PCCP

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/pccp

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted ManuscriptChemistry Chemical Physics Accepted Manuscrip **IROIS/C**

Triazine-based BODIPY trimer as a molecular viscometer

Sangram L. Raut,^a Joseph D. Kimball,^a Rafal Fudala,^b Ilkay Bora,^{ac†} Rahul Chib,^b Hana Jaafari,^a Marlius K. Castillo, ^c Nicholas W. Smith, ^c Ignacy Gryczynski ^b, Sergei V. Dzyuba, * ^c Zygmunt Gryczynski*^a

Photophysical behaviour of a novel trimeric BODIPY rotor with a high extinction coefficients is reported. Steady state and time resolved fluorescence measurments established that the trimer could be used as a viscometer for molecular solvents, membrane-like enviroments and several cancer cell lines.

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Introduction

Viscosity is one of the fundamental physical properties of fluids, including biological and physiological components of tissues and cells. An ability to monitor viscosity of the biological environments with high spatial resolution and determining viscosity changes upon exposure to particular stimuli has immediate practical applications for biological, medical and materials studies. $1-5$ However, current capabilities of monitoring viscosity on cellular and sub-cellular levels are limited. Primarily this stems from the fact that currently available probes have low molar extinction coefficients and they are often sensitive to other environmental parameters, such as polarity. In addition, from the synthetic point of view, access to .molecular viscometers can require multistep syntheses. Thus, efforts that focus on developing technologies and probes that would be able to monitor viscosity on a molecular level are important. Recently, there has been an increased interest in developing fluorescent small molecular probes that could act as molecular viscometers in a variety of environments. $6, 7$ Such probes exhibit photophysical properties (brightness, Stokes shifts, lifetimes, etc) that can be tuned by synthetically modifying their structures. Various approaches^{8, 9} as well different molecular rotors have been used to measure viscosity. ¹⁰⁻¹⁵

Molecular viscometers that feature monomeric (*i.e*., single dye molecule with an auxiliary group) are primarily based on the

^a Department. of Physics and Astronomy, Texas Christian University, 2800 S. University Dr. Fort Worth, TX, 76129, USA, Email:Z.gryczynski@tcu.edu; Fax: _1 817 257 7742; Tel: +1 817 257 4209

+ Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: synthesis of 1 and 2. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx *J. Name*., 2013, **00**, 1-3 | **1**

fluorescent scaffold rotating around the single bound auxiliary segment, which upon excitation forms a twisted state. Such structural change occurs in the excited state and is accompanied by intramolecular charge transfer resulting in strong modification of the fluorescence signal. From a practical point of view, molecular probes that have high extinction coefficients and potentially high brightness would be desirable. BODIPY dyes, due to their unique and easily tunable photophysical properties, have been utilized for a variety of applications, including those related to viscosity. Specifically, Kuimova *et al* and others have demonstrated the viability of BODIPY-based rotors decorated with alkyl, ether, ammonium, steroid and other types of moieties to act as molecular viscometers in various types of environments;^{16,17-22} an aldehyde containing BODIPY rotor was also shown to work as a viscosity sensor. 23 Other types of single dye viscometers including SYBR Green and PicoGreen,²⁴

^{b.} Department of Cell Biology and Immunology, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, 76129, USA

^c Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Texas Christian University, 2800 S. University Dr. Fort Worth, TX, 76129, USA, E-mail: s.dzyuba@tcu.edu; Fax: +1 817 257 5851; Tel: +1 817 257 6218

 † Department of Chemistry, University of Copenhagen, Nørregade 10, 1165 København, Denmark

Fig 1: Excitation and emission spectra of **1** and **2**

thioflavin-T, 25 and stilbene-based²⁶ molecular rotor have also been reported. All these viscometers have molar absorption coefficients of less than 80,000 \textsf{M}^{-1} cm $^{-1}$.

In addition, several homodimeric, small molecule viscometers had been described. For example, a porphyrin homodimer was reported to be used as intracellular viscometer in apoptotic cells.^{27, 28} Pyrene homodimer has also been recently utilized as a viscometer.²⁹ A BODIPY-BODIPY rotor was shown to be a viable viscometer 30 for various cellular, membrane-like environments, and its potential to be used for measuring viscosity of molecular and ionic types of media was demonstrated.¹⁴ A viscosity sensitive ROFRET molecule featured a BODIPY rotor connected to an alkylated BODIPY through a triazole-based spacer was disclosed. 31 In addition, heterodimeric viscometers were explored. In particular, a heterodimeric Nile red-BODIPY dyad was reported to measure the micropolarity and microviscosity of endoplasmic reticulum in HeLa cells.³² Coumarin–BODIPY conjugate was found to be suitable for measuring mitochondrial viscosity. 33 In general, the aforementioned viscometers exhibited molar absorption coefficients of up to 150,000 \textsf{M}^{-1} cm $^{-1}$, (*i.e.*, about twice the extinction coefficient of the monomeric type of rotors).

Here, we report novel, easily accessible fluorescent trimeric BODIPY dyes **1** and **2** (Scheme 1) with molar absorption coefficients in the range of 200,000 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ in a number of solvents (Table S1). Dye **1** can act as a small molecule viscometer for molecular solvents as well as for cellular and membrane-like environments. Dye **2** has methyl substitutents at 1 and 7 positions of the boron-dipyrromethene core that hinder the rotation of the substituents in the *meso*-position, thus limiting the range of the conformational changes. As a

result, the change of the fluorescence signal as a function of media's viscosity was found to be relatively small and could be attributed to solvent properties unrelated to viscosity (*e.g*., polarity, etc).

Fig 2. A: emission spectra of dye 1 in different viscosity mixtures of
ethanol:glycerol; inset: quantum yield as function of viscosity. B: steady state
emission.anisotropy.of.1.and.2.as.a.function.of.viscosity

Results and Discussions

1,3,5-Triazine is a convenient scaffold that allows assembling of multifunctional, structurally diverse systems.³⁴⁻³⁹ Incorporation of BODIPY dyes on the triazene core has also been reported 40 and these types of systems were used as sensors (especially in metal ion recognition) $41, 42$ as well as components of the dye-sensitized solar cells. $^{43, 44}$

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

Fig 3. A: Fluorescence intensity decays of rotor 1 in media of different viscosities. B: Average fluorescence lifetimes of 1 as function of viscosity. fluorescence intensity decays of non-rotor in different viscosities; C:

In this study, we took advantage of the three reactive chlorine atoms of the 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazene (cyanuric chloride) to assemble three BODIPY dyes to synthesize BODIPY timers (Scheme 1).† Specifically, alkyne-containing BODIPY dyes were prepared according to previously published procedures, ^{45, 46} and subsequent cross-coupling reactions furnished the BODIPY trimers **1** (rotor) and **2** (non-rotor) in just two steps from commercially available starting materials (Scheme 1).

Photophysical Characterization

The working principle of molecular viscometers is based on suppressing the non-radiative relaxation channel in viscosity dependent manner.⁴⁷ In short, relative displacement/rotation of two chromophores in the excited state leads to the intramolecular charge transfer and concomitant quenching of fluorescence.^{7, 48, 49} These molecules exhibit two types of deexcitation pathways once they are in excited state: fluorescence radiative pathway and a non-radiative deexcitation pathway. The fluorescence characteristics of the probe depend on freedom of conformational change. For systems, where intramolecular conformational change depends on the viscosity of the local environment in the

proximity of the molecule a linear dependence of the quantum yield (Ф) and/or fluorescence lifetime (τ) as a function of medium's viscosity should be observed. This viscosity dependence of lifetime, for example can be expressed using Förster-Hoffman theory, according to the following equation:

$$
\ln(\tau) = C' + y \ln(\eta) \tag{1}
$$

where η is the viscosity of the medium, C' is the y-axis intercept and *y* is the slope of the line, which is 0.6 for the perfect rotor as predicted by the Förster-Hoffman theory⁴⁷ and τ is the fluorescence lifetime of the probe. This equation holds true for the emission quantum yield as well. However, fluorescence lifetime is a much more reliable property than the quantum yield due to its insensitivity to local dye concentration.

A small red shift in the excitation and emission spectra of rotor dye **1** compared to the ones of the non-rotor dye **2** in ethanol (**1**: λ_{ex} = 516 nm, λ_{em} = 535 nm; **2** = λ_{ex} = 528 nm, λ_{em} = 540 nm) was arguably attributed to the alkyl substitutions on the BODIPY core (Figure 1). The methyl groups in the positions 1

ARTICLE Journal Name

and 7 on BODIPY backbone prevent the rotation during excitation, thus making it insensitive to the changes of the surrounding viscosity. However, when these methyl groups are absent (which is the case of dye **1**) the rotation is possible which suppresses the non-radiative de-excitation processes, thus making the molecule sensitive to the surrounding viscosity.

Furthermore, we examined how the emission of **1** and **2** depended on the viscosity of the media (Figure 2A). Solvent mixtures with different viscosities were prepared by mixing the appropriate amounts of ethanol and glycerol. Emission intensity increased *ca*. 12 times as viscosity increased from 1.2 cP (ethanol) to 1457 cP (glycerol) in a linear manner, as expected for any molecular rotor based on the Förster-Hoffman theory. In addition, quantum yield changed by *ca*. 12 fold (Figure 2, inset). A less than a 2-fold change in emission intensity was observed for non-rotor **2** in the same range of viscosities, while the quantum yield (0.60) remained unchanged (data not shown). Moreover, steady state emission anisotropy was also evaluated as a function of medium viscosity for both **1** and **2**. Emission anisotropy of 1 in ethanol was found to be around 0.1 and in glycerol it increased to 0.25. On the other hand, the anisotropy of **2**, *i.e*., the non-rotor dye, in ethanol was found to be close to zero, yet in in glycerol, the anisotropy was found to be ca. 0.25, *i.e*., similar to that observed for the rotor **1** in a solvent of

Figure 4: Intensity decays of **1** (A) and **2** (B) in DMPC vesicles as a function of temperature.

high viscosity. The large difference in steady-state anisotropy in ethanol for both dyes was due to the difference in their respective fluorescence lifetimes. In ethanol, the lifetime of **1** (*i.e*., rotor) was short (*ca*. 180 ps), which provided less time for the molecules in the excited state to depolarize the emission *via* Brownian rotation, thus leading to a relatively higher anisotropy. While in the case of **2** (*i.e*., non-rotor), the lifetime in ethanol was longer (*ca*. 3.4 ns); within this time frame, the fluorescence emission was completely randomized, which resulted in a close to zero anisotropy. Steady-state anisotropy could be used in cellular imaging of viscosity by splitting the emission signal in two different detectors and thus detecting vertical and perpendicular emission polarization components. This information was used to calculate the steady state anisotropy across the intensity image. (Figure 2B as an example of the calibration curve for determining viscosity).

As previously mentioned, quantum yield and intensity are not reliable parameters for measuring viscosity due to their dependence on the local dye concentration, for example. Thus, we examined the fluorescence lifetimes of the dye in different viscosity media. Based on the fluorescence intensity decays of **1** in the solutions of different viscosities, obvious change in the slope of decays with increase of solvent viscosities was noted. Evaluating the fluorescence lifetime as a function of viscosity (Figure 3B), suggested that the lifetime of the rotor 1 changed *ca.* 18-fold (from 180 ps to 3245 ps) as the viscosity of media changed from 1.2 cP to 1457 cP. The slope of the linear fit for the rotor's data (Figure 3B) was found to be 0.43 (which is close to the value of 0.6 for a perfect rotor). Typical slope values range from 0.2 to 1.4 for different types of rotors. 50 Moreover, it is important to note that the radiative (*k^r*) rate barely changed (Figure 3C), while non-radiative rate (*knr*) changed by *ca.* 21 times as media's viscosity changed from 1.2 cP to 1457 cP. As expected, fluorescence intensity decays of dye **2** were virtually independent of viscosity (Figure 3D; only 3 traces are shown due to strong overlap of the intermediate decays). The fluorescence lifetime only changed by about 1.5 times (from 3.44 ns to 4.97 ns) over the range of viscosities.

 Next, in order to estimate the viscosity of membrane-like environments using BODIPY trimers, we encapsulated the trimer BODIPYs **1** and **2** into DMPC lipid vesicles and measured their fluorescence lifetimes at various temperatures (15, 23 and 30 $\rm{^o}$ C). It is expected that at 15 $\rm{^o}$ C, a gel state would be the dominant form, where the lipid molecules were rigidly packed. Thus, the lateral diffusion of lipid molecules as well as the bond rotations should be suppressed. On the contrary, at 30 $^{\circ}$ C a less viscous liquid state would be achieved, where the hydrocarbon chains were expected to be loosely arranged, thus the bond rotations should be allowed. Based on our data (Figure 4) a 1.2-fold change in fluorescence lifetime in case of **2** could be ascribed to the temperature effect, since the nonrotor molecule is insensitive to viscosity changes that could be induced by temperature. However, the change in the case of

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

the rotor molecule **1** was almost twice owing to changes in the surrounding viscosity along with the temperature effect. The measured viscosities of the DMPC vesicles using **1** were 270, 98 and 60 cP at 15, 23 and 30 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. Arguably, the viscosity measurements (Figure 4A) might slightly underestimate the viscosity in lipid bilayer as temperature, which could be viewed as an additional non-radiative channel. This would lower the lifetime values as compared to those expected from the viscosity effect alone. Nonetheless, it is plausible that **1** could be used as molecular viscometer to estimate the viscosity in membrane mimicking vesicles and plasma membranes of various cells. Further, we considered how the polarity of the environment could affect the behaviour of the molecular rotor. Based on the photo-physical properties of **1** in organic solvents of different polarities, minimal changes in absorption, fluorescence emission spectra and lifetime (as the most important parameter) were observed (Figure S1, Table S2 and Table S3). These experiments proved that the rotor's lifetime measurements for viscosity determinations would not be affected by polarity variations of the media.

 Further, we investigated the cellular distribution of **1** with the aim of measuring the intracellular viscosity. Towards this end, the behaviour of rotor **1** was tested in two different cancer cell lines (Figure 5): Calu 3 (adenocarcinoma of the lung) and DU145 (a prostate cancer). It appeared that the distribution was bimodal in both cancer cell lines: diffuse fluorescence in cytoplasm and bright punctate distribution. Based on FLIM images (Figure 5B) the fluorescence lifetime appeared to be long in both areas. Previously, we and others have observed this type of punctate behaviour which was attributed

Figure 5: (A) 80X80 µm Intensity images of Calu3 and DU145 cells treated with
500 nM of 1 (rotor). (B) 80X80 µm FLIM images of Calu3 and DU145 cells treated
with 500 nM of 1 (rotor). (C) Amplitude average lifetime recovere

to the probe's accumulation in membranes of vesicle-like structures (mitochondria, lysosomes, endoplasmic reticulum and golgi apparatus etc).^{17, 30} A long lifetime and bright fluorescence in these punctate areas were expected due to a hydrophobic nature of the dyes as well as due to the viscosity experienced by the dye which was high compared to the cytoplasm/aqueous compartment. However, long lifetimes (which were comparable to the ones observed in punctate areas) in cytoplasm or throughout cells (Figure 5B) were unusual (Figure S2 and S3 shows lifetime profile along the line drawn in FLIM images of cell lines showing similar lifetimes in punctate area and cytoplasm). Potentially, this observation could be explained by the binding/physical adsorption of the **1** to the cytoplasmic proteins or other cell components distributed throughout cytoplasm, which would increase the intensity and fluorescence lifetime of the probe hindering the rotation around the central bond. Cell cytoplasm has high concentration of cellular proteins which provide hydrophobic pockets for binding hydrophobic dyes. This hypothesis was tested by studying the binding of rotor **1** to several proteins *in vitro.* Based on the changes of fluorescence lifetime, we found that rotor molecule interacted with larger proteins such as bovine serum and human serum albumins, but not onto smaller proteins such as lysozyme (Figure S4). This information could be crucial in designing viscosity experiments in biologically relevant types of media.

Conclusions

In summary, we showed that triazine-based BODIPY trimer is a high molar extinction fluorophore, which is able to sense viscosity changes in various environments, including molecular solvents, lipid vesicles and several cancer cell lines. However, analysis of the data in cellular environments should be done with care, since the changes in the fluorescence lifetimes (and subsequently the viscosity estimations) could be affected by trimer binding to some proteins. This problem could potentially be solved by designing a fluorophore, which will specifically bind to a particular cell organelle or a compartment. These studies are currently underway in our laboratories.

 In addition, the fluorescence properties of trimer **2**, indicated that this dye could potentially be a good fluorophore in the green region with a high molar absorption coefficients, high quantum yield (0.60) and comparable to rhodamine dyes lifetimes (*ca*. 4 ns). This further supports the notion that triazine could be a valuable scaffold for assembling multichromophoric systems.

Acknowledgements

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx *J. Name*., 2013, **00**, 1-3 | **5**

This work was supported by NIH grants **(**R21EB017985 and R01EB12003 to Z.G and grant R15AG038977 to SVD) and INFOR grant from Texas Christian University. We would like to thank Dr. Andras Lacko and Dr. Nirupama Sabnis for their help with the cell culture.

Notes and references

- 1 V. T. Turitto, *Prog. Hemost. Thromb.,* 1982, **6**, 139–177.
- 2 Y. N. Andrade, J. Fernandes, E. Vazquez, J. M. Fernandez-Fernandez, M. Arniges, T. M. Sanchez, M. Villalon and M. A. Valverde, *J. Cell Biol.,* 2005, **168**, 869–874 .
- 3 S. Kawano, Y. Honda and A. Negi, *Acta Ophthalmol.,* 1982, **60**, 977–991.
- 4 C. M. Christensen, *Percept. Psychophys.,* 1980, **28**, 347-353.
- 5 L. Tan, Y. Liu, W. Ha, L. Ding, S. Peng, S. Zhang and B. Li, *Soft Matter,* 2012, **8**, 5746–5749.
- 6 M. K. Kuimova, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys,* 2012, **14**, 12671– 12686.
- 7 M. A. Haidekker and E. A. Theodorakis, *Org. Biomol. Chem,* 2007, **5**, 1669–1678.
- 8 J. R. Lakowicz, *Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy*, Springer, 2009.
- 9 S. Howell, M. Dakanali, E. A. Theodorakis and M. A. Haidekker, *J. Fluoresc.,* 2012, **22**, 457–465.
- 10 K. Luby-Phelps, *Int. Rev. Cytol.,* 1999, **192**, 189–221.
- 11 K. Law, *Chem. Phys. Lett.,* 1980, **75**, 545–549.
- 12 M. L. Viriot, M. C. Carre, C. Geoffroy-Chapotot, A. Brembilla, S. Muller and J. F. Stoltz, *Clin. Hemorheol. Microcirc.,* 1998, **19**, 151–160.
- 13 Z. R. Grabowski, K. Rotkiewicz and W. Rettig, *Chem. Rev.,* 2003, **103**, 3899–4032.
- 14 J. D. Kimball, S. Raut, L. P. Jameson, N. W. Smith, Z. Gryczynski and S. V. Dzyuba, *RSC adv.,* 2015, **5**, 19508– 19511.
- 15 L. P. Jameson, J. D. Kimball, Z. Gryczynski, M. Balaz and S. V. Dzyuba, *RSC Adv.,* 2013, **3**, 18300–18304.
- 16 M. A. Alamiry, A. C. Benniston, G. Copley, K. J. Elliott, A. Harriman, B. Stewart and Y. Zhi, *Chem. Mat.,* 2008, **20**, 4024– 4032.
- 17 J. A. Levitt, M. K. Kuimova, G. Yahioglu, P. Chung, K. Suhling and D. Phillips, *J. Phys. Chem. C,* 2009, **113**, 11634–11642.
- 18 I. López-Duarte, T. T. Vu, M. A. Izquierdo, J. A. Bull and M. K. Kuimova, *Chem. Comm.,* 2014, **50**, 5282–5284.
- 19 19 Y. Wu, M. Štefl, A. Olzyńska, M. Hof, G. Yahioglu, P. Yip, D. R. Casey, O. Ces, J. Humpolíčková and M. K. Kuimova, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,* 2013, **15**, 14986–14993.
- 20 20 M. R. Dent, I. López-Duarte, C. J. Dickson, N. D. Geoghegan, J. M. Cooper, I. R. Gould, R. Krams, J. A. Bull, N. J. Brooks and M. K. Kuimova, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,* 2015, **17**, 18393–18402.
- 21 21 A. Vyšniauskas, M. Qurashi, N. Gallop, M. Balaz, H. L. Anderson and M. K. Kuimova, *Chem. Sci.,* 2015, **6**, 5773– 5778.
- 22 22 N. A. Hosny, G. Mohamedi, P. Rademeyer, J. Owen, Y. Wu, M. X. Tang, R. J. Eckersley, E. Stride and M. K. Kuimova, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.,* 2013, **110**, 9225–9230
- 23 23 H. Zhu, J. Fan, M. Li, J. Cao, J. Wang and X. Peng, *Chem.- Eur. J.,* 2014, **20**, 4691–4696.
- 24 24 A. Dragan, A. E. Graham and C. D. Geddes, *J. Fluoresc.,* 2014, **24**, 397–402.
- 25 25 P. K. Singh, M. Kumbhakar, H. Pal and S. Nath, *J. Phys. Chem. B,* 2010, **114**, 5920–5927.
- 26 A. Battisti, S. Panettieri, G. Abbandonato, E. Jacchetti, F. Cardarelli, G. Signore, F. Beltram and R. Bizzarri, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.,* 2013, **405**, 6223-6233.
- 27 A. Vyšniauskas, M. Balaz, H. L. Anderson and M. K. Kuimova, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,* 2015, **17**, 7548-7554.
- 28 M. K. Kuimova, S. W. Botchway, A. W. Parker, M. Balaz, H. A. Collins, H. L. Anderson, K. Suhling and P. R. Ogilby, *Nat. Chem.,* 2009, **1**, 69-73.
- 29 D. Miguel, S. P. Morcillo, A. Martín-Lasanta, N. Fuentes, L. Martínez-Fernández, I. Corral, M. J. Ruedas-Rama, D. J. Cárdenas, L. Álvarez de Cienfuegos and A. Orte, *Org. Lett.,* 2015, **17**, 2844–2847.
- 30 S. Raut, J. Kimball, R. Fudala, H. Doan, B. Maliwal, N. Sabnis, A. Lacko, I. Gryczynski, S. V. Dzyuba and Z. Gryczynski, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,* 2014, **16**, 27037–27042.
- 31 D. Bai, A. C. Benniston, V. L. Whittle, H. Lemmetyinen and N. V. Tkachenko, *ChemPhysChem,* 2014, **15**, 3089–3096.
- 32 Z. Yang, Y. He, J. H. Lee, W. Chae, W. X. Ren, J. H. Lee, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, *Chem. Comm.,* 2014, **50**, 11672-11675.
- 33 Z. Yang, Y. He, J. Lee, N. Park, M. Suh, W. Chae, J. Cao, X. Peng, H. Jung and C. Kang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2013, **135**, 9181–9185.
- 34 T. R. Ramadhar, S. Zheng, Y. Chen and J. Clardy, *Chem. Commun.,* 2015, **51**, 11252–11255.
- 35 K. Navamani and K. Senthilkumar, *J. Phys. Chem. C,* 2014, **118**, 27754–27762.
- 36 X. Zhao, H. He, T. Hu, F. Dai and D. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.,* 2009, **48**, 8057–8059.
- 37 S. Liu, P. Y. Zavalij, Y. Lam and L. Isaacs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2007, **129**, 11232–11241.
- 38 S. Bräse, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2004, **37**, 805–816.
- 39 D. B. Kimball and M. M. Haley, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2002, **41**, 3338–3351.
- 40 J. Lu, X. Qi, T. Yue, W. Tang and L. Ding, *Tetrahedron,* 2015, **71**, 1304–1310.
- 41 X. Qi, S. K. Kim, S. J. Han, L. Xu, A. Y. Jee, H. N. Kim, C. Lee, Y. Kim, M. Lee and S. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 2008, **49**, 261– 264.
- 42 X. Qi, S. K. Kim, S. J. Han, L. Xu, A. Y. Jee, H. Na Kim, C. Lee, Y. Kim, M. Lee and S. Kim, *Supramolecular Chem.,* 2009, **21**, 455–464.
- 43 Z. E. Galateia, N. Agapi, N. Vasilis, G. D. Sharma and C. G. Athanassios, *J. Mat. Chem. C,* 2015, **3**, 5652–5664.
- 44 G. D. Sharma, S. Siddiqui, A. Nikiforou, G. E. Zervaki, I. Georgakaki, K. Ladomenou and A. G. Coutsolelos, *J. Mat. Chem. C,* 2015, **3**, 6209–6217.
- 45 L. P. Jameson and S. V. Dzyuba, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.,* 2013, **9**, 786–790.
- 46 N. W. Smith, A. Alonso, C. M. Brown and S. V. Dzyuba, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.,* 2010, **391**, 1455–1458.
- 47 T. Forster and G. Hoffmann, *Z. Phys. Chem,* 1971, **75**, 63-76.
- 48 M. A. Haidekker and E. A. Theodorakis, *J Biol Eng,* 2010, **4**.
- 49 G. S. Kottas, L. I. Clarke, D. Horinek and J. Michl, *Chem. Rev.,* 2005, **105**, 1281-1376.
- 50 M. Kaschke, J. Kleinschmidt and B. Wilhelmi, *Laser Chem.,* 1985, **5**, 119–132.