.

servation of extrema in κ_T along isobars.

In our experiments, negative pressures are generated by means of a MBT^{14–17}. Micrometer-sized inclusions in a quartz crystal are filled with liquid water, and cooled at nearly constant volume. When negative pressure develops, a vapor bubble usually appears in the liquid, destroying the metastability. However, careful choice of the sample and of the density of water allows to avoid cavitation, and to cool the liquid below the temperature of liquid-ice equilibrium. Liquid water is then doubly metastable, with respect to both vapor and ice. We measured the sound velocity in two such samples with Brillouin light scattering¹⁸ (Fig. 1). A minimum was observed around 0°C for the lowest density sample. We have adapted state-of-the-art techniques used in condensed matter^{22,23} to generate an EoS at negative pressure (see ESI). To start with, our sound velocity (c) data is interpolated over the whole temperature (T) and density (ρ) ranges (Fig. 1). The interpolating function writes:

$$c_{\text{int}}(T, \rho) = c(T, \rho_0) + \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial \rho} \right)_T (T, \rho_0) (\rho - \rho_0) + a_2(T) (\rho - \rho_0)^2 + a_3(T) (\rho - \rho_0)^3, \quad (1)$$

where a_2 and a_3 are functions of the temperature to be determined. We start with a reference isochore at $\rho_0 = 1000 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ on which water properties are known accurately through a multi-parameter equation of state, the IAPWS EoS²⁴. This accurate EoS allows us to impose the known values of $c(T, \rho_0)$ and $(\partial c / \partial \rho)_T(T, \rho_0)$. At a given temperature T , there is a unique pair of values (a_2, a_3) for which Eq. 1 reproduces the values of $c(T, \rho_1)$ and $c(T, \rho_2)$ taken from the two samples. We first compute this solution for (a_2, a_3) at each temperature for which data is available for sample 2, using the corresponding data for sample 1 (or a linear interpolation between the neighboring data points for -10 and -30°C). The result is shown on Fig. S1, with the error




Fig. 2 Pressure along several isochores. Different curves correspond to different densities (in kg m^{-3}). Their thinner sections (at low temperature) show the region which is based on sample 1 data only, involving an extrapolation of the sample 2 data. The actual pressure in the samples (dotted lines) deviates slightly from an isochore because of the compliance of the quartz matrix (see ESI).


bars deduced from the experimental uncertainty on the sound velocity. Parameters a_2 and a_3 exhibit a smooth temperature variation, and their ratio (Fig. S1, lower panel) is remarkably constant. These observations suggest to use simple interpolating functions for the whole set of $c(T, \rho)$ data. We have investigated 10 possible choices for $a_2(T)$ and $a_3(T)$, listed in Table S2. The quality of the fits and their residuals are discussed in the ESI (see in particular Figs. S2 and S3 and Table S2). In the following, we present results obtained with our best interpolation (number 10 in the ESI). We also discuss the sensitivity of the procedure to the choice of interpolation. For a given interpolation $c_{\text{int}}(T, \rho)$, starting from the knowledge of P and C_P at ρ_0 , integration of thermodynamic relations (see ESI) yields P , κ_T and C_P in the whole covered $T - \rho$ range. A small correction for the compliance of the quartz matrix is also included (see ESI).

Figure 2 shows $P(T)$ for a series of isochores. The density at rounded values of temperature and pressure is given in Table 1 for future reference. The minima of the isochores define the location of the LDM, shown in Fig. 3. Table 2 provides temperature and density along the LDM for a series of pressures. Having checked the effect of the uncertainty on the sound velocity and of the choice of the interpolating function, we conclude that they affect the LDM temperature by at most 0.06 and 0.6°C , respectively (Figs. S5 and S6). Our result is in perfect agreement with a previous determination of the LDM at $P < 0^{11}$ (Fig. 3, inset), but now extends the pressure range by a factor of six. The only other existing data on the LDM at these extreme negative pressures were indirect, based on statistics of vapor nucleation in another water inclusion in quartz¹⁷. This single data point, which has non-negligible error bars, is compatible with our accurate data.

We now compare our experimental results with the extrapolation of the current formulation for the properties of water at $P > 0$ ^{24,25} (IAPWS extrapolation) (Fig. 3). The LDM of the IAPWS extrapolation, based on positive pressure data only, agrees with

Table 1 Density ρ (in kg m^{-3}) at the corresponding temperature and pressure. Only pressures above those reached in sample 1 are given

Pressure/MPa	-15	-10	0	10	Temperature/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$				
					20	30	40	50	60
0	996.2	998.1	999.8	999.7	998.2	995.6	992.2	988.0	983.2
-10	990.3	992.4	994.6	994.8	993.5	991.1	987.8	983.6	978.8
-20	984.3	986.7	989.4	989.9	988.8	986.5	983.3	979.2	974.3
-30	978.2	981.0	984.1	984.9	984.0	981.9	978.7	974.6	969.8
-40	972.3	975.2	978.7	979.8	979.2	977.2	974.0	970.0	965.1
-50	966.4	969.4	973.3	974.7	974.3	972.3	969.3	965.2	960.3
-60	960.6	963.7	967.8	969.5	969.3	967.5	964.4	960.4	955.4
-70	954.9	957.9	962.3	964.3	964.2	962.5	959.5	955.4	950.4
-80	949.2	952.2	956.8	959.0	959.1	957.5	954.5	950.4	945.2
-90	943.5	946.4	951.2	953.6	953.8	952.3	949.4	945.2	939.8
-100			945.5	948.1	948.5	947.1	944.1	939.8	
-110				942.5	943.1	941.7			

**Fig. 3** LDM for water at negative pressure. The present experimental LDM (solid red curve) agrees with the previous determination¹¹ (inset, filled black squares), and also with the IAPWS extrapolation down to -50 MPa (dashed blue curve), but departs from the latter at larger negative pressure. MD simulations with TIP4P/2005 potential (green circles and dotted curve), and the extrapolation of the HA model (dash-dotted black curve) are also shown.

our data down to -50 MPa , but then bends to higher temperatures and its curvature changes sign. Next we compare with the LDM estimated by means of molecular dynamics (MD). To perform these simulations, we have used the TIP4P/2005 water potential²⁶, considered to currently give the best agreement with experimental properties of water at $P > 0$ ²⁷. Besides, the model fairly compares with the scarce experimental results in the supercooled²⁸ and in the doubly metastable region¹⁸. Thus, we calculated the LDM with TIP4P/2005 to complement the existing simulations²⁹ (see ESI for details). To do so, we calculated the density at several temperatures along isobars (results are given in Table S6) and located the temperature at which the maximum density is reached (Fig. 4). The numerical result for the LDM is in reasonable agreement with the experimental one (Fig. 3), taking into account that the TIP4P/2005 potential has been fitted on positive pressure data. The slope of the LDM curve appears to be more negative in the MD simulations, but the curvature increases in the same pressure range. However, differently from the experiments, the TIP4P/2005 LDM reaches a turning point at a pressure close to the limit of our experiment. To conclude, we compare our

Table 2 Temperature and density along the LDM at the corresponding pressure

Pressure/MPa	Temperature/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Density/ (kg m^{-3})
0	4.0	999.9
-10	5.9	995.0
-20	7.7	989.9
-30	9.4	984.9
-40	10.8	979.9
-50	12.1	974.8
-60	13.3	969.6
-70	14.4	964.5
-80	15.3	959.3
-90	16.1	954.0
-100	16.8	948.6
-110	17.4	943.1
-116	17.8	939.6

experimental results with a thermodynamic model recently developed by Holten and Anisimov (HA model)³⁰. The HA model assumes that water is an athermal solution of two species with different entropies and densities, which exhibit a transition between two liquids at low temperature. The transition ends at a liquid-liquid critical point (LLCP). We have calculated water properties at negative pressure based on the mean field version of the HA model. We should keep in mind that, as simple functional forms were chosen to fit the pressure dependencies of positive pressure data, the HA model does not include a liquid-vapor spinodal. Yet its extrapolation is well behaved down to large negative pressures, and a comparison with experiments may be attempted. Figure 3 shows a perfect agreement of the HA model with our experimental LDM. The HA LDM exhibits a turning point at 17.15°C and -118 MPa , near the turning point of the TIP4P/2005 LDM, and just at the edge of our experimental range.

Next we consider the behavior of the experimental values of κ_T and C_P at low temperature. At $P > 0$, κ_T and C_P increase monotonically upon cooling at constant pressure, and lowering the pressure at constant temperature, respectively². At $P < 0$, for our best interpolation of the sound velocity data (as measured by its χ^2 , see ESI), we observe weak maxima, appearing below -50 MPa for κ_T , and below -10.5°C for C_P (ESI, Figure S6). However, using another interpolation with a larger but still acceptable χ^2 , these extrema disappear (ESI, Figure S6). Therefore, it is not clear if, as suggested by our best interpolation, the minimum in sound velocity measured for sample 1 along a quasi-isochore (see Fig. 1) translates into a maximum in κ_T along an isobar. Being

related through $\kappa_T = \gamma c^2 / \rho$ with $\gamma = C_P / C_V$, the ratio of isobaric and isochoric heat capacities, it might be that the minimum in sound velocity is compensated by the increase in γ upon cooling. We also note that the two interpolations discussed above differ qualitatively: for our best interpolation, the sound velocity along the quasi-isochore corresponding to sample 2 shows a minimum (Figure 1), whereas it does not for the other interpolation (see Supplementary Information, Figure S2). Therefore additional data in the doubly metastable region would certainly help establishing the existence or absence of the thermodynamic extrema.

The negative pressure region of the phase diagram appears like a promising area where decisive experiments can be performed. The shape of the LDM is related to the slope of the liquid-vapor spinodal in the $P - T$ plane⁴. On the one hand, if the LDM keeps a negative slope and intersects the spinodal, the latter reaches a minimum pressure at the junction. On the other hand, if the LDM reaches a maximum temperature and retraces at lower pressures, avoiding the spinodal, the latter keeps a pressure that decreases with decreasing temperature. It would thus be very interesting to measure the LDM at even more negative pressure, to see if a turning point is reached or not. This would require water inclusions with lower density. However, it is known^{14,16,17} that for water density below 910 kg m^{-3} , cavitation occurs above 40°C , thus preventing access to the LDM. Yet the small interval between 910 and 930 kg m^{-3} deserves further investigation. To elucidate the existence or not of maxima in κ_T and C_P , more experiments are needed. The present technique, based on Brillouin light scattering, is limited because of low-temperature broadening of the spectra. To access lower temperatures, an improved signal to noise is needed, or another technique. One possibility would be to use transient grating experiments³¹. However, they would require larger samples to accommodate the size of the transient grating. Another possibility with our typical fluid inclusions would be to study aqueous NaCl solutions. Indeed, MD simulations predict that the LLCP shifts to higher temperature and lower pressure with increasing NaCl concentration³². To generate negative pressures, other techniques than fluid inclusions in quartz are also available. However, to the best of our knowledge, they do not allow to reach beyond -30 MPa ¹³. To be accessible to experiments, the putative κ_T maxima must lie above the line of homogeneous nucleation of ice. Experiments at positive pressure did not find any sign of maxima in κ_T or C_P before crystallization occurred. As explained in Ref. 18, the line of κ_T maxima, if it exists, would reach higher temperatures at negative pressure, and might thus become accessible to experiments.

Acknowledgements The team at Lyon acknowledges funding by the ERC under the European Community's FP7 Grant Agreement 240113, and by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche ANR Grant 09-BLAN-0404-01. C.V. acknowledges financial support from a Marie Curie Integration Grant 322326-COSAAC-FP7-PEOPLE-CIG-2012 and thanks the Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia and the Universidad Complutense de Madrid for a Ramon y Cajal tenure track. The team at Madrid acknowledges funding from the MCINN Grant FIS2013-43209-P and the computer time allocated in the RES Spanish Supercomputer facility Minotauro (grant number QCM-2014-1-0038 and QCM-2014-2-0028).




Fig. 4 Simulated isobars for the TIP4P/2005 model. The LDM temperature is indicated by filled red triangles.

References

- R. J. Speedy and C. A. Angell, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1976, **65**, 851–858.
- V. Holten, C. E. Bertrand, M. A. Anisimov and J. V. Sengers, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **136**, 094507.
- G. Bullock and V. Molinero, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2013, **167**, 371.
- R. J. Speedy, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1982, **86**, 982–991.
- P. H. Poole, F. Sciortino, U. Essmann and H. E. Stanley, *Nature*, 1992, **360**, 324–328.
- S. Sastry, P. G. Debenedetti, F. Sciortino and H. E. Stanley, *Phys. Rev. E*, 1996, **53**, 6144–6154.
- F. Caupin and A. D. Stroock, *Liquid polymorphism*, Wiley, New York, 2013.
- M. T. Tyree and M. H. Zimmermann, *Xylem Structure and the Ascent of Sap*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York, 2nd edn, 2002.
- A. K. Soper, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **590**, 1–15.
- O. Mishima, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **133**, 144503.
- S. J. Henderson and R. J. Speedy, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1987, **91**, 3062–3068.
- S. Sastry, F. Sciortino and H. E. Stanley, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 9863.
- F. Caupin, *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 2015, **407**, 441–448.
- Q. Zheng, D. J. Durben, G. H. Wolf and C. A. Angell, *Science*, 1991, **254**, 829–832.
- A. D. Alvarenga, M. Grimsditch and R. J. Bodnar, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 8392–8396.
- K. I. Shmulovich, L. Mercury, R. Thiéry, C. Ramboz and M. El Mekki, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2009, **73**, 2457–2470.
- M. E. M. Azouzi, C. Ramboz, J.-F. Lenain and F. Caupin, *Nat. Phys.*, 2012, **9**, 38–41.
- G. Pallares, M. E. M. Azouzi, M. A. González, J. L. Aragonés, J. L. F. Abascal, C. Valeriani and F. Caupin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2014, **111**, 7936–7941.
- K. Davitt, E. Rolley, F. Caupin, A. Arvengas and S. Balibar, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **133**, 174507.
- F. Sciortino, P. H. Poole, U. Essmann and H. E. Stanley, *Phys. Rev. E*, 1997, **55**, 727–737.
- P. H. Poole, I. Saika-Voivod and F. Sciortino, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, 2005, **17**, L431–L437.
- L. A. Davis, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1967, **46**, 2650.
- M. J. Dávila and J. Martín Trusler, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, 2009, **41**, 35–45.
- The International Association for the Properties of Water and Steam, *Revised Release on the IAPWS Formulation 1995 for the Thermodynamic Properties of Ordinary Water Substance for General and Scientific Use*, 2014, <http://www.iapws.org/relguide/IAPWS95-2014.pdf>, <http://www.iapws.org/relguide/IAPWS95-2014.pdf>, Date of access: 15/01/2015.
- W. Wagner, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 2002, **31**, 387–535.
- J. L. F. Abascal and C. Vega, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **123**, 234505.
- C. Vega and J. L. F. Abascal, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 19663–19688.
- J. L. F. Abascal and C. Vega, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134**, 186101.
- M. Agarwal, M. P. Alam and C. Chakravarty, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2011, **115**, 6935–6945.
- V. Holten and M. A. Anisimov, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, **2**, 713.
- A. Taschin, R. Cucini, P. Bartolini and R. Torre, *Philos. Mag.*, 2011, **91**, 1796–1800.
- D. Corradini and P. Gallo, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2011, **115**, 14161–14166.