ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm



ChemComm

COMMUNICATION

Cobalt PNC^{NHC} 'pincers': ligand dearomatisation, formation of dinuclear and N_2 complexes and promotion of C-H activation⁺

Thomas Simler,^a Pierre Braunstein^{*a} and Andreas A. Danopoulos^{*ab}

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Studies of ligand dearomatisation in cobalt phosphino-picoline *N*-heterocyclic carbene (PNC^{NHC})-type pincers have revealed the formation of dinuclear cobalt complexes by either side-arm metalation or C-H activation at the NHC backbone; in the latter case, the product complex, featuring an 'anionic dicarbene', originated from a dearomatised Co^I-N₂ complex.

The development of base-metal, notably iron¹ and cobalt,² homogeneous catalysts with improved properties and green credentials stimulates new insights into the underlying fundamental organometallic chemistry. Since 3d metals are prone to react via one-electron processes, concepts encompassing metal-ligand cooperation in catalysis, i.e. with redox-active or proton-responsive ligands, gain growing appeal.³ Thus, bis(arylimidazol-2-ylidene)pyridine ($C^{NHC}NC^{NHC}$) alkyl cobalt complexes have shown remarkable activity in hydrogenation,⁴ catalytic and coordinated bis-(phosphinomethyl)pyridine (PNP) is renowned for promoting metal-ligand cooperation with various metals through aromatisation-dearomatisation steps.⁵ Presently, there is no reported example that demonstrates cobalt-PNP cooperation in catalysis with pyridine-based ligands, although such steps are plausible,⁶ and have been established for aliphatic-PNP-Co complexes.⁷ However, dearomatised $Co^{1} PN_{a}P^{*}$ (N_a = anionic amido N donor, P* = vinyl P donor) complexes, including one with a dinitrogen coligand,⁸ have been recently studied in stoichiometric, metal-centred reactions.⁸⁻⁹

Tuning the metal-ligand synergism using structural tools opens new ways for catalyst discovery and development. In this context, it is conceivable that ligand dearomatisation may benefit from trifunctional donor sets that can modulate the α -

^{b.} Institute for Advanced Study (USIAS), Université de Strasbourg, France.

E-mail: braunstein@unistra.fr, danopoulos@unistra.fr

methylene protons acidity, provide precise stereoelectronic tuning of the metal and may exhibit hemilability.¹⁰ Accordingly, the phosphino-picoline-NHC (PNC^{NHC})¹¹ donor set may extend the growing versatility of the pincer ligand family.

Herein, we present novel reactivity that we ascribe to the ease of PNC^{NHC} dearomatisation (Scheme 1): (a) the ^{Cy}PNC^{NHC} deprotonation/dearomatisation and Co^{III} coordination of ^{Cy}P*N_aC^{NHC} brought about by Co-N aminolysis of the [Co{N(SiMe₃)₂}₂] precursor; (b) a competing bridging coordination mode of the dearomatised (^{Cy}P*N_aC^{NHC}) leading to dinuclear species; (c) a Co^I(^{Cy}P*N_aC^{NHC}) moiety weakly binding N₂, and (d) the activation of a C-H bond at the backbone of the NHC heterocycle, which is accompanied by rearomatisation of the pincer skeleton.

Aminolysis of $[Co{N(SiMe_3)_2}_2]^{12}$ with imidazolium salts has been employed as a selective and facile route to access NHC- $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes. 13 However, this aminolysis with precursors to the ^{Cy}PNC^{NHC} pincer is sensitive to their exact nature and the experimental conditions. Thus, the reaction of $(^{Cy}PNC^{im})Br \cdot HBr^{11}$ with $[Co{N(SiMe_3)_2}_2]$ (Scheme 1, (i)) afforded a brown air-sensitive solid in low yield as the only identified product. Its X-ray structure (Fig. 1) revealed the formation of the unexpected dinuclear Co^{II} complex $[Co_2(^{Cy}PNC^{NHC})Br_3]$ ·THF (1.THF), by abstraction of three protons from the pro-ligand, rather than of the anticipated mononuclear $[Co(^{Cy}PNC^{NHC})Br_2]$ pincer complex. The structure of **1** features a central Co^{\parallel} (Co1) in a slightly distorted square pyramidal environment ($\tau \cong$ 0.19),¹⁴ the basal donors being the ($\kappa P, \kappa N, \kappa C^{NHC}$) pincer and a terminal bromide, and the apical donor being the μ_2 -Br. The coordination geometry at the lateral Co^{II} (Co2) is distorted tetrahedral with one sp³-hybridised α -C benzylic donor, one bridging and one terminal bromides, and one THF ligand. The Co2-C21 bond distance of 2.116(8) Å is at the high end of the Co-alkyl bonds reported.¹⁵ The aromatic nature of the picoline ring was confirmed from the relevant bond distances (See Table S2 for comparison of bond distances of the complexes reported herein).

^{a.} Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination, Institut de Chimie (UMR 7177 CNRS), Université de Strasbourg, 4 rue Blaise Pascal, 67081 Strasbourg Cedex, France.

⁺ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, characterisation details and X-ray crystallographic data. CCDC 1440378-1440381 for **1**·THF - **4**. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF see DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION



 $\label{eq:scheme1} Scheme \ \textbf{1} \ Synthetic \ routes \ to \ access \ the \ cobalt(II) \ complexes \ \textbf{1} \ and \ \textbf{2}.$

Complex 1 is paramagnetic; the value of μ_{eff} = 4.8±0.2 μ_{B} in THF (Evans' method), supports some antiferromagnetic coupling between two high-spin Co^{II} centres (see ESI).

To gain insight into the formation of **1**, the aminolysis of $[Co{N(SiMe_3)_2}_2]$ with the phosphine-picoline-imidazolium bromide (^{Cy}PNC^{Im})Br (Scheme 1, (ii)) was studied, which led to good yields of the air-sensitive, dark-red, paramagnetic ($\mu_{eff} = 2.1\pm0.2 \ \mu_B$ Evans' method, consistent with low spin d^7 Co^{II}) $[Co(^{Cy}P*N_aC^{NHC})Br]$ (**2**). Its structure (Fig. 2) revealed a Co^{II} centre in a nearly square planar environment, chelated by the ($\kappa P,\kappa N,\kappa C^{NHC}$) anionic ^{Cy}P*N_aC^{NHC}. All relevant bond distances are in support of a dearomatised picoline structure, which is to be contrasted to the aromatic backbone in **1** (Table S2).

The formation of **2** can be rationalised by the deprotonation of both the azolium NCHN and the α -CH₂P of (^{Cy}PNC^{im})Br. Plausible pre-coordination of Br⁻ (azolium counterion),^{13b} and/or of P-, N-neutral donors may amplify the basicity of the co-coordinated base N(SiMe₃)₂, enhance the α -CH₂P acidity, and bring the relevant reactive centres in close proximity. The stabilisation of the ensuing ^{Cy}P*N_aC^{NHC} anion and the increased negative charge at the α -CHP in **2** are well described by the mesomeric forms in Scheme 1. Complex **2** was also directly obtained by transmetalation of K(P*N_aC^{NHC})¹¹ with [CoBr₂(THF)₂] (Scheme 1, (iii)).



Fig. 1 The structure of 1 with thermal ellipsoids at 40% probability (the C atoms of the Cy and DiPP rings are depicted as spheres). H atoms are omitted except the α -CH (C21).



Fig. 2 The structure of 2 with thermal ellipsoids at 40% probability (the C atoms of the Cy and DiPP rings are depicted as spheres). H atoms are omitted except the α -CH (C21).

In view of the facile formation of **2**, the occurrence of **1** can also be rationalised by the rapid trapping by **2** of the CoBr₂ formed *in situ* at the initial stages of the aminolysis. This was verified by the independent rational synthesis of **1**, treating a THF solution of crude **2** with a THF solution of $[CoBr_2(THF)_2]$ (Scheme **1**, (iv)). The brown solid isolated showed an identical NMR signature to **1**. Pincer side-arm metalation has so far been described at the α -CHP of PNP in $[Cr(^{Ph}PN^{Ph}P)Cl_3]$ (by Al alkyls) and of PCP in $[Pt(^{CF3}PC^{CF3}P)Cl]$ (by Pt^{II});¹⁶ side-arm metalations of coordinated bidentate phosphino-picolines have been described in rare cases.¹⁷

The two routes leading to **2** offer versatile complementary alternatives to access dearomatised pincer complexes, in particular if the use of strong, non-selective external alkyllithium bases to deprotonate the ligand scaffold needs to be avoided (*e.g.* with 3d metals).^{9,13a,18}

Reduction of ${\bf 2}$ with stoichiometric amount of KC_8 led to different Co^l complexes depending on the reaction conditions (Scheme 2). Upon addition of KC₈, a fast colour change from red-brown to dark blue-green was observed. When the reaction was carried out at -40 °C in N2-saturated solvents followed by evaporation of the volatiles in a stream of N₂, the crystalline, dark blue diamagnetic air-sensitive [Co(^{Cy}P*N_aC^{NHC})(N₂)] (**3**) was isolated and characterised spectroscopically (multinuclear NMR, see ESI) and crystallographically (Fig. 3).



Scheme 2 Reduction of 2 with KC_8 (i) in $\mathsf{N}_2\text{-saturated solvents;}$ (ii) under $\mathsf{N}_2\text{-depleted}$ conditions (see text).

This journal is C The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

ChemComm

Page 2 of 4

2 | J. Name., 2012, **00**, 1-3



Fig. 3 The structure of 3 with thermal ellipsoids at 40% probability (the C atoms of the Cy and DiPP rings are depicted as spheres). H atoms are omitted except the α -CH (C21).

The structure of **3** comprises a Co centre in a square planar environment constituted by the monoanionic ($\kappa P, \kappa N, \kappa C^{NHC}$) pincer and a terminal N_2 (κN). The dearomatised ligand structure was undoubtedly inferred from the metrical data, which are comparable to those observed in 2 (cf. Table S2). Compared to the related $[Co(^{tBu}P*N_a^{tBu}P)(N_2)]$,⁸ the Co-N4 bond (1.789(3) Å) is 0.05 Å longer and the N4-N5 separation (0.987(3) Å) is ca. 0.14 Å shorter, revealing reduced backdonation from the $Co(^{Cy}P*N_aC^{NHC})$ fragment and no 'structural activation' of the coordinated N=N. However, the N=N separation, shorter than in free N_2 (1.098 Å), is perplexing in view of the expected π -backbonding¹⁹ from the electron-rich Co¹ centre. Such short distances have been reported and rationalised as crystallographic artefact or minor disorder that could not be modelled.²⁰ We cannot overtly apply any of these explanations to the current system. Despite the 'anomaly' in the metrical data of the coordinated N_2 , the v(N \equiv N) in the IR spectrum at 2057 $\mbox{cm}^{\mbox{-}1}$ confirms N_2 coordination and backbonding. Surprisingly, this value is higher (by 36 cm⁻¹) than the corresponding $v(N \equiv N)$ in $[Co(^{tBu}P^*N_a^{tBu}P)(N_2)]$,⁸ in apparent contradiction with the better σ -donor ability of NHC vs. PR₃.²¹ Similar observations have been reported with Fe⁰ complexes and explained by competing backbonding involving the Fe-N_{pvr} antibonding orbital.^{20b} Noteworthy, **3** was stable for several weeks at room temperature and under N₂ atmosphere both in the solid state and in C₆D₆ solution, contrasting with the easy decomposition of related complexes.²² However, heating under vacuum led to intractable thermolysis products. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one precedent of Co-N₂ pincer complex with NHC donors.⁴

Reduction of **2** with KC₈ at room temperature yielded a second product which was isolated after *evaporation of the volatiles under vacuum* and crystallisation from toluene. Crystallographic characterisation revealed it to be the dinuclear complex $[Co\{^{CY}P*N_aC^{NHC}(Co[^{CY}PNC^{NHC}])\}(N_2)]$ (**4**), which comprises two subunits with chelated Co¹ centres in distorted square planar coordination geometries (Fig. 4). The metrical data for the $Co\{^{CY}P*N_aC^{NHC}\}(N_2)$ ($\kappa P, \kappa N, \kappa C^{NHC}, \kappa N_2$) subunit are very similar to those observed in **3**. The second subunit, $Co[^{CY}PNC^{NHC}](\kappa P, \kappa N, \kappa C^{NHC})$, features a pincer



COMMUNICATION

Fig. 4 The structure of **4** with thermal ellipsoids at 40% probability (the C atoms of the Cy and DiPP rings are depicted as spheres). H atoms except the α -CH and C atoms of the Cy and DiPP of the upper ligand bar those attached to P and N have been omitted.

coordination with a 're-aromatised' skeleton and an sp³hybridised α -CH₂P (C53-C54=1.483(7) Å, C53-C54-P2 =110.6(3)°). The NHC plane is tilted by 7.9° relative to that of the pyridine. Interestingly, the C34-Co2 bond distance (1.760(5) Å is the shortest C^{NHC}-Co distance reported.¹⁵ The amido-cobalt N3-Co1 bond is slightly shorter than the corresponding neutral pyridine-cobalt N8-Co2 (1.904(3) and 1.918(4) Å, respectively). Examination of the ³¹P{¹H}-NMR spectrum of **4** revealed singlets at δ 48.3 and 42.3. Noteworthy, no anomalous chemical shift ($\delta \gtrsim$ 10 ppm) was observed in the ¹H-NMR spectrum, ruling out redox noninnocence (*i.e.* not a Co^{II} centre engaged in an antiferromagnetic coupling with a ligand radical anion).⁴ The α -CH₂P as well as the alkyl DiPP protons are diastereotopic owing to hindered rotation around the N8-Co2-C3 bond.

The di-metalated NHC ring can be viewed as 'ditopic carbanionic carbene' (anionic 'dicarbene' NHDC) coordinated through the 'normal' and the 'abnormal' position.²³ This constitutes the first example of a 'dicarbene' complex of cobalt and only the second occurrence of 'abnormal' Co-NHC coordination.²⁴ NHDC have been generated by deprotonation/ metalation²⁵ or chemical reduction of NHC ligands²⁶ but Rupromoted C-H activation²⁷ and Pd-mediated C-H and C-I activation²⁸ have also been described. Although a detailed mechanism for the formation of **4** requires further investigation, the concomitant re-aromatisation of the picoline moiety of the second subunit points to C-H activation *via* metal-ligand cooperation under N₂-depleted conditions.



Fig. 5 η^2 -Ethylene-like interaction described for an Ir¹-Ir^{III} C^{NHC}NC^{NHC} pincer complex (left)²⁹ and postulated intermediate for the formation of **4** (right).

COMMUNICATION

Noteworthy, C-H activation on pincer ligands has been described upon reduction under Ar of related $[Fe(C^{NHC}NC^{NHC})Br_2]^{20b}$ and aliphatic-PNP-Co¹ complexes.²² It is plausible that formation of **4** could be preceded by initial η^2 -ethylene-like interaction between the unsaturated NHC backbone and the Co¹, analogous to the coordination mode described in an Ir^1 - Ir^{III} C^{NHC}NC^{NHC} pincer analogue (Fig. 5).²⁹ However, homolytic pathways leading to **4** cannot be excluded.

In conclusion, the dearomatisation of the PNC^{NHC} in **2** and **3** is crucial for the formation of the dinuclear complexes, either through metalation at the nucleophilic α -CHP side-arm or by C-H activation of the NHC backbone, the latter presumably being facilitated by metal-ligand cooperation. In view of the increasing involvement and importance of dearomatised complexes in catalysis, the reactivity reported here is of broader relevance.

The USIAS, CNRS, Unistra, Région Alsace and Communauté Urbaine de Strasbourg are acknowledged for the award of fellowships and a Gutenberg Excellence Chair (2010–11) to AAD. We thank the CNRS and the MESR (Paris) for funding and for a PhD grant to TS. We are grateful to Corinne Bailly and Dr. Lydia Karmazin (Service de Radiocristallographie, Unistra) for the determination of the crystal structures.

References

- (a) B. D. Sherry and A. Fürstner, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 1500;
 (b) K. Junge, K. Schröder and M. Beller, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 4849;
 (c) K. Riener, S. Haslinger, A. Raba, M. P. Högerl, M. Cokoja, W. A. Herrmann and F. E. Kühn, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 5215;
 (d) A. Boudier, P.-A. R. Breuil, L. Magna, H. Olivier-Bourbigou and P. Braunstein, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 1398;
 (e) T. Zell and D. Milstein, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 1979;
 (f) L. C. Misal Castro, H. Li, J.-B. Sortais and C. Darcel, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 2283;
 (g) I. Bauer and H.-J. Knölker, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 3170.
- 2 (a) G. Zhang, B. L. Scott and S. K. Hanson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 12102; (b) L. Zhang, Z. Zuo, X. Leng and Z. Huang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 2696; (c) P. J. Chirik, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 1687; (d) S. Rösler, J. Obenauf and R. Kempe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 7998.
- 3 (a) R. H. Crabtree, New J. Chem., 2011, **35**, 18; (b) J. I. van der Vlugt, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2012, 363; (c) S. Blanchard, E. Derat, M. Desage-El Murr, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria and V. Mouriès-Mansuy, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2012, 376.
- R. P. Yu, J. M. Darmon, C. Milsmann, G. W. Margulieux, S. C. E. Stieber, S. DeBeer and P. J. Chirik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 13168.
- 5 (a) J. I. van der Vlugt and J. N. H. Reek, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 8832; (b) J. R. Khusnutdinova and D. Milstein, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 12236.
- 6 A. Mukherjee, D. Srimani, S. Chakraborty, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, **137**, 8888.
- 7 G. Zhang, K. V. Vasudevan, B. L. Scott and S. K. Hanson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8668.
- 8 S. P. Semproni, C. Milsmann and P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 9211.
- 9 E. Khaskin, Y. Diskin-Posner, L. Weiner, G. Leitus and D. Milstein, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 2771.

- 10 (a) P. Braunstein and F. Naud, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001,
 40, 680; (b) M. Asay and D. Morales-Morales, Dalton Trans.,
 2015, 44, 17432.
- 11 T. Simler, A. A. Danopoulos and P. Braunstein, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 10699.
- 12 A. M. Bryan, G. J. Long, F. Grandjean and P. P. Power, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 12152.
- (a) A. A. Danopoulos, J. A. Wright, W. B. Motherwell and S. Ellwood, *Organometallics*, 2004, 23, 4807; (b) A. A. Danopoulos, P. Braunstein, N. Stylianides and M. Wesolek, *Organometallics*, 2011, 30, 6514.
- 14 A. W. Addison, T. N. Rao, J. Reedijk, J. van Rijn and G. C. Verschoor, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 1349.
- 15 The Cambridge Structural Database, accessed Dec. 2015: F. Allen, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 2002, **58**, 380.
- 16 (a) J. J. Adams, N. Arulsamy and D. M. Roddick, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 1148; (b) A. Alzamly, S. Gambarotta and I. Korobkov, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 7204.
- 17 (a) A. Murso and D. Stalke, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 2563; (b) I. Objartel, N. A. Pott, M. John and D. Stalke, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 5670.
- 18 (a) T. M. Kooistra, Q. Knijnenburg, J. M. M. Smits, A. D. Horton, P. H. M. Budzelaar and A. W. Gal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 4719; (b) A. R. Fout, F. Basuli, H. Fan, J. Tomaszewski, J. C. Huffman, M.-H. Baik and D. J. Mindiola, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3291.
- (a) M. D. Fryzuk and S. A. Johnson, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000,
 200–202, 379; (b) N. Hazari, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, 39, 4044.
- 20 (a) J. E. Salt, G. S. Girolami, G. Wilkinson, M. Motevalli, M. Thornton-Pett and M. B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 685; (b) A. A. Danopoulos, D. Pugh, H. Smith and J. Saßmannshausen, Chem. Eur. J., 2009, 15, 5491; (c) D. Zhu, I. Thapa, I. Korobkov, S. Gambarotta and P. H. M. Budzelaar, Inorg. Chem., 2011, 50, 9879.
- 21 (a) J. C. Green, R. G. Scurr, P. L. Arnold and F. G. N. Cloke, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1963; (b) R. Tonner, G. Heydenrych and G. Frenking, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2007, 2, 1555; (c) F. E. Hahn and M. C. Jahnke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 3122.
- 22 S. S. Rozenel, R. Padilla and J. Arnold, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 52, 11544.
- 23 J. B. Waters and J. M. Goicoechea, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2015, 293–294, 80.
- 24 B. M. Day, K. Pal, T. Pugh, J. Tuck and R. A. Layfield, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 10578.
- 25 (a) Y. Wang, Y. Xie, M. Y. Abraham, P. Wei, H. F. Schaefer, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, 132, 14370; (b) D. R. Armstrong, S. E. Baillie, V. L. Blair, N. G. Chabloz, J. Diez, J. Garcia-Alvarez, A. R. Kennedy, S. D. Robertson and E. Hevia, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 4259; (c) M. J. Bitzer, A. Pöthig, C. Jandl, F. E. Kühn and W. Baratta, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 11686.
- 26 (a) P. L. Arnold and S. T. Liddle, *Organometallics*, 2006, 25, 1485; (b) R. A. Musgrave, R. S. P. Turbervill, M. Irwin and J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, 51, 10832.
- 27 C. E. Ellul, M. F. Mahon, O. Saker and M. K. Whittlesey, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 6343.
- 28 (a) U. J. Scheele, S. Dechert and F. Meyer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 5112; (b) A. Krüger, E. Kluser, H. Müller-Bunz, A. Neels and M. Albrecht, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 1394.
- 29 A. A. Danopoulos, D. Pugh and J. A. Wright, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 9765.