CrystEngComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

CrystEngComm

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Dinuclear organogold(I) complexes bearing uracil moieties: chirality of Au(I)-Au(I) axis and selfassembling

Yuki Sakamoto^a, Toshiyuki Moriuchi^{*a} and Toshikazu Hirao^{*a,b}

24

The conjugation of the dinuclear organogold(I) complexes with a bridging diphosphine ligand as an organometallic compound and the uracil derivative as a nucleobase was demonstrated to afford the bioorganometallic conjugates. The single-crystal X-ray structure determination of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugates revealed the assembly properties of the gold(I) and the uracil moieties in a solid state. The crystal structure of $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos) (U6 = 6-ethynyl-1-octyluracil) with Xantphos as a bridging diphosphine ligand revealed an intramolecular aurophilic Au(I)-Au(I) interaction. R- and Senantiomers based on Au(I)-Au(I) axis are exist in the unit cell, which are connected alternately to form the hydrogen-bonded assembly through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the uracil moieties. In the case of the dinuclear organogold(I) complex $(U5Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos) (U5 = 5-ethynyl-1-octyluracil), both enantiomers were found to form homochiral RR and SS dimers, respectively, through the π - π interaction between 5-ethynyl-uracil moieties. In the crystal packing each dimers are assembled alternately to form the hydrogen-bonded assembly through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the uracil moieties. As expected, the utilization of (R)-BINAP as a bridging diphosphine ligand with axial chirality was performed to induce the chirality of Au(I)-Au(I) axis. The crystal structure of the dinuclear organogold(I) complex with (R)-BINAP (U6Au)₂(µ-R-BINAP) confirmed the axial chirality in Au(I)-Au(I) axis to form R,R-enantiomer, wherein each molecule is arranged through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the uracil moieties to form a helical molecular arrangement.

Introduction

14

25 Gold(I) alkynyl compounds have attracted much attention in a₆ 2 variety of areas such as luminescent materials and₇ 3 metallodrugs.¹ A number of gold(I) alkynyl complexes are₈ 4 characterized to possess the luminescent properties, which, 5 show long emission lifetimes and emissive excited states₀ derived from alkynyl moieties.² Several gold(I) alkynyl₁ complexes are also reported to exhibit cytotoxicity for cancer₂ cells.³ Gold(I) complexes are known to aggregate through₃ d¹⁰-d¹⁰ closed shell aurophilic bonding interaction, which plays₄ 10 an important role in aggregated structures and physicals 11 properties.⁴ The emission properties of the gold(I) complexes₆ 12 are influenced by the aurophilic interaction, which often 13 37

38 ^a Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering₁₀ 15 16 Osaka University, Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. Fax: +81-6-6879-7415;Tel: +81-6-6879-7413;E-mail? 17 41 moriuchi@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp, hirao@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp. 18 ^b JST, ACT-C, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan 42 19 CCDC reference number 1033826 for (U6Au)₂(µ-Xantphos)₄₃ 20 1033825 for (U5Au)₂(µ-Xantphos) and 1033824 for (U6Au)₂(µ-R₁₄ 21 BINAP). For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see 22 DOI: 10.1039/b00000x/ 23

expresses specific emission properties. Some gold(I) complexes show mechanochromic luminescence by the switching of the aurophilic interaction through mechanical stimuli such as grinding in a solid state.⁵ The design of aggregation in the gold(I) complexes is important for control of the aurophilic interaction. The dinuclear gold(I) complex with a bridging ligand is considered to be a convenient approach for the rearrangement of the Au(I) centers. In particular, the semirigid bridging diphosphine ligand is expected to arrange Au(I) centers in the same side of the ligand and facilitate induction of the aurophilic interaction.⁶ On the other hand, biomolecules such as nucleobases, peptide, and sugars play the important role in the formation of the highly-organized structures like DNA, proteins, and enzymes. The utilization of non-covalent bonds is a convenient strategy for construction of the designed assembly structure. The complementary hydrogen bond of nucleobases is regarded as a powerful tool for building up of various selfassembly systems based on its directionality and specificity.⁷ The reversibility and tunability of hydrogen bonding also plays an important factor for the chemical and/or physical properties of molecular assemblies.8 The combination of functional organometallic compounds with biomolecules such as

RSCPublishing

CrystEngComm

24

25

> 31 32

> 33

34

35 36 37

45

46

47

48

49

50 51

58

59

60

61 62 63

64

65

66

nucleobases and peptides envisioned afford₄ is to 1 bioorganometallic compound.9 We have already reported these 2 emergence of emission based on metallophilic interaction of the 3 aggregated structures of the organoplatinum(II)-uracil and7 4 organogold(I)-guanine conjugates.¹⁰ From these points of view₃₈ 5 we herein designed and synthesized the dinucleary 6 organogold(I)-uracil conjugates with the bridging diphosphine ligands in order to control the arrangement of Au(I) centers and self-assembly properties of the uracil moieties in a crystal2 9 structure. 23 10

Results and discussion

12 Xantphos and (*R*)-BINAP were focused on as the bridging 13 diphosphine ligand. The advantage in the use of Xantphos and (R)-BINAP depends on their semirigid backbone to arrange the phosphorus atoms on the same side. The dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugates with the bridging diphosphine ligands were designed by the introduction of Xantphos and (R)-BINAP to induce an intramolecular aurophilic Au(I)-Au(I) interaction. The dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugates $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos), $(U5Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos) and $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -**R-BINAP**) were prepared by the reaction of 6-ethynyl-1octyluracil (1) or 5-ethynyl-1-octyluracil (2) with $(ClAu)_2(\mu$ diphosphine) (diphosphine = Xantphos or (R)-BINAP), which were obtained by the treatment of chloro(tetrahydrothiophene)gold(I) [ClAu(tht)] with the



CrystEngComm Accepted Manuscrip

Journal Name

corresponding diphosphine in situ, in the presence of sodium⁹ bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (NaN(SiMe₃)₂) (Scheme 1). Thus₂₀ 2 obtained dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugates were fully characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, ³¹P NMR, IR, HRMS₂ 4 and elemental analysis. In the ¹H NMR spectra, the signal for₃ the ethynyl proton disappeared and the upfield shift of the4 uracil proton was observed after the introduction of the Au(I)s center. The ³¹P NMR spectra of (U6Au)₂(µ-Xantphos)₃₆ (U5Au)₂(*µ*-Xantphos) and (U6Au)₂(*µ*-R-BINAP) showed only₇ one kind of resonance at around 30 ppm in CD₂Cl₂, indicatings 10 that two phosphorus atoms of the dinuclear organogold(I)29 11 uracil conjugates are equivalent in NMR time scale. 12 30

X-ray crystallographic analyses were performed in order to1
clarify the coordination environment of Au(I) centers and self₃₂
assembly properties of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracila
conjugates (Table 1). Diffraction-quality single crystals of4
(U6Au)₂(μ-Xantphos) and (U5Au)₂(μ-Xantphos) were growns
by diffusion of methanol into dichloromethane solution of6

 $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos) and diffusion of hexane into dichloromethane solution of $(U5Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos). The dinuclear structure of (U6Au)₂(µ-Xantphos) composed of 6ethynyl-1-octyluracil was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray structure determination (Fig. 1). Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 2. The crystal structure revealed a linear coordination geometry of the Au(I) centers bridged by the Xantphos ligand. It should be noted that an intramolecular aurophilic Au(I)-Au(I) interaction was observed with Au(1)-Au(2) distance of 2.9994(8) Å. The semirigid xanthene backbone was found to play an important role in the arrangement of the phosphorus atoms on the same side to induce intramolecular Au(I)-Au(I)interaction. The conformational enantiomers based on the torsional twist about the Au(I)-Au(I) axis are possible in the dinuclear gold(I)-uracil conjugates as depicted in Fig 2. The dinuclear organogold(I) complex (U6Au)₂(*µ*-Xantphos) crystallized in the space group P-1 with R- and S- enantiomers based on the Au(I)-Au(I) axis



CrystEngComm

Fig. 1 (a) Molecular structures of the *R*- and *S*-enantiomers, (b) the heterochiral hydrogen-bonded assembly through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the uracil moieties of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugates (U6Au)₂(*µ*-Xantphos) and (c) a portion of the crystal structure showing two types of the hydrogen bonding pattern in the heterochiral hydrogen-bonded assembly (hydrogen atoms and octyl moieties are omitted for clarity).

70

71

72 73 Crystallographic date for (II6Au) ("Yantabas) (II6Au) ("Yantabas) and (II6Au) (" P PINAP)

Table 1.

1 2 3 4

10 11

28

29 3(

	(U6Au) ₂ (μ-Xantphos)	(U5Au) ₂ (<i>µ</i> -Xantphos)	(U6Au) ₂ (<i>µ-R</i> -BINAP
Empirical formula	C ₆₇ H ₇₀ N ₄ O ₅ P ₂ Au ₂ •	C ₆₇ H ₇₀ N ₄ O ₅ P ₂ Au ₂ •	C ₇₂ H ₇₀ N ₄ O ₄ P ₂ Au ₂ •
Empirical formula	$CH_2CI_2 \bullet CH_3OH$	CH ₂ Cl ₂	CHCI ₃
Formula weight	1584.17	1552.13	1630.63
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1 (No. 2)	C2/c (No. 15)	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁ (No. 19)
э (Å)	10.6798(6)	30.3688(18)	11.0678(16)
b (Å)	14.2920(7)	26.6704(15)	23.228(4)
c (Å)	22.3083(13)	21.7986(12)	27.246(4)
α (°)	97.4663(16)		
β(°)	90.7906(16)	109.0564(16)	
ν(°)	91.1556(14)		
V (Å ³)	3375.0(3)	16688.2(16)	7004.5(18)
Z	2	8	4
D _{calcd} (g cm⁻³)	1.559	1.235	1.546
<i>u</i> (Mo Kα) (cm ⁻¹)	45.360	36.672	44.086
<i>T</i> (°C)	4.0	4.0	-150
λ (Mo Kα) (Å)	0.71075	0.71075	0.71075
R1 ^a	0.083	0.054	0.078
wR2 ^b	0.232	0.179	0.211

Table 2.

Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for (U6Au)₂(µ-Xantphos), (U5Au)₂(µ-Xantphos) and (U6Au)₂(µ-R-BINAP)

	(U6Au) ₂ (μ-Xantphos)	(U5Au) ₂ (μ-Xantphos)	(U6Au) ₂ (<i>µ-R</i> -BINAP)
Bond distances			
Au(1)–Au(2)	2.9994(8)	2.9286(5)	3.0021(9)
Au(1)–P(1)	2.283(4)	2.281(3)	2.281(4)
Au(2)–P(2)	2.275(3)	2.253(4)	2.290(4)
Au(1)–C(14)	2.009(14)	1.976(10)	2.066(14)
Au(2)–C(54)	2.002(17)	1.926(11)	2.003(17)
C(13)–C(14)	1.16(2)	1.230(13)	1.14(2)
C(53)–C(54)	1.18(2)	1.188(17)	1.16(2)
Bond angles			
P(1)–Au(1)–C(14)	168.1(4)	166.9(3)	172.2(5)
P(2)–Au(2)–C(54)	174.5(5)	176.1(3)	176.5(4)
Au(1)–C(14)–C(13)	180.0(13)	175.1(11)	175.8(14)
Au(2)–C(54)–C(53)	173.1(16)	170.5(8)	175.6(14)
C(14)-C(13)-C(4)	173.3(17)		168.7(18)
C(54)-C(53)-C(44)	173(2)		175.3(18)
C(14)–C(13)–C(3)		171.6(13)	
C(54)-C(53)-C(43)		175.9(10)	

in the unit cell (Fig 1a). The Au(I)-Au(I) interaction was found7 50 to induce the deviation from the linearity of the coordinations 51 structures of the Au centers with P-Au-C angles of 168.1(4)39 52 and 174.5(5)°. The torsion angle of P(1)-Au(1)-Au(2)-P(2) of 53 82° indicates that the P-Au-C moieties are almost perpendicular 54 to each other. Furthermore, each enantiomer is connected₂ 55 alternately to form the heterochiral hydrogen-bonded assembly₃ 56

through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the uracil moieties (Fig. 1b and Table 3). There are two types of the hydrogen bonding pattern in the heterochiral hydrogen-bonded assembly, wherein one uracil moiety is connected by the hydrogen-bonded bridges of methanol solvent molecules and another uracil moiety is hydrogen-bonded to the uracil moiety of another molecule directly (Fig. 1c).





Fig. 2 Enantiomorphous conformations of the dinuclear organogold (1)₂₈ uracil conjugates with the bridging diphosphine ligands. The enantiomorphs are related by the mirror plane.

Table 3.

Intermolecular hydrogen bonds for (U6Au)₂(μ-Xantphos), (U5Au)₂(μ-Xantphos) and (U6Au)₂(μ-R-BINAP)

Compound	Donor	Acceptor	D•••A (Å)	D–H•••A (°)
(U6Au) ₂ (μ-Xantphos)	O(4) ^a	O(2) ^b	2.73(2)	147(10)
	N(2)	O(4) ^a	2.78(2)	172(5)
	N(42)	O(41) ^c	2.798(15)	167(4)
(U5Au) ₂ (<i>µ</i> -Xantphos)	N(2)	O(42) ^d	2.816(13)	166(4)
	N(42)	O(1) ^e	2.924(13)	169(3)
(U6Au)₂(<i>μ-R</i> -BINAP)	N(2)	O(41) ^f	2.816(17)	158(4)
	N(42)	O(2) ^g	2.789(18)	176(4)

^a Oxygen atom of methanol. ^b -X+2, -Y+1, -Z+2. ^c -X+2, -Y+1, -Z+1. ^d X, -Y, Z+1/2. ^e X, -Y, Z+1/2-1. ^f -X+2, Y+1/2-1, -Z+1/2.

^g-X+2, Y+1/2, -Z+1/2.





67 68

69



Fig. 4 (a) Molecular structure of the R-enantiomer and (b) the nomochiral hydrogen-bonded assembly through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the uracil moleties of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugate (U6Au)₂(µ-R-BINAP) (hydrogen atoms and octyl moleties are omitted for clarity).

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

> An intramolecular aurophilic Au(I)-Au(I) interaction with₆ 22 Au(1)-Au(2) distance of 2.9286(5) Å in the crystal structure of 723 (U5Au)₂(µ-Xantphos) composed of 5-ethynyl-1-octyluracil₃₈ 24 wherein the direction of hydrogen bonding sites of the uracily 25 moieties is different from (U6Au)2(µ-Xantphos), was alsoo 26 confirmed by single-crystal X-ray structure determination (Fig61 27 3). R- and S-enantiomers based on Au(I)-Au(I) axis observed in2 28 (U5Au)₂(*u*-Xantphos) are present as depicted in Fig. 3a. The₃ 29 distortion of the linear coordination geometry of the Au centers4 30 based on the Au(I)-Au(I) interaction was also observed₆₅ 31 resulting in P-Au-C angles of 166.9(3)° and 176.1(3)° (Table 2)6 32 Compared with (U6Au)₂(µ-Xantphos), the P(1)-Au(1)-Au(2)₆₇ 33 P(2) torsion angle of 78.96(10)° was slightly small. The Au-G₈ 34 35 bond of $(U5Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos) was a little shorter than that of (U6Au)₂(*µ*-Xantphos). The position of the introduced ethyny¹⁰ 36 moiety of the uracil is likely to influence the electronic 37 environment of the Au centers. Interestingly, both enantiomer 38 form homochiral RR and SS dimers, respectively, through $\pi - \pi_2$ 39 interactions between the uracil moieties (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, 40 each homochiral π stacked dimer is connected alternately to 41 form the hydrogen-bonded assembly through intermolecular 42 hydrogen bonds between the uracil moieties (Fig. 3c and Table⁵ 43 3). Self-assembly patterns were found to depend on the d44 45 direction of hydrogen bonding sites.

> Based on the above-mentioned intriguing results, we^{7t} 46 embarked upon the chirality induction in Au(I)-Au(I) axis by 47 using the bridging diphosphine ligand with axial chirality. 48 Diffraction-quality single crystal of (U6Au)₂(µ-R-BINAP) was 49 grown by diffusion of hexane into chloroform solution of 50 (U6Au)₂(*µ*-*R*-BINAP). The dinuclear organogold(I)-uraci⁸ 51 conjugate (U6Au)₂(μ -R-BINAP) crystallized in the space⁸⁴ 52 group $P2_12_12_1$; the molecular structure shows an intramolecular 53 Au(I)-Au(I) interaction based on the aurophilic interaction (Fig 54 4). The deviation from the linear coordination structure of the $\frac{8}{3}$ 55

Au centers with P-Au-C angles of 172.2(5)° and 176.5(4)° based on the Au(I)-Au(I) interaction was also observed (Table 2). The crystal structure of $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -R-BINAP) showed the P(1)-Au(1)-Au(2)-P(2) torsion angle of 71.97(8)°, which is smaller than that of the gold(I)-uracil conjugates with Xantphos probably due to the difference for rigidity of the diphosphine frameworks. Gratifyingly, (U6Au)₂(μ -R-BINAP) adopts R,Rconfiguration through the chirality induction in Au(I)-Au(I) axis by the axial chirality of BINAP moiety as shown in Fig. 4a. Although Au(I)-Au(I) aurophilic interactions have been studied by using the bridging diphosphine ligand,⁶ to the best of our knowledge, the chirality induction in Au(I)-Au(I) axis has not been reported so far. In the crystal packing, each molecule is assembled through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the uracil moieties to form a helical molecular arrangement (Fig. 4b and Table 3).

Conclusions

In conclusion, the bioorganometallic compounds were designed and synthesized by the conjugation of the dinuclear organogold(I) complexes with a bridging diphosphine ligand as an organometallic compound and the uracil derivative as a nucleobase. The single-crystal X-ray structure determination of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugates was demonstrated to reveal the assembly properties of the gold(I) and the uracil moieties in a solid state. The semirigid bridging diphosphine ligand was found to play an important role in the arrangement of the phosphorus atoms on the same side to induce intramolecular aurophilic Au(I)-Au(I) interaction, wherein Rand S-enantiomers based on Au(I)-Au(I) axis exist. It is noteworthy that the chirality of Au(I)-Au(I) axis was induced by the utilization of (R)-BINAP as the bridging diphosphine ligand with axial chirality. Interesting feature of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugates is their strong tendency to self-

59

60

61

77

78

79

assemble through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the³
uracil moieties, wherein hydrogen bonding patterns were found4
to depend on the direction of hydrogen bonding sites. Furthers
investigation of the application and dynamic control of the⁶
assembly of the bioconjugates including functional materials
and catalysts is now in progress.

7 Experimetal

8 General Methods.

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and were further purified by the standard methods, if 10 necessary. All manipulations were carried out under Area 11 Infrared spectra were obtained with a JASCO FT/IR-62005 12 spectrometer. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on as 13 JNM-ECS 400 (400, 100 and 160 MHz, respectively) 14 spectrometer. For ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra, chemical shifts⁸ 15 were determined by using of tetramethylsilane and 85% H₃PO₄₉ 16 aq. as standard samples, respectively. Chemical shifts of ¹³Go 17 NMR spectra were determined relative to the solvent residual 18 peaks. Mass spectra were run on a JEOL JMS-700 mass² 19 spectrometer. 73 20

6-Ethynyl-1-octyluracil,¹¹ 5-ethynyl-1-octyluracil¹² and⁴
chloro(tetrahydrothiophene)gold(I) [ClAu(tht)]¹³ were prepared⁵
by the literature methods.

24 Synthesis of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugate 25 (U6Au)₂(μ-Xantphos)

A mixture of Xantphos (0.12 g, 0.21 mmol)⁸⁰ 26 chloro(tetrahydrothiophene)gold(I) (0.13 g, 0.41 mmol) and 6^{§1} 27 ethynyl-1-octyluracil (1) (0.10 g, 0.40 mmol) was stirred in³² 28 THF (20 mL) at room temperature for 10 minutes under Ar. Td³ 29 the solution was added sodium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (93 mg⁸⁴ 30 0.51 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at room⁸⁵ 31 temperature under Ar for 12 h. The mixture was diluted with 32 dichloromethane, washed with water, brine, and then dried over 33 Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was 34 washed with ethyl acetate. The crude product was purified by 35 recrystallization from dichloromethane and methanol to afford⁹ 36 desired dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugate the 37 (U6Au)₂(*µ*-Xantphos) (0.15 g, 0.10 mmol) as a colorless¹ 38 crystal. 92 39

(U6Au)₂(*µ*-Xantphos): yield 50%; IR (KBr) 3172, 3047₉₃ 40 2925, 2854, 2119, 1677, 1579, 1435, 1403, 1363, 1227 cm⁻¹94 41 ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 5.0 x 10⁻³ M): δ8.22 (br, 2H)₃₅ 42 7.69 (dd, 2H, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz), 7.48-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.34-7.23 (m₈₆) 43 16H), 7.11 (td, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz, ${}^{4}J_{H-P} = 1.2$ Hz), 6.48 (ddd, 2H, J_{7} 44 = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H-P}}$ = 12.1 Hz), 5.67 (s, 2H), 4.01 (t, 4H, J = 7.28 45 Hz), 1.69 (s, 6H), 1.67-1.60 (m, 4H), 1.29-1.12 (m, 20H), 0.81/9 46 (t, 6H, J = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 5.0 x 10⁻³/₁₀₀ 47 M): 163, 153 (d, ${}^{2}J_{c-p} = 4.0$ Hz), 151.3, 150.2 (d, ${}^{2}J_{c-p} = 140.9$ 48 Hz),140.8, 134.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{c-p} = 14.9$ Hz), 133.4, 132, 130, 129.8 (d $_{92}$ 49 ${}^{1J}_{J_{c-p}} = 51.8 \text{ Hz}$, 129.5 (d, ${}^{3}_{J_{c-p}} = 12 \text{ Hz}$), 124.9 (d, ${}^{3}_{J_{c-p}} = 9.b_3$ 50 Hz), 117 (d, ${}^{1}J_{c-p} = 52.2$ Hz), 104.8, 95.5 (d, ${}^{3}J_{c-p} = 26.8$ Hz)₉₄ 51 46.6, 35.1, 32.2, 31.6, 29.8, 29.6, 29.2, 27.1, 23, 14.2 ppm; ³¹P

NMR (160 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 5.0 x 10^{-3} M): 31.2 ppm; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $C_{67}H_{71}N_4O_5P_2Au_2$ (M+H⁺), 1467.4225; found, 1467.4215; Anal. Calcd. for $C_{67}H_{70}N_4O_5P_2Au_2$: C, 54.85; H, 4.81; N, 3.82. Found: C, 54.85; H, 4.94; N, 3.82.

Synthesis of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugate (U5Au)₂(*µ*-Xantphos)

A mixture of Xantphos (58 mg, 0.10 mmol), chloro(tetrahydrothiophene)gold(I) (64 mg, 0.20 mmol) and 5ethynyl-1-octyluracil (2) (50 mg, 0.20 mmol) was stirred in THF (10 mL) at room temperature for 10 minutes under Ar. To the solution was added sodium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (47 mg, 0.26 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature under Ar for 19 h. The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, washed with water, brine, and then dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and purification of the crude product by preparative thin-layer chromatography using dichloromethane/methanol (93:7 v/v) as mobile phase gave the desired dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugate $(U5Au)_2(\mu-Xantphos)$ (20 mg, 0.014 mmol). Recrystallization from dichloromethane and hexane produced a pale yellow crystal.

(U5Au)₂(μ -Xantphos): yield 14%; IR (KBr) 3178, 3053, 2925, 2854, 2116, 1682, 1435, 1403, 1343, 1221 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 5.0 x 10⁻³ M): δ 8.15 (br, 2H), 7.66 (d, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.45-7.41 (m, 6H), 7.36-7.27 (m, 16H), 7.09 (t, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz), 6.49 (dd, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, ² $J_{\text{H-P}} = 11.4$ Hz), 3.66 (t, 4H, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.69-1.60 (m, 10H), 1.33-1.22 (m, 20H), 0.87 (t, 6H, J = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 5.0 x 10⁻³ M): 162.8, 153.1 (d, ² $J_{\text{c-P}} = 2.0$ Hz), 151.1, 146.1, 137.5 (d, ² $J_{\text{c-P}} = 141.6$ Hz), 134.8 (d, ² $J_{\text{c-P}} = 14.5$ Hz), 133.3, 132, 131.5, 130.7(d, ¹ $J_{\text{c-P}} = 55.8$ Hz), 129.6, 129.3 (d, ³ $J_{\text{c-P}} = 11.4$ Hz), 124.6 (d, ³ $J_{\text{c-P}} = 8.1$ Hz) 117.8 (d, ¹ $J_{\text{c-P}} = 50.5$ Hz), 102.3, 94.6 (d, ³ $J_{\text{c-P}} = 28.6$ Hz), 49.1, 35, 32.1, 31.4, 29.5, 29.4, 26.7, 23, 14.2 ppm; ³¹P NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 5.0 x 10⁻³ M): 31.8 ppm; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for C₆₇H₇₁N₄O₅P₂Au₂ (M+H⁺), 1467.4225; found, 1467.4171.

Synthesis of the dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugate (U6Au)₂(µ-R-BINAP)

A mixture of (*R*)-BINAP (0.13 g, 0.21 mmol), chloro(tetrahydrothiophene)gold(I) (0.13 g, 0.41 mmol) and 6ethynyl-1-octyluracil (1) (99 mg, 0.40 mmol) was stirred in THF (20 mL) at room temperature for 20 minutes under Ar. To the solution was added sodium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (93 mg, 0.51 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature under Ar for 19 h. The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, washed with water, brine, and then dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and purification of the crude product by preparative thin-layer chromatography using dichloromethane/methanol (93:7 v/v) as mobile phase gave the desired dinuclear organogold(I)-uracil conjugate $(U6Au)_2(\mu - R - BINAP)$ (0.12 g, 0.079 mmol). Recrystallization from chloroform, diethyl ether and hexane produced a pale yellow crystal.

110

111

(U6Au)₂(*µ*-*R*-BINAP): yield 40%; IR (KBr) 3165, 3049₅₅ 2925, 2853, 2118, 1683, 1582, 1456, 1407, 1367 cm⁻¹; ¹H 2 NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 1.0 x 10^{-2} M): δ 8.69 (br, 2H), 8.1 l_7^{30} 3 (d, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.93 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.76-7.71 (m, 4H)₅₈ 4 7.59 (t, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H-P}} = 8.8$ Hz), 7.49-7.36 (m, 10H)59 5 7.25-7.17 (m, 8H), 6.87-6.83 (m, 2H), 6.60 (d, 2H, $J = 8.5 \text{ Hz})_{2}^{60}$ 5.65 (s, 2H), 4.01-3.90 (m, 4H), 1.68-1.60 (m, 4H), 1.34-1.14 7 (m, 20H), 0.83 (t, 6H, J = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz₆₃) 8 CD_2Cl_2 , 1.0 x 10⁻² M): 163.3, 152.1 (d, ${}^2J_{c-p} = 141.9$ Hz), 151.44 9 143.3 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{c-p} = 16$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{c-p} = 7.2$ Hz), 140.7 (d, ${}^{4}J_{c-p} = 2.6^{\circ}$ Hz), 135.3 (d, ${}^{2}J_{c-p} = 14$ Hz), 135, 134.8 (d, ${}^{2}J_{c-p} = 14.6$ Hz), 10 11 134.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{c-p} = 10$ Hz), 131.8, 130.5 (d, ${}^{3}J_{c-p} = 4.8$ Hz), 130.4 ${}^{7}_{68}$ (d, ${}^{1}J_{c-p} = 56.6$ Hz), 130.2 (d, ${}^{2}J_{c-p} = 8.4$ Hz), 129.5 (d, ${}^{3}J_{c-p} = {}^{69}_{69}$ 12 13 11.5 Hz), 129.3 (d, ${}^{3}J_{c-p} = 11.7$ Hz), 128.9 (d, ${}^{1}J_{c-p} = 56.6$ Hz)₃₀ 14 128.8, 128.6, 128.2 (d, ${}^{1}J_{c-p} = 56.2$ Hz), 127.4, 127.3, 105.1⁷¹ 93.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{c-p} = 25.9$ Hz), 46.6, 32.2, 29.8, 29.7, 29.1, 27.1, 23⁷² 14.2 ppm; ${}^{31}P$ NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 1.0 x 10⁻² M): 33.5⁷³₇₄ 15 16 17 ppm; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $C_{72}H_{71}N_4O_4P_2Au_2$ (M+H⁺)⁽⁻⁾₇₅</sup>18 1511.4276: found, 1511.4257; Anal. Calcd. for₆ 19 C₇₂H₇₀Au₂N₄O₄P₂·CHCl₃: C, 53.77; H, 4.39; N, 3.44. Found⁷⁷ 20 C, 53.78; H, 4.45; N, 3.42. 21

22 X-ray Structure Analysis

All measurements for $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos), $(U5Au)_2(\mu^{s_2})$ 23 **Xantphos)** and $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -R-BINAP) were made on a Rigaku⁸ 24 R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer using graphite monochromated 25 MoK α radiation. The structures of $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos), 26 $(U5Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos) and $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -R-BINAP) were solved₇ 27 by direct methods¹⁴ and expanded using Fourier techniques. Alla 28 calculations were performed using the CrystalStructure89 29 crystallographic software package¹⁵ except for the refinement⁹⁰₄. 30 which was performed using SHELXL-97.¹⁶ The non-hydrogen, 31 atoms were refined anisotropically. The H atoms involved in₃ 32 hydrogen bonding were located in electron density maps. The4 33 remainder of the H atoms were placed in idealized position⁸⁵ 34 and allowed to ride with the C atoms to which each was 35 bonded. Crystallographic details are given in Table 1. 36 Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the₉ 37 structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the 38 Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary 39 publication no. CCDC-1033826 for $(U6Au)_2(\mu$ -Xantphos)₄ 40 CCDC-1033825 for (U5Au)₂(µ-Xantphos) and CCDC₁₀₄ 41 1033824 for (U6Au)₂(µ-R-BINAP). Copies of the data can b_{@5} 42 obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union6 43 Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [Fax: (internat.) +44²⁷ 44 108 1223/336-033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk]. 45 109

46 Acknowledgements

This work was supported partly by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific 47 Research on Innovative Areas ("Coordination Programming" 48 Area 2107, No. 24108722) from the Ministry of Education 49 Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan, and the ACT₁₁₆ 50 C program of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST)₁₇ 51 Y. S. acknowledges a JSPS fellowship for young scientists₁₈ 52 Thanks are due to the Analytical Center, Graduate School of 53 Engineering, Osaka University. 54

Notes and references

- J. C. Lima and L. Rodríguez, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5442.
- a) D. Li, X. Hong, C. M. Che, W. C. Lo and S. M. Peng, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 1993, 2929; b) H. Xiao, Y. X. Weng, S. M. Peng and C. M. Che, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 1996, 3155; c) M. J. Irwin, J. J. Vittal and R. J. Puddephatt, *Organometallics* 1997, 16, 3541; d) C. M. Che, H. Y. Chan, V. M. Miskowski, Y. Li and K. K. Cheung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, 123, 4985.
- 3 a) E. Schuh, S. M. Valiahdi, M. A. Jakupec, B. K. Keppler, P. Chiba and F. Mohr, *Dalton Trans.* 2009, 10841; b) E. Vergara, E. Cerrada, A. Casini, O. Zava, M. Laguna and P. J. Dyson, *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 2596; c) A. Meyer, C. P. Bagowski, M. Kokoschka, M. Stefanopoulou, H. Alborzinia, S. Can, D. H. Vlecken, W. S. Sheldrick, S. Wölfl and I. Ott, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, 51, 8895; d) A. Meyer, A. Gutiérrez, I. Ott and L. Rodríguez, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2013, 398, 72.
- 4 a) P. Pyykkö, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 597; b) R. J. Puddephatt, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 216-217, 313; c) V. W. W. Yam and E. C. C. Cheng, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1806; d) M. J. Katz, K. Sakai and D. B. Leznoff, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1884; e) H. Schmidbaur and A. Schier, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 370.
- 5 a) Z. Assefa, M. A. Omary, B. G. McBurnett, A. A. Mohamed, H. H. Patterson, R. J. Staples and J. P. Fackler, *Inorg. Chem.* 2002, 41, 6274; b) H. Ito, T. Saito, N. Oshima, N. Kitamura, S. Ishizaka, Y. Hinatsu, M. Wakeshima, M. Kato, K. Tsuge and M. Sawamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130, 10044; c) M. Osawa, I. Kawata, S. Igawa, M. Hoshino, T. Fukunaga and D. Hashizume, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, 16, 12114; d) H. Ito, M. Muromoto, S. Kurenuma, S. Ishizaka, N. Kitamura, H. Sato and T. Seki, *Nat. Commun.* 2013, 4, 2009. e) T. Seki, K. Sakurada and H. Ito, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 12828.
- a) A. Pintado-Alba, H. de la Riva, M. Nieuhuyzen, D. Bautista, P. R. Raithby, H. A. Sparkes, S. J. Teat, J. M. Lopez-de-Luzuriaga and M. C. Lagunas, *Dalton Trans.* 2004, 3459; b) A. Deák, T. Megyes, G. Tárkány, P. Király, L. Biscók, G. Pálinkás and P. J. Stang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, 128, 12668; c) D. V. Partiyka, J. B. Undegraff III, M. Zeller, A. D. Hunter and T. G. Gray, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, 5388; d) D. V. Partiyka, T. S. Teets, M. Zeller, J. B. Undegraff III, A. D. Hunter and T. G. Gray, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, 18, 2100.
- 7 a) S. Sivakova and S. J. Rowan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2005, 34, 9; b) J. T. Davis and G. P. Spada, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007, 36, 296; c) J. L. Sessler, C. M. Lawrence and J. Jayawickramarajah, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007, 36, 314; d) K. Tanaka and M. Shionoya, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2007, 251, 2732; e) J. Müller, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 3749; f) S. Lena, S. Masiero, S. Pieraccini and G. P. Spada, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, 15, 7792; g) G. H. Clever and M. Shionoya, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2010, 254, 2391.
- a) M. M. Conn and J. Rebek, Jr., *Chem. Rev.* 1997, 97, 1647; b) E. A. Archer, H. Gong and M. J. Krische, *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 1139; c) L. J. Prins, D. N. Reinhoudt and P. Timmerman, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2001, 40, 2382.
- 9 a) G. Jaouen, A. Vessiéres and I. S. Butler, Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 361; b) R. Severin, R. Bergs and W. Beck, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1634; c) R. H. Fish and G. Jaouen, Organometallics 2003, 22, 2166; d) T. Moriuchi and T. Hirao, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 294; e) D. R. van Staveren and N. Metzler-Nolte, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 5931; f) H. Song, X. Li, Y. Long, G. Schatte and H.-B. Kraatz, Dalton Trans. 2006, 4696; g) W. Beck, Z. Naturforsch. B 2009, 64, 1221; h) A. Lataifeh, S. Beheshti and H.-B. Kraatz, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 3205; i) T. Moriuchi and T. Hirao, Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1040; j) G. Gasser, A. M. Sosniak and N. Metzler-Nolte, Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 7061; k) B. Adhikari, R. Afrasiabi and H.-B. Kraatz, Organometallics 2013, 32, 5899.
- 10 a) X. Meng, T. Moriuchi, M. Kawahata, K. Yamaguchi and T. Hirao, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, **47**, 4682; b) X. Meng, T. Moriuchi, Y. Sakamotoa, M. Kawahata, K. Yamaguchi and T. Hirao, *RSC Adv.* 2012, 4349; c)T. Moriuchi, Y. Sakamoto, S. Noguchi, T. Fujiwara, S. Akine, T. Nabeshima and T. Hirao, *Dalton Trans.* 2012, **41**, 8524.

2

3

5

Journal Name

- 11 T. Moriuchi, S. Noguchi, Y. Sakamoto and T. Hirao, J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 1089.
- 12 M. Takase and M. Inoueye, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1134.
- 13 A. S. K. Hashmi, T. Hengst, C. Lothschütz and F. Rominger, Adv. 4 Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1315.
- 14 A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. L. Cascarano, C. 6
- Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, A. G. G. Moliterni, G. Polidori and R. 7 Spagna, J. Appl. Cryst., 1999, 32, 115. 8
- 15 CrystalStructure 4.0: Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Rigaku 9
- Corporation (2000-2010). Tokyo 196-8666, Japan. 10
- 16 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sec. A 2008, 64, 112. 11
- 12