



**Divergent 4,2':6',4''- and 3,2':6',3''-terpyridines as linkers  
in 2- and 3-dimensional architectures**

Journal:	<i>CrystEngComm</i>
Manuscript ID:	CE-HIG-07-2015-001364.R1
Article Type:	Highlight
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Aug-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Housecroft, Catherine; University of Basel, Department of Chemistry

## Highlight

## Divergent 4,2':6',4''- and 3,2':6',3''-terpyridines as linkers in 2- and 3-dimensional architectures

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Catherine E. Housecroft

Received 00th January 2012,  
Accepted 00th January 2012


DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/


4,2':6',4''-Terpyridine (4,2':6',4''-tpy) and 3,2':6',3''-terpyridine (3,2':6',3''-tpy) typically coordinate through the outer pyridine rings, leaving the central ring non-coordinated. They therefore present divergent sets of *N,N'*-donor atoms and are ideal linkers for connecting metal nodes in coordination polymers and networks. This *Highlight* illustrates the strategies that are currently used to encourage the formation of 2- and 3-dimensional architectures as opposed to 1-dimensional chains. Functionalization in the tpy 4'-position with substituents such as pyridyl or carboxylate that can bind metal ions is one strategy. The second is to increase the coordination number of the metal centre. The third is to design ligands that contain multiple 4,2':6',4''-tpy or 3,2':6',3''-tpy domains.

## Introduction

2,2':6',2''-Terpyridine (2,2':6',2''-tpy) presents a bis-chelating donor set and coordination complexes are dominated by octahedral  $\{M(2,2':6',2''\text{-tpy})_2\}$  domains which, if bearing peripheral donor groups, function as 'expanded ligands' (Scheme 1) in supramolecular assemblies including coordination polymers.<sup>1</sup> The coordination chemistry of 2,2':6',2''-tpy is abundantly represented, whereas the coordination behaviours of the other 47 isomers of terpyridine have attracted less attention. Two isomers especially demand exploration because of their ease of synthesis and functionalization in the 4'-position<sup>2,3</sup>: 4,2':6',4''-terpyridine (4,2':6',4''-tpy) and 3,2':6',3''-terpyridine (3,2':6',3''-tpy). Both ligands typically coordinate metals through only the outer pyridine rings, and Scheme 2 illustrates that, while the directionality of the donor atoms in 4,2':6',4''-tpy is fixed, that in 3,2':6',3''-tpy is varied by virtue of rotation about the inter-ring C–C bonds. In terms of using these ligands as linkers in the construction of coordination polymers, this distinction between 4,2':6',4''-tpy and 3,2':6',3''-tpy presents interesting design issues, and it might appear that assemblies directed using 4,2':6',4''-tpy may be more predictable than those using 3,2':6',3''-tpy. However, even with its apparent preorganization, 4,2':6',4''-tpy can yield surprises when coordinating metals as is illustrated below.




**Scheme 1.** Divergent metal binding mode of  $\{M(2,2':6',2''\text{-tpy})_2\}$  unit where X is a donor group, e.g. pyridyl,  $\text{CO}_2^-$ .



**Scheme 2.** Divergent *N,N'*-metal binding mode of 4,2':6',4''-tpy, and variable binding modes of 3,2':6',3''-tpy accessible through inter-ring bond rotation.

The coordination chemistry of 3,2':6',3''-terpyridines remains largely unexplored. A search of the Cambridge


Structural Database (CSD v. 5.36 with updates to May 2015,<sup>4</sup> using Conquest v. 1.17<sup>5</sup>), gives 205 hits for 4,2':6',4''-tpy and only 25 hits for 3,2':6',3''-tpy (ligands and complexes). The first coordination polymer of 4,2':6',4''-tpy was reported in 1998<sup>6</sup> and since the potential of this divergent ligand was recognized, there has been significant growth in the number of publications in which 4'-functionalized 4,2':6',4''-tpys act as linkers in coordination polymers and networks.<sup>7</sup> In the current *Highlight*, we focus on ways in which reactions between metal nodes and 4,2':6',4''-tpy or 3,2':6',3''-tpy ligands can be directed to give 2- or 3-dimensional architectures. One guideline is to move away from the ubiquitous use of zinc halides or zinc acetate. The former usually lead to 1-dimensional chains<sup>7</sup> or discrete metallomacrocycles,<sup>7,8</sup> and the latter to chains containing linear  $\{Zn_2(\mu-OAc)_4\}$  nodes.<sup>7,9</sup>



**Scheme 3.** Structural analogy between 4'-(4-pyridyl)-4,2':6',4''-tpy (py-4,2':6',4''-tpy) and 2,4,6-tris(4-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine, and the structure of 4'-(4-pyridyl)-3,2':6',3''-tpy (py-3,2':6',3''-tpy).

### The peripheral donor

A strategy adopted by many groups to switch the assembly preference from 1-dimension to 2- or 3-dimensions is to incorporate a peripheral donor group. Popular choices are heterocycles (e.g. pyridine, pyrimidine) or carboxylic acids. Note the analogy between 4'-(4-pyridyl)-4,2':6',4''-terpyridine (py-4,2':6',4''-tpy) and 2,4,6-tris(4-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine (Scheme 3), a building block par excellence in coordination networks.<sup>10</sup> If all three outer pyridine rings bind metals, py-4,2':6',4''-tpy acts as a planar, 3-connecting node. In some cases, serendipity rules, and one or more donors remain coordinatively innocent when the ligand reacts with a metal salt.<sup>11</sup> A pertinent example is ligand **1** (Fig. 1a). Its reaction with  $Zn(acac)_2$  unexpectedly gives a discrete complex in which **1** coordinates to Zn through one pyridine ring only (Fig. 1b), along with a linear polymer (Fig. 1b); CH...N contacts are implicated as one controlling factor in these two assemblies.<sup>12</sup>



**Fig. 1.** (a) Structure of **1**, an example of a 4,2':6',4''-tpy functionalized in the 4' position with a metal-recognition site;  $Zn(acac)_2$  reacts with **1** to give (b) molecular  $[Zn(1)_2(acac)_2]$  and (c) polymeric  $[Zn(1)(acac)_2]_n$ . CSD refcodes QIXYIH and QIXYON.

The examples discussed below are not comprehensive, but rather exemplify how peripheral pyridyl or carboxylate groups are applied to direct the assembly of 2- and 3-dimensional frameworks. A few recent examples have been selected, and citations within these publications guide the reader to a wider literature coverage of the area.

The cationic metal-organic framework (MOF) present in  $[Cu(py-4,2':6',4''-tpy)] \cdot NO_3 \cdot MeOH)_n$  has been prepared using a solvothermal approach, with *in situ* reduction of copper(II) to copper(I). Both Cu and py-4,2':6',4''-tpy are 3-connecting nodes, and the resulting 4-fold interpenetrating 3-dimensional framework (Fig. 2a) retains channels in which anions and solvent molecules are accommodated. Solvent can be exchanged (MeOH for H<sub>2</sub>O) without a change in the lattice. The nitrate anions in the hydrated MOF can be exchanged with a range of anions, allowing the system to be applied for anionic sensing.<sup>13</sup> Retaining the 3-connecting Cu and py-4,2':6',4''-tpy nodes, but introducing bridging cyanido ligands into the MOF leads to  $[Cu_3(\mu-CN)_3(py-4,2':6',4''-tpy)]_n$  which is also 4-fold interpenetrating (Fig. 2b).<sup>14</sup>

The trinuclear unit  $\{Fe_2NiO(Piv)_6\}$  (HPiv = pivalic acid) is preorganized as a planar, 3-connecting node and combined with py-4,2':6',4''-tpy gives a 2-dimensional (6,3) net.<sup>15</sup> Replacement of py-4,2':6',4''-tpy by py-3,2':6',3''-tpy leads to a switch from a (6,3) net to (4,8<sup>2</sup>)-fes topology.<sup>16</sup> An attractive application of py-4,2':6',4''-tpy is as a 'lock' in MOFs to prevent loss of guest molecules. This is demonstrated by the introduction of 4,2':6',4''-tpy to  $[Na_4Zn_2(fda)_4(H_2O)_2] \cdot H_2O)_n$  which contains channels with a diameter comparable to that of the 4,2':6',4''-tpy ligand.<sup>17</sup>





Fig. 2. Part of the 4-fold interpenetrating frameworks in (a)  $[\{\text{Cu}(\text{py}-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})\}\text{NO}_3\text{MeOH}]_n$  viewed down the  $c$ -axis with anions and solvent omitted, and (b)  $[\text{Cu}_3(\mu\text{-CN})_3(\text{py}-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})]_n$  viewed down the  $c$ -axis. CSD refcodes RIGPEE and COXGIG.



Scheme 4. Structures of 4,2':6',4''-terpyridines containing carboxylic acid functionalities.

4'-(4-Carboxyphenyl)-4,2':6',4''-terpyridine (4'-(4-Hcp)-4,2':6',4''-tpy, Scheme 4) has been used in a range of coordination polymers, a number assembled in the presence of a co-ligand.<sup>18</sup> If the peripheral carboxylate is monodentate or acts as a chelating ligand, 4'-(4-cp)-4,2':6',4''-tpy can function as a planar 3-connecting node and is therefore potentially analogous to py-4,2':6',4''-tpy. But, as seen above, one or more donor sites may remain non-coordinated leading to lower dimensionality structures than anticipated. An example is  $[\text{Co}(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]_n$  which is a 1D-coordination polymer with 4'-(4-cp)-4,2':6',4''-tpy acting as a bridging N,O-donor.<sup>19</sup> The addition of co-ligands in some investigations makes it difficult to appreciate clear design strategies in the use

of 4'-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,2':6',4''-terpyridines. Nonetheless, the examples below illustrate the potential for their use in 2- and 3-dimensional assemblies.

Interpenetrating sheets are observed in  $[\{\text{Cd}(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})(\text{OAc})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{DMA}]_n$  (Fig. 3a), but a change in cadmium(II) salt, solvothermal conditions and solvent leads to  $[\{\text{Cd}(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})_2\}\cdot 2\text{DMF}]_n$  which consists of a non-interpenetrated net with Cd and ligand acting as 5- and 3-connecting nodes respectively.<sup>20</sup> The same connectivity pattern is observed in  $[\{\text{Cd}(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})_2\}\cdot 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O}]_n$ , but in this case, the nets interpenetrate.<sup>21</sup>  $[\{\text{Zn}_2(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})_2\text{Cl}_2\}\cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}]_n$  is structurally analogous to  $[\{\text{Cd}(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})(\text{OAc})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{DMA}]_n$  (Fig. 3a), whereas  $[\{\text{Cd}_2(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})_4\}\cdot 3.5\text{H}_2\text{O}]_n$  exhibits doubly interpenetrating (4,4) nets.<sup>22</sup>

One structure deserves particular attention. The reaction of  $\text{CoCl}_2\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with 4'-(4-Hcp)-4,2':6',4''-tpy under basic solvothermal conditions leads to  $[\text{Co}(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})_2]_n$ . The assembly of the entangled framework depicted in Fig. 3b arises from the 8-connecting node shown in Fig. 3c in which 'N' represents an  $N$ -bound 4'-(4-cp)-4,2':6',4''-tpy domain, and 'RCO<sub>2</sub>' represents the carboxylate functionality in 4'-(4-cp)-4,2':6',4''-tpy. The assembly is of note because of coexisting interpenetration, self-threading and polythreading.<sup>23</sup>

Despite possible steric crowding of the 2-substituted functionality in 4'-(2-cp)-4,2':6',4''-tpy (Scheme 4), this ligand has been shown to be a versatile building block in coordination networks.<sup>24</sup> The effects of removing the phenylene spacer on going from 4'-(4-Hcp)-4,2':6',4''-tpy to 4'-HO<sub>2</sub>C-4,2':6',4''-tpy have also been explored,<sup>25</sup> and recently, Wang and coworkers have shown that the introduction of two peripheral carboxylic acid groups opens up the pathway to zinc-containing MOFs which exhibit selective CO<sub>2</sub> capture.<sup>26</sup>





Fig. 3. (a) Double interpenetrating (6,3) sheets in  $[\{\text{Cd}(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})(\text{OAc})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{DMA}]_n$  (DMA =  $N,N$ -dimethylacetamide); CSD refcode HEXTAU. (b) Entangled framework in  $[\text{Co}(4'-(4\text{-cp})-4,2':6',4''\text{-tpy})_2]_n$  CSD refcode DIQHAO.

## Going for higher coordination numbers

The last section illustrates the approach adopted by many research teams to increase the dimensionality of coordination networks which incorporate divergent tpy linkers. We now move to our own strategies which have developed from an initial penchant for coordination polymers containing zinc(II) nodes.<sup>7</sup> To encourage the assembly of 2- or 3-dimensional networks using 4,2':6',4"-tpy linkers, our approach was to increase the connectivity of the metal node. We originally showed that going from zinc to cadmium<sup>27</sup> facilitated a switch from helical 1-dimensional chains  $[\text{ZnCl}_2(4\text{'-aryl-4,2':6',4"-tpy)}]_n$  containing 2-connecting Zn nodes, to 2-dimensional sheets  $[\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\mathbf{2})_2]_n$  containing 4-connecting Cd nodes ( $\mathbf{2}$  = 4'-phenyl-4,2':6',4"-tpy, Scheme 5). The 6-coordinate Cd atom (with *trans*-nitrate ligands) binds four  $\mathbf{2}$  ligands to give a (4,4) net with each divergent 4,2':6',4"-tpy ligand bridging adjacent Cd atoms. This is a motif that reappears in a number of related compounds with 4-connecting Cd nodes and 4'-aryl-functionalized 4,2':6',4"-tpy ligands, for example with ligand  $\mathbf{3}^{28}$  (Scheme 5). However, the assembly principle is not general, and the assemblies formed with ligands  $\mathbf{4}$ – $\mathbf{9}$  (Scheme 5) provide pertinent examples;  $\mathbf{4}$ – $\mathbf{9}$  are derivatives of  $\mathbf{2}$  bearing alkoxy tails.  $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  reacts with  $\mathbf{4}$  (methoxy substituent) to give a 1-dimensional ladder (Fig. 4a) under room temperature crystallization conditions with an input Cd : ligand ratio of 3 : 1.<sup>29</sup> A ladder is again seen when  $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is combined with  $\mathbf{8}$  (*n*-hexoxy substituent, Fig. 4b) in a molar ratio of 1 : 1, but if the amount of ligand is increased in the crystallization experiment (ratio of moles of Cd :  $\mathbf{8}$  = 1 : 3), the assembly switches to a (4,4) net (Fig. 5a).<sup>30</sup> A closely related (4,4) net is formed with  $\mathbf{7}$ , but on going to  $\mathbf{5}$  with the shorter *n*-propyl chain, a (6,3) net assembles in  $[\{\text{Cd}_2(\text{NO}_3)_4(\mathbf{5})_3\} \cdot 3\text{CHCl}_3]_n$  (Fig. 5b). Powder diffraction was used to confirm the homogeneity of the bulk samples, and also indicates that reaction of  $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with  $\mathbf{6}$  leads to a (6,3) net. In this network, packing involves the accommodation of the *n*-propoxy chain in a pocket comprising three ligands  $\mathbf{5}$ ; this pocket is big enough to accommodate an *n*-butoxy chain, but not a longer chain. Hence, going from an *n*-butoxy to *n*-pentoxy chains switches the architecture from a (6,3) to (4,4) net.<sup>30</sup> Reactions of  $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with  $\mathbf{10}$  or  $\mathbf{11}$  also give ladders.<sup>31</sup> The study of network assembly with cadmium nitrate and ligands  $\mathbf{5}$ – $\mathbf{9}$  was systematic, using a 1 : 3 ratio of Cd : ligand, and constant volumes of the same solvents (MeOH and  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).<sup>30</sup> Overall, however, rationalizing the observations for  $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2/4,2':6',4"-tpy$  networks with ligands  $\mathbf{2}$ – $\mathbf{11}$  is made difficult by variable 'input ratios' of metal : ligand and solution concentrations, and the fact that not all bulk samples have been analysed by X-ray powder diffraction.



Scheme 5. Structures of ligands  $\mathbf{2}$ – $\mathbf{12}$ .






Fig. 4. 1-Dimensional ladders in (a)  $[\{\text{Cd}_2(\text{NO}_3)_4(\mathbf{4})_3\} \cdot \text{CHCl}_3 \cdot \text{MeOH}]_n$ ,<sup>29</sup> (b)  $[\text{Cd}_2(\text{NO}_3)_4(\text{MeOH})(\mathbf{8})_3]_n$ ,<sup>30</sup> and (c)  $[\{\text{Cd}_2(\text{NO}_3)_4(\mathbf{11})_3\} \cdot 2\text{CHCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{MeOH}]_n$ .<sup>31</sup> Overlay of the structure and a TOPOS<sup>32</sup> representation. Nitrate ligands and solvent molecules are omitted.



**Fig. 5.** 2-Dimensional nets: (a) (4,4) net in  $[\{Cd_2(NO_3)_4(\mathbf{8})_4\} \cdot CHCl_3 \cdot MeOH]_n$ , and (b) (6,3) net in  $[\{Cd_2(NO_3)_4(\mathbf{5})_3\} \cdot 3CHCl_3]_n$ .<sup>30</sup> Overlay of the structure and a TOPOSP<sup>32</sup> representation.

The preference for the ladder motif is unclear. Given that the reaction of cadmium(II) nitrate with ligand **8** can lead to either a ladder or a (4,4) net, it seems unlikely that substituent effects are the dominant factor, and the preference is possibly associated with concentration effects. Concentration effects are the well-established roots for the competitive formation of metallomacrocycles versus metallopolymers.<sup>33</sup> Of relevance is our recent observation that, in the same crystallization vessel but in different zones resulting from different concentration gradients, the assembly of the coordination polymer  $[ZnCl_2(\mathbf{L})]_n$  ( $\mathbf{L}$  = 4'-(2',3',4',5',6'-pentafluorobiphenyl-4-yl)-4,2':6',4"-terpyridine) competes with that of the metallosquare  $[\{ZnCl_2(\mathbf{L})\}_4]$ .<sup>34</sup>




**Fig. 6.** Comparison of the profiles of the (4,4) nets in (a)  $[\{Cd_2(NO_3)_4(\mathbf{8})_4\} \cdot CHCl_3 \cdot MeOH]_n$  with *trans*- $NO_3^-$  ligands and (b)  $[\{Cd(NO_3)_2(\mathbf{9})_2\} \cdot 2MeOH]_n$  with *cis*- $NO_3^-$  ligands. Nitrate ligands and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

It is tempting to think that an octahedral  $\{MX_2N_4\}$  metal centre ( $N$  = donor atom from a 4,2':6',4"-tpy ligand,  $X$  =

ancillary ligand) is predisposed to acting as a 4-connecting node only if the ancillary ligands are mutually *trans*. This is not the case. The reactions of cadmium(II) nitrate with **7**, **8** and **9** all lead to (4,4) nets, but in  $[\{Cd_2(NO_3)_4(\mathbf{7})_4\} \cdot 3CHCl_3]_n$  and  $[\{Cd_2(NO_3)_4(\mathbf{8})_4\} \cdot CHCl_3 \cdot MeOH]_n$ , the nitrate ligands are *trans*, while in  $[\{Cd(NO_3)_2(\mathbf{9})_2\} \cdot 2MeOH]_n$ , they are *cis*. Fig. 6 illustrates views through part of a sheet in each of  $[\{Cd_2(NO_3)_4(\mathbf{8})_4\} \cdot CHCl_3 \cdot MeOH]_n$  and  $[\{Cd(NO_3)_2(\mathbf{9})_2\} \cdot 2MeOH]_n$  showing how *trans/cis* isomerisation at Cd affects the profile of the net; the profile of the sheet in  $[\{Cd_2(NO_3)_4(\mathbf{7})_4\} \cdot 3CHCl_3]_n$  mimics that in  $[\{Cd_2(NO_3)_4(\mathbf{8})_4\} \cdot CHCl_3 \cdot MeOH]_n$  (Fig. 6a).

The structural similarities between the (4,4) nets in  $[CdX_2(\mathbf{3})_2]_n$  ( $X$  =  $NO_3$ , Br, I) and  $[CoCl_2(\mathbf{3})_2]_n$ ,<sup>28</sup> demonstrate the use of cobalt(II) as an alternative to cadmium(II) for increasing the dimensionality of architectures involving 4,2':6',4"-tpy linkers. The (4,4) nets in  $[\{2Co(NCS)_2(\mathbf{2})_2 \cdot 5H_2O\}]_n$ <sup>35</sup> and  $[\{Cd(NO_3)_2(\mathbf{2})_2 \cdot MeOH \cdot CHCl_3\}]_n$ <sup>27</sup> mimic one another, and reaction of  $Co(NCS)_2$  with **12** (Scheme 5) produces a (4,4) net with a 'ball-and-socket' packing of adjacent sheets involving  $CH_{tert-Bu} \cdots N_{pyridine}$  interactions.<sup>36</sup> The latter is an interesting example in which a change in solvate causes a switch in packing of the (4,4) nets. In  $[\{4Co(NCS)_2(\mathbf{12})_2 \cdot MeOH\} \cdot H_2O]_n$ , the sheets are equally spaced (Fig. 7a) while in  $[\{Co(NCS)_2(\mathbf{12})_2\} \cdot 0.5H_2O]_n$ ,<sup>37</sup> adjacent sheets have alternating close and wide spacings (Fig. 7b).




**Fig. 7.** Comparison of the packing of sheets in (a)  $[\{4Co(NCS)_2(\mathbf{12})_2 \cdot MeOH\} \cdot H_2O]_n$  (CSD refcode FAKRIU),<sup>36</sup> and (b)  $[\{Co(NCS)_2(\mathbf{12})_2\} \cdot 0.5H_2O]_n$ .<sup>37</sup>

### Expanding dimensionality using ditopic bis(4,2':6',4"-tpy) and bis(3,2':6',3"-tpy) ligands


The tool-kit of the supramolecular chemist is made richer by the use of multitopic ligands, i.e. 'tying together' metal-binding domains in preorganized arrays. Ligands containing multiple 2,2':6',2"-tpy metal-binding sites are invaluable for accessing a

range of exciting supramolecular architectures.<sup>38</sup> In contrast, ligands with multiple 4,2':6',4"- or 3,2':6',3"-tpy are still little investigated. In 2013, Yoshida *et al.* demonstrated the potential for such ditopic ligands in reactions of **13** or **14** (Scheme 6) with bis(3-cyanopentane-2,4-dionato)cobalt(II).<sup>39</sup> Ligands **13** and **14** are both 4-connecting, and the combination of bis(3-cyanopentane-2,4-dionato)cobalt(II) with **13** gives 2-dimensional nets that are triply interpenetrating.



Scheme 6. Structures of the isomeric ligands **13** and **14**.<sup>39</sup>

The rather poor solubilities of bis(4,2':6',4"-tpy) ligands with phenylene spacers can be rectified by introducing solubilizing alkyl chains. This turns out to have an added advantage: the octoxy tails in ligand **15** (Scheme 7) direct the assembly of 2D→2D parallel interpenetrated sheets in  $[\{Zn_2Cl_4(\mathbf{15})\} \cdot 4H_2O]_n$  and  $[Zn_2Br_4(\mathbf{15})]_n$ .<sup>40,41</sup> The interpenetration is lost when the long alkoxy chains are replaced by methoxy groups in  $[\{Zn_2Br_4(\mathbf{16})\} \cdot 2C_6H_4Cl_2]_n$  and  $[\{Zn_2I_4(\mathbf{16})\} \cdot 2.3C_6H_4Cl_2]_n$  (see Scheme 7 for ligand **16**). All four coordination polymers consist of similar (4,4) nets in which the ligand (**15** or **16**) behaves as a planar, 4-connecting node. This is illustrated in Fig. 8 for  $[\{Zn_2Br_4(\mathbf{16})\} \cdot 2C_6H_4Cl_2]_n$ ; the centroid of each phenylene ring in **16** (i.e. the 4-connecting node in the network) is shown in red in Fig. 8, emphasizing that the zinc centres do not play a role in defining the connectivity of the network. Each sheet in  $[\{Zn_2Cl_4(\mathbf{15})\} \cdot 4H_2O]_n$ ,  $[Zn_2Br_4(\mathbf{15})]_n$ ,  $[\{Zn_2Br_4(\mathbf{16})\} \cdot 2C_6H_4Cl_2]_n$  and  $[\{Zn_2I_4(\mathbf{16})\} \cdot 2.3C_6H_4Cl_2]_n$  has a corrugated profile (Fig. 8b). In the coordination networks with ligand **16**, the MeO groups point above and below the sheet, and sheets nest together with inter-sheet face-to-face  $\pi$ -stacking interactions.<sup>41</sup> In contrast, in  $[\{Zn_2Cl_4(\mathbf{15})\} \cdot 4H_2O]_n$  and  $[Zn_2Br_4(\mathbf{15})]_n$ , the octoxy chains (in extended conformations) run through the plane of the sheet and play a role in guiding the 2D→2D parallel interpenetration<sup>40</sup> shown in the TOPOS<sup>32</sup> representation in Fig. 9.



Scheme 7. Structures of the ditopic bis(4,2':6',4"-tpy) ligands **15** and **16**.




Fig. 8. (a) Part of one (4,4) sheet in  $[\{Zn_2Br_4(\mathbf{16})\} \cdot 2C_6H_4Cl_2]_n$  with a TOPOS representation of the net overlaid on the structure. (b) Side view of the net showing the corrugated profile. The centroid of central phenylene ring in **16** is shown in red (4-connecting node), and Zn atoms in green.<sup>41</sup>






Fig. 9. 2D→2D parallel interpenetration of (4,4) nets in  $[\{Zn_2Cl_4(\mathbf{15})\} \cdot 4H_2O]_n$  and  $[Zn_2Br_4(\mathbf{15})]_n$ .<sup>40,41</sup>

In the examples above, the connectivity of the 2-dimensional networks is entirely governed by the ditopic ligand, and this is clearly seen in Fig. 8a. In contrast, the reaction of 1,4-bis(*n*-octoxy)-2,5-bis(3,2':6',3"-terpyridin-4'-yl)benzene, **17**, with  $\text{Co}(\text{NCS})_2$  leads to a 3-dimensional network in which both ligand and cobalt atom act as 4-connecting nodes. Effectively, this combines the strategy of 'going for higher coordination numbers' described in the previous section, with the approach of using multiple metal-binding domains introduced above. The rotational freedom of ligands **15** and **16**, and of their 3,2':6',3"-analogues exemplified by **17**, allows them to act as 4-connecting nodes on a path that lies between the limits of planar to approximately tetrahedral (Scheme 8).  $[\text{Co}(\text{NCS})_2(\mathbf{17})\cdot 4\text{CHCl}_3]_n$  adopts a 3-dimensional  $\{4^2.8^4\}$  lvt net (Fig. 10) in which the Co atom (with *trans*-thiocyanato ligands) is a planar 4-connecting node, and ligand **17** is an approximately tetrahedral 4-connecting node. The paucity of  $\{4^2.8^4\}$  lvt nets among MOFs makes  $[\text{Co}(\text{NCS})_2(\mathbf{17})\cdot 4\text{CHCl}_3]_n$  a particularly noteworthy assembly.<sup>42</sup>



**Scheme 8.** Bis(4,2':6',4'')- or bis(3,2':6',3'')-tpy) ligands **15** and **17** as planar or  $\approx$ tetrahedral 4-connecting nodes. Either ligand could adopt either node-geometry.



**Fig. 10.**  $[\text{Co}(\text{NCS})_2(\mathbf{17})\cdot 4\text{CHCl}_3]_n$ : (a) superimposition of the structure of part of the assembly with a TOPOS<sup>32</sup> representation, looking down the *b*-axis; the octyl chains and H atoms are omitted for clarity; (b) the  $\{4^2.8^4\}$  lvt net with Co nodes in pink and ligand nodes (centroid of the phenylene ring) in blue.

## Conclusions

The design of 2- and 3-dimensional networks in which the linkers are divergent isomers of terpyridine is a rapidly expanding area of research. Ultimate goals include MOFs for gas adsorption, and photo- or redox-active networks. This *Highlight* has demonstrated approaches to encourage the formation of 2- and 3-dimensional architectures, thus moving away from the 1-dimensional chains that pervaded earlier investigations of the coordination chemistry of 4,2':6',4"-tpy. Functionalization in the tpy 4'-position with, for example, pyridyl, pyrimidyl or carboxylate donors is an approach widely adopted. However, the ligand is not necessarily coordinately saturated (the central pyridine ring of the tpy domain being ignored in this definition of 'saturated') and the addition of co-ligands also complicates the design 'plan'. Our own strategies are two-fold: (i) to increase the coordination number of the metal centre and retain potentially two-coordinate, divergent 4,2':6',4"- or 3,2':6',3"-tpy linkers, and (ii) to design ligands than contain multiple 4,2':6',4"- or 3,2':6',3"-tpy domains. The latter strategy has proved to be particularly successful, and one that is ripe for further development.

## Acknowledgements

The Swiss National Science Foundation, the European Research Council (Advanced Grant 267816 LiLo) and the University of Basel are acknowledged for financial support for our work in this area; my dedicated coworkers in this work are acknowledged in the cited references. My grateful thanks go to my husband, Edwin Constable, for our endless discussions.

## Notes and references

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, Spitalstrasse 51, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland. Email: [catherine.housecroft@unibas.ch](mailto:catherine.housecroft@unibas.ch)

- 1 E. C. Constable, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **252**, 842.
- 2 F. Kröhnke, *Synthesis*, 1976, 1.
- 3 J. Wang and G. S. Hanan, *Synlett*, 2005, 1251.
- 4 F. H. Allen, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 2002, **B58**, 380.
- 5 I. J. Bruno, J. C. Cole, P. R. Edgington, M. Kessler, C. F. Macrae, P. McCabe, J. Pearson and R. Taylor, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 2002, **B58**, 389.
- 6 M. Barquín, J. Cancela, M. J. González Garmendia, J. Quintanilla and U. Amador, *Polyhedron*, 1998, **17**, 2373.



- 7 C. E. Housecroft, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 6594.
- 8 See for example: E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, J. A. Zampese and G. Zhang, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 6864; E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, S. Vujovic and J. A. Zampese, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 328; E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, A. Prescimone, S. Vujovic and J. A. Zampese, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **6**, 8691.
- 9 Y. M. Klein, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, J. A. Zampese and A. Crochet, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 9915.
- 10 B. Therrien, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, 2011, **696**, 637 and references therein.
- 11 See for example: Y. M. Klein, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft and J. A. Zampese, *Polyhedron*, 2014, **81**, 98; B.-C. Wang, Q.-R. Wu, H.-M. Hu, X.-L. Chen, Z.-H. Yang, Y.-Q. Shangguan, M.-L. Yang and G.-L. Xue, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 485; E. C. Constable, G. Zhang, C. E. Housecroft and J. A. Zampese, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 6864; J. Song, B.-C. Wang, H.-M. Hu, L. Gou, Q.-R. Wu, X.-L. Yang, Y.-Q. Shangguan, F.-X. Dong and G.-L. Xue, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2011, **366**, 134.
- 12 J. Granifo, R. Gaviño, E. Freire and R. Baggio, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2014, **1063**, 102.
- 13 Y.-Q. Chen, G.-R. Li, Z. Chang, Y.-K. Qu, Y.-H. Zhang and X.-H. Bu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 3678.
- 14 C. Liu, Y.-B. Ding, X.-H. Shi, D. Zhang, M.-H. Hu, Y.-G. Yin and D. Li, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, **9**, 1275.
- 15 V. N. Dorofeeva, S. V. Kolotilov, M. A. Kiskin, R. A. Polunin, Z. V. Dobrokhotova, O. Cador, S. Golhen, L. Ouahab, I. L. Eremenko, V. M. Novotortsev, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 5006.
- 16 S. A. Sotnik, R. A. Polunin, M. A. Kiskin, A. M. Kirillov, V. N. Dorofeeva, K. S. Gavrilenko, I. L. Eremenko, V. M. Novotortsev and S. V. Kolotilov, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 5169.
- 17 H. Wang, J. Xu, D.-S. Zhang, Q. Chen, R.-M. Wen, Z. Chang and X.-H. Bu
- 18 See for example: J. Yang, S.-W. Yan, X. Wang, D.-R. Xiao, H.-Y. Zhang, X.-L. Chi, J.-L. Zhang and E. Wang, *Inorg. Chem. Comm.*, 2013, **38**, 100; Y. Gong, M. M. Zhang, P. Zhang, H. F. Shi, P. G. Jiang and J. H. Lin, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 9882.
- 19 M.-S. Wang, M.-X. Li, X. He, M. Shao and Z.-X. Wang, *Inorg. Chem. Comm.*, 2014, **42**, 38.
- 20 L. Wen, X. Ke, L. Qiu, Y. Zou, L. Zhou, J. Zhao and D. Li, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2012, **12**, 4083.
- 21 C. Niu, A. Ning, C. Feng, X. Wan and C. Kou, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym.*, 2012, **22**, 519.
- 22 F. Yuan, R. An, H.-M. Hu, S.-S. Shen, X. Wang, M.-L. Yang and G. Xue, *Inorg. Chem. Comm.*, 2015, **56**, 1.
- 23 J. Yang, J. Liu, X. Wang, X. Chi, J. Zhang, H. Zhang, D. Xiao and Q. Luo, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 10435.
- 24 B. Xu, J. Xie, H.-M. Hu, X.-L. Yang, F.-X. Dong, M.-L. Yang and G.-L. Xue, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, **14**, 1629.
- 25 See for example: F. Yuan, J. Xie, H.-M. Hu, C.-M. Yuan, B. Xu, M.-L. Yang, F.-X. Dong and G.-L. Xue, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 1460; Y. Li, Z. Ju, B. Wu and D. Yuan, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, **13**, 4125; F. Yuan, S.-S. Shen, H.-M. Hu, R. An, X. Wang, Z. Chang and G. Xue, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **430**, 17 and references therein.
- 26 B. Liu, L. Hou, W.-P. Wu, A.-N. Dou and Y.-Y. Wang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 4423.
- 27 E. C. Constable, G. Zhang, C. E. Housecroft, M. Neuburger and J. A. Zampese, *CrystEngComm*, 2009, **11**, 2279.
- 28 U. P. Singh, S. Narang, P. Pachfule and R. Banerjee, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 5012.
- 29 Y. M. Klein, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft and A. Prescimone, *Inorg. Chem. Comm.*, 2014, **49**, 41.
- 30 Y. M. Klein, A. Prescimone, E. C. Constable and C. E. Housecroft, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, doi: 10.1039/c5ce01115a.
- 31 E. C. Constable, G. Zhang, C. E. Housecroft, M. Neuburger and J. A. Zampese, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 3733.  
V. A. Blatov, A. P. Shevchenko, TOPOS Professional v. 4.0, Samara State University, Russia.
- 32 E. C. Constable, E. L. Dunphy, C. E. Housecroft, W. Kylberg, M. Neuburger, S. Schaffner, E. R. Schofield and C.B. Smith, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, **12**, 4600 and references therein.
- 33 E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, A. Prescimone, S. Vujovic and J. A. Zampese, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 8691.
- 34 E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, M. Neuburger, S. Vujovic, J. A. Zampese and G. Zhang, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 3554.
- 35 E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, P. Kopecky, M. Neuburger, J. A. Zampese and G. Zhang, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 446.
- 36 Y. M. Klein, A. Prescimone, E. C. Constable and C. E. Housecroft, *Polyhedron*, 2015, submitted.
- 37 See for example: E. C. Constable, A. M. W. Cargill Thompson, P. Harveson, L. Macko and M. Zehnder, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1995, **1**, 360; E. C. Constable, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 246; E. C. Constable, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **252**, 842; A. Wild, A. Winter, F. Schluetter and U. S. Schubert, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 1459; Y. Yan and J. Huang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 1072; E. C. Constable, *Chimia*, 2013, **67**, 388; D. Rajwar, X. Liu, Z. B. Lim, S. J. Cho, S. Chen, J. M. H. Thomas, A. Trewin, Y. M. Lam, T. C. Sum and A. C. Grimsdale, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 17680.

- 
- 39 J. Yoshida, S.-I. Nishikiori and H. Yuge, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 2013, **66**, 2191.
- 40 E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, S. Vujovic and J. A. Zampese, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 3494.
- 41 S. Vujovic, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, C. D. Morris, M. Neuburger and A. Prescimone, *Polyhedron*, 2015, **92**, 77.
- 42 Y. M. Klein, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft and A. Prescimone, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, **17**, 2070.