# CrystEngComm

# Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

### ARTICLE



# Hydrogen bonding induced conformational change in crystalline sugar derivative

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

Kumar Bhaskar Pal,<sup>a</sup> Vikramjit Sarkar<sup>a</sup> and Balaram Mukhopadhyay<sup>a\*</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

We report the crystallographic evidence of the change in regular chair conformation to a skew boat conformation in a partially protected sugar derivative. Crystallographic corelation with two more analogous derivatives indicates a particular O-H···O hydrogen bonding network is responsible for the change in conformation.

Hydrogen bonding plays a pivotal role in regulating various biological pathways significant to life processes e.g. it is responsible for DNA base pairing<sup>1</sup> and several protein-protein<sup>2</sup> or protein-ligand<sup>3</sup> interactions. Apart from the recognitions in the biological domain, it has direct role in regulating the physicochemical properties of non-living matters like polymers.<sup>4</sup> Hydrogen bonding network (HBN) greatly influence the supramolecular architecture<sup>5</sup> created by a molecule and therefore, it has immense impact in crystal packing and the property of the material.<sup>6</sup>

Sugars are interesting molecules in supramolecular chemistry<sup>7</sup> on account of the fact that the OH group can offer both hydrogen-bond donor and acceptor sites. Moreover, it is relevant to have an insight to the HBNs in supramolecular architecture of sugars as they are involved in vital biological processes through sugar-sugar or sugar-protein<sup>8</sup> interactions involving HBN. It is challenging to develop understanding on the HBNs and their individual impact on the ultimate crystal packing when multiple supramolecular functionalities are present.<sup>9</sup> This can be preferably carried out by either systematically changing the position of functional groups (positional isomers) of interest in the desired compound or systematic replacement of the functional group with others.

In continuation to our effort in elucidating the driving forces governing the supramolecular architecture of sugar derivatives, we report the crystal structures of three partially protected galactose derivatives (**1-3**, **Figure 1**) and insights of the HBNs involved. The set of molecules reported in this communication is essentially same except these are *p*-thiotolyl glycosides instead of *p*-methoxyphenyl glycosides reported in our previous article.<sup>10</sup> As expected, compound **1** gave gel and we were able to obtain single crystals of all three compounds. However, critical insights of the crystal structures revealed significant difference in the HBNs involved and more interestingly in case of compound **3**, the pyranose ring has taken a skew boat conformation instead of regular  ${}^{4}C_{1}$  chair conformation.



Figure 1: Structures of the derivatives in this study

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials

D-Galactose and other reagents were purchased from SigmaAldrich. Commercially available solvents were used as received without further purification.

#### 2.2 Chemical synthesis

Known tolyl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (1) was synthesized by following literature procedure.<sup>11</sup> It was reacted with dry acetone in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-silica to furnish the corresponding 3,4-O-isopropylidene derivative **2** in 85% yield.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Department of Chemical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Kolkata, Mohanpur, Nadia 741246, INDIA. E. Mail: <u>sugarnet73@hotmail.com</u> (BM); FAX: +91 33 25873020.

<sup>†</sup> Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here. Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Details of synthesis, analytical data, crystal data, refinement parameters and hydrogen bonding parameters for all three compounds. Gel to solution temperature ( $T_{gel}$ ) graph for compound 1. CCDC 1052160 (1), 1411666 (2), 1052154 (3).For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Selective protection of the primary OH group using benzoyl cyanide and  $Et_3N$  gave the mono-benzoate derivative **3** in 89% yield (**Scheme 1**). All three derivatives were purified by flash chromatography using *n*-hexane-EtOAc mixture in suitable ratio and characterized by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR and mass spectrometry.

#### 2.3. NMR

ARTICLE

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analyses of compounds **1**, **2** and **3** were performed using a Bruker 500 MHz NMR at 298 K.  $CD_3OD$  was used as NMR solvent for compound **1**, whereas  $CDCl_3$  was used for **2** and **3**.

#### 2.4. Mass spectrometry

Mass spectra were recorded on a Q-TOF Micro YA263 high resolution (Waters Corporation) mass spectrometer by positive-mode electrospray ionization.

#### 2.5. Gelation and gel characterization

A required amount of the compound and a measured volume of the desired pure solvent were placed in a screw-capped vial with an internal diameter (i.d.) of 10 mm and slowly heated till the solid was completely dissolved. The clear solution thus obtained was cooled to room temperature in air to form the gel. The vials were inverted to confirm the formation of the gel. Minimum gelation concentration (MGC) was determined by finding the minimum amount of compound required for gel formation at room temperature. The gels were found to be thermally reversible. Upon heating above their gel dissociation temperature, they transformed to solution state and returned to their original gel state upon cooling.

**2.6.** Determination of gel–sol transition temperature  $(T_{gel})$ The gel-to-sol transition temperature  $(T_{gel})$  was determined by the dropping ball method. A small glass ball (230 mg) was placed on 1 mL gel in a standard 15 mm vial. The vial was heated slowly in a thermostated oil bath while observing the rising temperature carefully. The temperature at which the ball dropped to the bottom of the vial was recorded as  $T_{gel}$ .

#### 2.7. FT-IR spectroscopy

FT-IR spectra of compounds **1**, **2** and **3** in their crystalline state and compound **1** in its xerogel state were obtained using a Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (PerkinElmer 502). KBr samples (2 mg in 20 mg of KBr) were prepared and 10 scans were collected at 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution for each sample. The spectra were measured at room temperature over the range of 4000–500 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.8. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM)

The morphologies of the reported gels were investigated using field emission-scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM). A small amount of gel/solution was placed on a clean microscope cover glass and then dried by slow evaporation. The material was then allowed to dry under vacuum at  $30 \, ^{\circ}$ C for two days.

The materials were gold-coated, and the micrographs were taken using a FE-SEM apparatus (Jeol Scanning Microscope JSM-6700F).



Figure 2 Photograph showing the gels of compound **1** in different solvents; (a) Chlorobenzene, (b) **1**,3-Dichlorobenzene, (c) **1**,2-Dichlorobenzene, (d) Bromobenzene.

#### 2.9. Rheological study

To understand the mechanical strengths of swollen hydrogels (swollen in DI water overnight), we performed rheological measurements on a AR-G2 rheometer (TA Instrument) using a steel parallel plate geometry with a 40 mm diameter at 25 °C. The rheometer is attached to a Peltier circulator thermo cube that helps with accurate control of temperature during the experiment. The storage modulus (G') and loss modulus (G'') of the polymer gels have been recorded in the linear viscoelastic regime at a strain of  $\gamma$  = 2% of the angular frequency (0.1–100 rad s–1).

#### 2.10. Single crystal preparation

Crystals of the compounds **1**, **2** and **3** were obtained for single crystal X-ray diffraction. Crystals of compound 1 were obtained by slow evaporation of a methanolic solution. Block shaped colourless crystals were appeared in seven days. In case of galactoside **2** colourless needles were obtained after twenty five days from dilute solution in 1,2-dichlorobenzene. Compound **3** gave colourless needles after eight days upon slow evaporation of a solution of the compound in *n*-hexaneethyl acetate (1:1) mixture.

#### 2.11. Crystallography

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of the crystals were collected on a SuperNova, Dual, Mo at zero, Eos diffractometer at 292 K for compound **1** and 100 K for compound**2** and **3** using graphite-monochromatic Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Atomic coordinates, isotropic and anisotropic displacement parameters of all the non-hydrogen atoms of two compounds were refined using Olex2,<sup>12</sup> and the structure was solved with the Superflip<sup>13</sup> structure solution program using Charge Flipping and refined with the ShelXL<sup>14</sup> refinement package using Least Squares minimization. Structure graphics shown in the figures were created using the X-Seed software package version 2.0. and mercury software package version 3.5.

#### 2.12. Melting point

Melting points of the three crystals were measured using a digital melting point apparatus, SECOR INDIA. Melting points for crystals of compounds **1**, **2** and **3** were found as 115 °C, 54 °C and 113 °C respectively.

#### 3. Results and discussion

The compounds 1, 2 and 3 were tested for their ability to form gels in different solvents. The results of those experiments are summarized in Table 1. Only compound 1 showed significant gelation ability in bromobenzene, chlorobenzene, 1,2dichlorobenzene and 1,3-dichlorobenzene (Figure 2) with the minimum gelation concentration (MGC) range between 0.35 to 1.2% w/v. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene was found to be the best solvent when the MGC is concerned. The gels are thermoreversible in nature and no significant change in the gelation behaviour was observed upon several heating and cooling cycles. The gels were stable at room temperature and no noticeable change was observed when kept in a closed container for couple of months. It shows the temporal stability of the gel. The effect of the concentration of the gelator on the gel to sol transition temperature ( $T_{gel}$ ) is shown in Figure S1 (ESI). The  $T_{gel}$  value increased upto 381K with an increase of the gelator concentration of 6% w/v and remained almost constant till 9% w/v showing no further concentration dependence.

	Compound 1	Compound 2	Compound 3
Solvents	MGC (% w/v)	MGC (% w/v)	MGC (% w/v)
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.2(OG)	PS	PS
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.35 (OG)	PS	PS
Toluene	С	S	С
Xylene	Р	PS	S
Mesitylene	Р	PS	S
Benzene	Р	Р	Р
Nitrobenzene	С	PS	С
Bromobenzene	0.5 (OG)	PS	PS
Acetone	С	S	S
Butanol	С	S	PS
Methanol	С	S	S
Water	I	I	I
CCl <sub>4</sub>	PS	S	S
Flurobenzene	Р	I	Р
Chlorobenzene	0.4 (OG)	PS	PS

 $^{a}\text{OG}$  = opaque gel; S = soluble; I = insoluble, P =precipitate, PS = partially soluble, C = crystalline

#### 3.1. FT-IR study

Hydrogen bonding is one of most important among the noncovalent interactions responsible for the formation of the supramolecular architecture in gel or crystalline state. The FT-IR study is particularly useful for the detection and characterization of hydrogen bonding in the self assembled state. **Figure 3** shows the FT-IR spectra of the crystalline state of compound **1**, **2** and **3** and xerogel state of **1**. In all cases, the absence of any band at 3600 cm<sup>-1</sup> (free OH stretching vibration) clearly indicates that all the O–H groups are hydrogen bonded. Moreover, it is observed that the IR bands for the hydrogen bonded O-H groups are well resolved in case of the crystalline states compared to the gel state. This suggests the involvement of the hydrogen bonding network towards the formation of the supramolecular architecture.

**ARTICIF** 



Figure 3 (A) FT-IR spectra of compound 1 in crystalline and xerogel state (0.25% w/v in 1,3-dichlorobenzene); (B) compound 2 in crystalline state and (C) compound 3 in crystalline state

#### 3.2 Microscopic studies

The microstructures of the gels formed by the compound 1 in different solvents were inspected by FE-SEM to get the visual insight into their morphology. Figure 4a, b, c and d show the

#### ARTICLE

FE-SEM images of the xerogels of compound **1** in 1,2dichlorobenzene, 1,3-dichlorobenzene, bromobenzene and chlorobenzene, respectively. All of them show the threedimensional networks formed by cross-linking of selfassembled fibrillar networks (SAFiNs),<sup>15</sup> approximately 70–100 nm in diameter and several micrometers in length indicating the entrapment of the solvent molecules into the spaces in the 3D network.



Figure 4 FE-SEM images of the xerogels of compound 1 in (a) 1,2-dichlorobenzene, (b) 1,3-dichlorobenzene, (c) bromobenzene and (d) chlorobenzene

#### 3.3. Rheological study (frequency sweep) of gel

To judge the tolerance power of the gel, rheological measurements were done to determine the frequency sweep. The frequency sweep rheometric measurements were carried out using the gels (1.0%, wt/v) with 1,2-dichlorobenzene, 1,3-dichlorobenzene, bromobenzene and chlorobenzene at a low shear stress. In all cases (Figure 5 and Figure S2), the storage modulus (G') were higher than the loss modulus (G') and no crossover is observed over the whole frequency range, showing a typical gel behaviour with a good tolerance performance to external forces.



**Figure 5** Dynamic rheology of the organogel containing 1.2% w/v compound 1 in 1,3dichlorobenzene as a function of angular frequency (rad  $s^{-1}$ ) at 15 °C.

#### 3.4. Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies

Crystals of the three galactose derivatives (1, 2 and 3) were subjected to single crystal X-ray diffraction. The experimental data and structure refinement parameters are given in **Table S1** (ESI). Critical analysis of the crystal structures reveals that the pyranose ring remains in the typical  ${}^{4}C_{1}$  conformation for compound 1 and 2. However, it has taken a skew boat conformation in case of compound 3 which is a significant and interesting deviation from our observed results with the *p*-methoxyphenyl galactosides having similar functionalities reported earlier.<sup>10</sup>

Compound **1** crystallized in the monoclinic *P*2<sub>1</sub> space group with 2 molecules (Z=2) in the unit cell (Figure 6a). The pthiotolyl ring of the molecule in the asymmetric unit and the sugar moiety are not co-planar. The torsional angles of the pthiotolyl ring and the sugar moieties are 158.5(2)° (C5-S1-C8-C9) and -82.7(3)° (C8-S1-C5-C4) respectively, and indicating that there is no planarity in the molecule. The four free hydroxyl groups in the molecule led to formation multiple intermolecular hydrogen bonds (selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table S2, ESI). The sugar moieties of adjacent molecules are connected via multiple O-H···O hydrogen bonding interactions in the crystal structure and extended in 2D HBN as shown in Figure 6b. As a result, the molecules form a thick two sided comb-like sheets running along *c*-axis. In addition, the adjacent sheets are interlocked by close packing of *p*-thiotolylgroups in space filling manner and

therefore, form 1D layer structure with intra-layer  $\pi$ -stacking interactions (Figure 6b).





**Figure 6** (a) ORTEP representation of the crystal of compound **1** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level (b) Molecular packing showing 2D HBN in the crystal structure. Notice the formation of double sided comb-like sheets *via* strong intermolecular O–H···O hydrogen bonds and interlocked by *p*-thiotolyl groups

Compound **2** crystallizes in the monoclinic  $P2_1$  space group with two molecules of compound **2** and one water molecule in the asymmetric unit (**Figure 7a**). The molecule contains two free hydroxyl functional groups along with a water of crystallization which form several O–H···O hydrogen bonds (**Table S2**, ESI) and leads to the formation of a 2D HBN in the single crystal structure (**Figure 7b**). The molecules form thick two sided comb-like sheets interlocked by close packing of *p*thiotolyl groups of the adjacent sheets in space filling manner. As a result, the 2D HBN between water molecules and sugar molecules leads to a ladder motif along *b*-axis (**Figure 7c**). These layers are stacked along the *b*-axis to further stabilize the structure by multiple weak C–H···O interactions (selected bond lengths and angles are given in **Table S2**, ESI).





ARTICLE



(C) Figure 7(a) ORTEP representation of the crystal of compound 2 with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level (b) Molecular packing showing 2D HBN involving sugar-sugar and sugar-water interactions in the crystal structure (except the hydroxyl H, all other H have been omitted for clarity). (c) The ladder motif along the *b*-axis. Notice the formation of double sided comb-like sheets *via* strong intermolecular O– H…O hydrogen bonds and interlocked by *p*-thiotolyl groups

Compound **3** crystallizes in the orthorhombic  $P2_12_12_1$  space group with four molecules in the unit cell (Z=4) (Figure 8a). The p-thiotolyl ring of the molecule in the asymmetric unit is not co-planar with galactoside moiety. The torsional angles of the p-thiotolyl ring and the sugar moieties are 94.2(3)° (C5-S1-C8-C9) and 156.5(3)° (C8-S1-C5-C4) respectively indicating that there is no planarity in the molecule. The molecule contains only one free hydroxyl group that forms a O–H…O hydrogen bond (O2-H2...O1; 2.858(3) Å, 165°) with the ring O-atom of the next pyranose ring. This leads to the conformational perturbation in the molecule by dragging the C9 of the sugar ring containing the free hydroxyl group downwards and the ring oxygen (O1) of the adjacent molecule upwards. In addition to the strong O-H…O HBN, an intramolecular C-H…O hydrogen bond (C15-H15…O2; 2.937(4) Å, 110°) is responsible for dragging C15 downwards. On the other hand, isopropylidene ring is giving the rigidity at C10 and C11 carbons and due to the required *cis*-geometry of the isopropylidene ring oxygens, C10

#### ARTICLE

and C11 remains in a same plane. The cumulative effects of these interactions is bringing O1, C8, C10 and C11 upward and they remain in a plane, whereas C9 and C15 go downwards resulting in a skew boat conformation of the pyranose ring (**Figure 8b**). As far our knowledge is concerned, this is the first report of such conformational change in sugar derivative driven by hydrogen bonding network.



Figure 8 (a) ORTEP representation of the crystal of compound 3 with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level (b) HBN involved for the change of conformation in the pyranose ring (except the hydroxyl H, all other H have been omitted for clarity). Two discrete molecules are shown in ball and stick model to illustrate the HBN responsible for conformational change.

In addition to the interactions that seem relevant for the conformational change, there are other significant C-H···O hydrogen bonds are present (**Table S2**, ESI) in the crystal structure that led to a wave type packing along the given axis (**Figure 9**).



Figure 9 In addition to the strong O-H···O interaction significant intramolecular C-H···O HBN shown in the packing of compound 3 along the given axis

#### 3.5. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD)

The PXRD patterns of compound **1** in xerogel state was compared with the patterns obtained from samples in bulk state and the simulated PXRD pattern extracted from single crystal X-ray. The patterns are in good agreement with each other confirming that the molecular packing in different states is very much the same (**Figure 10**). Normally it is difficult to have clear PXRD patterns from gel samples due to their poor diffraction. However, the correlation between the PXRD patterns in different states,<sup>16</sup> is an useful tool to get an insight of the molecular packing. It is worth noting that xerogel samples can only be regarded as logical representative as the presence of other morphs or solvates cannot be ruled out.

# **3.6.** Significant deviation from the reported *p*-methoxyphenyl galactosides with same substituent

Correlation with our previously reported series of galactose derivatives<sup>10</sup> revealed that there are significant differences in the supramolecular architecture of the thioglycoside derivatives reported here with that of the *p*-methoxyphenyl galactosides. Since the protecting groups present in both the series are same except the reducing end glycoside, we expected to see close simile in their supramolecular behaviour. Indeed, compound 1 gave strong gel and all compounds (1-3) gave quality crystals. Compound 1 and 2 have shown 2D HBN whereas; compound 3 have 1D HBN as revealed by respective crystal packing. Therefore, in this series too, compound 1 and **3** are violating Shinkai's hypothesis<sup>17</sup> and the views reflected in the review by Dastidar<sup>18</sup> on gelling and non-gelling behaviour and HBN. Compound 2 behaves in line to the hypothesis. Although this far the *p*-methoxyphenyl galactoside and *p*thiotolyl glycoside series matched nicely, in depth evaluation of the HBN showed significant difference between two series. It is clear that an apparently minor difference in functionality contributes distinctly upon the supramolecular arrangement in the crystal structures. In fact, the presence sulphur at the

4

5

6

#### Journal Name

reducing end glycoside plays a crucial role and influence the HBN significantly. Particularly in case of the derivative **3**, it results in the change of the regular chair conformation of the galactose unit to a skew boat conformation.



Compound 1	2 theta/ degree		
xerogel	5.6, 11.74, 15.36, 17.48, 17.74, 19.0, 19.78,		
	20.62, 21.6, 22.38, 24.32, 27.28		
bulk	5.7, 11.42, 11.8, 15.42, 17.56, 17.8, 19.04,		
	19.86, 20.66, 21.7, 22.36, 24.4, 27.36		
simulate	5.62, 11.72, 15.26, 16.94, 17.36, 19.64, 20.2,		
	20.46, 20.6, 21.38, 21.52, 22.22, 24.14,		
	27.06, 27.26		

Figure 10 PXRD patterns of compound 1 from various states with the list of the major peaks. (The xerogel of 1 was prepared from 1,3-dichlorobenzene)

#### Conclusions

In summary, we have analyzed the HBN in the single crystal Xray structures and gelation abilities of three *p*-thiotolyl galactosides and compared the data with the previously reported series of p-methoxyphenyl galactosides having similar protecting groups. There are similarities between the two series of derivatives in respect to their gelation and crystallization abilities. However, striking differences have been observed between the series considering the HBN involved. The presence of the sulphur in the reducing end glycoside influence the difference in the HBN considerably and most interestingly, the altered HBN results in the conformation of the galactose moiety from regular chair form to a skew boat form in case of the compound **3** as evident from its single crystal X-ray structure. It is important to note that a minimal change in the protecting group decoration on the sugar molecule can have such impact on the HBN in their molecular packing.

#### Acknowledgement

‡KBP is thankful to UGC, New Delhi for Senior Research Fellowship. VS is thankful to IISER Kolkata for Senior Research Fellowship. This work is funded by SERB, DST, New Delhi through grant SB/S1/OC-48/2013. We sincerely thank Mr. Ramesh Devarapalli, IISER Kolkata for his help in crystallographic analysis.

#### Notes and references

- (a) K. S. Gates, *Nature Chem. Bio.*, 2013, 9, 412–414 (b) K.
  M. Bradley and S. A. Benner, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 10, 1826–1833. (c) K. Kristen, K. K. Merritt, K. M. Bradley, D. Hutter, M. F. Matsuura, D. J. Rowold, and S. A. Benner, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 10, 2348–2360. (d) O. Khakshoor, S. E. Wheeler, K. N. Houk and E. T. Kool, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 3154–3163. (e) C. F. Guerra, F. M. Bickelhaupt, J. G. Snijders and E. J. Baerends, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1999, 5, 3581–3594.
- (a) B. Dalhus, A. S. Arvai, I. Rosnes, Ø. E. Olsen, P. H. Backe, I. Alseth, H. Gao, W. Cao, J. A. Tainer and M. Bjørås, *Nature Structural Mol. Bio.*, 2009, 16, 138-143. (b) R. D. Makde, J. R. England, H. P. Yennawar and S. Tan, *Nature*, 2010, 467, 562–566. (c) G. Lebon, T. Warne, P. C. Edwards, K. Bennett, C. J. Langmead, A. G. W. Leslieand C. G. Tate, *Nature*, 2011, 474, 521–526. (d) A. K. W. Leung, K. Nagai and J. Li, *Nature*, 2011, 473, 536–539. (e) K. Welke, H. C. Watanabe, T. Wolter, M. Gausaband M. Elstner, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 6651–6659.
- 3 (a) J. Ju, M. Park, J. -M. Suk, M. S. Lah, and K. -S. Jeong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, **30**, 3546–3548. (b) G. A. Kochetov, *Biochemistry (Moscow)*, 2001, **66**, 1077–1085. (c) M. Murár, G. Addová and A. A. Boháč, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **9**, 173–179. (d) Z. Zhang and P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1187–1198. (e) K. Dobi, I. Hajdú, B. Flachner, G. Fabó, M. Szaszkó, M. Bognár, C. Magyar, I. Simon, D. Szisz, Z. Lőrincz, S. Cseh and G. Dormán, *Molecules*, 2014, **19**, 7008-7039. (f) N. Srimongkolpithak, S. S. Sundriyal, F. Li, M. Vedadic and M. J. Fuchter, *Med. Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 1821–1828.
  - (a) W. B. Stockton and M. F. Rubner, *Macromolecules*, 1997, **30**, 2717-2725.
    (b) D. A. Dikin, S. Stankovich, E. J. Zimney, R. D. Piner, G. H. B. Dommett, G. Evmenenko, S. T. Nguyen and R. S. Ruoff, *Nature*, 2007, **448**, 457-460.
    (c) V. Berl, M. Schmutz, M. J. Krische, R. G. Khoury and J. –M. Lehn, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 1227–1244.
    (d) J. Y. Lee, P. C. Painter and M. M. Coleman, *Macromolecules*, 1988, **21**, 954-960.
    (e) B. J. B. Folmer, R. P. Sijbesma, R. M. Versteegen, J. A. J. van der Rijt and E. J. Meijer, *Adv. Mater.*, 2000, **12**, 874–878.
    (f) C. Liang and S. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 5316-5317.
    (g) S. Y. Yang and M. F. Rubner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **124**, 2100–2101.
  - (a) Y. Li, and F. Jian, Molecules, 2015, 20, 14435-14450. (b)
    P. Bhyrappa, S. R. Wilson and K. S. Suslick, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 8492-8502.(c)
    B. Moulton and M. J. Zaworotko, Chem. Rev., 2001, 101, 1629–1658. (d)
    S. Griessl, M. Lackinger, M. Edelwirth, M. Hietschold and W. M. Heckl, Single Mol., 2002, 3, 25-31. (e)
    A. Y. Robin and K. M. Fromm, Coordination Chem. Rev., 2006, 250, 2127–2157. (f)
    I. A. Baburin, V. A. Blatov, L. Carlucci, G. Cianib and D. M. Proserpio, Cryst. Eng. Comm., 2008, 10, 1822–1838. (g)
    H. Kihara, T. Kato, T. Uryu, and J. M. Fréchet, J. Chem. Mater., 1996, 8, 961-968. (h)
    X. –L. Zhang, and X. M. Chen, Cryst. Growth Des., 2005, 5, 617–622. (i)
    J. J. V. Gorp, J. A. J. M. Vekemans and E. W. Meijer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 14759–14769. (j)
    V. A. Russell, C. C. Evans, W. Li and M. D. Ward, Science, 1997, 276, 575–579.
  - (a) E. D. Gtwacki, M. Irimia-Vladu, S. Bauerband N. S. Sariciftcia, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2013, 1, 3742–3753. (b) P. Dauber and A. T. Hagler, Acc. Chem. Res., 1980, 13, 105-112. (c) B. Sarmaand B. Saikia, Cryst. Eng. Comm., 2014, 16, 4753–4765. (d) S. M. Martin, J. Yonezawa, M. J. Horner, C. W. Macoskoand M. D. Ward, Chem. Mater., 2004, 16, 3045-3055. (e) H. Zhang, Z. Zhang, K. Ye, J. Zhang and Y. Wang, Adv. Mater., 2006, 18, 2369–2372. (f) G. E. Delgado, A. J. Mora, M. Guillén-Guillén, J. W. Ramírez, J. E.

Contreras, *Crystal Structure Theory and Applications*, 2012, **1**, 30-34. (g) A. Arunkumar, P. Ramasamy, *Mat. Lett.*, 2014, **123**, 246–249. (h) J. Fielden, P. T. Gunning, D. L. Long, M. Nutley, A. Ellern, P. Kögerler, L. Cronin, *Polyhedron*, 2006, **25**, 3474–3480. (i) S. Dasgupta, W. B. Hammond and W. A. Goddard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 12291-12301.

- (a) K. Sakurai, Y. Jeong, K. Koumoto, A. Friggeri, O. Gronwald, S. Sakurai, S. Okamoto, K. Inoue and S. Shinkai, *Langmuir*, 2003, **19**, 8211-8217. (b) A. V. Eliseevand, H.-J. Schneider, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, **116**, 6081-6088. (c) N. Kimizukaand T. Nakashima, *Langmuir*, 2001, **17**, 6759-6761. (d) X. Sun and T. D. James, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 8001–8037. (e) O. Gronwald, E. Snip, S. Shinkai, *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science*, 2002, **7**, 148–156. (f) S. -I. Tamaru, M. Nakamura, M. Takeuchi and S. Shinkai, *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 3631-3634. (g) T. Shimizu and M. Masuda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 2812-2818.
- 8 (a) F. A. Quiocho, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1989, **61**, 1293-1306.
  (b) T. G. Setty, C. Cho, S. Govindappa, M. A. Apicellab and S. Ramaswamy, *ActaCryst.*, 2014, **70**, 1801–1811. (c) M. Ambrosi, N. R. Cameron and B. G. Davis *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 1593–1608.
- (a) S. Chris,C. S. Hawes, C. Chen, A. Tranand D. R. Turner, *Crystals*, 2014, 4, 53-63. (b) G. R. Desiraju, *Angew. Chem Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, 31, 2311-2321. (c) P. Bhyrappa, S. R. Wilson and K. S. Suslick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, 119, 8492-8502. (d) S. K. Nayak, K. N. Venugopala, D. Chopra and T. N. Guru Row, *Cryst. Eng. Comm.*, 2011, 13, 591–605. (e) J. Lewiński, J. Zacharaa, I. Justyniak and M. Dranka, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 249, 1185–1199. (f) J. V. Barth, J. Weckesser, G. Trimarchi, M. Vladimirova, A. De Vita, C. Cai, H. Brune, P. Günter and K. Kern, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, 124, 7991-8000.
- 10 S. Mukherjee, G. M. Krishna, B. Mukhopadhyay and C. M. Reddy, *Cryst. Eng. Comm.*, 2015, **17**, 3345-3353.
- (a) B. Yang, K. Yoshida, Z. Yin, H. Dai, H. Kavunja, M. H. El-Dakdouki, S. Sungsuwan, S. B. Dulaney and X. Huang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10185-10189. (b) K. –K. T. Mong, and C. –H. Wong, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 4087-4090. (c) M. Wilstermann, J. Balogh, G. Magnusson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 3659-3665. (d) C. –M. Huang, R. S. Liu, T. –S. Wuc and W. –C. Cheng, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 2895-2898. (e) C. Wang, Q. Li, H. Wang, L. –H. Zhang and X. –S. Ye, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 11657-11662. (f) M. –Y. Chen, L. N. Patkar, K. –C. Lu, A. S. –Y. Lee, C. –C. Lin, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 11465–11475.
- O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. J. Appl. Cryst., 2009, 42, 339–341.
- 13 SUPERFLIP, J. Appl. Cryst., 2007, **40**, 786–790.
- 14 SHELXL G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.*, 2008, **A64**, 112–122.
- (a) T. Sagawa, S. Fukugawa, T. Yamada and H. Ihara, Langmuir, 2002, 18, 7223-7228. (b) M. A. Rogers, A. J. Wright and A. G. Marangoni, Soft Matter, 2008, 4, 1483– 1490. (c) M. Hamedi, A. Herland, R. H. Karlsson and O. Inganäs, Nano Lett., 2008, 8, 1736-1740. (d) R. Lam, L. Quaroni, T. Pederson and M. A. Rogers, Soft Matter, 2010, 6, 404–408.
- (a) N. C. Ganguly, M. Datta, K. Ghosh and A. D. Bond, *CrystEngComm.*, 2005, 7, 210-215. (b) E. W. Yemeli Tido, C. Faulmann, R. Roswanda, A. Meetsma and P. J. Van Koningsbruggen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, 39, 1643-1651.
- 17 E. Ostuni, P. Kamaras and R. G. Weiss, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 1324-1326.
- 18 R. Luboradzki, O. Gronwald, M. Ikeda, S. Shinkai and D. N. Reinhoudt, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, 56, 9595-9599.
- 19 P. Dastidar, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 2699-2715.

