

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

ARTICLE

Bioinspired multi-block molecules

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Takahiro Muraoka,^{a,b} and Kazushi Kinbara^aReceived 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Multiblock motifs occur in proteins such as silk, elastin and ion channels. These motifs are advantageous to develop the characteristic mechanical properties through formation of segregated intermolecular assemblies, and utilized to construct cylindrical channels in a membrane by folding and assembly with intra- or intermolecular interactions. As nature shows such elegant examples of multiblock molecules exerting sophisticated functions, synthetic multiblock molecules and copolymers have gained increasing attention as emerging structural motifs for realizing unique properties and functions. Recent notable examples of synthetic multiblock molecules and copolymers are highlighted, where linear molecules not only undergo folding elaborately but also form controlled and compartmentalized self-assemblies to realize characteristic functions in solution, crystalline state, and membranous media.

Introduction

Repetitive motifs are commonly observed in functional biomacromolecules like proteins. Collagen, the main structural protein of connective tissues, is known to comprise repeating sequences of glycine-X-Y, where X and Y often correspond to proline and hydroxyproline, respectively.^{1,2} These repeating structure allows the molecules to fold into a triple helix so as to form robust elongated fibrils. Proteins including repeating domains, namely those with multiblock structures, also show characteristic functions. Elastin, a protein having an elastic property, is composed of two alternating domains; highly flexible domains rich in hydrophobic amino acids such as glycine and proline, and cross-linking domains rich in lysine and alanine.¹⁻³ The multiblock alternating structure allows aggregation of the mobile hydrophobic domains, which is encouraged by the covalent cross-linking via lysine residues. Similar molecular structures are found in silk proteins possessing excellent mechanical properties.^{2,4} Silk proteins commonly contain well-oriented crystalline domains, whose typical sequences involve (glycine-alanine-glycine-alanine-glycine-serine)_n and (alanine)_n, and disordered domains rich in amino acids with bulky side groups. The crystalline domains form intra- and intermolecular assemblies surrounded by the buffering disordered domains, to realize the characteristic mechanical properties. In addition to those proteins with characteristic mechanical properties, some transmembrane proteins also possess multiblock molecular structures, which function as ion channels and signal transmitters.⁵ Conformational study of these transmembrane proteins revealed that they generally consist of alternating extramembranous and intramembranous domains, which contain hydrophilic and

hydrophobic amino acid residues in abundance, respectively. The iterative multi-block molecular structure leads to a folded conformation with a multipass transmembrane (MTM) structure in a lipid bilayer, thereby controlling ion transportation and signal transduction.

Such multiblock structures seen in nature have stimulated the design of functional synthetic polymers. Typical and successful examples are block copolymers that consist of covalently linked contiguous blocks of chemically heterogeneous and incompatible components. The simplest block copolymers comprising two components, namely AB-type diblock copolymers, have fascinated chemists especially in terms of the formation of nano- and micrometer scale regulated structures, such as cylindrical and spherical micelles, and lamellar structures. Such well-defined molecular and supramolecular architectures have been utilized for conductive materials, drug delivery carriers, and so on.⁶⁻¹²

One of the important applications of the AB-type diblock copolymers is directed self-assembly (DSA) that combines a lithographical patterning of a substrate with self-assembly of polymers to realize fine patterns with high resolution.¹³⁻¹⁶ Graphoepitaxy and chemical epitaxy methods are known as two major approaches for DSA. The graphoepitaxy method utilizes lamellar self-assembly of a block copolymer in a topographical prepattern of the substrate to increase the resolution by subdividing the prepattern. In the chemical epitaxy method, self-assembly of a block copolymer is guided by a lithographically-defined chemical prepattern to improve the dimension control by reducing variations in the prepattern. Recent progress in DSA enables not only one-dimensional but also two-dimensional patterning.




Fig. 1 Multiblock copolymers with different repeating numbers of hydrophilic 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate (D) and hydrophobic methyl methacrylate (M) segments, and the corresponding micelle-formation processes. For the tetra-, penta- and hexa-block copolymers, the folded conformations of a single copolymer chain encountered in the micelles are also shown. Calculated contour lengths of some segments, and experimentally estimated numbers of molecules in aggregates (N_{agg}), gyration radii (R_g) and hydrodynamic radii (R_h) are given at the bottom. Copyright 2009 from American Chemical Society. Reference: 21.

Multiblock copolymers consisting of repeating $(AB)_n$ block pairs and sequential $ABC\Box X$, that are more resemblant to natural systems, have received increasing attention as an emerging class of functional molecules. Due to a wide structural diversity of the multiblock structures, various copolymers possessing elasticity, conductivity, and drug carrying capability with optimized properties have been developed so far.^{8,9,17–20} In addition to the numerous examples of these engineered multiblock copolymers, monodisperse small molecules with multiblock structures have also been attracting growing interests in the last decade. Successful recent examples of such small multiblock molecules demonstrate the folding and self-assembly of molecules in controlled manners so as to achieve the specific functions.

There are a number of available structural parameters in design of multiblock molecules, such as hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity, difference in bulkiness, flexibility/rigidity, morphological difference (linear/cyclic structures), and possible interactions. By programming these parameters into the repeating $(AB)_n$ blocks or $ABC\Box X$ block sequences, multimodal conformations and self-assembling structures with distinctive properties and functions can be realized elaborately. Systematic study by Patrickios and coworkers indicates the characteristic correlation of the number of blocks in an $(AB)_n$ -type multiblock copolymer with micellar structure in aqueous media.²¹ They have synthesized alternating multiblock copolymers consisting of two to six blocks of hydrophilic oligomeric 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate (D) and oligomeric hydrophobic methyl methacrylate (M). It was revealed that the micelles formed by

the diblock copolymer exhibits larger gyration and hydrodynamic radii (R_g and R_h , respectively) than those of the tri-, tetra-, and pentablock copolymers. Meanwhile, the R_g and R_h of the tri-, tetra-, and pentablock copolymers are comparable with one another. The micelles formed by the hexablock copolymer shows the largest R_g and R_h that are almost twice as large as those of the tri-, tetra-, and pentablock copolymers. In combination with the experimentally-evaluated numbers of molecules in the micelles and theoretical studies, they proposed the micellar structures of these multiblock copolymers as shown in Fig. 1, representing different assembling modes with/without a folding process depending on the number of blocks. They suggested that tetra- and pentablock copolymers adopt a folded conformation, so that the maximum micellar radius is defined by nearly the same contour length, namely one hydrophilic block plus half of hydrophobic block. The hexablock copolymer is suggested to favor a conformation with one fold per chain, leading to the larger and compartmentalized micelle structures. Such a “repeating-block effect” for folding and self-assembly is one of the characteristic features of multiblock molecules. An $ABC\Box X$ -type sequential block design also has an advantage to covalently accumulate multiple components into one molecule with controlled positions to allow specific functions that could not be developed if any one of these components is lacking, or if these components are mixed as independent molecules.

In this Feature Article, we highlight varieties of emerging multiblock small molecules and copolymers by classifying them in terms of block motifs and folding/assembling manners,

to describe the progresses in their functionalization and application.

Multiblock molecules foldable by intramolecular interactions

(AB)_n-type linear and macrocyclic multiblock motifs have been utilized to develop foldamers by controlling intramolecular interactions.




Fig. 2 (a) Molecular structure of (AB)_n-type multiblock molecule **1**. (b) Side view of the crystal structure of **1**. Hydrogen atoms and included solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Copyright 2014 from American Chemical Society. Reference: 22.

Huc and coworkers developed a multi-block molecule **1** (Fig. 2a) and its oligomeric isomers consisting of rigid aromatic units favorable for stacking, which are connected with hairpin-turn units.^{22,23} As the hairpin-turn unit, 4,6-dinitro-1,3-phenylenediamine was used because the intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the amine and nitro groups are advantageous for aligning the aromatic components in the

parallel orientation. Disubstitution with methyl groups at the *ortho*-positions to the amino group effectively restricts rotation around the amino-phenyl bond, so as to bring the aromatic component in an orientation perpendicular to the dinitrophenyl unit, thereby forming π - π face-to-face stacking. With these alternately repeated components, **1** folds into a refined ladder-like conformation. Indeed, crystallographic analysis of **1** displays a five-stranded sheet-like conformation forming π - π stacking among the aromatic components (Fig. 2b). It is of importance that the multi-turn β -sheet structure is also formed in an organic medium.

Stepwise folding of multiblock copolymers has been demonstrated by Meijer and coworkers. They developed ABA-type block copolymer **2** that forms orthogonal interactions in A and B segments, respectively, in a stepwise manner (Fig. 3).^{24,25} The block copolymer **2** incorporates *o*-nitrobenzyl-protected 2-ureidopyrimidinone (UPy) and benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (BTA) units in A and B blocks, respectively. Temperature reduction prompts self-assembly of the BTA moieties into helical aggregates, and UPy groups dimerize by hydrogen-bonding after light-triggered deprotection of the *o*-nitrobenzyl group intramolecularly under dilute conditions. Since these thermo-/photo-induced self-assembly steps take place independently with each other, two-step sequential association of the two self-assembling moieties leads to single-chain folding of the polymer, and formation of compartmentalized nanometer-sized particles.




Fig. 3 (a) Folding of **2** into a single-chain nanoparticle by orthogonal assemblies at the BTA and UPy groups in response to cooling and light, respectively. (b) Molecular structure of multiblock copolymer **2**. (c and d) Interactions in BTA and UPy groups, respectively. Copyright 2013 from American Chemical Society. Reference: 24.

Multiblock molecules forming intermolecular interactions for functional self-assemblies

ABC-type multiblock molecules. Pioneering examples of small multiblock molecules that self-assemble in solution to form nano- or macroscopic refined structures include rod-coil molecules, where rigid rod-like segments are covalently attached to flexible coil chains. Stupp and coworkers have reported a series of ABC-type triblock rod-coil molecules consisting of an oligo(biphenyl carboxylate) or oligo(phenylene vinylene) rod segment and coil segments of oligostyryl and oligoisoprene, which form one- or two-dimensional ribbon-like supramolecular nanostructures.^{26–29} The chemical structure of the rod segments influences the morphology of nanostructures, and lowering the rod-to-coil volume ratio results in suppression of the nanostructure formation. Triblock rod-coil molecule **3** forms mushroom-shaped supramolecular assembly, which further organizes into unidirectionally stacked films (Fig. 4). This material is polar, exhibits a nonlinear optical property, and provides an adhesive-tape-like character with nonadhesive-hydrophobic and adhesive-hydrophilic surfaces. Subsequently, the group has developed a different type of triblock molecules, dendron rod-coils consisting of coil-like, rod-like and dendritic segments.^{30–33} The dendron rod-coils form one-dimensional aggregation through non-covalent interactions, possibly due to the frustration to form two-dimensional assemblies caused by the bulky dendron segment. A dendron rod-coil molecule containing a tetrathiophene unit affords conductive films




Fig. 4 Multi-block rod-coil molecule **3** forming mushroom-shaped assemblies that organizes into a macroscopic film with asymmetric surfaces. Copyright 1997 from AAAS. Reference: 27.

composed of one-dimensionally aligned π - π stacking by electric field.

Lee and coworkers reported diverse supramolecular organization of rod-coil molecules comprising biphenyl unit as the rod segment, possessing an oligo(propylene oxide) chain at one end (AB-type diblock molecules) or both ends (ABA-type triblock molecules).^{34–40} The AB-type rod-coil molecules form thermotropic liquid crystalline (LC) assemblies, while the ABA-type molecules form not only LC assemblies but also unusual crystalline assemblies with a body-centered lattice. More recently, the group reported the formation of water-miscible toroids by co-assembly of laterally grafted tri- and diblock amphiphiles **4**, **5** and **6** composed of oligophenylene (B), oligo(ethylene glycol) (A), and paraffinic (only for **4** and **5**) blocks (C, Fig. 5).^{41,42} At a low content of **6**, a mixture of **4** (or **5**) and **6** forms ribbon-like structure. Increase in the content of **6** (> 80 mol%) leads to the formation of toroids, likely due to the increased volume fraction of the hydrophilic portion to induce a curved nano-assembly. The toroid consists of a single layer of the amphiphiles, in which the rod segments align perpendicularly to the ring plane. Transmission electron microscopy reveals that the interior and exterior of toroids are hydrophobic and hydrophilic, respectively. This allows complexation of the toroids with fullerene in the hydrophobic cavity, resulting in formation of tubular supramolecular nano-assemblies.




Fig. 5 Laterally grafted multi-block amphiphiles **4**, **5** and **6**. Schematic illustration of co-assembly of **4** or **5** and **6** into toroids and C_{60} -triggered formation of tubular containers. Copyright 2009 from American Chemical Society. Reference: 41.

Kataoka and coworkers developed smart drug carriers by deliberate multiblock motifs with a ABC-type sequence like copolymer **7** (Fig. 6),⁴³ consisting of branched PEG, poly(L-glutamic acid) (PLGA) and cholesterol. In an aqueous medium at neutral pH, (1,2-diaminocyclohexane)platinum(II) (DACHPt), an anticancer drug, decreases the intramolecular electrostatic repulsion between the carboxylic moieties in PLGA block, thereby inducing α -helix formation. In addition




Fig. 6 Molecular structures of triblock copolymer **7** consisting of PEG, PLGA and cholesterol blocks, and anti-cancer drug DACHPt, which form a polymersome. A proposed self-assembling structure is illustrated, displaying the formation of a metal complex between a Pt atom in DACHPt and the carboxylic moiety of PLGA block. Copyright 2012 from Americal Chemical Society. Reference: 44.

to the self-assembling nature of cholesterol, cylindrical α -helices have an advantage for lateral packing, so that 100-nm polymersomes are formed with narrow unimodal size distribution. While DACHPt is accumulated in the membrane, the polymersomes can encapsulate neutral hydrophilic substances such as fluorescent dextran into the inner aqueous cavity. A selective dual delivery of DACHPt and the encapsulated substance to a tumor tissue in mice is successfully demonstrated, thanks to the biocompatibility of the polymer and enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect.

Hammond and Swager group also demonstrated effective *in vivo* tumor targeting with a multiblock copolymer.⁴⁴ They designed an ABCBA-type pentablock copolymer including conjugated polymer units that provides highly fluorescent nanoparticles. Namely, the C block is far-red emitting conjugated polymer, while A is a PEG chain giving water solubility, the stealth effect, and anti-fouling property. B is introduced as reactive functionality enabling attachment of the ligands and therapeutics for tumor targeting. Indeed, folic acid is appended as the ligand to target folate receptors expressed on many gynecologic cancer cell membranes. In aqueous media, the pentablock copolymer forms 80–200 nm nanoparticles suitable for biological applications. The nanoparticles show prolonged persistence in mice, and *in vivo* fluorescence imaging of tumor was demonstrated with minimal cytotoxicity.

Thus, elaborate combination and design of three (in principle, even more) components in ABC-type multiblock molecules can realize a variety of hierarchical supramolecular assemblies functioning at nanometer to macroscopic scales.

(AB)_n-type multiblock molecules. (AB)_n-type multiblock structure has been used to control not only folding by intramolecular interactions but also finely segregated self-assembling by intermolecular interactions. As described above, nature takes advantage of (AB)_n-type multiblock structure to develop distinguishing mechanical properties such as elasticity and stiffness, as were found in elastin and silk.^{1–4} The mechanical property of elastin furnish the tissues with required strength, flexibility and resilience. Tropoelastin, the soluble precursor of elastin, consists of an alternating alignment of

flexible segment rich in nonpolar amino acids and alanin-rich crosslinking segment containing small amount of lysine.⁴⁵ Kiick and Jia have developed a synthetic mimic of elastin by replacing the flexible segments with PEG that conjugates with the cross-linking domains with alanine-lysine-(alanine)₃-lysine-alanine sequence. The block copolymer is soluble in water, which readily forms a hydrogel upon covalent cross-linking with hexamethylene diisocyanate. The hydrogel is elastic, and the compression modulus is similar to that of a commercial polyurethane elastomer. Interestingly, the hydrogel hardly shows cytotoxicity, although the soluble precursor block copolymer exhibits some toxicity. The mechanical property and biocompatibility of the block copolymer is suitable as a cell growth scaffold for normal growth and proliferation of a fibroblast.

Development of conductive materials is another effective direction for application of multiblock molecules. An efficient proton conductive material with high thermal stability was developed by Miyatake, Watanabe and coworkers utilizing multiblock (AB)_n-type copolymers containing poly(arylene ether sulfone) blocks appending protogenic sulfonated group (A) and robust hydrophobic polybenzimidazole (PBI) block (B) in an alternating arrangement.⁴⁶ For efficient proton conduction, formation of continuous ionic transporting pathways is required. The transporting pathways can likely be constructed by well-developed phase separation between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic blocks of the multiblock copolymer. As expected, the designed poly-sulfone and PBI multiblock copolymer gives separated phases, where the hydrophilic sulfonic acid groups aggregate to form dispersed ionic clusters. The membrane of the multiblock copolymer shows significantly higher conductivity than that of randomly sulfonated PBIs.

Sommerdijk and coworkers developed ABABA-type amphiphilic multiblock copolymers **8** composed of hydrophobic polydisperse poly(methylphenylsilane) (PMS) and hydrophilic nearly monodisperse poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) segments in the A and B blocks, respectively.⁴⁷ The major oligomer was (PMPS-PEG)₂-PMPS, as schematically shown in

Fig. 7. The multiblock copolymer forms micellar-fibrils, vesicles and helical aggregates, upon changing the water content in tetrahydrofuran (THF), which influences the packing and conformation of the PMPS blocks. Below 40% water content in THF, **7** is molecularly dissolved. Between 40 and 80% water content, TEM visualizes the formation of micellar fibrils with a high aspect ratio. The fibrils are constructed by assembly of the PMPS blocks as a core that are surrounded by PEG blocks. At the water content higher than 80%, helical aggregates, including both left- and right-handed screw senses, are formed. Upon dialysis of the THF/water mixture in water, formation of vesicles is visualized by TEM. Spectroscopic study indicates that by increasing the water content, PMPS blocks form more highly ordered assemblies. In water, the polysilane blocks adopt a more extended transoid conformation, suggesting that the PMPS blocks form a parallel alignment in the interior of the membranes, which are separated from water by PEG blocks.




Fig. 7 Molecular structure of (AB)_n-type multiblock copolymer **8** and its schematic drawing. Copyright 2000 from American Chemical Society. Reference: 47.

It is known that the amino acid sequence of MTM proteins possesses an (AB)_n-type alternating multi-block structure consisting of the domains rich in hydrophobic and hydrophilic amino acids.⁵ The hydrophobic domains span the plasma membrane, which are connected by rather flexible hydrophilic domains, to form an MTM architecture acting as an ion channel and a signal mediator complex for a signal transduction. One of the typical structures of transmembrane ion channel is a β -barrel structure, where the membrane-spanning domains form a cylindrical β -sheet to construct a channel. Inspired by this architecture, Matile and coworkers have developed pioneering self-assembling motifs of synthetic supramolecular ion channels,^{48–61} including ABA-type triblock molecules with β -sheet-forming short peptides grafted on a membrane spanning oligo-phenylene core. Non-planarity of the rod scaffold caused by biphenyl torsions and intermolecular β -sheet formation by the peptide units typically promote formation of a tetrameric cylindrical self-assembly in a bilayer membrane to construct β -barrel-like channels. Taking advantage of this synthetic β -barrel pore, the group has developed a ligand-gating system.^{62–}

⁶⁵ Graft-type triblock molecule **9** bears electron-deficient naphthalenediimide (NDI) acceptors as side chains of the *p*-octiphenyl rod (Fig. 8). Because of the slipped NDI stacks, **9** yields supramolecular π -helices with a closed pore. Upon complexation with a ligand **10**, dialkoxynaphthalene (DAN) donor, a conformational change takes place to untwist the helices so as to open the pore. On the basis of this supramolecular system, photoproduction of proton gradient across a lipid bilayer was also demonstrated.⁶⁶ *p*-Octiphenyl rod **9** bearing NDI is introduced in the phospholipid bilayer of vesicles. The vesicles encapsulate quinone as an electron acceptor and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) is added in the outer phase as a hole acceptor. Ultrafast and relatively long-lived charge separation occurs at the π -architecture quantitatively, thereby promoting external oxidation of EDTA and reduction of quinone at the external and internal phases of the vesicle, respectively. This photosynthetic activity eventually induces proton gradient. Addition of DAN donor **10** as a ligand allows ligand-gated helix-barrel transition to open the channel, and thus the light-induced proton gradient is canceled.




Fig. 8 Supramolecular photosystem **9** consisting of a tetrameric assembly of the multiblock monomer in a closed state, which turns into an open state (**9•10** complex) by ligand (**10**) gating. The phospholipid vesicle including **9** encapsulates HPTS for fluorometric detection of pH increase after photo-induced charge separation of **9**, which fosters external EDTA oxidation and internal reduction of quinones (Q). Copyright 2006 from AAAS. Reference: 66.

A different type of ion transporter with multi-block structure was developed by Gokel.⁶⁷ Three crown ethers are simply connected by alkyl chains to form a heptablock amphiphile, which is successfully incorporated in a bilayer membrane. It is suggested that the inner crown locates inside the membrane and the two terminal rings are at the bilayer surface. Using this heptablock amphiphile, cation

transportation across the membrane was demonstrated, where the three crown ethers likely provide donor relays to allow hopping of the cation for the transportation across a membrane.




Fig. 9 (a) Molecular structures of pentablock molecules **11** and **12**. (b) Transmittance changes of (upper) **11** and (lower) **12** at 1.5 (red), 3.0 (orange), 5.0 (yellow), 10 (pale blue), 15 (blue), and 20 mM (black) in water upon temperature elevation (solid lines) and reduction (broken lines) at the rate of $1.0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$. (c) Phase-contrast micrographs of **11** in water (20 mM) upon temperature elevation and reduction (20–45 °C) at the rate of $1.0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$. The white arrows and square brackets at 38.5 °C in the cooling step indicate the fusing spherical objects. Scale bars: 20 μm. Reference: 68.

Simpler and smaller multiblock amphiphiles have been recently developed by our group, which show hysteretic thermal responses in aqueous media.^{68–70} Amphiphiles **11** and **12** have (AB)_n-type alternating pentablock structure consisting of hydrophilic tetraethylene glycol chains and hydrophobic aromatic groups; phenyl and 4-methoxyphenyl groups in **11** and **12**, respectively (Fig. 9a). These pentablock amphiphiles are miscible in water at room temperature, while the aqueous

solutions turn into cloudy suspensions upon temperature elevation. Transmittance change at 800 nm displays a steep drop during the temperature elevation (Fig. 9b). The transition temperature depends on the concentration, where a solution at a higher concentration shows the transition at a lower temperature. Interestingly, the transition curves monitored by transmittance show a hysteretic feature during the heating and cooling processes (except 5.0 mM), where the transition curves in the cooling process are more gentle than those in the heating process. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and phase-contrast microscopy (PCM) visualize that **11** and **12** form micrometer-scale aggregates by heating. As shown in Fig. 9c, PCM visualizes that **11** at 20 mM in water forms aggregates at 30.0 °C, and the quantity of the aggregates increases by temperature elevation. In the cooling process, assembly and fusion of the aggregates are observed [indicated by white arrows with a square bracket in Fig. 9c at 38.5 °C (Cooling)]. Such “inter-aggregate” interactions causing micrometer-scale assemblies are observed only in the cooling process, likely resulting in the hysteretic transmittance changes. The concentration dependence and assembly of aggregates in the cooling process are considered to be unique features of non-polymeric small molecules showing cloud points in water. The variable-temperature nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies suggest that increased hydrophobicity at the tetraethylene glycol chains due to the *gauche-to-anti* conformational changes of the ethylene moieties and aromatic interactions likely prompt the aggregation of **11** and **12** upon heating. Importantly, the thermo-driven micrometer-scale aggregation formation of **11** and **12** is applicable for selective extraction of peptides based on the content of hydrophobic and aromatic residues.

Foldable multiblock molecules forming higher-order structures by self-assembly

Recently, we have developed synthetic mimics of α -helical MTM ion channels.^{71,72} The molecular structure of α -helical MTM proteins is iterative multi blocks between extramembranous hydrophilic domains and membrane-spanning rod domains. As a synthetic mimic of this structure, (AB)_n-type multi-block amphiphiles **13** and **14** consisting of hydrophilic and flexible oligoethylene glycols conjugated with hydrophobic, rigid, and fluorescent aromatic 1,4-bis(4-phenyl ethynyl) benzene (BPEB) units (Fig. 10a) were prepared. Fluorescent microscopy and fluorescence depth quenching study indicate that **13** and **14** are embedded in a DOPC liposomal membrane, with the BPEB units penetrating the hydrophobic layer. Intermolecular assembly of the BPEB unit of **13** in the membrane is indicated by red-shift of the fluorescence upon increase in the concentration. Meanwhile, **14** shows significantly red-shifted fluorescence even at a low concentration and the wavelength of the maximal fluorescence hardly changes upon concentration enhancement, thus suggesting the intramolecular stacking of the BPEB units in **14**. Namely, **14** likely adopts an MTM-like conformation in the lipid bilayer. Interestingly, **14** forms a tetrameric assembly

(Fig. 10b) acting as a supramolecular ion channel, although **13** hardly shows ion transportation capability even at high concentration. It is suggested that the panel-like shape of **14** formed by folding into an MTM-like conformation is advantageous to construct the supramolecular ion channel.




Fig. 10 (a) Molecular structures of (AB)_n-type multiblock amphiphiles **13** and **14** with different repeating numbers (one and four). (b) Schematic illustration of dynamic supramolecular ion channel formation of **14** by tetrameric assembly. Reference: 72.

Changing the rigid linear hydrophobic segment into a flexible component leads to the formation of a supramolecular half-channel.⁷³ Amphiphile **15** consists of five blocks, where the central hydrophobic unit bears a flexible chiral aliphatic part with aromatic units (Fig. 11a). At the junction point between the aromatic and octaethylene glycol units, phosphate groups are inserted as ligand-binding sites capturing aromatic amines




Fig. 11 (a) Molecular structures of (AB)_n-type multiblock amphiphiles **15** and **16** with different terminal structures, and models of **15** and **16** in a membrane adopting M-shape and V-shape conformations, respectively. (b) Schematic illustration of step-wise supramolecular ion channel formation by ligand (PA) addition to upper and lower sides of the membrane, and its reversible disassembly upon ligand-removal by β -cyclodextrin. PA, 2-phenethylamine. Reference: 73.

through electrostatic, hydrogen-bonding and aromatic interactions. At the both ends of the molecule, hydrophobic triisopropylsilyl (TIPS) groups are appended. Microscopic observation, spectroscopic study and Langmuir-Blodgett assay indicate that the central and terminal hydrophobic parts are embedded in a bilayer membrane so that the molecule adopts a “M-shape” conformation with intramolecular aromatic

stacking. In contrast to membrane-spanning foldamer **14**, membrane-half-spanning foldamer **15** hardly shows the capability of ion transportation by itself. Interestingly, however, **15** embedded in a membrane interacts with 2-phenethyl amine (PA), thereby changing the conformation around the aromatic units so as to initiate the ion transportation. It is indicated that trimeric assembly of **15** forms a half channel with PA in a lipid monolayer of the membrane, that stacks with another half channel in the opposite-side monolayer, to form an active ion channel (Fig. 11b). Addition of β -cyclodextrin to dissociate PA from **15** stops the ion transportation, thus reversible ligand-gated ion transportation is successfully demonstrated. Of importance, amphiphile **16**, adopting “V-shape” conformation due to the hydrophilic termini with no TIPS groups, hardly shows ion transportation capability in either absence or presence of the ligand. This suggests the importance of the

terminal TIPS groups, likely acting as anchors to assist ion-channel formation.

In the course of our study to develop synthetic mimics of α -helical MTM ion channels, we serendipitously found that macrocyclic multi-block amphiphiles with alternative oligoethylene glycol and aromatic units show dynamic thermal and photochemical responses. A single crystal of macrocyclic multi-block amphiphile **17** exhibits reversible thermal phase-transition without loss of the single crystallinity, leading to macroscopic mechanical motion.⁷⁴ **17** consists of alternately aligned tetraethylene glycol chains and aromatic groups such as BPEB and azobenzene units (Fig. 12a). Differential scanning calorimetry displays a thermal transition of single crystalline **17** at 333 K below its melting point (422 K). A thermal transition is observed at 329 K in the cooling process from 370 K indicating a reversible transition. When a needle-shape single crystal of **17** is heated on a hot stage, bending and straightening motions are observed (Fig. 12b). By using a relatively large single crystal, the range of the motion reaches a millimeter-scale. The similar macroscopic motion occurs in the cooling process as well. X-ray crystallographic analyses clearly visualize the mechanism of the crystalline motion at the molecular level (Fig. 12c), where 10^7 -fold amplification of the range of the motions, namely, from the angstrom-scale conformational changes to the millimeter-scale macroscopic motions are achieved in this case. The space group of the low-temperature phase crystal belongs to *Pc*. The asymmetric unit includes a pair of molecules (A and B), which stacks along the *a*-axis. The azobenzene and BPEB units align alternately along the *a*-axis, forming intra- and intermolecular π - π interactions. Importantly, the longest axis of the needle-shape single crystal is parallel to the *a*-axis. The space group of single crystal at the high-temperature phase remains unchanged, but interestingly, C45 in the molecule, a carbon atom in the tetraethylene glycol chain in proximity to the triazole ring, flips during this thermal transition. Other carbon atoms such as C48 and C57 also exhibit flipping. In contrast, the azobenzene and BPEB units are almost unchanged in terms of the geometry and conformations, which allows for the retention of π - π stacking. The conformational changes cause changes in alignment of the molecules. In the thermal transition from the low- to the high-temperature phases, the largest change in the length is observed for the *a*-axis, which expands by 2.6%. This change in the lattice parameters likely generates a mechanical force to induce the bending motion. In the heating process on a hot stage, the thermal structural transformation should start from the molecules located at the heated surface of the single crystal to cause internal strain. Since the *a*-axis corresponds to the long-axis of the single crystal, the expansion along the *a*-axis at the heated surface likely prompts curving of the needle crystal along the long-axis to raise a tip of the crystal from the hot stage. The internal strain is gradually released, when the heat reaches to the top side, to complete the phase transition in whole the crystal, thereby straightening the crystal again. Thus, both bending and straightening occur in a single heating process. The deformation of a crystal with maintaining its




Fig. 12 (a) Molecular structure of macrocyclic multiblock molecule **17**. (b) Snapshots of a macroscopic motion of a 15-mm long single crystal of **17** on a hot stage upon heating and cooling processes between 326.0 and 338.0 K. One end of the crystal is adhered by glue. Scale bars: 5.0 mm. (c) Merged X-ray crystal structures of **17** in the crystals of the low-temperature (blue, 273 K) and high-temperature phases (red, 343 K) viewed down the *a*-axis. Reference: 74.

single crystallinity, is likely attained by the characteristic crystal structure originated from the multiblock molecular structure, including the well-stacked aromatic columns separated by rather flexible tetraethylene glycol domains. This packing feature is similar to the structure of elastin composed of separated covalently cross-linked domain and flexible region.




Fig. 13 Molecular structure of **18**. Time-course phase-contrast microscopic observation of the light-triggered μ -size vesicle formation from a shapeless particle composed of DOPC and **18** in an aqueous solution of glucose and sucrose ([DOPC] = 200 μ M, [**1**] = 40 μ M, [glucose] = [sucrose] = 100 mM). Snapshots were taken after irradiation (330–385 nm) at 20 °C for (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 25, (d) 95, (e) 115, and (f) 175 s, respectively. The yellow arrows indicate the generated μ -size vesicles. The scale bars, 10 μ m. Reference: 75.

Macrocyclic multi-block amphiphile **18**, that consists of the same molecular components as **17** in a larger macrocycle, was revealed to cause light-triggered giant vesicle formation (Fig. 13).^{75,76} In the process of gentle hydration method using a mixture of DOPC and **18**, shapeless particles are prepared in aqueous medium (Fig. 13a). Upon irradiation of these particles with UV light (330–385 nm), micrometer-size vesicles are readily formed from their surfaces, as displayed by phase-contrast microscopy (Fig. 13b–f). The size of the vesicles continuously increases during the irradiation, and eventually,

the vesicles launch from the particles. Exposure of the film to sonication in the initial stage of hydration is effective to encourage the light-triggered vesicle formation. Importantly, neither heating nor IR-laser irradiation induce the vesicle formation, suggesting that light is the essential trigger. Taking advantage of the benefit to use light, single vesicle formation with micrometer-scale spatiotemporal control is demonstrated. Irradiation of the particle with 405-nm laser focused with 1 μ m diameter readily triggers the formation of a single vesicle, which eventually detaches from the particle. Transmission electron microscopy visualizes that the nonirradiated particles are enveloped with multilayer membranes, which likely turn into the vesicles. Control experiments indicate that the BPEB units are the essential components for the light-triggered vesicle formation and the azobenzene unit likely encourages the formation through photoisomerization. In addition, the phase of phospholipid membrane also influences the vesicle formation. Fluid phase membranes such as DOPC or a mixture of DSPC and cholesterol at 20 °C afford the vesicles upon photo-irradiation in the presence of **17**, while DSPC at 20 °C in a solid phase hardly shows the vesicle formation.

Conclusions and perspective

Several proteins take advantage of the multi-block motifs to encourage folding and self-assembly by intramolecular and intermolecular interactions, thereby acquiring specific mechanical properties and constructing channels for substance transportation. Inspired by such natural molecular architectures, synthetic multi-block molecules and polymers, which have capability of folding and self-assembly in solution, membranous media and crystalline state in well-controlled manners, have been developed. In the self-assembling systems, there are two distinct molecular-design concepts. The ABC-type sequential structure allows conjugation of triple and more block components; elaborate combination of the multi-blocks with an adequate sequence leads to development of multi-dimensional self-assemblies such as tubular, film, and spherical structures, yielding versatile microscopic and macroscopic functions. The (AB)_n-type structure consisting of iterative dual orthogonal blocks provides segregated macroscopic assembly of the two blocks useful for the development of elastic and conductive materials. Discrete architectures have also been constructed by self-assembly of (AB)_n-type multi-block molecules, leading to the realization of functional supramolecular channels. In such systems, combination of folding and self-assembly, as seen in the hierarchical secondary and tertiary structures in proteins, has been successfully demonstrated, realizing the formation of dynamic supramolecular ion channels. The structural simplicity and diversity of monodisperse multi-block molecules are attractive due to their capability of folding and self-assembling with segregated structures, endowing with sophisticated functions.

As overviewed in this article, the multi-block structures have been adopted in the monodisperse small molecular systems as well as in the polydisperse macromolecular systems. Although sophisticated functions have been demonstrated in both cases by controlling folding and assembly, the monodisperse systems are likely advantageous to form closed discrete supramolecular structures such as supramolecular ion channels and toroidal assemblies with a uniform size as

demonstrated by 4–6, 9, 14, 15. The most significant advancement of the multi-block systems, which could not be achieved by the small mono-domain molecules, is capability to form higher-order structures with folded conformations. The sheet-like intramolecularly-stacked architecture and the MTM structure formed by 1, 14 and 15 are the typical examples, which cannot be constructed by small mono-domain molecules. More complex system has been demonstrated by 2, accomplishing the multi-step stimuli-controlled folding with orthogonal assemblies. A monodisperse system utilizing this strategy would have a great potential for development of sophisticated systems like proteins.

It would be also meaningful to compare the characteristics of the behaviors of those multiblock molecules in the different media. In solution, the high mobility allows molecular-scale dynamic controls such as stepwise folding, stimuli-induced assembling/disassembling, and guest encapsulation in a self-assembled host. In membrane, although the molecular motions are restricted in the two-dimensional media, the dynamic processes such as self-assembly and stimuli-responsive conformational change are possible to allow for achievement of a dynamic channel function, as demonstrated by elaborately designed monodisperse multi-block molecules. Meanwhile, in crystalline or bulk states, the conformation and alignment of molecules is mostly fixed, so that anisotropic macroscopic properties can be developed. Importantly, a dynamic response such as the conformational change is possible in some cases, which results in the macroscopic morphological change of crystals. This would lead to development of the stimuli-responsive crystalline materials with mechanical functions.

What comes next? Proteins can be regarded as ultimate foldamers/assemblies composed of monodisperse “multi-domain” macromolecules. The domains and their controlled assembly allow hierarchical construction of the whole structure, through the formation of the secondary, tertiary and quaternary structures. As a consequence, the structures of the proteins have diversity in the morphology, and even a single protein displays a structural diversity due to the conformational flexibility responsive to the external stimuli, leading to a wide variety of sophisticated functions. In order to approach such diverse but well-controlled structure formation of proteins by synthetic molecules, the molecular design concept based on the multi-block architecture likely gives a promising direction. Existing synthetic foldamers constructed by multi-block molecules correspond to the domains of proteins forming the secondary structures. Covalent integration of the synthetic foldamers into a single molecule affords a multi-domain structure, and subsequent assembly of the folded domains produces a higher-order structure corresponding to the tertiary structure. Finally, the assembly of such folded molecules would give the quaternary structure. Such a multi-domain design strategy allowing the controlled multi-step folding gives a potential future direction for multi-block molecules inspired by nature.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas “Spying Minority in Biological Phenomena (No.3306)” (23115003 to KK), Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas “ π -System Figuration (No.2601)” (26102001 to TM), Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (TM) from MEXT, JST PRESTO program “Molecular Technology and Creation of New Functions” (TM), and the Mitsubishi Foundation (KK).

Notes and references

^a Graduate School of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan.

^b PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, 4-1-8, Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan.

- 1 D. R. Eyre, M. A. Paz and P. M. Gallop, *Ann. Rev. Biochem.*, 1984, **53**, 717–748.
- 2 R. D. B. Fraser and T. P. MacRae, in *Conformation in Fibrous Proteins and Related Synthetic Polypeptides*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 3 D. W. Urry, *J. Protein Chem.*, 1988, **7**, 1–34.
- 4 *Silk Polymers: Materials Science and Biotechnology*, ed. D. Kaplan, W. W. Adams, B. Farmer and C. Viney, ACS Symposium Series 544, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1994.
- 5 B. Alberts, A. Johnson, J. Lewis, D. Morgan, M. Raff, K. Roberts and P. Walter, in *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 6th version, Garland Science, New York, 2014.
- 6 R. E. Zeebe, J. C. Zachos, K. Caldeira and T. Tyrrell, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 50–51.
- 7 J. S. Lee and J. Feijen, *J. Control. Release*, 2012, **161**, 473–483.
- 8 J.-F. Gohy and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 7117–7129.
- 9 Z. Ge and S. Liu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 7289–7325.
- 10 M. J. Robb, S.-Y. Ku and C. J. Hawker, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 5686–5700.
- 11 B.-K. Cho, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 395–405.
- 12 N. Yamashita, S. Watanabe, K. Nagai, M. Komura, T. Iyoda, K. Aida, Y. Tada and H. Yoshida, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 2837–2847.
- 13 J. Y. Cheng, C. T. Rettner, D. P. Sanders, H.-C. Kim and W. D. Hinsberg, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 3155–3158.
- 14 J. Xu, S. Park, S. Wang, T. P. Russell, B. M. Ocko and A. Checco, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2268–2272.
- 15 H. Yi, X.-Y. Bao, J. Zhang, C. Bencher, L.-W. Chang, X. Chen, R. Tiberio, J. Conway, H. Dai, Y. Chen, S. Mitra and H.-S. P. Wong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 3107–3114.
- 16 G. S. Doerk, J. Y. Cheng, G. Singh, C. T. Rettner, J. W. Pitera, S. Balakrishnan, N. Arellano and D. P. Sanders, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 5805.
- 17 O. Rathore and D. Y. Sogah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 5231–5239.
- 18 L. Ayres, M. R. J. Vos, P. J. H. M. Adams, I. O. Shklyarevskiy and J. C. M. van Hest, *Macromolecules*, 2003, **36**, 5967–5973.
- 19 H. Yu, S. Asaoka, A. Shishido, T. Iyoda and T. Ikeda, *Small*, 2007, **5**, 768–771.
- 20 N. Li and M. D. Guiver, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 2175–2198.
- 21 N. A. Hadjiantoniou, A. I. Triftaridou, D. Kafouris, M. Gradzielski and C. S. Patrickios, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 5492–5498.
- 22 L. Sebaoun, V. Maurizot, T. Granier, B. Kauffmann and I. Huc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 2168–2174.
- 23 L. Sebaoun, B. Kauffmann, T. Delclos, V. Maurizot and I. Huc, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 2326–2329.
- 24 N. Hosono, M. A. J. Gillissen, Y. Li, S. S. Sheiko, A. R. A. Palmans and E. W. Meijer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 501–510.

- 25 N. Hosono, P. J. M. Stals, A. R. A. Palmans and E. W. Meijer, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2014, **9**, 1099–1107.
- 26 S. I. Stupp, S. Son, H. C. Lin and L. S. Li, *Science*, 1993, **259**, 59–63.
- 27 S. I. Stupp, V. LeBonheur, K. Walker, L. S. Li, K. E. Huggins, M. Keser and A. Amstutz, *Science*, 1997, **276**, 384–389.
- 28 G. N. Tew, L. Li and S. I. Stupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 5601–5602.
- 29 G. N. Tew, M. U. Pralle and S. I. Stupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 9852–9866.
- 30 E. R. Zubarev, M. U. Pralle, E. D. Sone and S. I. Stupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 4105–4106.
- 31 E. R. Zubarev and S. I. Stupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 5762–5773.
- 32 B. W. Messmore, J. F. Hulvat, E. D. Sone and S. I. Stupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 14452–14458.
- 33 E. R. Zubarev, E. D. Sone and S. I. Stupp, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 7313–7327.
- 34 M. Lee, N.-K. Oh and W.-C. Zin, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1787–1788.
- 35 M. Lee, B.-K. Cho, H. Kim and W.-C. Zin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 638–640.
- 36 M. Lee, D.-W. Lee and B.-K. Cho, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 13258–13259.
- 37 M. Lee and B.-K. Cho, *Chem. Mater.*, 1998, **10**, 1894–1903.
- 38 M. Lee, B.-K. Cho, Y.-G. Jang and W.-C. Zin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 7449–7455.
- 39 B.-K. Cho and M. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 9677–9678.
- 40 M. Lee, B.-K. Cho, N.-K. Oh and W.-C. Zin, *Macromolecules*, 2001, **34**, 1987–1995.
- 41 E. Lee, J.-K. Kim and M. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 18242–18243.
- 42 Y. Kim, W. Li, S. Shin and M. Lee, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2888–2897.
- 43 K. Osada, H. Cabral, Y. Mochida, S. Lee, K. Nagata, T. Matsuura, M. Yamamoto, Y. Anraku, A. Kishimura, N. Nishiyama and K. Kataoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 13172–13175.
- 44 E. Ahmed, S. W. Morton, P. T. Hammond and T. M. Swager, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 4504–4510.
- 45 S. E. Grieshaber, A. J. E. Farran, S. Lin-Gibson, K. L. Kiick and X. Jia, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 2532–2541.
- 46 F. Ng, B. Bae, K. Miyatake and M. Watanabe, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 8895–8897.
- 47 N. A. J. M. Sommerdijk, S. J. Holder, R. C. Hiorns, R. G. Jones and R. J. M. Nolte, *Macromolecules*, 2000, **33**, 8289–8294.
- 48 G. Das, L. Ouali, M. Adrian, B. Baumeister, K. J. Wilkinson and S. Matile, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 4657–4661.
- 49 N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 1184–1185.
- 50 G. Das, P. Talukdar and S. Matile, *Science*, 2002, **298**, 1600–1602.
- 51 N. Sakai, D. Houdebert and S. Matile, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 223–232.
- 52 N. Sakai, N. Sordé and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 7776–7777.
- 53 N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 2514–2523.
- 54 N. Sordé, G. Das and S. Matile, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2003, **100**, 11964–11969.
- 55 S. Litvinchuk, G. Bollot, J. Mareda, A. Som, D. Ronan, M. R. Shah, P. Perrottet, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 10067–10075.
- 56 V. Gorteau, F. Perret, G. Bollot, J. Mareda, A. N. Lazar, A. W. Coleman, D.-H. Tran, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 13592–13593.
- 57 N. Sakai, J. Mareda and S. Matile, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 79–87.
- 58 S. Litvinchuk, N. Sordé and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 9316–9317.
- 59 S. Bhosale, A. L. Sisson, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, **4**, 3031–3039.
- 60 S. Litvinchuk, H. Tanaka, T. Miyatake, D. Pasini, T. Tanaka, G. Bollot, J. Mareda and S. Matile, *Nature Mater.*, 2007, **6**, 576–580.
- 61 N. Sakai, J. Mareda and S. Matile, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 1354–1365.
- 62 P. Talukdar, G. Bollot, J. Mareda, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 6528–6529.
- 63 P. Talukdar, G. Bollot, J. Mareda, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 6525–6532.
- 64 H. Tanaka, S. Litvinchuk, D.-H. Tran, G. Bollot, J. Mareda, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 1600–16001.
- 65 H. Tanaka, G. Bollot, J. Mareda, S. Litvinchuk, D.-H. Tran, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2007, **5**, 1369–1380.
- 66 S. Bhosale, A. L. Sisson, P. Talukdar, A. Fürstenberg, N. Banerji, E. Vauthey, G. Bollot, J. Mareda, C. Röger, F. Würthner, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Science*, 2006, **313**, 84–86.
- 67 A. Nakano, Q. Xie, J. V. Mallen, L. Echegoyen and G. W. Gokel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 1287–1289.
- 68 S. Kawasaki, T. Muraoka, H. Obara, T. Ishii, T. Hamada and K. Kinbara, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2014, **9**, 2778–2788.
- 69 N. Sadhukhan, T. Muraoka, D. Abe, Y. Sasanuma, D. R. G. Subekti and K. Kinbara, *Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **43**, 1055–1057.
- 70 N. Sadhukhan, T. Muraoka, M. Ui, S. Nagatoishi, K. Tsumoto and K. Kinbara, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 8457–8460.
- 71 T. Muraoka, T. Shima, T. Hamada, M. Morita, M. Takagi and K. Kinbara, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 194–196.
- 72 T. Muraoka, T. Shima, T. Hamada, M. Morita, M. Takagi, K. V. Tabata, H. Noji and K. Kinbara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 19788–19794.
- 73 T. Muraoka, T. Endo, K. V. Tabata, H. Noji, S. Nagatoishi, K. Tsumoto, R. Li and K. Kinbara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15584–15595.
- 74 T. Shima, T. Muraoka, N. Hoshino, T. Akutagawa, Y. Kobayashi and K. Kinbara, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 7173–7178.
- 75 T. Shima, T. Muraoka, T. Hamada, M. Morita, M. Takagi, H. Fukuoka, Y. Inoue, T. Sagawa, A. Ishijima, Y. Omata, T. Yamashita and K. Kinbara, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 7289–7295.
- 76 T. Shima, T. Muraoka, K. V. Tabata, H. Noji and K. Kinbara, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 1259–1267.