ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ChemComm

ChemComm

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Nickel as a co-catalyst for the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution on graphitic-carbon nitride (*sg*-CN): what is the nature of the active species?

Received 00th xxxxxx, Accepted 00th xxxxxx Arindam Indra,^{*a*} Prashanth W. Menezes,^{*a*} Kamalakannan Kailasam,^{*b*} Dirk Hollmann,^{*c*} Marc Schröder,^{*a*} Arne Thomas,^{*a*} Angelika Brückner,^{*c*} and Matthias Driess^{*,*a*}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

The nature of a nickel-based co-catalyst deposited on a sol-gel prepared porous graphitic-carbon nitride (sg-CN), for the photocatalytic H_2 production from water, has been investigated. The formation of the active catalytic species, charge separation and recombination of the photogenerated electrons and holes during photochemical H_2 evolution has been determined for the first time by *in situ* EPR spectroscopy.

The use of solar light for photocatalytic water-splitting into dihydrogen (H₂) and dioxygen (O₂) with semiconductor catalysts has been considered as a sustainable way of energy production, as an alternative of using steadily decreasing and environmentally pollutant fossil fuels.¹⁻² For effective harvesting of visible light, the semiconductor must have a band gap higher than 1.23 eV and the conduction band must be more negative than the reduction potential of H^+/H_2 .³ Moreover, the separation of the photogenerated electrons and holes competing with their recombination is an additional challenge in photocatalytic water-splitting.⁴

Noble metals, such as Pt for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), have been of increasing interest in recent years and widely applied as co-catalysts to fasten the electron transport pathway.⁵⁻⁶ The decorated nanoparticles of noble metals on a catalyst surface act as the electron sinks to supply charge separation across the interface or heterojunction. Lately, several CdX (X = S, Se)-based photocatalytic systems have been studied to understand the life-time of the photogenerated electrons and holes, and their recombination during photocatalytic HER.⁷ Interestingly, the organic carbon nitride photocatalyst has been effective for the hydrogen evolution under visible light irradiation⁸ whereas, a Pt-containing graphitic carbon nitride (g-CN) system has been investigated to uncover the charge separation and recombination phenomena.9 Several efficient systems with a combination of Pt and g-CN have been examined for enhanced HER,¹⁰⁻¹⁴ but a Pt-free approach to give similarly active catalysts remains of particular interest. In the last years, Pt- free approach has been demonstrated for HER by the combination of *g*-CNs with MoS_2 ,¹⁵ CdS,¹⁶ CuInS₂,¹⁷ NiS,¹⁸ Ni(OH)₂,¹⁹ ZnO ²⁰ with low to moderate activity. In addition, Ag or Au nanoparticles deposited on *g*-CN nanocomposites were also studied to achieve plasmonic enhancement in photocatalytic activity.²¹⁻²² Interestingly, the combination of molecular metal complexes with *g*-CN have been probed for photocatalytic HER as well, however, leading to less active photocatalysts than the Pt-*g*-CN systems.²³

RSCPublishing

The development of H₂ evolution catalysts that combine g-CN with cheap and abundant metals, such as Ni as a co-catalyst, is highly desired for effective and stable hydrogen production.²⁴ Although Ni-based catalyst systems have been explored in recent years,²⁴⁻²⁵ to our knowledge, no attempt has been reported to uncover the nature and structural features of the Ni co-catalyst as well as the possible structural and bonding situation occurring at the co-catalyst active sites during photocatalytic HER. In line with that, the separation and recombination processes of the photogenerated electrons and holes with Ni-CN system have not been perceived so far. Encouraged by our recent results on determining the active sites of oxygen evolution catalysts,²⁶⁻²⁸ we directed ourselves toward model catalytic systems by depositing non-noble metal co-catalysts to elucidate the role of the co-catalyst during photocatalytic HER.

For this purpose, we have chosen photocatalytically highly active porous sol-gel prepared g-CN⁶ (abbreviated as sg-CN hereafter) with photodeposited nickel species as the co-catalyst to achieve long-term HER in the presence of the sacrificial electron donor (SD) triethanolamine (TEOA). After photodeposition, the materials were extensively characterized using various spectroscopic, microscopic and analytical tools. The structural changes of the Ni co-catalyst were thoroughly studied during photocatalytic HER using *in-situ* electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and the recovered samples were also subsequently studied by EPR, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) to uncover the complete structural situation.

Journal Name

First of all, the co-catalyst has been loaded on *sg*-CN using a Ni²⁺ salts under different reaction conditions (Table S1 and Experimental Section in ESI). The following abbreviations are used for the prepared samples: Cat-1 (photodeposition, with SD), Cat-2 (deposition in the dark, with SD), Cat-3 (photodeposition, without SD). It is worth to mention here that presence of both light and TEOA is necessary for the efficient deposition of Ni⁰ nanoparticles for enhancing the catalytic hydrogen evolution (see later). TEOA accepts the photogenerated holes and helps in the reduction of Ni²⁺ to Ni⁰ whereas in the absence of light, no photoexcitation occurs and only a small amount of nickel species are deposited on *sg*-CN.

An extensive characterization of the materials has been performed to understand the structural features of the catalyst and oxidation state of nickel, and its binding interaction with *sg*-CN. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) of Cat-1 showed two peaks at 20 values of 13° and 27.4° which correspond to the typical intra-planar arrangement in a sheet and graphitic stacking peak (Fig. S1). No new peak was detected after the loading of nickel species onto *g*-CN under different deposition conditions (Fig. S1). The loading of Ni was confirmed by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX, Fig. S2) and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, Table S1). The latter revealed a nickel content of 0.73 wt% in Cat-1 when photodeposition was performed in the presence of SD. However, in the absence of SD or light, lower loading of nickel (0.1 wt%) was found .

For all catalysts, the ratio of N to C was determined to be ~1.3 by elemental analyses. The ¹³C cross polarization/magic angle spinning solid state (CP/MAS) NMR spectrum of Cat-1 showed a heptazinebased structure of the sg-CN with the CN₃ moiety resonating at δ 154 ppm and the C(N)₂(NH)_x moiety at 162 ppm, respectively. No shift of these peaks was observed after the deposition of nickel species on this sg-CN material (Fig. S3). In addition, the BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) surface area of sg-CN (147 m²g⁻¹), after photodeposition in Cat-1 (142 m²g⁻¹), was merely changed. In the UV-Vis spectra, the maximum absorption for Cat-1 was observed at v = 360 nm but for other catalysts, significant variations in band positions were found that reflected in their catalytic activities (Fig. S4a). Infrared spectroscopy (IR) confirmed the unchanged sg-CN structure (Fig. S4b). TEM and HRTEM measurements displayed the porous structure of sg-CN without any discrete crystallites corresponding to Ni⁰, NiO or NiOOH in Cat-1 (Fig. S5).

What is the nature of the deposited Ni-species as co-catalyst? At first we determined the oxidation state of nickel in Cat-1 after photodeposition. On the surface of the catalyst only a very low amount of nickel could be detected by XPS. The Ni2p_{3/2} peak at binding energy of 856.8 eV suggests the oxidation state +2. Furthermore, the presence of metallic nickel is indicated by the peak at 853 eV in Cat-1 (Fig. S6a).²⁹ It should be mentioned here that in Cat-1, the amount of nickel at the outer surface was relatively low and most of the nickel was present in the bulk of the porous *sg*-CN (total 0.73%). The N1*s* XPS peak could be deconvoluted into two peaks corresponding to N-pyridine moieties at 399.8 eV and graphitic C=N subunits at 401.7 eV, respectively (Fig. S6b).³⁰ The C1s XPS peaks could be attributed to C=C, C=N and C-N moieties from lower to higher energy (Fig. S6c), while the O1s spectrum of

Cat-1 represents surface adsorbed oxygen species and C-O bonds (Fig. S6d).³⁰

After the initial characterization of the two components of the catalysts (photocatalyst and co-catalysts), we further investigated the catalysts for the photochemical H₂ evolution (Fig. 1). Under visible light irradiation (>420 nm), the highest amount of H₂ evolution was observed with Cat-1 whereas the other catalysts showed much less activity. Under similar reaction conditions, negligible amount of H₂ production could be detected with co-catalyst-free sg-CN. The rate of H₂ evolution for Cat-1 was calculated at 0.103 mmol_{H2}g_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹ in the first 4 h for Cat-1. The rate of H₂ production for Cat-1 is comparable with related CN-based materials loaded with non-noble metal-containing co-catalysts.³¹ For example, previously NiS-g-CN has shown ~0.4 mmol_{H2}g_{cat}⁻¹h^{-1.18} The photocatalyst Cat-1 was recycled three times to yield a total of 12.2 mL of H₂ (Fig. 1) after ~ 128 h. A turn over number (TON = mol of H_2 produced per mol of Ni) of 79 was achieved in terms of the total amount of loaded nickel. A minor loss of activity, after three cycles was observed in Cat-1 and the long-term measurement was performed with the catalyst in continuous generation of H₂ over 8 days to generate ~11.2 mL of H₂ (Fig. S7). Further, Cat-2 showed significant amount of hydrogen evolution after the 1st cycle due to similar type of structural features to that of Cat-1 but with a lower amount of nickel content (Fig. 1).



Fig 1. Photocatalytic H_2 evolution profiles of various catalysts in the presence of 300 W Xe lamp with a cut off filter of 420 nm using TEOA as the sacrificial agent.

Furthermore, EPR spectroscopy has been applied as a very sensitive and local method for detecting selectively the formation of superparamagnetic Ni⁰ nanoparticles (NP) which may be too small or too few to be visualized by TEM.³² The EPR spectrum of Cat-2 (Ni deposition in the dark) at 300 K showed no signal, suggesting that all nickel are dispersed as Ni²⁺ species. After irradiation with visible light (in Cat-1) a broad EPR signal (g = 2.23) could be observed, indicating the formation of ferromagnetic Ni⁰ particles (Fig. S8),³³ which illustrate the high sensitivity of EPR spectroscopy for the detection of Ni⁰ cluster. By investigating the temperature dependence of the EPR signal intensity, an average Ni⁰ particle diameter could be estimated using the Langevin equation (see ESI).

Comparison of the experimental data of Cat-1 with the results of for different particle diameters, suggests that the mean Ni⁰ particle

size in Cat-1 is around 3 nm (Fig. S9 and Eq. S1). When Cat-1 is exposed to air during recovering, the Ni⁰ signal strongly decreases, broadens and becomes more asymmetric. This might be an indication for the partial oxidation of the Ni NPs to Ni²⁺ (Fig. S8). As mentioned earlier, the main objective of this work is to determine the co-catalyst structure during the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *in situ* EPR spectroscopic studies were performed to prove the formation of the Ni⁰ NPs under visible light irradiation. Cat-1 has been monitored by *in situ* EPR in a TEOA/H₂O (1:9) solution under irradiation with visible light (> 420 nm, Fig. 2). A continuous increase of the Ni⁰ signal was detected, though, not all Ni²⁺ species were reduced to Ni⁰ at the same time (Scheme 1). This could be one of the reasons for the detection of a very small amount of Ni⁰ in XPS after 48 h of irradiation.



Fig. 2. In situ EPR studies of a suspension of Cat-1 in TEOA solution under continuous irradiation with visible light (>420 nm) with variation in time.

Furthermore, EPR spectroscopy has been utilized to study charge separation processes in sg-CN as well as the impact of the cocatalyst on electron transfer. As reported previously, trapped conduction band electrons (CB-e) in sg-CN can be visualized by EPR during irradiation.³⁴ Charge separation efficiency can also be analyzed from EPR during irradiation (Fig. S10, red). The latter corresponds to the photoactive CB-e trapped at the surface. Furthermore, the recombination efficiency of these CB-e⁻ with holes is indicated by a decrease of the EPR signal after irradiation (Fig. S10, black). Highest charge separation as well as lowest recombination efficiency was observed for co-catalyst-free sg-CN. However, with the Ni-co-catalysts, in all samples, even less than half of the CB-e⁻ concentration could be detected compared to 'free' sg-CN. This suggests that the CB-e⁻ formed by light-induced charge separation within the support travels quickly to the co-catalyst, from which they are transferred to the protons to evolve dihydrogen.³⁴

Irradiation of *sg*-CN with visible light generates holes in the valence band and electrons in the conduction band (Scheme 1 and Eq. 1). Migration and separation of the charge was facilitated by the nickel. TEOA is able to quench the photogenerated holes in the valence band and acts as a sacrificial electron donor whereas the conduction band electrons reduce the protons to give dihydrogen. *In situ* reduction of Ni²⁺ to Ni⁰ via electron transfer from the conduction band (CB) can also occur which explains the formation of Ni⁰ NPs (Eq. 4). Under photocatalytic conditions the reduction potential of carbon nitride conduction band (-1.3 V) is large enough to reduce Ni²⁺ to Ni⁰ (-0.26 V).^{35,24b} The latter can act as a co-

catalyst during proton reduction. Therefore, the actual catalyst system contains both Ni²⁺(XPS), and Ni⁰ (EPR, XPS) species. The present system is significantly different from the Ni²⁺-fluorescein system reported by Fu and co-workers where irradiation with visible light generated Ni NPs in the reaction medium.^{24b} Transfer of the holes from the valence band of sg-CN to Ni²⁺ can also occur to generate Ni³⁺ as reported by Shangguan and co-workers in photodeposited Ni₂O₃-CdS.³⁶ A similar conclusion was drawn from a NiOOH-TiO₂ systems, when a Ni²⁺ salt was photodeposited by UV light on TiO₂ in the presence of a surfactant.³⁷ Furthermore, in this study, XPS shows that prolonged irradiation results in the movement of surface Ni⁰ to the bulk, since only a low amount of nickel could be observed on the surface after long reaction time. The formation of Ni⁰ domains could be proven by in situ EPR spectroscopy. Elemental nickel is only formed under photocatalytic reaction conditions and is reoxidized quickly when exposed to air during isolation. Particles with higher contrast have been detected by HRTEM after recycling of Cat-1 after 8 days (Fig. 1b). The lattice spacing and corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) indicates that the particles were oxidized to $Ni(OH)_2$. The nature of the Ni^{2+} is expected to be similar to Ni(TEOA)₂Cl₂ as reported by Sun and coworkers.23b



Scheme 1. Schematic representation of the charge separation in *sg*-CN and the formation of Ni⁰ nanoparticles during photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light irradiation.

sg-CN+ hv	\rightarrow	$e_{sg-CN-CB}^{-} + h_{sg-CN-VB}^{+}$	(1)
$TEOA + h^{+}_{sg-CN-VB}$	\rightarrow	TEOA ⁺	(2)
$2 \text{ H}^+ + e_{sg-CN-CB}$	\rightarrow	H_2	(3)
$Ni^{2+} + 2 e^{-}_{sg-CN-CB}$	\rightarrow	Ni ⁰	(4)

In conclusion, the nature of the active species in a noble metal-free nickel-containing sol-gel prepared mesoporous graphitic carbon nitride (*sg*-CN) system, for the photocatalytic hydrogen production in presence of sacrificial agent, has been uncovered for the first time by *in situ* EPR spectroscopy. In addition, the formed catalytic species were also investigated by ex-situ EPR, XPS, TEM, HRTEM. *In situ* EPR studies clearly proved that Ni²⁺ has been reduced to Ni⁰ NPs during the photocatalytic process and acts as co-catalyst for the reduction of protons to dihydrogen. Quick transport of the photogenerated electrons to the Ni⁰ co-catalyst could also be observed by EPR spectroscopy after Ni loading on *sg*-CN. Photodeposition of Ni²⁺ onto *sg*-CN leads to a photocatalytic system for the long-term photochemical proton reduction with activities comparable to other non-noble co-catalyst systems on *sg*-CN. Finally, the *sg*-CN system investigated here clearly demonstrates the

insight of the co-catalyst structure during photochemical H_2 evolution and will help in the understanding of the electron-hole separation after the co-catalyst loading.

Financial support by the BMBF (L2H project) and the DFG (Cluster of Excellence UniCat) is gratefully acknowledged. D.H. thanks Dr. Radnik for XPS measurements. We would also like to thank Dr. Caren Göbel for the TEM measurements.

Notes and references

^a Metalorganic Chemistry and Inorganic Materials, Department of Chemistry, Technische Universität Berlin, Strasse des 17 Juni 135, Sekr. C2, D-10623 Berlin, Germany *Email: <u>matthias.driess@tu-berlin.de</u>

^b Institute of Nano Science and Technology, Mohali, Punjab-160062, India

°Leibniz Institute for Catalysis at the University of Rostock, Albert Einstein-Straße 29A, 18059 Rostock, Germany

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Complete experimental details and characterization of Ni-CN catalysts before and after photochemical hydrogen evolution. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- 1. H. B. Gray, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 1-7.
- A. Indra, P.W. Menezes, M. Schwarze and M. Driess, New J. Chem., 2014, 38, 1942-1945.
- J. Xing, W. Q. Fang, H. J. Zhao and H. G. Yang, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2012, 7, 642-657.
- 4. T. Hisatomi, J. Kubota and K. Domen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, **43**, 7520-7535.
- 5. J. Liu, J. Huang, D. Dontosova, M. Antonietti, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 22988-22993.
- K. Kailasam, J. D. Epping, A. Thomas, S. Losse and H. Junge, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 4668-4674.
- (a) T. Simon, N. Bouchonville, M. J. Berr, A. Vaneski, A. Adrović, D. Volbers, R. Wyrwich, M. Döblinger, A. S. Susha, A. L. Rogach, F. Jäckel, J. K. Stolarczyk and J. Feldmann, *Nat. Mater.*, 2014, **13**,1013-1018. (b) J. Zhao, M. A. Holmes and F. E. Osterloh, *ACS Nano*, 2013, 7, 4316-4325.
- (a) X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.* 2009, **8**, 76-80. (b) J. Zhang, Y. Chen and X. Wang, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2015, doi: 10.1039/C5EE01895A. (c) Y. Zheng, L. Lin, B. Wang and X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, doi: 10.1002/anie.201501788.
- D. Hollmann, M. Karnahl, S. Tschierlei, K. Kailasam, M. Schneider, J. Radnik, K. Grabow, U. Bentrup, H. Junge, M. Beller, S. Lochbrunner, A. Thomas and A. Brückner, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 1727-1733.
- K. Maeda, K. Teramura, D. Lu, T. Takata, N. Saito, Y. Inoue and K. Domen, *Nature*, 2006, 440, 295-295.
- 11. Z. Lin and X. Wang, ChemSusChem, 2014, 7, 1547-1550.
- 12. G. Zhang, M. Zhang, X. Ye, X. Qiu, S. Lin and X. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 805-809.
- M. Schwarze, D. Stellmach, M. Schroeder, K. Kailasam, R. Reske, A. Thomas and R. Schomäcker, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 3466-3472.
- 14. K. Kailasam, J. Schmidt, H. Bildirir, G. Zhang, S. Blechert, X. Wang and A. Thomas, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2013, **34**, 1008-1013.
- Y. Hou, A. B. Laursen, J. Zhang, G. Zhang, Y. Zhu, X. Wang, S. Dahl and I. Chorkendorf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3621-3625.
- L. Ge, F. Zuo, J. Liu, Q. Ma, C. Wang, D. Sun, L. Bartels and P. Feng, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 13708-13714.
- F. Yang, V. Kuznietsov, M. Lublow, C. Merschjann, A. Steigert, J. Klaer, A. Thomas and T. Schedel-Niedrig, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 6407-6415.
- J. Hong, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, W. Zhang and R. Xu, *ChemSusChem*, 2013, 6, 2263-2268.

- J. Yu, S. Wang, B. Cheng, Z. Lin and F. Huang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, 3, 1782-1789.
- Y. Wang, R. Shi, J. Lin and Y. Zhu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 2922-2929.
- 21. X. Bai, R. Zong, C. Li, D. Liu, Y. Liu and Y. Zhu, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2014, 147, 82-91.
- 22. S. Samanta, S. Martha and K. Parida, *ChemCatChem*, 2014, 6, 1453-1462.
- (a) S.-W. Cao, X.-F. Liu, Y.-P. Yuan, Z.-Y. Zhang, J. Fang, S. C. J. Loo, J. Barber, T. C. Sum and C. Xue, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 18363-18366. (b) J. Dong, M. Wang, X. Li, L. Chen, Y. He and L. Sun, *ChemSusChem*, 2012, 5, 2133-2138.
- (a) J. -J. Wang, Z. -J. Li, X. -B. Li, X. -B. Fan, Q. -Y. Meng, S. Yu, C.
 -B. Li, J. -X. Li, C. -H. Tung and L. -Z. Wu, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, 7, 1468-1475. (b) C. Wang, S. Cao and W. -F. Fu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 11251-11253.
- B. C. M. Martindale, G. A. M. Hutton, C. A. Caputo and E. Reisner, J. Am. Chem Soc., 2015, 137, 6018-6025.
- (a) P. W. Menezes, A. Indra, O. Levy, K. Kailasam, V. Gutkin, J. Pfrommer and M. Driess, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 5005-5008. (b) A. Indra, P. W. Menezes and M. Driess, *ChemSusChem* 2015, **8**, 776-785.
- (a) A. Indra, P. W. Menezes, N. R. Sahraie, A. Bergmann, C. Das, M. Tallarida, D. Schmeißer, P. Strasser and M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, **136**, 17530-17536. (b) A. Indra, P. W. Menezes, I. Zaharieva, E. Baktash, J. Pfrommer, M. Schwarze, H. Dau and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 13206-13210.
- (a) P. W. Menezes, A. Indra, P. Littlewood, M. Schwarze, C. Göbel, R. Schomäcker and M. Driess, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, 7, 2202-2211. (b) P. W. Menezes, A. Indra, N. R. Sahraie, A. Bergmann, P. Strasser and M. Driess, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, 8, 164-171. (c) P. W. Menezes, A. Indra, D. González-Flores, N. R. Sahraie, I. Zaharieva, M. Schwarze, P. Strasser, H. Dau and M. Driess, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, 5, 2017-2027.
- 29. G. Zhang, G. Li and X. Wang, ChemCatChem, 2015, 7, 2864-2870.
- E. Ech-chamikh, A. Essafti, Y. Ijdiyaou and M. Azizan, Sol. Energ. Mat. Sol. C, 2006, 90, 1420-1423.
- (a) S.-W. Cao, X.-F. Liu, Y.-P. Yuan, Z.-Y. Zhang, J. Fang, S. C. J. Loo, J. Barber, T. C. Sum and C. Xue, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 18363-18366. (b) J. Dong, M. Wang, X. Li, L. Chen, Y. He and L. Sun, *ChemSusChem*, 2012, 5, 2133-2138.
- a) A. Brückner, U. Bentrup, H. Zanthoff and D. Maschmeyer, *J. Catal.*, 2009, 266, 120-128. b) F. Alonso, P. Riente, J. A. Sirvent and M. Yus, *App. Catal. A: General*, 2010, 378, 42-51.
- T. Isobe, R. A. Weeks and R. A. Zuhr, *Solid State Commun.*, 1998, 105, 469-472.
- 34. J. B. Priebe, M. Karnahl, H. Junge, M. Beller, D. Hollmann and A. Brückner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 11420-11424.
- S. Chu, Y. Wang, Y. Guo, J. Feng, C. Wang, W. Luo, X. Fan and Z. Zou, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 912-919.
- X. Chen, W. Chen, P. Lin, Y. Yang, H. Gao, J. Yuan and W. Shangguan, *Catal. Commun.*, 2013, 36, 104-108.
- L. Zhang, Y. Zhong, Z. He, J. Wang, J. Xu, J. Cai, N. Zhang, H. Zhou, H. Fan, H. Shao, J. Zhang and C. Cao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 4277-4285.

Journal Name

TOC

Nickel as a co-catalyst for the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution on graphitic-carbon nitride (*sg*-CN): what is the nature of the active species?

Arindam Indra, Prashanth W. Menezes, Kamalakannan Kailasam, Dirk Hollmann, Marc Schröder, Arne Thomas, Angelika Brückner, and Matthias Driess



Structural changes of nickel co-catalyst on graphitic carbon nitride have been uncovered during photocatalytic proton reduction by using XPS and *in situ* EPR measurements.