ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Journal Name



COMMUNICATION

'Quasi-isostructural polymorphism' in molecular crystals:Inputs from interaction hierarchy and energy frameworks

DhananjayDey^a, Sajesh P. Thomas^b, Mark A. Spackman^b and Deepak Chopra^{a*}

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

The polymorphs of (Z)-2-fluoro-N'-phenyl benzamidamide with multiple Z' producequasi-isostructural supramolecular architectures, wherein C-H...F interaction plays a significant role. The energy framework analysis indicates 2D structural similarities in the interaction topologies of these crystalline forms. The results point to a unique class of 'quasi-isostructuralpolymorphs' which are nearly equi-energetic crystal structures exhibiting high degrees of similarity in physical properties.

Polymorphism¹ due to presence of different number of symmetryindependent molecules in the asymmetric unit is of importance in the study of crystal structures and also in the area of crystal engineering ²⁻⁴. Thermodynamic and kinetic factors are very important during the nucleation and crystal growth as such factors influence the final outcome of crystallization events leading to the formation of different morphologies with different molecular arrangements⁵. Polymorphic structures in different crystalline environments can be compared on the basis of differences/similarities which exist in molecular conformation, packing arrangements and formation of different hydrogen bond motifs⁶. Desiraju and co-workers have reported the polymorphs of pentafluorophenol and trans-1,4-bis (phenylethyl) cyclohexane-1,4-diol⁷. The polymorphic forms of liquid pentafluorophenol were obtained from in situ cryocrystallization⁸⁻⁹ techniques with different kinetic conditions. Form I (space group: $P2_1/c$ and Z' = 1) was stabilized via O-H···O hydrogen bonded chains and form II (space group: Cc and Z' = 3) was stabilized via O-H...O hydrogen bonded chains between the three symmetry-independent molecules. Nangia and co-workers further reported the existence of four polymorphs and the nineteen

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx





Figure 1.*ORTEP* of two polymorphic forms drawn with 50% ellipsoidal probability FormI andII shows the asymmetric unit containing Z' = 2 and Z' = 3 respectively.

he	two	polymorphic	forms	of	(Z)-2-fluoro-N'-
					(_)

Т

^a Crystallography and Crystal Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, Bhopal By-Pass Road, Bhauri, Bhopal-462066, Madhya Pradesh, India.Email: <u>dchopra@iiserb.ac.in</u>; Fax: +91 755 6692392

^b School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia. Email: <u>mark.spackman@uwa.udu.au</u>; Fax: +61 8 6488 7330

<code>+Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)</code> available. CCDC: 982074, 1016402. Details of the experimental, computational methods, topological analysis, characterization technique: Single crystal X-ray diffraction, DSC, HSM, Powder X-Ray Diffraction, ¹H-NMR and FTIR Spectrum, CSD search. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x</code>

Journal Name

Page 2 of 4

COMMUNICATION

phenylbenzamidamide were obtained from room temperature crystallization of the synthesized compound (Fig.S1-S2 and Scheme S1) in hexane and benzene solvents respectively. Both Form I and II crystallizes in



Figure 2.Crystal packing of Form I viewed down the (011) plane showing the alternate sheets (containing C-H^{...}N dimer and C-H^{...}F dimer) of molecules B, interconnected *via* strong N-H^{...}N **AB** chains along *a* direction.

The triclinic crystal system with P-1 space group having two and three symmetry independent molecules (Z') in the asymmetric unit. The molecular conformations in the two forms are slightly different (See ESI, Table S1-S2 and Fig. S3). In case of Form I, two symmetry independent molecules present in the asymmetric unit are represented with red and olive colour codes for molecules A and B respectively (Fig.1). Fig.2 shows the overall arrangement of the molecules in the crystal packing down the (011) plane. The crystal structure consists of layers of molecules of type B interacting viaC-H...N dimer and C-H...F dimer respectively along the crystallographic b axis. Two such consecutive sheets were interconnected via strong N-H...N H-bonds forming chains of AB molecules along the crystallographic a direction. Two nearest N-H…N chains (the perpendicular distance is approximately $\sim 4.2 \text{\AA})$ were connected via N-H···F and C-F··· π intermolecular interactions between the molecules A and C-H…N and C-H… π interactions between the molecules Brespectively. The next N-H…N sheet which comes at a perpendicular distance ~ 9.7 Å along the *b* direction was connected with C-H^{...}F dimer (between the molecules B) and $\pi^{...}\pi$ interactions (between the molecules A).



Figure 3. Crystal packing in Form II viewed down the (101) plane depicting the formation of different intermolecular interactions. Formation of alternate pair of sheets (involving molecules A and B) interconnected *via* strong N-H···N A(olive)···B(purple)···C(red) chains along the crystallographic *c* direction.

In case of Form II, three symmetry independent molecules present in the asymmetric unit were denoted with different colour codes; olive (A), purple (B) and red (C) colour (Fig.1 and Fig.3). Fig.3 shows the overall molecular arrangement of the three symmetry independent molecules in the crystal down the (101) plane. In this case, along the b direction two parallel N-H...N chains (containing molecules A, B and C) were connected through the alternate pair of sheets down the ab plane (involving molecules A and C) associated with C-H.F. dimers and C-H...N dimers respectively. The distance between the nearest N-H…N chains is approximately ~ 3.7 Å. Between the two pairs of molecular sheets (involving molecules A and C), the molecules **B** interact with each other via weak C-F^{...} π and π ^{... π} intermolecular interactions. There exist differences in the formation of different intermolecular interactions involving organic fluorine with respect to the packing between the different layers of molecules. This is highlighted with a red arrow in Fig.3. In Form II, there is a formation of parallel C-H…F dimer whereas in Form I C-H…F interactions exist across thelayers (shown in Fig.2). The striking similarity in the crystal packing of these polymorphs also results in very similar thermal stability and spectral features. The thermal stabilities have been investigated via DSC and HSM measurements (Fig.4 and Fig.S4). The melting point of Form I and II are 92.7°C and 92.5°C respectively. The corresponding crystal densities are 1.30 and 1.32 g/cm³. The FT-IR spectra also exhibit nearly identical features for these polymorphs (Fig.S5). The experimental PXRD patterns of these polymorphs exhibit remarkable differences in the low angle region, on accountof the subtle variations which exist in the crystal packing (Fig.5andFig.S6-S9).



Figure 4: DSC traces @ 1°C/min for formsI and II.



Figure 5.Experimental and calculated powder patterns of formI and II.

Journal Name

Further, the similarity/dissimilarity in these crystal structures have been quantitatively examined using Xpac analysis¹⁴. The dissimilarity index of 10.3 obtained for these polymorphic pairs (Fig.S10) shows that the degree of similarity in thesepolymorphic pairs are comparable to those found in isostructural polymorphic pairs of 6-Hydroxy-4,4,5,7,8-pentamethyl-3,4dihydrocoumarin¹⁵,and related chemical analogues that exhibit isostructurality¹⁶. This points to the possibility that quasi-isostructural polymorphism could be a more general phenomenon albeit underexplored in a quantitative and rigorous manner in terms of underlying intermolecular interactions.

The fact that the various physical characterizations show no explicit differences between the two polymorphs, suggest that these 'quasiisostructuralpolymorphs' might be representing two very close points in the crystal structure landscape. Hence, in order to evaluate the energetics associated with various intermolecular interactions in the crystal packing, calculations using PIXEL was performed. Table S3 and Table S4 list the PIXEL resultsfor the different molecular pairs (Fig.S11 and Fig.S12) extracted from the packing in form I and form II respectively. A comparison of stabilization energies (PIXEL) of most important equivalent dimer motifs between form I and II unambiguously highlights this structural similarity (**Fig.6**).



Figure6.Comparison of dimericenergies between two equivalent motifs of FormsI and II.

Further, to visualize and differentiate the supramolecular architecture in terms of energetics for these two polymorphic forms, the calculations corresponding to the existence ofenergy frameworkshave been performed using *CrystalExplorer*¹⁷. The obtained values of interaction energies can be used to construct the three dimensional topology of interactions which are termed as energy frameworks¹⁸. Recently we have shown that the energy frameworks not only represent the 3D-topology of the predominant interactions but also correlate well with the observed mechanical properties of crystals such as shearing and bending properties¹⁸. In addition, energy frameworks have also been shown to highlight the role of intermolecular interactions in liquids¹⁹ and the robustness of interactions in a drug which are reluctant to form co-crystals²⁰. In the present case of quasi-isostructural polymorphs, the energy frameworks were computed for a systematic comparison of the interaction topologies and their visualization. The analysis shows (Fig.S13 and Table S5; Fig.S14 and Table S6) a remarkable similarity in the topologies corresponding to the energy frameworks in FormsI and II. Energy framework of Form I exhibits a 2D

network of strongly bound molecules perpendicular to the c axis (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). This feature is also found in Form II where a very similar 2Dmolecular networkextends in a plane perpendicular



Figure 7.Energy frameworks corresponding to the electrostatic, dispersion and net interaction energy components in form I.



Figure 8.Energy frameworks corresponding to the electrostatic, dispersion and net interaction energy components in form II.

tob axis (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8). Similarly, energy framework of Form I viewed down aaxis is quite similar to that of Form II viewed down c axis, and vice versa. Interestingly, both electrostatic and dispersion energyframeworks also exhibit such a similarity between Form I and II. These remarkable similarities observed in the energy frameworks imply a nearly isoenergetic and quasi-isostructural packing in these crystal forms. This feature can be further seen in an overlay of 2D molecular assembly (between Fig.S15 and Fig.S16) in both Forms as given in Fig.S17.Both the forms have similar types of molecular motifs, as isshown in Scheme S2. Form the overall molecular arrangements in the two polymorphic Forms I and II, the differences are clearly discernible from Fig. S18. This figure depicts the differences in crystal packing due to the presence of different symmetry independent molecules with different colour codes. In Form I, the distance (9.338Å) between the two sheets associated with C-H…F and C-H…N dimers (involving molecules B) is slightly lower than the distance (9.744Å) between two pair of sheets (involving molecules A and C). The position of the molecules A in Form **I** is invariant with respect to the position of molecules **B** in Form **II**.Furthermore, net interaction energies of a shell of nearest neighbouring molecules around each symmetry independent molecule in both the Forms were evaluated. The neighbour-shell interaction energies for residue I and residue II in Form I was found to be -119.5 and -111 kJ.mol⁻¹. Similarly, for residues I, II, and III in Form **II**, the corresponding values were found to be -102.7, -115 and -118.5kJ.mol⁻¹respectively. This brings out the quantitative differences in neighbour-shell interaction energy values between different molecular residues in both the polymorphs, despite the close similarity in the packing. Nevertheless, the average neighbourshell interaction energy values for both the polymorphs are very close (-115.3kJ.mol⁻¹ for Form **I** and -112.4kJ.mol⁻¹ for Form **II**).



Figure 9.Hirshfeld surfaces associated with the fingerprint plot of the two polymorphic forms. The spikes labelled with black (H \cdots H), pink (C \cdots H), green (N \cdots H) and red (F \cdots H) triangles depict the characteristic features of the fingerprint plots

Hirshfeld surface²¹ associated fingerprint plots²²⁻²³ for the individual molecules present in the asymmetric unit of Form I and II is depicted in Figure 9. Such plots represent the underlying differences that exist between the two polymorphs. In addition such plots also highlight the differences in crystal environment due to the existence of different symmetry-independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. For both the Forms, two very sharp spikes are observed and this depicts the formation of strong hydrogen bonds (N-H...N) labelled with the green triangle. The middle spike is associated with the F...H contacts labelled with the pink triangle. Figure 10 highlights the presence of two most important contacts N…H (6.7-8.2%) and F…H (7.1-17.9%) which reflect the pivotal contributions of such hydrogen bond in the formation of polymorphs. It is noteworthy that the F...H (14.9%) contribution for IB was significantly greater than IA (8.6%), the N···H contribution being similar for both the symmetryindependent molecules in case of Form I. In case of Form II, the contribution from the F...H contacts was more for IIA (17.9%) in comparison to the other two molecules present in the asymmetric unit (the values being 7.1% and 10% respectively). There was a significant contribution from H...H contacts, in the range of 43%-53% and C...H contacts (25%-28%) which contribute towards the crystal packing.



Page 4 of 4

Figure 10.Relative contribution of atom^{...}atom contacts in the crystal packing for the two polymorphic forms.

In summary, nearly isostructural and equi-energetic molecular arrangements in the two high Z' polymorphs of (Z)-2-fluoro-N'-phenylbenzamidamide results in the occurrence of the phenomenon of 'quasi-isostructural polymorphism'. The formation of such polymorphs at ambient conditions that exhibit remarkable similarity in thermal stabilities, densities, FT-IR spectra and powder diffraction profiles can have direct implications in the context of pharmaceutical polymorphs.Based on our analysis of the neighbour-shell interaction energies and energy frameworks, it may be conjectured that two quasi-isostructural polymorphs of a compound might exhibit very similar physical properties such as solubility and mechanical behaviour.

D. D. thanks IISER Bhopal for the research fellowship. D. C. and D. D. thank IISER Bhopal for the research facilities and infrastructure and DST-SERB for research funding. We thank all the reviewers for their suggestions/feedback on the manuscript.

Notes and references

- J. Bernstein, Polymorphism in Molecular Crystals. Oxford University Press. New York. 2002.
- D. A. Parrish, J. R. Deschamps, R. D.Gilardi and R. J. Butcher, Cryst. Growth Des., 2008, 8, 57.
- 3. R. Bishop and M. L. Scudder, Cryst. Growth Des., 2009, 9, 2890.
- 4. K. M. Steed and J. W. Steed, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 2895.
- 5. G. R. Desiraju, Science, 1997, 278, 404.
- 6. D. Dey and D. Chopra, CrystEngComm, 2015, 17, 5288.
- D. Das, R. Banerjee, R. Mondal, J. A. K Howard, R. Boesec and G. R. Desiraju, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 555.
- A. R. Choudhury, N. Winterton, A. Steiner, A. I. Cooper and K. A. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 16792–16793.
- 9. D. Chopra and T. N. Guru Row, J. Ind. Inst. Sci., 2007, 87, 167.
- V. S. S. Kumar, A.Addlagatta, A. Nangia, W. T. Robinson, C. K. Broder, R. Mondal, I. R. Evans, J. A. K.Howard and F. H. Allen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 3848.
- 11.K. Dziubek, M. Podsiadlo and A. Katrusiak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12620.
- S. J. Coles, T. L. Threlfall and G. J. Tizzard, Cryst. Growth Des., 2014, 14, 1623.
- 13.A. Kalman, S. Parkanyi and G. Argay, Acta Cryst., 1993, B49, 1039.
- 14. T. Gelbrich and M. B. Hursthouse, CrystEngComm, 2005, 7, 324.
- 15. L., Fabian and A. Kalman, Acta Cryst., 2004, B60, 547.
- T. Gelbrich, T. L. Threlfall and M. B. Hursthouse, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, 14, 5454.
- M. J. Turner, S. Grabowsky, D. Jayatilaka and M. A. Spackman, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2014, 5, 4249.
- M. J. Turner, S. P. Thomas, M. W. Shi, D. Jayatilaka and M. A. Spackman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 3735.
- S. P. Thomas, R. Sathishkumar and T. N. Guru Row, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 14225.
- S. P. Thomas, D. Jayatilaka and T. N. Guru Row, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, 17, 25411.
- 21. M. A. Spackman and D. Jayatilaka, CrystEngComm, 2009, 11, 19.
- 22. M. A. Spackman and J. J. Mckinnon, CrystEngComm, 2002, 4, 378.
- J. J. Mckinnon, D. Jayatilaka and M. A. Spackman, Chem. Commun., 2007, 3814.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

J. Name., 2015, 00, 1-3 | 4